

DOCUMENTS

CLOSED  
STACKS



GOVERNMENT INFORMATION CENTER

BOOK NO.

ACCESSION

352 Sa52:7<sup>17</sup>

201947

NOT TO BE TAKEN FROM THE LIBRARY



3 1223 90119 9324



Vol. 17—New Series

No. 1

Tuesday, January 3, 1922.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY  
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

x352

Sa 52: 7 17

201947

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

TUESDAY, JANUARY 3, 1922, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Tuesday, January 3, 1922, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session. Pursuant to certificates of election filed with the Board of Supervisors, Supervisors-elect Rossi, McSheehy, Schmitz, McLeran, McGregor, Robb, Morgan, Deasy and Harris were seated.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—18.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of December 12 and 13, 1921, were considered read and *approved*.

Mayor's Speech at First Meeting of New Board of Supervisors, January 3, 1922.

This is the sixth consecutive time, my colleagues and fellow citizens, that I have had the privilege and the honor of presiding over this Board of Supervisors at its meeting every second year for the purpose of reorganizing, of bidding the retiring members good-bye and good luck and of inducting the new members into office.

I assure you that it has, to me, been a most pleasant privilege to occupy the high office which, for three terms, the people of San Francisco have bestowed upon me. And while I am not on this occasion, as I was two years ago, called upon to deliver a formal message to your honorable body, I am impelled, by reason of the fact that five new members take their seats today, to touch just lightly upon some of the public problems with which we have been and are concerned.

Ever-present with us is the profitable operation and the further development of our Municipal Railways. Attacked since their very inception from all sides by opposing interests which proclaimed from the housetops that such a transportation system would be a

dead loss to the taxpayers, San Francisco's Municipal Railways have forged ahead remarkably. Necessary extensions have been made and more are contemplated and under way; the roadbed has been maintained in excellent shape and new equipment has been purchased from time to time; the service, I believe you will all agree, has been excellent. All this has been done and a fine profit laid by—and the taxpayers have never been assessed one cent for any of this in the tax rate.

Our Municipal Railways must maintain the onward pace that has been set. They must continue to be the great civic and financial success that they are. And I am sure that the incoming members of this Board of Supervisors will be as zealous in this regard as have been their predecessors and their present associates. San Francisco must own the Market Street Railway system at the right price as recommended by our City Engineer, and I hope this will be accomplished by your active work, supported by the approval of the people.

Another problem, always before us, is that involving an adequate water supply for San Francisco. No city can grow and progress and become great that cannot provide sufficient pure, fresh water to care for the needs of its industries and its people.

San Francisco is going to take care of this by means of its great water supply project in the Hetch Hetchy. Hundreds of men, working night and day, are boring through solid granite in the high Sierras, constructing the first unit of a series of conduits that will bring to us billions of gallons of the finest mountain water on earth.

The Hetch Hetchy, like the Municipal Railways, has been attacked by those to whom failure of this gigantic and commendable endeavor would mean personal gain. The city authorities have been accused of converting this water project into a power development scheme. True, we have developed and are using our own hydro-electric power to drive the tunnels; true, we contemplate one or more additional plants to create power of which the city can dispose at a profit.

How utterly foolish would be our

masterly City Engineer, M. M. O'Shaughnessy, to let this force of water go to waste simply because certain power interests fear our competition. Hetch Hetchy, by the sale of power, will more than pay for itself in the future. It will be an ever-enduring monument to all those who have participated in its development.

Those of you who have not yet seen Hetch Hetchy should by all means visit it at your earliest opportunity. You will come back confirmed adherents to the policy of completing this great work as soon as possible, for, strangely enough, all the attacks on Hetch Hetchy have come from those who have never beheld this wonderful harnessing of Nature's forces, and none whatever from those who have. We need the properties of the Spring Valley Water Company to complete our water system and I trust that in the solution of our railway problem the Board of Supervisors will at the same time solve our water problem.

During your service as members of the Board of Supervisors you will be called upon to give much thought to the development of our public educational system. San Francisco's schools are inadequate to care for our fast-increasing population. One of the principal reasons for this is the great fire of 1906, which destroyed many of our finest schools and from the baneful effects of which we have never fully recovered. Every growing city finds itself hard put to keep pace with its normal school needs, and added to this we have been confronted with the further task of replacing those buildings that were razed by fire.

The situation in this respect is rapidly growing better, but there is still much to be done and every member of this Board will, I am convinced, co-operate to the desired end.

Two projects have lately been launched, both with the approval of the Park Commission, in which this Board will be actively interested. One is the creation of a new playground at the junction of Sloat boulevard and the Great Highway; the other is an additional public golf course on land adjoining Lake Merced.

Both of these are laudable and necessary plans and they will contribute greatly to the further happiness of our people. I trust that you, the Board of Supervisors, will be able to do much to see these projects through to a successful completion. We have the advantage of a new member of this Board, a man who, by reason of being a member of the Park Commission for several years past, is entirely familiar with these two proposals—Supervisor John A. McGregor—likewise Angelo J. Rossi, who comes to us from the Playground Commission.

San Francisco's proposed tuberculosis preventorium has been the subject of much newspaper comment and of considerable recrimination among the Supervisors. I am certain that everyone involved is sincere in his desire to have this problem solved practically and expeditiously, regardless of whatever charges have been made to the contrary.

Let us bend all our energies to a speedy decision on this all-important matter—not something temporary, but a permanent method of caring for the unfortunate victims of this dread disease.

As you all realize, I could continue for hours with an outline of what this administration is doing for the city's welfare and what is still required to be done. But it is my desire simply to touch upon the high lights of a number of subjects that will greatly occupy this Board's attention.

For the first time in the history of San Francisco we today have, as one of the new incumbents, a woman member of the Board of Supervisors. I had the pleasure and the honor of endorsing the candidacy of Miss Margaret Mary Morgan, our new woman Supervisor, and I believe this speaks forcibly enough of my views in regard to the propriety and the desirability of women serving as members of this legislative body.

Miss Morgan—Supervisor Morgan, if you please—I wish for you, personally and as a member of the Board of Supervisors, every success in your new and broader field. And I congratulate you most heartily upon the confidence reposed in you by the nearly forty thousand friends and well-wishers whose votes elected you to office.

Supervisor Angelo J. Rossi, who enjoyed the enviable honor of heading the Supervisorial ticket at the last election, is no stranger to us, for he simply transfers from one department of the City Government, the Playground Commission, to another. I feel a deep personal interest in your success, Supervisor Rossi, for it was my pleasure and privilege to appoint you to the office you are leaving.

Supervisor John A. McGregor, whom I have already mentioned, is another valued official who is simply transferring his activities from the Park Commission, where likewise I had the honor of appointing him, to the Board of Supervisors. I wish for you, Supervisor McGregor, a continuance of the outstanding success that has characterized all of your public work, both in the Park Department and in the Boy Scouts.

To you, Supervisor Robb and Supervisor Harris, today comes an introduction to public service. You will, I am sure, prove entirely faithful to the

trust that has been reposed in you, and thoroughly worthy to represent the people of this great city. I extend to both of you my very best wishes and the hope that your public careers will be both brilliant and successful.

To those incumbents who, by sufferance of the people, enter upon another term on this Board—to Supervisors McSheehy, McLeran, Schmitz and Deasy—I offer my hearty congratulations.

One of the difficulties of a man in public office is to face the exigencies that arise when, with the inexorable march of events, one's associates are retired to private life. It is something that comes to all, however, no matter how zealous, faithful or efficient the service—and it is a situation that is accepted philosophically and in a sportsmanlike manner by everyone. To these men I not only offer my personal and official thanks for the splendid work they have done on behalf of the City over a period of many years, but assure them of my earnest desire that our extremely pleasant associations may be maintained in private life.

And now that we are about to resume the work that ever is before us, I extend to the Board of Supervisors, individually and collectively, and to our fellow citizens who have come to be with us today, best wishes for a bright and happy New Year replete with worth-while accomplishment.

I want to mention that Supervisor Lahaney retires, having been on the Board for a number of years. He did not run for office this time. He is going to attend to his own private business like most men ought to instead of running for public office.

I extend to the Board of Supervisors, individually and collectively, and to our fellow citizens who are with us today, best wishes for a happy new year, replete with worth-while accomplishments.

*Supervisor Mulvihill:* I move, Mr. Mayor, that the remarks of his Honor the Mayor and also his message be entered in the proceedings and made a part of the record of this day.

*Mayor:* I think the first one we should hear from today is the man who was elevated to the office of Postmaster—a Federal official. We will now hear from ex-Supervisor Power.

*Mr. James E. Power, Postmaster:* Mr. Mayor, my fellow colleagues and the members-elect of the Board of Supervisors—Yesterday was my day; today is yours. I join with the Mayor in extending to you my hearty good wishes, and congratulate you on your success. The Mayor has well mapped out for you a very strenuous campaign as far as municipal affairs are concerned. As I said, on leaving the

Board, there are many big things before the Board and I would like to join in them, but I know they are in good hands. The people have made a good selection in the five new members, and they will join with their colleagues, who are holding over, and solve these problems to the satisfaction and welfare of the great City of San Francisco. I take this opportunity of extending to my retiring colleagues my hearty good wishes. Some of them are now retiring of their own volition, and some of them by the vote of the people, but they are taking that in a sportsmanlike manner, and those who were re-elected I know will carry out the duties of their office to the best of their ability, and in the best interests of the City of San Francisco. I want to extend to the new members my hearty congratulations for the future. It is not going to be a bed of roses, although many are surrounded by roses today. You will not be here long before you will find it is not a bed of roses on the Board of Supervisors. While considerable comment has been made on the Board of Supervisors, rest assured there is no great difference between this legislative body and any other legislative body, whether here or any place else throughout the country, in Washington or the State Capitol, the Senate or the House of Representatives, and the Mayor, or anyone who has been there, will tell you that they have their squabbles too, and their differences, and you will realize this fact that when eighteen members get together they are bound to differ, and you, too, will differ in the future, and after all your differences, each one of you will do what you can for the benefit of San Francisco.

I will now close with my best and heartiest wishes for the new members of the administration.

*Mayor:* There is one member of the new Board that stands out very conspicuously today, and while I dislike calling on the woman member to be the spokesman of the Board, I want to ask Supervisor Morgan if she will not speak a few words for the incoming members.

*Supervisor Morgan:* Mr. Mayor, and my colleagues, and my friends in the audience, and you, citizens of San Francisco: Today you are hearing your first woman Supervisor addressing you. You have heard the problems from the Mayor that are confronting the city fathers—parents. I was going to say, but Supervisor Rossi says fathers: I say city parents. It has been said their problems will not be a bed of roses, but I am very sure if we grasp them with earnestness that the thorns will not prove as painful as we may think. Regarding the many things

that are expected from the woman Supervisor, she has only this to say to you: She comes on the Board as a citizen and expects a fifty-fifty deal from the Supervisors and the City. I am a citizen and want my colleagues to think of me as they would of the women in their home and that would be fair enough. When it comes to Hetch Hetchy or the Municipal Railway, I am sure your woman representative will agree, in so far as she can conscientiously, with the other members of the Board. I look on the next four years with calm and peace and love, and wish all the men and women who voted to put me where I am, a happy and prosperous New Year, and I wish the Mayor and the members that have been elected to serve San Francisco all it deserves, and that is everything that is good. Thank you.

*Mayor:* You made a speech that certainly was a ringer. I want to say to you that the Board of Supervisors, at the meeting just before Christmas, pledged themselves to peace on earth and good will towards men.

Now I am going to take the liberty of calling upon Supervisor Angelo J. Rossi for a maiden speech, and he will no doubt say it with flowers.

*Supervisor Rossi:* Mr. Mayor, colleagues of the Board, friends and fellow citizens—As the Mayor says, I am going to say it with flowers; no truer words were ever spoken. On election day they said it with votes, but today they have said it with flowers. While I was sitting in the chair listening to the remarks of the Mayor I thought of the time Mr. White (?) rang me up and wanted to know when it would be convenient for me to meet the committee that was going to launch my campaign for Supervisor. I told him I could not consider it, but some of my friends said I was making the mistake of my life; others told me it was my duty to run for Supervisor because I would make a good Supervisor. After I consented to run for Supervisor I received many endorsements, and the result was many flattering votes. The result was very pleasing to me, and, while I am mindful of the great responsibility that goes with the office of city father, at the same time I am mindful of the fact that that responsibility is shared by my friends and those who sponsored me, and for that reason I consider the responsibility all the greater; and, Mr. Mayor, as I stand here before you and before my colleagues, and surrounded by my family and friends, I might say, in passing, that I feel the presence of my parents, as though they were calling from the great beyond, and know they are right with me now. I want to assure you, Mr. Mayor, that it will be

my firm determination to give to the City of San Francisco the very best that is in me. A few weeks ago I was asked what committees I expected to go on, and the Supervisors granted my request, which was, chairman of the Supplies committee, member of the Finance Committee, member of the Commercial Development Committee and member of the Playground Committee. As you will see, there is a big job before me, but I am sure I can do it if I have the continued support and co-operation of my friends. I want to thank all my friends who have worked so hard for my election, and to say I will do my level best to prove myself worthy of the confidence placed in me. I will not be able to please all, but will please as many as possible. To my colleagues on the Board will say that we will no doubt differ on some things, but as long as I express by honest opinion I will always be working for the good of San Francisco.

*Mayor Rolph:* Any public official will have an opportunity of making a maiden speech here today. I take pleasure in calling on Supervisor Frank Robb.

*Supervisor Robb:* Mr. Mayor, Gentlemen of the Board of Supervisors, Ladies and Gentlemen—I take this opportunity to assume the duties of a member of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco. To the electors who placed me in the position I extend my sincere thanks for the confidence they have reposed in me by electing me a member of this honorable Board. I shall always try to merit this confidence, and it will be my greatest ambition to work in harmony with the Board and gain the respect and good will of this body. Public speaking is not my strong point, and I shall always discourage, on the part of the members of the Board, any attempt at oratory. I thank my fellow citizens for the high honor conferred on me and promise to work faithfully and sincerely for the best interests of San Francisco.

*Mayor Rolph:* We were all very much impressed by the statement you made that you were going to try to stop the long oratorical efforts of the members of the Board. I would suggest that you study Roberts' Rules of Order and then come to my chambers for a consultation.

Another new member of the Board I am going to call on—one who took more abuse in the campaign than any other and came out with flying colors. I take pleasure in calling on Supervisor John A. McGregor.

*Supervisor McGregor:* Your Honor Mayor Rolph, Fellow Colleagues on the Board of Supervisors, Friends and Fellow Citizens—Not long ago I read of a

very celebrated man who was asked how long it took him to prepare a speech. "Well," he said, "That depends on how long the speech is to be. If it is 10 minutes, it takes me a week. If it is to be half an hour, it takes me a day, and if it is to be a long address, I am ready now." I feel very happy in standing here today as a member of this honorable body and I want to thank you, Mayor Rolph, for the splendid help you were so good as to render me in my campaign, and also to thank the many thousands of voters of San Francisco who were good enough to give me their support. I realize it is a great honor to be a member of this body, and the votes of the people express their confidence in those whom they elect, that they will represent them fairly, honestly and to the best of their ability in the government of the city. As I went up and down the city in my campaign I was very much interested in all that I saw. There was no vituperation; no casting of aspersions by one member against another. Indeed, on the contrary, I saw many evidences of a helping hand extended by one candidate in furtherance of the campaign of another candidate. Naturally, all may not win the race. To those who were successful I extend my congratulations, and to those who were not successful I extend my sympathy and hope that they will make another effort. There just occurs to me at this moment the story of a celebrated Scotchman, Robert Bruce of Scotland, beset on every hand and the battle going against him, he retired to seclusion, and, as he lay upon his back moodily thinking of the past and the future, he saw a tiny spider attempt to crawl up the silken strand of web to its nest above. The process interested him and he became fascinated with the efforts of the little spider. Nine times it tried, and nine times it slid back, but nothing dismayed the little spider and he tried once more, and for what proved to be the last time. The great heart of the King went out to the little spider, and he prayed that it might succeed; and, as a prayer of that kind cannot be denied, it met with success; and so, as the number of the defeats of the little spider corresponded with the number of his own he bestirred himself, with what success all those who have read Scottish history very well know—so I say to the other candidates who have not succeeded, "If at first you don't succeed, try again."

I know that there are arduous duties ahead for a body of this size, and with the character and importance of the work that will devolve upon it, I do not want, nor do I expect too much harmony and brotherly love and peace

among the members of the Board. I like a little scrap now and then; it makes better friends. I will say this, however, we may scrap but there shall be nothing personal in it so far as I am concerned. I feel I am now a friend to every member of this Board, and I am certain that nothing of my doing will prevent a continuance of that condition.

While congratulating the members of the Board, I may be pardoned for making a brief reference to one of them. Heretofore, as I think the Mayor has said, this Board has been composed of eighteen men, commonly known as the City Fathers, but one of the men has been bumped off and his place taken by a member of the gentler sex, so now, instead of having a Board of eighteen Fathers, we have now one of seventeen Fathers and one Mother. I am sure Miss Morgan will make a good Supervisor. She is not, as I have seen so far, to be credited with a soft heart so much as with a hard head, which is, I think, particularly needed in this or any other honorable Board, and I hope Miss Morgan will like her duties and make a success as a member of the governing body of San Francisco. My friends, I want to extend to you my hearty thanks for what you have done for me. I realize the responsibility resting upon me personally, and assure you I will discharge the obligation to the very best of my ability. There is no one so lowly that I will not be ready to help in every rightful way, and there is no one so high that may expect from me assistance in any improper undertaking. I thank you.

*Mayor Rolph:* We were all amused at your statement that you like a little scrapping. I want to predict now you will get all you want here, and I only hope your first scrap will not be an encounter with Supervisor Morgan.

Now, the next new member of the Board on the last row on the corner, Supervisor Harris. Would like to hear him make his maiden speech.

*Supervisor Harris:* Mr. Mayor, fellow members, friends and citizens—I made two or three promises before election and wish to say I intend to carry them out as well as I can. One was to help to make a business administration; another one that I would have time to devote to the office and a third one that the door of my office would always be open to everyone at all times.

I intend to co-operate with his Honor the Mayor, as well as the civic bodies and the taxpayers. I believe efficiency should be practiced in all departments and economy should have a place as well. It is a great honor that has been bestowed upon me in having been given a certificate as Supervisor, and in my

office there is another certificate of a like character, given to my father in 1909.

The Mayor then called on former Supervisor Andrew J. Gallagher, now representing the Southern Promotion Association, who addressed the Board and joined in the congratulations and felicitations to the newly elected members, wishing them the largest measure of success in their new spheres of activity.

*Mayor:* We have heard from all the incoming members of the Board, also a former Supervisor of the Board, and now we are going to hear from the war horses of the Board. I am going to call on Supervisor Shannon, who has just returned from the Orient where he has told many stories and spread the name and fame of San Francisco throughout the world.

Supervisor Shannon gave an interesting account of his tour through the Orient as a member of the Chamber of Commerce Foreign Trade Commission, and stated that he would file a written report of his trip within thirty days.

*Mayor Ralph:* I will now call on Supervisor Ralph McLaren, chairman of the Finance Committee, for a few remarks.

*Supervisor McLaren:* Mr. Mayor and members of the Board of Supervisors, my friends and fellow citizens—I am indeed grateful to the people of San Francisco for returning me to office again. To my friends, who have surrounded my desk with flowers, I want to extend my heartfelt thanks, and can assure you that the confidence you have had in me has not been misplaced.

To the retiring members of the Board I wish to say that it is with a feeling of regret that I part official company with them but I am satisfied that those who are taking their place are selected from the best of our citizenship, men who have had a training in the business world, and their presence on this Board is going to dignify the Board of Supervisors; still, I say that I regret parting with the retiring members of the Board.

I think at this time, Mr. Mayor, in view of the fact that there are five new members on the Board, it would be proper for me to touch briefly on the financial matters of the Board.

The cost of our government this year has been 25 million dollars, 85 per cent of which the Board of Supervisors has no control over, leaving 15 per cent for the Board to function the various departments of city government, taking care of all public improvements and maintain all public institutions. Our bonded indebtedness is \$73,564,600, all of which, with the exception of a few hundred thousand, has been voted by

the people since the fire of 1906. You have reached the peak. These bonds have been sold, and you are paying interest on them. Out of this we have invested in government securities \$21,642,000; \$15,000,000 of this amount is drawing better than 4½ per cent interest, leaving approximately \$7,000,000 drawing 4½ per cent. These moneys are on call at certain periods, invested in securities by the executive departments of this government; in other words, of the \$73,000,000, \$22,000,000 is drawing more interest than you are paying. Our Municipal Railway is in a most healthy condition, but the policy and program outlined by this Board provides for the expenditure of approximately \$900,000 for extensions and improvements, and recently the City Engineer has filed with the Clerk of this Board a recommendation providing for the expenditure of \$200,000 for new cars on the Union street line, and \$300,000 additional to supply these extensions when built, which, when completed, will take all of the securities invested in the Municipal Railway Fund, and I want to warn the Board at this time that, in my judgment, it is dangerous for the Board of Supervisors to undertake to consider any additional expenditures for extensions to the Municipal Railway until we have found out whether these extensions are going to pay or not. When these extensions are made the money will be all gone; the money will be gone out of the Depreciation Fund; securities all used up and you will take a gamble whether or not the extensions will pay or not. In my judgment it is inadvisable to consider any further extensions for several years to come.

I hope by my actions on this Board, Mr. Mayor and members of the Board of Supervisors, to gain the confidence and respect of the new members, the same as I have enjoyed that of the retiring members of the Board. You have in the past honored me by selecting me as chairman of your Finance Committee, and whether I have made a success or not rests with the judgment of the Board. In closing I want to say that my activity on this Board in the future will be the same as it has been in the past. Without fear of offending the personal feelings of anyone, I shall act in accordance with my own conscience, and step on such corns as I think should be stepped upon. I realize the responsibility the Board has placed upon me and the people of San Francisco and I accept that responsibility with the full knowledge that when a person does that which he thinks is right conscientiously and fearlessly he has done all that can be expected of anyone.

I wish to all a happy and prosperous

new year and to those of our retiring friends my best wishes. I hope the roses, flowers and the memory of this scene will have an influence on the Board of Supervisors for the next four years and that we will conduct the matters of the Board in a dignified manner with the help of our newly elected members, who are certainly a credit to San Francisco.

*Supervisor Hayden:* Mr. Mayor and colleagues—The influence of the opposite sex has already asserted itself. My little daughter advises me not to make a long speech.

Mr. President and Members of the Board—I have been through such an experience as this on many occasions. I have seen the Board change in its personality as many times as the oldest member on this Board. I have been in a position on these various occasions such as I am today, of feeling regret for those members leaving office today as some have left on other occasions, but particularly today, Mr. President, I regret the retirement, in the first place, of ex-Supervisor Power, who has left this Board to accept a more exalted position at the gift of the Federal Government. That, in itself, is a compliment to his experience and training in this legislative body, because we who have known him, know his peculiar fitness and executive ability to fill the position of postmaster as ably as he filled the positions in the gift of the municipal government.

Supervisor Lahaney, another ex-member of the Board who retires at this time, is a man who finds that owing to the pressure of business he could not devote the time to the ever-pressing responsibility of public office, and we know how well he worked day and night to co-operate with you and this Board for the civic betterment of our city.

Supervisor Nelson is a veteran of ten years with whom I have been associated in a legislative capacity, and how well I know the tireless energy, the indefatigable effort and ceaseless determination he has exerted to represent the people of San Francisco in an able and fearless manner. I regret his retirement from the Board, but know also he is a man of affairs and will reflect credit in private life such as he has reflected in public life.

Then there is Supervisor Hilmer. I have been associated with him side by side for ten years and could not let this opportunity pass without showing my appreciation of his valuable efforts in behalf of the people of San Francisco and the political exigencies that bring about his retirement. He is a man of big affairs in San Francisco, and I know too well the big sacrifice he made in accepting the responsibili-

ties of public life. He goes back to his business after serving in public, his knowing he did his duty ably and well.

Supervisor Suhler, a man who has been associated with us for ten years, always willing to co-operate with you, Mr. Mayor, and the Board of Supervisors in the rebuilding of San Francisco. Political exigencies have also brought about his retirement, so I feel, Mr. Mayor, that I could not allow this opportunity to pass without showing my appreciation, and I know I am expressing the appreciation of yourself and my colleagues on the retirement of these members. I wish them god-speed in their business and continued success. I assure them in the part they have taken they have left their impression on the City and County of San Francisco, which will be always there to remind them, and that the people have said to them: "Well done, thou good and faithful servant." I congratulate them and wish them continued success in their business administration.

When five Supervisors retire, the people have naturally selected others to take their place, and in that connection the Mayor has complimented the four gentlemen and one lady. The election of a lady is a new experience to my colleagues on the Board of Supervisors, but I want to say, Mr. Mayor and members of the Board that Supervisor Morgan has already shown her interest in the work. She was appointed Secretary of the Committee on Organization, and we found her through all these deliberations to be a good listener and a good reasoner, and at all times with a great deal of stability of character and a poise that will make of her in the future a good Supervisor. I am satisfied the people of San Francisco have made no mistake in the selection of Miss Morgan. The other gentleman, Supervisor McGregor, has referred to is a wonderful accession to the membership of the Board of Supervisors; Mr. Rossi, my most intimate and boon companion, you know, Mr. Mayor, how much I admire him, but I know the business training he brings to the Board of Supervisors is going to be a great asset to the people of San Francisco. Mr. Harris and Mr. Robb are both men of business training whose services will be of great advantage to this Board. In regard to Mr. Harris, I had the honor to be an associate with his father on this Board, and I now have the honor to be an associate with the son—a son who will follow in the footsteps of his father—so, Mr. Mayor, I am taking the responsibility of going over the different characters here at this time to emphasize that when the people in their decision do these things

they feel that they are doing it for the best good of the community, and without any particular reference to those who have to go away. There is a great responsibility before us, and as I have said to the men who are going out they have taken their share of this responsibility, and you men are going to take it up where they left off, and you men will acquit yourselves creditably and give to the people of San Francisco a government that is good, and that will reflect credit on the Mayor, the people and yourselves, and I congratulate the Mayor and the people, the re-organization of the Board, and wish for you and the people a happy and prosperous administration.

*Supervisor Schmitz:* Mr. Mayor, my colleagues, ladies and gentlemen, and friends—When I came in here today I felt I was coming into an Irish atmosphere—I saw a smile on the lip and a tear in the eye—a smile for the members coming in and a tear for those who have been our colleagues—but it remained for Mr. McGregor to put the finishing touch when he said he liked a good scrap, and that the Supervisors should have, instead of soft hearts, hard heads. Then I knew I was in an Irish atmosphere. I said as long as I sat on this Board no man would ever place his hands on my shoulders and tell me how I should vote. I made that pledge and renew it today. I was elected by a very large vote, and I take that vote as an endorsement of my actions here on the Board of Supervisors, and it does seem strange, I will say to you members of the Board that the two men who stood consistently for low taxes were the two men who received the highest vote of all the incumbents. The first year I stood for low taxes I stood alone. After that Supervisor McSheehy stood with me. I stood for low taxes, not because I believed in them, but because I thought some cuts could be made. I have tried to take whatever you recommended seriously. Whenever I differed with you I differed in a gentlemanly manner. So it was with Spring Valley. There were only two members who spoke about Spring Valley, and again the people elected these two men to office by a large vote, so it must seem the people are not in favor of buying Spring Valley, and it is positive the people want a low tax rate and I am very pleased today to hear the chairman of the Finance Committee say we have reached the peak of taxation and we may now expect a lower tax rate. I have always considered a public trust is a sacred trust, and that any man elected to office that neglects that trust neglects a most sacred thing. I am going to pursue the course I fol-

lowed out in the last two years, to work for the interest of San Francisco, take no other dictation. When the people speak they speak with the word of God, and I am going to take no other direction than from them. Your Honor, I want to thank you for many courtesies, and the members of the Board, those members that are retiring. I sincerely regret their retirement. I have come to know them intimately and I am pleased to remember them as my sincere friends, and believe they go away from this Board with the good feeling of every man, and only hope when I retire I can do so with the same feeling. Your Honor, I want to again thank you for the many courtesies you have accorded me. While I remain on the Board I will give the best that is in me for a greater and bigger San Francisco.

*Mayor Rolph:* In order that there may be no misunderstanding of the remarks about anyone coming and placing hands on the Supervisor's shoulders and asking him to do so and so, I wish it distinctly understood that I never, during the past ten years, ever put my hands on the shoulders of any Supervisors and asked him to vote any way at all. I have left all matters of public questions to the entire decision of the members of the Board themselves.

*Supervisor Schmitz:* I do not think any of my remarks, Mr. Mayor, should have been taken as directed at you, and I should not want to say to you, if the cap does not fit you you do not have to wear it. I want to say that when I mean any particular person I will say whom I mean, but there are organizations that go after men running for public office who have dominated them and say to them, if we endorse you for public office you will have to vote for this or that thing.

*Supervisor McSheehy:* Your Honor, my colleagues and my retiring colleagues and my friends—Today is almost the happiest day of my life. Why, four years ago I was elected to the office of Supervisor of the City and County a positively unknown man, and I received 30,500 and some odd votes, and when I was installed I told the people of San Francisco, and told a similar audience that is here today that I would give the same detailed attention to the work that I would to my own private business. I want to say that I might differ from some of my colleagues but only as a friend. I have no enemies. To think I received 48,000 votes in the last election; and why? Because I have served you just as my conscience has dictated. I have gone along on this Board alone. Several times Supervisor Schmitz has voted with me, but, as I have stated

before, I have voted alone as my conscience dictated to me, but I have never voted on any important public question without investigating that question. I have not gotten up here and took the stand simply because I wanted to differ. No. I have voted for what I considered the best interest of San Francisco. Today I want to say, especially to my five colleagues that have been installed in office. I do not claim to be any student of human nature, but I know something about character, and I believe the citizens of San Francisco have elected five citizens that have positive character, and after all is said and done, that is all the citizens could do. I want to say that I met Miss Morgan a number of times in the formation of committees and want to say in all fairness that I am well pleased with Miss Morgan. She is a well-balanced lady of fine character and can vote *no* if the occasion occurs. To the other four colleagues together I say the same. After hearing from some of the members we know some of them are not orators, but they will improve. I myself was no orator when I came into the Board, but I have improved and am improving every day. I hope that harmony will prevail. If I am called upon to differ with your Honor on any public question, I will differ with you, but I am in favor of harmony.

I want to thank every friend here today, and I want to thank all my colleagues and to pay a like tribute to every man that is retiring today, and once again I want to thank one and all and to thank the citizens of San Francisco for electing me the way they have done, and I assure you that I will do the work just as I have in the past four years. I wish you all a happy new year.

*Supervisor Wolfe:* Mr. President, fellow Supervisors, ladies and gentlemen—There never was a time in my life that I wished I was strong enough to make a speech than today, and I will say it frankly, there never was a time in my life when I felt I could make a better speech had I the strength to deliver it. Unfortunately, I am not in a condition even to say these kind things of the retiring colleagues with which my heart is surcharged, nor the proper words of welcome or greeting to the newly elected Supervisors of San Francisco. I shall, perhaps, before we adjourn, and, if not today, at the next meeting, endeavor to pull myself together. However, I am going to give expression to those sentiments with which I am filled and which I hope are pertinent to this occasion. I wanted to especially call attention to the fact that San Francisco is again pioneering and blazing the way along the lines of

progress and enlightenment. They are leaving behind the old political high ways and byways and walking along the paths of progress, as demonstrated by the fact that, for the first time in this or any other large city we have elected a woman to the office of Supervisor, and, I believe, from what I know of this lady (and that is only a few days) she will make a record for activity that will not only redound to the credit of her sex, but to the credit of San Francisco; for, after all, woman has played her part in all the activities of life. She has been the inspiration of the poet and of the singer and of the writer, and we have learned by reason of their forbearance and of their suffering and of their kindness, to think of them in terms of endearment, whether it be in the capacity of mother, in the capacity of daughter, in the capacity of sister or in the capacity of sweetheart, one, in this world of topsy turvy today, woman is getting what is coming to her, and, at the proper time, when I have more strength, I will say some things San Francisco ought to know of its affairs, and about this administration, that I think you might like to hear. I have this thought to express in conclusion that I believe one of the effects of Supervisor Morgan's presence in this Chamber will be to bring an atmosphere of refinement in our deliberations. It should inspire us to conduct our affairs with greater dignity and decorum than we have been accustomed to conduct them in the past; and woe betide the Supervisor that forgets at any time there is a lady sitting as a member of this Board of Supervisors. Please excuse me from further remarks. If not today, next Monday, I will deliver the speech I have in mind.

*Supervisor Scott:* Mr. President, members of the Board and the public in general—I have been amused here today. Of course, I welcome the new members with open arms, and regret to see the old members leaving. We have heard a lot of eloquence today. We have heard the policies outlined, and we have heard from those who, perhaps, if they had had their way, would have swamped the entire movement. It is not very pleasant for a man who has served for years on a public body like this, who has stood the brunt of all the criticism and of the different undertakings to put this city in the first rank, to say farewell to his labors. It is difficult to please the public because the public is fickle, but the members who stood right by the guns in the last two years and who made it possible to raise the money for the school program, for the increase in the salaries of the policemen and firemen and for the extension of the

playgrounds are going home today to private life, while the men on this Board who opposed these splendid movements come here with an overwhelming majority and brag about it. I think we have had an abundance of oratory today. I am not going to deliver the speech that is in me, but, as I said before, the new members are profoundly impressed by the remarks made here today. They have been sent on their way with the view of giving the public the best that is in them, regardless of the reward that is awaiting them four years hence.

*Supervisor Welch:* Mr. Chairman and members of the Board—I have been quite content to sit back here and listen to the oratory. It leads me to the thought expressed by Supervisor McGregor. He said he would not object to a little scrap, and I want to assure the Supervisors that it will require but very little effort to get him his desire along these lines. Again, Supervisor Robb expressed himself in regard to the short speech, so, to show you my heart is in the right place, I am going to be brief. We regret parting today with those members we have been associated with for years, particularly in committee where the real work is done, but while they are going we are to be congratulated on the new members. They are taken from the best that San Francisco has to offer, and I feel confident from my knowledge of them, an acquaintance extending back for years, that they will take an interest in the work of this body and give to it the same service and the same thought that members here for years have given to it, because there is a big field of activity; indeed, you could have brought them into a field very little touched on, the commercial and industrial development of San Francisco because the future of San Francisco will depend upon progress along these lines, and we need to be careful about spending money that must come from commercial industry. On Thursday evening of this week, in the auditorium of the High School of San Mateo, will be launched a project for the industrial development of the Peninsula of San Francisco, which includes San Mateo, and I hope to see every member of this Board attend, and if you leave word with the Clerk of the Board busses will be ready for you. We are going to ask them to coordinate with us in unrestricted and unlimited opportunity for commercial development.

*Supervisor Mulvihill:* Mr. Mayor, members of the Board, ladies and gentlemen—Sitting here this afternoon and listening to the beautiful sentiments and able addresses and eulogies of my colleagues, I believe they are equal to the fragrance and beauty of

these wonderful flowers, and I hope the spirit will prevail, the spirit of harmony, the spirit of co-operation, of working together for the future good of San Francisco, the city we all love. As a member of this Board for the past six years I regret exceedingly the departure of the five members. It is true we have had stormy sessions but they were due to the honest difference of opinions. There is no set rule in this Board. There is no guiding hand in this Board with the exception of recommendations coming from you on the policies of your administration. Each man determines for himself how he shall vote, and I can say frankly and honestly I have never sat in any body or in any organization with a set of men who have co-operated and worked for the people of San Francisco and given their energy and time to the best of their ability, as the departing members. We have many pleasant memories to think of, co-operating and striving to do everything possible for this great city of ours. I welcome the new Supervisors and especially Margaret Mary Morgan. I know she will have the co-operation and assistance of all the members of the Board. I hope that the spirit of harmony and progressiveness will prevail, and we will be one great happy family, working to that great goal, the future success of our city.

*Supervisor Hynes:* Mr. President, members and friends: Sitting here and listening to all of these remarks and trying to use all of my resourcefulness to say something that has not been said has given me a task. Supervisor Scott amused me. I told Supervisor Hayden today that some of the beautiful things said about the men on their departure is very much like the man leaving in his will a thousand dollars to some of his friends and having no money to leave, but it looks good in the will. Some of these people are coming into what we might term a political arena. It has been an arena; it has been a show house, and we have a master of ceremonies that sits in front. Members come in with a blast of trumpets, shake hands and usually go to it. There is a little waving of the red flag; a little throwing of the "Bull"; a little side stepping in some instances; sparring for positions; entering into the race, and watching to take a little bit of advantage. Some high and lofty tumbling I have seen. Some men postpone things for a week, learn to flip flop and vote the other way, so I say, as a member of the Board of Supervisors I have had a great deal of pleasure and some seriousness. This coming-in today is just the celebration of a big victory. The seriousness will come in possibly with our next session, and

then we will begin to reap the fruits of our victory, but there are things we have to do Mr. Mayor and I hope to attempt to do some of them in the next two years I serve. I know that the men here have done everything they possibly could to do for what they thought was best for the people of San Francisco, and I make no apology for any act by the members of this Board in any of its executive sessions. I say we have done well, and we have had our fights. It is possibly the call of the blood. You know an Irishman once said "let's have peace" but no real Irishman ever said anything like that. I say the safety of the peace sometimes rests on the very actions this Board indulges in. If there were an atmosphere of harmony the people would be suspicious, but when there is an atmosphere of inharmony, San Francisco is safe with 18 members on the Board when it takes 10 to make a decision. I hope we will get along well in the next two years but there are two things we have to do that pertain to all organizations of this kind. The desire for a man to do right as he sees it, and the desire of a man to do his duty as he sees it. A man wants to do right without being interested as to the outcome to him personally, and a man has to do his duty without wishing for personal results to him or to his benefit, and I think we will do that, Mr. Mayor. I have served with you, Mr. Mayor, for six years, and I have gone along with you as far as I could, and the whole thing that has made me follow you is I knew that you have a heart, and a man that has a heart a feeling for his fellow men cannot go far wrong. I hope to serve with you two more years and then—

I think the people have done me a great compliment when they elected me a city official. It is a compliment to me I value more than anything else, and it was a great compliment when they selected you to represent them at the head of this municipal government. I want to say I am sorry Supervisor Power is going. I have a world of respect for him, and I know we will miss him. That is all I am going to say.

*Supervisor Powers:* Mr. Mayor, my colleagues on the Board, new and old—There is one thing I deeply regret this afternoon, and that is parting with my old colleagues. There is a friendship engendered by the close association of our colleagues on the Board and in committees that causes a pang of regret when the time comes to part company and leave you. We have had disputes here at every meeting, as they have in every legislative body, but these disputes are inevitable, as a great community like this would not feel very well satisfied if everything went

along too harmoniously. They would think there was some framing going on one way or another; and, without these disputes we could not handle a 25 million dollar appropriation like we are handling at the present time. A lot of the Supervisors voted the same as I did for the high tax rate, and, your Honor, I have this to say that I have no apology to make for any vote I have cast on this Board of Supervisors. I voted for the high tax rate and for a living wage to the workmen. In the 21 years I have been in business I have always tried to give a living wage to the men who work for me. I voted for the high tax rate even though I am one of the highest taxpayers in the City of San Francisco.

The people have made no mistake in the selection of the new members. I want to wish the old members every success in their various walks in life, and to the new members success in the affairs of San Francisco.

*Supervisor Bath:* Mr. Mayor, ladies and gentlemen, outgoing and incoming members—It seems to me we are treating our members somewhat as at a funeral procession. These outgoing members are still citizens of San Francisco; they will still be among us. This afternoon reminds me of a few words your Honor said when I came on the Board: "Good-bye, Charlie; good-bye, John; good-bye, somebody else." This city is nothing more than a corporation—the stockholders change but the corporation never does.

For the next two years I know the City of San Francisco is going to progress. Of course, we regret the loss of such members as Supervisor Power, Charlie Nelson, one of the best; Fred Suhr, who always voted right, the same as Fred Hilmer and Joe Lahaney. When I was running I heard a great deal about the tax rate, but after I got on the Board I asked myself how could they have done better? \$1,700,000 was appropriated for the public schools. It does not make any difference what you do, some people will be bound to misunderstand. Hail to the new members and good-bye to the old. The only regret I have at this time is that I could not be on the committee I wished. The outgoing members will probably make more money by being off the Board of Supervisors than on it.

*Mayor:* The last member to be called on is Supervisor Deasy.

*Supervisor Deasy:* Mr. Chairman and colleagues, new members of the Board and friends—As the Mayor says, "Sing or speak a piece." Well, singing has been my success, but this is no place to sing songs.

I welcome the new members in the

Board and wish the old members every success for the new year.

His Honor Mayor Rolph then gave an interesting account of his recent visit to Pasadena's Rose Carnival at which there were visitors from all over the United States, many of them having traveled across the continent to witness the floral display. Every city on the Pacific Coast, he stated, was represented at the carnival but San Francisco. Portland was represented with a magnificent display asking the world to come to Portland in 1925. The Mayor stated if he had ever before seen a Pasadena show he would not only have invited the Board of Supervisors to attend, but would have recommended an appropriation out of the Urgent Necessity Fund so that San Francisco could be properly represented by an exhibit. Speaking of the tax rate, San Francisco's tax rate is low compared with Los Angeles, which is almost double, but they advertise their attractions and get the visitors and the homeseekers. San Francisco, he said, should emulate their example, and advertise its natural attractions more extensively in order to get a larger share of the visitors, the homeseekers and investors.

In closing his Honor the Mayor said: May I ask all the friends, all the families interested in the members of the Board to kindly come and encourage us all you possibly can. It is invigorating and stimulating to see the families of the members come to our meetings.

Supervisor Hayden called the Mayor's attention to the fact that he had omitted to call on the outgoing Supervisors for a few remarks. The Mayor stated he had some delicacy on asking them to make a speech, but was glad the matter had been brought up. He then called on the retiring members, who spoke as follows:

*Supervisor Hilmer:* Mr. Mayor, Mr. Postmaster, my former colleagues, members of the Board and friends—Ten years ago I was elected Supervisor at the same time you were elected Chief Executive. I have endeavored at all times to vote for all constructive legislation and many achievements have been accomplished in that time, and just as you have said, if we had voted "no" there would have been no City Hall, no Esplanade at the beach, no Twin Peaks tunnel, no Public Library, no Civic Center, many miles of paved streets and sewers.

I want to congratulate the incoming members of the Board and wish them every success and am sure they will have it. I also want to congratulate you, Mr. Mayor, on everything you

have done for San Francisco. I want to thank the reporters who have been so kind to me during the years I have served, and that goes for the clerks of the Board of Supervisors, too. For the outgoing members I wish them every success. My record is an open book and I am proud of it. I wish to thank the people of San Francisco for the vote they gave me at the last election, and, though I was not elected, I appreciate what they did for me.

*Supervisor Nelson:* Mr. President, members of the Board of Supervisors and my friends—I feel very grateful to be able to say a few words to my many friends, the people of San Francisco, who have honored me signally four times by electing me to public office. I feel I am a veteran and entitled to retire. Three times I have been elected a member of the Board of Supervisors. I have gone through these ceremonies and can assure you they are very pleasant and pleasing to the new candidates. This is the second time I have gone down to defeat with flying colors, so I know the feelings both ways. I felt doubly grateful for the many kind remarks made by the Mayor, by the members of the Board and by other speakers. I feel that, maybe in two years' time the same compliments may be paid to some of the retiring members, or maybe in four years. My relations with the Board have always been harmonious, and as a member of the Board I did what I thought was absolutely right. My conscience was my judge, and I want to thank his Honor the Mayor for the many courtesies extended to me, and I want to extend through him my appreciation of all his appointees—to all his Commissioners—there never was a time I wanted any information but it was to hand; and as for the clerks of this Board, I want to particularly commend them to the new members. They have always been willing and anxious to serve, and you can always rely upon them. They will give you at all times 100 per cent service, and no one wants more than that. While with the members of the press I have differed in the past, I would say that for the last eight years I have served the Board they have been very kind to me so far as I was concerned. Their reports of the Board of Supervisors were good, and I leave the press with many regrets, because I have been fair, just and honest. The people of San Francisco have honored me so much that I will leave with this distinction, and not everyone in San Francisco can command that: I have had the honor of being a "World's Fair Supervisor." I leave also as a War Supervisor, if you please, because I was a member of the Board before the Fair started and after the

Fair closed, and I was a member of the Board before the late catastrophe in Europe, and I trust the war is over for good. I feel very grateful today for this opportunity of saying these last words, and I hope it won't be my last attempt at a speech. I have never known when I was left, and although I am leaving today, I assure you that any time I can give any service to the Mayor, the members of the Board of Supervisors or my friends, I am going to do so as in the past.

I want to wish you all a Merry Christmas and a Happy New Year.

*Supervisor Lahaney:* Your Honor, my colleagues who are leaving with me and the new members—You have my hearty congratulations, and all I can say at this time is that I wish you, each and every one of you, a happy and prosperous new year. I likewise want to thank you, Mr. Mayor, for the many courtesies given me in the past, and I want to assure you that I am at all times ready to serve San Francisco in any way I can. I also want to thank my friend, the Postmaster, and wish him success in his new position, because to have success he must have ability, and he has ability. Likewise, Mr. Rolph, I know that you and the members of the Board of Supervisors will carry on the new projects I helped to launch and that met with the public approval.

#### PRESENTATION OF PETITIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS FILED.

##### Retraction—Building Law Violation Complaint.

The following communication was presented, read and, on motion of Supervisor McLeran, *ordered spread in the Journal*:

The Board of Supervisors, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

At your meeting of December 19, at my request, a letter was read by Supervisor Hynes, protesting against what seemed a violation of the City Building Ordinance upon a building being erected on the northwest corner of Twenty-sixth avenue and West Clay street.

After meeting your Committee on Buildings, December 20, I find I misunderstood and was misinformed concerning the interpretation of Section 11, Paragraph 85, State Housing Manual.

I wish to retract my statement that the Building Inspector had made an exception, finding at your committee meeting that this building law had been strictly enforced.

I wish to congratulate your honorable body for the speed and fairness in which this investigation was made and

believe I have resigned from the "Coal Hall Knockers' Club."

Respectfully,

(Signed)

B. F. HOWARD

##### Payment of Losses—German Insurance Companies.

*Communications*—From Senator Hiram W. Johnson and Julius Kahn, Congressman, acknowledging receipt of copy of resolution with respect to payment of fire insurance claims against German insurance companies for 1906 conflagration.

Read and ordered *filed*.

##### Proposed Amendment to Traffic Law.

*Communication*—From Chief of Police, advising that he does not approve of certain changes in the traffic law (Ordinance 185) proposed by Supervisor Nelson.

*Referred to the Police Committee.*

##### Refund of Alien Poll Tax.

*Communication*—From Auditor, recommending passage of a resolution transferring and appropriating money to cover deficiencies in order to pay claimants for refund of alien poll tax, which was declared unconstitutional by the Supreme Court.

Read and *filed*.

##### Rotary Club Meeting.

A communication from his Honor Mayor Rolph presented an invitation from the Rotary Club to the Board of Supervisors and himself to attend noonday meeting on Tuesday, January 10, 1922, in the Concert Room of the Palace Hotel, which he had accepted.

The following members indicated their intention to attend: Supervisors Bath, Deasy, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wolfe. Supervisors Hayden, McLeran and Welch indicated their inability to attend.

*Supervisor Welch* reminded the members that the General Bridge Committee would meet on that date with the East Bay representatives in conference on the War Department's decision with reference to the construction of a bridge across San Francisco Bay. He spoke of the importance of San Francisco being adequately represented and suggested that the entire Board of Supervisors attend.

##### Notice of Reconsideration Deferred.

The following matter was, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, *laid over until the meeting of January 10, 1922*

##### Retirement Ordinance.

December 27, 1921, Supervisor McSheehy changed his vote from *aye* to *nay* and gave notice that he would move for a reconsideration of the vote whereby the Retirement Ordinance for Aged and Disabled City Employees was

referred to the Joint Committee on Finance and Judiciary.

#### Standing Committees.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 19549 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Standing Committees of this Board be constituted as follows, the first-named member to be chairman thereof:

Auditorium — Hayden, Powers, Schmitz.

Civil Service, Standardization of Salaries and Retirement System—Deasy, McLeran, Scott, Hynes, Shannon.

Commercial and Industrial Development—Welch, Wolfe, Rossi, Hayden, Robb.

Education, Parks and Playgrounds—Morgan, Schmitz, Welch, Rossi, McSheehy.

City Planning — McGregor, Bath, Powers, Deasy, Hayden.

Finance—McLeran, Rossi, McGregor.

Fire—Schmitz, Morgan, Deasy.

Judiciary—Bath, Wolfe, Hayden.

Lands and Tunnels and Assessment Districts—Harris, McLeran, Hynes.

Lighting, Water, Telephone Service and Electricity — Powers, Harris, Welch.

Police—Robb, McGregor, Bath.

Municipal Concerts and Public Celebrations—Hynes, Bath, McLeran.

Public Buildings — Scott, Harris, Powers.

Public Health and Garbage Disposal—McSheehy, Shannon, Deasy, Mulvihill, Scott.

Public Utilities—Wolfe, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Morgan, Schmitz.

Public Welfare and Publicity—Shannon, Mulvihill, Hynes, Morgan, Wolfe.

Streets and Sewers — Mulvihill, Welch, McGregor, Scott, Robb.

Supplies—Rossi, Robb, Harris, Shannon, McSheehy.

State Laws and Legislation—Specially appointed by Mayor when occasion requires.

#### Motions.

*Supervisor Hynes:* I move that action be deferred and postponed until the next regular meeting of this Board of Supervisors. Seconded by Supervisor Scott.

*Supervisor Hayden:* I make a point of order, that the Board in performance of its duties will not be in a position to do the business of the Board because it would be disorganized and could not function.

The Chair ruled the point of order not well taken.

Whereupon, the roll was called and Supervisor Hynes' motion to defer action for one week was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Har-

ris, Hynes, McGregor, Powers, Scott, Shannon—8.

Noes—Supervisors Hayden, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Welch, Wolfe—10.

Before the foregoing result was announced, Supervisor Hynes changed his vote from *aye* to *no* and gave notice that he would move for reconsideration at the next regular meeting.

*Supervisor Wolfe* declared that if notice prevails Board cannot function.

*Supervisor McLeran* declared that bills could not be paid.

*Supervisor Mulvihill* read Rules Nos. 11 and 12 in support of his contention that a vote on a motion to postpone is not subject to notice of reconsideration.

*Supervisor Schmitz* raised the point that Supervisor Hynes' notice was out of order. He declared that the old rules still prevail, as they have not been repealed. He quoted Rule 30 in support of his point of order.

*Supervisor McLeran:* City Attorney Lull advises that you cannot give notice of a motion to postpone.

*Supervisor Schmitz* called for the previous question—the report of the committee.

*Mayor:* Shall the main question now be put? It will require fifteen to carry.

*Supervisor Schmitz* contended that a majority vote was all that it would require. He quoted Rules 28 and 39 in support thereof.

Whereupon, Supervisor Hynes agreed to immediate reconsideration and Supervisor Schmitz withdrew his call for the previous question.

Reconsideration on Supervisor Hynes' motion to postpone was thereupon had and the resolution, on motion of Supervisor Hayden, *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Harris, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wolfe—14.

Noes—Supervisors Deasy, Hynes, Powers, Shannon—4.

*Supervisor McSheehy* asked to be recorded as voting *no* on the appointment of Supervisor McLeran to chairmanship of the Finance Committee.

#### Explanation of Vote.

*Supervisor Shannon* explained his vote in part as follows: I have no comment to make on the ability of the members selected for the committees or the chairmanships thereof, but the Special Committee does not follow the letter of the Charter. The purpose of the law is that nine experienced men shall be on the Board at all times. In my personal case, I have been a member of the Fire Committee for two years and have gained some experience thereon. I got there a knowledge of

the building laws and some knowledge of the fire laws. I asked to be retained on the Fire Committee and also on the Supplies Committee, of which I am a member. I was taken off the Fire Committee and put on the Welfare Committee, which requires no experience, and on the Health Committee, where I have had no experience, and of which I know nothing except that there is plenty of trouble there. I did not ask for the chairmanship of the Supplies Committee, expecting that Supervisor Hilmer would be re-elected, but inasmuch as he was not, I expected advancement to the chairmanship of that Committee. I had worked out plans for handling the Supplies Committee together with Supervisor McLeran, and I believe that if the Special Committee had carried out the letter of the law the city would have benefited thereby.

#### Rules of Proceedings.

Thereupon, the following resolution was presented by Supervisor McLeran and on motion *laid over until the next meeting*:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the rules hereinafter set forth be and the same are hereby adopted as the Rules of Proceedings of this Board of Supervisors, to-wit:

Rules of Proceedings of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, 1922.

Hon. James Rolph, Jr., Mayor and Ex Officio President.

#### Members.

Edwin G. Bath, 204 Phelan Bldg.  
Cornelius J. Deasy, 702 Kohl Bldg.  
Frank H. Harris.  
J. Emmet Hayden, 34 Market street.  
John D. Hynes, 550 Page street.  
John A. McGregor.  
Ralph McLeran, 2474 Fulton street.  
James B. McSheehy, 1147 Dolores street.

Margaret Mary Morgan.  
Joseph Mulvihill, 750 Third avenue.  
Charles J. Powers, 3879 Twenty-sixth street.

Frank Robb.  
E. E. Schmitz, 1855 Sacramento street.

William S. Scott, 185 Stevenson street.

Angelo Rossi.  
Warren Shannon, 509 Sansome street.

Richard J. Welch, 978 Guerrero street.

Edward I. Wolfe, 1408 California street.

J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk.

#### Rules of Proceedings

Adopted by the Board of Supervisors January 2, 1922:

1. The following shall constitute the Standing Committees of the Board:

Auditorium.  
City Planning.  
Civil Service, Standardization of Salaries and Retirement System.  
Commercial and Industrial Development.  
Education, Parks and Playground.  
Finance.  
Fire.  
Judiciary.  
Lands and Tunnels, and Assessment Districts.  
Lighting, Water Service, Telephone Service and Electricity.  
Municipal Band Concerts and Public Celebrations.  
Police.  
Public Buildings.  
Public Health and Garbage Disposal.  
Public Utilities.  
Public Welfare and Publicity.  
State Laws and Legislation, specially appointed by Mayor when occasion requires.  
Streets and Sewers.  
Supplies.

Every Committee shall set a stated time of meeting, or the Committee may meet at a time to be set by the chairman and every member will be expected to attend every meeting of his committee, and to be present promptly on time. The clerk of each Committee shall keep a record of the attendance of the members, and he shall report such record to the Clerk of the Board, and the Clerk of the Board shall have the report of the attendance of members at committee meeting available at all times for the information of any or all members of the Board.

2. The respective duties of each of the foregoing Committees and the time of meetings are hereby defined as follows:

*Auditorium*—To have control and management of the Municipal Auditorium as provided in Ordinance No. 5320 (New Series); to lease said building and the several halls and apartments therein; to report and recommend on applications for leasing of said building for public assemblages and gatherings; to consider and report on all matters relating to the management, conduct and maintenance of said Auditorium.

*City Planning*—To consider matters relative to City Planning and all reports of the City Planning Commission shall be referred to it for investigation and report thereon.

*Civil Service, Standardization of Salaries and Retirement System*—To consider all matters relating to Civil Service in the several departments and to promote efficiency and economy in expenditures. The Civil Service Committee shall confer with the Civil Service Commission, investigate its requirements, receive a copy of its estimate of

expenditures for the following year and make its recommendations to the Finance Committee on or before May 1. To consider matters relating to the Retirement System, and all reports of the Board of Administration of the Retirement System shall be referred to it for investigation and report thereon.

*Commercial and Industrial Development*—To consider and report upon all projects tending to promote the establishment of industries in San Francisco and to co-operate with commercial and industrial organizations in all efforts to establish new industries and assist established industries, and to consider measures helpful in developing San Francisco as an industrial center; to consider the requests of any industry for privileges which the Board has power to grant and which may be properly granted for the purpose of promoting the commercial and industrial welfare of the City and assist when possible in having necessary water, electricity and gas furnished to new industries; to encourage the development of industrial districts by providing a comprehensive system of spur tracks connecting our industries with rail and water transportation, and the improvement of streets and property for commercial purposes; to consider and report upon plans for the construction of traffic terminals and generally to consider manufacturing and transportation problems as related to the industrial needs of the community; and to report on additional transportation in and out of San Francisco that is deemed necessary for the purpose of encouraging our commercial and industrial welfare; to co-operate with the United States, State officials and civic organizations in support of national and state legislation designed to promote world trade and the United States merchant marine, and to bring about the location of a foreign trade zone within the City and County of San Francisco; to inaugurate a movement to the end that the management, control and development of San Francisco's harbor be placed locally; and also to co-operate with the Federal and State authorities on all matters, especially legislation, that tend for the further development and utilization of San Francisco's harbor to meet the needs of the world's commerce; also jointly with the Streets and Sewers Committee to consider and report on applications for spur track permits.

*Education, Parks and Playgrounds*—To consider and report upon all matters relating to the Departments of Education, Parks and Playgrounds; to recommend purchase of sites for playgrounds and recreation centers, including the Aquatic Park, and to co-operate with the Board of Education, Play-

ground Commission and Park Commission regarding the development and increased usefulness of these departments. The Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee shall confer with the Board of Education, Playground and Park Commissions, investigate their requirements, receive a copy of their estimates of expenditures for the fiscal year and make its recommendations to the Finance Committee on or before May 1.

*Finance*—To perform all duties required by the Charter; to audit all bills and report on all matters that may be referred to it by the Board of Supervisors. (Meets Fridays at 2:30 p. m.)

*Fire*—To consider all matters relating to the Fire Department; to report on all applications for garage, boiler, laundry and other permits referred to it. The Fire Committee shall confer with the Fire Commission, investigate its requirements, receive a copy of its estimate of expenditures for the fiscal year and make its recommendations to the Finance Committee on or before May 1.

*Judiciary*—To consider and report upon the legality of all matters referred to it by the Board and to propose such amendments to the Charter as may be deemed advisable. To confer with the various courts, investigate their requirements for law books and make recommendations to the proper committee of the Board; to consider and report to the Board on all matters of licenses referred to it.

*Lands and Tunnels and Assessment Districts*—To investigate and report upon proposed purchases of lands except the purchase of land for public buildings; to formulate plans for leasing City lands not needed for public purposes; to consider transfer of lands from one department to another; and all other matters pertaining to the realty of the City, other than school property; and to consider all matters relating to the construction of tunnels. To consider all assessment plans except those relating to street and sewer assessments and assessments for the construction and extension of public utilities.

*Lighting, Water Service, Telephone Service and Electricity*—To attend to the proper lighting of streets, public parks and public buildings; to investigate and correct complaints of water service and extensions thereof, and telephone service. To recommend installation and removal of City telephones. To recommend from time to time extensions of underground wire system and to have general charge of all matters pertaining to electricity other than public lighting and amendments to the building laws.

*Municipal Band Concerts and Public*

*Celebrations*—To have charge of the Municipal Band and conduct all concerts that are given under the auspices of the City and County of San Francisco (except concerts under the management of the Park Commissioners and the Auditorium Committee of this Board); to assist in promotion of all semi-public celebrations, dedications, etc. The Municipal Band Concerts and Public Celebrations Committee shall prepare an estimate of its expenditures for the fiscal year and make its recommendations to the Finance Committee on or before May 1.

*Police*—To consider legislation concerning the Police Department; to investigate the management and character of penal institutions; to consider all matters affecting public morals; to report upon applications for permits referred to it by the Board, including free licenses to those deserving them. The Police Committee shall confer with the Police Commission, investigate its requirements, receive a copy of its estimate of expenditures for the fiscal year and make its recommendations to the Finance Committee on or before May 1.

*Public Buildings*—To supervise the erection of all public buildings and the purchase of sites for all public buildings upon recommendations of the respective departments; to consider proposed changes in the building laws, and make recommendations thereon; to consider, report upon and supervise the repairs to public buildings, and to recommend as to the janitorial, elevator and other service required for the proper conduct of all buildings of the City and County. Also, to assign to the various offices and departments the various rooms and places in the City Hall and Hall of Justice; also confer with the Board of Public Works and investigate and receive an estimate of the proposed expenditures for repairs upon public buildings (except the Auditorium) for the fiscal year, and report its recommendations to the Finance Committee on or before May 1.

*Public Health and Garbage Disposal*—To consider all matters relating to health and sanitation; to see that institutions under the control of the Board of Health are properly conducted; to establish and maintain a high standard of service in public hospitals and Relief Home; to consider and report upon all complaints of nuisances; to make recommendations upon applications for permits which may be referred to it by the Board; also removal and destruction of garbage. The Public Health and Garbage Disposal Committee shall confer with the Board of Health, investigate its requirements, receive a copy of its estimate of expenditures for the fiscal year

and make its recommendations to the Finance Committee on or before May 1.

*Public Utilities*—To consider and pass upon all matters relating to public utilities, their acquisition, construction, control and management, whether municipally or privately owned, including transportation, lighting, power, water and steam heating.

*Public Welfare and Publicity*—To consider matters relating to the social well-being of the community, other than those heretofore provided for, and to supervise the publication of the Municipal Record and other official documents and reports, and generally to act upon all matters of public advertising; to consider all matters relating to the Bureau of Weights and Measures.

*State Laws and Legislation*—To be appointed by the Mayor when occasion requires. To consider all matters pending before the Legislature and proposed legislation which affects the City and County of San Francisco, directly or indirectly, and to make such recommendations to the Board as may be deemed advisable, and to appear before the State Legislature in advocacy of any measures or in opposition to measures as the Board may advise.

*Streets and Sewers*—To consider all subjects relating to the construction and maintenance of streets, highways and sewers; to pass upon applications for spur track permits; to provide for the construction of a comprehensive system of good streets, spur tracks, etc., connecting our industrial district with the Bay of San Francisco, thereby bringing ship and rail together; closing and opening of streets; cleaning of streets and such other matters as under the Charter relate to the improvement and maintenance of streets. (Meets Thursday at 2:00 p. m.)

Also, jointly with the Commercial Development Committee to consider and report on applications for spur track permits.

The Streets and Sewers Committee shall confer with the Board of Public Works, investigate its requirements for repairs and maintenance of public streets; receive a copy of its estimate of expenditures for the fiscal year and make its recommendations to the Finance Committee on or before May 1.

*Supplies*—To consider and have charge of the purchase of all supplies as provided by the Charter; to prepare schedules for general supplies and to recommend award of contracts; to inspect deliveries and quality and quantity of supplies; to pass on all requisitions for non-contract supplies; to supervise the purchase and distribution of all books, stationery, etc.

#### *Rules of Order.*

3. The Mayor shall be President of the Board of Supervisors by virtue

of his office. He may call extra sessions of the Board, and shall communicate to them in writing the objects for which they have convened; and their acts at such sessions shall be confined to such objects. The President shall take the chair precisely at the hour appointed for a regular, an adjourned or a special meeting, and he shall immediately call the Board to order and proceed with the order of business. It shall be the duty of the President to recognize members entitled to the floor; to state and put to vote all questions which are regularly moved, or necessarily arise in the course of the proceedings, and to announce the result of the vote; to protect the Board from annoyance from evidently frivolous or dilatory motions by refusing to recognize them; to assist in the expediting of business in every way compatible with the rights of the members; to restrain the members when engaged in debate, within the rules of order; to enforce on all occasions the observance of order and decorum among the members. During debate the President shall be seated and pay attention to the speaker, who shall be required to address his remarks to the President. In the absence of the President the Clerk shall, precisely at the hour appointed for any regular, adjourned or special meeting, immediately call the Board to order, when a President pro tempore shall be appointed by the Board for that meeting, or until the President shall appear.

The Clerk shall, immediately after the call to order, call the roll of members of the Board and the record of those present and absent shall be entered upon the journal.

4. Whenever it shall be moved and carried that the Board go into Committee of the Whole, the President shall leave the chair and the members shall appoint a chairman of the Committee of the Whole, who shall report the proceedings of said Committee.

5. The rules of the Board shall be observed in the Committee of the Whole, except the rules regulating a call for ayes and nays and limiting the time of speaking.

6. A motion, in Committee of the Whole, to rise and report the question, shall be decided without debate.

7. The Clerk shall have clips, upon which shall be kept all Bills, Ordinances, Resolutions and Reports to be acted upon by the Board, except those not reported upon by a Committee.

8. No Bill, Ordinance or Resolution shall be considered by the Board, unless it has been introduced by a member of the Board, or by a committee of the Board and the Bill, Ordinance or Resolution must be read by the Clerk in open meeting before being referred

to committee. At the time of introduction the presiding officer shall first indicate to what committee a Bill, Ordinance or Resolution ought to be referred, and it shall be so referred, unless upon a majority vote without debate the Board shall order it referred to some other committee.

Action by the Board shall not be taken upon any Bill, Ordinance, or Resolution until it has been referred to and acted upon by a committee of the Board, unless with the consent of fifteen members.

9. The Order of Business, which shall not be departed from, except by the consent of ten members, shall be as follows:

1. Roll Call.
2. Approval of Journal.
3. Presentation of Petitions Filed with Board.
4. Communications and Reports from City and County Officers.
5. Reports of Committees.
6. Calendar Business.
7. Roll Call for the Introduction of Resolutions, Bills and Communications Not Considered or Reported on by a Committee.

10. When a Bill, Ordinance or Resolution has been reported back to the Board by a committee, all persons other than members of the Board will be presumed to have had a full hearing, but in case others desire to be heard, the Bill, Ordinance or Resolution may, upon a majority vote of the Board, be re-referred to the committee from which it was reported, where others than members of the Board may be heard further upon the subject-matter. No one other than a member of the Board or an ex-Mayor shall be permitted to address the Board except in Committee of the Whole Board, or if otherwise provided by the Charter.

11. If any question under debate contains several points, any member may have the points segregated and acted upon separately.

12. At the meeting succeeding that at which a vote on any Bill, Ordinance or Resolution has been taken, said vote may be reconsidered on motion of any Supervisor; provided, notice of intention to move such reconsideration shall have been given on the day at which such vote was taken, by a Supervisor voting with the prevailing side; and, provided further, that the vote upon such motion to reconsider shall not be taken before the next regular meeting of the Board. No question shall be reconsidered more than once. Said motion for reconsideration shall have precedence over every other motion except a motion to adjourn. It shall require a majority vote to carry any motion to reconsider the vote by which any Bill, Ordinance or Resolu-

tion has been passed or defeated. A Supervisor, after notice to reconsider is given, may, at the reconsideration thereof, present the main question in his argument thereon, and the same may be debated by the Board, provided, that the subject-matter is debatable.

13. A motion to refer or lay on the table until decided shall preclude all amendments to the main question. A motion to lay on the table or to postpone indefinitely shall require a majority vote of all members of the Board.

14. It shall be the duty of the Clerk to issue such certificates as may be required by Ordinances or Resolutions and transmit copies of said Ordinances or Resolutions to the various departments affected thereby. It shall also be the duty of the Clerk to cause the publication in the official newspaper of all Bills, Ordinances, proposals and awards as required by the Charter.

15. All accounts and bills shall be referred to the Finance Committee, provided that any committee having jurisdiction over expenditures may request that bills be first sent to that committee before being acted upon by the Finance Committee and the Board.

16. The President shall preserve order and decorum, and prevent demonstrations of approval or disapproval on the part of persons in the Chambers of the Board, and shall decide questions of order, subject to an appeal to the Board.

17. When a Supervisor desires to address the Board he shall arise in his place, address the presiding officer, and when recognized he shall proceed to speak. No Supervisor shall be recognized when seated or when away from his seat.

18. No Supervisor shall speak more than twice in any one debate on the same day, and at the same stage of the Bill, Ordinance, Resolution or Motion without the consent of a majority of the Board; and Supervisors who have once spoken, shall not again be entitled to the floor so long as any Supervisor who has not spoken desires to speak. No Supervisor shall be allowed to speak more than five minutes on any question except by leave of a majority of the Board, except that the author shall have five minutes to open and ten minutes to close.

19. No Supervisor shall be interrupted when speaking, and no question shall be asked him except through the presiding officer, and the speaker shall decide if, or when, he shall answer.

20. The author of a Bill, Ordinance, Resolution or Motion shall have the opening and closing of the debate.

21. When two or more Supervisors arise at the same time to address the Board, the presiding officer shall designate the Supervisor who is entitled to the floor.

22. No motion shall be debated until the same has been seconded and distinctly announced by the presiding officer, and it shall be reduced to writing if so desired by a majority of the Board, and read by the Clerk, before the same shall be debated.

23. A motion shall not be put or debated until seconded. When seconded it shall be stated by the chairman before debate.

24. After a motion has been acted by the President, it shall be deemed to be in the possession of the Board, but it may be withdrawn by the mover thereof, with the assent of the second, before it is acted upon.

25. Upon a call of the Board the names of the members shall be called over by the Clerk, and the absences noted. Those for whom no excuses or insufficient excuses are made may, by order of those present, be sent for and be brought to the Chambers of the Board by the Sergeant-at-Arms or by special messengers appointed for the purpose. Proceedings under the call may be dispensed with by the vote of a majority of the members present.

26. When a question is under debate, no action shall be entertained except:

To adjourn;

Call of the Board;

To lay on the table;

The previous question;

To postpone to a certain day;

To commit or amend;

To postpone indefinitely;

which several motions shall have precedence in the order in which they are arranged; provided, however, that during a call of the Board it may consider and transact any matter or business that the Supervisors there present shall unanimously decide to consider, but no call of the Board shall be had during a call of the Board, on any matter or business so taken up or considered while the Board is under call.

27. A motion to adjourn shall be decided without debate.

28. The previous question shall be put in the following form: "Shall the previous question be now put?" It shall only be admitted when demanded by three Supervisors, and its effect shall be to put an end to all debate except that the author of the Bill, Ordinance, Resolution or Motion or Amendments shall have the right to close, and the question under discussion shall thereupon be immediately put to a vote. On a motion for the previous question prior to a vote being taken by the Board a call of the Board shall be in order.

29. Every member present when a question is put shall vote for or against it, unless the Board shall excuse him from voting, or unless he is

interested in the question. But no member shall be permitted to vote upon a question unless present when his name is called or before the vote is announced.

30. If any member, in speaking, or otherwise, transgresses the rules of the Board, the presiding officer shall, or any member may, call him to order; in which case the member so called to order shall immediately sit down, and, if called to order by a member, such member shall immediately state the point of order. If the point of order be sustained by the chair the member shall not be allowed to proceed, but if it be not sustained then he shall be permitted to go on. Every such decision from the chair shall be subject to an appeal to the Board, but no discussion of a question of order shall be allowed, unless an appeal is taken from the decision of the chair. The question on appeal shall be: "Shall the decision of the chair stand as the decision of the Board?"

If any member be called to order for offensive words spoken in debate, the person calling him to order shall report the words excepted to and they shall be taken down in writing by the Clerk, and no member shall be held to answer or be subject to censure by the Board, for language used in debate, if any member has spoken or other business has intervened after the words are spoken and before exception to them shall have been taken.

31. After the Board has acted, the names of those who voted for and those who voted against the question shall be entered upon the Journal, not only in cases required by law but when any member may require it; and on all Bills, Ordinances and Resolutions on final passage the ayes and nays shall be called by the Clerk and recorded.

32. All appointments of officers and employees shall be made by a majority of the members of the Board. The Clerk shall assign the Assistant Clerks to their several duties. The service of the chauffeur shall be under the direction of the Finance Committee.

33. No member shall leave the Board during its session without permission from the President.

34. All Committees shall be appointed by the Board, unless otherwise ordered by the Board. Committees shall report on any subject referred to them by the Board a statement of facts and also their recommendations thereon, in writing; and no report shall be received unless it be signed by a majority of the Committee. Whenever a Committee recommends that a contract be awarded to anyone other than the lowest bidder thereon, said Committee shall state specifically in its report its reasons for such recommendation. Un-

less otherwise ordered, a Committee shall report upon all subjects referred to it within thirty days thereafter.

35. The Clerk shall prepare and cause to be printed and placed on the desks of the members on days of meeting, at least 30 minutes before such a meeting, a calendar of matters to be presented to the Board at said meeting. Every petition or other written instrument intended to be presented to the Board must be delivered to the Clerk not later than 12 o'clock noon on Saturday, or on the day preceding the meeting; upon the request of the President or of any member, its contents shall be read in full.

36. All requisitions for supplies and all petitions, protests and communications of a routine character shall be referred by the Clerk to the proper Committee without action of the Board.

37. Upon adjournment the members of the Board shall not leave their places until the President leaves the chair.

38. Ten members shall constitute a quorum to transact business, and no Bill, Ordinance, Resolution or Amendment thereto shall pass without the concurrence of at least that number of members; but a smaller number may adjourn from day to day.

39. Except when otherwise provided by these rules, the Charter or law, a majority vote of the members present shall be necessary for the adoption of any motion.

40. On any questions or points of order not embraced in these rules the Board shall be governed by the rules contained in Roberts' Rules of Order.

41. No standing rules or order of the Board shall be rescinded or changed without the affirmative vote of fifteen members. The Board by a majority vote shall reduce or extend the time of debate. All proposed amendments to these rules shall be, upon presentation, referred to the Judiciary Committee without debate.

42. In calling the roll the Clerk shall call only the surnames of the members, prefixing the word Supervisors to the surname of the Supervisor first called.

43. No smoking shall be permitted in the chambers of the Supervisors during the sessions of the Board.

44. The Clerk shall keep a record of all requests and instructions directed by the Board of Supervisors to any officer or board of the City and County and the action thereon of such officer or board. The record of such request and instructions, until acted upon by such officer or board, shall be read by the Clerk at each regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors.

45. No person engaged in presenting to the Board of Supervisors or any

of its committees any business shall be permitted on the floor of the Board at any time while the Board is in session, and any person transgressing this rule shall be removed from the floor. In case of any disturbance or disorderly conduct in the chambers, or whenever he shall deem it necessary, the presiding officer (or the Chairman of the Committee of the Whole Board) or the Board by a majority vote shall have the power to order the chambers cleared.

46. In debate a member must confine himself to the question before the Board, and he must avoid personalities. A member shall not reflect upon any act of the Board unless he intends to conclude his remarks with a motion to rescind such action, or else while debating such a motion. It will not be permissible to arraign the motives of a member, but the nature or consequences of a measure may be condemned in strong terms. It being the measure and not the man or his motives that is the subject of debate.

47. No member of the Board of Supervisors, Chairman of a Committee, or Committee of said Board, shall employ or engage the services of any person, or authorize or incur any charge, debt or liability against the City and County, unless authority therefor shall have been first given by the Board of Supervisors by Resolution or Ordinance, except as otherwise provided by law.

48. Before taking up any other business that has not been especially set for a time certain, all matters on the calendar shall be disposed of.

#### *Memorandum of Charter Provisions.*

Page 4, Section 3, Chapter 1, Article II—Quorum consists of 10 members.

Page 5, Section 8, Chapter 1, Article II—No bill shall become an ordinance or resolution be adopted unless it receives 10 votes.

Page 7, Section 16, Chapter 1, Article II—Fourteen votes necessary to override Mayor's veto of resolution or ordinance.

Page 13, Chapter 2, Article II—Lease of City lands requires two-thirds vote of Board (12 votes).

Page 19, Section 6, Chapter 2, Article II—Street railway franchises require three-fourths vote (14 votes) of all the members of the Board, while five-sixths vote (15 votes) of all the members of the Board is necessary to pass these ordinances *if the Mayor vetoes same*.

Page 22, Section 9, Chapter 2, Article II—Sale of City lands requires 15 votes.

Page 30, Section 3, Chapter 1, Article III—Budget ordinance requires 10 votes.

Page 30, Section 4, Chapter 1, Article

III—15 votes are necessary to override Mayor's veto of budget.

Page 31, Section 8, Chapter 1, Article III—15 votes necessary to appropriate from Urgent Necessity Fund.

Page 33, Section 13, Chapter 1, Article III—To suspend temporarily limit of taxation to meet emergency requires unanimous vote of 18 members of the Board and approval of the Mayor.

Page 76, Section 1, Chapter 1, Article VI—Contracts for street work require 14 votes.

Page 78, Section 2, Chapter 2, Article VI—When cost of sewer or drain is in excess of \$5 per lineal front foot of abutting property and work is disapproved by the Board of Works, it requires 14 votes of the Board of Supervisors to pass ordinance ordering such work done.

If application for work is made, the expense of which is to be paid by City and County, and work is not recommended by the Board of Public Works, it requires 14 votes of the Board of Supervisors to order such work done.

Page 98, Section 33, Chapter 2, Article VI—Ordinance providing for street improvements in 10-year installments requires 15 votes.

Page 100, Section 1, Chapter 3, Article VI—Opening and improvement of streets, etc., requires 12 votes.

Page 120, Section 17, Chapter 6, Article VI—15 votes are required to modify or change procedure as provided in the Charter for changing street grades and the performance of work in connection therewith.

Page 121, Section 1, Chapter 8, Article VI—Ordinance providing for tunnel, subway and viaduct construction requires 12 votes.

Page 218, Section 19, Article XVI—Suspension of an elected officer by the Mayor requires approval of 14 votes of the Board of Supervisors to cause removal.

Page 223, Section 35, Article XVI—Appointment of additional deputies, clerks or employees require 14 votes.

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

##### Final Passage.

The following bill, heretofore passed for printing, was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

##### Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 5926, Ordinance No. 5529 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 5, 1921, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The method of assessment for said work and improvement determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No. 71251 (S cond Series), is hereby confirmed:

*Santa Ynez avenue between San Jose and Cayuga avenues; San Juan avenue between San Jose and Capistrano avenues; Santa Ysabel avenue between San Jose and Capistrano avenues; Santa Rosa avenue between San Jose avenue and the southeasterly boundary line of the Mission Terrace Tract; Delano avenue between Santa Ynez and Santa Ysabel avenues; Otsego avenue between Santa Ynez and Santa Ysabel avenues; Capistrano avenue between Santa Ynez and San Jose avenues; San Gabriel avenue between Santa Rosa and Capistrano avenues, and that portion of Cayuga avenue from a line at right angles to the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue and its intersection with the northeasterly line of Santa Ynez avenue to the northerly line of Ocean avenue, including all crossings and intersections.*

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—18.

## REPORT OF FINANCE COMMITTEE.

Demands on the Treasury amounting to \$34,714.60, numbered 35478 to 35509, including the following urgent necessity, were presented and approved by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—18.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Auditorium Rental.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 19554 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Associated Charities be granted permission to occupy the main hall, Auditorium, January 14th, 1922, 8 a. m. to 12 p. m., for the purpose of holding a concert; a deposit having been paid to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to guarantee the rental fee.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—18.

### Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was passed for printing:

### Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McLeran: Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(1) Western Pipe & Steel Co., 12th payment, air pipe and bands, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 28, 1921), \$1,082.87.

(2) J. F. Mitchell, brass linings, gears, rims, etc. (claim dated Dec. 28, 1921), \$582.92.

(3) The Merit Press, printing Hetch Hetchy forms (claim dated Dec. 28, 1921), \$629.90.

(4) The Stevens-Webb Co., shovel handles (claim dated Dec. 28, 1921), \$642.45.

(5) Myers-Whaley Co. Inc., steam shovel parts (claim dated Dec. 28, 1921), \$747.90.

(6) Foppiano, Solari & Co., food-stuffs, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 28, 1921), \$836.97.

(7) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Groveland Revolving Fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Dec. 28, 1921), \$841.25.

(8) Harron, Rickard & McCone, Inc., machinery supplies (claim dated Dec. 28, 1921), \$917.

(9) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., electric supplies (claim dated Dec. 28, 1921), \$1,246.06.

(10) Sierra Railway Co. of Cal., car service furnished (claim dated Dec. 28, 1921), \$1,465.33.

(12) R. W. Kinney Co. Inc., four-inch black pipe (claim dated Dec. 28, 1921), \$2,368.84.

(13) P. H. Reardon, belt conveyors, feeders, etc. (claim dated Dec. 28, 1921), \$3,732.01.

(14) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premiums on Hetch Hetchy employees' insurance (claim dated Dec. 28, 1921), \$10,104.87.

(15) Mark-Lally Co., black pipe (claim dated Dec. 20, 1921), \$810.82.

(16) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., hardware, etc. (claim dated Dec. 20, 1921), \$1,057.90.

(17) Sherry Bros. Inc., foodstuffs (claim dated Dec. 20, 1921), \$1,134.95.

(18) Pacific Tank & Pipe Co., fir pipe (claim dated Dec. 20, 1921), \$1,405.

(19) Ingersoll-Rand Co., pistons, feed cranks, etc. (claim dated Dec. 20, 1921), \$1,742.14.

(20) Standard Oil Co. Inc., fuel oil, etc. (claim dated Dec. 20, 1921), \$1,931.76.

(21) The Worthington Co. Inc., air compressor and parts (claim dated Dec. 20, 1921), \$3,444.53.

(22) Hercules Powder Co., gelatin powder, etc. (claim dated Dec. 20, 1921), \$15,905.72.

#### *Municipal Railway Fund.*

(23) Blake, Moffitt & Towne, railway trolley cord (claim dated Dec. 27, 1921), \$560.79.

(24) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., mazda lamps (claim dated Dec. 27, 1921), \$876.30.

(25) Hancock Bros. Inc., printing transfers (claim dated Dec. 27, 1921), \$1,008.

(26) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., steel brake shoes (claim dated Dec. 27, 1921), \$1,201.75.

#### *Special School Tax, 1921-1922.*

(27) John Reid Jr., first payment, architectural services, Pacific Heights School (claim dated Dec. 29, 1921), \$3,600.

#### *General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(28) D. D. Lowney & Son., transferring patrol wagon body to new chassis, per specifications, Police Department (claim dated Dec. 27, 1921), \$659.

(29) Associated Oil Co., gasoline, Police Department (claim dated Dec. 27, 1921), \$606.90.

(30) Fay Improvement Co., improvement of Plymouth avenue, Lakeview to Farallones street (claim dated Dec. 30, 1921), \$2,000.

(31) E. J. Tracey 3rd payment, improvement of Divisadero street from

Haight to Sacramento street (claim dated Dec. 30, 1921), \$5,911.51.

(32) Spring Valley Water Co., labor furnished Fire Department (claim dated Dec. 29, 1921), \$10,900.

#### *Refund of Alien Poll Tax.*

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 19555 (New Series) as follows:

Whereas, sums of money have been collected by the Assessor from various persons liable for the alien poll tax, which law since such sums were collected has been declared unconstitutional by the Supreme Court of this State, and therefore the persons paying the same are entitled to have the same refunded to them; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Auditor is hereby authorized and directed to pay the same from the fund in which the same has been deposited, in favor of such persons as shall present a demand issued by the Assessor of San Francisco with proper vouchers, which demand the Assessor is hereby authorized to make.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—18.

*Passed for Printing.*

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

#### *Oil Storage Permit.*

On motion of Supervisor Schmitz: Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

#### *Oil Storage Tank.*

O. E. Evans, at Junction of Third and Fourth streets, 1,500 gallons capacity.

H. D. Hogrefe, on west side of Powell street, 100 feet north of Bush street, 1,500 gallons capacity.

H. Morgan, at southwest corner of First and Clementina streets, 1,500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

#### *Street Lights.*

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 19556 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas & Electric Company is hereby instructed to install street lamps as follows:

#### *Install 250 M. R.*

Mt. Vernon avenue and Louisburg street.

Farnsworth Lane and Willard street.

#### *Install 250 C. P. Units.*

Two, on standards at Ashbury and Piedmont streets.

*Install 600 M. R.*

Post in Golden Gate Park at north drive, opposite Eighth avenue.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—18.

*Intention to Change Grades.*

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 19557 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Resolution No. 19248 (New Series), adopted September 19, 1921, intention to change grades on Santiago street between Twelfth avenue and Fourteenth avenue, and on Funston avenue between the northerly line of Santiago street and a line parallel with the southerly line of Santiago street and 140 feet southerly therefrom, is hereby repealed.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—18.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

Issuance of Permits by the Board of Health.

The following bill was presented by Supervisor Welch and on motion ordered referred to the Finance and Judiciary Committees:

Bill No. 5928, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the Board of Health to issue permits for the establishment and maintenance of dog kennels, dog hospitals, hospitals, medical colleges and stables.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Health is hereby authorized to grant and issue permits heretofore authorized to be granted and issued by the Board of Supervisors, and to exercise the same discretionary powers in respect thereto heretofore vested in said Board of Supervisors to establish and maintain (1) dog kennels as provided in Ordinance No. 3277 (New Series), (2) hospitals as provided in Ordinance No. 823, (3) medical colleges as provided in Ordinance No. 824 (New Series), (4) dog hospitals as provided in Ordinance No. 958 (New Series), and (5) stables as provided in Ordinance No. 2639 (New Series) and said ordinances hereinabove enumerated in so far as they conflict herewith are hereby repealed, but otherwise shall remain in full force and effect.

Section 2. The provisions of Ordinance No. 3670 (New Series) requiring a notice to be posted on the premises which are to be used for any of the purposes for which a permit is herein required shall apply and be a part of the procedure precedent to the granting of such permit. In addition to the posting of such notice the Board of Health shall publish in the official newspaper a notice of the filing of the application for a permit and state the time and place set for the hearing of the same. Such notice shall be published one time at least five days prior to the time fixed for such hearing.

Section 3. All rights or privileges granted by any permit as herein provided shall be exercised within six months, otherwise such permit shall be null and void.

Section 4. The provisions of Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series) shall control the granting of all permits under the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 5. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Leave of Absence, City Attorney Lull.

January 3, 1922.

Honorable James Rolph, Jr., Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, City Hall.

Dear Sir:

It may be necessary for me to leave the State on official business. I therefore ask permission of you and the Board of Supervisors to leave the State for a period of forty days.

Respectfully,

GEORGE LULL,

City Attorney.

Resolution No. 19552 (New Series), as follows:

Be it resolved that the City Attorney, George Lull, is hereby granted permission to leave the State of California for a period of forty days.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—18.

Leave of Absence, Mayor Rolph.

Also, Resolution No. 19551 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor, James Rolph, Jr., Mayor of the City and County, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days commencing January 3, 1922, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—18.

City Attorney and Special Counsel for Hetch Hetchy to Advise as to Authority

to File Brief in Action by Town of Antioch Against Water Users in Sacramento Valley.

Supervisor Schmitz presented:

Resolution No. 19553 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, there is pending in the Superior Court of Alameda County a certain action by the Town of Antioch against certain users of water in the upper Sacramento valley; and

Whereas, the said Superior Court issued a preliminary injunction restraining the use of water by certain specified growers to such an extent that the Sacramento river would be reduced below 3500 cubic feet per second; and

Whereas, the said Superior Court of Alameda County held that the said injunction was necessary to protect the said Town of Antioch in its municipal supply against the encroachment of salt water; and

Whereas, the said action involves not only the municipal water supply of said Town of Antioch, but also the productivity, and indeed, the very existence of a half million acres in the delta, practically all of which is tributary to the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the City Attorney and the special counsel for the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply have filed a brief in the Supreme Court against the said Town of Antioch and the said delta, without authority or direction from this Board; and

Whereas, the said preliminary injunction does in no wise affect the said Hetch Hetchy water supply, but is only directed against certain specified owners; now therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said City Attorney and said special counsel for the said Hetch Hetchy project be and they are hereby requested and directed to furnish to this Board, forthwith, information in regard to their authority to file the said brief and their reasons therefor.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—18.

**Departments to Assist in Recount.**

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 19550 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, evidence has been adduced showing that gross irregularities have occurred in counting the votes cast at the recent municipal election and thereby the sacredness of the ballot has been violated and free government thereby menaced; that the ascertaining of the true result of said election is of great public concern and

it is the duty of all city officer and departments to assist to this end; therefore,

Resolved, That the Department of Elections and the other departments of the city government be requested to detail such of its employees as may be necessary to assist in the recounting of the ballots cast at the recent municipal election.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—16.

Excused from voting—Supervisors Bath, Harris—2.

**Fire House in Civic Center.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, municipally owned structures within the precincts of the Civic Center should not only harmonize with existing architectural effects, but also be of sturdy construction, and

Whereas, the Fire Department building situated on the west side of Polk street between McAllister street and Golden Gate avenue is not only antiquated and out of harmony as to appearance, but may be considered a menace; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Department of Public Works be and is requested to furnish the Board or Supervisors with a sketch of a building suitable for Fire Department purposes and desirable as to architecture, to be erected at said location, together with estimate of cost of such building.

*Referred to Public Buildings Committee.*

**Announcements.**

*Supervisor Wolfe* announced that the Joint Committee on Public Utilities and Commercial Development will leave the City Hall at 10 a. m., Wednesday to inspect the properties of the applicant for spur track privileges along the route of the old Ocean Shore Railroad at the foot of Twelfth street.

*Supervisor Wolfe* announced that the Public Utilities Committee would meet two weeks from Wednesday, to consider Supervisor McLeran's resolution concerning the submission of the proposition of the purchase of Spring Valley properties along with the proposed special election for the purchase of the United Railroads.

*Supervisor Mulvihill* announced a meeting of the Streets Committee for Thursday at 2 p. m.

**Night Elevator Service.**

His Honor Mayor Rolph called attention to the request of Judge Shortall for elevator service and lights for the convenience of those attending the

Law Library and having business on the fourth floor. He asked that the Building Committee give consideration to the request.

**Death of Fire Marshal Layden.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 19558 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, James F. Layden, after many years of faithful service to the City as a member of the Fire Department and as Fire Marshal, has answered the last summons;

Resolved, That in the death of James F. Layden, the people of San Fran-

cisco have suffered the loss of an efficient and fearless public servant and his family a loving and kindly husband and parent; and further

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors extends its sincere sympathy to his family and that when it adjourns it does so in respect to his memory.

*Adopted unanimously by rising vote:*

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 6:55 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors January 30, 1922.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series), of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, January 9, 1922.

Friday, January 13, 1922.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



**THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY**

77 Sutter Street, S. F.



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JANUARY 9, 1922.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, January 9, 1922, 2 p. m.  
The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—18.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor McLeran was called to the chair.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was *laid over* for approval until next meeting.

### ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

#### Rincon Hill Regrade.

*Communication*—From John S. Partidge, requesting certain information on proposed Rincon Hill regrade as to proposed slope, approximate value of land when graded, cubic yards of material to be taken from streets, etc.

Read and consideration continued until special meeting January 17, 1922.

#### Shriners' Convention Committee.

*Communication*—From San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, requesting that ten representatives of San Francisco municipal government attend a meeting of public organizations at Merchants Exchange Tuesday, January 10, 3 o'clock, for the purpose of considering the entertainment and reception of the Shrine Convention to be held in this City next June.

#### Motion.

Supervisor Mulvihill moved that the invitation be accepted and that the chair be authorized to appoint a committee of ten.

Motion carried.

#### Committee Appointed.

Whereupon, the chair appointed Supervisors McGregor, Shannon, Bath, Mulvihill, Hayden, Scott, Wolfe, Rossi, Morgan and Welch.

#### Care of Tubercular Patients at Arroyo Sanatorium.

*Communication*—From George E. Gross, County Clerk of Alameda County, requesting that San Francisco Board of Supervisors submit terms it is willing to meet in connection with the maintenance of its tubercular patients at the Arroyo Sanatorium.

Read and *laid over one week*.

#### Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy moved reference to the Joint Committee on Public Health and Special Committee on Tubercular Sanatorium.

#### Action Deferred.

Whereupon, it was unanimously agreed that the matter *lay over one week* and it was so ordered.

#### Amendment of City Planning Ordinance.

Report of City Planning Commission favoring the application of Max M. Alexander to amend Zoning Ordinance so as to place Twentieth street between Mission and San Carlos streets in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District; also recommending inclusion of both sides of Twentieth street from Mission to Valencia streets in proposed change.

*Referred to City Planning Committee.*

#### Rules of Proceedings.

The following matters were taken up:

*Communication*—From San Francisco Municipal Research Bureau, suggesting certain amendments to the proposed new "Rules of Proceedings" of the Board of Supervisors.

Resolution No. — New Series), Providing for the adoption of Rules of Proceedings for the Board of Supervisors.

January 3, 1922—Presented by Supervisor McLeran and *laid over one week*.

#### Motions.

Supervisor Mulvihill moved reference to Judiciary Committee.

Supervisor Hynes moved as an amendment reference to the Committee on Rules.

Supervisor Welch moved to lay over one week and make a Special Order of Business for 3 p. m. at next meeting.

Motion lost by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Welch—4.

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wolfe—14.

Whereupon, Supervisor Hayden moved as an amendment reference to a Joint Committee on Judiciary and Special Rules Committee.

Amendment *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Wolfe—13.

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Mulvihill, Scott, Shannon, Welch—5.

Before the foregoing result was announced Supervisor Welch changed his vote from *no* to *aye* and gave notice that he would move for reconsideration of the foregoing vote at the next meeting.

Supervisor Hynes moved the suspension of the rules and the immediate reconsideration of the foregoing vote:

Whereupon, Supervisor Welch withdrew his notice of reconsideration and expressed the hope that the Committee meet during the week and that notice be sent to members.

Meeting announced for Thursday at 10 a. m.

#### Retirement System.

Supervisor Schmitz moved that Supervisor McSheehy's notice of reconsideration on proposed Retirement Ordinance be taken up in Committee of the Whole on Friday at 10 a. m.

Motion *carried*.

#### Town of Antioch vs. Sacramento Rice Growers.

A telegram from Thomas McCormick, Supervisor of Solano County, was presented by Supervisor Mulvihill, asking the Board to nullify the action of City Attorney Lull and Special Hetch Hetchy Counsel Searles in filing a brief as *amicus curiae* in the case of the Town of Antioch vs. Certain Sacramento Rice Growers for an injunction restraining use of the water of the upper Sacramento River.

Supervisor Wolfe presented a resolution approving the action of the City Attorney and the special Hetch Hetchy counsel and moved its adoption.

#### City Attorney's Opinion.

The following were also presented and read:

San Francisco, Jan. 5, 1922.

Hon. Board of Supervisors San Francisco, Cal.

Gentlemen:

By Resolution No. 19553 I am directed to inform your honorable Board as to my reasons and authority for filing a brief in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco as *amicus curiae* in the case of Antioch v. Wilhams Irrigation District et al.

The resolution purports to recite the following facts, namely, that the said action is pending in the Superior Court of Alameda County; that said court has issued an injunction against the diversion by rice growers on the upper Sacramento River of any water from said system when the flow at Sacramento is less than 3,500 second feet. The preamble further states that the case involves the Town of Antioch and one-half million of delta lands, but it does not affect the Hetch Hetchy water supply in any respect and that my action was taken without authority from your Board.

Before answering the request contained in your resolution I think it necessary to call your attention to unintentional error recorded in the preamble.

First, the action in question is now before the Supreme Court of the State of California, which will have the final say as to the principles of law to be decided in the case. It is, therefore, more important than if it were merely pending in the Superior Court. The main issues involved are these:

Whether the Town of Antioch, diverting about two second feet of water from the Sacramento River below its confluence with the San Joaquin River, is entitled to maintain by injunction a total flow of 3,500 second feet in the river at Sacramento, in order that the influx of salt water from the ocean may be checked. The case has already been decided against Antioch by the Supreme Court, but upon a petition for rehearing filed by Mr. John S. Partridge and others as attorneys both for the Town of Antioch and various delta land owners who are not parties before the Supreme Court at all, a rehearing in the Supreme Court has been granted and the case is to be reargued and re-submitted within a short time. The City of San Francisco, as you know, purposes to divert from the Tuolumne River 630 second feet of water for municipal purposes. This amount of water is approximately equivalent to that which is being diverted from the upper Sacramento by the opponents of Antioch. If the Supreme Court on rehearing should decide that Antioch is entitled to the injunction which it seeks, the rule of law thus established might well be applicable in a future action to prevent San Francisco from similarly depleting the flow of the San Joaquin River, which joins the Sacramento a short distance above Antioch.

The recital in the preamble of your resolution, therefore, that the Hetch Hetchy project is not affected by this action seems to me clearly erroneous. Furthermore, upon examination of the briefs on file in this case, it appeared

to Mr. Searles and myself that one very important legal point, adverse to the contentions made in behalf of Antioch, had not been urged by the upper Sacramento appropriators. Inasmuch as I have just pointed out as the City of San Francisco stands with reference to its Hetch Hetchy project in a somewhat similar position to these upper Sacramento appropriators, it seems to me both advisable and necessary to file with the court as *amicus curiae* a memorandum covering this point of law and the authorities in support thereof in order that the court might be fully advised in deciding the case.

In the brief which we filed we expressly disclaimed any interest in the controversy between the parties other than to ask that what we conceived to be the correct legal principles should be established by the court in its decision, insofar as the Hetch Hetchy project might be affected thereby.

The foregoing were and are my reasons for filing this brief.

Under the provisions of Section 2, Chapter II, Article V, of the Charter, it is made mandatory upon me as City Attorney whenever any cause of action at law or in equity or by special proceeding exists in favor of the City and County to commence the same when within my knowledge. While in this case the City's interest was purely in the legal principles involved and not in the subject-matter of the case I have taken it that the language of the Charter is sufficiently broad to require me to intervene as a friend of the court in the City's behalf with a view to asking the establishment of legal principles favorable to San Francisco's interest. It was, therefore, in my opinion, wholly unnecessary as a matter of law for me to be authorized or directed by your honorable Board to file such a brief as the mandate to do so is laid upon my office directly by the Charter.

However, there was not and never has been the slightest desire or intention on my part to attempt to decide any questions of policy for the City and I have always endeavored to bear in mind that the determination of questions of policy rests with your Board. In this case it did not occur to me, and I cannot conceive that the Board of Supervisors should question the policy of intervening in a legal way to protect the City's interest and our great Hetch Hetchy project. I therefor had no hesitancy in performing what I conceived, and still conceive, to be my duty without first conferring with your Board. The custom of filing briefs as *amicus curiae* in cases pending in the Supreme Court, where the interests of this City are

directly or indirectly involved, has been in force ever since the adoption of the Charter so far as my recollection goes, and is also a common practice among city attorneys of other municipalities. It frequently aids the court in determining questions correctly and prevents the establishment of undesirable judicial precedence which would be very difficult to overrule in subsequent cases where the City might be involved as a party.

Trusting that the foregoing information meets with the requirements of your resolution, I am,

Respectfully,

GEORGE LULL,  
City Attorney.

#### Resolution.

##### Resolution No. 67a.

Whereas, heretofore the Town of Antioch commenced a proceeding in the Superior Court of Alameda County, State of California, aimed against unlawful diversions of water from the Sacramento River for the purpose of rice culture above the City of Sacramento, which said diversions were rendering salt and unfit for domestic use the water in the San Joaquin River in front of said Town of Antioch; and

Whereas, thereafter a temporary restraining order was issued by the said Superior Court of Alameda County restraining the defendants from diverting so much water from said Sacramento River as would affect the potability of water at said Town of Antioch; and

Whereas, said judgment of said Superior Court was thereafter by said defendants appealed to the Supreme Court of the State of California and the matter still remains an appeal before said Supreme Court and all briefs have already been filed therein; and

Whereas, heretofore, on the 28th day of December, 1921, George Lull, City Attorney of the City and County of San Francisco, and Robert M. Searles, special counsel for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, did file in the said proceedings pending in said Supreme Court, a brief as *amici curiae* on behalf of the said defendants and arguing against the said Town of Antioch, a municipal corporation; and

Whereas, the interests not only of the said Town of Antioch are at stake, but likewise the entire welfare and life of at least 400,000 acres of valuable agricultural lands in the delta of the Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers, which will likewise be deprived of fresh water if defendants prevail in said action, and said lands rendered non-arable; and

Whereas, the said City and County of San Francisco, as well as said Town of Antioch, derives enormous benefits

from its trade and intercourse with said delta lands, all of which will be destroyed if said defendants prevail in said litigation; and

Whereas, from the foregoing facts usual comity which exists between municipal corporations in this State, it is apparent that the interests of said City and County of San Francisco and said Town of Antioch are identical; and

Whereas, it further appears that the action of said George Lull and said Robert M. Searles in so filing said brief was without the consent first had and obtained of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore,

Resolved, by the Board of Trustees of the Town of Antioch, That this Board deplores the action of said George Lull and said Robert M. Searles in so filing said brief as aforesaid, which, in the opinion of this Board, is a stab in the back at said Town of Antioch directed by special interests and without the consent and approval of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco.

Further Resolved, That this Board of Trustees of the Town of Antioch hereby requests the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to repudiate the action of said George Lull and said Robert M. Searles in interfering in the said litigation.

Resolved Further, That a copy of this resolution be sent to the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco and to each and every member thereof, and to the governing bodies of the cities of Stockton, Sacramento, Oakland, Berkeley and Alameda.

Adopted and passed by the Board of Trustees of the Town of Antioch at a special meeting held on the 3d day of January, 1922, by the following vote:

Ayes—Trustees Azavedo, Donlon, McCloskey, Taylor and Chairman La Montagne.

Noes—None.

Absent—None.

Approved this 3d day of January, 1922.

GEO. La MONTAGNE,

President of the Board of Trustees of the Town of Antioch.

Attest: J. E. McELHENEY,

Town Clerk of the Town of Antioch.

*Clerk's Certificate.*

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was introduced and read at a special meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Town of Antioch, held on the 3d day of January, 1922, and was passed by said Board of Trustees in special meeting on said 3d day of January, 1922, by the following vote:

Ayes—Azavedo, Donlon, McCloskey, Taylor, and Chairman La Montagne.

Noes—None.

Absent—None.

And I further certify that said resolution was presented to and signed and approved by George La Montagne, the President of said Board of Trustees, on the 3d day of January, 1922.

Witness my hand and seal of said Town of Antioch this 3d day of January, 1922.

(Seal) J. E. McELHENEY,

Town Clerk of the Town of Antioch and Ex Officio Clerk of the Board of Trustees.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

D. Hadsell, attorney representing delta farming interests, was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board. He declared that all that his clients wanted was that San Francisco remain neutral in the controversy. "We do not want to make San Francisco a defendant in this case," he said, "but may have to do so." In reply to a query from Supervisor Wolfe as to why maintenance of present decision of the court is not for the best interests of San Francisco, he said San Francisco will not be affected by a decision in favor of Antioch, but it will be affected if the principles assailed by the City Attorney are upheld.

He called attention to the importance of the delta region to the future and present prosperity of San Francisco.

City Attorney Greene of Antioch complained that the City Attorney filed his brief at the last moment and did not give him time to prepare an adequate answer. With reference to the grievance of the people of Antioch, he said that the rice growers above Sacramento have installed works capable of taking two and a half times the normal summer flow of the river.

Robert Searles, Special Hetch Hetchy Counsel, defended the action of the City Attorney and himself on the ground that the Charter required the City Attorney's office to take whatever action may be necessary to protect the City's interests in such cases. Any change in the present status of the litigation, he said, might be inimical to the City's interest and action was taken to prevent such possibility.

#### City Attorney's Action Approved.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented by Supervisor Wolfe and adopted:

Resolution No. 19559 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, in response to a resolution passed at the last meeting of this Board, the City Attorney has furnished to the Board a statement in writing of his reasons for filing a brief in behalf of the City and County of San

Francisco as *amicus curiae* in the case of *Antioch vs. Williams Irrigation District et al.*; and

Whereas, from said statement it appears to the satisfaction of the Board that the interests of the City and County of San Francisco and the Hetch Hetchy project will be affected by the decision of the questions of law involved in said case; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the action of the City Attorney in filing said brief is hereby approved.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Welch Wolfe—16.

Noes — Supervisors McSheehy, Schmitz—2.

#### Explanation of Vote.

*Supervisor Schmitz* explained his vote by saying: I am voting *no* on the ground that he did not think that the City Attorney had any right to file the brief in the case without being directed so to do by the Board of Supervisors. Furthermore, it is unnecessary to pass this resolution.

#### Death of Firemen at Sutter and Divisadero Streets.

*Supervisor Schmitz* announced that he had received word that two, possibly three, firemen had been killed in a collision of their apparatus and a Sutter street car and that many had been injured.

He moved that the Board of Supervisors express its sympathy to the families and friends of the deceased and that when we adjourn today that we do so out of respect to the memory of these men who died in the discharge of their duty.

*Motion carried unanimously by rising vote.*

*Supervisor Hayden* moved that a Committee of three or four members of this Board call and convey our regrets to the families of the deceased.

*Motion carried.*

#### REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.

The following committees, by their respective chairmen, presented reports on various matters referred, which reports were read and ordered *filed*:

Supplies Committee, by Supervisors Rossi, chairman.

Fire Committee, by Supervisor Schmitz, chairman.

Public Buildings Committee, by Supervisor Scott, chairman.

Streets Committee, by Supervisors Mulvihill, chairman.

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

##### Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore

passed for printing, were taken up and finally passed by the following vote:

##### Authorizations.

Resolution No. 19560 (New Series) as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the herematter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(1) Robert Trost, first payment, general construction, North Beach (Galileo) High School (claim dated Dec. 20, 1921), \$13,696.50.

(2) George Fensky & Co., equipment, Galileo High School (claim dated Dec. 20, 1921), \$672.

(3) C. F. Weber & Co. Inc., equipment, Grant School (claim dated Dec. 20, 1921), \$1,459.60.

(4) C. F. Weber & Co., equipment, Grant School (claim dated Dec. 20, 1921), \$7,136.50.

(5) C. F. Weber & Co., equipment, Grant School (claim dated Dec. 20, 1921), \$954.

(6) C. F. Weber & Co., equipment, Grant School (claim dated Dec. 20, 1921), \$940.30.

(7) C. F. Weber & Co., equipment, Grant School (claim dated Dec. 20, 1921), \$1,750.

(8) C. F. Weber & Co., equipment, Grant School (claim dated Dec. 20, 1921), \$3,006.25.

(9) C. F. Weber & Co., equipment, Jefferson School (claim dated Dec. 20, 1921), \$1,459.60.

##### *Special School Tax, 1920-1921.*

(10) John Reid, Jr., final payment, architectural services for Grant School (claim dated Dec. 20, 1921), \$1,181.12.

(11) Friedman Bros., final payment, glass and glazing, Grant School (claim dated Dec. 20, 1921), \$1,360.

(12) A. Lettich, 4th payment, heating and ventilating, Commodore Sloat School (claim dated Dec. 21, 1921), \$1,509.16.

##### *Park Fund.*

(13) Owen McHugh, teaming for Lincoln Park (claim dated Dec. 23, 1921), \$869.50.

##### *County Road Fund.*

(14) Clarence B. Eaton, 2nd payment, improvement of Point Lobos avenue from Great Highway to Forty-eighth avenue (claim dated Dec. 23, 1921), \$11,132.85.

##### *General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(15) Shell Company of California, refund of erroneous duplicate assessment of personal property (claim dated Dec. 23, 1921), \$650.63.

(16) Clinton Construction Co., 1st payment, construction of Section "C" of Ocean Beach Esplanade (claim dated Dec. 23, 1921), \$12,450.

(17) Clarence B. Eaton, final payment, improvement of London street from Excelsior avenue northerly 300 feet (claim dated Dec. 23, 1921), \$2,341.50.

(18) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., royalties on street resurfacing (claim dated Dec. 20, 1921), \$870.90.

(19) Coast Rock & Gravel Co., sand and gravel, Dept. Public Works (claim dated Dec. 20, 1921), \$1,014.44.

(20) Western Rock Products Co., sand, Dept. Public Works (claim dated Dec. 21, 1921), \$1,799.61.

(21) San Francisco Chronicle, official advertising (claim dated Dec. 27, 1921), \$1,158.26.

(22) Standard Oil Co., fuel oil, Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 22, 1921), \$1,872.41.

(23) Haas Bros., groceries, Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 22, 1921), \$531.69.

(24) Sperry Flour Co., flour, Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 22, 1921), \$770.68.

(25) H. Moffat Co., meats, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 22, 1921), \$839.96.

(26) Pacific Body Works, repairs to Emergency Hospital ambulance (claim dated Dec. 22, 1921), \$603.50.

#### *Duplicate Tax Fund.*

(27) D. E. Mocker, refund of duplicate payment of taxes (claim dated Dec. 23, 1921), \$645.76.

(28) Byron Mauzy, refund of duplicate payment of taxes (claim dated Dec. 23, 1921), \$1,100.78.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—18.

Appropriation, \$60,054, Addition to Adams School.

Resolution No. 19561 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$60,054 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918, to defray cost of construction of addition to the Adams School, Ellis street between Polk street and Van Ness avenue, as follows:

General construction (E. E. Etherton Co.) .....	\$46,782
Plumbing work (A. Lettich)...	5,565
Heating and ventilating (Scott Co.) ..	2,520
Electrical work (Butte Electric Equipment Co.) .....	1,387
Inspection ..	1,800
Extras and incidentals.....	2,000

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—18.

#### *Permits.*

Resolution No. 19562 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

#### *Transfer Public Garage.*

To Souther & Stewart, permit granted by Resolution No. 19396 (New Series) to Gerard Investment Co. for premises situate northeast corner of Post and Hyde streets.

#### *Oil Storage Tank.*

(1,500 gallons capacity.)

Mrs. J. F. Gray, on east side of San Jose avenue 50 feet north of Twenty-fourth street.

M. J. Andrews, at northeast corner of Polk and Hemlock streets.

Sophie Meyers, on north side of Pacific avenue, 100 feet west of Van Ness avenue.

#### *Boiler.*

Standard Plating Works, Inc., at 288 First street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—18.

#### *Hospital Permit.*

Resolution No. 19563 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted Board of Trustees, Shriners' Hospital for Crippled Children, to maintain and operate a hospital for crippled children in the block bounded by Nineteenth and Twentieth avenues, Lawton and Moraga streets.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—18.

#### *Amending Use of Property Zone Map.*

Bill No. 5927, Ordinance No. 5530 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled, "Regulating and establishing the locations of trades, industries and buildings and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of the provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 9 of the use of property zone map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the block bounded by Adam street, Potrero avenue, San Bruno avenue and Eve street in the Light Indus-

trial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Section 7 of the use of property zone map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place Capp street to the depth of the rear lot line of the property fronting thereon, between Sixteenth street and Seventeenth street, in the Light Industrial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—18.

#### REPORT OF FINANCE COMMITTEE.

Demands on the Treasury amounting to \$94,038.44 and numbered from 35510 to 35548, inclusive, including the following urgent necessity, were presented and approved by the following vote:

##### *Urgent Necessity.*

Western Union Tel. Co., official telegrams, \$6.11.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—18.

#### NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were passed for printing:

##### *Authorizations.*

On motion of Supervisor McLeran: Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

##### *Park Fund.*

(1) Holt Manufacturing Co., one caterpillar traction engine (claim dated Jan. 6, 1922), \$2,232.50.

##### *Special School Tax, 1920-1921.*

(2) E. Hogberg, second payment, brick, tile and terra cotta work, Commodore Sloat School construction (claim dated Jan. 4, 1922), \$1,481.51.

(3) Anderson & Ringrose, fifth payment, construction of Commodore Sloat School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1922), \$15,667.50.

(4) Anderson & Ringrose, second payment, construction of Parkside School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1922), \$17,430.

(5) A. Lettich, third payment, plumbing work, Commodore Sloat School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1922), \$2,054.82.

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(6) Alex. Coleman, first payment, plumbing work, North Beach (Gallagher) High School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1922), \$3,907.58.

(7) O. Monson, third payment, general construction, Bernal School addition (claim dated Jan. 4, 1922), \$5,661.56.

(8) O. Monson, second payment, general construction, Spring Valley School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1922), \$6,435.

(9) J. E. O'Mara, first payment, plumbing and heating, McKinley School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1922), \$1,012.50.

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(10) Baumgarten Bros., meats furnished Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 3, 1922), \$1,447.90.

(11) John H. Knowles, one White truck, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Jan. 3, 1922), \$1,750.

(12) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Groveland Hetch Hetchy revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Jan. 3, 1922), \$1,992.61.

(13) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Groveland Hetch Hetchy revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Jan. 3, 1922), \$4,753.49.

(14) Wm. H. Moore, Jr., trustee, Hetch Hetchy machinery parts (claim dated Jan. 3, 1922), \$6,391.66.

(15) The Utah Construction Co., extra work, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 3, 1922), \$11,298.71.

(16) Radio Corporation of America, Hetch Hetchy equipment (claim dated Dec. 28, 1921), \$2,235.

##### *General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(17) Associated Oil Co., gasoline, etc., Dept. Public Works (claim dated Jan. 5, 1922), \$608.09.

(18) Thompson Bros. Inc., auto parts, Dept. Public Works (claim dated Jan. 5, 1922), \$779.10.

(19) D. D. Lowney, auto truck body, Dept. Public Works (claim dated Jan. 5, 1922), \$1,798.50.

(20) Shell Co. of California, fuel oil, Dept. Public Works (claim dated Jan. 5, 1922), \$714.

(21) Chester N. Weaver Co., one Studebaker auto, less allowance, Sealer of Weights and Measures (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$1,245.

(22) San Francisco Society for Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc. of animals (claim dated Jan. 9, 1922), \$1,000.

(23) J. B. Sloan Company, premiums on official bond of John E. McDonald, Treasurer, and Crocker National Bank, depository, \$1,000 each (claim dated Dec. 9, 1921), \$2,000.

(24) Recorder Printing & Publishing Co., printing Law and Motion-Trial

Calendar (claim dated Jan. 9, 1922), \$665.

(25) Thomas R. Murphy, expenses incurred, transportation, etc., to British Columbia and Georgia, attending Fire Chiefs Conventions (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$1,306.06.

Appropriation, Air Pipe and Cinch Bands, Hetch Hetchy.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$5,892.10 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of \$2,719,000 set aside by Resolution No. 17997 (New Series), out of Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910 (for construction of Mountain Division Aqueduct Tunnels, Hetch Hetchy) for purchase of air pipe and cinch bands from Western Pipe and Steel Company as an extra on Contract 84; required for Hetch Hetchy construction.

Appropriation, \$1,507.06, for Street Signs.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$1,507.06 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, to the credit of Budget Item No. 323 (Street Signs).

Appropriation, \$625, Payment to Hannah Wunderlich and Fritz Wunderlich, Opening Roosevelt Way.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$625 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund, and authorized in payment to Hannah Wunderlich and Fritz Wunderlich: being payment for property required for the opening and widening of Roosevelt Way, and particularly described by Resolution No. 19538 (claim dated Jan. 6, 1922).

Appropriation, \$500, Sidewalks, James Rolph, Jr., Playground.

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 19564 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Street Work in Front of City Property, etc." Budget Item No. 45, for construction of sidewalks on Army street frontage of James Rolph, Jr., Playground.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—18.

Auditor to Cancel Assessments.

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 19565 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Tax Collector and the Auditor, in a communication dated January 3, 1922, have reported that the taxes on the hereinafter described property for the year 1919 were fully paid, but through error were not marked "paid" upon the Assessment Roll, and thereafter said property was sold for delinquent taxes, and have recommended the cancellation of such sale, therefore

Resolved, That the Auditor is hereby directed to cancel sale No. 1561 of June 23, 1920, for the following property:

Lot 18, Block 6917, Vol. 38, page 135, assessed to Urban Realty Improvement Co.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—18.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Plans, Etc., Fire Department House, Portola Drive.

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Bill No. 5929, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for a Fire Department house, to be erected on the south side of Portola Drive opposite Kensington Way; ordering the construction of said Fire Department house in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor, and authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for the construction of said Fire Department house, and permitting progressive payments to be made during progress of construction.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for a Fire Department house, to be erected on the south side of Portola Drive opposite Kensington Way, and to enter into contract for the construction of said Fire Department house in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for said construction of Fire Department house, conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications and as provided by Section 21, Chapter I, Article VI of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Schmitz: Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

#### *Public Garage and Automobile Repair Shop.*

August Livernais, on north side of Pacific avenue, 127 feet west of Larkin street; also to store 1,200 gallons of gasoline.

Brodofsky Bros., on east side of Valencia street, 355 feet south of Army street; also to store 300 gallons of gasoline.

#### *Transfer Public Garage.*

To Madden & Gibbons, permit granted by Resolution No. 12964 (New Series), to Joseph Madden, for premises situate 645 Haight street.

#### *Wood Working Shop.*

California Convertible Auto Top Co., Inc., at 675 Golden Gate avenue, wherein a jointer and planer is to be operated.

#### *Oil Storage Tank.*

Gus Sucher, at 195 Seventh street, 1,500 gallons capacity.

L. B. Ham, on west side of Stockton street, 80 feet north of Bush street, 1,500 gallons capacity.

F. Musch, at 1198 Valencia street, 1,500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

#### *Denying Garage Permit.*

Supervisor Schmitz presented:

Resolution No. 19566 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied Keeney & De Mello to maintain a public garage at 1570 Waller street.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—18.

#### *Street Lights.*

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 19567 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company is hereby instructed to install, change and remove street lights as follows:

#### *Install 250 M. R.*

Seventh avenue between Geary and Anna streets.

Twenty-third avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets.

Twenty-second avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets.

Twenty-second avenue between Leocola way and Irving street.

Fourth avenue between Parnassus and Kirkham streets.

#### *Install 400 M. R.*

Corner Forty-first avenue and Cabrillo street.

Corner Twentieth avenue and Vicente street.

Filbert street between Kearny and Montgomery streets.

#### *Install 600 M. R.*

West side Larkin street, third pole from Hayes street.

#### *Remove Gas Lamp.*

East side Forty-sixth avenue between J and K streets.

Filbert street between Kearny and Montgomery streets.

West side Jones street, first north of O'Farrell street.

#### *Change Gas Lamp.*

North side of Mission street, second pole east of Eighth street, move 8 feet.

East side Masonic avenue, first north of Haight street, move about 3 feet.

#### *Install Gas Lamp.*

East side Bartlett street between Army and Twenty-sixth streets.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—18.

#### *Method of Assessment Confirmed.*

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 19568 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the method of assessment for the improvement of Key avenue between the easterly line of Jennings street and the easterly line of Railroad avenue, including the crossing of Key avenue and Jennings street, determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No. 69804 (Second Series), be and the same is hereby confirmed.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—18.

#### *Passed for Printing.*

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

#### *Ordering Street Work.*

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill: Bill No. 5930, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public

Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 6, 1921, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared herefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in fifteen installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The method of assessment for the work and improvement determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No. 69804 (Second Series), is hereby confirmed.

The improvement of *Key avenue between the easterly line of Jennings street and the easterly line of Railroad avenue, including the crossing of Key avenue and Jennings street*, by grading to official line and grade, by the construction of 3 brick catch-basins with cast iron frames, gratings and traps, and 10-inch vitrified, salt-glazed ironstone pipe culverts on the crossing of Key avenue and Jennings street; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks; by the construction of 3 reinforced concrete stairs, one at the southeast corner of Key and Railroad avenues, one 120 feet west of the westerly line of Jennings street and one on the southeast corner of Key avenue and Jennings street; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway between the easterly line of Railroad avenue and the westerly line of Jennings street, and by the construction

of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the remainder of the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### Ordering Street Works.

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill:

Bill No. 5931, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 17, 1920, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The method of assessment for said work and improvement determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No. 66, 820 (Second Series) is hereby confirmed.

The improvement of *Judah street between Forty-eighth avenue and La Playa*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width where artificial stone sidewalks at least six (6) feet in width are not already constructed, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**Method of Assessment Confirmed.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 19569 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the method of assessment for the improvement of Judah street between Forty-eighth avenue and La Playa, by the grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width, where artificial stone sidewalks at least six (6) feet in width are not already constructed, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof, determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No. 66820 (Second Series), be and the same is hereby confirmed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—18.

**Action Deferred.**

The following bill was, on motion of Supervisor Mulvihill, *laid over one week*:

Repealing Ordinance 5457 Jerrold Avenue.

Bill No. 5932, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 5457 (New Series), ordering the improvement of Jerrold avenue between San Bruno avenue and Napoleon street, etc.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5457 (New Series), ordering the improvement of Jerrold avenue between San Bruno avenue and Napoleon street, etc., is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**Passed for Printing.**

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

**Ordering Street Work.**

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill:

Bill No. 5933, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, authorizing the board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 10, 1921, having recommended the ordering of the fol-

lowing street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, and work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Augusta street between Steuben street and Silver avenue*, and the improvement of *Steuben street, Boutwell street, Charter Oak avenue, Elmira street and Waterville street northerly from Augusta street*, and the improvement of *Boutwell street southerly from Augusta street*, by the construction of the following vitrified, salt-glazed, ironstone pipe sewers and appurtenances and brick manholes with cast iron frames and covers and galvanized wrought iron steps; a 15-inch with 16 Y branches and 5 brick manholes along the center line of August street between the westerly line of Steuben street and the center line of Waterville street produced; a 15-inch with 2 Y branches from the last-described point to the existing manhole on the center line of Silver avenue opposite the center line of Scotia avenue produced; an 8-inch with 16 Y branches and 1 brick manhole along the center line of Steuben street between the center line of Augusta street and a point 285 feet northerly therefrom; an 8-inch with 49 Y branches and 2 brick manholes along the center line of Boutwell street from the existing manhole southerly from Augusta street to a point 500 feet northerly from the northerly line of Augusta street; an 8-inch with 36 Y branches and 1 brick manhole along the center line of Charter Oak avenue between the center line of Augusta street and a point 480 feet northerly therefrom; an 8-inch with 21 Y branches and 1 brick manhole along

the center line of Elmira street between the center line of Augusta street and a point 330 feet northerly therefrom; an 8-inch with 36 Y branches and 3 brick manholes along the center line of Waterville street between the center line of Augusta street and a point 480 feet northerly therefrom.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 5934, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 2, 1921, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The improvement of *Nineteenth avenue between Cabrillo and Fulton streets; Twenty-seventh avenue between Geary and Anza streets; Twenty-eighth avenue between Geary and Clement streets, and Thirty-fifth avenue between Balboa and Cabrillo streets*, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width where artificial stone sidewalks of at least six (6) feet in width are not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Appropriation, \$1,978.60. Refund of Auditorium Deposit.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$1,978.60 be and the same is set aside out of Auditorium Fund and authorized in payment to C. E. Baen, treasurer California Industries Exposition, being refund on \$2,000 deposited as bond for

occupancy of Auditorium November 3 to December 12, 1921.

*Passed for printing under suspension of the rules.*

#### Masquerade Ball Permit.

Supervisor Robb presented:

Resolution No. 19570 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted Danish-American Societies (Brotherhood No. 9 and Dania Lodges Nos. 2 and 3) to hold a masquerade ball at California Hall, Turk and Polk streets, Saturday evening, January 14, 1922, upon payment of the usual license fee.

*Adopted under suspension of the rules by the following vote:*

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—18.

#### Amending Traffic Laws, Auto Sightseeing Busses.

Supervisor Wolfe presented:

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 6 of Ordinance No. 1898 (New Series), entitled, "Regulating the use of hackney carriages, automobiles, taxicabs and other public passenger vehicles," etc.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 6 of Ordinance No. 1898 (New Series) is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Section 6. Automobile sightseeing cars shall not stand upon any public square, street or other public place except between the hours of 8:30 and 10 o'clock a. m., between 12:30 and 2 o'clock p. m. and between 7 o'clock p. m. and 7 o'clock a. m., except on Sundays and holidays.

*Referred to Public Utilities and Streets Committees.*

#### Standardization of Salaries.

Supervisor Deasy presented:

Resolution No. 19571 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, standardization of salaries on an equitable basis has been under consideration for a number of years by this Board of Supervisors, without apparent results;

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board be directed to request from the heads of offices and departments of the City government a complete list of employees on their payroll, their salaries, the duties they perform, whether civil service or not, their length of service, and such other information as they can render for the guidance of the Committee on Civil Service and Standardization of Salaries.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors, Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—18.

**San Francisco and San Mateo Development Plan Approved.**

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 19572 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the attention of this Board has been called to a plan of development for the San Francisco-San Mateo Peninsula which, if the same can be carried out, will result in the laying of the foundation for a large commercial and industrial community; therefore

Resolved, That the Committee on Commercial and Industrial Development be directed to give consideration to the proposed plan and if deemed practicable and desirable to co-operate with other agencies in securing its accomplishment, and to report its recommendations to this Board in respect thereto.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—18.

#### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at the hour of 5:40 p. m. adjourned.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk.

FRIDAY, JANUARY 13, 1922, 10:30 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, Friday, January 13, 1922, at 10:30 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors convened in Committee of the Whole pursuant to motion made by Supervisor Schmitz at meeting of January 9, 1922, for the purpose of considering the proposed

ordinance providing for the establishment of a Retirement System for Aged and Disabled City Employees.

#### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—11.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Harris, McLeran, Morgan, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott—7.

Clerk reported Supervisors Harris and Rossi ill and Supervisor McLeran out of town.

#### City Attorney's Opinion.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon the following was presented, read and ordered spread in the Journal:

San Francisco, Dec. 13, 1921.

Board of Administration, Retirement System, City Hall, San Francisco, Gentlemen:

I am in receipt of your communication enclosing a copy of the proposed ordinance creating a retirement system and requesting that I advise you whether in my judgment the proposed ordinance is in accordance with the provisions of Article XVII of the Charter.

I have carefully examined the proposed ordinance and in my opinion the ordinance, if passed by the Board of Supervisors, will be a valid ordinance.

Very truly yours,

(Signed) GEORGE LULL,  
City Attorney.

#### Motion.

Whereupon, Supervisor Hayden moved that consideration of the proposed ordinance be postponed to the next regular meeting of the Board, January 16, 1922, and that it be made a Special Order of Business for 3 p. m. on that day.

Motion carried.

#### ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 11 a. m. adjourned.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors February 6, 1922.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series), of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.



Monday, January 16, 1922.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



**THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY**

77 Sutter Street, S. F.



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JANUARY 16, 1922, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, January 16, 1922, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—15.

Absent—Supervisors McLeran, Schmitz, Scott—3.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Wolfe was called to the chair.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of November 28, December 5, 20, 23, 27 and 28, 1921, were considered read and *approved*.

### ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Hearing for San Mateo Representative.

*Communication*—From Three Cities Chamber of Commerce, requesting a hearing before the Board of Supervisors of their representative on co-operation between San Francisco and San Mateo County for the promotion of the mutual interests of both counties.

*Supervisor Welch* moved that the Clerk be directed to notify the Three Cities Chamber of Commerce that it will be a pleasure and our wish to hear their representative at any regular meeting of the Board.

*Motion carried.*

Report of City Planning Commission on Certain Amendments to Zoning Ordinance.

Report of City Planning Commission recommending amendment to Zoning Ordinance by changing Fell street, between Gough and Octavia streets, and Eighth street, between Clement and Geary streets, from Second Residential District to the Commercial District, and Twenty-seventh avenue, between Lake and West Clay streets, from First

Residential District to Second Residential District.

*Referred to City Planning Committee.*

Relative to Care of Tubercular Patients.

*Communication*—From Geo. E. Gross, County Clerk, withdrawing request that San Francisco submit rates it is willing to pay for care of its tubercular patients.

### Fixing Annual Rates of Interest on City Funds.

The following was presented, read and *ordered spread in the Journal*:

January 14, 1922.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

In compliance with Chapter III, Section 2, of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, providing for the fixing of the annual rate of interest on all bank deposits of public money.

We have this 14th day of January, 1922, established the annual rate of interest on all such deposits at 3 per cent for the year 1922.

Respectfully yours,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,

Mayor, City and County of San Francisco.

THOS. F. BOYLE,

Auditor, City and County of San Francisco.

JOHN E. McDOUGALD,

Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco.

### Cancellation of Demands.

*Communication*—From Auditor Thos. F. Boyle, recommending cancellation of certain uncalled for demands.

*Read and resolution adopted.*

Mayor Rolph in the chair.

### REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.

The following committees, by their respective chairmen, presented reports on various matters referred, which reports were presented and *ordered filed*:

Public Buildings Committee, by Supervisor Scott, chairman.

Supplies Committee, by Supervisor Rossi, chairman.

*Rear-Admiral Sir Wm. Pakenham*, commander of H. M. S. Raleigh, was presented to the Board by His Honor Mayor Rolph. He expressed his pleasure at being in San Francisco and having the opportunity to address the Board of Supervisors. He declared that he had been here on a former occasion, before the catastrophe of 1906, and expressed his surprise at the wonderful rehabilitation and the beautiful new structures that had been erected since that time. He also spoke of the cordial relations that existed between the British and American navies, and the efficiency of the American navy in the World War.

*Supervisor McGregor* was called upon by the Mayor to respond for the Board of Supervisors. He stated that it gave him great pleasure to extend, on behalf of the members of the Board of Supervisors and the citizens of San Francisco, a hearty welcome to the admiral and officers of the British cruiser Raleigh. "I am glad," he said, "to know that your cruiser is in our harbor, and 'such a cruiser.' There is nothing comparable to it in the American navy today, with its speed of 31 knots. We are intensely proud of our American navy and the part it played in the World War. To me it is a matter of great satisfaction to have heard from your own patriots in the British naval service of the way they accredited themselves in the North Sea and of the part they played in the Irish Channel, and particularly of the splendid service they rendered in overcoming the submarine menace. I feel very proud of the service rendered by our own naval officers and men on the shores of England. I hope your stay here will be exceedingly pleasant, and assure you, on behalf of our citizens, that you will always find a hearty and warm welcome in San Francisco."

#### Action Deferred.

The following matter was on motion laid over:

**SPECIAL ORDER, 2:30 P. M.**

#### Retirement Ordinance.

January 13, 1922—Supervisor McSheehy's notice of reconsideration of vote whereby "Retirement Ordinance" was ordered referred to Joint Committee on Finance and Judiciary taken up.

Reconsideration had and subject-matter made Special Order of Business for today at 2:30 p. m.

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

##### Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and finally passed by the following vote:

##### Authorizations.

Resolution No. 19573 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved. That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

#### Park Fund.

(1) Holt Manufacturing Co., one caterpillar traction engine (claim dated Jan. 6, 1922), \$2,232.50.

#### Special School Tax, 1920-1921.

(2) E. Hogberg, second payment, brick, tile and terra cotta work, Commodore Sloat School construction (claim dated Jan. 4, 1922), \$1,481.51.

(3) Anderson & Ringrose, fifth payment, construction of Commodore Sloat School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1922), \$15,667.50.

(4) Anderson & Ringrose, second payment, construction of Parkside School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1922), \$17,430.

(5) A. Lettich, third payment, plumbing work, Commodore Sloat School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1922), \$2,054.82.

#### School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(6) Alex. Coleman, first payment, plumbing work, North Beach (Galileo) High School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1922), \$3,907.58.

(7) O. Monson, third payment, general construction, Bernal School addition (claim dated Jan. 4, 1922), \$5,661.56.

(8) O. Monson, second payment, general construction, Spring Valley School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1922), \$6,435.

(9) J. E. O'Mara, first payment, plumbing and heating, McKinley School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1922), \$1,012.50.

#### Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(10) Baumgarten Bros., meats furnished Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 3, 1922), \$1,447.90.

(11) John H. Knowles, one White truck, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Jan. 3, 1922), \$1,750.

(12) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Groveland Hetch Hetchy revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Jan. 3, 1922), \$1,992.61.

(13) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Groveland Hetch Hetchy revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Jan. 3, 1922), \$4,753.49.

(14) Wm. H. Moore, Jr., trustee, Hetch Hetchy machinery parts (claim dated Jan. 3, 1922), \$6,391.66.

(15) The Utah Construction Co., extra work, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 3, 1922), \$11,298.71.

(16) Radio Corporation of America, Hetch Hetchy equipment (claim dated Dec. 28, 1921), \$2,235.

*General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(17) Associated Oil Co., gasoline, etc., Dept. Public Works (claim dated Jan. 5, 1922), \$608.09.

(18) Thompson Bros. Inc., auto parts, Dept. Public Works (claim dated Jan. 5, 1922), \$779.10.

(19) D. D. Lowney, auto truck body, Dept. Public Works (claim dated Jan. 5, 1922), \$1,798.50.

(20) Shell Co. of California, fuel oil, Dept. Public Works (claim dated Jan. 5, 1922), \$714.

(21) Chester N. Weaver Co., one Studebaker auto, less allowance, Sealer of Weights and Measures (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$1,245.

(22) San Francisco Society for Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc. of animals (claim dated Jan. 9, 1922), \$1,000.

(23) J. B. Sloan Company, premiums on official bond of John E. McDougald, Treasurer, and Crocker National Bank, depository, \$1,000 each (claim dated Dec. 9, 1921), \$2,000.

(24) Recorder Printing & Publishing Co., printing Law and Motion-Trial Calendar (claim dated Jan. 9, 1922), \$665.

(25) Thomas R. Murphy, expenses incurred, transportation, etc., to British Columbia and Georgia, attending Fire Chiefs Conventions (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$1,306.06.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—15.

Absent—Supervisors McLeran, Schmitz, Scott—3.

*Authorizations.*

Resolution No. 19574 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(1) Western Pipe & Steel Co., 12th payment, **air pipe and bands**, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 28, 1921), \$1,082.87.

(2) J. F. Mitchell, brass linings, gears, rims, etc. (claim dated Dec. 28, 1921), \$582.92.

(3) The Merit Press, printing Hetch Hetchy forms (claim dated Dec. 28, 1921), \$629.90.

(4) The Stevens-Webb Co., shovel handles (claim dated Dec. 28, 1921), \$642.45.

(5) Myers-Whaley Co. Inc., steam shovel parts (claim dated Dec. 28, 1921), \$747.90.

(6) Foppiano, Solari & Co., food-stuffs, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 28, 1921), \$836.97.

(7) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Groceries and Revolving Fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Dec. 28, 1921), \$841.25.

(8) Harron, Rickard & McCone, Inc., machinery supplies (claim dated Dec. 28, 1921), \$917.

(9) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., electric supplies (claim dated Dec. 28, 1921), \$1,246.06.

(10) Sierra Railway Co. of Cal., car service furnished (claim dated Dec. 28, 1921), \$1,465.33.

(12) R. W. Kinney Co. Inc., four-inch black pipe (claim dated Dec. 28, 1921), \$2,368.84.

(13) P. H. Reardon, belt conveyor, feeders, etc. (claim dated Dec. 28, 1921), \$3,732.01.

(14) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premiums on Hetch Hetchy employees' insurance (claim dated Dec. 28, 1921), \$10,104.87.

(15) Mark-Lally Co., black pipe (claim dated Dec. 20, 1921), \$810.82.

(16) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., hardware, etc. (claim dated Dec. 20, 1921), \$1,057.90.

(17) Sherry Bros. Inc., foodstuffs (claim dated Dec. 20, 1921), \$1,134.95.

(18) Pacific Tank & Pipe Co., fir pipe (claim dated Dec. 20, 1921), \$1,405.

(19) Ingersoll-Rand Co., pistons, feed cranks, etc. (claim dated Dec. 20, 1921), \$1,742.14.

(20) Standard Oil Co. Inc., fuel oil, etc. (claim dated Dec. 20, 1921), \$1,931.76.

(21) The Worthington Co. Inc., air compressor and parts (claim dated Dec. 20, 1921), \$3,444.53.

(22) Hercules Powder Co., gelatin powder, etc. (claim dated Dec. 20, 1921), \$15,905.72.

*Municipal Railway Fund.*

(23) Blake, Moffitt & Towne, railway trolley cord (claim dated Dec. 27, 1921), \$560.79.

(24) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., mazda lamps (claim dated Dec. 27, 1921), \$876.30.

(25) Hancock Bros. Inc., printing transfers (claim dated Dec. 27, 1921), \$1,008.

(26) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., steel brake shoes (claim dated Dec. 27, 1921), \$1,201.75.

*Special School Tax, 1921-1922.*

(27) John Reid Jr., first payment, architectural services, Pacific Heights School (claim dated Dec. 29, 1921), \$3,600.

*General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(28) D. D. Lowney & Son., transferring patrol wagon body to new chassis, per specifications, Police Department (claim dated Dec. 27, 1921), \$659.

(29) Associated Oil Co., gasoline, Police Department (claim dated Dec. 27, 1921), \$606.90.

(30) Fay Improvement Co., improvement of Plymouth avenue, Lakeview to Farallones street (claim dated Dec. 30, 1921), \$2,000.

(31) E. J. Treacy 3rd payment, improvement of Divisadero street from Haight to Sacramento streets (claim dated Dec. 30, 1921, \$5,011.51).

(32) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished Fire Department hydrants (claim dated Dec. 29, 1921), \$10,933.50.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—15.

Absent—Supervisors McLeran, Schmitz, Scott—3.

Appropriation, Air Pipe and Cinch Bands, Hetch Hetchy.

Resolution No. 19575 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$5,892.10 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of \$2,719,000 set aside by Resolution No. 17907 (New Series), out of Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910 (for construction of Mountain Division Aqueduct Tunnels, Hetch Hetchy) for purchase of air pipe and cinch bands from Western Pipe and Steel Company as an extra on Contract 84; required for Hetch Hetchy construction.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—15.

Absent—Supervisors McLeran, Schmitz, Scott—3.

Appropriation, \$625, Payment to Hannah Wunderlich and Fritz Wunderlich, Opening Roosevelt Way.

Resolution No. 19577 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$625 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund, and authorized in payment to Hannah Wunderlich and Fritz Wunderlich; being payment for property required for the opening and widening of Roosevelt Way, and particularly described by Resolution No. 19538 (claim dated Jan. 6, 1922).

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—15.

Absent—Supervisors McLeran, Schmitz, Scott—3.

Appropriation, \$1,978.60, Refund of Auditorium Deposit.

Resolution No. 19578 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$1,978.60

be and the same is set aside out of Auditorium Fund and authorized in payment to C. E. Baen, treasurer California Industries Exposition, being refund on \$2,000 deposited as bond for occupancy of Auditorium November 3 to December 12, 1921.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—15.

Absent—Supervisors McLeran, Schmitz, Scott—3.

#### Permits.

Resolution No. 19579 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

*Public Garage and Automobile Repair Shop.*

August Livenais, on north side of Pacific avenue, 127 feet west of Larkin street; also to store 1,200 gallons of gasoline.

Bredofsky Bros., on east side of Valencia street, 355 feet south of Army street; also to store 300 gallons of gasoline.

*Transfer Public Garage.*

To Madden & Gibbons, permit granted by Resolution No. 12964 (New Series), to Joseph Madden, for premises situate 645 Haight street.

*Wood Working Shop.*

California Convertible Auto Top Co., Inc., at 675 Golden Gate avenue, where in a jointer and planer is to be operated.

*Oil Storage Tank.*

Gus Sucher, at 195 Seventh street, 1,500 gallons capacity.

L. B. Ham, on west side of Stockton street, 80 feet north of Bush street, 1,500 gallons capacity.

F. Musch, at 1198 Valencia street, 1,500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—15.

Absent—Supervisors McLeran, Schmitz, Scott—3.

*Oil Storage Permit.*

Resolution No. 19580 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

*Oil Storage Tank.*

O. E. Evans, at Junction of Third and Fourth streets, 1,500 gallons capacity.

H. D. Hogrefe, on west side of Powell

street, 100 feet north of Bush street, 1,500 gallons capacity.

H. Morgan, at southwest corner of First and Clementina streets, 1,500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—15.

Absent—Supervisors McLeran, Schmitz, Scott—3.

#### Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 5931, Ordinance No. 5531 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 17, 1920, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment; and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The method of assessment for said work and improvement determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No. 66, 820 (Second Series) is hereby confirmed.

The improvement of Judah street be-

tween Forty-eighth avenue and Forty-ninth avenue, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width, where artificial stone sidewalks of at least six (6) feet in width are not already constructed, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—15.

Absent—Supervisors McLeran, Schmitz, Scott—3.

Bill No. 5933, Ordinance No. 5532 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, authorizing the board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 10, 1921, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment; and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of August street between Stephen street and Sibley avenue, and the improvement of Stephen street, Boutwell street, Channing

*avenue, Elmira street and Waterville street northerly from Augusta street, and the improvement of Boutwell street southerly from Augusta street, by the construction of the following vitrified, salt-glazed, ironstone pipe sewers and appurtenances and brick manholes with cast iron frames and covers and galvanized wrought iron steps: a 15-inch with 16 Y branches and 5 brick manholes along the center line of August street between the westerly line of Steuben street and the center line of Waterville street produced; a 15-inch with 2 Y branches from the last-described point to the existing manhole on the center line of Silver avenue opposite the center line of Scotia avenue produced; an 8-inch with 16 Y branches and 1 brick manhole along the center line of Steuben street between the center line of Augusta street and a point 285 feet northerly therefrom; an 8-inch with 49 Y branches and 2 brick manholes along the center line of Boutwell street from the existing manhole southerly from Augusta street to a point 500 feet northerly from the northerly line of Augusta street; an 8-inch with 36 Y branches and 1 brick manhole along the center line of Charter Oak avenue between the center line of Augusta street and a point 480 feet northerly therefrom; an 8-inch with 24 Y branches and 1 brick manhole along the center line of Elmira street between the center line of Augusta street and a point 330 feet northerly therefrom; an 8-inch with 36 Y branches and 3 brick manholes along the center line of Waterville street between the center line of Augusta street and a point 480 feet northerly therefrom.*

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—15.

Absent—Supervisors McLeran, Schmitz, Scott—3.

Bill No. 5934, Ordinance No. 5533 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 2, 1921, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby or-

dered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The improvement of *Nineteenth avenue between Cabrillo and Fulton streets; Twenty-seventh avenue between Geary and Anza streets; Twenty-eighth avenue between Geary and Clement streets, and Thirty-fifth avenue between Balboa and Cabrillo streets*, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width where artificial stone sidewalks of at least six (6) feet in width are not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—15.

Absent—Supervisors McLeran, Schmitz, Scott—3.

Plans, Etc., Fire Department House, Portola Drive.

Bill No. 5929, Ordinance No. 5534 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for a Fire Department house, to be erected on the south side of Portola Drive opposite Kensington Way; ordering the construction of said Fire Department house in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor, and authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for the construction of said Fire Department house, and permitting progressive payments to be made during progress of construction.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for a Fire Department house, to be erected on the south side of Portola Drive opposite Kensington Way, and to enter into contract for the construction of said Fire Department house in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for said construction of Fire Department house, conditions that progres-

sive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications and as provided by Section 21, Chapter I, Article VI of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—15.

Absent—Supervisors McLeran, Schmitz, Scott—3.

#### Recommitted.

The following resolution presented by the Finance Committee was taken up and recommitted to the *Finance Committee*:

#### Appropriation for Automobile Signs.

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$1,507.06 out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, to the credit of Budget Item No. 323 (Street Signs).

#### Action Deferred.

The following matters were taken up and on motion *laid over one week*:

#### Ordering Street Work, Key Avenue.

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 6, 1921, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in fifteen installments; that the period

of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The method of assessment for the work and improvement determined and declared by the Board of Public Works, by its Resolution No. 69801 (Second Series) is hereby confirmed.

The improvement of *Key avenue between the easterly line of Jennings street and the easterly line of Railroad avenue, including the crossing of Key avenue and Jennings street*, by grading to official line and grade, by the construction of 3 brick catchbasins with cast-iron frames, gratings and traps, and 10-inch vitrified, salt-glazed ironstone pipe culverts on the crossing of Key avenue and Jennings street; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks; by the construction of 3 reinforced concrete stairs, one at the southeast corner of Key and Railroad avenues, one 120 feet west of the westerly line of Jennings street and one on the southeast corner of Key avenue and Jennings street; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway between the easterly line of Railroad avenue and the westerly line of Jennings street, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the remainder of the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### Repealing Ordinance, Jerrold Avenue.

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. 5457 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the improvement of Jerrold avenue between San Bruno avenue and Napoleon street, etc.

This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### Ordering Street Work, Nineteenth Avenue.

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 2, 1921, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and

County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The improvement of *Nineteenth avenue, between Cabrillo and Fulton streets; Twenty-seventh avenue, between Geary and Anza streets; Twenty-eighth avenue, between Geary and Clement streets, and Thirty-fifth avenue, between Balboa and Cabrillo streets*, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width where artificial stone sidewalks of at least six (6) feet in width are not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined the demands, amounting to \$231,468.78, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

#### *Urgent Necessity.*

Spring Valley Water Co., water, public troughs, \$94.28.

Sabina M. Churchill, compensation insurance, \$92.25.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—15.

Absent—Supervisors McLeran, Schmitz, Scott—3.

#### NEW BUSINESS.

##### Auditorium Rentals.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 19581 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following persons and organizations be granted permission to occupy the halls in the Auditorium, deposits having been paid to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to guarantee the rental fees:

St. Ignatius Conservation League, use of the Main Hall, January 30, 1922, 6 p. m. to 12 p. m., for the purpose of holding a concert.

Selby Oppenheimer, use of the Main Hall, March 22 to April 9, 1922, 12 p. m., for the purpose of holding grand opera (Chicago Grand Opera Company).

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—15.

Absent—Supervisors McLeran, Schmitz, Scott—3.

Also, Resolution No. 19582 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Civil Service Commission of San Francisco be granted permission to occupy the Main Hall, Auditorium, January 17 and 31, 1922, for the purpose of holding examinations.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—15.

Absent—Supervisors McLeran, Schmitz, Scott—3.

#### Passed for Printing.

The following matters were passed for printing:

#### Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McLeran: Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(1) Utah Construction Co., 26th payment, construction of Hetch Hetchy dam and appurtenances (claim dated Jan. 11, 1922), \$109,203.80.

(2) American Wood Working Machinery Co. Inc., one band saw, complete (claim dated Jan. 11, 1922), \$595.

(3) Garfield & Co., one eveready saw rig (claim dated Jan. 4, 1922), \$598.50.

(4) J. H. Newbauer & Co., groceries, etc. (claim dated Jan. 4, 1922), \$603.50.

(5) P. H. Reardon, rock bin gates, etc. (claim dated Jan. 4, 1922), \$604.

(6) Fairbanks, Morse & Co. Inc., one 75-horsepower motor (claim dated Jan. 11, 1922), \$608.10.

(7) The Gutta Percha & Rubber Mfg. Co., air hose (claim dated Jan. 10, 1922), \$615.

(8) General Electric Co., motor repairs, etc. (claim dated Jan. 10, 1922), \$673.80.

(9) Union Machine Co., crank shafts, etc. (claim dated Jan. 10, 1922), \$689.60.

(10) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., heavy hardware (claim dated Jan. 11, 1922), \$895.32.

(11) Ingersoll-Rand Co., machinery parts (claim dated Jan. 10, 1922), \$905.68.

(12) Miller & Lux Inc., meats (claim dated Jan. 10, 1922), \$941.92.

(13) Wm. Cluff Co., groceries (claim dated Jan. 4, 1922), \$1,109.78.

(14) Hercules Powder Co., dynamite, etc. (claim dated Jan. 10, 1922), \$1,213.84.

(15) Union Petroleum Co., valve oil, etc. (claim dated Jan. 11, 1922), \$1,223.78.

(16) Goodyear Rubber Co., rubber goods (claim dated January 11, 1922), \$1,233.71.

(17) Ingersoll-Rand Co., drill steel, etc. (claim dated Jan. 11, 1922), \$1,428.94.

(18) Virden Packing Co., meats (claim dated Jan. 10, 1922), \$1,446.41.

(19) Columbian Steel Tank Co., fuel oil tanks (claim dated Jan. 10, 1922), \$1,463.

(20) Martens, Read & Co., supplies (claim dated Jan. 4, 1922), \$2,070.65.

(21) Engineering Products Co., 10 dump cars (claim dated Jan. 4, 1922), \$2,115.60.

(22) Standard Oil Co. Inc., gasoline, oil, etc. (claim dated Jan. 10, 1922), \$2,197.40.

(23) Baumgarten Bros., meats (claim dated Jan. 10, 1922), \$2,216.34.

(24) Pacific Tank & Pipe Co., fir pipe (claim dated Jan. 11, 1922), \$2,284.07.

(25) United Commercial Co., steel rails, etc. (claim dated Jan. 10, 1922), \$2,370.30.

(26) Western Pipe & Steel Co., steel pipe (claim dated Jan. 11, 1922), \$4,070.55.

(27) E. I. DuPont DeNemours & Co. Inc., gelatin-powder (claim dated Jan. 10, 1922), \$5,000.

(28) Hercules Powder Co., gelatin-powder, etc. (claim dated Jan. 11, 1922), \$5,099.45.

*Municipal Railway Fund.*

(29) Associated Oil Co., gasoline, etc. (claim dated Dec. 29, 1921), \$990.40.

(30) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., steel brake shoes (claim dated Jan. 10, 1922), \$1,185.03.

(31) Hancock Bros., printing transfers (claim dated Jan. 10, 1922), \$1,232.50.

(32) Playground Commission, for perpetual leasehold of convenience station at Potrero avenue and Army street (claim dated Dec. 13, 1921), \$1,000.

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(33) Fred W. Snook Co., first payment, plumbing, Spring Valley School (claim dated Jan. 13, 1922), \$1,790.70.

(34) E. E. Etherton Co., first payment, general construction, McKinley School (claim dated Jan. 12, 1922), \$7,931.51.

*General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(35) Majestic Press, printing Assessor's statements (claim dated Jan. 16, 1922), \$1,250.50.

(36) Enterprise Foundry Co., catch-basin frames and grates (claim dated Jan. 10, 1922), \$514.08.

(37) Goodyear Rubber Co., rubber

hose, Board of Public Work (claim dated Jan. 6, 1922), \$605.

(38) Union Oil Co. of Cal., fuel oil, Dept. Public Works (claim dated Jan. 6, 1922), \$1,451.19.

(39) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished public buildings (claim dated Jan. 12, 1922), \$1,226.85.

(40) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., heating public buildings (claim dated Jan. 22, 1922), \$3,585.33.

(41) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated Jan. 13, 1922), \$13,092.26.

(42) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated Jan. 13, 1922), \$9,386.87.

(43) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated Jan. 13, 1922), \$1,115.

(44) A. B. C. Bakery Inc., bread furnished County Jails (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$880.80.

(45) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 11, 1922), \$996.52.

(46) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 11, 1922), \$2,527.10.

(47) Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 11, 1922), \$764.58.

(48) Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 11, 1922), \$1,446.51.

(49) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 11, 1922), \$15,589.29.

(50) Little Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 11, 1922), \$8,851.24.

(51) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 11, 1922), \$3,830.76.

(52) St. Catherine's Training Home, maintenance of minors, Magdalen Asylum (claim dated Jan. 11, 1922), \$583.01.

(53) American La France Fire Engine Co., Fire Dept. apparatus parts (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$851.03.

(54) Associated Oil Co., gasoline, fuel oil, etc., Fire Dept. (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$3,194.91.

(55) H. Harms & Co., wood and coal, Fire Dept. (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$937.50.

(56) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electricity and gas, Fire Dept. (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$1,434.28.

(57) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished Fire Dept. auxiliary water system (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$1,289.62.

(58) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$509.66.

(59) Associated Charities, unemployment orders, Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$1,363.71.

(60) Baumgarten Bros., meats, Re-

Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$2,899.65.

(61) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs, Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$961.20.

(62) O'Brien, Spotorno & Mitchell, turkeys, Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$876.

(63) Producers Hay Co., alfalfa, etc., Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$1,669.14.

(64) Sherry Bros., butter and cheese, Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$1,043.91.

(65) Herbert F. Dugan, drugs, etc., San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 27, 1921), \$1,818.66.

(66) Hooper & Jennings, groceries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$795.25.

(67) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, publicity and advertising—bringing conventions to San Francisco (claim dated Jan. 12, 1922), \$1,500.

(68) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., street lighting, December (claim dated Jan. 16, 1922), \$44,937.06.

Appropriation, \$1,000, Payment to P. J. Kelly, Widening of Roosevelt Way.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$1,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund and authorized in payment to Patrick J. Kelly, being payment for property required for the opening and widening of Roosevelt way; particularly described in acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 19514 (New Series) (claim dated Jan. 12, 1922).

**Additional Positions Ordinance Amended.**

On motion of Supervisor McLeran:

Bill No. 5935, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 16 of Ordinance No. 5400 (New Series), known as the "Ordinance of Additional Positions," by adding a new subdivision to said section to be known as Subdivision (g).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That Section 16 of Ordinance No. 5400 (New Series) is hereby amended by adding a new subdivision thereto to be known as Subdivision (g), and to read as follows:

*Recorder's Office.*

(g) Five copyists, grade three, for six months from January 1, 1922, to June 30, 1922, each at a salary of \$165 per month.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect as of January 1, 1922.

**Appropriations, Steel Rails, Etc.**

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts

be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund, for Municipal Railway purposes, to-wit:

(1) For purchase of track material (including \$1,735 inspection and unloading); per award to United States Steel Products Co., Section A, Contract 127, \$60,000.

(2) For purchase of track material (including \$100 inspection and unloading); per award to United States Steel Products Co., Section D, Contract 127, \$6,500.

(3) For purchase of track material (including \$60 inspection and unloading); per award to Payne's Bolt Works, Section C, Contract 127, \$1,200.

(4) For furnishing and delivering redwood ties; per award to J. R. Hanify Co., Contract 126, \$22,000.

(5) For painting of Geary street car house; per award to J. H. Mohr, Contract 124, \$1,275.

#### Minority Report.

Supervisor McSheehy presented the following minority report:

San Francisco, Jan. 16, 1922.

To the Board of Supervisors, San Francisco:

The undersigned, member of the Public Utility Committee, respectfully submits a minority report in reference to the letting of Contract No. 127, for \$58,265 to the United States Steel Products Company, for the following reasons, to-wit:

1. There was only one bid—that of the United States Steel Products Company.

2. Article VI, Section 17, of the Charter, gives full power to the Board of Public Works to reject any and all bids, which it did not do in this case.

3. There is a standing rule with the United States Government and with the State Government that where only one bid is received that the same shall be rejected and new bids advertised for. This was not done in the present instance.

4. On December 5, 1921, an "ad" was placed in the "Official Newspaper," the San Francisco Chronicle, calling for bids for steel rails and only one bidder answered this "ad." Perhaps only one firm that is engaged in the manufacture of steel rails saw this "ad"—the City of San Francisco is not in the market every day for steel rails.

Therefore, I feel that we should not appropriate the sum of \$58,265 out of the Depreciation Fund of the Municipal Railroad for the purpose of letting a contract when only one bid has been submitted. I feel that the Board of Public Works should be requested to reject this bid and readvertise for new bids, and that copies of said advertise-

ment be sent to all firms that are engaged in the manufacturing of steel rails, and that they be requested to submit a bid.

San Francisco cannot lose by this procedure—five days' delay means nothing in the letting of this contract. Grading and other work can be carried right on and, perhaps, a great saving may be made.

The Charter never contemplated the letting of a \$58,265 contract with only one bid offered. It is bad business and should not be permitted.

For these reasons I voted *no* in committee, and I am asking the members of this Board of Supervisors to concur with me in this minority report, because if something is not done in this case we will be establishing a precedent that no firm or corporation would think of tolerating. Why cannot we act as any Board of Directors would act in conducting private business? The Charter gives us that right and charges us with that responsibility.

Respectfully yours,

JAMES McSHEEHY.

Member of the Public Utilities Committee.

Discussion: Supervisors Wolfe, McSheehy, Morgan, McGregor and Assistant City Engineer Paul Ost.

Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was *passed for printing* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—15.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, McLeran—2.

Supervisor Welch: I now move that the City Engineer be instructed to immediately communicate by telegram with the eastern firms referred to by Supervisor McGregor, and see if it is possible to get a lower and better bid on the datas furnished than that offered by the United States Steel Corporation.

*So ordered.*

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Appropriation, \$25,937, Brick Work and Hollow Tile, Galileo High School.

Supervisor McGregor presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$25,987 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918, to defray cost of brick work and hollow tile for the Galileo High School, per award of contract to M. B. McGowan.

Plans, etc., Addition to High School of Commerce.

Supervisor McGregor presented: Bill No. 5935, Ordinance No. (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for and the construction of an addition to the High School of Commerce to be erected on the north side of Fell street, between Van Ness avenue and Franklin streets, authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor, and permitting progressive payments to be made during the course of construction.

Accepting Offer to Sell Lands for Widening of Roosevelt Way.

Supervisor McGregor presented:

Resolution No. 19583 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land, sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening and widening of Roosevelt way, have offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Edmund Hogan and Kate Hogan, \$1,000.

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Lower Terrace, distant thereon 53.00 feet westerly from the westerly line of Pluto street; thence westerly along the northerly line of Lower Terrace 25.00 feet; thence at right angles northerly 100.00 feet; thence at right angles easterly 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 100 feet to the northerly line of Lower Terrace and the point of commencement. Being Lot 56, Block "P," Park Lane Tract Map No. 5.

J. Arthur Ragsdale and Catherine A. Ragsdale, \$480.

Commencing at a point on the southeasterly line of Park Hill avenue, distant thereon 230.35 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Masonic avenue; thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of Park Hill avenue 25.00 feet; thence at right angles southeasterly 10.00 feet; thence at right angles southwesterly 25.00 feet; thence at right angles northwesterly 10.00 feet to the southeasterly line of Park Hill avenue and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Lot 7, Block 9, Flint Tract Homestead Association.

The building now partially on the above parcel to remain the property of J. Arthur Ragsdale and Catherine A. Ragsdale and to be moved by them.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the prop-

erty owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the prices set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted and City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties, and if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept deeds therefor in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—15.

Absent — Supervisors McLeran, Schmitz, Scott—3.

#### Auditor to Cancel Demands.

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 19584 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Auditor of the City and County of San Francisco be and he is hereby authorized and directed to cancel the following demands on the Treasurer, to-wit:

Auditor's No.	Name.	Date.	Amount.
28949	M. G. West Co.....	May, 1918 .....	\$ 76.50
28950	W. S. Hutchinson & Son.....	May, 1918 .....	3.75
3133	Howard M. Phillips.....	July, 1919 .....	6.00
838	S. F. Con. and Tourist League.	August, 1919 .....	5,000.35
1867	Shreve & Co. ....	October, 1919 .....	150.00
1134	E. L. Lorden.....	February, 1920 .....	4.00
6005	Royal Typewriter Co.....	April, 1920 .....	20.00
7655	Remington Typewriter Co.....	June, 1920 .....	11.20
7653	Pacific Tel. & Tel. Co.....	June, 1920 .....	25.00
7390	Alden Ames .....	June, 1920 .....	25.00
27731	Fred Skaggs .....	June, 1920 .....	17.50
126	Henry S. Greene.....	July, 1920 .....	2.00
9	E. M. Mayer.....	July, 1920 .....	2.00
8	J. Marks .....	July, 1920 .....	2.00
1642	Harold L. Mack.....	October, 1920 .....	457.55
556	Charles C. Fisher.....	October, 1920 .....	2.00
700	J. C. Alexander .....	November, 1920 .....	2.00
707	E. C. Cannon.....	November, 1920 .....	2.00
733	Michael Kilday .....	November, 1920 .....	4.00
928	Samuel Gortenburt .....	December, 1920 .....	2.00
7406	James J. Maher.....	January, 1921 .....	50.00
4691	The Call .....	February, 1921 .....	1.65
14094	Mary I. Garety Conlin.....	February, 1921 .....	60.00
985	Wm. Olliver .....	February, 1921 .....	20.00
1545	Henry S. Greene.....	February, 1921 .....	2.00
1537	Wm. F. Heffernan.....	February, 1921 .....	4.00
887	A. G. Lorrain.....	February, 1921 .....	5.00
2550	Welsbach Co. ....	March, 1921 .....	30.00
960	Jules Bonahon .....	March, 1921 .....	3.50
1914	I. H. Lyons.....	March, 1921 .....	2.00
14452	Mary I. Garety Conlin.....	March, 1921 .....	60.00
6531	Dr. O. A. Sharpe.....	April, 1921 .....	.30
5958	Federal Telegraph Co.....	April, 1921 .....	.53
2146	Charles C. McCleverty.....	April, 1921 .....	2.00
14954	Mary I. Garety Conlin.....	April, 1921 .....	60.00
2157	Lew H. Newcomb.....	April, 1921 .....	2.00
2127	James B. Fitzgerald.....	April, 1921 .....	2.00
7219	Federal Telegraph Co.....	May, 1921 .....	.53
15163	Mary I. Garety Conlin.....	May, 1921 .....	60.00
6892	R. H. Cowles .....	May, 1921 .....	3.00
7929	Harold Schroyer .....	June, 1921 .....	10.00
15663	Mary I. Garety Conlin.....	June, 1921 .....	60.00
69	Mary I. Garety Conlin.....	July, 1921 .....	85.00
98	B. L. McCoy .....	July, 1921 .....	6.00
205	Ralph A. Sterner.....	August, 1921 .....	2.00
436	Mary I. Garety Conlin.....	August, 1921 .....	85.00
798	Mary I. Garety Conlin.....	September, 1921 .....	85.00
1929	John S. Dunnigan.....	October, 1921 .....	75.00
1900	James E. Power.....	October, 1921 .....	275.00
1669	J. Emmet Hayden.....	October, 1921 .....	50.00
1681	H. A. Mason.....	October, 1921 .....	498.50
2934	La Voce del Popolo.....	November, 1921 .....	10.00
3216	A. J. Cloud.....	December, 1921 .....	50.68

1167	Edward Sweeney .....	April, 1921 .....	2 50
905	Annie E. Jacobson .....	March, 1919 .....	5 00
1069	Harry J. Aceves .....	August, 1921 .....	60
1092	Ali Mohamed Kahn .....	August, 1921 .....	60
1110	C. Schilling .....	August, 1921 .....	20
1111	E. A. Sharp .....	August, 1921 .....	50
1667	John E. Perry .....	October, 1921 .....	5 00
330	Edward J. Driscoll .....	July, 1920 .....	1 00
903	H. J. Felker .....	March, 1921 .....	5 00

\$7,493.04

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—15.

Absent — Supervisors McLeran, Schmitz, Scott—3.

*Passed for Printing.*

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

**Permits.**

On motion of Supervisor Schmitz:  
Resolution No. — (New Series),  
as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

*Laundry and Boiler.*

To Etienne Layous, permits granted by Resolution No. 17765 (New Series) to L. Lafon, for premises situate 4110-4112 Geary street.

*Furnace.*

William Davis, at 159 Fourteenth street, to be used for melting brass.

M. Levin & Son, at 2201-2227 Third street, to be used for melting metals.

*Oil Storage Tank.*

M. Levin & Son, at 2201-2227 Third street; 1,500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

**Masquerade Ball Permit.**

Supervisor Robb presented:  
Resolution No. 19585 (New Series),  
as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted the following organizations to hold masquerade balls on the dates and at the locations herewith given, upon payment of the usual license fee:

Burnaby Lodge, Sons of St. George, at Eagles' Hall, 273 Golden Gate avenue, Saturday evening, January 28, 1922.

Order of Hermann's Sons, at Civic Auditorium, Saturday evening, February 4, 1922.

Finnish Workers' Association, at Finnish Workers' Association Hall, No. 20 Flint street, Saturday evening, February 4, 1922.

Bayern Bund (Bavarian Society), at Mission Turn Hall, 3541 Eighteenth street, Saturday evening, February 11, 1922.

Overseas Club, at Native Sons Hall, 414 Mason street, Saturday evening, February 25, 1922.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe, —15.

Absent—Supervisors McLeran, Schmitz, Scott—3.

*Passed for Printing.*

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Board of Public Works to Contract for Center Entrance Municipal Railway Cars.

Bill No. 5937, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the Board of Public Works to advertise for bids and award and enter into a contract for furnishing twenty (more or less) center-entrance street railway cars for the Union street line, Municipal Railway, and providing for progressive payments therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized to advertise for bids, award and enter into a contract for furnishing twenty (more or less) center-entrance street railway cars for the Union street line, Municipal Railway, according to plans and specifications prepared therefor and on file in the office of the Board of Public Works, which plans and specifications are hereby approved. Said Board of Public Works may make provision in said specifications and contract for progressive payments to be made during the period of construction as provided in Section 21, Chapter 1, Article VI of the Charter.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**Fixing Sidewalk Widths, Andover Street.**

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill:  
Bill No. 5938, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered 784.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office December 22, 1921, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered 784, to read as follows:

Section 784. The width of sidewalks on Andover street between Crescent avenue and its southerly termination shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 5939, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered 785 and 786.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, is hereby amended in accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office December 30, 1921, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered 785 and 786, to read as follows:

Section 785. The width of sidewalks on Napoleon street between Jerrold avenue and Evans avenue shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 786. The width of sidewalks on Barneveld avenue between Jerrold avenue and Oakdale avenue shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 5940, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered 787.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office January 10, 1922, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered 787, to read as follows:

Section 787. The width of sidewalks on Stoneman street, the northerly side of, between Folsom and Shotwell streets, shall be six (6) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Stoneman street, the southerly side of, between Folsom and Shotwell streets, shall be nineteen (19) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### Ordering Street Work.

Also, Bill No. 5941, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 6, 1921, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The improvement of *Andover street between Ogden avenue and Tompkins avenue*, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width where not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 5942, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 6, 1921, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said

City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Andover street*, between *Eugenia* and *Powhattan avenues*, by the construction of concrete curbs and concrete pavement on the roadway, where not already constructed, and the improvement of the crossing of *Andover street* and *Eugenia avenue*; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width where not already constructed; by the construction of one brick catchbasin with cast iron frame, grating and trap and 10-inch vitrified, salt-glazed, ironstone pipe culvert on the northwest corner, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 5943, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 5, 1921, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and

to be done in accordance with specifications prepared therefor by the Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Cabrillo street* from the easterly line of *Thirty-third avenue* to *Thirty-fourth avenue*, and including the crossing of *Thirty-third avenue* and *Cabrillo street*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of three brick catchbasins with cast iron frames, gratings and traps and 10-inch vitrified, salt-glazed, ironstone pipe culverts, one each on the northeasterly, northwesterly and southeasterly angular corners of *Cabrillo street* and *Thirty-third avenue*; by the construction of an 8-inch vitrified, salt-glazed, ironstone pipe sewer, one brick manhole with cast iron frame and cover and galvanized wrought iron steps and 15 Y branches along the center line of *Cabrillo street* from a point 20 feet westerly from the westerly line of *Thirty-third avenue* to *Thirty-fourth avenue*; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners of *Thirty-third avenue* and *Cabrillo street*, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 5944, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 10, 1921, having recommended the ordering of the following

lowing street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The improvement of *Winfield avenue between Virginia and Esmeralda avenues*, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width where artificial stone sidewalks at least six feet in width are not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### Conditional Acceptance, Certain Streets.

Also, Bill No. 5545, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled, "Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Burrows street between Goettingen street and Brussels street, Charles street between Mission street and the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's right of way, Fairfax avenue between the southeasterly line of Keith street and the northwesterly line of Lane street, including the crossings of Fairfax avenue and Keith street and Fairfax avenue and Lane street; Foerster street between Flood avenue and Staples avenue, London street between Excelsior avenue and its northerly termination, Murray street between Crescent avenue and Richland avenue, Roscoe street between Crescent avenue and Benton street, Shafter avenue between Ingalls street and Jennings street and crossing of Shafter avenue and Ingalls street, Thirty-ninth avenue between Fulton street and Cabrillo street, Thirty-third avenue between Fulton and Cabrillo streets."

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### Change of Grade.

Also Bill No. 5946, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled, "Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Collingwood street between Twenty-second street and a line parallel with and 25 feet northerly therefrom, and on Twenty-second street between Diamond street and a line parallel with the easterly line of Collingwood street, produced, and 55 feet easterly therefrom."

Also, Bill No. 5947, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled, "Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Judah street between Thirty-third and Forty-first avenues, on Thirty-fourth, Thirty-sixth, Thirty-ninth and Fortieth avenues between Irving and Kirkham streets, and on Thirty-fifth avenue be-

tween lines parallel with and respectively 300 feet northerly and 300 feet southerly from Judah street."

Also, Bill No. 5948, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled "changing and re-establishing the official grades on Rutledge street between Peralta avenue and Alabama street."

Also, Bill No. 5949, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled "Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Yukon street between a line at right angles to the westerly line of, at Eagle street, northerly line, and Caselli avenue; on Eagle street between Yukon street and the first angle westerly therefrom, and on Nineteenth street between the westerly line of Clover Heights Tract and Yukon street."

Also, Bill No. 5950, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled, "Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Hodges alley between Vallejo street and a line parallel with and 137.50 feet northerly therefrom."

#### Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 19586 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points herein-after specified and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 71636 (New Series) of the Board of Public Works adopted January 6, 1922, and written recommendation of said Board, held January 11, 1922, to-wit:

#### *Vicente Street*

Northwesterly curb line of, at easterly return from Forest Side avenue, 303.50 feet.

Southeasterly curb line of, on a radial line passing through the northerly line of, at the easterly return from Forest Side avenue, 302.80 feet.

Northwesterly curb line of, at westerly return from Forest Side avenue, 299.60 feet.

Southeasterly curb line of, on a radial line passing through the northerly line of, at the westerly return from Forest Side avenue, 299.20 feet.

On Vicente street between a radial line passing through the northerly line of, at the easterly return from Forest Side avenue and a radial line passing through the northerly line of, 10.11 feet northeasterly from the first angle northeasterly from Fourteenth avenue, be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor and the present official grade of Vicente street at a radial line passing through the northerly line of, 10.11 feet northeasterly from the first angle northwesterly from Fourteenth avenue.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this Resolution of Intention.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—15.

Absent — Supervisors McLeran, Schmitz, Scott—3.

Also, Resolution No. 19587 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 71637 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works adopted January 6, 1922, and written recommendation of said Board, filed January 11, 1922, to-wit:

*Santiago Street.*

Northerly line of, at Twelfth avenue, 495.80 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southerly line of, at Twelfth avenue, 493.80 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Northerly line of, at Funston avenue, easterly line, 494 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Thirty-three feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Funston avenue, easterly line, 494 feet.

Thirty-three feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Funston avenue, easterly line, 478 feet.

Eight feet northerly from the southerly line, at Funston avenue, easterly line, 478 feet.

Northerly line of, at Funston avenue, westerly line, 492 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Thirty-three feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Funston avenue, westerly line, 492 feet.

Thirty-three feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Funston avenue, westerly line, 473.90 feet.

Eight feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Funston avenue, westerly line, 473.75 feet.

Northerly line of, at Fourteenth avenue, 461 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southerly line of, at Fourteenth avenue, 459 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Santiago street between Twelfth

and Fourteenth avenue, and on Funston avenue between the north line of Santiago street and a line 110 feet with the southerly line of Santiago street and 110 feet southerly therefrom be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor and the present official grade of Funston avenue 110 feet southerly from Santiago street.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this Resolution of Intention.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—15.

Absent — Supervisors McLeran, Schmitz, Scott—3.

*Opening and Widening Streets.*

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 19588 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 71594 (Second Series), adopted January 4, 1922, approve a map showing the widening of Morse street and Concord street; the widening and extension of Florentine avenue; the opening of a 20-foot alley, and the closing of Mackey street and Watt avenue, as per resolutions of the Board of Supervisors numbers 16805 (New Series) and 16806 (New Series), May 21, 1919; 16941 (New Series) and 16942 (New Series), July 10, 1919; 15250 (New Series), January 18, 1918, and 17067 (New Series), August 25, 1919; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map showing the widening of Morse street and Concord street; the widening and extension of Florentine avenue; the opening of a 20-foot alley and the closing of Mackey street and Watt avenue, as per resolutions of the Board of Supervisors numbers 16805 (New Series) and 16806 (New Series), May 21, 1919; 16941 (New Series) and 16942 (New Series), July 10, 1919; 15250 (New Series), January 18, 1918, and 17067 (New Series), August 25, 1919, is hereby approved; and the 20-foot alley and portions of Morse street, Concord street and Florentine avenue, as shown on said map, are hereby declared to be open public streets.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—15.

Absent — Supervisors McLeran, Schmitz, Scott—3.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Correction of Election Counting System.**  
Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the pending election contest in the local Superior Court has demonstrated that the existing procedure for the counting of ballots in municipal elections is most inaccurate and that the grossest kind of errors have been made in the counting and tabulating of the ballots at the last municipal election;

Whereas, the pending election contest not only demonstrates that gross errors have been committed in taking the count but that the errors committed in the recent count are far greater than the errors that have been committed under the old system of counting the ballots as demonstrated by the various election contests under the old law and system;

Whereas, the Municipal Government of this City has no greater obligation than to insure to the people properly conducted elections and an accurate count of the votes thereof; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the proper action be taken by this Board to insure the people of this City correct and accurate counting of ballots cast at our municipal elections.

Be it further resolved, that this Board cause the votes cast in future municipal elections to be counted and tabulated in the same manner in which votes are counted and tabulated in state and national elections, or that the votes be counted and tabulated in some other proper manner so as to prevent the repetition of the inaccurate counting of votes that prevailed during the last municipal election.

Be it further resolved, that the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized, empowered and directed to appoint three members of this Board with full power to make a full investigation and to confer with the Board of Election Commissioners, Registrar of Voters and the City Attorney for the purpose of causing to be carried out a system of counting votes which will prevent a repetition of the many inaccuracies shown by the election contest now in progress.

**Motion to Suspend Rules Lost.**

Supervisor Shannon moved the suspension of the rules for the immediate consideration of the foregoing resolution.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Hynes, Mulvihill, Powers, Scott, Shannon, Wolfe—7.

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Harris, Hayden, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Welch—10.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

**Referred to the Judiciary Committee.**

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was, on motion of Supervisor Hayden, referred to the Judiciary Committee.

**Transfer of Jurisdiction, Aquatic Park.**

Supervisor Schmitz presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Park Commission has requested that the jurisdiction over the Aquatic Park be transferred to them; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors believes it would be in the interest of an early completion of the Aquatic Park to have the control and operation of the Aquatic Park under the jurisdiction of the Park Commissioners; therefore, be it

Resolved, That jurisdiction of the Aquatic Park be and it is hereby transferred from the Citizens' Committee now having jurisdiction and control of the Aquatic Park to the Park Commission; and be it further

Resolved, That the Park Commission be requested to complete the Aquatic Park as soon as possible to the end that our citizens may avail themselves of the wonderful opportunities for recreation which would thereby be given them.

*Referred to Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee.*

**Resolution of Condolence.**

Supervisor Schmitz presented:

Resolution No. 19576 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, in the collision on the 9th instant when the Sutter street car collided with Fire Truck No. 5 at Sutter and Broderick streets, three faithful and devoted public servants, to-wit: Lieutenant Wm. Kirkpatrick, Antone Logar and William Cooper, firemen attached to Truck No. 5, sacrificed their lives in the performance of their duty.

Resolved, That the untimely taking off of these men is a deplorable loss to San Francisco; and

Resolved further, That this Board of Supervisors, officially and personally, extends to the families of Lieutenant Wm. Fitzpatrick, Antone Logar and William Cooper our deepest sympathy in this dark hour of their sad bereave-

ment and prays that the Almighty Father may assuage their grief for the inconsolable loss of their beloved ones.

*Adopted unanimously by rising vote.*

#### Install Street Lights.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 19589 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company is hereby instructed to install and remove street lights as follows:

#### *Install 600 M. R. Lamps.*

Southeast corner Divisadero and Sacramento streets.

West side Divisadero, between Sacramento and California streets.

Southwest corner Divisadero and California streets.

West side Divisadero, between California and Pine streets.

Northwest corner Divisadero and Pine streets.

West side Divisadero, between Pine and Bush streets.

Southeast corner Divisadero and Bush streets.

West side Divisadero, between Pine and Sutter streets.

Southeast corner Divisadero and Sutter streets.

West side Divisadero, between Sutter and Post streets.

Northeast corner Divisadero and Post streets.

West side Divisadero, between Post and Geary streets.

Southeast corner Divisadero and Geary streets.

West side Divisadero, between Geary and O'Farrell streets.

Northeast corner Divisadero and O'Farrell streets.

West side Divisadero, between O'Farrell and Ellis streets.

Northeast corner Divisadero and Ellis streets.

West side Divisadero, between Ellis and Eddy streets.

Southeast corner Divisadero and Eddy streets.

West side Divisadero, between Eddy and Turk streets.

Southeast corner Divisadero and Turk streets.

West side Divisadero, between Turk street and Golden Gate avenue.

Northeast corner Divisadero street and Golden Gate avenue.

West side Divisadero street, between Golden Gate avenue and McAllister street.

Southeast corner Divisadero and McAllister streets.

West side Divisadero, between McAllister and Fulton streets.

Southeast corner Divisadero and Fulton streets.

West side Divisadero, between Fulton and Grove streets.

Northeast corner Divisadero and Grove streets.

West side Divisadero, between Grove and Hayes streets.

Southeast corner Divisadero and Hayes street.

West side Divisadero, between Hayes and Fell streets.

Northeast corner Divisadero and Fell streets.

West side Divisadero, between Fell and Oak streets.

Southeast corner Divisadero and Oak streets.

West side Divisadero, between Oak and Page streets.

Southeast corner Divisadero and Page streets.

West side Divisadero, between Page and Haight streets.

Northeast corner Divisadero and Haight streets.

#### *Remove Double Inverted Single-Glaze Gas Lamps.*

West side Divisadero street, 136 feet south of California street.

East side Divisadero street, 136 feet south of California street.

West side Divisadero street, 136 feet south of Pine street.

East side Divisadero street, 136 feet south of Pine street.

West side Divisadero street, 136 feet south of Bush street.

East side Divisadero street, 136 feet south of Bush street.

West side Divisadero street, 136 feet south of Sutter street.

East side Divisadero street, 136 feet south of Sutter street.

West side Divisadero street, 156 feet south of Post street.

East side Divisadero street, 134 feet south of Post street.

Northwest corner Divisadero and Geary streets.

Northeast corner Divisadero and Geary streets.

Southwest corner Divisadero and Geary streets.

Southeast corner Divisadero and Geary streets.

West side Divisadero street, 130 feet south of Geary street.

East side Divisadero street, 135 feet south of Geary street.

West side Divisadero street, 140 feet south of O'Farrell street.

East side Divisadero street, 140 feet south of O'Farrell street.

West side Divisadero street, 140 feet south of Ellis street.

East side Divisadero street, 140 feet south of Ellis street.

West side Divisadero street, 152 feet south of Eddy street.

East side Divisadero street, 150 feet south of Eddy street.

West side Divisadero street, 135 feet south of Turk street.

East side Divisadero street, 135 feet south of Turk street.

West side Divisadero street, 139 feet south of Golden Gate avenue.

East side Divisadero street, 139 feet south of Golden Gate avenue.

West side Divisadero street, 125 feet south of McAllister street.

East side Divisadero street, 128 feet south of McAllister street.

West side Divisadero street, 139 feet south of Fulton street.

East side Divisadero street, 139 feet south of Fulton street.

West side Divisadero street, 133 feet south of Grove street.

East side Divisadero street, 133 feet south of Grove street.

West side Divisadero street, 133 feet south of Hayes street.

East side Divisadero street, 133 feet south of Hayes street.

West side Divisadero street, 135 feet south of Fell street.

East side Divisadero street, 135 feet south of Fell street.

West side Divisadero street, 136 feet south of Oak street.

East side Divisadero street, 136 feet south of Oak street.

West side Divisadero street, 132 feet south of Page street.

East side Divisadero street, 132 feet south of Page street.

*Remove Single Top Upright Gas Lamps.*

Northwest corner Divisadero and Sacramento streets.

Southeast corner Divisadero and Sacramento streets.

East side Divisadero street, 121 feet south of Sacramento.

Northwest corner Divisadero and California streets.

Northeast corner Divisadero and California streets.

Southwest corner Divisadero and California streets.

Southeast corner Divisadero and California streets.

Northwest corner Divisadero and Pine streets.

Northeast corner Divisadero and Pine streets.

Southwest corner Divisadero and Pine streets.

Southeast corner Divisadero and Pine streets.

Northwest corner Divisadero and Bush streets.

Northeast corner Divisadero and Bush streets.

Southwest corner Divisadero and Bush streets.

Southeast corner Divisadero and Bush streets.

Northwest corner Divisadero and Sutter street.

Northeast corner Divisadero and Sutter street.

Southwest corner Divisadero and Sutter street.

Southeast corner Divisadero and Sutter street.

Northwest corner Divisadero and Post street.

Northeast corner Divisadero and Post street.

Southwest corner Divisadero and Post street.

Southeast corner Divisadero and Post street.

Northwest corner Divisadero and O'Farrell street.

Northeast corner Divisadero and O'Farrell street.

Southwest corner Divisadero and O'Farrell street.

Southeast corner Divisadero and O'Farrell street.

Northwest corner Divisadero and Ellis streets.

Northeast corner Divisadero and Ellis streets.

Southwest corner Divisadero and Ellis streets.

Southeast corner Divisadero and Ellis streets.

Northwest corner Divisadero and Eddy streets.

Northeast corner Divisadero and Eddy streets.

Southwest corner Divisadero and Eddy streets.

Southeast corner Divisadero and Eddy streets.

Northwest corner Divisadero and Turk streets.

Northeast corner Divisadero and Turk streets.

Southwest corner Divisadero and Turk streets.

Southeast corner Divisadero and Turk streets.

Northwest corner Divisadero street and Golden Gate avenue.

Northeast corner Divisadero street and Golden Gate avenue.

Southwest corner Divisadero street and Golden Gate avenue.

Southeast corner Divisadero street and Golden Gate avenue.

Northwest corner Divisadero and McAllister streets.

Northeast corner Divisadero and McAllister streets.

Southwest corner Divisadero and McAllister streets.

Southeast corner Divisadero and McAllister streets.

Northwest corner Divisadero and Fulton streets.

Northeast corner Divisadero and Fulton streets.

Southwest corner Divisadero and Fulton streets.

Southeast corner Divisadero and Fulton streets.

Northwest corner Divisadero and Grove streets.

Northeast corner Divisadero and Grove streets.  
 Southwest corner Divisadero and Grove streets.  
 "Southeast corner Divisadero and Grove streets.  
 Northwest corner Divisadero and Hayes streets.  
 Northeast corner Divisadero and Hayes streets.  
 Southwest corner Divisadero and Hayes streets.  
 Southeast corner Divisadero and Hayes streets.  
 Northwest corner Divisadero and Fell streets.  
 Northeast corner Divisadero and Fell streets.  
 Southwest corner Divisadero and Fell streets.  
 Southeast corner Divisadero and Fell streets.  
 Northwest corner Divisadero and Oak streets.  
 Northeast corner Divisadero and Oak streets.  
 Southwest corner Divisadero and Oak streets.  
 Southeast corner Divisadero and Oak streets.  
 Northwest corner Divisadero and Page streets.  
 Northeast corner Divisadero and Page streets.  
 Southwest corner Divisadero and Page streets.  
 Southeast corner Divisadero and Page streets.  
 Northwest corner Divisadero and Haight streets.  
 Northeast corner Divisadero and Haight streets.  
 Southwest corner Divisadero and Haight streets.  
 Southeast corner Divisadero and Haight streets.

*Remove 400 M. R.*

From in front of Clubhouse, Precita avenue and Harrison street about fifteen feet to the corner.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—17.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

Approval of Park Commission's Plans for 1922.

Supervisor Schmitz presented:

Resolution No. 19590 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Park Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco has submitted a comprehensive program of activities for the coming year 1922 beyond the ordinary program of maintenance, extensions and improvements of the parks now under

its jurisdiction and control; and the fulfillment of this program will require special appropriation;

Whereas, this program has received the approval and endorsement of the majority of the citizens of the City and County of San Francisco and of the members of this committee; and its completion will add to the efficiency of the municipality and to the interests of its citizens; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby approve the same and recommend that the program be carried out speedily and to the end and purpose; suggest that the Board of Park Commissioners submit all details of the aforesaid program, together with an estimate of the cost of its fulfillment and of a plan for financing the same in order that this body may *if the proposed plan is satisfactory*, take all necessary action to speed its completion; and

Be it further resolved that this Board of Supervisors hereby assures the Board of Park Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco of its hearty approval of the aforesaid general program.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—17.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

Assignment of Room to U. S. Employment Bureau.

Supervisor Scott presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, application in writing has been filed by the Pacific Division, Department of Labor, United States Employment Service, for office accommodation in the City Hall; therefore, be it

Resolved, That Room 214, second floor, in the City Hall, be and is hereby assigned to said department of the United States Government, subject to the pleasure of the Board of Supervisors, for the transaction of its business.

*Referred to the Public Buildings Committee.*

Civic League Recount Activities Approved.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 19591 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Civic League of Improvement Clubs and Associations has recognized the wisdom of conducting a recount of the votes of the municipal election to the end that the will of the people as expressed in the

polls shall be correctly tabulated and recorded; therefore be it

Resolved, That we, the Board of Supervisors, congratulate the League for its splendid civic pride in providing the necessary funds and affording relief to the public mind, and therefore we further commend this action.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—17.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

**Relative to Shortage of Water and Means to Increase Supply.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

January 12, 1922.

Subject: Bay Division, Hetch Hetchy project.

Honorable Mayor and Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, City Hall.

Gentlemen: In many previous statements, made orally and in writing to your Honorable Board, I have called your attention to the dangerous condition of the water supply of this City.

On December 31, 1921, the reserve storage of the Spring Valley Water Company in its peninsular reservoirs was 11,497,156,000 gallons. This, with 21,000,000 gallons daily flow from Alameda pipe line assumed, is a sufficient supply for the City for only 400 days. It has always been considered prudent to safeguard against dry years by having 1,000 days' storage in reserve. The winter is now half gone and this reserve storage has only been increased by 400,000,000 gallons, or about 10 days' supply for the City. The charts which I am enclosing with this letter will graphically emphasize the statements I am making herein. This situation is due largely to the fact that for lack of storage and conduit facilities the greater part of the storm flow of Calaveras and Alameda creeks wastes into the bay and is lost. It is my opinion that the City cannot longer suffer this situation to continue, in permitting our water supply to come so close to the danger point we are imperiling the health of our people, our residential growth and our industrial expansion.

Following the rejection by the electorate of the last purchase proposition and the subsequent application of the Spring Valley Water Company for the increase in rates which it deemed necessary to enable the refinancing of its bonded indebtedness, the Railroad Commission sought to solve the problem for San Francisco by granting the increase only upon the condition that the water company would co-operate

with the City in bringing in more water. The Commission made it a condition of its order of August 12, 1921 (to which the Company had to accede before it should become effective), that it would enter into an agreement with the City for the raising of the Calaveras dam, enlarging its Niles Canyon flume capacity, and paying to the City a fixed compensation for the temporary use of the Bay Division of the Hetch Hetchy conduit to carry the additional water which can thus be stored into Crystal Springs reservoir.

The company has for the past four months been collecting the increased rates granted by the Commission. In my opinion it should now be called upon to carry out the conditions imposed by that order.

Furthermore, in accordance with authority heretofore granted the Board of Public Works by your Honorable Board to construct the Hetch Hetchy project, I have with their approval completed a large part of the engineering work preliminary to building the Bay Division of the conduit between Niles Canyon and Crystal Springs reservoir. At my request, your Board has appropriated \$50,000 toward the acquisition of rights of way for this section of the conduit and the purchase of these rights will at once be undertaken.

It is proposed to construct the conduit of such size that it will be available for the first delivery of Hetch Hetchy water, and will, pending the completion of the works necessary to deliver this amount, be able to carry the water from the Calaveras sources of the Spring Valley Water Company. Bonds in an amount which will cover the cost of the construction have already been sold by your Board. The sum which the Spring Valley Water Company is required by the Railroad Commission's order to pay for the use of this conduit is \$250,000 a year, which, I believe, will take care of the greater part of the interest on the bonds covering the construction cost.

All of the above considerations lead me to the conclusion that there should be no further delay in entering into negotiations with the Spring Valley Water Company relative to the making of a contract which will cover the terms under which this conduit may be temporarily used by the company and which will assure an increase in our municipal water supply as soon as it can be completed. There is nothing in that course of procedure which would prevent the City from acquiring the properties of the company during the term of the contract if it should be thought best to again submit the purchase proposition. The Commis-

sion's decision imposed upon the Spring Valley Company the obligation of giving the City a ten-year option to buy their properties at the \$38,000,000 purchase price, plus any unamortized capital expenditures to be made by the company subsequent to the Commission's order.

I therefore strongly recommend that your Honorable Board arrange a conference with the Spring Valley officials with a view to entering into an agreement at an early date which will define the rights and responsibilities of the parties in carrying out the Railroad Commission's order and recommendations. I believe that this should be done before we actually undertake construction work on the Bay Division of the Hetch Hetchy conduit, in order that we not only safeguard the water supply of our City, but that we may be assured of compensation for use of our aqueduct pending the final completion of the Hetch Hetchy project.

While the Spring Valley Water Company has accepted the terms of the Railroad Commission's order and indicated its willingness to make such an agreement, no steps have been taken as yet to arrange the formal execution of the same. If this recommendation meets with your approval, I shall be very glad to confer with your Board or any committee you may designate relative to the details which, I believe, should be embodied in any such agreement.

Very respectfully,

M. M. O'SHAUGHNESSY,  
City Engineer.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Resolution No. 19592 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer, the Public Utilities Committee of the Board of Supervisors be and it is hereby authorized and directed, in conjunction with the Mayor, the City Engineer, the City Attorney and special counsel for the Hetch Hetchy water supply, to enter into negotiations with the Spring Valley Water Company for an agreement covering the construction of the Bay Division of the Hetch Hetchy conduit by the City and County of San Francisco, and temporary use of the same for the conveyance of water from the Alameda sources of the Spring Valley Water Company into the Crystal Springs reservoir, in order that the water supply of this City will be increased with the least possible de-

lay and at the least possible cost it further

Resolved, That, upon completion of said negotiations, said committee report to this Board for its consideration and action the results of their negotiations, and any recommendation that they may have to make with reference to the form such a contract should take.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—17.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

#### Masquerade Ball Permit.

Supervisor Robb presented:

Resolution No. 19593 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted National Dancing Academy to hold a masquerade ball at National Hall, 1975 Mission street, Thursday evening, January 19, 1922, upon payment of the usual license fee.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—17.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

#### Street Railway Cars to Make Check Stop on Approaching Fire Houses.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, motormen and operators of street railway cars, prior to the late world war, were required by the rules and regulations of street railway companies to stop or check stop their cars within a certain distance or radius of any building wherein fire apparatus was housed before passing said fire houses; and

Whereas, all street cars and vehicles, upon the approach or signal of any fire apparatus in answer to a fire alarm, likewise were obliged to stop to safeguard the public; therefore, be it

Resolved, That all street cars before passing any fire house, shall be required to make a check stop within a radius of 100 feet of said fire house, and all street cars and vehicles upon the signal or approach of any fire apparatus in responding to fire alarm shall stop until said fire apparatus shall pass.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

#### Spur Track Application.

Supervisor Wolfe announced a special meeting of the Joint Committees on Public Utilities, Commercial Development at 4 p. m., Thursday, January

19, 1922, for the consideration of applications for spur track privileges on portions of the abandoned tracks of the Ocean Shore Railroad, involving use of cars on Twelfth street.

*Supervisor Welch* referred to two other applications for spur tracks, he asked that Joint Committee arrange

to visit location before Thursday's meeting.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business the Board at the hour of 6:15 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors February 13, 1922.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series), of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, January 23, 1922.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



**THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY**

77 Sutter Street, S. F.



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JANUARY 23, 1922, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, January 23, 1922, 2 p. m.  
The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Wolfe—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was *laid over for approval until next meeting.*

### ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

#### Steel Rail Bids.

*Communication*—From Bethlehem Steel Company, in explanation of its not bidding on contract for steel rails for Taraval street extension of the Municipal Railway.

Read and *filed*.

Leave of Absence, Andrew F. Mahony.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., Jan. 18, 1922.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, Cal.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Hon. Andrew F. Mahony, Police Commissioner, for leave of absence with permission to leave the State of California for a period of sixty days, commencing January 18, 1922.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Very respectfully yours,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,  
Mayor.

January 16, 1922.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Cal.

Gentlemen:

Under the provisions of Section 3,

Article XVI, of the Charter, I respectfully request permission to leave the State of California for a period not exceeding sixty days, commencing January 18, 1922.

Hoping that the same will meet with your approval, I remain

Yours respectfully,

ANDREW F. MAHONY,  
Police Commissioner.

Whereupon the following was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 19595 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Andrew F. Mahony, member of the Police Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing January 18, 1922, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Wolfe—2.

Leave of Absence, H. B. Mills, Playground Commissioner.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., Jan. 18, 1922.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Hon. Henry B. Mills, member of the Playground Commission, for leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California, for a period of sixty days, commencing January 27.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,  
Mayor.

Whereupon the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 19596 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Henry B. Mills, member

of the Playground Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing January 27, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Harris, Hayden, Hyns, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Wolfe—2.

#### Modification of Prohibition Law.

*Communication*—From Order of Camels, transmitting resolutions asking that Congress be petitioned in re modification of the prohibition law.

*Supervisor Shannon* moved reference to the Police Committee.

*Supervisor Bath* moved as an amendment reference to the Police and Welfare Committees.

*Supervisor Shannon* moved as an amendment to the amendment reference to the Police, Judiciary and Welfare Committees.

Amendment to the amendment carried.

#### Protest, Market Street Railway Purchase Election.

*Communication*—From the Public Ownership Association, protesting the calling of a special election at this time for the purchase of the properties of the Market Street Railway system, was presented and referred to the Public Utilities Committee.

#### Street Work, Lisbon Street.

*Supervisor Mulvihill*, on behalf of one M. Montgomery, brought up the question of the incomplete condition of the street work on Lisbon street between Russia and France avenues and asked that the matter be referred to the Streets Committee.

So ordered.

#### Street Carnival Opposed.

*Communication*—From the North Beach Promotion Association, opposing the granting of street carnival permits in said district.

Referred to Police Committee.

#### District Playgrounds.

*Communication*—From Playground Commission, calling attention to district playground extensions pending before Playground Commission in which much interest and concern is manifested by districts affected.

Referred to Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee.

#### Blind Beggars.

*Communication*—From California Federation of Women's Clubs, requesting the removal of blind beggars from the streets.

Referred to Streets Committee.

#### Freight and Auto Permits in Competition With Railroads Opposed.

*Communication*—From San Joaquin Valley Supervisors Association, transmitting resolution asking co-operation in opposing the granting of any more freight and passenger auto permits in competition with railroads.

Referred to Streets Committee.

#### Music Teachers' License.

*Supervisor Hayden* presented:

*Communication*—From San Francisco Music Teachers' Association, protesting against license tax on music teachers.

Referred to Judiciary Committee.

#### Bernal Cut Plans.

*Supervisor Powers* asked City Engineer O'Shaughnessy "if anything was doing" on the plans for the proposed Bernal cut and was informed that he was working on plans but that there would be nothing definite for a few months.

#### Congressman Morin of Pennsylvania.

*His Honor Mayor Rolph* presented Congressman John Morin of the State of Pennsylvania, who, he said, is the father of ten children and is serving his fifth term in the Congress of the United States and was San Francisco's friend in the great exposition fight when New Orleans and San Francisco were looking for congressional favor for the Panama-Pacific International Exposition. He is here in San Francisco as the National President of the Fraternal Order of Eagles and I am sure you will be very glad to meet him.

*Congressman Morin* expressed his pleasure in being privileged to sit in at the meeting of the Board. He congratulated the people of San Francisco on their "magnificent municipal building." "It is not only the most costly and most beautiful I have seen in my travels throughout the country, but it seems to be the most practical in its adaptation to the administration of municipal affairs. It is evidence," he said, "that this city has been in good hands." He spoke of the re-election of Mayor McGee of Pittsburg as a great victory for the people of that city and for good progressive government. He paid a high tribute to San Francisco's representatives in Congress, Congressmen Kahn and Nolan, and declared it would be a great loss to San Francisco if this city should ever lose either of them. "With respect to national affairs as affecting San Francisco," he said, "the army and navy on the Pacific Coast should be strengthened and brought to the highest state of efficiency, for you never know when you will need them. The best way to have peace is to prepare for war."

*Supervisor Shannon*, being called upon to respond, declared that in wel-

coming the distinguished visitor in his dual capacity of Congressman and president of the Fraternal Order of Eagles, that he was proud to recall the splendid fraternal work of that order during the great war when, by an assessment of 10 cents on its members, it was able to pay \$1,000 to the families of those who were called to the colors and were left in straightened circumstances. He referred to the pension plan for the care of San Francisco's aged and disabled employees and recalled that a prominent officer of the local Aerie of the Fraternal Order of Eagles, Thos. Riley, who is a member of the Board of Administration of San Francisco's Pension Plan, which will be in effect shortly.

#### REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.

The following committees, by their respective chairmen, presented reports on various matters referred, which reports were read and ordered *filed*:

Fire Committee, by Supervisor Deasy, chairman.

Public Utilities Committee, by Supervisors Wolfe, chairman.

Supplies Committee, by Supervisor Rossi, chairman.

Public Buildings Committee, by Supervisor Scott, chairman.

Commercial Development and Streets Committee, by Supervisor Welch, chairman.

City Planning Committee, by Supervisor McGregor, chairman.

#### Amendments to City Planning Ordinance.

Whereupon, the following bill was presented by Supervisor McGregor and passed for printing:

Bill No. 5951, Ordinance No. — (New Series) as follows:

Amending ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings and the location of buildings designed for special uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 6 of the use of property zone map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place that piece of land fronting on the southerly line of Portola drive for a distance of one hundred feet, eighteen feet (more or less) of which is opposite the termination of Kensington way, and eighty-two feet immediately easterly therefrom, and to the depth of the rear lot line, being the lot conveyed to the City for the

purpose of constructing a public government building thereon, in the second residential district instead of in the first residential district.

Bill No. 5952, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings and the location of buildings designed for special uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 3 of the use of property zone map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place both sides of Eighth avenue, between Geary street and Clement street, to the depth of the rear lot lines, in the commercial district instead of in the second residential district.

Bill No. 5957, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings and the location of buildings designed for special uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

That Section 7 of the use of property zone map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place both sides of Twentieth street to the depth of the rear lot lines from Mission street to Valencia street, in the commercial district instead of the second residential district.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Minority Report on Purchase of Steel Rails.

San Francisco, Jan. 16, 1922.  
To the Board of Supervisors,  
San Francisco:

The undersigned member of the Public Utilities Committee respectfully submits a supplementary minority report in reference to the letting of Contract No. 127, for \$58,265, to the United States Steel Products Co., for the following reasons, to-wit:

1. There was only one bid—that of

the United States Steel Products Company.

2. Art. VI., Section 17, of the Charter gives full power to the Board of Public Works to reject any and all bids, which it did not do in this case.

3. There is a standing rule with the United States Government and with the State Government that where only one bid is received, that the same shall be rejected and new bids advertised for. This was not done in the present instance.

4. On Dec. 5, 1921, an "ad" was placed in the "Official Newspaper," the S. F. Chronicle, calling for bids for steel rails and only one bidder answered this "ad." Perhaps only one firm that is engaged in the manufacturing of steel rails saw this "ad"—the City of San Francisco is not in the market every day for steel rails.

5. On January 11, 1921, the Board of Public Works awarded Contract No. 127, for \$58,265, to the United States Steel Products Co. They had no right to make this award, for Article 11, Section 13 of the Charter clearly states how public money must be spent in the letting of a contract over \$250.00.

6. The plans and specifications called for Lorain Section 106-422 and 129-461 which but one mill—the United States Steel Products—have the rolls to manufacture, which makes it almost a patented rail.

7. The San Francisco Products Co. sent the plans and specifications to these mills in Belgium and they received a cable on the 5th day of January to bid so much per unit price as called for in the specifications which would be \$55,000—\$3,265 less than the United States Steel Products Co., and this necessitated the installation of new rolls which they allowed for in this bid, and if they could use a standardized rail of the same weight and same material they would cut this bid \$10,000—which would make a saving to the City of \$13,265.

8. I have a letter from the Bethlehem Steel Co., which states that the Lorain Sections 106-422 and 129-461 are obsolete and are not used in any other city. They also state that at one time they used to roll these rails, but as we were the only city that used them they were forced to scrap them. They further state that they have a standard rail that is being used by every street railroad in the United States, except ours, and that they carry them in stock and would be only too pleased to bid on our order, and this standard rail would allow open competitive bidding.

Therefore, I feel that we should not appropriate the sum of \$58,265 out of the Depreciation Fund of the Municipal Railroad for the purpose of letting

a contract when only one bid has been submitted. I feel that the Board of Public Works should be requested to reject this bid and re-advertise for new bids, and that copies of said advertisement be sent to all firms that are engaged in the manufacturing of steel rails, and that they be requested to submit a bid, and that the plans and specifications should be revised so that real open competitive bidding would be allowed.

San Francisco cannot lose by this procedure—five days delay means nothing in the letting of this contract. Grading and other work can be carried right on, and perhaps a great saving may be made.

The Charter never contemplated the letting of a \$58,265 contract with only one bid offered. It is bad business and should not be permitted.

For these reasons I voted *no* in Committee, and I am asking the members of this Board of Supervisors to concur with me in this Minority Report; because if something is not done in this case, we will be establishing a precedent that no firm or corporation would think of tolerating. Why cannot we act as any board of directors would act in conducting private business? The Charter gives us that right and charges us with that responsibility.

Respectfully yours,

JAS. B. MCSHEEHY,

Member of the Public Utilities Committee.

Special Order, 2:30 p. m.

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Establishing a retirement system for employees of the City and County of San Francisco, providing for the payment of retirement allowances to aged and disabled employees, and for the payment of death benefits; prescribing the conditions under which said allowances and benefits shall be paid; fixing rates for contribution and the amounts of retirement allowances and death benefits; and providing for the administration of said retirement system in accordance with Article XVII of the Charter.

Communications and Telegrams.

The following matters were presented, read and placed on file:

From S. F. Savings and Loan Society, declaring that its present system established ten years ago is a great benefit, as it is an incentive to better work, as well as furthering the interests of the corporation, and is greatly appreciated by the entire force.

From President A. P. Giannini of the Bank of Italy, declaring that he believes in proposed plan for retirement of city employees, as it places a de-

served premium on long and faithful service.

From Municipal Employees' Joint Committee, transmitting resolutions urging favorable action without unnecessary delay on proposed retirement legislation.

From Municipal Clerks' Protective Association, indorsing proposed retirement system as voted by the people at recent charter election.

From Street and Electric Railway Employees, Trackmen's Union, Division No. 687, endorsing proposed retirement system ordinance as submitted by the board of administration.

From Amalgamated Association of Street and Electric Railway Employees of America, Municipal Carmen's Union, Division 518, indorsing the retirement ordinance as prepared by the board of administration.

From Watchmen's Union, Local No. 15589, favoring pension system of aged and disabled employees, as prepared by the board of administration.

Telegrams, N. Y. Retirement System.

San Francisco, January 17, 1922.

Mr. Joseph Haag, Secretary, New York City Employees' Retirement System, Municipal Building, New York City:

The proposed ordinance establishing the San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, as recommended by the board of administration, is under consideration by Board of Supervisors. A statement as to the functioning of your system, which has been operating since October, 1920, and is similar to that proposed for San Francisco, would assist the Supervisors in arriving at a correct decision. Can you send a statement as to whether it is satisfactory to both the city and employees and whether an improvement over old systems in your city? Kindly send statement immediately, if possible.

JOHN W. ROGERS,

President Board of Administration.

1922 Jan 21 PM 9 39

John W. Rogers, President Board of Administration Retirement System for City Employees, San Francisco, Calif.:

Answering yours. Best evidence of popularity of New York City Employees' Retirement System is the fact that all but five thousand of over thirty thousand New York City employees voluntarily elected to enter. The system is functioning smoothly and has retired over four hundred old men in the fifteen months of its operation. If your proposed benefits are like ours they are flexible and can be fixed by the parties to the contract according to funds and yearly contributions available. Administration approved of this system upon its enactment as a substitute for incomplete, inconsistent and unsatisfactory system, and is defend-

ing it against abuse or abridgement. It does not interfere with the operation of the Teachers' Retirement System operated on similar actuarial principles and covering about twenty-three thousand teachers. Reply to correspondence. Best wishes for adoption and successful operation.

JOSEPH HAAG,

From Jas. L. Drum, employee of Engineer's office, protesting against enactment of paragraph 6, Section 11, of the proposed retirement ordinance, on the ground that the treatment thereunder accorded the individual beneficiaries will be grossly unequal.

From Board of Administration of Retirement System for City Employees, by Ralph R. Nelson, secretary, presenting statement for the purpose of clearing up misapprehension as to origin of Article XVII of Charter, circumstances under which retirement ordinance was prepared and circumstances under which actuary was employed.

Ordinance read and considered from Section 4, Paragraph C, to and including Section 12.

Discussion by Supervisors Mulvihill, Hynes, Hayden, McSheehy, Scott, McLeran, McGregor, Clerk Dunnigan and President Rogers of the Bureau of Administration of the Retirement System.

Referred.

Whereupon, the foregoing ordinance was ordered referred to Joint Committee on Finance, Judiciary and Civil Service by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Harris, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wolfe—14.

No—Supervisors Hynes—1.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Schmitz—3.

Supervisor McLeran declared that he would endeavor to have a progress report by next meeting of the Board.

Action Deferred.

The following was on motion laid over one week:

Presented by Supervisor McLeran:

Resolution No. —, Providing for the adoption of Rules of Proceedings for the Board of Supervisors.

January 3, 1922—Presented by Supervisor McLeran and laid over one week.

January 16, 1922—Referred to the Judiciary and Special Rules Committee.

January 23, 1922—Joint Committee recommends adoption as amended.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and finally passed by the following vote:

### Authorizations.

Resolution No. 19597 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

#### *Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(1) Utah Construction Co., 26th payment, construction of Hetch Hetchy dam and appurtenances (claim dated Jan. 11, 1922), \$109,203.80.

(2) American Wood Working Machinery Co. Inc., one band saw, complete (claim dated Jan. 11, 1922), \$595.

(3) Garfield & Co., one eveready saw rig (claim dated Jan. 4, 1922), \$598.50.

(4) J. H. Newbauer & Co., groceries, etc. (claim dated Jan. 4, 1922), \$603.50.

(5) P. H. Reardon, rock bin gates, etc. (claim dated Jan. 4, 1922), \$604.

(6) Fairbanks, Morse & Co. Inc., one 75-horsepower motor (claim dated Jan. 11, 1922), \$608.10.

(7) The Gutta Percha & Rubber Mfg. Co., air hose (claim dated Jan. 10, 1922), \$615.

(8) General Electric Co., motor repairs, etc. (claim dated Jan. 10, 1922), \$673.80.

(9) Union Machine Co., crank shafts, etc. (claim dated Jan. 10, 1922), \$689.60.

(10) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., heavy hardware (claim dated Jan. 11, 1922), \$895.32.

(11) Ingersoll-Rand Co., machinery parts (claim dated Jan. 10, 1922), \$905.68.

(12) Miller & Lux Inc., meats (claim dated Jan. 10, 1922), \$941.92.

(13) Wm. Cluff Co., groceries (claim dated Jan. 4, 1922), \$1,109.78.

(14) Hercules Powder Co., dynamite, etc. (claim dated Jan. 10, 1922), \$1,213.81.

(15) Union Petroleum Co., valve oil, etc. (claim dated Jan. 11, 1922), \$1,223.78.

(16) Goodyear Rubber Co., rubber goods (claim dated January 11, 1922), \$1,233.71.

(17) Ingersoll-Rand Co., drill steel, etc. (claim dated Jan. 11, 1922), \$1,428.94.

(18) Virden Packing Co., meats (claim dated Jan. 10, 1922), \$1,446.41.

(19) Columbian Steel Tank Co., fuel oil tanks (claim dated Jan. 10, 1922), \$1,463.

(20) Martens, Read & Co., supplies (claim dated Jan. 4, 1922), \$2,070.65.

(21) Engineering Products Co., 10 dump cars (claim dated Jan. 4, 1922), \$2,115.60.

(22) Standard Oil Co. Inc., gasoline, oil, etc. (claim dated Jan. 10, 1922), \$2,197.40.

(23) Baumgarten Bros., meats (claim dated Jan. 10, 1922), \$2,216.34.

(24) Pacific Tank & Pipe Co., fire pipe (claim dated Jan. 11, 1922), \$2,284.07.

(25) United Commercial Co., steel rails, etc. (claim dated Jan. 10, 1922), \$2,376.30.

(26) Western Pipe & Steel Co., steel pipe (claim dated Jan. 11, 1922), \$4,070.55.

(27) E. J. DuPont DeNemours & Co. Inc., gelatin-powder (claim dated Jan. 10, 1922), \$5,000.

(28) Hercules Powder Co., gelatin-powder, etc. (claim dated Jan. 11, 1922), \$5,099.45.

#### *Municipal Railway Fund.*

(29) Associated Oil Co., gasoline, etc. (claim dated Dec. 29, 1921), \$990.40.

(30) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., steel brake shoes (claim dated Jan. 10, 1922), \$1,185.03.

(31) Hancock Bros., printing transfers (claim dated Jan. 10, 1922), \$1,232.50.

(32) Playground Commission, for perpetual leasehold of convenience station at Potrero avenue and Army street (claim dated Dec. 13, 1921), \$1,000.

#### *School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(33) Fred W. Sneek Co., first payment, plumbing, Spring Valley School (claim dated Jan. 13, 1922), \$1,790.70.

(34) E. E. Etherton Co., first payment, general construction, McKinley School (claim dated Jan. 12, 1922), \$7,931.51.

#### *General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(35) Majestic Press, printing Assessor's statements (claim dated Jan. 16, 1922), \$1,250.50.

(36) Enterprise Foundry Co., catch-basin frames and grates (claim dated Jan. 10, 1922), \$514.08.

(37) Goodyear Rubber Co., rubber hose, Beard of Public Works (claim dated Jan. 6, 1922), \$605.

(38) Union Oil Co. of Cal., fuel oil, Dept. Public Works (claim dated Jan. 6, 1922), \$1,451.19.

(39) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished public buildings (claim dated Jan. 12, 1922), \$1,226.85.

(40) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated Jan. 22, 1922), \$3,585.33.

(41) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated Jan. 13, 1922), \$13,092.26.

(42) Little Children's Aid widows' pensions (claim dated Jan. 13, 1922), \$9,386.87.

(43) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated Jan. 13, 1922), \$1,115.

(44) A. B. C. Bakery Inc., bread fur-

nished County Jails (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$880.80.

(45) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 11, 1922), \$996.52.

(46) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 11, 1922), \$2,527.40.

(47) Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 11, 1922), \$764.58.

(48) Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 11, 1922), \$1,446.51.

(49) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 11, 1922), \$15,589.29.

(50) Little Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 11, 1922), \$8,851.24.

(51) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 11, 1922), \$3,830.76.

(52) St. Catherine's Training Home, maintenance of minors, Magdalen Asylum (claim dated Jan. 11, 1922), \$583.01.

(53) American La France Fire Engine Co., Fire Dept. apparatus parts (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$851.03.

(54) Associated Oil Co., gasoline, fuel oil, etc., Fire Dept. (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$3,194.91.

(55) H. Harms & Co., wood and coal, Fire Dept. (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$937.50.

(56) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electricity and gas, Fire Dept. (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$1,434.28.

(57) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished Fire Dept. auxiliary water system (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$1,289.62.

(58) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$509.66.

(59) Associated Charities, unemployment orders, Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$1,363.71.

(60) Baumgarten Bros., meats, Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$2,899.65.

(61) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs, Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$961.20.

(62) O'Brien, Spotorno & Mitchell, turkeys, Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$876.

(63) Producers Hay Co., alfalfa, etc., Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$1,669.14.

(64) Sherry Bros., butter and cheese, Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$1,043.91.

(65) Herbert F. Dugan, drugs, etc., San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 27, 1921), \$1,818.66.

(66) Hooper & Jennings, groceries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$795.25.

(67) San Francisco Convention and

Tourist League, publicity and advertising—bringing conventions to San Francisco (claim dated Jan. 12, 1922), \$1,500.

(68) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., street lighting, December (claim dated Jan. 16, 1922), \$41,937.06.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Wolfe—1.

Appropriation, \$1,000, Payment to P. J. Kelly, Widening of Roosevelt Way.

Resolution No. 19598 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$1,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund and authorized in payment to Patrick J. Kelly, being payment for property required for the opening and widening of Roosevelt way; particularly described in acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 19514 (New Series) (claim dated Jan. 12, 1922).

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Wolfe—1.

Appropriations, Steel Rails, Etc.

The following resolution, heretofore passed for printing, was taken up:

Resolution No. 19599 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund, for Municipal Railway purposes, to-wit:

(1) For purchase of track material (including \$1,735 inspection and unloading); per award to United States Steel Products Co., Section A, Contract 127, \$60,000.

(2) For purchase of track material (including \$100 inspection and unloading); per award to United States Steel Products Co., Section D, Contract 127, \$6,500.

(3) For purchase of track material (including \$60 inspection and unloading); per award to Payne's Bolt Works, Section C, Contract 127, \$1,200.

(4) For furnishing and delivering redwood ties; per award to J. R. Hanify Co., Contract 126, \$22,000.

(5) For painting of Geary street car house; per award to J. H. Mohr, Contract 124, \$1,275.

Discussion: Supervisors McSheehy, Hayden, McGregor, Scott, Mulvihill, Welch, Schmitz, Hynes and City Engineer O'Shaughnessy and Assistant City Engineer Paul Ost.

Whereupon, the roll was called and the resolution *finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Haydn, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Wolfe—1.

Supervisor McSheehy voted *no* on item No. 1.

#### Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor McSheehy explained his vote by saying: I am voting *no* and want my minority report printed in the record.

Supervisor Schmitz declared that he voted *aye* because he "does not want to delay construction of the road, but would like to see, when we advertise for bids, that specifications are so drawn that more than one firm can bid."

Appropriation, \$25,987, Brick Work and Hollow Tile, Galileo High School.

Resolution No. 19609 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$25,987 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918, to defray cost of brick work and hollow tile for the Galileo High School, per award of contract to M. B. McGowan.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Haydn, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Wolfe—1.

#### Permits.

Resolution No. 19601 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

#### Laundry and Boiler.

To Etienne Layons, permits granted by Resolution No. 17765 (New Series) to L. Lafon, for premises situate 4110-4112 Geary street.

#### Furnace.

William Davis, at 159 Fourteenth street, to be used for melting brass.

M. Levin & Son, at 2201-2227 Third street, to be used for melting metals.

#### Oil Storage Tank.

M. Levin & Son, at 2201-2227 Third street; 1,500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Haydn, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Wolfe—1.

Additional Positions Ordinance Amended.  
Bill No. 5935, Ordinance No. 5535 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 16 of Ordinance No. 5400 (New Series), known as the "Ordinance of Additional Positions," by adding a new subdivision to said section to be known as Subdivision (g).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That Section 16 of Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series) is hereby amended by adding a new subdivision thereto to be known as Subdivision (g), and to read as follows:

#### Recorder's Office.

(g) Five copyists, grade three, for six months from January 1, 1922, to June 30, 1922, each at a salary of \$165 per month.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect as of January 1, 1922.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Haydn, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Wolfe—1.

Plans, etc., Addition to High School of Commerce.

Bill No. 5936, Ordinance No. 5536 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for and the construction of an addition to the High School of Commerce to be erected on the north side of Fell street, between Van Ness avenue and Franklin streets, authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor, and permitting progressive payments to be made during the course of construction.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Haydn, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Wolfe—1.

Board of Public Works to Contract for Center Entrance Municipal Railway Cars.

Bill No. 5937, Ordinance No. 5537 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the Board of Public Works to advertise for bids and award and enter into a contract for furnishing twenty (more or less) center-entrance street railway cars for the Union street line, Municipal Railway, and providing for progressive payments therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized to adver-

tise for bids, award and enter into a contract for furnishing twenty (more or less) center-entrance street railway cars for the Union street line, Municipal Railway, according to plans and specifications prepared therefor and on file in the office of the Board of Public Works, which plans and specifications are hereby approved. Said Board of Public Works may make provision in said specifications and contract for progressive payments to be made during the period of construction as provided in Section 21, Chapter I, Article VI of the Charter.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Wolfe—1.

#### Fixing Sidewalk Widths, Andover Street.

Bill No. 5938, Ordinance No. 5538 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered 784.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office December 22, 1921, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered 784, to read as follows:

Section 784. The width of sidewalks on Andover street between Crescent avenue and its southerly termination shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Wolfe—1.

Bill No. 5939, Ordinance No. 5539 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered 785 and 786.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903,

is hereby amended in accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office December 30, 1921, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered 785 and 786, to read as follows:

Section 785. The width of sidewalk on Napoleon street between Jerrold avenue and Evans avenue shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 786. The width of sidewalks on Barneveld avenue between Jerrold avenue and Oakdale avenue shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Wolfe—1.

Bill No. 5940, Ordinance No. 5540 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered 787.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office January 10, 1922, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered 787, to read as follows:

Section 787. The width of sidewalks on Stoneman street, the northerly side of, between Folsom and Shotwell streets, shall be six (6) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Stoneman street, the southerly side of, between Folsom and Shotwell streets, shall be nineteen (19) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Wolfe—1.

#### Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 5941, Ordinance No. 5541 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor,

and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 6, 1921, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The improvement of *Andover street between Ogden avenue and Tompkins avenue*, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width where not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Wolfe—1.

Bill No. 5942, Ordinance No. 5542 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 6, 1921, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby deter-

mine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Andover street, between Eugenia and Powhattan avenues*, by the construction of concrete curbs and concrete pavement on the roadway, where not already constructed, and the improvement of the *crossing of Andover street and Eugenia avenue*; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width where not already constructed; by the construction of one brick catchbasin with castiron frame, grating and trap and 10-inch vitrified, salt-glazed, ironstone pipe culvert on the northwest corner, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Wolfe—1.

Bill No. 5943, Ordinance No. 5543 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 5, 1921, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of

the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Cabrillo street from the easterly line of Thirty-third avenue to Thirty-fourth avenue, and including the crossing of Thirty-third avenue and Cabrillo street*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of three brick catchbasins with cast iron frames, gratings and traps and 10-inch vitrified, salt-glazed, ironstone pipe culverts, one each on the northeasterly, northwesterly and southeasterly angular corners of Cabrillo street and Thirty-third avenue; by the construction of an 8-inch vitrified, salt-glazed, ironstone pipe sewer, one brick manhole with cast iron frame and cover and galvanized wrought iron steps and 15 Y branches along the center line of Cabrillo street from a point 20 feet westerly from the westerly line of Thirty-third avenue to Thirty-fourth avenue; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners of Thirty-third avenue and Cabrillo street, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Wolfe—1.

Bill No. 5944, Ordinance No. 5544 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 10, 1921, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby

ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, the work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by the Board of Public Works, which plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The improvement of *Winfield street between Virginia and Esmeralda avenues*, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width where artificial stone sidewalks at least six feet in width are not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Wolfe—1.

Conditional Acceptance, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 5945, Ordinance No. 5545 (New Series), entitled, "Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Burrows street between Göttingen street and Brussels street, Charles street between Mission street and the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's right of way, Fairfax avenue between the southeasterly line of Keith street and the northwesterly line of Lane street, including the crossings of Fairfax avenue and Keith street and Fairfax avenue and Lane street; Foerster street between Flood avenue and Staple's avenue, London street between Excelsior avenue and its northerly termination, Murray street between Crescent avenue and Richland avenue, Roscoe street between Crescent avenue and Benton street, Shafter avenue between Ingalls street and Jennings street and crossing of Shafter avenue and Ingalls street, Thirty-ninth avenue between Fulton street and Cabrillo street, Thirty-third avenue between Fulton and Cabrillo streets."

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Wolfe—1.

Change of Grade.

Bill No. 5946, Ordinance No. 5546 (New Series), entitled, "Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Collingwood street between Twenty-second street and a line parallel with and 25 feet northerly therefrom, and on Twenty-second street between Dia-

mond street and a line parallel with the easterly line of Collingwood street, produced, and 55 feet easterly therefrom."

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Wolfe—1.

Bill No. 5947, Ordinance No. 5547 (New Series), entitled, "Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Judah street between Thirty-third and Forty-first avenues, on Thirty-fourth, Thirty-sixth, Thirty-ninth and Fortieth avenues between Irving and Kirkham streets, and on Thirty-fifth avenue between lines parallel with and respectively 300 feet northerly and 300 feet southerly from Judah street."

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Wolfe—1.

Bill No. 5948, Ordinance No. 5548 (New Series), entitled "changing and re-establishing the official grades on Rutledge street between Peralta avenue and Alabama street."

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Wolfe—1.

Bill No. 5949, Ordinance No. 5549 (New Series), entitled "Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Yukon street between a line at right angles to the westerly line of, at Eagle street, northerly line, and Caselli avenue; on Eagle street between Yukon street and the first angle westerly therefrom, and on Nineteenth street between the westerly line of Clover Heights Tract and Yukon street."

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Wolfe—1.

Bill No. 5950, Ordinance No. 5550 (New Series), entitled, "Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Hodges alley between Vallejo street and a line parallel with and 137.50 feet northerly therefrom."

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Wolfe—1.

Ordering Street Work, Key Avenue.

Bill No. 5930, Ordinance No. 5551 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 6, 1921, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in fifteen installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The method of assessment for the work and improvement determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No. 69804 (Second Series) is hereby confirmed.

The improvement of *Key avenue between the easterly line of Jennings street and the easterly line of Railroad avenue, including the crossing of Key avenue and Jennings street*, by grading to official line and grade, by the construction of 3 brick catchbasins with cast-iron frames, gratings and traps, and 10-inch vitrified, salt-glazed ironstone pipe culverts on the crossing of Key avenue and Jennings street; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks; by the construction of 3 reinforced concrete stairs, one at the southeast corner of Key and Railroad avenues, one 120 feet west of the westerly line of Jennings street and one on the southeast corner of Key avenue and Jennings street; by the construction of

concrete curbs; by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway between the easterly line of Railroad avenue and the westerly line of Jennings street, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the remainder of the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Wolfe—1.

#### Action Deferred.

The following bill laid over from last meeting was taken up and again *laid over one week*:

#### Building Law Amendment, Fireproofing Boiler Rooms.

Bill No. 5876, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled "Amending Section 253 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as the Building Law, approved December 22, 1909, relating to fireproofing boiler, heating and furnace rooms."

#### REPORT OF FINANCE COMMITTEE.

Demands on the Treasury amounting to \$344,854.84, numbered 34,990 to 35039, including the following urgent necessity, were presented and *approved* by the following vote:

##### Urgent Necessity.

Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company, official phones, \$2.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Wolfe—1.

#### NEW BUSINESS.

##### Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

##### Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McLeran: Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

##### Library Fund.

(1) San Francisco News Co., Public Library books, etc. (claim dated Nov. 30, 1921), \$648.23.

(2) G. E. Stechert & Co., Public Library books (claim dated Nov. 30, 1921), \$1,749.14.

(3) Foster & Futernick Co., binding library books (claim dated Nov. 30, 1921), \$1,130.10.

(4) San Francisco News Co., Public

Library books, etc. (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$711.27.

(5) G. E. Stechert & Co., Public Library books (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$1,505.90.

(6) San Francisco News Co., Public Library periodicals (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$2,447.25.

(7) Foster & Futernick Co., binding library books (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$737.60.

##### Municipal Railway Fund.

(8) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursement for December, 1921, per agreement of Dec. 12, 1918 (claim dated Jan. 14, 1922), \$912.24.

(9) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., electric railway supplies (claim dated Jan. 14, 1922), \$1,270.31.

(10) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railway, lower Market street (claim dated Jan. 14, 1922), \$2,097.13.

(11) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated Jan. 14, 1922), \$31,151.68.

##### Special School Tax, 1920-1921.

(12) M. Flatland, 1st payment, electrical work, Commodore Sloat School (claim dated Jan. 18, 1922), \$4,677.75.

(13) A. Lettich, 2d payment, plumbing, Parkside School (claim dated Jan. 20, 1922), \$1,072.54.

##### South Beach Land Fund.

(14) Healy-Tibbitts Construction Co., 4th payment, railway trestle, improvement of Aquatic Park (claim dated Jan. 18, 1922), \$626.75.

##### School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(15) A. Holle, 1st payment, plumbing work, Crocker-Amazon School (claim dated Jan. 18, 1922), \$1,035.

##### Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(16) Myers-Whaley Co. Inc., Hetch Hetchy shovel machinery parts (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$564.51.

(17) General Electric Co., electric supplies (claim dated Jan. 18, 1922), \$584.77.

(18) William Cluff Co., groceries, etc. (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$608.59.

(19) P. H. Reardon, screens, etc. (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$609.96.

(20) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., hardware, etc. (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$639.91.

(21) J. F. Hedden, switches, etc. (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$691.65.

(22) Sperry Flour Co., flour (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$699.68.

(23) The Worthington Co., steam pump parts (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$717.85.

(24) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Groveland revolving fund expenditures, per

vouchers (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$724.45.

(25) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Groveland revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$815.88.

(26) The Utah Construction Co., merchandise and extra expense (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$864.78.

(27) Baumgarten Bros., meats (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$875.38.

(28) American Manganese Steel Co., steel parts (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$919.20.

(29) Joshua Hendy Iron Works, gate valves (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$959.14.

(30) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., mazda lamps, etc. (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$1,109.36.

(31) Sherry Bros. Inc., eggs (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$1,134.42.

(32) Insley Mfg. Co., four dump cars (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$1,156.

(33) Sullivan Machinery Co., drill and machine parts (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$1,500.50.

(34) Ingersoll-Rand Co., couplings, fittings, etc. (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$1,929.30.

(35) Standard Oil Co. Inc., fuel oil, etc. (claim dated Jan. 18, 1922), \$2,520.96.

(36) Montague Pipe and Steel Co., pipe, fittings, etc. (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$2,816.78.

(37) John C. Thomson, professional services re \$8,520.000 water bonds (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$2,840.

(38) Standard Oil Co. Inc., fuel oil, etc. (claim dated Jan. 18, 1922), \$3,000.15.

(39) Standard Oil Co. Inc., fuel oil, etc. (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$3,035.72.

(40) Moloney Electric Co., electric transformers (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$3,320.10.

(41) Standard Oil Co., fuel oil, etc. (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$3,085.47.

(42) General Electric Co., one Edison storage battery, etc (claim dated Jan. 18, 1922), \$4,066.36.

(43) Norman B. Livermore & Co., balance of payment on one 80-ton Shay locomotive (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$6,553.81.

(44) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., motors, transformers, etc. (claim dated Jan. 18, 1922), \$7,934.30.

#### *General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(45) Associated Oil Co., fuel oil, etc. Fire Dept. (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$1,495.51.

(46) Coast Rock & Gravel Co., sand, etc., Dept. Public Works (claim dated Jan. 18, 1922), \$909.40.

(47) Western Rock Products Co., sand, Dept. Public Works (claim dated Jan. 16, 1922), \$1,922.

(48) John E. Beck, final payment,

construction of field house, James Rolph Jr. Playground (claim dated Jan. 18, 1922), \$9,601.

(49) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished S. F. Hospital, etc. (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$970.28.

(50) Associated Oil Co., fuel oil, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$3,394.99.

(51) Greenebaum, Weil & Michels, dry goods, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 30, 1921), \$622.50.

(52) Smith, Lynden & Co., groceries, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$1,436.05.

(53) Snow & Rothbach, fruits, etc., S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$535.95.

(54) Oliva Bros., vegetables, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$594.25.

(55) O'Brien, Spotorno & Mitchell, poultry, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$626.88.

(56) Makins Produce Co., eggs, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$2,073.60.

(57) Baumgarten Bros., meats, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$502.04.

(58) Sherry Bros., butter and cheese, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$1,341.33.

(59) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$2,913.80.

(60) A. B. C. Bakery Inc., bread, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$716.34.

(61) H. Moffat Co. Inc., meats, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$1,121.50.

(62) L. Dinkelspiel Co. Inc., dry goods, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$760.90.

(63) J. C. Astredo, transportation, etc., from New York to San Francisco, of police officer and Louis Wallach, charged with failure to provide: per vouchers attached (claim dated Jan. 11, 1922), \$506.60.

(64) D. J. O'Brien, Police contingent expense for February (claim dated Jan. 3, 1922), \$750.

(65) San Francisco Chronicle, official advertising for December (claim dated Jan. 23, 1922), \$963.47.

**Appropriation, \$1,000, Payment to Edmund and Kate Hogan, Land for Widening Roosevelt Way.**

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of one thousand dollars (\$1,000) be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund, and authorized in payment to Edmund Hogan and Kate Hogan, being payment for property required for the opening and widening of Roosevelt way, as per description in acceptance of offer by

Resolution No. 19583 (New Series).  
Claim dated Jan. 19, 1922.

**Appropriations for Aquatic Park Trestle  
Realignment and Plans for Fire Depart-  
ment Drill Tower.**

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same here hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds, for the following purposes, to-wit:

*South Beach Land Fund.*

(1) For expense of changing alignment of tracks of "Belt Line" railroad, construction of new roadbed, ties and trestle, necessary for improvement of Aquatic Park, \$2,156.34.

*Drill Tower (Fire Department). Budget Item No. 442.*

(2) For payment of architectural services in connection with preparation of plans and specifications for drill tower of Fire Department, \$2,000.

**Appropriation, \$3,000, Extraordinary Expenses, District Attorney.**

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$3,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, to the credit of "Detection and Prosecution of Criminals" (District Attorney), Budget Item No. 181, for extraordinary expenses by the District Attorney in the detection and prosecution of crime.

**Appropriation, \$4,000 Out of Urgent Necessity Fund to Credit of Furniture Fund.**

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$4,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, to the credit of "Furniture for Public Buildings," Budget Item No. 39.

**Appropriations, Aquatic Park Work, Improvement of Vermont Street Between Twenty-third and Humboldt Streets.**

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 19602 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

*South Beach Land Fund.*

To provide deficit in acceptance payment to Healy-Tibbitts Construction Company for improvement of the Aquatic Park, in construction of trestle and appurtenances, \$321.73.

*Street Work in Front of City Property, etc., Budget Item 45.*

For improvement of easterly half of Vermont street between Twenty-third and Humboldt streets, \$150.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Power, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Wolfe—1.

**Auditor to Cancel Assessment.**

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 19603 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Tax Collector has reported the following assessment to be a duplicate upon the Assessment Roll of 1921, and recommends the cancellation thereof; therefore,

Resolved, That the Auditor be directed to cancel personal property assessment of Mangrum & Otter Inc., as shown in Vol. 18, page 75, line 13, Unsecured Personal Property Roll; tax \$3,030.70.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Power, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Wolfe—1.

**Auditor to Transfer Small Balances.**

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 19604 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, certain small balances in various bond funds, as hereinafter set forth, have remained stationary for several years; therefore, as provided by Charter,

Resolved, That the Auditor and Treasurer be and they are hereby authorized and directed to transfer said balances to their respective bond interest and redemption funds, to-wit:

Polytechnic High School, 1910.	\$ 108.74
Hospital-Jail Completion, 1913	958.27
Fire Protection, 1908	1,106.05
Sewer, 1908	.29
School, 1908	1,187.66
Garbage, 1908	861.66
City Hall, 1912	34.39

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Power, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Wolfe—1.

Also, Resolution No. 19605 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, certain balances in overpayment of taxes have been carried on the books of the Auditor and Treasurer since the years 1915 and 1917, therefore,

Resolved, That the Auditor and

Treasurer be and they are hereby authorized and directed to transfer said balances to the credit of General Fund, 1921-1922, to-wit:

Overpayment of personal property taxes, \$4,915.78.

Overpayment of corporation taxes, \$156.64.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Power, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Wolfe—1.

Appropriation for Auto Road Signs.

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$1,507.06 out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, to the credit of Budget Item No. 323 (Street Signs).

*Motion.*

Supervisor McSheehy moved to lay over one week and Finance Committee to submit financial statement of surpluses in all departments.

Withdrawn on condition that financial report is furnished.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Harris, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—15.

Noes—Supervisors Deasy, Hynes—2.

Absent—Supervisor Wolfe—1.

*Explanation of Vote.*

Supervisor Hynes explained his vote by saying: "I voted *no* because I do not consider signs placed in Wyoming, Dakota and Wisconsin a proper charge against the Urgent Necessity Fund of San Francisco."

*Action Deferred.*

The following resolution was, on motion of Supervisor Morgan, ordered *referred to the Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee*:

Transfer of Aquatic Park Jurisdiction.

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Park Commission has requested that the jurisdiction over the Aquatic Park be transferred to said Commission; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors believes it would be in the interest of an early completion of the Aquatic Park to have the control and operation of the Aquatic Park under the jurisdiction of the Park Commissioners; therefore, be it

Resolved, That jurisdiction of the Aquatic Park be and it is hereby transferred from the Board of Public Works now having jurisdiction and control of the Aquatic Park to the Park Commission; said Aquatic Park hereafter to be maintained by said Park

Commission out of Park funds; and be it further

Resolved, That the Park Commission be requested to complete the Aquatic Park as soon as possible to the end that our citizens may avail themselves of the wonderful opportunities for recreation which would thereby be given them; further

Resolved, That the balance of the South Beach Land Funds available for Aquatic Park development, amounting to \$79,098.71, be and the same is hereby transferred to the Park Fund.

*Passed for Printing.*

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

*Permits.*

On motion of Supervisor Schmitz: Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

*Automobile Supply Station.*

Baroni & Nespoli, on west side of Mission street, 170 feet north of Faragut avenue; also to store 1,200 gallons of gasoline.

*Public Garage.*

Floyd W. Hanchett, at northwest corner of Mission and Park streets; also to store 300 gallons of gasoline.

*Boiler.*

Elite Plating Works, at 1034 Mission street; 3 horse power.

*Oil Storage Tank.*

Western Electric Company, on south side of Clementina street 240 feet east of Third street; 1,500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

*Denying Boiler Permit.*

Supervisor Schmitz presented:

Resolution No. 19606 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied George M. Brown to maintain a boiler at 1562 Geary street.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Wolfe—1.

*Fire Protection for Mission Terrace.*

Supervisor Schmitz presented:

Resolution No. 19607 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the many homes in Mission Terrace and adjoining sections are unprotected against fire, owing to lack of water mains of sufficient size to permit the installation of hydrants;

Resolved, That the Board of Fire

Commissioners and the Railroad Commission of the State of California are hereby respectfully requested to take up the matter with the Spring Valley Water Company to the end that necessary water mains be laid and the endangered districts be given ample fire protection.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Wolfe—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

**Amending Second-Hand Dealers' Ordinance.**

Supervisor Scott presented:

Bill No. 5953, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 2365 (New Series), entitled "Requiring dealers in second-hand goods, wares, merchandise or articles of any description other than furniture and household goods, either as pawnbrokers, or otherwise, to keep a record of all purchases and sales of said articles, and to make a report of the same and deliver to the Chief of Police such report daily; also regulating the manner of conducting said business."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That Section 1 of Ordinance No. 2365 (New Series), is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 1. Every person, firm or corporation dealing in second-hand goods, wares, merchandise, or articles of any description other than books, furniture and household goods, either as pawnbroker, second-hand dealer, junk dealer, or otherwise, shall keep a record of all such articles sold or purchased, which shall at all times during business hours be open to the inspection of the Chief of Police or of any police officer. Such person, firm or corporation shall at least once a day make and deliver to the Chief of Police on a form to be furnished by said Chief of Police for that purpose, a full, true and complete report of all dealings in second-hand goods, wares, merchandise, or articles of any description, by such person, firm or corporation within the City and County of San Francisco, during the twenty-four (24) hours preceding said report, together with the time (meaning the hour of the day) when purchased, or sold, or otherwise dealt in or with, and a description of the person or persons from whom bought or to whom sold, or with whom dealt, and also the true name as nearly as the same is known to the person

making such report. Said report shall be written in the English language in a clear, legible manner.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**Amending License Ordinance, Second-Hand Book Stores.**

Also, Bill No. 5954, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Adding a new section to Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), entitled "Imposing license taxes on certain businesses, callings, trades or occupations," to be numbered Section 62a, relative to license on second-hand book dealers.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A new section is hereby added to Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, to be numbered Section 62a, to read as follows:

Section 62a. Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of buying, selling or exchanging second-hand books or printed matter, either as a principal business or as incident to the business of buying, selling or exchanging books and other printed matter, shall pay a license of twelve dollars and fifty cents per quarter and this ordinance shall be construed as being in effect on July 1, 1920.

**Street Lights.**

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 19608 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company is hereby instructed to install a 250 M. R. lamp, south side Vienna street between Silver and Peru streets, fourth pole west of Silver avenue.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Wolfe—1.

**Masquerade Ball Permits.**

Supervisor Robb presented:

Resolution No. 19609 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted the following organizations to give masquerade balls, on the dates and at the locations herewith given, upon payment of the usual license fee:

Bamboula Amusement Company, at California Hall, Polk and Turk streets, Monday evening, January 30, 1922.

Chevre Shaare Refcoah, at Puckitt's Hall, 1268 Sutter street, Sunday evening, March 12, 1922.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill,

Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Wolfe—1.

**City Attorney to Commence Condemnation Proceedings for Acquisition of School Lands.**

Resolution No. 19610 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity and use require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco of the following described lands, and any and all rights and claims thereto, for school purposes, to-wit: All the lots, pieces or parcels of land included in the following descriptions, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Grove street, distant thereon 125 feet easterly from the easterly line of Cole street, running thence easterly along said southerly line of Grove street 25 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 275 feet to the northerly line of Hayes street; thence easterly along said northerly line of Hayes street 25 feet; thence northerly 275 feet to the southerly line of Grove street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block 685.

That all the lands, rights and claims above described are hereby declared to be suitable, adaptable and necessary for a public use by the City and County of San Francisco.

The City Attorney is hereby instructed to commence proceedings against the owner of said lots, pieces and parcels of land enclosed within said hereinabove description, and any and all rights and claims thereto and interest therein for the condemnation thereof for the use of the City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid, and to prosecute such proceedings to a speedy termination.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Wolfe—1.

**Mayor to Sell Improvements on School Lands.**

Supervisor Scott presented:

Resolution No. 19611 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and requested to sell at public auction, in accordance with provisions of the Charter, the certain buildings belonging to the City, and located respectively:

On the westerly line of Noe street, distant 39 feet northerly from Clipper street, on land 25 x 85 feet, recently purchased from Edw. Coil.

Also, on the northwesterly corner of Noe and Clipper streets, and on the

northerly line of Clipper street westerly from Noe street, adjoining the corner building, on lot of dimensions 39 feet frontage on Noe street, by a uniform depth of 85 feet on Clipper street, recently purchased from S. C. Sherman.

Also, situate on the northeasterly line of Bancroft avenue and Railroad avenue, recently purchased from Oscar Hyman.

Also, situate on the northeasterly line of Railroad avenue, distant 103 feet 8 inches more or less southerly from Bancroft avenue, recently purchased from Jos. Carroll.

The Board of Public Works is hereby requested to prepare specifications for removal of the buildings.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Wolfe—1.

**Accepting Offers to Sell Land for School Purposes.**

Supervisor Scott presented:

Resolution No. 19612 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the following property owners have, in pursuance of the following resolutions, executed good and sufficient deeds conveying to the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, property required for school purposes, namely:

Henry C. Hagensen and Theresa Hagensen, his wife, Resolution No. 19519 (property described therein), deed dated January 9, 1922;

Lucy M. McPherson, a widow, Resolution No. 19520 (property described therein), deed dated January 5, 1922;

Caleta Furrer, a widow, Resolution No. 19520 (property described therein), deed dated January 9, 1922.

Resolved, That the foregoing offers be accepted.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Wolfe—1.

**Accepting Offer to Sell School Lands.**

Supervisor Scott presented:

Resolution No. 19613 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Elizabeth W. Coit to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements situate on the easterly line of Valencia street, distant 200 feet southerly from Twenty-third street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reason-

able value thereof; therefore be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land and improvements, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of \$45,000, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Valencia street, distant thereon 200 feet southerly from the southerly line of Twenty-third street; running thence southerly along said easterly line of Valencia street 210 feet 7 inches; thence northeasterly 134 feet, more or less; thence northerly 10 feet 8 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 117 feet 6 inches to the westerly line of Bartlett street; thence northerly along said westerly line of Bartlett street 182 feet 2 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 250 feet to the easterly line of Valencia street and point of commencement; being a portion of Mission Block No. 155; also known as Lot 22 of Block 3643, on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County, upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid, and the deed to said property is hereby accepted.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Wolfe—1.

Also, Resolution No. 19614 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Mary A. Baker to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements situate on the south line of Twenty-third street, distant 35 feet west from Bartlett street, required by the City for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land and improvements,

free of all encumbrances, for the sum of \$7,500, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Twenty-third street, distant thereon 35 feet west from the westerly line of Bartlett street; running thence westerly along said easterly line of Twenty-third street 80 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 30 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 80 feet to the southerly line of Twenty-third street and point of commencement; being a portion of Mission Block No. 155; also known as Lot 28 of Block 3643 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County, upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid, and the deed to said property is hereby accepted.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Wolfe—1.

Also, Resolution No. 19615 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from C. Siverson to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements situate at the south line of Grove street, distant 112 feet 6 inches west from Clayton street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land and improvements is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land (with improvements), free of all encumbrances, for the sum of \$12,000, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Grove street, distant thereon 112 feet 6 inches westerly from the westerly line of Clayton street; running thence westerly along said southerly line of Grove street 28 feet; thence

at a right angle southerly 275 feet to the northerly line of Hayes street; thence easterly along said northerly line of Hayes street 28 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 275 feet to the southerly line of Grove street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block 685, Assessor's Map Book No. 1194.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon the payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid, and the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Wolfe—1.

Also, Resolution No. 19616 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Robert H. Burr to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate at the westerly line of Franklin street, distant 90 feet southerly from Union street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of \$5,250, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point situate on the westerly line of Franklin street, distant thereon 90 feet southerly from the southerly line of Union street; thence southerly along said westerly line of Franklin street 55 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 55 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 100 feet to the westerly line of Franklin street and point of commencement; being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 117.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to

and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon the payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid, and the deed to said land is hereby accepted.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Wolfe—1.

Also, Resolution No. 19617 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Antonio Mezzacappa to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements situate on the west line of Bartlett street, distant 110 feet south from Twenty-third street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land and improvements, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of \$10,500, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Bartlett street, distant thereon 110 feet southerly from the southerly line of Twenty-third street, running thence southerly along the said westerly line of Bartlett street 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Bartlett street and point of commencement; being a portion of Mission Block No. 155.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon the payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid,

and the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Wolfe—1.

Also, Resolution No. 19618 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Louise Patterson to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements situate on the westerly line of Bartlett street, distant 135 feet southerly from Twenty-third street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land and improvements, free of all incumbrances, for the sum of \$12,000, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Bartlett street, distant thereon 135 feet southerly from the southerly line of Twenty-third street, running thence southerly along said westerly line of Bartlett street 35 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 35 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Bartlett street and point of commencement; being a portion of Mission Block No. 155.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon the payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid, and the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Wolfe—1.

Also, Resolution No. 19619 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from S. W. Dick Co. to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements situate at the southeast corner of Valencia and Twenty-third streets, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land and improvements are offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land and improvements, free of all incumbrances, for the sum of \$12,500, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at the intersection of the easterly line of Valencia street with the southerly line of Twenty-third street, running thence southerly along said easterly line of Valencia street 40 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 125 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 40 feet to the southerly line of Twenty-third street; thence westerly along said southerly line of Twenty-third street 125 feet to the easterly line of Valencia street and point of commencement; being a portion of Mission Block No. 155.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon the payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid, and the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Wolfe—1.

Also, Resolution No. 19620 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Margaret Wallace to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements situate on the south line of Twenty-third street, distant 65 feet west from Bartlett street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land and improvements, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of \$16,000, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Twenty-third street, distant thereon 65 feet westerly from the westerly line of Bartlett street, running thence westerly along said southerly line of Twenty-third street 30 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 80 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 30 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 80 feet to the southerly line of Twenty-third street and point of commencement; being a portion of Mission Block No. 155.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon the payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid, and the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Wolfe—1.

Also, Resolution No. 19621 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Margaret Malloy to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements situate on the westerly line of Webster street, distant 55 feet 8¼ inches north from Jackson street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land and improvements, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of \$7,500, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Webster street, distant

thereon 55 feet 8¼ inches northerly from the northerly line of Jackson street, running thence northerly along said westerly line of Webster street 24 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 90 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 24 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 90 feet to the westerly line of Webster street and point of commencement; being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 318.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon the payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid, and the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Wolfe—1.

Also, Resolution No. 19622 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Marshall F. Jones to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements situate on the west line of Webster street, distant 21 feet 8¼ inches north from Jackson street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land and improvements, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of \$7,500, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Webster street, distant thereon 21 feet 8¼ inches northerly from the northerly line of Jackson street, running thence northerly along said westerly line of Webster street 24 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 90 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 24 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 90 feet to the westerly line of Webster street and point of commencement; being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 318.

The City Attorney is hereby directed

to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon the payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid, and the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Wolfe—1.

**Special Committee to Negotiate With Market Street Railway Company for the Acquisition of the Properties of Said Company.**

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 19623 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That a special committee be appointed, to consist of the members of the Committee on Public Utilities—Supervisors Wolfe, Morgan, McSheehy, Mulvihill and Schmitz, his Honor the Mayor, Supervisor McLeran as chairman of the Finance Committee, City Engineer O'Shaughnessy and City Attorney Lull, to meet with representatives of the Market Street Railway Company at a time and place to be hereafter agreed upon to consider the acquisition of the property of said company by the City and County and to report thereon to this Board; and

Resolved further, That all members of this Board be invited to attend the meetings whereat such negotiations are considered by the committee above named and the representatives of the Market Street Railway Company.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Wolfe—1.

**Passed for Printing.**

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

**Spur Track Permit.**

Supervisor Welch presented:

Bill No. 5955, Ordinance No. — (New Series). Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors to Malott & Peterson to con-

struct, maintain and operate a spur track from the tracks of the Southern Pacific Company in Harrison street between Twentieth and Twenty-first streets, thence over and across Harrison street and into the property on the west side of Harrison street between Twentieth and Twenty-first streets, known as No. 2412 Harrison street.

Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Malott & Peterson, to construct, maintain and operate a spur track as follows:

Commencing at a point on the track of the Southern Pacific Company in Harrison street between Twentieth and Twenty-first streets; thence over and across Harrison street and into the property on the west side of Harrison street between Twentieth and Twenty-first streets, known as No. 2412 Harrison street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted, and shall be construed as a part hereof, as complete as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office; and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement, and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by Malott & Peterson.

Provided, that Malott & Peterson shall erect and maintain all-night lighted are lamps, to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**Repealing Resolution Providing for Change of Grade on Vicente Street.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 19624 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Resolution No. 19583 (New Series), providing for change of grades on Vicente street between a radial line passing through the northerly line of, at the easterly return from Forest Side avenue and a radial line passing through the northerly line of, 10.11 feet northeasterly from the first angle northeasterly from Fourteenth avenue, be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor and the present official grade of Vicente street at a radial line passing through the northerly line of, 10.11 feet northeasterly from the first angle northeasterly

from Fourteenth avenue, be and the same is hereby rescinded.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Wolfe—1.

*Passed for Printing.*

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

**Establishing Grades, Vicente Street.**

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill: Bill No. 5956, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Vicente street between a radial line passing through the northerly line of, at the easterly return from Forest Side avenue and a radial line passing through the northerly line of, 10.11 feet northeasterly from the first angle northeasterly from Fourteenth avenue, be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor and the present official grade of Vicente street at a radial line passing through the northerly line of, 10.11 feet northeasterly from the first angle northeasterly from Fourteenth avenue.

**Action Deferred.**

The following bill heretofore passed for printing was taken up and on motion *laid over one week*:

**Repealing Ordinance, Jerrold Avenue.**

Bill No. — Repealing Ordinance No. 5457 (New Series), ordering the improvement of Jerrold avenue between San Bruno avenue and Napoleon street, etc.

Ordinance No. 5457 (New Series), ordering the improvement of Jerrold avenue between San Bruno avenue and Napoleon street, etc., is hereby repealed.

This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**Clerk to Advertise for Cement Bids.**

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 19625 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk be and is hereby directed to advertise for proposals for furnishing and delivering cement required by the City and County for the period beginning February 1, 1922, to and including June 30, 1922, in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Wolfe—1.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Death of Brother of Postmaster Power.**  
Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 19626 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the death of Thomas Power, brother of former Supervisor James E. Power, is announced; therefore,

Resolved, That this Board tender to its former member, Mr. Power, its sincere sympathy in the hour of his bereavement and condole with him in his great loss.

*Adopted unanimously by rising vote.*

**Extension of Time, Utah Construction Company.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 19627 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That an extension of ninety days from January 28, 1922, be granted to Utah Construction Company within which to complete Contract No. 61, for the construction of the Hetch Hetchy dam and appurtenant works.

This extension is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works and for the reason that the contractor has been delayed by the necessity of removing additional material under the direction of the City Engineer.

Advertising fee remitted.

*Adopted under suspension of the rules by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Wolfe—1.

**Additional Class Room to Mission High School.**

Supervisor Scott presented:

Resolution No. 19628 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the overcrowded condition of the San Francisco schools is a matter of serious moment which has been augmented by the unfortunate disaster to the Mission High School; and

Whereas, it is imperative that additional class-room space be furnished at the earliest moment and at the least possible cost, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Education be and hereby is requested to at once investigate and report back to the Board of Supervisors the feasibility and advisability of adding one more story to the Dorland street wing of the new annex to the Mission High School, now in course of construction, thereby adding at least eight new class rooms

with the use of the same foundation and roof.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—17.

**Amendment "Cattle Keeping" Ordinance.**

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), Amending Section 2 of Ordinance No. 4822 (New Series), entitled "Regulating the Keeping of Beef Cattle and Providing a Penalty for the Violating Thereof."

*Referred to Public Health Committee.*

**Charter Amendment, Revenue.**

Supervisor Bath presented a proposed charter amendment relating to licenses on certain lines of business (formerly known as Charter Amend-

ment 45), which was referred to the Judiciary Committee.

**Invitation Accepted.**

On motion of Supervisor McLeran, seconded by Supervisor Morgan, a luncheon invitation from the San Francisco Center of the California Civic League at the St. Francis, Thursday, February 2, 1922, was accepted.

**Northern California Development Conference.**

On motion of Supervisor McLeran the invitation of the Redding Chamber of Commerce to attend a conference at Sacramento January 27, 1922, on Northern California development was accepted and the chairman of the Commercial Development Committee was authorized to attend and represent San Francisco.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business the Board at the hour of 6:30 p. m. adjourned.

JNO. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors February 13, 1922.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series), of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.



Monday, January 30, 1922.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY

77 Sutter Street, S. F.



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JANUARY 30, 1922, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, January 30, 1922, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

Appointment of Jesse C. Colman, Supervisor, Vice Edward I. Wolfe, Deceased.

Pursuant to certificate of appointment filed in the Clerk's office, Jesse C. Colman took his seat as a member of the Board of Supervisors, vice Edward I. Wolfe, deceased.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of December 6, 1921, was considered read and *approved*.

Remarks by His Honor Mayor Rolph on Passing of Edward I. Wolfe and Appointment of Jesse C. Colman.

Members of the Board and my fellow citizens: We have suffered a very sad loss in the unexpected death, last Thursday, while in the performance of his public duties and the acceptance of an invitation to speak on civic matters, of our former colleague, Supervisor Edward I. Wolfe. I doubt whether we need say anything further today than was said at the memorial services in the rotunda when all the members of the Board were present.

The vacancy in our midst had to be filled, and the Charter provides that the Mayor should appoint a successor. I have appointed Major Jesse C. Colman to succeed the late Supervisor, and I have received many congratulations and much favorable comment has been made on the appointment of Major Colman.

(Mayor reads letter from John R. Quinn of the American Legion in re-

gard to the appointment of Major Colman.)

You all know Mr. Colman. He has risen from a boy to manhood in our midst and I know you all think well of him. He served his country during the entire period of the war and is now succeeding Supervisor Wolfe. I present to you Supervisor Jesse C. Colman.

Supervisor Colman, in replying, stated that he knew how difficult it would be to step into the place occupied by the late Supervisor Wolfe, but with his colleagues to help him, he would do the very best he could in the interests of San Francisco.

### Motion.

Whereupon, Supervisor Welch moved that when this Board adjourns it does so out of respect to the memory of our late colleague and former State Senator, Edward I. Wolfe, and that a committee of three be appointed to draft suitable resolutions of sympathy.

Unanimously *adopted* by rising vote.

Committee on Resolutions, Death of Supervisor Edward I. Wolfe.

His Honor the Mayor appointed Supervisors Welch, McLeran and Scott as a committee to prepare suitable tribute to the memory of Supervisor Edward I. Wolfe.

Communications on Passing of Supervisor Edward I. Wolfe.

The following communications were presented, read and, on motion of Supervisor Welch, ordered *spread in the Journal*:

The Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco:

Whereas, it has pleased Almighty God in His infinite wisdom to remove from his sphere of usefulness Supervisor Edward I. Wolfe; and

Whereas, in the passing of Edward I. Wolfe the Board of Supervisors has lost a valuable and faithful coworker, the City and County of San Francisco an ardent and loyal public servant, and his family a loving and devoted husband and father; therefore be it

Resolved, That this organization extend to the bereaved family heartfelt sympathy and condolence; and be it further

Resolved, That this resolution be

come a part of the regular minutes of our order, a copy mailed to the bereaved family and one to the Honorable Board of Supervisors.

Extract from minutes of January 27, 1922.

PHILIP L. KELLER,  
Commander.

Attest:

W. B. FRENCH, Adjuant.

Corporal Harold W. Roberts Unit  
No. 6, United Veterans of the Republic.

428 Cole street.

San Francisco, Jan. 28, 1922.

My Dear Mr. Hayden:

The Longfellow family sends you their very deepest sympathy for the loss of your colleague and friend, Mr. Wolfe. Truly, the people of San Francisco have lost one of their ablest and most loyal leaders.

Yours very sincerely,

ALICE CHALMERS.

Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco—Gentlemen:

It is with the deepest regret that the members of our Association heard of the passing away of Supervisor Edward I. Wolfe, a member of your Honorable Board, a man of sterling character and a true American citizen, well known and beloved by all.

Our members extend their sincere sympathy and condolence as friends to his family in their bereavement, and that his name will long be remembered.

Sincerely yours,

PARK-PRESIDIO IMPROVEMENT  
ASSOCIATION.

GEO. R. MEYERDERK.

Secretary.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Cal.:

Whereas, the Omnipotent and All-wise Ruler of the Universe, in His infinite mercy and wisdom, has taken from our midst our highly esteemed and much beloved friend and brother, Edward I. Wolfe; and

Whereas, by the death of Brother Wolfe this Aerie has lost a valiant and loyal worker in the cause of true fraternalism, our city and State a staunch and patriotic public servant and citizen, and his family a devoted and loving husband and father; therefore be it

Resolved, That we, the members of San Francisco Aerie No. 5, Fraternal Order of Eagles, in regular meeting assembled, knowing the great interest that Brother Wolfe always manifested in the interest of this Order and the well-being of his fellow men, deeply mourn his loss, especially at a time when men possessed of his character and ability are needed in the affairs of our country and our Order; and be it further

Resolved, That we extend to the members of his family our sincere and

heartfelt sympathy in their hour of bereavement and offer the consoling thought, in consonance with the teachings and belief of this Order, that this parting is but temporary, and that soon again they will be united in the Grand Aerie beyond; and be it

Resolved, That a copy of these resolutions be forwarded to the family of our departed brother, to the Honorable Board of Supervisors, on which he served his city and fellow citizens so faithfully. That a copy be spread upon the minutes of this meeting and a copy be forwarded to the press of San Francisco for publication.

Fraternally submitted,

Signed, JOHN A. KELLY.

JOHN E. FOLEY.

G. F. POHLMANN.

Adopted at the stated meeting held January 27th, 1922.

GUSTAVE POHLMANN.

Secretary.

P. H. COYLE, Worthy President.

S. F. Aerie No. 5, F. O. E.

San Francisco, January 30, 1922.

The Honorable Mayor and Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco—Gentlemen:

Pursuant to action of the San Francisco Labor Council at its last regular meeting held Friday, January 27th, I transmit the inclosed resolution adopted by unanimous vote of the delegates in testimony of their profound sorrow at the passing away of Hon. Edward I. Wolfe, and their appreciation of his services as a public servant and humanitarian.

Organized Labor of San Francisco and the State of California feel greatly indebted to him for his lifelong friendship and unswerving fealty to the cause of those who toil, and will ever keep his name in grateful remembrance.

Respectfully

JNO. A. O'CONNELL.

Secretary.

In Memoriam.

Whereas, the people of San Francisco, of every class and station, have learned with profound sorrow of the death of Hon. Edward I. Wolfe, one of the City's most gifted, loyal and distinguished citizens, for eighteen years San Francisco's leading representative in the State Senate and for the last six years a valued and trusted member of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County; and

Whereas, the labor movement of this City and State owes to Senator Wolfe a debt of lasting gratitude and appreciation for his lifelong, manful and conscientious service as a legislator and supporter of all labor and humanitarian measures designed to protect and advance the lot of the toilers; and

Whereas, among the eminent and

memorable services rendered by him we recall with special pride and satisfaction his able, fearless and devoted legislative championship of such measures as the Anti-Injunction Bill, the Women's Eight-hour Law, the Workmen's Compensation Act and dozens of other equally brilliant chapters in the history of the California Legislature, in which contests he displayed in full measure his abilities as orator, parliamentarian and true friend of human progress; and

Whereas, it is meet and proper that his services receive the recognition to which they are entitled, and that the name of Senator Edward I. Wolfe be forever held in grateful remembrance by the labor movement of San Francisco and the State of California; therefore be it

Resolved, By the San Francisco Labor Council, in regular meeting assembled this twenty-seventh day of January, 1922, that the delegates to this Council hereby express their deep and profound sorrow at the death of labor's great friend and well-wisher, the Hon. Edward I. Wolfe; that we tender our sincere sympathy and condolence to the bereaved family of the deceased; and, as a further mark of respect and esteem, that this resolution be spread upon the minutes, and that copies of same be forwarded to the California State Federation of Labor, to the Board of Supervisors and his Honor the Mayor of San Francisco, to the press and to the widow and family of the departed public servant and humanitarian.

Adopted by the San Francisco Labor Council January 27, 1922.

JNO. A. O'CONNELL,

Secretary S. F. Labor Council.

February 2, 1922.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall,  
San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: At a regular meeting of the Motion Picture Theatre Owners of America (Northern California Division), the members in unanimous accord expressed their deepest sympathy and regrets at the passing of their friend and San Francisco's esteemed citizen, Edward I. Wolfe.

We feel that we have lost a personal friend, and the members of our organization join with the officials of San Francisco's city administration in respectful condolence to his widow.

This action was ordered spread upon the minutes of the organization and a copy forwarded to the Honorable Mayor and the Board of Supervisors and to the widow, Mrs. Edward I. Wolfe.

Respectfully yours,

MOTION PICTURE THEATRE OWNERS OF AMERICA.

By THOMAS D. VAN OSTEN,

Managing Director.

To Hon. Mayor James Rolph, Jr., and Board of Supervisors, San Francisco.

The sisters and their families of the late Edward I. Wolfe desire to express to you their sincere thanks and gratitude for the honors paid our lamented brother.

MRS. ELEANOR GREENBERG

MRS. CAROLINE WOLFE,

MRS. SARA ROTHENBERG,

MRS. MIRIAM STAATHLOREN,  
of New York.

MRS. HARRIET WEISSBEIN.

January 29, 1922.

MRS. S. WOLFE, 1285 Green st.

Leave of Absence, John F. Davis, Fire Commissioner.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., Jan. 30, 1922.  
Hon. Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application having been made to me by Hon. John F. Davis, president of the Board of Fire Commissioners, for leave of absence with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of sixty days, commencing January 30, 1922, I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,

Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and adopted:

Resolution No. 19629 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. John F. Davis, member of the Board of Fire Commissioners, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing January 30, 1922, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Coleman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

Report of Joint Committees on "Retirement System Ordinance."

The following was presented by Supervisor McLeran and read by the Clerk:

January 30, 1922.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall,  
San Francisco, Cal.

Gentlemen: The Joint Committee, consisting of the Finance, Judiciary and Civil Service Committee, to whom the Board referred the subject of the proposed retirement law for aged and disabled city employees, after carefully considering the various features of the proposed ordinance in detail and discussing its provisions with the members of the Board of Administra-

tion, L. M. King, representing the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce; Wm. H. Nanary, director of the San Francisco Bureau of Governmental Research, and other interested persons, begs leave to report, and recommends that the following changes, which do not affect the fundamental features of the proposed retirement law, and which are approved by both the Joint Committee of the Board and the Board of Administration of the Retirement System, be made and that the proposed ordinance, as amended, be passed to print:

1. That additional safeguards be thrown around the investment of funds by providing, in Section 9, that no investment shall be made except upon the affirmative vote of at least four members of the Board of Administration of the Retirement System, two of whom shall be the Auditor and the chairman of the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

2. That the method of liquidating the prior service liability of the city as set forth in Section 10 be changed in such manner that the amount annually due to the Retirement Fund under that section shall be the actual amount payable from the said fund in the ensuing fiscal year on account of prior service instead of an annual payment of one hundred and fifteen thousand dollars (\$115,000) as is provided in the proposed ordinance as it now stands.

3. That the provision in Section 11 requiring the Board of Supervisors to appropriate such *estimated amounts as may be submitted by the Board of Administration be eliminated* and a provision substituted requiring the Board of Supervisors to appropriate only such amounts as are actually necessary to make the payments required under the Charter to match the current normal contributions of the employees and the payments required on account of prior service of members.

4. That Section 12 be changed to authorize the Board of Administration, on its own initiative, to pay all of his accumulated contributions to a member who becomes permanently separated from city service instead of a part or all upon his demand.

5. That Sections 15 and 19 be changed to limit coverage for disability and death to four months after the discontinuance of city service instead of six months as is now provided in the proposed ordinance.

6. That Sections 16 and 19 be changed to provide that a member disabled or killed as a result of intemperance, wilful misconduct or violation of law on his part, shall receive under the Retirement System only the return of his accumulated contributions.

7. That the phraseology of Section 19, Subdivision (a), (2), referring to the death benefit, be changed.

8. That the date upon which the Retirement System shall become effective be changed from February 1, 1922, to April 1, 1922.

Respectfully submitted,

Finance—

R. McLERAN,  
ANGELO J. ROSSI,  
JOHN A. MCGREGOR,

Judiciary—

EDWIN G. BATH,  
J. EMMET HAYDEN,

Civil Service—

C. J. DEASY,  
WARREN SHANNON,  
JOHN D. HYNES,  
W. S. SCOTT,

Joint Committee on Finance, Judiciary and Civil Service.

**"Retirement System Ordinance."**

Whereupon, the following bill was passed for printing by the following vote:

Bill No. 5959, Ordinance No. —  
(New Series), as follows:

Establishing a retirement system for employees of the City and County of San Francisco; providing for the payment of retirement allowances to aged and disabled employees and for the payment of death benefits; prescribing the conditions under which said allowances and benefits shall be paid; fixing rates of contribution and the amounts of retirement allowances and death benefits, and providing for the administration of said retirement system in accordance with Article XVII of the Charter.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

**Purpose.**

Section 1. The purpose of this ordinance is to provide a means whereby public employees who become incapacitated as a result of age or disability may be replaced by more capable employees, thus promoting economy and efficiency in the public service without prejudice and without inflicting a hardship upon the employees removed, and at the same time to recognize a public obligation to such public employees as may die or become incapacitated, by making provision for the retirement of aged and disabled employees and the payment of death benefits.

**Definitions.**

Section 2. The following words and phrases as used in this ordinance, unless a different meaning is plainly required by the context, shall have the following meanings:

(a) "Retirement System" shall mean "San Francisco City Employees' Re-

irement System" provided for in Section 3 of this ordinance.

(b) "Employee" shall mean any person in the employ of the City and County of San Francisco whose compensation is paid wholly by the City and County.

(c) "Member" shall mean any person included in the membership of the Retirement System as provided in Section 4 of this ordinance.

(d) "City" shall mean "City and County of San Francisco."

(e) "Board" shall mean "Board of Administration" as created in Article XVII of the Charter.

(f) "Retirement Fund" shall mean "San Francisco City Employees' Retirement Fund" as created and established in Section 8 of this ordinance.

(g) "City-service" shall mean service rendered as an employee for compensation, and, for the purposes of this ordinance, a member shall be considered as being in the "city-service" only while he is receiving compensation from the city for such service.

(h) "Prior Service" shall mean the service of a member rendered before the first day of April, nineteen hundred and twenty-two, certified on a prior service certificate and allowable as provided in Section 6 of this ordinance.

(i) "Continuous Service" shall mean uninterrupted employment by the city, except that discontinuance of city-service of a member caused by lay-off, leave of absence, suspension or dismissal followed by re-entrance into the city-service within one year shall not count as a break in the continuity of service.

(j) "Beneficiary" shall mean any person in receipt of a pension, annuity, a retirement allowance, a death benefit or any other benefit provided in this ordinance.

(k) "Compensation" shall mean the compensation payable in cash plus the monetary value, as determined by the Board of Administration, of board, lodging, fuel, laundry and other advantages allowed as remuneration, but such "compensation" shall not exceed five hundred (500) dollars per month.

(l) "Compensation earnable" by a member shall mean the average compensation as determined by the Board of Administration upon the basis of the average period of employment of members in the same group or class of employment and at the same rate of pay, but such "compensation earnable" shall not exceed five hundred (500) dollars per month.

(m) "Final Compensation" shall mean the average annual compensation earnable by a member during the ten years immediately preceding his retirement.

(n) "Regular interest" shall mean interest at 4 per centum per annum, compounded annually.

(o) "Normal contributions" shall mean contributions at the rates provided for in Subdivision (a), Section 5 of this ordinance.

(p) "Additional contributions" shall mean contributions at the rates provided for in Subdivision (d), Section 5 of this ordinance.

(q) "Accumulated normal contributions" shall mean the sum of all the normal contributions, deducted from the compensation of a member, standing to the credit of his individual account, together with the regular interest thereon.

(r) "Accumulated additional contributions" shall mean the sum of all the additional contributions, deducted from the compensation of a member, standing to the credit of his individual account, together with regular interest thereon.

(s) "Accumulated contributions" shall mean accumulated normal contributions plus accumulated additional contributions.

(t) "Pension" shall mean payments for life derived from contributions made by the city as provided in this ordinance.

(u) "Annuity" shall mean payments for life derived from contributions made by a member as provided in this ordinance.

(v) "Retirement allowance" shall mean the pension plus the annuity.

(w) "Fiscal year" shall mean any year commencing with July first and ending with June thirtieth next following.

#### *Establishment and Name of Retirement System.*

Section 3. A retirement system is hereby created and established to become effective April first, nineteen hundred and twenty-two, and to be known as the "San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System."

#### *Membership in Retirement System.*

Section 4. (a) With the exception of those employees who are excluded from membership as provided in subdivision (b) of this section, all employees shall become members of the Retirement System, as follows:

(1) Every employee in city service on April first, nineteen hundred and twenty-two, the date upon which the Retirement System becomes effective, shall have the option of becoming a member of the Retirement System on that date or at any time between that date and July first, nineteen hundred and twenty-two, but every such employee in city service on July first, nineteen hundred and twenty-two, who has not exercised the option of becoming a member shall become a mem-

ber of the Retirement System on July first, nineteen hundred and twenty-two.

(2) Every employee who shall re-enter city service after April first, nineteen hundred and twenty-two, and who, prior to such re-entry, shall have completed six months of continuous service, shall become a member of the Retirement System upon such re-entry.

(3) Every other employee who shall enter city service after April first, nineteen hundred and twenty-two, shall become a member of the Retirement System upon the completion of six months of continuous service.

(b) The following employees shall not become members of the Retirement System:

(1) Elective officers and officers appointed by the Mayor.

(2) Employees of the Police Department who are entitled to the benefits provided in Chapter X, Article VIII of the Charter.

(3) Employees of the Fire Department who are entitled to the benefits provided in Chapter VII, Article IX of the Charter.

(4) Public school teachers, except teachers in the night schools who occupy and devote full time during the day to regular positions in other offices or departments of the city. Such persons shall not be considered as public school teachers for the purposes of this ordinance.

(5) Employees certified from Civil Service lists for temporary employment.

(6) Inmates of city institutions who are allowed compensation for such service as they are able to perform.

(7) Persons in city institutions principally for the purpose of training but who receive compensation.

(8) Persons employed under contract for a definite period and for the performance of specific duties requiring professional or high technical skill.

(9) Employees serving on a part-time basis.

(10) Employees engaged outside the City and County of San Francisco on the Hetch Hetchy project, provided that if any employee so excluded shall later become a member of the Retirement System through any change in status occasioned by transfer or assignment to other employment or by amendment to this ordinance, he shall receive credit for service with the City rendered prior to the date he enters the Retirement System, including service as an employee of the Hetch Hetchy project, in the same manner as credit for prior service is granted to employees who become members on April first, nineteen hundred and twenty-two.

(c) It shall be the duty of the head of each office or department to give immediate notice in writing to the Board of Administration of the change in status of any member in his office or department resulting from transfer, promotion, leave of absence, resignation, reinstatement, dismissal or death. The head of each office or department shall furnish such other information concerning any member as the Board may require.

(d) Each member shall be subject to all the provisions of this ordinance and to all the rules and regulations adopted by the Board of Administration. Should the city service of any member, in any period of ten consecutive years, amount to less than five years, or should he withdraw more than one-quarter of his accumulated normal contributions, or should he die or be retired, he shall thereupon cease to be a member.

#### *Contributions.*

Section 5. (a) The normal rates of contribution of members shall be those adopted by the Board of Administration and shall be based on sex and age at time of entry into the Retirement System. The rates so adopted shall remain in full force and effect until revised or changed by the Board of Administration in the manner provided in Section 7 of this ordinance.

(b) The normal rate of contribution established for age sixty-one shall be the rate for any member who has attained a greater age before entrance into the Retirement System. In like manner the normal rate of contribution established for age twenty shall be the rate for any member who enters the Retirement System at a lesser age.

(c) The Board of Administration shall certify to the head of each office or department the normal rate of contribution for each member provided for in Subdivision (a) of this section. The head of each office or department shall apply such rate of contribution to so much of the compensation of the member as does not exceed five hundred (500) dollars per month and shall certify to the Auditor on each and every payroll the amount to be contributed, and shall furnish immediately to the Board of Administration a copy of each and every such payroll; and each of said amounts shall be deducted by the Auditor and shall be paid into the Retirement Fund, hereinafter provided for, and shall be credited by the Board of Administration, together with regular interest, to an individual account of the member for whom the contribution was made. Every member shall be deemed to consent and agree to the

contribution made and provided for herein, and shall receipt in full for his salary or compensation, and payment less said contribution shall be a full and complete discharge and acquittance of all claims and demands whatsoever for the services rendered by such person during the period covered by such payment, except his claim to the benefits to which he may be entitled under the provisions of this ordinance.

(d) Any member may elect to contribute at rates in excess of those provided for in Subdivision (a) of this section, for the purpose of providing additional benefits, but the exercise of this privilege by a member shall not place on the City any additional financial obligation. The Board of Administration shall furnish to such member information concerning the nature and amount of additional benefits to be provided by such additional contribution.

(e) At the end of each payroll period, the Board of Administration shall determine the aggregate amount of the normal contributions for such period, and shall certify such aggregate amount to the Auditor, who shall transfer an equal amount to the Retirement Fund, hereinafter provided for, from the money appropriated for that purpose in the budget for the then current fiscal year, except that an amount equal to such portion of the aggregate amount as shall be made up of contributions of Municipal Railway employees shall be transferred from the revenues of the Municipal Railway.

#### *Allowance for Service.*

Section 6. Subject to the following and to all other provisions of this ordinance, including such rules and regulations as the Board of Administration shall adopt in pursuance thereof, the said Board shall determine and may modify allowances for service and shall issue service certificates.

It shall fix and determine how much service rendered in any year shall be the equivalent of a year of service and of parts thereof, but shall credit one year for two hundred and fifty or more days of service and not more than one year for all service in any fiscal year.

Time during which a member was absent on leave without pay shall not be allowed in computing prior service, and may be allowed in computing service as a member, only if allowed for retirement purposes both by the head of the office or department in which the member is employed and by the Board of Administration at the time said leave of absence is granted.

Each member shall file with the Board of Administration such infor-

mation affecting his status as a member of the Retirement System as the Board may require.

The Board of Administration shall issue a prior service certificate to each member entering the Retirement System on April first, nineteen hundred and twenty-two, and to each member entering the Retirement System after that date if such entry is within one year after rendering city service prior to April first, nineteen hundred and twenty-two, and shall certify thereon service rendered prior to the first day of April, nineteen hundred and twenty-two. Service certified on a prior service certificate shall be the basis for a pension or benefit as provided in this ordinance only if membership continues until retirement on a pension or until the granting of such other benefit. Such certificate shall become void and not renewable if membership is discontinued except by retirement on a pension, and may be modified upon application by a member or upon the initiative of the Board of Administration within one year from date of issuance.

#### *Duties of Board of Administration.*

Section 7. The management and control of the Retirement System shall be vested in the Board of Administration as provided in Article XVII of the Charter. The Board shall exercise the powers and perform the duties conferred on it by said article, and in addition thereto

(a) The Board shall keep in convenient form such data as shall be necessary for the actuarial valuation of the Retirement Fund created by this ordinance. In the five-year period beginning with the year nineteen hundred and twenty-two, and in every five-year period thereafter, the Board shall cause to be made an actuarial investigation into the mortality, service and compensation experience of the members and beneficiaries as defined by this ordinance, and shall further cause to be made an actuarial valuation of the assets and liabilities of the Retirement Fund created in this ordinance, and upon the basis of such investigation and valuation shall

(1) Adopt for the Retirement System such interest rate and such mortality, service and other tables as shall be deemed necessary.

(2) Revise or change the rates of contribution by members on the basis of such mortality, service and other tables.

(b) In addition to other records and accounts the Board of Administration shall keep such records and accounts as shall be necessary to show at any time

(1) The total accumulated contributions of members.

(2) The total accumulated contributions of retired members less the annuity payments made to such members.

(3) The accumulated contributions of the City held for the benefit of members on account of service rendered after April first, nineteen hundred and twenty-two.

(4) All other accumulated contributions of the City, which shall include the amounts available to meet the obligation of the City on account of benefits that have been granted and on account of prior service of members.

A portion of the accumulated contributions of the City previously held for the benefit of members on account of service rendered after April first, nineteen hundred and twenty-two, equal to the accumulated normal contributions withdrawn by a member, or paid to a beneficiary upon the death of a member or applied to purchase an annuity upon the retirement of a member shall thereafter be included in the amounts available to meet the obligations of the City on account of benefits that have been granted and on account of prior service of members.

(c) In addition to rendering the annual report to the Mayor required by the Charter, the Board shall cause to be published annually in the official newspaper a financial statement showing an actuarial valuation of the assets and liabilities of the Retirement System created by this ordinance and a statement as to the accumulated cash and securities in the Retirement Fund as certified by the Auditor.

#### *Creation and Establishment of Fund.*

Section 8. A fund is hereby created and established to be known as the San Francisco City Employees' Retirement Fund and shall consist of all the moneys paid into it in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance, whether such moneys shall take the form of cash, securities or other assets.

#### *Management of Retirement Fund.*

Section 9. The Retirement Fund shall be managed as follows:

(a) The Board of Administration shall have exclusive control, as provided in Article XVII of the Charter, of the administration and investment of the said fund, subject to the restriction that no investment shall be made except upon the affirmative vote of at least four members of the Board of Administration, two of whom shall be the Auditor and the chairman of the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors, and subject also to the terms, conditions, limitations and restrictions imposed by the laws of the State of California upon savings banks

in the making of investments by savings banks.

(b) The Board of Administration may deposit cash belonging to the Retirement Fund in any licensed national bank or banks in this State or in any bank, banks or corporations authorized or licensed to do a banking business and organized under the laws of this State, subject to the provisions of Chapter III, Article IV of the Charter.

(c) The Treasurer shall be the custodian of the Retirement Fund, subject to the exclusive control of the Board of Administration as to the administration, deposit and investment of said fund. All payments from said fund shall be made by him only upon warrant signed by the president and secretary of the Board of Administration, subject to the approval of the Auditor.

(d) Interest or any cash and on any investments constituting a part of the said fund shall be paid into said fund as received.

(e) Except as herein provided, no member and no employee of the Board of Administration shall have any interest, direct or indirect, in the making of any investment, or in the gains or profits accruing therefrom. And no member or employee of the said Board directly or indirectly, for himself or as an agent or partner of others, shall borrow any of its funds or deposits or in any manner use the same except to make such current and necessary payments as are authorized by said Board; nor shall any member or employee of said Board become an endorser or surety or become in any manner an obligor for moneys invested by the Board.

#### *Prior Service Liability.*

Section 10. There shall be paid into the Retirement Fund, by contributions of the City, the amounts necessary to pay all pensions and all other benefits allowable under this ordinance to members on account of prior service. Until the amount accumulated in the Retirement Fund becomes not less than the present value of all amounts thereafter payable from the Retirement Fund, the amount annually due to the said fund under this section shall be the amount payable from said fund in the ensuing fiscal year on account of prior service. Such proportion of the amount as is annually due to the Retirement Fund on account of the prior service of employees of the Municipal Railway shall be paid from the revenues of the Municipal Railway.

#### *Guaranty.*

Section 11. The payments of the City into the San Francisco City Employees' Retirement Fund, as provided in Subdivision (e), Section 5 of this

ordinance, and the payments into the said fund, as provided in Section 10 of this ordinance, are hereby made obligations of the City. The Board of Supervisors shall appropriate such amounts as are necessary to make such payments, less the portion to be paid from the revenues of the Municipal Railway, in the budget for each fiscal year, and the amounts so appropriated shall be included in the tax levy. The Board of Supervisors shall, also, make provision for the payment from the revenues of the Municipal Railway of such amounts as shall be necessary to meet the obligations of the City under the Retirement System on account of Municipal Railway employees.

#### *Withdrawals.*

Section 12. Should the city service of a member be discontinued, except by death or retirement, he shall be paid, six months after the date of discontinuance, such part of his accumulated contributions as he shall demand; provided that, if, in the opinion of the Board of Administration, said member is permanently separated from city service by reason of such discontinuance, he shall be paid forthwith all of his accumulated contributions, and provided, also, that the Board of Administration may, in its discretion, withhold for not more than one year after a member last rendered city service all or part of his accumulated normal contributions if, after a previous discontinuance of city service, he withdrew all or a part of his accumulated normal contributions and failed to redeposit such withdrawn amount in the Retirement Fund as provided in this section.

Any member may redeposit in the Retirement Fund by a single payment an amount equal to that which he previously withdrew therefrom. If a member upon re-entering the Retirement System after a termination of his membership shall not make such redeposit at the time of his re-entrance, the rate of his contributions for future years shall be the normal rate provided for in Subdivision (a), Section 5 of this ordinance at his age of re-entrance, otherwise his rate of contribution for future years shall be the same as his rate prior to the termination of his membership. In the event such redeposit is made by a member, an amount equal to the accumulated normal contributions so redeposited shall again be held for the benefit of said member and shall no longer be included in the amounts available to meet the obligations of the City on account of benefits that have been granted and on account of prior service of members.

#### *Service Retirement.*

Section 13. Retirement of a member for service shall be made by the Board of Administration as follows:

(a) Each member in the city service who has attained the age of seventy years at the time of his entrance into the Retirement System shall be retired forthwith, and each member in city service who attains the age of seventy years shall be retired on the first day of the calendar month next succeeding that in which the said member shall have attained the age of seventy years.

(b) Any member in the city service may retire upon written application to the Board of Administration, stating what time, not less than thirty days subsequent to the execution and filing of such application, he desires to be retired, provided that said member, at the time so specified for his retirement, shall have

(1) Completed ten years of continuous service as defined in this ordinance and attained the age of sixty-two years; or

(2) Completed thirty years of continuous service as defined in this ordinance and attained the age of sixty years.

#### *Allowance on Service Retirement.*

Section 14. (a) A member, upon retirement from service, shall receive a retirement allowance, which shall consist of

(1) An annuity which shall be the actuarial equivalent of his accumulated contributions at the time of his retirement; and

(2) A pension, purchased by the contributions of the City, equal to that portion of the annuity purchased by the accumulated normal contributions of the member; and

(3) An additional pension, purchased by the contributions of the City, which shall be equal to one and one-third (1-3) per centum of his final compensation multiplied by the number of years of prior service credited to him, except that if a member shall retire after thirty years of continuous service and before attaining the age of sixty-two years the additional pension shall be such as can be purchased at the age of retirement by the actuarial value, at the age of retirement, of a pension, deferred to age sixty-two, equal to one and one-third (1-3) per centum of his final compensation multiplied by the number of years of prior service credited to him.

(b) Any member who enters the Retirement System on April first, nineteen hundred and twenty-two, or who enters after said date and receives credit for prior service, and who is retired by reason of attaining the age of seventy years,

shall receive on account of prior service such additional pension, purchased by the contributions of the City, as will make his total retirement allowance not less than four hundred and eighty (480) dollars per year unless such four hundred and eighty (480) dollars exceeds one-half of his final compensation, in which event his total retirement allowance shall be not less than one-half of his final compensation.

*Disability Retirement.*

Section 15. Retirement of a member for disability shall be made by the Board of Administration upon medical examination as follows:

Any member while in the city-service, or within four months after the discontinuance of city-service, or while physically or mentally incapacitated for the performance of his duty if such incapacity has been continuous from discontinuance of city-service, shall be examined by a physician or surgeon appointed by the Board of Administration, upon the application of the head of the office or department in which said member is employed, or upon the application of said member or of a person acting in his behalf, stating that said member is physically or mentally incapacitated for the performance of duty and ought to be retired, provided that the said member has had ten or more years of continuous service immediately preceding his retirement. If such medical examination shows, to the satisfaction of the Board of Administration, that the said member is physically or mentally incapacitated for the performance of duty and ought to be retired, the Board of Administration shall retire the said member for disability forthwith.

The Board of Administration shall secure such medical services and advice as may be necessary to carry out the purpose of this section and of Section 17 of this ordinance, and shall pay for such medical services and advice such compensation as the Board shall deem reasonable.

*Allowance on Disability Retirement.*

Section 16. (a) Upon retirement for disability a member shall receive a retirement allowance which shall consist of

(1) An annuity which shall be the actuarial equivalent of his accumulated contributions at the time of his retirement; and if, in the opinion of the Board of Administration, such disability is not due to intemperance, wilful misconduct or violation of law, on the part of the member,

(2) A pension, which, together with his annuity, shall make the retirement allowance equal to (a) one and one-fourth ( $1\frac{1}{4}$ ) per cent of his final compensation multiplied by the number of

years of service credited to him, if such retirement allowance exceeds one-fourth of his final compensation; otherwise (b) one and one-fourth ( $1\frac{1}{4}$ ) per centum of his final compensation multiplied by the number of years of service which would be credited to him were his service to continue until attainment by him of age sixty-two, but such retirement allowance shall not exceed one-fourth of such final compensation.

(b) If, when disability is due to intemperance, wilful misconduct or violation of law, on the part of the member, the annuity to which said member is entitled under Subdivision (a) of this section be less than two hundred and forty (240) dollars per year, the Board of Administration, in its discretion, may pay to said member, in one lump sum and in lieu of said annuity, his accumulated contributions.

*Safeguards on Disability Retirement.*

Section 17. (a) The Board of Administration may, at its pleasure, require any disability beneficiary, under age sixty-two, to undergo medical examination, such examination to be made by a physician or surgeon appointed by the Board of Administration, at the place of residence of said beneficiary or other place mutually agreed upon. Upon the basis of such examination the Board shall determine whether said disability beneficiary is still incapacitated, physically or mentally, for service in the office or department of the City where he was employed and in the position held by him when retired for disability. If the Board of Administration shall determine that said beneficiary is not so incapacitated, his retirement allowance shall be canceled forthwith.

(b) Should a disability beneficiary re-enter the city-service and be eligible for membership in the Retirement System in accordance with Subdivision (a), Section 4 of this ordinance, his retirement allowance shall be canceled and he shall immediately become a member of the Retirement System, his rate of contribution for future years being that established for his age at the time of such re-entry. His individual account shall be credited with his accumulated contributions less the annuity payments made to him. An amount equal to the accumulated normal contributions so credited to him shall be again held for the benefit of said member and shall no longer be included in the amounts available to meet the obligation of the City on account of benefits that have been granted and on account of prior service of members. Such member shall receive credit for prior service in the same manner as if he had never been retired for disability.

(c) Should said disability beneficiary, prior to attaining age sixty-two, engage in a gainful occupation not in the city-service or should he re-enter the city-service and be ineligible for membership in the Retirement System in accordance with Subdivision (b), Section 4 of this ordinance, the Board of Administration shall reduce the amount of his retirement allowance to an amount which, when added to the compensation earned by him in such occupation, shall not exceed the amount of the final compensation on the basis of which his retirement allowance was determined. Should the earning capacity of such beneficiary be further altered, the Board may further alter his retirement allowance to an amount which shall not exceed the amount upon which he was originally retired, but which, subject to such limitation, shall equal, when added to the compensation earned by him, the amount of his final compensation on the basis of which his retirement allowance was determined. When said disability beneficiary reaches age sixty-two, his retirement allowance shall be made equal to the amount upon which he was originally retired, and shall not again be modified for any cause.

(d) Should any disability beneficiary under age sixty-two refuse to submit to medical examination his pension may be discontinued until his withdrawal of such refusal, and should such refusal continue for one year his retirement allowance may be canceled.

(e) Should the retirement allowance of any disability beneficiary be canceled for any cause other than re-entrance of the city service he shall be paid his accumulated contributions, less the annuity payments made to him.

#### *Optional Allowances on Retirement.*

Section 18. Until the first payment on account of any retirement allowance is made, the beneficiary may elect to receive the actuarial equivalent at that time of his retirement allowance in a lesser retirement allowance, payable throughout life with the provision that:

Option 1. If he die before he receive in payments the present value of his retirement allowance, as it was at the time of his retirement, the balance shall be paid to his estate or to such person, having an insurable interest in his life, as he shall nominate by written designation duly executed and filed with the Board of Administration at the time of his retirement.

Option 2. Upon his death, his lesser retirement allowance shall be continued throughout the life of and paid to such person, having an insurable in-

terest in his life, as he shall nominate by written designation duly executed and filed with the Board of Administration at the time of his retirement.

Option 3. Upon his death, one-half of his lesser retirement allowance shall be continued throughout the life of and paid to such person, having an insurable interest in his life, as he shall nominate by written designation duly executed and filed with the Board of Administration at the time of his retirement.

Option 4. Such other benefit or benefits shall be paid either to the beneficiary or to such person or persons as he shall nominate, provided such other benefit or benefits, together with such lesser retirement allowance, shall be the actuarial equivalent of his retirement allowance, and shall be approved by the Board of Administration.

#### *Death Benefit.*

Section 19. (a) Upon the death of a member while in the city service, or within four months after the discontinuance of city service, or while physically or mentally incapacitated for the performance of his duty, if such incapacity has been continuous from discontinuance of city service, there shall be paid to his estate, or to such person having an insurable interest in his life as he shall have nominated by written designation duly executed and filed with the Board of Administration,

(1) His accumulated contributions and, if, in the opinion of the Board of Administration, such death was not due to intemperance, wilful misconduct or violation of law, on the part of the member, in addition thereto.

(2) An amount equal to the compensation earnable by him during the six months immediately preceding his death, but such compensation earnable for any month shall not exceed five hundred (500) dollars.

(b) A member, or a beneficiary after the death of a member, may elect, by written designation duly executed and filed with the Board of Administration, to have the death benefit, provided in this section, paid in monthly or annual instalments instead of in one lump sum, subject to such rules and regulations as the said Board may adopt.

#### *No Modification on Account of Compensation Benefits.*

Section 20. No modification of the benefits provided in this ordinance shall be made on account of any amount or amounts payable to a beneficiary, as defined herein, under the provisions of the State Compensation Law.

*Monthly Payments.*

Section 21. A pension, an annuity or retirement allowance granted under the provisions of this ordinance shall be payable in equal monthly instalments.

*Exemption From Execution.*

Section 22. The right of a person to a pension, an annuity or a retirement allowance, to the return of contributions, the pension, annuity or retirement allowance itself, any optional benefit, or other right accrued or accruing to any person under the provisions of this ordinance and the moneys in the fund created under this ordinance shall not be subject to execution, garnishment, attachment, or any other process whatsoever, and shall be unassignable except as in this ordinance specifically provided.

*Estimate of Service, Compensation or Age.*

Section 23. If it shall be impracticable for the Board of Administration to determine from the records the length of service, the compensation or the age of any member, the said Board may estimate, for the purposes of this ordinance, such length of service, compensation or age.

*Service After Retirement.*

Section 24. No person who has been retired for service and who receives a retirement allowance under the Retirement System shall be paid for any service, except as a juror or as an election officer, rendered by him to the City after the date of the first payment of his retirement allowance.

*Constitutionality.*

Section 25. If any section, or sections, or part of any section of this ordinance shall be found to be unconstitutional or invalid, for any reason, the remainder of the ordinance shall not thereby be invalidated, but shall remain in full force and effect.

*Repealing Conflicting Ordinances.*

Section 26. All ordinances and parts of ordinances in so far as they conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed.

Section 27. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

**REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.**

The following committees, by their respective chairmen, presented reports on various matters referred, which reports were read and ordered *filed*:

Supplies Committee, by Supervisor Rossi, chairman.

Fire Committee, by Supervisor Schmitz, chairman.

Public Buildings Committee, by Supervisor Scott, chairman.

Health Committee, by Supervisor McSheehy, chairman.

**Relative to the Proposed Plan of Peninsula Development.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Mateo, Calif., Jan. 30, 1922.

Hon. Richard J. Welch, Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Calif.—

The Three Cities Chamber of Commerce extends its greetings to the Commercial Development Committee of the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco and congratulates the Board upon its intelligence in standing for San Francisco's expansion and growth, which is down the peninsula. Frank H. Thrall of Burlingame will still further extend our greetings and we wish you would introduce him to the Board this evening. Greater San Francisco is down the peninsula. We welcome your moral and financial support, and Thrall will tell the rest.

JOHN J. McGRATH.

President Three Cities Chamber of Commerce.

Discussion: Supervisors Welch, Scott, Schmitz, Shannon and Frank H. Thrall.

Frank H. Thrall, representing the Three Cities Chamber of Commerce, was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board. He declared that San Francisco's destiny is "down the peninsula. You must look to your laurels as the metropolis unless you co-operate in commercial development with your sister cities on the peninsula. San Mateo, Burlingame, Hillsborough and Palo Alto are progressive cities. We must all stand together. We are with you and want to co-operate with you in making San Francisco the finest, largest and best city in the United States. Our organizations," he said, "endorse the project for the creation of a site for commercial development down the peninsula and homes for three million population and we ask the financial support of the city in bringing this about."

He urged San Francisco to become a member of the Three Cities Chamber of Commerce.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented by Supervisor Welch and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 19641 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That this Board indorse the plan of Peninsula Development that proposes to create a site for a city of three million people, that all the members of the Board of Supervisors and his Honor the Mayor be designated as members of a general committee to be composed of representatives of official and civic organizations whose duty it will be to promote the plan.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

##### Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

##### Authorizations.

Resolution No. 19630 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

##### *Library Fund.*

(1) San Francisco News Co., Public Library books, etc. (claim dated Nov. 30, 1921), \$648.23.

(2) G. E. Stechert & Co., Public Library books (claim dated Nov. 30, 1921), \$1,749.14.

(3) Foster & Futernick Co., binding library books (claim dated Nov. 30, 1921), \$1,130.10.

(4) San Francisco News Co., Public Library books, etc. (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$711.27.

(5) G. E. Stechert & Co., Public Library books (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$1,505.90.

(6) San Francisco News Co., Public Library periodicals (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$2,447.25.

(7) Foster & Futernick Co., binding library books (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$737.60.

##### *Municipal Railway Fund.*

(8) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursement for December, 1921, per agreement of Dec. 12, 1918 (claim dated Jan. 14, 1922), \$912.24.

(9) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., electric railway supplies (claim dated Jan. 14, 1922), \$1,270.31.

(10) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railway, lower Market street (claim dated Jan. 14, 1922), \$2,097.13.

(11) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated Jan. 14, 1922), \$31,151.68.

##### *Special School Tax, 1920-1921.*

(12) M. Flatland, 1st payment, electrical work, Commodore Sloat School (claim dated Jan. 18, 1922), \$4,677.75.

(13) A. Lettich, 2d payment, plumbing, Parkside School (claim dated Jan. 20, 1922), \$1,072.54.

##### *South Beach Land Fund.*

(14) Healy-Tibbitts Construction Co., 4th payment, railway trestle, improvement of Aquatic Park (claim dated Jan. 18, 1922), \$626.75.

##### *School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(15) A. Holle, 1st payment, plumbing work, Crocker-Amazon School (claim dated Jan. 18, 1922), \$1,035.

##### *Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(16) Myers-Whaley Co. Inc., Hetch Hetchy shovel machinery parts (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$564.51.

(17) General Electric Co., electric supplies (claim dated Jan. 18, 1922), \$584.77.

(18) William Cluff Co., groceries, etc. (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$608.59.

(19) P. H. Reardon, screens, etc. (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$609.96.

(20) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., hardware, etc. (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$639.91.

(21) J. F. Hedden, switches, etc. (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$691.65.

(22) Sperry Flour Co., flour (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$699.68.

(23) The Worthington Co., steam pump parts (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$717.85.

(24) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Groveland revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$724.45.

(25) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Groveland revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$815.88.

(26) The Utah Construction Co., merchandise and extra expense (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$864.78.

(27) Baumgarten Bros., meats (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$875.38.

(28) American Manganese Steel Co., steel parts (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$919.20.

(29) Joshua Hendy Iron Works, gate valves (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$959.14.

(30) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., mazda lamps, etc. (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$1,109.36.

(31) Sherry Bros. Inc., eggs (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$1,134.42.

(32) Insley Mfg. Co., four dump cars (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$1,156.

(33) Sullivan Machinery Co., drill and machine parts (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$1,500.50.

(34) Ingersoll-Rand Co., couplings, fittings, etc. (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$1,929.30.

(35) Standard Oil Co. Inc., fuel oil, etc. (claim dated Jan. 18, 1922), \$2,520.96.

(36) Montague Pipe and Steel Co., pipe, fittings, etc. (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$2,816.78.

(37) John C. Thomson, professional services re \$8,520,000 water bonds (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$2,840.

(38) Standard Oil Co. Inc., fuel oil,

etc. (claim dated Jan. 18, 1922), \$3,000.15.

(39) Standard Oil Co. Inc., fuel oil, etc. (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$3,035.72.

(40) Moloney Electric Co., electric transformers (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$3,320.10.

(41) Standard Oil Co., fuel oil, etc. (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$3,085.47.

(42) General Electric Co., one Edison storage battery, etc (claim dated Jan. 18, 1922), \$4,066.36.

(43) Norman B. Livermore & Co., balance of payment on one 80-ton Shay locomotive (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$6,553.81.

(44) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., motors, transformers, etc. (claim dated Jan. 18, 1922), \$7,934.30.

*General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(45) Associated Oil Co., fuel oil, etc. Fire Dept. (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$1,495.51.

(46) Coast Rock & Gravel Co., sand, etc., Dept. Public Works (claim dated Jan. 18, 1922), \$909.40.

(47) Western Rock Products Co., sand, Dept. Public Works (claim dated Jan. 16, 1922), \$1,922.

(48) John E. Beck, final payment, construction of field house, James Rolph Jr. Playground (claim dated Jan. 18, 1922), \$9,601.

(49) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished S. F. Hospital, etc. (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$970.28.

(50) Associated Oil Co., fuel oil, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$3,394.99.

(51) Greenebaum, Weil & Michels, dry goods, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 30, 1921), \$622.50.

(52) Smith, Lynden & Co., groceries, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$1,436.05.

(53) Snow & Rothbach, fruits, etc., S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$535.95.

(54) Oliva Bros., vegetables, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$594.25.

(55) O'Brien, Spotorno & Mitchell, poultry, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$626.88.

(56) Makins Produce Co., eggs, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$2,073.60.

(57) Baumgarten Bros., meats, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$502.04.

(58) Sherry Bros., butter and cheese, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$1,341.33.

(59) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$2,913.80.

(60) A. B. C. Bakery Inc., bread, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$716.34.

(61) H. Moffat Co. Inc., meats, S. F.

Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$1,121.50.

(62) L. Dinkelspiel Co. Inc., dry goods, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$760.90.

(63) J. C. Astredo, transportation, etc., from New York to San Francisco, of police officer and Louis Wallach, charged with failure to provide; per vouchers attached (claim dated Jan. 11, 1922), \$506.60.

(64) D. J. O'Brien, Police contingent expense for February (claim dated Jan. 3, 1922), \$750.

(65) San Francisco Chronicle, official advertising for December (claim dated Jan. 23, 1922), \$963.47.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

Appropriation, \$1,000, Payment to Edmund and Kate Hogan, Land for Widening Roosevelt Way.

Resolution No. 19631 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of one thousand dollars (\$1,000) be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund, and authorized in payment to Edmund Hogan and Kate Hogan, being payment for property required for the opening and widening of Roosevelt way, as per description in acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 19583 (New Series). Claim dated Jan. 19, 1922.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

Appropriations for Aquatic Park Trestle Realignment and Plans for Fire Department Drill Tower.

Resolution No. 19632 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same here by set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds, for the following purposes, to-wit:

*South Beach Land Fund.*

(1) For expense of changing alignment of tracks of "Belt Line" railroad, construction of new roadbed, ties and trestle, necessary for improvement of Aquatic Park, \$2,156.34.

*Drill Tower (Fire Department), Budget Item No. 412.*

(2) For payment of architectural services in connection with preparation of plans and specifications for drill tower of Fire Department, \$2,000.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

**Appropriation, \$3,000, Extraordinary Expenses, District Attorney.**

Resolution No. 19633 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$3,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, to the credit of "Detection and Prosecution of Criminals" (District Attorney), Budget Item No. 181, for extraordinary expenses by the District Attorney in the detection and prosecution of crime.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

**Appropriation, \$4,000 Out of Urgent Necessity Fund to Credit of Furniture Fund.**

Resolution No. 19634 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$4,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, to the credit of "Furniture for Public Buildings," Budget Item No. 39.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

**Appropriation for Auto Road Signs.**

Resolution No. 19635 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$1,507.06 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 90, to the credit of Budget Item No. 323 (Street Signs).

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

#### **Permits.**

Resolution No. 19636 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

#### *Automobile Supply Station.*

Baroni & Nespoli, on west side of Mission street, 170 feet north of Farragut avenue; also to store 1,200 gallons of gasoline.

#### *Public Garage.*

Floyd W. Hanchett, at northwest corner of Mission and Park streets; also to store 300 gallons of gasoline.

#### *Boiler.*

Elite Plating Works, at 1034 Mission street; 3 horse power.

#### *Oil Storage Tank.*

Western Electric Company, on south side of Clementina street 240 feet east of Third street; 1,500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolu-

tion shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

**Amendments to City Planning Ordinance.**

Bill No. 5951, Ordinance No. 5552 (New Series), as follows:

Amending ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings and the location of buildings designed for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 6 of the use of property zone map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place that piece of land fronting on the southerly line of Portola drive for a distance of one hundred feet, eighteen feet (more or less) of which is opposite the termination of Kensington way, and eighty-two feet immediately easterly therefrom, and to the depth of the rear lot line, being the lot conveyed to the City for the purpose of constructing a Fire Department building thereon, in the second residential district instead of in the first residential district.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

Bill No. 5952, Ordinance No. 5553 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings and the location of buildings designed for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 3 of the use of property zone map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place both sides of Eighth avenue, between Geary street and Clement street, to the depth of the rear lot lines, in the commercial district

instead of in the second residential district.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

Bill No. 5957, Ordinance No. 5554 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

That Section 7 of the use of property zone map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place both sides of Twentieth street to the depth of the rear lot lines from Mission street to Valencia street, in the commercial district instead of the second residential district.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

Amending Second-Hand Dealers' Ordinance.

Bill No. 5953, Ordinance No. 5555 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 2365 (New Series), entitled "Requiring dealers in second-hand goods, wares, merchandise or articles of any description other than furniture and household goods, either as pawnbrokers, or otherwise, to keep a record of all purchases and sales of said articles, and to make a report of the same and deliver to the Chief of Police such report daily; also regulating the manner of conducting said business."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That Section 1 of Ordinance No. 2365 (New Series), is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 1. Every person, firm or corporation dealing in second-hand goods, wares, merchandise, or articles of any description other than books, furniture and household goods, either as pawnbroker, second-hand dealer, junk dealer, or otherwise, shall keep a record of all such articles sold or purchased, which shall at all times during business hours be open to the in-

spection of the Chief of Police or of any police officer. Such person, firm or corporation shall at least once a day make and deliver to the Chief of Police on a form to be furnished by said Chief of Police for that purpose, a full, true and complete report of all dealings in second-hand goods, wares, merchandise, or articles of any description, by such person, firm or corporation within the City and County of San Francisco, during the twenty-four (24) hours preceding said report, together with the time (meaning the hour of the day) when purchased, or sold, or otherwise dealt in or with, and a description of the person or persons from whom bought or to whom sold, or with whom dealt, and also the true name as nearly as the same is known to the person making such report. Said report shall be written in the English language, in a clear, legible manner.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

Amending License Ordinance, Second-Hand Book Stores.

Bill No. 5954, Ordinance No. 5556 (New Series), as follows:

Adding a new section to Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), entitled "Imposing license taxes on certain businesses, callings, trades or employments," to be numbered Section 62a, relative to license on second-hand book dealers.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A new section is hereby added to Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, to be numbered Section 62a, to read as follows:

Section 62a. Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of buying, selling or exchanging second-hand books or printed matter, either as a principal business or as incident to the business of buying, selling or exchanging books and other printed matter, shall pay a license of twelve dollars and fifty cents per quarter and this ordinance shall be construed as being in effect on July 1, 1920.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

Spur Track Permit.

Bill No. 5955, Ordinance No. 5557 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors to Malott & Peterson to construct, main-

tain and operate a spur track from the tracks of the Southern Pacific Company in Harrison street between Twentieth and Twenty-first streets, thence over and across Harrison street and into the property on the west side of Harrison street between Twentieth and Twenty-first streets, known as No. 2412 Harrison street.

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Malott & Peterson, to construct, maintain and operate a spur track as follows:

Commencing at a point on the tracks of the Southern Pacific Company in Harrison street between Twentieth and Twenty-first streets; thence over and across Harrison street and into the property on the west side of Harrison street between Twentieth and Twenty-first streets, known as No. 2412 Harrison street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted, and shall be construed as a part hereof, as complete as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office; and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement, and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by Malott & Peterson.

Provided, that Malott & Peterson shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps, to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

#### Establishing Grades, Vicente Street.

Bill No. 5956, Ordinance No. 5558 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Vicente street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Vicente street are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works, filed January 11, 1922.

#### Vicente Street.

Northwesterly curb line of, at the

easterly return from Forest Side avenue, 303.50 feet.

Southeasterly curb line of, on a radial line passing through the northerly line of, at the easterly return from Forest Side avenue, 302.80 feet.

Northwesterly curb line of, at the westerly return from Forest Side avenue, 299.60 feet.

Southeasterly curb line of, on a radial line passing through the northerly line of, at the westerly return from Forest Side avenue, 299.20 feet.

On Vicente street between a radial line passing through the northerly line of, at the easterly return from Forest Side avenue and a radial line passing through the northerly line of, 10.11 feet northeasterly from the first angle northeasterly from Fourteenth avenue, be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor and the present official grade of Vicente street at a radial line passing through the northerly line of, 10.11 feet northeasterly from the first angle northeasterly from Fourteenth avenue.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

#### Amending Building Law, Fireproofing Furnace Rooms.

The following bill, laid over from last meeting, was on motion again laid over one week:

Bill No. 5876, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 253 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as the Building Law, approved December 22, 1909, relating to fireproofing boiler, heating and furnace rooms.

#### Recommended.

The following bill, heretofore passed for printing, was taken up and on motion ordered *recommended to the Streets Committee*:

Repealing Ordinance Providing for Improvement of Jerrold Avenue.

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 5457 (New Series), ordering the improvement of Jerrold avenue between San Bruno avenue and Napoleon street, etc.

Ordinance No. 5457 (New Series), ordering the improvement of Jerrold avenue between San Bruno avenue and Napoleon street, etc., is hereby repealed.

This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### REPORT OF FINANCE COMMITTEE.

Demands on the Treasury amounting to \$173,378.10, and numbered consecu-

tively 35670 to 35723, inclusive, were presented and *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

#### NEW BUSINESS.

##### Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

##### Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McLeran: Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

##### Auditorium Fund.

(1) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service at Auditorium, November (claim dated Dec. 17, 1921), \$892.10.

(2) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service at Auditorium, December (claim dated Jan. 19, 1922), \$1,467.65.

##### Park Fund.

(3) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream, Children's quarters (claim dated Jan. 27, 1922), \$532.20.

(4) Producers Hay Co., hay, etc., parks (claim dated Jan. 27, 1922), \$782.88.

##### Special School Tax.

(5) Quinn & Reilly, first payment, general construction of Emerson School (claim dated Jan. 25, 1922), \$7,638.75.

##### School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(6) C. F. Weber & Co. Inc., manual training benches, Jefferson School (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$954.

(7) Braun, Knecht, Heiman Co., microscopes, Galileo High School (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$627.60.

(8) Braas & Kuhn Company, drawing tables, Galileo High School (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$1,393.

(9) E. Hogberg, first payment, brick and terra cotta work, Spring Valley School (claim dated Jan. 25, 1922), \$3,097.50.

##### Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(10) American Manganese Steel Co., steel links, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 24, 1922), \$546.69.

(11) United States Rubber Co., rubber goods (claim dated Jan. 24, 1922), \$565.27.

(12) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Groveland Revolving Fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Jan. 24, 1922), \$674.04.

(13) R. C. Curnow, bushings, bearings, etc. (claim dated Jan. 21, 1922), \$722.23.

(14) Eccles & Smith Co. Inc., one buda motor car, etc. (claim dated Jan. 24, 1922), \$902.29.

(15) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., mazda lamps, etc. (claim dated Jan. 24, 1922), \$908.98.

(16) A. S. Cameron Steam Pump Works, pump parts (claim dated Jan. 21, 1922), \$1,096.55.

(17) George H. Tay Co., black pipe (claim dated Jan. 24, 1922), \$1,655.52.

(18) Sierra Railway Co. of Cal., car service (claim dated Jan. 21, 1922), \$1,859.77.

(19) Utah Construction Co., clearing contractor payments (claim dated Jan. 24, 1922), \$5,307.92.

(20) Hercules Powder Co., gelatin and blasting caps (claim dated Jan. 24, 1922), \$6,032.51.

##### General Fund, 1921-1922.

(21) F. S. Oliver, for Estate of E. T. Bliss, refund of erroneous assessment on personal property (claim dated Jan. 27, 1922), \$624.60.

(22) Rucker-Fuller Desk Co., desks and chairs for Police Department (claim dated Jan. 23, 1922), \$542.50.

(23) Producers Hay Co., hay, Police Department (claim dated Jan. 23, 1922), \$1,232.21.

(24) O. Wrba, first payment, lathing and plastering, Fire Department Chief's residence (claim dated Jan. 25, 1922), \$1,696.50.

(25) Felix Gross Co., hauling and erecting election booths, 1921 (claim dated Jan. 19, 1922), final payment, \$1,049.89.

(26) Standard Oil Co., fuel oil, etc., Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$2,199.86.

##### Appropriations.

On motion of Supervisor McLeran: Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1, Fiscal Year 1921-1922, and authorized in payment to the following named persons; being payment for lands and improvements required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Elizabeth W. Coit, lands and improvements required for Horace Mann School; per Resolution No. 19,613 (N. S.), accepting offer, \$45,000.

(2) To Mary A. Baker, for land and improvements required for Horace Mann School; per Resolution No. 19,614 (N. S.), accepting offer, \$7,500.

(3) C. Siverson, land and improvements required for Andrew Jackson School; per Resolution No. 19615 (N. S.), accepting offer, \$12,000.

(4) Robert H. Burr, land required for Sherman School; per Resolution

No. 19616 (N. S.), accepting offer, \$5,250.

(5) Antonio Mezzacappa, land and improvements required for Horace Mann School; per Resolution No. 19617 (N. S.), accepting offer, \$10,500.

(6) Louise Patterson, land and improvements required for Horace Mann School; per Resolution No. 19618 (N. S.), accepting offer, \$12,000.

(7) S. W. Dick Co., land and improvements required for Horace Mann School; per Resolution No. 19619 (N. S.), accepting offer, \$12,500.

(8) Margaret Wallace, land and improvements required for Horace Mann School; per Resolution No. 19620 (N. S.), accepting offer, \$16,000.

(9) Margaret Malloy, land and improvements required for Pacific Heights School; per Resolution No. 19621 (N. S.), accepting offer, \$7,500.

(10) Marshall F. Jones, land and improvements required for Pacific Heights School; per Resolution No. 19622 (N. S.), accepting offer, \$7,500.

Appropriation, \$29,000, Electric Cables, etc., Municipal Railway.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$29,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund to defray cost of furnishing and delivering electric cables and splicing materials for Municipal Railway system, in accordance with Contract 129.

Plans, etc., Yerba Buena School Annex.

Also, Bill No. 5958, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for and the construction of annex and alterations to the Yerba Buena School, situate on north side of Greenwich street between Webster and Fillmore streets; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction and alterations in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor, and permitting progressive payments to be made during the course of construction and alterations.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for and to enter into contract for the construction of annex and alterations to the Yerba Buena School, situate on north side of Greenwich street between Webster and Fillmore streets, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and per-

mitted to incorporate in the contract for the said construction of annex and alterations to the Yerba Buena School conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications and as provided by Section 21, Chapter I, Article VI of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Appropriation, \$25,000, Extension of Boulevard From Lincoln Park.

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. — (N. W. Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of twenty-five thousand (25,000) dollars be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, Fiscal Year 1921-1922, for the construction of extension of boulevard from Lincoln Park westward along the cliffs bordering the Golden Gate; said boulevard extension to be constructed and said money expended under and by direction of the Park Commission of the City and County of San Francisco.

Motions.

*Supervisor Hynes*, seconded by *Supervisor Deasy*, moved as an amendment that the men who work and receive pay out of the foregoing appropriation, that their wages be not less than \$4 a day for six days in the week.

*Supervisor Hayden*, seconded by *Supervisor Rossi*, moved as an amendment to the amendment that we leave it to the discretion and judgment of the Park Commission.

*Supervisor Schmitz* moved, as a substitute for the whole, that the construction of the boulevard be done under the direction of the Park Commission, and that the wages paid be not less than those paid other park laborers doing similar work. Seconded by *Supervisor Deasy* and accepted by *Supervisor Hynes* in lieu of his original motion.

Whereupon, the roll being called, the substitute for the whole *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—16.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, McLeran—2.

Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution, as amended, was *passed for printing* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

**Passed for Printing.**

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

**Permits.**

On motion of Supervisor Schmitz:  
Resolution No. — (New Series),  
as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

**Automobile Supply Station.**

Crown Oil Company, at southeast corner of Valencia and Twenty-fourth streets; also to store 1200 gallons of gasoline.

**Oil Storage Tank.**

Hebrew Home for Aged and Disabled, on south side Silver avenue, 500 feet east of Mission street; 3000 gallons capacity.

Kiernan & O'Brien, at northwest corner of Franklin and Hayes streets; 1500 gallons capacity.

Uhl Estate, at 291 Geary street; 1500 gallons capacity.

C. Hoffman, at southeast corner of Twelfth avenue and Lake street; 1500 gallons capacity.

**Boiler.**

H. O. Harrison Co., at 1214 Van Ness avenue; 8 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

**Masquerade Ball Permits.**

Supervisor Robb presented:

Resolution No. 19637 (New Series),  
as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted the following organizations to hold masquerade balls, on the dates and at the locations herewith given, upon payment of the usual license fee:

Garibaldi Guard Mutual Aid Society, at Garibaldi Auditorium, 441 Broadway, Sunday evening, March 5, 1922.

Green Valley Grove No. 145, U. A. O. D., at Corinthian Hall, Mission street and Russia avenue, Sunday evening, March 5, 1922.

**Adopted by the following vote:**

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

Clerk to Advertise for Proposals, Printing, etc., Auditor's Annual Report.

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 19638 (New Series),  
as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk be and hereby is directed to advertise for proposals for printing and binding Auditor's Annual Report of Financial Transactions of the City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1921; also for furnishing 14 Redeemed School Bond Registers, as per specifications prepared by the Supplies Committee.

**Adopted by the following vote:**

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

**Street Lights.**

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 19639 (New Series),  
as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company is hereby instructed to install and remove street lights as follows:

**Install 250 M. R.**

Nevada street between Powhattan and Esmeralda.

Fifth avenue and Locksley.

Twenty-first avenue and Quintara street.

Staples and Edna.

Hearst between Detroit and Edna.

Congo between Congo and Martha, opposite 739 Congo street.

Ralston between Randolph and Sargent.

Capistrano between San Juan and Santa Ynez.

Chestnut between Polk and Larkin streets, 3 poles from Polk.

Filbert street between Kearny and Montgomery.

**Install 400 M. R.**

Grant avenue and Bay.

Grant avenue and Francisco.

**Remove Gas Lamp.**

Grant avenue and Bay.

Grant avenue and Francisco.

**Adopted by the following vote:**

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

**Wireless Permit.**

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 19640 (New Series),  
as follows:

Resolved, That permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Edward M. Jones to string a wireless antenna across Clay street from No. 3073 to 3016, such installation to be under the supervision and to the satisfaction of the Department of Electricity.

**Adopted by the following vote:**

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

**City Attorney to Oppose Petition Before Railroad Commission for Opening Channel at Seventh Street and Construction of Drawbridge.**

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series),  
as follows:

Whereas, Moody Estate Company, a

corporation, the Board of State Harbor Commissioners and Thomas G. Knight et al., have filed complaints with the Railroad Commission of the State of California against Southern Pacific Company, a corporation, praying for an order from the Commission directing Southern Pacific Company to remove certain structures and trestles now erected across Channel street immediately east of Seventh street in the City and County of San Francisco and to erect at the intersection of Channel and Seventh streets a drawbridge or other suitable structure for the operation of the Southern Pacific Company's trains across Channel at the intersection of Seventh street; and,

Whereas, the intersection of Seventh and Channel streets now consists of a solid fill over which public vehicular traffic passes freely, in addition to the main line tracks of the Southern Pacific Company leading into San Francisco and the Third and Townsend street depot of the company in addition to other railway tracks of said company erected on trestles or structures immediately east of the intersection of Channel and Seventh streets; and,

Whereas, the announced purpose of complainants in said action in seeking the removal of such trestles or structures at or near the crossing of Seventh and Channel streets is to permit Channel street to be bridged across Seventh street and thence westerly for a distance of approximately one block for the purpose of water transportation, which plan, if carried out would necessitate the erection of a drawbridge or other similar structure carrying general public traffic over the intersection of said streets and the operation of trains over the tracks of the Southern Pacific Company; and

Whereas, the proposed changes at said street crossing and the erection of a drawbridge or other suitable structure might entail a very considerable expense upon the City and County, both in the making of said changes and the erection of the necessary bridge at said crossing and in the maintenance of the same, which expense on the part of the City would not be justified by any benefits to accrue because of such proposed changes; now, therefore,

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby instructed to appear in said proceedings now pending before the Railroad Commission of the State of California and oppose the granting of the relief prayed for by said complainant.

#### Discussion:

F. Moodu, property owner and State Harbor Commissioner, asked reference to Commercial Development Com-

mittee that the Harbor Commissioners and property owners might be heard.

Supervisor Welch, Geo. Skaller, representing Civic League, and D. Imogen, representing Three Cities Chamber of Commerce, opposed delay and reference to committee.

#### Motion.

Supervisor Mulvihill moved to postpone for one week and that the resolution be made a Special Order of Business for 3 p. m. next Monday. All interests down the peninsula to be notified.

So ordered.

#### ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

#### Rules of Proceedings.

The Rules of Proceedings, laid over from a previous meeting, were taken up.

#### Amendment.

Supervisor Hynes offered an additional amendment to those made by the Committee, to-wit: That Public Welfare and Publicity Committee "pass on all bills chargeable against the advertising fund."

So ordered.

#### Adoption of Rules.

The following rules, as amended, were adopted, on motion of Supervisor McLeran:

Rules of Proceedings of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, 1922.

Hon. James Rolph, Jr., Mayor and Ex Officio President.

#### Members.

Edwin G. Bath, 204 Phelan Bldg.

Jesse C. Colman, 780 Market street.

Cornelius J. Deasy, 501-2 Kohl Bldg.

J. Emmet Hayden, 162 Fifteenth avenue.

John D. Hynes, 550 Page street.

John A. McGregor, 433 California street.

Ralph McLeran, 2474 Fulton street.

James B. McSheehy, 1147 Dolores street.

Margaret Mary Morgan, 762 Mission street.

Joseph Mulvihill, 750 Third avenue.

Charles J. Powers, 3879 Twenty-sixth street.

Frank Robb, 834 Octavia street.

E. E. Schmitz, 1855 Sacramento street.

William S. Scott, 185 Stevenson street.

Angelo Rossi, 123 Kearny street.

Warren Shannon, 509 Sansome street.

Richard J. Welch, 978 Guerrero street.

John G. Wetmore, 450 Front street.

J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk.

### *Rules of Proceedings*

1. The following shall constitute the Standing Committees of the Board:

- Auditorium.
- City Planning.
- Civil Service, Standardization of Salaries and Retirement System.
- Commercial and Industrial Development.
- Education, Parks and Playgrounds.
- Finance.
- Fire.
- Judiciary.
- Lands and Tunnels, and Assessment Districts.
- Lighting, Water Service, Telephone Service and Electricity.
- Municipal Band Concerts and Public Celebrations.
- Police.
- Public Buildings.
- Public Health and Garbage Disposal.
- Public Utilities.
- Public Welfare and Publicity.
- State Laws and Legislation, specially appointed by Mayor when occasion requires.
- Streets and Sewers.
- Supplies.

Every Committee shall set a stated time of meeting, or the Committee may meet at a time to be set by the chairman and every member will be expected to attend every meeting of his committee, and to be present promptly on time. The clerk of each Committee shall keep a record of the attendance of the members, and he shall report such record to the Clerk of the Board, and the Clerk of the Board shall have the report of the attendance of members at committee meeting available at all times for the information of any or all members of the Board.

2. The respective duties of each of the foregoing Committees and the time of meetings are hereby defined as follows:

*Auditorium*—To have control and management of the Municipal Auditorium as provided in Ordinance No. 5320 (New Series); to lease said building and the several halls and apartments therein; to report and recommend on applications for leasing of said building for public assemblages and gatherings; to consider and report on all matters relating to the management, conduct and maintenance of said Auditorium.

*City Planning*—To consider matters relative to City Planning and all reports of the City Planning Commission shall be referred to it for investigation and report thereon.

*Civil Service, Standardization of Salaries and Retirement System*—To consider all matters relating to Civil Service in the several departments and to promote efficiency and economy in expenditures. The Civil Service Com-

mittee shall confer with the Civil Service Commission, investigate its requirements, receive a copy of its estimate of expenditures for the following year and make its recommendations to the Finance Committee on or before May 1. To consider matters relating to the Retirement System, and all reports of the Board of Administration of the Retirement System shall be referred to it for investigation and report thereon.

*Commercial and Industrial Development*—To consider and report upon all projects tending to promote the establishment of industries in San Francisco and to co-operate with commercial and industrial organizations in all efforts to establish new industries and assist established industries, and to consider measures helpful in developing San Francisco as an industrial center; to consider the requests of any industry for privileges which the Board has power to grant and which may be properly granted for the purpose of promoting the commercial and industrial welfare of the City and assist when possible in having necessary water, electricity and gas furnished to new industries; to encourage the development of industrial districts by providing a comprehensive system of spur tracks connecting our industries with rail and water transportation, and the improvement of streets and property for commercial purposes; to consider and report upon plans for the construction of traffic terminals and generally to consider manufacturing and transportation problems as related to the industrial needs of the community; and to report on additional transportation in and out of San Francisco that is deemed necessary for the purpose of encouraging our commercial and industrial welfare; to co-operate with the United States, State officials and civic organizations in support of national and state legislation designed to promote world trade and the United States merchant marine, and to bring about the location of a foreign trade zone within the City and County of San Francisco; to inaugurate a movement to the end that the management, control and development of San Francisco's harbor be placed locally; and also to co-operate with the Federal and State authorities on all matters, especially legislation, that tend for the further development and utilization of San Francisco's harbor to meet the needs of the world's commerce; also jointly with the Streets and Sewers Committee to consider and report on applications for spur track permits.

*Education, Parks and Playgrounds*—To consider and report upon all matters relating to the Departments of Education, Parks and Playgrounds; to recommend purchase of sites for play-

grounds and recreation centers, including the Aquatic Park, and to co-operate with the Board of Education, Playground Commission and Park Commission regarding the development and increased usefulness of these departments. The Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee shall confer with the Board of Education, Playground and Park Commissions, investigate their requirements, receive a copy of their estimates of expenditures for the fiscal year and make its recommendations to the Finance Committee on or before May 1.

*Finance*—To perform all duties required by the Charter; to audit all bills and report on all matters that may be referred to it by the Board of Supervisors. (Meets Fridays at 2:30 p. m.)

*Fire*—To consider all matters relating to the Fire Department; to report on all applications for garage, boiler, laundry and other permits referred to it. The Fire Committee shall confer with the Fire Commission, investigate its requirements, receive a copy of its estimate of expenditures for the fiscal year and make its recommendations to the Finance Committee on or before May 1.

*Judiciary*—To consider and report upon the legality of all matters referred to it by the Board and to propose such amendments to the Charter as may be deemed advisable. To confer with the various courts, investigate their requirements for law books and make recommendations to the proper committee of the Board; to consider and report to the Board on all matters of licenses and rules of the Board.

*Lands and Tunnels and Assessment Districts*—To investigate and report upon proposed purchases of lands except the purchase of land for public buildings; to formulate plans for leasing City lands not needed for public purposes; to consider transfer of lands from one department to another; and all other matters pertaining to the realty of the City, other than school property; and to consider all matters relating to the construction of tunnels. To consider all assessment plans except those relating to street and sewer assessments and assessments for the construction and extension of public utilities.

*Lighting, Water Service, Telephone Service and Electricity*—To attend to the proper lighting of streets, public parks and public buildings; to investigate and correct complaints of water service and extensions thereof, and telephone service. To recommend installation and removal of City telephones. To recommend from time to time extensions of underground wire system and to have general charge of all matters pertaining to electricity

other than public lighting and amendments to the building laws.

*Municipal Band Concerts and Public Celebrations*—To have charge of the Municipal Band and conduct all concerts that are given under the auspices of the City and County of San Francisco (except concerts under the management of the Park Commissioners and the Auditorium Committee of this Board); to assist in promotion of all semi-public celebrations, dedications, etc. The Municipal Band Concerts and Public Celebrations Committee shall prepare an estimate of its expenditures for the fiscal year and make its recommendations to the Finance Committee on or before May 1.

*Police*—To consider legislation concerning the Police Department; to investigate the management and character of penal institutions; to consider all matters affecting public morals; to report upon applications for permits referred to it by the Board, including free licenses to those deserving them. The Police Committee shall confer with the Police Commission, investigate its requirements, receive a copy of its estimate of expenditures for the fiscal year and make its recommendations to the Finance Committee on or before May 1.

*Public Buildings*—To supervise the erection of all public buildings and the purchase of sites for all public buildings upon recommendations of the respective departments; to consider proposed changes in the building laws, and make recommendations thereon; to consider, report upon and supervise the repairs to public buildings, and to recommend as to the janitorial, elevator and other service required for the proper conduct of all buildings of the City and County. Also, to assign to the various offices and departments the various rooms and places in the City Hall and Hall of Justice; also confer with the Board of Public Works and investigate and receive an estimate of the proposed expenditures for repairs upon public buildings (except the Auditorium) for the fiscal year, and report its recommendations to the Finance Committee on or before May 1.

*Public Health and Garbage Disposal*—To consider all matters relating to health and sanitation; to see that institutions under the control of the Board of Health are properly conducted; to establish and maintain a high standard of service in public hospitals and Relief Home; to consider and report upon all complaints of nuisances; to make recommendations upon applications for permits which may be referred to it by the Board; also removal and destruction of garbage. The Public Health and Garbage Disposal Committee shall confer with

the Board of Health, investigate its requirements, receive a copy of its estimate of expenditures for the fiscal year and make its recommendations to the Finance Committee on or before May 1.

*Public Utilities*—To consider and pass upon all matters relating to public utilities, their acquisition, construction, control and management, whether municipally or privately owned, including transportation, lighting, power, water and steam heating.

*Public Welfare and Publicity*—To consider matters relating to the social well-being of the community, other than those heretofore provided for, and to supervise the publication of the Municipal Record and other official documents and reports, and generally to act upon all matters of public advertising and pass on all bills chargeable against the advertising fund; to consider all matters relating to the Bureau of Weights and Measures.

*State Laws and Legislation*—To be appointed by the Mayor when occasion requires. To consider all matters pending before the Legislature and proposed legislation which affects the City and County of San Francisco, directly or indirectly, and to make such recommendations to the Board as may be deemed advisable, and to appear before the State Legislature in advocacy of any measures or in opposition to measures as the Board may advise.

*Streets and Sewers*—To consider all subjects relating to the construction and maintenance of streets, highways and sewers; to pass upon applications for spur track permits; to provide for the construction of a comprehensive system of good streets, spur tracks, etc., connecting our industrial district with the Bay of San Francisco, thereby bringing ship and rail together; closing and opening of streets; cleaning of streets and such other matters as under the Charter relate to the improvement and maintenance of streets. (Meets Thursday at 2:00 p. m.)

Also, jointly with the Commercial Development Committee to consider and report on applications for spur track permits.

The Streets and Sewers Committee shall confer with the Board of Public Works, investigate its requirements for repairs and maintenance of public streets; receive a copy of its estimate of expenditures for the fiscal year and make its recommendations to the Finance Committee on or before May 1.

*Supplies*—To consider and have charge of the purchase of all supplies as provided by the Charter; to prepare schedules for general supplies and to recommend award of contracts; to inspect deliveries and quality and quantity of supplies; to pass on all requisitions for non-contract supplies; to su-

pervise the purchase and distribution of all books, stationery, etc.

#### *Rules of Order.*

3. The Mayor shall be President of the Board of Supervisors by virtue of his office. He may call extra sessions of the Board, and shall communicate to them in writing the objects for which they have convened; and their acts at such sessions shall be confined to such objects. The President shall take the chair precisely at the hour appointed for a regular, an adjourned or a special meeting, and he shall immediately call the Board to order and proceed with the order of business. It shall be the duty of the President to recognize members entitled to the floor; to state and put to vote all questions which are regularly moved, or necessarily arise in the course of the proceedings, and to announce the result of the vote; to protect the Board from annoyance from evidently frivolous or dilatory motions by refusing to recognize them; to assist in the expediting of business in every way compatible with the rights of the members; to restrain the members when engaged in debate, within the rules of order; to enforce on all occasions the observance of order and decorum among the members. During debate the President shall be seated and pay attention to the speaker, who shall be required to address his remarks to the President. In the absence of the President the Clerk shall, precisely at the hour appointed for any regular, adjourned or special meeting, immediately call the Board to order, when a President pro tempore shall be appointed by the Board for that meeting, or until the President shall appear.

The Clerk shall, immediately after the call to order, call the roll of members of the Board and the record of those present and absent shall be entered upon the journal.

4. Whenever it shall be moved and carried that the Board go into Committee of the Whole, the President shall leave the chair and the members shall appoint a chairman of the Committee of the Whole, who shall report the proceedings of said Committee.

5. The rules of the Board shall be observed in the Committee of the Whole, except the rules regulating a call for ayes and nays and limiting the time of speaking.

6. A motion, in Committee of the Whole, to rise and report the question, shall be decided without debate.

7. The Clerk shall have clips, upon which shall be kept all Bills, Ordinances, Resolutions and Reports to be acted upon by the Board, except those not reported upon by a Committee.

8. No Bill, Ordinance or Resolution shall be considered by the Board, un-

less it has been introduced by a member of the Board, or by a committee of the Board and the Bill, Ordinance or Resolution must be read by the Clerk in open meeting before being referred to committee. At the time of introduction the presiding officer shall first indicate to what committee a Bill, Ordinance or Resolution ought to be referred, and it shall be so referred, unless upon a majority vote without debate the Board shall order it referred to some other committee.

Action by the Board shall not be taken upon any Bill, Ordinance, or Resolution until it has been referred to and acted upon by a committee of the Board, unless with the consent of fifteen members.

9. The Order of Business, which shall not be departed from, except by the consent of ten members, shall be as follows:

1. Roll Call.
2. Approval of Journal.
3. Presentation of Petitions Filed with Board.
4. Communications and Reports from City and County Officers.
5. Reports of Committees.
6. Calendar Business.
7. Roll Call for the Introduction of Resolutions, Bills and Communications Not Considered or Reported on by a Committee.

10. When a Bill, Ordinance or Resolution has been reported back to the Board by a committee, all persons other than members of the Board will be presumed to have had a full hearing, but in case others desire to be heard, the Bill, Ordinance or Resolution may, upon a majority vote of the Board, be re-referred to the committee from which it was reported, where others than members of the Board may be heard further upon the subject-matter. No one other than a member of the Board or an ex-Mayor shall be permitted to address the Board except in Committee of the Whole Board, or if otherwise provided by the Charter.

11. If any question under debate contains several points, any member may have the points segregated and acted upon separately.

12. At the meeting succeeding that at which a vote on any Bill, Ordinance or Resolution has been taken, said vote may be reconsidered on motion of any Supervisor; provided, notice of intention to move such reconsideration shall have been given on the day at which such vote was taken, by a Supervisor voting with the prevailing side; and, provided further, that the vote upon such motion to reconsider shall not be taken before the next regular meeting of the Board. No question shall be reconsidered more than once, and notice of reconsideration shall

apply only to the main question. Said motion for reconsideration shall have precedence over every other motion except a motion to adjourn. It shall require a majority vote to carry any motion to reconsider the vote by which any Bill, Ordinance or Resolution has been passed or defeated. A Supervisor, after notice to reconsider is given, may, at the reconsideration thereof, present the main question in his argument thereon, and the same may be debated by the Board, provided, that the subject-matter is debatable.

13. A motion to refer or lay on the table until decided shall preclude all amendments to the main question. A motion to lay on the table or to postpone indefinitely shall require a majority vote of all members of the Board.

14. It shall be the duty of the Clerk to issue such certificates as may be required by Ordinances or Resolutions and transmit copies of said Ordinances or Resolutions to the various departments affected thereby. It shall also be the duty of the Clerk to cause the publication in the official newspaper of all Bills, Ordinances, proposals and awards as required by the Charter.

15. All accounts and bills shall be referred to the Finance Committee, provided that any committee having jurisdiction over expenditures may request that bills be first sent to that committee before being acted upon by the Finance Committee and the Board.

16. The President shall preserve order and decorum, and prevent demonstrations of approval or disapproval on the part of persons in the Chambers of the Board, and shall decide questions of order, subject to an appeal to the Board.

17. When a Supervisor desires to address the Board he shall arise in his place, address the presiding officer, and when recognized he shall proceed to speak. No Supervisor shall be recognized when seated or when away from his seat.

18. No Supervisor shall speak more than twice in any one debate on the same day, and at the same stage of the Bill, Ordinance, Resolution or Motion without the consent of a majority of the Board; and Supervisors who have once spoken, shall not again be entitled to the floor so long as any Supervisor who has not spoken desires to speak. No Supervisor shall be allowed to speak more than five minutes on any question except by leave of a majority of the Board, except that the author shall have five minutes to open and ten minutes to close.

19. No Supervisor shall be interrupted when speaking, and no question shall be asked him except through the presiding officer, and the speaker shall decide if, or when, he shall answer.

20. The author of a Bill, Ordinance, Resolution or Motion shall have the opening and closing of the debate.

21. When two or more Supervisors arise at the same time to address the Board, the presiding officer shall designate the Supervisor who is entitled to the floor.

22. No motion shall be debated until the same has been seconded and distinctly announced by the presiding officer, and it shall be reduced to writing if so desired by a majority of the Board, and read by the Clerk, before the same shall be debated.

23. A motion shall not be put or debated until seconded. When seconded it shall be stated by the chairman before debate.

24. After a motion has been stated by the President, it shall be deemed to be in the possession of the Board, but it may be withdrawn by the mover thereof, with the assent of the second, before it is acted upon.

25. Upon a call of the Board the names of the members shall be called over by the Clerk, and the absentees noted. Those for whom no excuses or insufficient excuses are made may, by order of those present, be sent for and be brought to the Chambers of the Board by the Sergeant-at-Arms or by special messengers appointed for the purpose. Proceedings under the call may be dispensed with by the vote of a majority of the members present.

26. When a question is under debate, no action shall be entertained except:

To adjourn;

Call of the Board;

To lay on the table;

The previous question;

To postpone to a certain day;

To commit or amend;

To postpone indefinitely;

which several motions shall have precedence in the order in which they are arranged; provided, however, that during a call of the Board it may consider and transact any matter or business that the Supervisors there present shall unanimously decide to consider, but no call of the Board shall be had during a call of the Board, on any matter or business so taken up or considered while the Board is under call.

27. A motion to adjourn shall be decided without debate.

28. The previous question shall be put in the following form: "Shall the previous question be now put?" It shall only be admitted when demanded by three Supervisors, and its effect shall be to put an end to all debate except that the author of the Bill, Ordinance, Resolution or Motion or Amendments shall have the right to close, and the question under discussion shall thereupon be immediately put to a vote. On

a motion for the previous question prior to a vote being taken by the Board a call of the Board shall be in order.

29. Every member present when a question is put shall vote for or against it, unless the Board shall excuse him from voting, or unless he is interested in the question. But no member shall be permitted to vote upon a question unless present when his name is called or before the vote is announced.

30. If any member, in speaking, or otherwise, transgresses the rules of the Board, the presiding officer shall, or any member may, call him to order; in which case the member so called to order shall immediately sit down, and, if called to order by a member, such member shall immediately state the point of order. If the point of order be sustained by the chair the member shall not be allowed to proceed, but if it be not sustained then he shall be permitted to go on. Every such decision from the chair shall be subject to an appeal to the Board, but no discussion of a question of order shall be allowed, unless an appeal is taken from the decision of the chair. The question on appeal shall be: "Shall the decision of the chair stand as the decision of the Board?"

If any member be called to order for offensive words spoken in debate, the person calling him to order shall report the words excepted to and they shall be taken down in writing by the Clerk, and no member shall be held to answer or be subject to censure by the Board, for language used in debate, if any member has spoken or other business has intervened after the words are spoken and before exception to them shall have been taken.

31. After the Board has acted, the names of those who voted for and those who voted against the question shall be entered upon the Journal, not only in cases required by law but when any member may require it; and on all Bills, Ordinances and Resolutions on final passage the ayes and nays shall be called by the Clerk and recorded.

32. All appointments of officers and employees shall be made by a majority of the members of the Board. The Clerk shall assign the Assistant Clerks to their several duties, and shall immediately transmit to the Mayor all resolutions and ordinances which, under the law, require executive approval. The service of the chauffeur shall be under the direction of the Finance Committee.

33. No member shall leave the Board during its session without permission from the President.

34. All Committees shall be appointed by the Board, unless otherwise

ordered by the Board. Committees shall report on any subject referred to them by the Board a statement of facts and also their recommendations thereon, in writing; and no report shall be received unless it be signed by a majority of the Committee. Whenever a Committee recommends that a contract be awarded to anyone other than the lowest bidder thereon, said Committee shall state specifically in its report its reasons for such recommendation. Unless otherwise ordered, a Committee shall report upon all subjects referred to it within thirty days thereafter.

35. The Clerk shall prepare and cause to be printed and placed on the desks of the members on days of meeting, at least 30 minutes before such a meeting, a calendar of matters to be presented to the Board at said meeting. Every petition or other written instrument intended to be presented to the Board must be delivered to the Clerk not later than 12 o'clock noon on Saturday, or on the day preceding the meeting; upon the request of the President or of any member, its contents shall be read in full.

36. All requisitions for supplies and all petitions, protests and communications of a routine character shall be referred by the Clerk to the proper Committee without action of the Board.

37. Upon adjournment the members of the Board shall not leave their places until the President leaves the chair.

38. Ten members shall constitute a quorum to transact business, and no Bill, Ordinance, Resolution or Amendment thereto shall pass without the concurrence of at least that number of members; but a smaller number may adjourn from day to day.

39. Except when otherwise provided by these rules, the Charter or law, a majority vote of the members present shall be necessary for the adoption of any motion.

40. On any questions or points of order not embraced in these rules the Board shall be governed by the rules contained in Roberts' Rules of Order.

41. No standing rules or order of the Board shall be rescinded or changed without the affirmative vote of fifteen members. The Board by a majority vote shall reduce or extend the time of debate. All proposed amendments to these rules shall be, upon presentation, referred to the Judiciary Committee without debate.

42. In calling the roll the Clerk shall call only the surnames of the members, prefixing the word Supervisors to the surname of the Supervisor first called.

43. No smoking shall be permitted in the chambers of the Supervisors during the sessions of the Board.

44. The Clerk shall keep a record of all requests and instructions directed by the Board of Supervisors to any officer or board of the City and County and the action thereon of such officer or board. The record of such request and instructions, until acted upon by such officer or board, shall be read by the Clerk at each regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors.

45. No person engaged in presenting to the Board of Supervisors or any of its committees any business shall be permitted on the floor of the Board at any time while the Board is in session, and any person transgressing this rule shall be removed from the floor. In case of any disturbance or disorderly conduct in the chambers, or whenever he shall deem it necessary, the presiding officer (or the Chairman of the Committee of the Whole Board) or the Board by a majority vote shall have the power to order the chambers cleared.

46. In debate a member must confine himself to the question before the Board, and he must avoid personalities. A member shall not reflect upon any act of the Board unless he intends to conclude his remarks with a motion to rescind such action, or else while debating such a motion. It will not be permissible to arraign the motives of a member, but the nature or consequences of a measure may be condemned in strong terms. It being the measure and not the man or his motives that is the subject of debate.

47. No member of the Board of Supervisors, Chairman of a Committee, or Committee of said Board, shall employ or engage the services of any person, or authorize or incur any charge, debt or liability against the City and County, unless authority therefor shall have been first given by the Board of Supervisors by Resolution or Ordinance, except as otherwise provided by law.

48. Before taking up any other business that has not been especially set for a time certain, all matters on the calendar shall be disposed of.

#### *Memorandum of Charter Provisions.*

Page 4, Section 3, Chapter 1, Article II—Quorum consists of 10 members.

Page 5, Section 8, Chapter 1, Article II—No bill shall become an ordinance or resolution be adopted unless it receives 10 votes.

Page 7, Section 16, Chapter 1, Article II—Fourteen votes necessary to override Mayor's veto of resolution or ordinance.

Page 13, Chapter 2, Article II—Lease of City lands requires two-thirds vote of Board (12 votes).

Page 19, Section 6, Chapter 2, Article II—Street railway franchises require three-fourths vote (14 votes) of all the

members of the Board, while five-sixths vote (15 votes) of all the members of the Board is necessary to pass these ordinances *if the Mayor vetoes same*.

Page 22, Section 9, Chapter 2, Article II—Sale of City lands requires 15 votes.

Page 30, Section 3, Chapter 1, Article III—Budget ordinance requires 10 votes.

Page 30, Section 4, Chapter 1, Article III—15 votes are necessary to override Mayor's veto of budget.

Page 31, Section 8, Chapter 1, Article III—15 votes necessary to appropriate from Urgent Necessity Fund.

Page 33, Section 13, Chapter 1, Article III—To suspend temporarily limit of taxation to meet emergency requires unanimous vote of 18 members of the Board and approval of the Mayor.

Page 76, Section 1, Chapter 1, Article VI—Contracts for street work require 14 votes.

Page 78, Section 2, Chapter 2, Article VI—When cost of sewer or drain is in excess of \$5 per lineal front foot of abutting property and work is disapproved by the Board of Works, it requires 14 votes of the Board of Supervisors to pass ordinance ordering such work done.

If application for work is made, the expense of which is to be paid by City and County, and work is not recommended by the Board of Public Works, it requires 14 votes of the Board of Supervisors to order such work done.

Page 98, Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI—Ordinance providing for street improvements in 10-year installments requires 15 votes.

Page 100, Section 1, Chapter 3, Article VI—Opening and improvement of streets, etc., requires 12 votes.

Page 120, Section 17, Chapter 6, Article VI—15 votes are required to modify or change procedure as provided in the Charter for changing street grades and the performance of work in connection therewith.

Page 121, Section 1, Chapter 8, Article VI—Ordinance providing for tunnel, subway and viaduct construction requires 12 votes.

Page 218, Section 19, Article XVI—Suspension of an elected officer by the Mayor requires approval of 14 votes of the Board of Supervisors to cause removal.

Page 223, Section 35, Article XVI—Appointment of additional deputies, clerks or employees require 14 votes.

**Vitrified Brick Pavement, Vallejo Street.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented for the Streets and Finance Committee:

Resolution No. 19642 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works be authorized to use the appropriation set aside in Budget Item No.

95 for the reconstruction of Vallejo street between Divisadero and Broderick streets and Pierce and Scott streets, for the pavement of these two blocks with a strip of vitrified brick, fourteen feet, in the center. This change is authorized by reason of a mistake on the part of the Board of Public Works delivering all of the brick to Vallejo street between Pierce and Scott streets instead of delivering them on Vallejo street between Divisadero and Broderick streets.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

#### **Standing Committees of the Board.**

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 19643 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Standing Committees of this Board be constituted as follows, the first-named members to be chairman thereof:

Auditorium — Hayden, Powers, Schmitz.

Civil Service and Standardization of Salaries and Retirement System—Deasy, McLeran, Scott, Hynes, Shannon.

Education, Parks and Playgrounds—Morgan, Schmitz, Welch, Rossi, McSheehy.

City Planning—McGregor, Bath, Powers, Deasy, Hayden.

Finance—McLeran, Rossi, McGregor.

Fire—Schmitz, Morgan, Deasy.

Judiciary—Bath, Hayden, \_\_\_\_\_.

Lands and Tunnels and Assessment Districts—Harris, McLeran, Hynes.

Lighting, Water, Telephone Service and Electricity — Powers, Harris, Welch.

Police—Robb, McGregor, Bath.

Municipal Concerts and Public Celebrations—Hynes, Bath, McLeran.

Public Buildings—Scott, Harris, Powers.

Public Health and Garbage Disposal—McSheehy, Shannon, Deasy, Mulvihill, Scott.

Public Utilities—Shannon, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Morgan, Schmitz.

Public Welfare and Publicity—Colman, Mulvihill, Hynes, Morgan.

Streets and Sewers—Mulvihill, Welch, McGregor, Scott, Robb.

Supplies—Rossi, Robb, Harris, Shannon, McSheehy.

State Laws and Legislation—Specially appointed by the Mayor when occasion requires.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, Mc-

Gregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

**Extension of Time, Schultz Construction Company.**

Supervisor Schmitz presented:  
Resolution No. 19644 (New Series),  
as follows:

Resolved, That Schultz Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of sixty days' time from and after January 27, 1922, within which to complete the contract for construction of a fire cistern at Lakeview avenue and Josiah street.

This *first* extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor was delayed by inclement weather and also in obtaining a permit to blast.

(Recommendation of Board of Public Works, filed January 27, 1922.)

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

**San Francisco Exhibit, Nave Ferry Building.**

Supervisor Shannon presented:  
Resolution No. — (New Series),  
as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors hereby expresses its favorable consideration of installing an exhibit of San Francisco in the nave of the Ferry Building by the California Development Association, and that the Finance Committee be requested to make an appropriation of fifteen thousand dollars for the purpose of defraying the expense of putting the building in shape for the information bureau and exhibit.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

**Sale of Basalt Blocks.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:  
Resolution No. — (New Series),  
as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction, after advertising for five days, approximately one million old basalt blocks which are now either located at the southeast corner of Jefferson and Jones streets or may be hereafter hauled there from the streets being reconstructed in that vicinity, said basalt blocks being unfit or unnecessary for use in the repair or the reconstruction of accepted streets of the City and County. The said sale is to be under such terms and conditions as the Board of Public Works may prescribe and deemed by it to be for the best advantage of the City and County.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

**Accepting Offer of Chas. A. Adams to Sell Land for School Purposes.**

Supervisor Scott presented:  
Resolution No. 19645 (New Series),  
as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Charles A. Adams to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements situate on the west line of Webster street, 103 feet 8½ inches northerly from Jackson street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land and improvements is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, with improvements thereon, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of \$8,500 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Webster street, distant thereon 103 feet 8½ inches northerly from the northerly line of Jackson street, running thence northerly along said westerly line of Webster street 24 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 137 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle southerly 24 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 137 feet 6 inches to the said westerly line of Webster street and point of commencement; being a portion of Western Addition Block 318.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured, or sufficient moneys reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County, upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

**S. F. S. P. C. A. Commended for Care of Last Fire Horse.**

Supervisor Hynes presented:  
Resolution No. 19646 (New Series),  
as follows:

Whereas, the recent complete motorization of the San Francisco Fire Department has displaced all the fire

horses formerly owned by this City; and

Whereas, Brownie, 29 years of age, the last horse to be driven in any San Francisco fire apparatus, was taken January 29, 1922, to the Animal's Home Farm Pasture at Martinez, maintained by the San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, where he has joined seven other pensioned fire horses who are on pasture for the remainder of their lives at the expense of the Society; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors commend the action of the Society in volunteering to care for these horses, all of whom served during the great fire of 1906, and hereby extends a vote of thanks to the Board of Trustees of the San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals for their action in the premises.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

Repealing Ordinance, Construction of Addition to High School of Commerce.

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Bill No. 5366, Ordinance No. — (New Series), repealing Ordinance No. 5536 (New Series).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5536 (New Series), authorizing, instructing and empowering the Board of Public Works to prepare plans and specifications for and to enter into contract for the construction of an addition to the High School of Commerce to be erected on the north side of Fell street between Van Ness avenue and Franklin street, be and the same is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

*Passed for printing* under suspension of the rules.

Commemoration Exercises, Skyline Boulevard.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 19647 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the construction of the first unit of the so-called Skyline boulevard will commence on February 13, and

Whereas, such construction will be evidence of the desire and efforts of San Francisco to extend and enlarge the means of communication with San Mateo, Santa Clara and Santa Cruz counties and to promote the community of spirit with our neighboring political subdivisions; therefore,

Resolved, That the Committees on Commercial and Industrial Development and Streets and his Honor the Mayor, in co-operation with the Board of Directors of Joint Highway District No. 1, arrange for suitable exercises to commemorate the event herein stated.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

Potrero Extension, Municipal Railway.

Mrs. Cosh, resident of the Potrero District, was granted the privilege of the floor and invited the members of the Board to attend a mass-meeting to be held at the Patrick Henry School on Kansas street between Eighteenth and Nineteenth streets on Sunday afternoon, February 12, 1922, in the matter of a proposed extension of the Municipal Railway into the Potrero District. The Public Utilities Committee agreed to attend in a body.

Streets in Sunnyside.

Supervisor Welch asked the Finance Committee to take up with the Board of Public Works the putting of certain streets in Sunnyside District in suitable repair to permit fire and emergency hospital apparatus to serve the district.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 6:35 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors February 20, 1922.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series), of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, February 6, 1922.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



**THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY**

77 Sutter Street, S. F.

J

cis

re

im

Ha

Le

Pe

St

m

pr

tt

re

P

de

tt

re

b

v

p

th

H

G

it

J

n

r

S

t

G

n

h

e

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 6, 1922.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, February 6, 1922, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of January 3, 1922, was *approved*.

Death of Helen P. Sanborn, School Director.

*Supervisor Morgan* moved that when the Board adjourns it does so out of respect to the memory of Mrs. Helen P. Sanborn, School Director, recently deceased; also that a committee of three be appointed to draft suitable resolutions in respect to her memory.

*Motion adopted by a rising vote.*

Committee on Resolutions appointed by his Honor Mayor Rolph: Supervisors Morgan, McLeran, Hayden.

Letter of Sympathy, Edward I. Wolfe.

The following communications were presented, read and ordered *spread in the Journal*:

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, San Francisco, California—

Gentlemen:

I beg to inform you that at the meeting of the Board of Directors of the Down Town Association held Monday, January 30th, the following was unanimously adopted and entered upon the records of the Down Town Association:

"Edward I. Wolfe, Senator, Publicist, Supervisor, Lawyer, Orator, Humanitarian, passed from our midst to the Great Beyond, his new vision to gain.

"He distinguished his life and his memory by his loyalty to his country, his God, and his City, and throughout his long and distinguished public career

he gave without stint his exceptional talents and his efficacy to the upbuilding and welfare of San Francisco, the City that he served.

"With rare courage and fortitude in his latter days, and at the sacrifice of his energies, he never faltered in his work and duties to the cause of the public.

"He passed as he lived, in action, courageously singing the song of praise of the City that he loved:

"So fades a summer cloud away,  
So sinks the gale when storms are o'er,

So gently shuts the eye of day,  
So dies a wave along the shore."

"To his family he so dearly loved, and to his colleagues, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors, who held him in such high esteem, we extend our sincere sympathy."

Respectfully yours,

JOS. M. CUMMING,  
Executive Secretary.

San Francisco, Cal.

February 7, 1922.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall,  
San Francisco, Cal.—

Gentlemen:

As of interest to you, I am sending you herewith a letter received by the Mayor from Mr. Edward B. Rowan, State Secretary, American Association for the Recognition of the Irish Republic, transmitting resolution of this organization in regard to the death of our late friend, Supervisor Edward I. Wolfe.

Very sincerely yours,

W. F. BENEDICT,  
Asst. Secretary to the Mayor.

San Francisco, Cal.

January 31st, 1922.

Hon. James Rolph, Mayor of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco, Calif.  
Your Honor:

I wish to express to you and the members of the Board of Supervisors, for the members of this association, the officers and myself, our condolence at the demise of your late colleague and Supervisor, Edward I. Wolfe.

He was a man of unblemished character and great attainment. A firm exponent of the principles of righteousness, and possessed of a broad toler-

ance and depth of understanding that endeared him to all.

His words of counsel and advice shall be truly missed in the councils of our city government. In his death our city has been bereft of a great citizen and loyal servant of the people.

With his family, yourself, colleagues and the people of our city we deeply mourn his passing.

Sincerely yours,

EDW. B. ROWAN.

#### Relief of Unemployed.

*Communication* — From Associated Charities, requesting an additional appropriation of \$10,000 to Chas. Nailenberg for relief of unemployed family men.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

#### Analysis of Retirement Ordinance.

*Communication* — From San Francisco Bureau of Governmental Research, transmitting its analysis of the Retirement Ordinance, which analysis has been sent to civic, commercial, labor and other organizations in San Francisco.

Read and ordered *filed*.

#### Unfavorable Advertising in Eastern Papers.

*Communication* — From Building Trades Council, calling attention to "ad" running in Eastern papers inviting workmen to San Francisco, and alleging that employment here is plentiful and workers well paid.

*Supervisor McLeran* moved that his Honor the Mayor be requested to issue a proclamation notifying the world of the unemployment situation in San Francisco; also that unemployed be warned against coming here; also that communication be referred to the Public Welfare Committee.

Discussion: Mayor Rolph, P. H. McCarthy.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was *adopted unanimously*.

#### Proposed Amendment to Traffic Ordinance.

*Communication* — From Secretary of the Board of Education, in re change in traffic regulations looking to safety of students attending Mission High School.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

#### Washington's Birthday Exercises.

*Communication* — From Sons of the American Revolution, inviting attendance at commemorative exercises at Houdin statue of Washington at Palace of Fine Arts, on February 22, 1922.

Read and *accepted*.

#### Street Signs.

*Communication* — From the North Central Improvement Association and John B. Casserly, expressing appreciation of the new street signs being

erected in the downtown district, were read and ordered *filed*.

#### Peninsula Development Plan Endorsed.

*Communication* — From Down Town Association, endorsing proposed plan for development of industrial sites south of Hunters Point.

Read and ordered *filed*.

#### SPECIAL ORDER, 3 P. M.

Resolution —, Instructing the City Attorney to appear before the Railroad Commission and oppose, on behalf of the City, the plea of Moody Estate Co., a corporation, the Board of Harbor Commissioners and Thos. G. Knight et al., that Railroad Commission issue order directing Southern Pacific Company to remove certain structures and trestles now erected across Channel street, immediately east of Seventh street, and to erect at Seventh and Channel streets a drawbridge or other suitable structure for the operation of the Southern Pacific Company's trains.

*Supervisor Robb* moved rereference to the Commercial Development and Streets Committee.

Discussion: Supervisors Robb, McLeran, Welch, Shannon, Hayden.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

*J. J. McGrath*, chairman of the Three Cities Chamber of Commerce and Postmaster of San Mateo was granted the privilege of the floor, speaking to the question of reference. He declared that this was a move to defeat the measure by delay; that he had overheard a remark made to Attorney Ryan by a person unknown to him, that if ever it went into committee it would never come out. He urged that there be no delay and that the matter be acted upon today.

*Attorney D. Ryan*, of the Board of Harbor Commissioners, urged reference to committee, where all parties can be given a full hearing. He denied that anyone had said to him that if it went into the committee it would never come out.

*Geo. Skaller*, representing the Civic League, also addressed the Board in protest against any delay in the adoption of the resolution. He opposed reference to the committee.

*Adolph Uhl*, secretary of the Civic League, opposed delay, but, if postponed, wanted ample opportunity to present all the facts.

*Supervisor Hickey* of San Mateo, *D. C. Imboden*, manager Three Cities Chamber of Commerce, *C. M. Kirkbride*, City Attorney of San Mateo, *Jno. G. Cunningham*, Postmaster of South City, and *Mr. Malcom*, City Attorney of Santa Clara.

*Attorney Foulds*, representing the Southern Pacific Company, was also heard in opposition to postponement.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor

Robb, the foregoing matter was referred to the Commercial Development and Streets committees jointly.

**Action Deferred.**

The following matter was, on motion of Supervisor McLeran, *laid over two weeks*:

*Ellingwood Property.*

Presented by Supervisor McLeran:

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is recited in the title of this ordinance, is hereby amended as follows:

That Section 2 of the use of property zone map, constituting part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the block bounded by Vallejo, Broadway, Scott and Divisadero streets in the first residential district instead of the second residential district.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

*October 31, 1921—Presented by Supervisor McLeran and referred to Special Zoning Committee.*

*November 28, 1921—Over one week.*

*December 5, 1921—Over sixty days.*

*February 6, 1922—Over two weeks.*

**PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.**

**Cement.**

The following bidders presented bids for supplying cement to the City and County for period ending June 30, 1922, to-wit:

1. Old Mission Portland Cement Co.
2. Pacific Portland Cement Co.
3. Western Lime and Cement Co.
4. Henry Cowell Lime and Cement Company.
5. Standard Portland Cement Corporation.
6. Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co.

*Referred to Supplies Committee.*

**REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.**

The following committees, by their respective chairmen, presented reports on various matters referred, which reports were presented and ordered *filed*:

Public Buildings Committee, by Supervisor Scott, chairman.

Supplies Committee, by Supervisor Rossi, chairman.

Public Utilities Committee, by Supervisor Shannon, chairman.

Streets Committee, by Supervisor Mulvihill, chairman.

Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee, by Supervisor Morgan, chairman.

Commercial Development and Streets Committee, by Supervisor Welch, chairman.

Fire Committee, by Supervisor Schmitz, chairman.

Lighting, Telephone, Water and Electricity Committee, by Supervisor Powers, chairman.

**UNFINISHED BUSINESS.**

**Final Passage.**

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Authorizations.**

Resolution No. 19648 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

*Auditorium Fund.*

(1) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service at Auditorium, November (claim dated Dec. 17, 1921), \$893.10.

(2) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service at Auditorium, December (claim dated Jan. 19, 1922), \$1,467.65.

*Park Fund.*

(3) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream, Children's quarters (claim dated Jan. 27, 1922), \$532.20.

(4) Producers Hay Co., hay, etc., parks (claim dated Jan. 27, 1922), \$783.88.

*Special School Tax.*

(5) Quinn & Reilly, first payment, general construction of Emerson School (claim dated Jan. 25, 1922), \$7,638.75.

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(6) C. F. Weber & Co. Inc., manual training benches, Jefferson School (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$954.

(7) Braun, Knecht, Heiman Co., microscopes, Galileo High School (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$627.60.

(8) Braas & Kuhn Company, drawing tables, Galileo High School (claim dated Jan. 17, 1922), \$1,393.

(9) E. Hogberg, first payment, brick and terra cotta work, Spring Valley School (claim dated Jan. 25, 1922), \$3,097.50.

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(10) American Manganese Steel Co., steel links, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 24, 1922), \$546.69.

(11) United States Rubber Co.,

rubber goods (claim dated Jan. 24, 1922), \$565.27.

(12) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Groveland Revolving Fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Jan. 24, 1922), \$674.04.

(13) R. C. Curnow, bushings, bearings, etc. (claim dated Jan. 21, 1922), \$722.23.

(14) Eccles & Smith Co. Inc., one buda motor car, etc. (claim dated Jan. 24, 1922), \$902.29.

(15) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., mazda lamps, etc. (claim dated Jan. 24, 1922), \$908.98.

(16) A. S. Cameron Steam Pump Works, pump parts (claim dated Jan. 21, 1922), \$1,096.55.

(17) George H. Tay Co., black pipe (claim dated Jan. 24, 1922), \$1,655.52.

(18) Sierra Railway Co. of Cal., car service (claim dated Jan. 21, 1922), \$1,859.77.

(19) Utah Construction Co., clearing contractor payments (claim dated Jan. 24, 1922), \$5,307.92.

(20) Hercules Powder Co., gelatin and blasting caps (claim dated Jan. 24, 1922), \$6,032.51.

#### *General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(21) F. S. Oliver, for Estate of E. T. Bliss, refund of erroneous assessment on personal property (claim dated Jan. 27, 1922), \$624.60.

(22) Rucker-Fuller Desk Co., desks and chairs for Police Department (claim dated Jan. 23, 1922), \$542.50.

(23) Producers Hay Co., hay, Police Department (claim dated Jan. 23, 1922), \$1,232.21.

(24) O. Wrba, first payment, lathing and plastering, Fire Department Chief's residence (claim dated Jan. 25, 1922), \$1,696.50.

(25) Felix Gross Co., hauling and erecting election booths, 1921 (claim dated Jan. 19, 1922), final payment, \$1,049.80.

(26) Standard Oil Co., fuel oil, etc., Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1921), \$2,199.86.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

#### *Appropriations.*

Resolution No. 19649 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1, Fiscal Year 1921-1922, and authorized in payment to the following named persons; being payment for lands and improvements required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Elizabeth W. Coit, lands and improvements required for Horace Mann School; per Resolution No. 19,613 (N. S.), accepting offer, \$45,000.

(2) To Mary A. Baker, for land and improvements required for Horace Mann School; per Resolution No. 19,614 (N. S.), accepting offer, \$7,500.

(3) C. Siverson, land and improvements required for Andrew Jackson School; per Resolution No. 19615 (N. S.), accepting offer, \$12,000.

(4) Robert H. Burr, land required for Sherman School; per Resolution No. 19616 (N. S.), accepting offer, \$5,250.

(5) Antonio Mezzacappa, land and improvements required for Horace Mann School; per Resolution No. 19617 (N. S.), accepting offer, \$10,500.

(6) Louise Patterson, land and improvements required for Horace Mann School; per Resolution No. 19618 (N. S.), accepting offer, \$12,000.

(7) S. W. Dick Co., land and improvements required for Horace Mann School; per Resolution No. 19619 (N. S.), accepting offer, \$12,500.

(8) Margaret Wallace, land and improvements required for Horace Mann School; per Resolution No. 19,620 (N. S.), accepting offer, \$16,000.

(9) Margaret Malloy, land and improvements required for Pacific Heights School; per Resolution No. 19621 (N. S.), accepting offer, \$7,500.

(10) Marshall F. Jones, land and improvements required for Pacific Heights School; per Resolution No. 19622 (N. S.), accepting offer, \$7,500.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

Appropriation, \$29,000, Electric Cables, etc., Municipal Railway.

Resolution No. 19650 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$29,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund to defray cost of furnishing and delivering electric cables and splicing materials for Municipal Railway system, in accordance with Contract 129.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

Plans, etc., Yerba Buena School Annex.

Bill No. 5958, Ordinance No. 5559 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for and the construction of annex and alterations to the Yerba Buena School, situate on north side of Greenwich street between Webster and Fillmore streets; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction and alterations in accord-

ance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor, and permitting progressive payments to be made during the course of construction and alterations.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for and to enter into contract for the construction of annex and alterations to the Yerba Buena School, situate on north side of Greenwich street between Webster and Fillmore streets, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for the said construction of annex and alterations to the Yerba Buena School conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications and as provided by Section 21, Chapter 1, Article VI of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

**Appropriation. \$25,000, Extension of Boulevard From Lincoln Park.**

Resolution No. 19651 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of twenty-five thousand (25,000) dollars be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, Fiscal Year 1921-1922, for the construction of extension of boulevard from Lincoln Park westward along the cliffs bordering the Golden Gate; said boulevard extension to be constructed and said money expended under and by direction of the Park Commission of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

**Repealing Ordinance, Construction of Addition to High School of Commerce.**

Bill No. 5960, Ordinance No. 5560 (New Series), repealing Ordinance No. 5536 (New Series).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5536 (New Series), authorizing, instructing and empowering the Board of Public Works to prepare plans and specifications for and to enter into contract for the

construction of an addition to the High School of Commerce to be erected on the north side of Fell street between Van Ness avenue and Franklin street, be and the same is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

#### **Permits.**

Resolution No. 19652 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

#### *Automobile Supply Station.*

Crown Oil Company, at southeast corner of Valencia and Twenty-fourth streets; also to store 1200 gallons of gasoline.

#### *Oil Storage Tank.*

Hebrew Home for Aged and Disabled, on south side Silver avenue, 500 feet east of Mission street; 3000 gallons capacity.

Kiernan & O'Brien, at northwest corner of Franklin and Hayes streets; 1500 gallons capacity.

Uhl Estate, at 291 Geary street; 1500 gallons capacity.

C. Hoffman, at southeast corner of Twelfth avenue and Lake street; 1500 gallons capacity.

#### *Boiler.*

H. O. Harrison Co., at 1214 Van Ness avenue; 8 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

#### **"Retirement System Ordinance."**

Bill No. 5959, Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing a retirement system for employees of the City and County of San Francisco; providing for the payment of retirement allowances to aged and disabled employees and for the payment of death benefits; prescribing the conditions under which said allowances and benefits shall be paid; fixing rates of contribution and the amounts of retirement allowances and death benefits, and providing for the administration of said retirement system in accordance with Article XVII of the Charter.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

#### *Purpose.*

Section 1. The purpose of this ordi-

nance is to provide a means whereby public employees who become incapacitated as a result of age or disability may be replaced by more capable employees, thus promoting economy and efficiency in the public service without prejudice and without inflicting a hardship upon the employees removed, and at the same time to recognize a public obligation to such public employees as may die or become incapacitated, by making provision for the retirement of aged and disabled employees and the payment of death benefits.

*Definitions.*

Section 2. The following words and phrases as used in this ordinance, unless a different meaning is plainly required by the context, shall have the following meanings:

(a) "Retirement System" shall mean "San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System" provided for in Section 3 of this ordinance.

(b) "Employee" shall mean any person in the employ of the City and County of San Francisco whose compensation is paid wholly by the City and County.

(c) "Member" shall mean any person included in the membership of the Retirement System as provided in Section 4 of this ordinance.

(d) "City" shall mean "City and County of San Francisco."

(e) "Board" shall mean "Board of Administration" as created in Article XVII of the Charter.

(f) "Retirement Fund" shall mean "San Francisco City Employees' Retirement Fund" as created and established in Section 8 of this ordinance.

(g) "City-service" shall mean service rendered as an employee for compensation, and, for the purposes of this ordinance, a member shall be considered as being in the "city-service" only while he is receiving compensation from the city for such service.

(h) "Prior Service" shall mean the service of a member rendered before the first day of April, nineteen hundred and twenty-two, certified on a prior service certificate and allowable as provided in Section 6 of this ordinance.

(i) "Continuous Service" shall mean uninterrupted employment by the city, except that discontinuance of city-service of a member caused by lay-off, leave of absence, suspension or dismissal followed by re-entrance into the city-service within one year shall not count as a break in the continuity of service.

(j) "Beneficiary" shall mean any person in receipt of a pension, annuity, a retirement allowance, a death benefit or any other benefit provided in this ordinance.

(k) "Compensation" shall mean the

compensation payable in cash plus the monetary value, as determined by the Board of Administration, of board, lodging, fuel, laundry and other advantages allowed as remuneration, but such "compensation" shall not exceed five hundred (500) dollars per month.

(l) "Compensation earnable" by a member shall mean the average compensation as determined by the Board of Administration upon the basis of the average period of employment of members in the same group or class of employment and at the same rate of pay, but such "compensation earnable" shall not exceed five hundred (500) dollars per month.

(m) "Final Compensation" shall mean the average annual compensation earnable by a member during the ten years immediately preceding his retirement.

(n) "Regular interest" shall mean interest at 4 per centum per annum, compounded annually.

(o) "Normal contributions" shall mean contributions at the rates provided for in Subdivision (a), Section 5 of this ordinance.

(p) "Additional contributions" shall mean contributions at the rates provided for in Subdivision (d), Section 5 of this ordinance.

(q) "Accumulated normal contributions" shall mean the sum of all the normal contributions, deducted from the compensation of a member, standing to the credit of his individual account, together with the regular interest thereon.

(r) "Accumulated additional contributions" shall mean the sum of all the additional contributions, deducted from the compensation of a member, standing to the credit of his individual account, together with regular interest thereon.

(s) "Accumulated contributions" shall mean accumulated normal contributions plus accumulated additional contributions.

(t) "Pension" shall mean payments for life derived from contributions made by the city as provided in this ordinance.

(u) "Annuity" shall mean payments for life derived from contributions made by a member as provided in this ordinance.

(v) "Retirement allowance" shall mean the pension plus the annuity.

(w) "Fiscal year" shall mean any year commencing with July first and ending with June thirtieth next following.

*Establishment and Name of Retirement System.*

Section 3. A retirement system is hereby created and established to become effective April first, nineteen hundred and twenty-two, and to be

known as the "San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System."

*Membership in Retirement System.*

Section 4. (a) With the exception of those employees who are excluded from membership as provided in subdivision (b) of this section, all employees shall become members of the Retirement System, as follows:

(1) Every employee in city service on April first, nineteen hundred and twenty-two, the date upon which the Retirement System becomes effective, shall have the option of becoming a member of the Retirement System on that date or at any time between that date and July first, nineteen hundred and twenty-two, but every such employee in city service on July first, nineteen hundred and twenty-two, who has not exercised the option of becoming a member shall become a member of the Retirement System on July first, nineteen hundred and twenty-two.

(2) Every employee who shall re-enter city service after April first, nineteen hundred and twenty-two, and who, prior to such re-entry, shall have completed six months of continuous service, shall become a member of the Retirement System upon such re-entry.

(3) Every other employee who shall enter city service after April first, nineteen hundred and twenty-two, shall become a member of the Retirement System upon the completion of six months of continuous service.

(b) The following employees shall not become members of the Retirement System:

(1) Elective officers and officers appointed by the Mayor.

(2) Employees of the Police Department who are entitled to the benefits provided in Chapter X, Article VIII of the Charter.

(3) Employees of the Fire Department who are entitled to the benefits provided in Chapter VII, Article IX of the Charter.

(4) Public school teachers, except teachers in the night schools who occupy and devote full time during the day to regular positions in other offices or departments of the city. Such persons shall not be considered as public school teachers for the purposes of this ordinance.

(5) Employees certified from Civil Service lists for temporary employment.

(6) Inmates of city institutions who are allowed compensation for such service as they are able to perform.

(7) Persons in city institutions principally for the purpose of training but who receive compensation.

(8) Persons employed under contract for a definite period and for the performance of specific duties requir-

ing professional or high technical skill.

(9) Employees serving on a part-time basis.

(10) Employees engaged outside the City and County of San Francisco on the Hetch Hetchy project, provided that if any employee so excluded shall later become a member of the Retirement System through any change in status occasioned by transfer or assignment to other employment or by amendment to this ordinance, he shall receive credit for service with the City rendered prior to the date he enters the Retirement System, including service as an employee of the Hetch Hetchy project, in the same manner as credit for prior service is granted to employees who become members on April first, nineteen hundred and twenty-two.

(c) It shall be the duty of the head of each office or department to give immediate notice in writing to the Board of Administration of the change in status of any member in his office or department resulting from transfer, promotion, leave of absence, resignation, reinstatement, dismissal or death. The head of each office or department shall furnish such other information concerning any member as the Board may require.

(d) Each member shall be subject to all the provisions of this ordinance and to all the rules and regulations adopted by the Board of Administration. Should the city service of any member, in any period of ten consecutive years, amount to less than five years, or should he withdraw more than one-quarter of his accumulated normal contributions, or should he die or be retired, he shall thereupon cease to be a member.

*Contributions.*

Section 5. (a) The normal rates of contribution of members shall be those adopted by the Board of Administration and shall be based on sex and age at time of entry into the Retirement System. The rates so adopted shall remain in full force and effect until revised or changed by the Board of Administration in the manner provided in Section 7 of this ordinance.

(b) The normal rate of contribution established for age sixty-one shall be the rate for any member who has attained a greater age before entrance into the Retirement System. In like manner the normal rate of contribution established for age twenty shall be the rate for any member who enters the Retirement System at a lesser age.

(c) The Board of Administration shall certify to the head of each office or department the normal rate of contribution for each member provided

for in Subdivision (a) of this section. The head of each office or department shall apply such rate of contribution to so much of the compensation of the member as does not exceed five hundred (500) dollars per month and shall certify to the Auditor on each and every payroll the amount to be contributed, and shall furnish immediately to the Board of Administration a copy of each and every such payroll; and each of said amounts shall be deducted by the Auditor and shall be paid into the Retirement Fund, hereinafter provided for, and shall be credited by the Board of Administration, together with regular interest, to an individual account of the member for whom the contribution was made. Every member shall be deemed to consent and agree to the contribution made and provided for herein, and shall receipt in full for his salary or compensation, and payment less said contribution shall be a full and complete discharge and acquittance of all claims and demands whatsoever for the services rendered by such person during the period covered by such payment, except his claim to the benefits to which he may be entitled under the provisions of this ordinance.

(d) Any member may elect to contribute at rates in excess of those provided for in Subdivision (a) of this section, for the purpose of providing additional benefits, but the exercise of this privilege by a member shall not place on the City any additional financial obligation. The Board of Administration shall furnish to such member information concerning the nature and amount of additional benefits to be provided by such additional contribution.

(e) At the end of each payroll period, the Board of Administration shall determine the aggregate amount of the normal contributions for such period, and shall certify such aggregate amount to the Auditor, who shall transfer an equal amount to the Retirement Fund, hereinafter provided for, from the money appropriated for that purpose in the budget for the then current fiscal year, except that an amount equal to such portion of the aggregate amount as shall be made up of contributions of Municipal Railway employees shall be transferred from the revenues of the Municipal Railway.

#### *Allowance for Service.*

Section 6. Subject to the following and to all other provisions of this ordinance, including such rules and regulations as the Board of Administration shall adopt in pursuance thereof, the said Board shall determine and may modify allowances for service and shall issue service certificates.

It shall fix and determine how much service rendered in any year shall be the equivalent of a year of service and of parts thereof, but shall credit one year for two hundred and fifty or more days of service and not more than one year for all service in any fiscal year.

Time during which a member was absent on leave without pay shall not be allowed in computing prior service, and may be allowed in computing service as a member, only if allowed for retirement purposes both by the head of the office or department in which the member is employed and by the Board of Administration at the time said leave of absence is granted.

Each member shall file with the Board of Administration such information affecting his status as a member of the Retirement System as the Board may require.

The Board of Administration shall issue a prior service certificate to each member entering the Retirement System on April first, nineteen hundred and twenty-two, and to each member entering the Retirement System after that date if such entry is within one year after rendering city service prior to April first, nineteen hundred and twenty-two, and shall certify thereon service rendered prior to the first day of April, nineteen hundred and twenty-two. Service certified on a prior service certificate shall be the basis for a pension or benefit as provided in this ordinance only if membership continues until retirement on a pension or until the granting of such other benefit. Such certificate shall become void and not renewable if membership is discontinued except by retirement on a pension, and may be modified upon application by a member or upon the initiative of the Board of Administration within one year from date of issuance.

#### *Duties of Board of Administration.*

Section 7. The management and control of the Retirement System shall be vested in the Board of Administration as provided in Article XVII of the Charter. The Board shall exercise the powers and perform the duties conferred on it by said article, and in addition thereto

(a) The Board shall keep in convenient form such data as shall be necessary for the actuarial valuation of the Retirement Fund created by this ordinance. In the five-year period beginning with the year nineteen hundred and twenty-two, and in every five-year period thereafter, the Board shall cause to be made an actuarial investigation into the mortality, service and compensation experience of the members and beneficiaries as defined by this ordinance, and shall further cause

to be made an actuarial valuation of the assets and liabilities of the Retirement Fund created in this ordinance, and upon the basis of such investigation and valuation shall

(1) Adopt for the Retirement System such interest rate and such mortality, service and other tables as shall be deemed necessary.

(2) Revise or change the rates of contribution by members on the basis of such mortality, service and other tables.

(b) In addition to other records and accounts the Board of Administration shall keep such records and accounts as shall be necessary to show at any time

(1) The total accumulated contributions of members.

(2) The total accumulated contributions of retired members less the annuity payments made to such members.

(3) The accumulated contributions of the City held for the benefit of members on account of service rendered after April first, nineteen hundred and twenty-two.

(4) All other accumulated contributions of the City, which shall include the amounts available to meet the obligation of the City on account of benefits that have been granted and on account of prior service of members.

A portion of the accumulated contributions of the City previously held for the benefit of members on account of service rendered after April first, nineteen hundred and twenty-two, equal to the accumulated normal contributions withdrawn by a member, or paid to a beneficiary upon the death of a member or applied to purchase an annuity upon the retirement of a member shall thereafter be included in the amounts available to meet the obligations of the City on account of benefits that have been granted and on account of prior service of members.

(c) In addition to rendering the annual report to the Mayor required by the Charter, the Board shall cause to be published annually in the official newspaper a financial statement showing an actuarial valuation of the assets and liabilities of the Retirement System created by this ordinance and a statement as to the accumulated cash and securities in the Retirement Fund as certified by the Auditor.

#### *Creation and Establishment of Fund.*

Section 8. A fund is hereby created and established to be known as the San Francisco City Employees' Retirement Fund and shall consist of all the moneys paid into it in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance, whether such moneys shall take

the form of cash, securities or other assets.

#### *Management of Retirement Fund.*

Section 9. The Retirement Fund shall be managed as follows:

(a) The Board of Administration shall have exclusive control, as provided in Article XVII of the Charter, of the administration and investment of the said fund, subject to the restriction that no investment shall be made except upon the affirmative vote of at least four members of the Board of Administration, two of whom shall be the Auditor and the chairman of the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors, and subject also to the terms, conditions, limitations and restrictions imposed by the laws of the State of California upon savings banks in the making of investments by savings banks.

(b) The Board of Administration may deposit cash belonging to the Retirement Fund in any licensed national bank or banks in this State or in any bank, banks or corporations authorized or licensed to do a banking business and organized under the laws of this State, subject to the provisions of Chapter III, Article IV of the Charter.

(c) The Treasurer shall be the custodian of the Retirement Fund, subject to the exclusive control of the Board of Administration as to the administration, deposit and investment of said fund. All payments from said fund shall be made by him only upon warrant signed by the president and secretary of the Board of Administration, subject to the approval of the Auditor.

(d) Interest or any cash and on any investments constituting a part of the said fund shall be paid into said fund as received.

(e) Except as herein provided, no member and no employee of the Board of Administration shall have any interest, direct or indirect, in the making of any investment, or in the gains or profits accruing therefrom. And no member or employee of the said Board, directly or indirectly, for himself or as an agent or partner of others, shall borrow any of its funds or deposits or in any manner use the same except to make such current and necessary payments as are authorized by said Board; nor shall any member or employee of said Board become an endorser or surety or become in any manner an obligor for moneys invested by the Board.

#### *Prior Service Liability.*

Section 10. There shall be paid into the Retirement Fund, by contributions of the City, the amounts necessary to pay all pensions and all other benefits allowable under this ordinance to

members on account of prior service. Until the amount accumulated in the Retirement Fund becomes not less than the present value of all amounts thereafter payable from the Retirement Fund, the amount annually due to the said fund under this section shall be the amount payable from said fund in the ensuing fiscal year on account of prior service. Such proportion of the amount as is annually due to the Retirement Fund on account of the prior service of employees of the Municipal Railway shall be paid from the revenues of the Municipal Railway.

#### *Guaranty.*

Section 11. The payments of the City into the San Francisco City Employees' Retirement Fund, as provided in Subdivision (e), Section 5 of this ordinance, and the payments into the said fund, as provided in Section 10 of this ordinance, are hereby made obligations of the City. The Board of Supervisors shall appropriate such amounts as are necessary to make such payments, less the portion to be paid from the revenues of the Municipal Railway, in the budget for each fiscal year, and the amounts so appropriated shall be included in the tax levy. The Board of Supervisors shall, also, make provision for the payment from the revenues of the Municipal Railway of such amounts as shall be necessary to meet the obligations of the City under the Retirement System on account of Municipal Railway employees.

#### *Withdrawals.*

Section 12. Should the city service of a member be discontinued, except by death or retirement, he shall be paid, six months after the date of discontinuance, such part of his accumulated contributions as he shall demand; provided that, if, in the opinion of the Board of Administration, said member is permanently separated from city service by reason of such discontinuance, he shall be paid forthwith all of his accumulated contributions, and provided, also, that the Board of Administration may, in its discretion, withhold for not more than one year after a member last rendered city service all or part of his accumulated normal contributions if, after a previous discontinuance of city service, he withdrew all or a part of his accumulated normal contributions and failed to redeposit such withdrawn amount in the Retirement Fund as provided in this section.

Any member may redeposit in the Retirement Fund by a single payment an amount equal to that which he previously withdrew therefrom. If a member upon re-entering the Retirement System after a termination of his membership shall not make such

redeposit at the time of his re-entrance, the rate of his contributions for future years shall be the normal rate provided for in Subdivision (a), Section 5 of this ordinance at his age of re-entrance, otherwise his rate of contribution for future years shall be the same as his rate prior to the termination of his membership. In the event such redeposit is made by a member, an amount equal to the accumulated normal contributions so redeposited shall again be held for the benefit of said member and shall no longer be included in the amounts available to meet the obligations of the City on account of benefits that have been granted and on account of prior service of members.

#### *Service Retirement.*

Section 13. Retirement of a member for service shall be made by the Board of Administration as follows:

(a) Each member in the city service who has attained the age of seventy years at the time of his entrance into the Retirement System shall be retired forthwith, and each member in city service who attains the age of seventy years shall be retired on the first day of the calendar month next succeeding that in which the said member shall have attained the age of seventy years.

(b) Any member in the city service may retire upon written application to the Board of Administration, stating what time, not less than thirty days subsequent to the execution and filing of such application, he desires to be retired, provided that said member, at the time so specified for his retirement, shall have

(1) Completed ten years of continuous service as defined in this ordinance and attained the age of sixty-two years; or

(2) Completed thirty years of continuous service as defined in this ordinance and attained the age of sixty years.

#### *Allowance on Service Retirement.*

Section 14. (a) A member, upon retirement from service, shall receive a retirement allowance, which shall consist of

(1) An annuity which shall be the actuarial equivalent of his accumulated contributions at the time of his retirement; and

(2) A pension, purchased by the contributions of the City, equal to that portion of the annuity purchased by the accumulated normal contributions of the member; and

(3) An additional pension, purchased by the contributions of the City, which shall be equal to one and one-third (1 1/3) per centum of his final compensation multiplied by the number of years of prior service credited to him,

except that if a member shall retire after thirty years of continuous service and before attaining the age of sixty-two years the additional pension shall be such as can be purchased at the age of retirement by the actuarial value, at the age of retirement, of a pension, deferred to age sixty-two, equal to one and one-third (1 1/3) per centum of his final compensation multiplied by the number of years of prior service credited to him.

(b) Any member who enters the Retirement System on April first, nineteen hundred and twenty-two, or who enters after said date and receives credit for prior service, and who is retired by reason of attaining the age of seventy years, shall receive on account of prior service such additional pension, purchased by the contributions of the City, as will make his total retirement allowance not less than four hundred and eighty (480) dollars per year unless such four hundred and eighty (480) dollars exceeds one-half of his final compensation, in which event his total retirement allowance shall be not less than one-half of his final compensation.

#### *Disability Retirement.*

Section 15. Retirement of a member for disability shall be made by the Board of Administration upon medical examination as follows:

Any member while in the city-service, or within four months after the discontinuance of city-service, or while physically or mentally incapacitated for the performance of his duty if such incapacity has been continuous from discontinuance of city-service, shall be examined by a physician or surgeon appointed by the Board of Administration, upon the application of the head of the office or department in which said member is employed, or upon the application of said member or of a person acting in his behalf, stating that said member is physically or mentally incapacitated for the performance of duty and ought to be retired, provided that the said member has had ten or more years of continuous service immediately preceding his retirement. If such medical examination shows, to the satisfaction of the Board of Administration, that the said member is physically or mentally incapacitated for the performance of duty and ought to be retired, the Board of Administration shall retire the said member for disability forthwith.

The Board of Administration shall secure such medical services and advice as may be necessary to carry out the purpose of this section and of Section 17 of this ordinance, and shall pay for such medical services and ad-

vice such compensation as the Board shall deem reasonable.

#### *Allowance on Disability Retirement.*

Section 16. (a) Upon retirement for disability a member shall receive a retirement allowance which shall consist of

(1) An annuity which shall be the actuarial equivalent of his accumulated contributions at the time of his retirement; and if, in the opinion of the Board of Administration, such disability is not due to intemperance, wilful misconduct or violation of law, on the part of the member,

(2) A pension, which, together with his annuity, shall make the retirement allowance equal to (a) one and one-fourth (1 1/4) per cent of his final compensation multiplied by the number of years of service credited to him, if such retirement allowance exceeds one-fourth of his final compensation; otherwise (b) one and one-fourth (1 1/4) per centum of his final compensation multiplied by the number of years of service which would be credited to him were his service to continue until attainment by him of age sixty-two, but such retirement allowance shall not exceed one-fourth of such final compensation.

(b) If, when disability is due to intemperance, wilful misconduct or violation of law, on the part of the member, the annuity to which said member is entitled under Subdivision (a) of this section be less than two hundred and forty (240) dollars per year, the Board of Administration, in its discretion, may pay to said member, in one lump sum and in lieu of said annuity, his accumulated contributions.

#### *Safeguards on Disability Retirement.*

Section 17. (a) The Board of Administration may, at its pleasure, require any disability beneficiary, under age sixty-two, to undergo medical examination, such examination to be made by a physician or surgeon appointed by the Board of Administration, at the place of residence of said beneficiary or other place mutually agreed upon. Upon the basis of such examination the Board shall determine whether said disability beneficiary is still incapacitated, physically or mentally, for service in the office or department of the City where he was employed and in the position held by him when retired for disability. If the Board of Administration shall determine that said beneficiary is not so incapacitated, his retirement allowance shall be canceled forthwith.

(b) Should a disability beneficiary re-enter the city-service and be eligible for membership in the Retirement System in accordance with Subdivision (a), Section 4 of this ordinance, his re-

retirement allowance shall be canceled and he shall immediately become a member of the Retirement System, his rate of contribution for future years being that established for his age at the time of such re-entry. His individual account shall be credited with his accumulated contributions less the annuity payments made to him. An amount equal to the accumulated normal contributions so credited to him shall be again held for the benefit of said member and shall no longer be included in the amounts available to meet the obligation of the City on account of benefits that have been granted and on account of prior service of members. Such member shall receive credit for prior service in the same manner as if he had never been retired for disability.

(c) Should said disability beneficiary, prior to attaining age sixty-two, engage in a gainful occupation not in the city-service or should he re-enter the city-service and be ineligible for membership in the Retirement System in accordance with Subdivision (b), Section 4 of this ordinance, the Board of Administration shall reduce the amount of his retirement allowance to an amount which, when added to the compensation earned by him in such occupation, shall not exceed the amount of the final compensation on the basis of which his retirement allowance was determined. Should the earning capacity of such beneficiary be further altered, the Board may further alter his retirement allowance to an amount which shall not exceed the amount upon which he was originally retired, but which, subject to such limitation, shall equal, when added to the compensation earned by him, the amount of his final compensation on the basis of which his retirement allowance was determined. When said disability beneficiary reaches age sixty-two, his retirement allowance shall be made equal to the amount upon which he was originally retired, and shall not again be modified for any cause.

(d) Should any disability beneficiary under age sixty-two refuse to submit to medical examination his pension may be discontinued until his withdrawal of such refusal, and should such refusal continue for one year his retirement allowance may be canceled.

(e) Should the retirement allowance of any disability beneficiary be canceled for any cause other than re-entrance of the city service he shall be paid his accumulated contributions, less the annuity payments made to him.

#### *Optional Allowances on Retirement.*

Section 18. Until the first payment on account of any retirement

allowance is made, the beneficiary may elect to receive the actuarial equivalent at that time of his retirement allowance in a lesser retirement allowance, payable throughout life with the provision that:

Option 1. If he die before he receive in payments the present value of his retirement allowance, as it was at the time of his retirement, the balance shall be paid to his estate or to such person, having an insurable interest in his life, as he shall nominate by written designation duly executed and filed with the Board of Administration at the time of his retirement.

Option 2. Upon his death, his lesser retirement allowance shall be continued throughout the life of and paid to such person, having an insurable interest in his life, as he shall nominate by written designation duly executed and filed with the Board of Administration at the time of his retirement.

Option 3. Upon his death, one-half of his lesser retirement allowance shall be continued throughout the life of and paid to such person, having an insurable interest in his life, as he shall nominate by written designation duly executed and filed with the Board of Administration at the time of his retirement.

Option 4. Such other benefit or benefits shall be paid either to the beneficiary or to such person or persons as he shall nominate, provided such other benefit or benefits, together with such lesser retirement allowance, shall be the actuarial equivalent of his retirement allowance, and shall be approved by the Board of Administration.

#### *Death Benefit.*

Section 19. (a) Upon the death of a member while in the city service, or within four months after the discontinuance of city service, or while physically or mentally incapacitated for the performance of his duty, if such incapacity has been continuous from discontinuance of city service, there shall be paid to his estate, or to such person having an insurable interest in his life as he shall have nominated by written designation duly executed and filed with the Board of Administration,

(1) His accumulated contributions and, if, in the opinion of the Board of Administration, such death was not due to intemperance, wilful misconduct or violation of law, on the part of the member, in addition thereto.

(2) An amount equal to the compensation earnable by him during the six months immediately preceding his death, but such compensation earnable for any month shall not exceed five hundred (500) dollars.

(b) A member, or a beneficiary after the death of a member, may

elect, by written designation duly executed and filed with the Board of Administration, to have the death benefit, provided in this section, paid in monthly or annual instalments instead of in one lump sum, subject to such rules and regulations as the said Board may adopt.

*No Modification on Account of Compensation Benefits.*

Section 20. No modification of the benefits provided in this ordinance shall be made on account of any amount or amounts payable to a beneficiary, as defined herein, under the provisions of the State Compensation Law.

*Monthly Payments.*

Section 21. A pension, an annuity or retirement allowance granted under the provisions of this ordinance shall be payable in equal monthly instalments.

*Exemption From Execution.*

Section 22. The right of a person to a pension, an annuity or a retirement allowance, to the return of contributions, the pension, annuity or retirement allowance itself, any optional benefit, or other right accrued or accruing to any person under the provisions of this ordinance and the moneys in the fund created under this ordinance shall not be subject to execution, garnishment, attachment, or any other process whatsoever, and shall be unassignable except as in this ordinance specifically provided.

*Estimate of Service, Compensation or Age.*

Section 23. If it shall be impracticable for the Board of Administration to determine from the records the length of service, the compensation or the age of any member, the said Board may estimate, for the purposes of this ordinance, such length of service, compensation or age.

*Service After Retirement.*

Section 24. No person who has been retired for service and who receives a retirement allowance under the Retirement System shall be paid for any service, except as a juror or as an election officer, rendered by him to the City after the date of the first payment of his retirement allowance.

*Constitutionality.*

Section 25. If any section, or sections, or part of any section of this ordinance shall be found to be unconstitutional or invalid, for any reason, the remainder of the ordinance shall not thereby be invalidated, but shall remain in full force and effect.

*Repealing Conflicting Ordinances.*

Section 26. All ordinances and parts of ordinances in so far as they conflict

with this ordinance are hereby repealed.

Section 27. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

**REPORT OF FINANCE COMMITTEE.**

Demands on the Treasury, amounting to \$236,989.30, and numbered from 35724 to 35763, inclusive, were presented and approved by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

**NEW BUSINESS.**

**Auditorium Rentals.**

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 19653 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following organizations are hereby granted permission to occupy the halls in the Auditorium, deposits having been paid to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to guarantee the rental fees:

Golden Gate Kennel Club, use of Larkin Hall, April 28 to 29, 1922, for the purpose of holding a kennel show.

Pacific Gas and Electric Company Employees' Association, use of Main Hall, January 24, 1922, 6 p. m. to 12 p. m., for the purpose of holding a banquet and dance.

Ladies' Auxiliary, Congregation Keneseth Israel, use of the Main Hall, March 19, 1922, 6 p. m. to 1 p. m., for the purpose of holding a dance and entertainment.

St. Patrick's Day Celebration Committee, use of Main Hall, March 17, 1922, 8 a. m. to 12 p. m., for the purpose of holding literary exercises and a dance.

Columbia Park Boys' Club, use of Main Hall, April 18, 1922, 6 p. m. to 12 p. m., for the purpose of holding a dance.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

**Auditorium Rental.**

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 19654 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the San Francisco District of California Federation Women's Clubs be granted use of the Polk Hall, Auditorium, March 6 to 11, 1922, inclusive, for the purpose of Baby

Welfare Week, and devoting medical attention to children.

It is hereby understood that the rental fee as fixed by ordinance governing the control of the Auditorium shall be set aside and appropriated from the funds set aside to the Board of Health (Baby Welfare Week Funds) and credited to the Auditorium Fund, being for the occupancy of Polk Hall, March 6 to 11, 1922, inclusive.

*Adopted by the following week:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

*Passed for Printing.*

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Amending City Planning Ordinance, Fulton Street.

On motion of Supervisor McGregor:

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled, "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

That Section 3 of the use of property zone map, constituting a part of said ordinance, be changed so as to place the northerly side of Fulton street for a distance of one hundred and twenty feet westerly from the westerly line of Sixth avenue and the westerly side of Sixth avenue for a distance of one hundred and twenty feet northerly from the northerly line of Fulton street and to the same distance from the street frontage in the commercial district instead of the second residential district.

That Section 6 of the use of property zone map, constituting a part of said ordinance, be changed so as to place the southerly side of Lincoln way for a distance of eighty-two feet six inches westerly from the westerly line of Seventh avenue and the westerly side of Seventh avenue for a distance of one hundred feet southerly from the southerly line of Lincoln way to the depth of the rear lot lines in the commercial district instead of in the second residential district.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on the day of its approval and shall continue in effect for the period of ninety days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect and the premises herein described shall re-

vert to and be in the second residential district.

Amending City Planning Ordinance, Fell Street.

Also, Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled, "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

That Section 2 of the use of property zone map, constituting a part of said ordinance, be changed so as to place both sides of Fell street to the depth of the rear lot lines from Gough street to Octavia street in the commercial district instead of in the second residential district.

That Section 3 of the use of property zone map, constituting a part of said ordinance, be changed so as to place the northerly side of Fulton street for a distance of eighty feet westerly from the westerly line of Eighth avenue and the westerly side of Eighth avenue for a distance of one hundred feet northerly from the northerly line of Fulton street and to the depth of the rear lot lines, in the commercial district instead of in the second residential district.

#### Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McLeran:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

#### Auditorium Fund.

(1) San Francisco Development Association, refund of deposit as bond for occupancy of Auditorium, January 21 to 26 (claim dated Jan. 30, 1922), \$1,000.

#### South Beach Land Fund.

(2) Board of State Harbor Commissioners, laying track, Belt Line Railroad, south of Aquatic Park (claim dated Feb. 1, 1922), \$2,156.34.

#### Municipal Railway Fund.

(3) Standard Oil Co., gasoline furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated Feb. 1, 1922), \$854.70.

(4) The White Co., one White truck, Municipal Railways (claim dated Jan. 27, 1922), \$5,992.50.

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(5) Alex. Coleman, plumbing, North Beach (Galileo) High School, second payment (claim dated Feb. 1, 1922), \$3,029.17.

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(6) Construction Company of North America, sixth quarterly payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels, Mountain Division of Hetch Hetchy Water construction, Contract No. 77-C (claim dated Feb. 2, 1922), \$24,545.45.

(7) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Groveland Revolving Fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Jan. 30, 1922), \$801.65.

(8) Sherry Bros. Inc., supplies (claim dated Jan. 30, 1922), \$549.

(9) Martens, Read & Co., supplies, (claim dated Jan. 30, 1922), \$871.65.

(10) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Groveland Revolving Fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Jan. 30, 1922), \$1,028.90.

(11) A. Levy & J. Zentner Co., supplies (claim dated Jan. 30, 1922), \$1,167.19.

(12) Baumgarten Bros., supplies (claim dated Jan. 30, 1922), \$2,393.32.

(13) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Hetch Hetchy transportation (claim dated Jan. 30, 1922), \$2,726.92.

(14) Utah Construction Co., extra work and material furnished (claim dated Jan. 30, 1922), \$7,869.13.

(15) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Hetch Hetchy labor expense (claim dated Jan. 30, 1922), \$650.87.

*General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(16) Levison Printing Co., printing, Board Supervisors (claim dated Feb. 6, 1922), \$701.35.

(17) Ed. Barry Co., printing, Board Supervisors (claim dated Feb. 6, 1922), \$603.

(18) San Francisco Society for Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc. of animals (claim dated Feb. 6, 1922), \$1,000.

(19) Rucker-Fuller Desk Co., furniture, Public Defender (claim dated Feb. 6, 1922), \$715.25.

(20) Recorder Printing & Publishing Company, printing Law-Motion-Trial Calendar (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$665.

(21) Haas Brothers, supplies, Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 30, 1922), \$528.84.

(22) Hooper & Jennings, groceries, Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 30, 1922), \$1,267.58.

(23) Smith-Lynden Co., groceries, Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 30, 1922), \$757.59.

(24) Buckingham & Hecht, shoes, Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 30, 1922), \$629.30.

(25) California Metal Enameling

Co., highway signs (claim dated Feb. 3, 1922), \$1,507.06.

(26) Schultze Construction Co., second payment, furnishing and erecting street signs (claim dated Feb. 3, 1922), \$5,942.70.

(27) Matthew Brady, District Attorney, extraordinary expense in prosecution of crime (claim dated Jan. 30, 1922), \$1,500.

(28) Flynn & Collins, one Ford roadster, Department of Public Works (claim dated Jan. 27, 1922), \$559.33.

(29) J. H. McCallum, lumber, Department of Public Works (claim dated Jan. 26, 1922), \$802.35.

(30) Shell Co., fuel oil, Department of Public Works (claim dated Jan. 27, 1922), \$561.

(31) Union Oil Co. of Cal., asphalt, oil, etc., Department of Public Works (claim dated Jan. 27, 1922), \$2,502.51.

(32) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement, etc., Department of Public Works (claim dated Jan. 27, 1922), \$4,330.18.

(33) Associated Oil Co., fuel oil, Fire Department (claim dated Jan. 25, 1922), \$603.39.

(34) Westinghouse Elec. Mfg. Co., battery charging, Fire Department (claim dated Jan. 25, 1922), \$609.90.

(35) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished Fire Department hydrants (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$10,927.92.

*Appropriations.*

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(1) For furring, lathing and plastering the Galileo High School, Van Ness avenue between Bay and Francisco streets; J. Greenback contract, \$66,925.

(2) For brick and hollow tile work, addition of Mission High School, Eighth street between Church and Dolores streets; E. Hogberg contract, \$26,300.

(3) For construction of Hancock School addition, Taylor street between Filbert and Greenwich streets, including inspection, extras and incidentals, \$35,165.

(General construction, O. Monson contract, \$27,860; plumbing work, Gilley Schmid Co. contract, \$1,395; heating and ventilating, P. J. Enright contract, \$1,345; electrical work, F. E. Newberry Electric Co. contract, \$765; inspection, \$1,800; extras and incidentals, \$2,000.)

*Auditorium Fund.*

(4) For furnishing and installing electric wires and conduit for addition-

al feeds and plug outlets in Exposition Auditorium; M. E. Ryan contract at \$3,849, and extras, etc., at \$200, \$4,049.  
*Special School Tar. Budget Item No. 1.*

(5) For payment of architectural services in connection with preparation of plans and specifications for the Oral-Deaf School, to be erected on south side of Washington street between Franklin and Gough streets, \$3,000.

*Work in Front of City Property, Etc., Budget Item No. 45.*

(6) For construction of sidewalks on Tennessee and Minnesota streets, at Irving M. Scott School, \$782.

Appropriation, \$3,000, Replacement of Hot and Cold Water Pipes, San Francisco Hospital.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$3,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, for the expense of replacement of hot and cold water pipes at the San Francisco Hospital, which now show leaks under runways and foundations.

Appropriation, \$1,755.94, Postal Telegraph Damage Claim, Removal of Manholes.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$1,755.94 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund, and authorized in payment to the Postal Telegraph Cable Company; being payment of judgment by the Superior Court, affirmed by the District Court of Appeal and the Supreme Court of the State of California, for damages sustained due to the moving of its manholes in Market street necessary to permit of the construction of the Municipal Railway in Market street.

Appropriations, Work in Front of City Property.

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 19655 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

*Work in Front of City Property, Etc., Budget Item No. 45.*

(1) For construction of sidewalks on Randall street, at the Fairmount School, \$487.

(2) For paving of crossing of Plymouth avenue and Minerva street, City's portion due to school lot, \$79.56.

*Extension of Main Sewers, Budget Item No. 47.*

(3) For construction of 12-inch iron-stone pipe sewer, with manholes, in Dixie alley between Market street and Corbett avenue to catch storm waters flowing into Market street extension, \$499.

(4) To defray portion of cost of construction of sewer in Trumbull street from Congdon street to the Canal street sewer, \$175.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were passed for printing:

Plans, etc., Extension Third Street Sewer Outlet.

On motion of Supervisor McLeran:

Bill No. 5963, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for and the construction of an extension to the Third street sewer outlet from Berry street to Channel street; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said sewer construction in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for and to enter into contract for the construction of an extension to the Third street sewer outlet from Berry street to Channel street in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Plans, etc., Exhibition Structure, Nave of Ferry Building.

Also, Bill No. 5964, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for and the erection of a structure within the nave of the Ferry Building, San Francisco, Cal., in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for the erection of said structure, and permitting progressive payments to be made during the progress of the work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and

specifications for and to enter into contract for the erection of a structure within the nave of the Ferry Building, San Francisco, Cal., in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for the erection of said structure conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications on file in the office of the Board of Public Works, and as provided by Section 21, Chapter I, Article VI of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**Salary Increase, Jailers, Sheriff's Office.**

Also, Bill No. 5965, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Subdivision (s) of Section 15 of Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), known as the "Ordinance of Additional Positions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Subdivision (s) of Section 15 of Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), is hereby amended to read as follows:

(s) Six jailers, grade three, each at a salary of \$1,920 a year; for services in guarding Federal prisoners.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**Automobile, Oil and Boiler Permits.**

On motion of Supervisor Schmitz:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

*Automobile Supply Station.*

Standard Oil Co., at northeast corner of Eleventh and Howard streets; also to store 1,200 gallons of gasoline.

*Oil Storage Tank.*

(1,500 gallons capacity.)

G. B. Pasqualetti, on east side of Larkin street, 119 feet 6 inches north of Union street.

W. L. Hemminga, on east side of Dolores street, 260 feet north of Nineteenth street.

E. Nelson, on south side of Geary street, 120 feet east of Thirteenth avenue.

M. Stulsaft, on north side of Stevenson street, between Ecker and Second streets.

C. R. Haley, at northeast corner of Twenty-sixth avenue and West Clay street.

*Boiler.*

Rathjen Eggers Co., at 467 Ellis street, 10 horsepower.

Parker Tire and Supply Co., at 907 Post street, 5 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six

months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

**Underground District, Bush Street, Van Ness to Fillmore.**

On motion of Supervisor Powers:

Bill No. 5966, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for placing electric wires and conductors underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section thereto to be known as Section 1 G.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Order No. 214 (Second Series) is hereby amended by adding a new section to be known as Section 1 G, to read as follows:

Section 1 G. An additional district to those hereinbefore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after September 1, 1922, is hereby designated, to-wit:

*Underground District No. 12.*

Bush street from Van Ness avenue to Fillmore street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on March 1, 1922.

**Street Lights.**

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 19656 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas & Electric Company is hereby instructed to install and change street lights as follows:

*Install 250 M. R.*

Jerrold avenue between Jennings street and Ingalls street.

Joost avenue between Edna and Detroit streets.

Ingerson avenue and Redondo street.

*Install 400 M. R.*

Thirty-fourth avenue and Anza street.

*Change 400 M. R.*

From northeast to southeast corner Scott and Waller streets.

*Change Gas Lamp.*

West side Webster street, first south of Haight, 7 feet north.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

**Masquerade Ball Permits.**

Supervisor Robb presented:

Resolution No. 19657 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted the following organizations to hold masquerade balls on the dates and at the locations herewith given,

upon payment of the usual license fee:

American Protestant Alliance Club, at Redmen's Hall, 3053 Sixteenth street, Saturday evening, February 11, 1922.

Swedish Relief Society, at Scottish Rite Hall, Van Ness avenue and Sutter street, Saturday evening, February 18, 1922.

San Francisco Gymnastic Club, at 2450 Sutter street, Tuesday evening, February 21, 1922.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

Accepting Offers to Sell Land for School Purposes.

Supervisor Scott presented:

Resolution No. 19658 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Florence E. Templeman to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements situate on the northerly line of Waller street, distant 150 feet easterly from the easterly line of Masonic avenue, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land and improvements is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land and improvements, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of \$9,000, be, and the same is, hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Waller street, distant thereon 150 feet easterly from the easterly line of Masonic avenue, running thence easterly along said northerly line of Waller street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 137 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 137 feet 6 inches to the northerly line of Waller street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 657.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnery title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land

to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid; and the deed to said property is hereby accepted.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

Also, Resolution No. 19659 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Francis C. Calkins to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements situate on the southerly line of Twenty-third street, distant 125 feet easterly from Valencia street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land and improvements is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land and improvements, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of \$10,000 be, and the same is, hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Twenty-third street, distant thereon 125 feet easterly from the easterly line of Valencia street, running thence easterly along said southerly line of Twenty-third street 30 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 80 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 30 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 80 feet to the said southerly line of Twenty-third street, and point of commencement. Being a portion of Mission Block No. 155; also known as Block 3643 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnery title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County, upon payment of the agreed purchase price, as aforesaid; and the deed to said property is hereby accepted.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan,

Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

Also, Resolution No. 19660 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from D. J. O'Leary to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements situate on the southerly line of Grove street, distant 125 feet easterly from Cole street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land and improvements is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land and improvements, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of \$22,000, and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Grove street, distant thereon 125 feet easterly from the easterly line of Cole street; running thence easterly along said southerly line of Grove street 25 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 137 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 137 feet 6 inches to the southerly line of Grove street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 685.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid; and the deed to said property is hereby accepted.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

**Mayor to Sell Improvements on School Lands.**

Also, Resolution No. 19661 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved. That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby authorized and requested to sell at public auction, in accordance with provisions of the Charter, the certain frame building,

No. 22 Bartlett street, situate on property recently acquired by the City for school purposes, and situate on the westerly line of Bartlett street, distant 80 feet southerly from Twenty-third street, on lot 30 x 120 feet.

The Board of Public Works is requested to prepare specifications and conditions for the removal of the building by the purchaser.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

**Extensions of Time, Commodore Sloat School.**

Also, Resolution No. 19662 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved. That the following first extensions of time to complete contracts for work on the Commodore Sloat School are hereby granted on the recommendation of the Board of Public Works, owing to delays caused by recent labor troubles:

To Anderson & Ringrose (general construction), 90 days from and after January 22, 1922.

To Globe Electric Works (M. Flatland, electrical work), 90 days from and after January 15, 1922.

To A. Lettich (plumbing work), 90 days from and after January 20, 1922.

To A. Lettich (heating and ventilating), 90 days from and after January 20, 1922.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

**Protests Against Duboce Tunnel.**

The Clerk announced that he had on the desk numerous protests signed by property owners of the Sunset District against the proposed Duboce tunnel assessment.

Mr. Barker, representing property owners, was heard in opposition to the proposed assessment.

**City Attorney to Furnish Information Relative to Duboce Tunnel.**

Thereupon, Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 19993 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Engineer be and he is hereby requested to furnish to the Board of Supervisors the following information relative to a tunnel to facilitate traffic under the elevation whereon is situated Buena Vista Park in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, with its easterly entrance in the vicinity of the intersection of Duboce avenue and Scott street and its westerly

entrance in the vicinity of the intersection of Cole and Carl streets, namely:

A general description of the construction contemplated, together with the points or districts to be connected by the same; the exterior boundaries of the district or districts; the land within which will be benefited by such tunnel construction and which should be assessed to pay the damage, costs and expenses thereof; and also to furnish a description sufficient for identification of such lands, property, easements or rights of way which he shall deem necessary or convenient for the purpose of the said tunnel construction.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

*Explanation of Vote.*

*Supervisor Hynes* explained by saying that he voted *aye* with this qualification: "I reserve the right at a later date in view of the fact that there will be an assessment district for this tunnel to vote either *aye* or *no* according to the outlines of that district. Fundamentally I am in favor of the road into the Sunset District, affording adequate transportation to the people of the district, but I want to qualify my vote at this time. If the assessment district, to me, is not fair and equitable I wish to reserve the right to vote against it."

*Supervisors Robb and Morgan* made the same explanation and reservation.

*Passed for Printing.*

Whereupon, the following bill was presented and *passed for printing*:

**Plans, etc., Extension of Municipal Railway in Sunset District.**

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Bill No. 5967, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the Board of Public Works to prepare plans and specifications and enter into a contract for the construction of an extension of the Municipal Railway from Market street via Duboce avenue and tunnel, Judah street and other connecting streets to the Great Highway.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and directed to prepare plans and specifications, advertise, award and enter into a contract for the construction of an extension of the Municipal Railway from Market street, along Duboce avenue, through a tunnel to be constructed under proper procedure, and along Judah street and other connecting streets to the Great Highway.

Provision may be made in such specifications and contract for progressive payments to be made during the period of construction as provided in Section 21, Chapter I, Article VI of the Charter.

*Clerk directed to notify Board of Public Works not to award contract, but to report back assessment district before contract for work is entered into.*

**Full Acceptance, Masonic Avenue.**

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill: Bill No. 5968, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled "Providing for full acceptance of the roadway of Masonic avenue between Frederick and Java streets."

**Ordering Street Work.**

Also, Bill No. 5969, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 12, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten instalments; that the period of time after the payment of the first instalment when each of the succeeding instalments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding instalment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Saturn street between the center line of Ord street and Lower Terrace*, by the construction of 8-inch vitrified salt-glazed, iron-

stone pipe sewers, with 21 Y branches, and a 12-inch vitrified, salt-glazed, iron-stone pipe sewer, with 3 Y branches, 1 lamphole and 5 brick manholes with castiron frames and covers and galvanized wrought-iron steps.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 5970, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 12, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessments to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten instalments; that the period of time after the payment of the first instalment when each of the succeeding instalments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding instalment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Precita avenue, between Alabama street and Folsom street, where not already improved, including the intersection of Precita avenue and Harrison street*, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width, where artificial stone sidewalks are not already constructed; by the construction of one brick catchbasin with cast-iron frame, grating and trip and 10-inch vitrified, salt-glazed, ironstone pipe culvert on the northwest angular corner of the intersection of Precita ave-

nue and Harrison street, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 5971, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 14, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten instalments; that the period of time after the payment of the first instalment when each of the succeeding instalments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding instalment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Balboa street, between Twenty-third and Twenty-fourth avenues*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of an 8-inch vitrified, salt-glazed, iron-stone pipe sewer, with five (5) Y branches and side sewers and two (2) brick manholes with cast-iron frames and covers and galvanized wrought-iron steps, along the center line of Balboa street from the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue to a point twenty (20) feet easterly from the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks 6 feet wide in the middle of the sidewalk area; by the construction of a 14-foot central strip

of vertical fiber brick pavement, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the remainder of the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### Ordering Street Work.

Also, Bill No. 5972, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 14, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten instalments; that the period of time after the payment of the first instalment when each of the succeeding instalments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding instalment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Hanover street, between Gutfenberg street and Lowell street, including the crossing of Hanover street and Lowell street*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the corners of the above mentioned crossing; by the construction of two brick catchbasins with cast-iron frames, gratings and traps and 10-inch vitrified, salt-glazed, ironstone pipe culverts on the above mentioned crossing, and by the construction of an

asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### Fixing Sidewalk Widths, Horace Street.

Also, Bill No. 5973, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered seven hundred and eighty-eight.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, is hereby amended in accordance with communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office January 27, 1922, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered seven hundred and eighty-eight, to read as follows:

Section 788. The width of sidewalks on Horace street between Twenty-fifth and Twenty-sixth streets are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### Fixing Sidewalk Widths, Santiago Street.

Also, Bill No. 5974, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered seven hundred and eighty-nine.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office January 27, 1922, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered seven hundred and eighty-nine, to read as follows:

Section 789. The width of sidewalks on Santiago street between Fourteenth avenue and its easterly termination shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Santiago street between Fourteenth avenue and its easterly termination," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**Fixing Sidewalk Widths, Mars Street.**

Also, Bill No. 5975, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Ninety-seven thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office January 27, 1922, by amending Section Ninety-seven thereof, to read as follows:

Section 97. The width of sidewalks on Mars street between Seventeenth street and Corbett avenue shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Mars street between Seventeenth street and Corbett avenue," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**Intention to Change Grades.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 19664 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 71891 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted January 30, 1922, and written recommendation of said Board filed January 1, 1922, to-wit:

***Taraval Street.***

Thirty-seventh avenue, 127 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Thirty-eighth avenue, easterly line, 116 feet.

Thirty-eighth avenue, westerly line, 115.60 feet.

Thirty-ninth avenue, 103.60 feet.

Fortieth avenue, easterly line, 92 feet.

Fortieth avenue, westerly line, 91.60 feet.

Forty-first avenue, easterly line, 90 feet.

Forty-first avenue, westerly line, 89.60 feet.

Forty-second avenue, easterly line, 86 feet.

Forty-second avenue, westerly line, 85 feet.

Forty-third avenue, easterly line, 73 feet.

Forty-third avenue, westerly line, 69.60 feet.

Forty-fourth avenue, 57.60 feet.

Forty-fifth avenue, 45.60 feet.

Forty-sixth avenue, 33.60 feet.

Forty-seventh avenue, easterly line, 24.60 feet.

Forty-seventh avenue, westerly line, 24 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

***Fortieth Avenue.***

300 feet southerly from Taraval street, 95 feet.

***Forty-first Avenue.***

300 feet southerly from Taraval street, 93 feet.

***Forty-second Avenue.***

300 feet southerly from Taraval street, 89 feet.

***Forty-third Avenue.***

100 feet southerly from Santiago street, 83.55 feet.

100 feet northerly from Taraval street, 73.75 feet.

Easterly line of, at Taraval street, 73 feet.

Westerly line of, at Taraval street, 69.60 feet.

100 feet southerly from Taraval street, 73.20 feet.

***Forty-fourth Avenue.***

300 feet southerly from Taraval street, 62 feet.

***Forty-fifth Avenue.***

300 feet southerly from Santiago street, 48 feet.

***Forty-sixth Avenue.***

200 feet northerly from Taraval street, 35 feet.

***Forty-seventh Avenue.***

300 feet northerly from Taraval street, 26.50 feet.

On Taraval street between the westerly line of Thirty-seventh avenue and the westerly line of Forty-seventh avenue; on Thirty-eighth, Thirty-ninth, Fortieth, Forty-first, Forty-second, Forty-third, Forty-fourth, Forty-fifth, Forty-sixth, Forty-seventh avenues between Santiago and Ulloa streets be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor and the present official grades of Santiago and Ulloa streets at Thirty-eighth, Thirty-ninth, Fortieth, Forty-first, Forty-second, Forty-third, Forty-fourth, Forty-fifth, Forty-sixth and Forty-seventh avenues.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modifica-

tion of grade or grades is contemplated notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

**Extension of Time.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 19665 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Schultz Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of thirty days' time from and after January 30, 1922, within which to complete contract for furnishing and erecting street signs.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor has been delayed by inclement weather and in procuring certain material.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

**Extension of Time.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 19666 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That T. M. Gallagher is hereby granted an extension of sixty days' time from and after February 8, 1922, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Revere avenue between Silver avenue and Rankin street.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor has been delayed on account of weather conditions. A survey has been made for the work.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

**Closing Waller Street From Laguna to Buchanan.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 19667 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that Waller street from the westerly line of Laguna street to the easterly line of Buchanan street be closed. Be it further

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close Waller street from the westerly line of Laguna street to the easterly line of Buchanan street. Said closing of Waller street from the westerly line of Laguna street to the easterly line of Buchanan street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter, as amended, and the sections of said chapter and article following said Section 2. Be it further

Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing up of said part

of Laguna street be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

**Intention to Widen and Extend Landers Street.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 19668 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to order the widening and extension of the following named street, to-wit:

Landers street between Sixteenth street and Fifteenth street.

The lands and property deemed necessary to be taken for said widening and extending of Landers street between Sixteenth street and Fifteenth street, and particularly described as follows, to-wit:

*Landers Street.*

**PARCEL 1.**

Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Fifteenth street, distant thereon 248.456 feet easterly from the easterly line of Church street, and running thence easterly along the southerly line of Fifteenth street 30.001 feet; thence deflecting 89 deg. 27 min. 10 sec. to the right and running southerly 159.937 feet to a point on the northerly line of Alert alley, distant thereon 30.035 feet easterly from the westerly line of Landers street; thence deflecting 85 deg. 13 min. 54 sec. to the right and running westerly along the northerly line of Alert alley 30.035 feet to the westerly line of Landers street; thence deflecting 94 deg. 46 min. 06 sec. to the right and running northerly 161.674 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Mission Block 83.

**PARCEL 2.**

Beginning at a point distant 280 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Dolores street and distant 112.285 feet at right angles northerly from the northerly line of Sixteenth street, said point being on the northerly termination of the wider portion of Landers street, and running thence northerly parallel with Dolores street 234.851 feet to a point on the southerly line of Alert alley; thence deflecting 93 deg. 29 min. 05 sec. to the left and running westerly along the southerly line of Alert alley 13.627 feet to the easterly line of Landers street; thence deflecting 88 deg. 10 min. 13 sec. to the left and running southerly along the easterly line of Landers street 234.521 feet to the northerly termination of the wider portion of Landers

street; thence deflecting 91 deg. 41 min. 46 sec. to the left and running easterly along said northerly terminal line 6.841 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Mission Block 83.

And said Board of Supervisors does hereby determine and declare that said proposed widening and extension of Landers street between Sixteenth street and Fifteenth street is of more than ordinary public benefit and will affect and benefit the lands and district hereinafter described, and which said district is hereby declared to be the district affected and benefited by said widening and extension, and that, therefore, the entire damages, costs and expenses of said widening and extension shall be and are hereby made chargeable against and shall be assessable upon said lands and district, which lands and district are within the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the exterior boundaries of said lands and district affected and benefited by said widening and extension are particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Fifteenth street, distant thereon 223.456 feet easterly from the easterly line of Church street, and running thence easterly along the southerly line of Fifteenth street 76.003 feet; thence deflecting 87 deg. 48 min. 09 sec. to the right and running southerly 158.567 feet to a point on the northerly line of Alert alley; thence deflecting 40 deg. 47 min. 43 sec. to the right and running southerly 12.907 feet to a point on the southerly line of Alert alley; thence deflecting 2 deg. 35 min. 56 sec. to the left and running southerly 234.91 feet to a point on the northerly terminal line of the wider portion of Landers street; thence deflecting 93 deg. 21 min. 00 sec. to the left and running easterly along said northerly terminal line and said terminal line produced easterly 30.093 feet; thence deflecting 93 deg. 21 min. 04 sec. to the right and running southerly 115.505 feet to a point on the northerly line of Sixteenth street, distant thereon 335 feet easterly from the easterly line of Church street; thence at right angles westerly along the northerly line of Sixteenth street 110 feet; thence at right angles northerly 358.333 feet; thence deflecting 0 deg. 32 min. 50 sec. to the left and running northerly 161.674 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Mission Block 83.

Except and excluding all public streets, alleys, courts and ways.

Said widening and extension of Landers street between Sixteenth street and Fifteenth street shall be done in pursuance of Chapter III of Article VI

of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 and the sections following Section 2 of said Chapter III of Article VI of said Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Resolution No. 18638 (New Series) and Resolution No. 18739 (New Series) are hereby repealed.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

Fixing February 20, 1922, for Hearing Appeal, Shafter Avenue.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 19669 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Monday, February 20, 1922, at 3 p. m., is hereby fixed as the time for hearing the appeal of property owners from the assessment issued by the Board of Public Works for the improvement of Shafter avenue between Ingalls and Jennings streets, including the crossing of Shafter avenue and Ingalls street.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

Experimental Floor Covering Permit.

Also, Resolution No. 19670 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That F. J. Littleton be and is hereby granted permission to lay two pieces of floor covering, each six by ten feet, along the curb of Market street between Fourth and Fifth streets, during the day of February 8, 1922, for experimental purposes.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

Mayor to Sell Basalt Blocks.

Resolution No. 19671 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction, after advertising for five days, approximately one million old basalt blocks which are now either located at the southeast corner of Jefferson and Jones streets or may be hereafter hauled there from the streets being reconstructed in that vicinity, said basalt blocks being unfit or unnecessary for use in the repair or the reconstruction of accepted streets of the City and County. The said sale is

to be under such terms and conditions as the Board of Public Works may prescribe and deemed by it to be for the best advantage of the City and County.

*January 30, 1922—Referred to Streets Committee.*

*February 2, 1922—In favor of the adoption of the resolution.*

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

*Passed for Printing.*

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

**Ordering Street Work, Eliza Place.**

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill:

Bill No. 5976, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 12, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in five instalments; that the period of time after the payment of the first instalment when each of the succeeding instalments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding instalment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Eliza place between Folsom street and the southerly termination of Eliza place, including the intersection of Eliza place and*

*Shipley street*, by the construction of a concrete pavement with wheel guards and a concrete pavement on the intersection of Shipley street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**Spur Track Permit.**

Supervisor Schmitz presented:

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to H. H. Helbush & Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track from the tracks of the Southern Pacific Company in Harrison street between Twenty-first and Twenty-second streets, thence along and across Harrison street to the curb line of the property on Harrison street at Twenty-first street, as shown on blueprint attached to the petition.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted H. H. Helbush & Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track as follows:

Commencing at a point in the tracks of the Southern Pacific Company in Harrison street between Twenty-first and Twenty-second streets; thence along and across Harrison street to the curb line of the property at the southeast corner of Harrison street at Twenty-first street, as shown on blueprint attached to the petition.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as complete as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by H. H. Helbush & Company.

Provided, that H. H. Helbush & Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps, to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

*J. Rauer and Mr. Zimdars* and other property owners living in the vicinity appeared and protested against the proposed permit, on the ground that as laid out the spur track would be a

menace to life and limb of school children.

**Action Deferred.**

Whereupon, the foregoing bill was on motion *laid over one week* and made a special order of business for 3 p. m.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Prohibiting Maintenance of Rock and Stone Quarries.**

Supervisor Scott presented:

Bill No. 5978, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

To prohibit the maintenance or operation of rock or stone quarries within certain limits.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. No person, company or association shall maintain or operate any rock or stone quarry within that portion of the City and County of San Francisco bounded as follows:

By Van Ness avenue, Bay street, Broderick street, Haight street, Scott street, Thirteenth street, Castro street, Seventeenth street, Douglass street, Romain street, Corbett avenue, High street, Clipper street, Douglass street, Twenty-seventh street, Burnett avenue, Thirtieth street, San Jose avenue, Army street, York street, Twenty-fifth street, Potrero avenue, Brannan street and the waters of the bay from Brannan street to Van Ness avenue.

Section 2. Any person, company or association violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and, upon conviction thereof, shall be fined a sum not exceeding five hundred dollars, nor less than twenty-five dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail of said City and County of San Francisco for a term not exceeding six months, nor less than three days, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 3. Ordinance No. 648, entitled "To prohibit the maintenance and operation of rock or stone quarries within certain limits" (approved February 10, 1903), and Ordinance No. 683, entitled "An ordinance amending Section 1 of an ordinance entitled 'Ordinance No. 648' to prohibit the maintenance and operation of rock or stone quarries within certain limits" (approved April 3, 1903), and Ordinance No. 944 to prohibit the maintenance or operation of rock or stone quarries within certain limits (approved August 7, 1903), and all other ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed.

Section 4. This ordinance shall take

effect and be in force on and after the — day of —, 1922.

*Referred to Fire and Streets Committees.*

**Prohibiting Rock-Crushing Machines in Certain Limits.**

Supervisor Scott presented:

Bill No. 5979, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

To prohibit the establishment, maintenance or use of rock-crushing machines within certain limits:

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. No person, company or association shall establish, maintain or use any rock-crushing machine operated by steam, gas, electric vapor or other motive power within that portion of the City and County of San Francisco bounded as follows:

By The Embarcadero, Green street, Calhoun street, Union street, Sansome street, Greenwich street, Montgomery street, Lombard street, Winthrop street, Chestnut street, Kearny street, The Embarcadero, Jefferson street, Van Ness avenue, Bay street, Broderick street, Haight street, Scott street, Thirteenth street, Castro street, Seventeenth street, Douglass street, Romain street, Corbett avenue, High street, Clipper street, Douglass street, Twenty-seventh street, Burnett avenue, Thirtieth street, San Jose avenue, Army street, York street, Twenty-fifth street, Potrero avenue, Brannan street and the waters of the bay from Brannan street to Green street.

Section 2. Any person, company or association violating the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and, upon conviction thereof, shall be fined a sum not exceeding five hundred dollars, nor less than twenty-five dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail of said City and County of San Francisco for a term not exceeding six months, nor less than three days, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 3. Ordinance No. 647, entitled "To prohibit the establishment, maintenance or use of rock-crushing machines within certain limits" (approved February 10, 1903) and Ordinance No. 682, entitled "An ordinance amending Section 1 of an ordinance entitled 'Ordinance No. 647,' to prohibit the establishment, maintenance or use of rock-crushing machines within certain limits" (approved April 3, 1903), and Ordinance No. 945 to prohibit the establishment, maintenance or use of rock-crushing machines within certain limits (approved August 7, 1903), and all other ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed.

Section 4. This ordinance shall take

effect and be in force on and after the ——— day of ———, 1922.

*Referred to Fire and Streets Committees.*

Playground, California Street and Third Avenue.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the sense of the Board to recommend the acquisition by the City for children's playground purposes the plat of land bounded on the north by California street, on the south by Cornwall street, on the east by Second avenue and on the west by Third avenue, which plat is a part of Outside Lands Block 73.

*Referred to Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee.*

Reception Committee, Disabled Veterans of World War.

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 19672 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, on June 26, 27, 28, 29 and 30 there will be held in the City of San Francisco the Second Annual National Convention of the Disabled American Veterans of the World War, which will bring to this city numerous delegates and visitors from all parts of the United States; and

Whereas, the membership of this organization is composed of those veterans of the World War who were wounded or disabled in the service of the armed forces of the United States; and

Whereas, the organization of the Disabled American Veterans of the World War is devoted to the solution of the problems of those disabled veterans of the World War which are now so urgently brought before the American people; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco recognizes its obligation to those heroes, who, with unflinching courage and devotion, sacrificed their bodies for the welfare of their country and democracy; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor of the City of San Francisco be hereby requested to appoint a committee of representative citizens, with authority to arrange a fitting reception for the disabled American Veterans of the World War, and appropriate entertainment for the delegates during their stay in San Francisco, so that San Francisco may in some measure exhibit its gratitude to them.

*Adopted under suspension of the rules by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan,

Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

*(Pursuant to the foregoing resolution, his Honor the Mayor appointed Supervisors Colman, Scott and Shannon.)*

Directing Preparation of Ordinance of Agreement With Alameda County for Care of Tubercular Patients.

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 19674 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Attorney has this day transmitted to the Board of Supervisors a draft of a proposed contract between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda for the care by said County of tubercular patients sent by the City and County of San Francisco to the Arroyo Sanitarium, maintained and operated by said County; and also a copy of a resolution this day adopted by the Board of Supervisors of said County in relation thereto; therefore be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney be instructed to prepare the necessary resolution or ordinance, authorizing and directing the proper officials of the City and County to enter into such proposed agreement and to present the same at the next regular session of this Board.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

*(In this connection, it was also directed that City Attorney submit draft of proposed contract with Weimar, as requested by Supervisor McSheehy. Copies to be printed and sent to members.)*

Aerial Day.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 19675 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Lincoln Beachey, pioneer aviator and San Franciscan, met his death on the second Sunday in March of 1915; and

Whereas, on that day of each succeeding year Lillian Gatlin has made a flight and scattered pink roses on the waters of the Pacific Ocean in tribute to him and to his mother, Amy Beachey; and

Whereas, through the recognition and the response of the people, the occasion has grown to include tribute to all mothers whose sons flew on while aeronautics were being perfected as a science, and that we might have world peace; and

Whereas, the tribute now has that large significance, and a committee has been formed to represent San

Francisco on the occasion this year; be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors do hereby request James Rolph, Jr., Mayor of San Francisco, to set aside Sunday, March 12, 1922, as Aerial Day, and to invite the people of San Francisco to participate in so commendable a tribute.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—18.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 6:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors February 27, 1922.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series), of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.



Tuesday, February 14, 1922.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



**THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY**

77 Sutter Street, S. F.



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 14, 1922, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Tuesday, February 14, 1922, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over for approval until next meeting.

### COMMUNICATIONS AND REPORTS FROM CITY AND COUNTY OFFICERS.

Judgment of Court, Election of John G. Wetmore Supervisor.

The following was presented and ordered spread in the Journal:

In the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco.—Dept. 14.

In the matter of the Election Contest instituted by John G. Wetmore, Fred Suhr and Frank C. Tracey, Contestants, v. Frank H. Harris, Frank Robb, John A. McGregor, Margaret Mary Morgan, Cornelius Deasy, Ralph McLeran, Eugene E. Schmitz, James B. McSheehy and Angelo J. Rossi, declared elected to the office of Supervisor of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, by the Election Commissioners of said City and County, Contestees.—No. 121575.

### Judgment.

The above entitled cause came on regularly for trial the 27th day of December, 1921, at the hour of ten o'clock a. m., Messrs. Edwin G. Bath and Joseph A. Garry appearing as attorneys for the contestants and Messrs. Richard O'Connor and Harold G. Faulkner appearing as attorneys for the contestee Frank H. Harris.

Evidence was adduced by the respective parties, the cause argued and sub-

mitted to the court for decision, and the court having ordered judgment in favor of the contestant John G. Wetmore and the contestees Angelo J. Rossi, James B. McSheehy, Eugene E. Schmitz, Ralph McLeran, Frank Robb, John A. McGregor, Margaret Mary Morgan and Cornelius J. Deasy and against the contestee Frank H. Harris, and stipulations between the respective parties waiving findings of fact and conclusions of law having been heretofore filed with the clerk of said court, and the contestant John G. Wetmore having waived his costs herein.

Wherefore, by reason of the facts and the law in the premises, it is ordered, adjudged and decreed, that the contestant John G. Wetmore and the contestees Angelo J. Rossi, James B. McSheehy, Eugene E. Schmitz, Ralph McLeran, Frank Robb, John A. McGregor, Margaret Mary Morgan and Cornelius J. Deasy were duly elected to the office of Supervisor of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on the 8th day of November, 1921, at the election held in said City and County for said office for the term of four years, as follows, to-wit:

Name.	Number of Votes.
Angelo J. Rossi.....	53588
James B. McSheehy.....	48074
Eugene E. Schmitz.....	48029
Ralph McLeran .....	45317
Frank Robb .....	42219
John A. McGregor .....	41617
Margaret Mary Morgan .....	39956
John G. Wetmore .....	39617
Cornelius J. Deasy .....	39523

That the County Clerk and the Registrar of Voters of said City and County and each of them forthwith issue a certificate of election to said contestant, John G. Wetmore, and that the certificate of election heretofore issued to the contestee, Frank H. Harris, be and the same is hereby annulled.

Dated February 8, 1922.

WALTER PERRY JOHNSON,  
Judge.

Address of His Honor Mayor James Rolph, Jr.

Tuesday, February 14, 1922.

Mayor James Rolph Jr.: Members of the Board of Supervisors, I wish to

take this occasion of expressing regret that, upon the recount of votes, the election of Mr. Frank H. Harris, whom we have all grown to know and admire, was declared invalid; and, at the same time I wish to congratulate and extend the good wishes of the city to Mr. John G. Wetmore, who takes his place by the voice of the people.

In all the years that I have been mayor of the city I have never seen a similar procedure. The votes were close, and it could reasonably be expected that what has happened would happen, but there is one thing—one lesson that should remain in the minds of the members of this Board, and in the minds of the people of this city, and that is, that Frank H. Harris has shown himself to be a good game citizen. The time was when a similar incident such as this would have precipitated a legal battle, which could have kept Mr. Harris in the office of supervisor for nearly the entire period of four years; and I want to say to him, as mayor, and as a friend, that he has set an example in public life that will be known throughout the whole United States, and that will always be remembered by this board, and every succeeding board, that the will of the people must count, and, that trifling with the law will not be tolerated by right-thinking and honorable citizens, and Mr. Frank H. Harris is an honorable citizen in the highest degree, and retires with the good wishes and confidence of all who voted for him, and those who did not vote for him; and he goes out of office with our good wishes.

Mr. Wetmore, speaking for the board, I congratulate you, and hope that when you leave the office, you will leave it with as good a name as your predecessor, Mr. Frank H. Harris.

*Mayor Rolph* (later): I should not have said all I did about Frank H. Harris a few moments ago unless I intended to show my appreciation of him in a practical way, as a public servant, and I hereby tender to him membership in the Board of Education if he will accept it.

*Supervisor Bath*: I came here today more to support and praise the good man, Mr. Harris, than to congratulate Mr. Wetmore. One of the most painful tasks I ever had in my life was that as the attorney in the case in which Mr. Harris was counted out in the recount of ballots.

I have known the name of Harris as a supervisor of San Francisco, as a builder of San Francisco, and as a big business man of San Francisco, and that name has always been a synonym with what is highest and noblest in American life. I have repeatedly said that Mr. Harris would have made one

of the best supervisors San Francisco could have had.

During the trial I have watched Mr. Harris and in the last days when Mr. Harris was informed by the attorney that there were certain technicalities of which he could take advantage, he told his attorneys he was not looking for technicalities, he was looking for fair play. "If Frank Harris is not elected, he does not want the position," and, Mr. Mayor, I believe you have done the best and biggest thing you ever did in your career and I believe it will be approved by 100 per cent of the citizens.

*Supervisor Harris*: Mr. Mayor, Members of the Board and Fellow Citizens—It is really a very great pleasure to be here today, although it was not my intention to come. I have been very much interested in all the work of the Board and was endeavoring to find out all the angles just as fast as time would permit.

The appointment offered me this afternoon is a very great honor, and I wish to thank the Mayor for his kindness in selecting me as a member of the Board of Education. I can assure you that I will do everything in my power to be upright and straight-forward in discharging the duties of the office. I thank you.

Leave of Absence, Hon. Alfred I. Esberg,  
School Director.

The following matter was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., Feb. 14, 1922.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall,  
San Francisco, Cal.

Dear Sirs:

Application has been made to me by Hon. Alfred I. Esberg, School Director, for a leave of absence with permission to leave the State of California, for a period of thirty days, commencing February 21, 1922.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Very sincerely yours,  
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,  
Mayor.

Resolution No. 19696 (New Series),  
as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Alfred I. Esberg, School Director, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing February 21, 1922, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Leave of Absence, Rev. D. O. Crowley,  
Playground Commissioner.

The following was presented and  
read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., Feb. 14, 1922.  
To the Hon. Board of Supervisors, City  
Hall, San Francisco, Cal.  
Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by  
Rev. D. O. Crowley, President of the  
Playground Commission, for a leave  
of absence with permission to leave  
the State of California for a period of  
sixty days, commencing today, Febru-  
ary 14, 1922.

I hereby request that you concur  
with me in granting said leave of ab-  
sence.

Very sincerely yours,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,  
Mayor.

Resolution No. 19697 (New Series),  
as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with  
the recommendation of his Honor the  
Mayor, Rev. D. O. Crowley, President  
of the Playground Commission, is here-  
by granted a leave of absence for a  
period of sixty days, commencing Feb-  
ruary 14, 1922, with permission to leave  
the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman,  
Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, Mc-  
Leran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill,  
Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott,  
Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Standing Committees.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 19676 (New Series),  
as follows:

Resolved, That the Standing Com-  
mittees of this Board be constituted as  
follows, the first-named member to be  
chairman thereof:

Auditorium—Hayden, Powers, Bath.  
Civil Service, Standardization of  
Salaries and Retirement System—  
Schmitz, Wetmore, Scott, Hynes, Shan-  
non.

City Planning—McGregor, Bath,  
Powers, Deasy, Colman.

Commercial and Industrial Develop-  
ment—Welch, Rossi, Hayden, Robb,  
Colman.

Education, Parks and Playgrounds—  
Morgan, Schmitz, Welch, Rossi, Mc-  
Sheehy.

Finance—McLeran, Rossi, McGregor.  
Fire—Deasy, Morgan, McGregor.

Judiciary—Bath, Scott, Hayden.

Lands and Tunnels and Assessment  
Districts—Wetmore, McLeran, Hynes.  
Lighting, Water, Telephone Service  
and Electricity—Powers, Wetmore,  
Welch.

Municipal Concerts and Public Cele-  
brations—Hynes, Schmitz, McLeran.

Police—Robb, Colman, Bath.

Public Buildings—Scott, McLeran,  
Powers.

Public Health and Garbage Disposal  
—McSheehy, Shannon, Deasy, Mulvi-  
hill, Scott.

Public Utilities—Shannon, Mc-  
Sheehy, Mulvihill, Morgan, Schmitz.

Public Welfare and Publicity—Col-  
man, Mulhivill, Hynes, Morgan, Hay-  
den.

Streets and Sewers—Mulvihill,  
Welch, McGregor, Scott, Robb.

Supplies—Rossi, Robb, Wetmore,  
Shannon, McSheehy.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman,  
Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, Mc-  
Leran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill,  
Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott,  
Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Conference of Restoring Mission High  
School Recently Destroyed by Fire.

*Communication*—From Board of Edu-  
cation, requesting joint meeting of its  
Buildings and Grounds and Finance  
Committees with the Buildings and  
Grounds and Finance Committee of the  
Board of Supervisors, relating to the  
damage to the Mission High School by  
recent fire.

*Referred to Buildings and Education,  
Parks and Playgrounds Committees  
jointly.*

Army Street Sewer Extension and College  
Hill Tunnel and Sewer.

*Communication*—From Congress of  
Mothers' Club, requesting that funds  
be provided for the extension of Army  
street sewer to the bay and the con-  
struction of College Hill Tunnel and  
sewer to carry off sewage of southern  
Mission district which is now being  
dumped on open ground in the Islais  
Creek marsh.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

Dismissal of Condemnation Proceedings.

*Communication*—From City Attor-  
ney, recommending dismissal condem-  
nation proceedings against Spring Val-  
ley Lands, parcel of 214 acres known  
as "Clay Tract," in Arroyo Valle near  
Livermore, for the reason that pur-  
chase of this parcel is not contem-  
plated.

Also, copy of resolution.

*Referred to Public Utilities Com-  
mittee.*

Relative to Proposed Seventh and Chan-  
nel Streets Drawbridge.

*Communication*—From Three Cities  
Chamber of Commerce, replying to al-  
leged criticism of Supervisor McLeran  
with reference to chamber's protest  
against Moody petition before Railroad  
Commission for drawbridge at Seventh  
and Channel streets.

*Ordered filed.*

Cancellation of Certificates of Sale.

*Communication*—From Tax Collector  
and Auditor, requesting that they be  
authorized to cancel certificates of sale

No. 536, for property erroneously sold to state for nonpayment of taxes.

Communication filed and resolution adopted.

#### PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Sealed proposals for binding Auditor's Financial Report for year ending June 30, 1922, were received and referred as follows:

##### Printing Auditor's Annual Report.

1. Neal, Stratford & Kerr, California .....\$100.00
2. John Kitchen, Jr. Co., Anglo-London ..... 90.00
3. Majestic Press, Italy..... 100.00

*Referred to Supplies Committee.*

##### Residential School Bond Registers.

Sealed proposals for furnishing fourteen Residential School Bond Registers, to wit:

##### *Furnishing 14 Bond Books for the Auditor.*

1. Neal, Stratford & Kerr, California ..... \$50.00
2. John Kitchen Jr. Co., Anglo-London ..... 34.00
3. Levison Printing Co., Humboldt ..... 42.00
4. F. Malloye Co., Anglo-London ..... 40.00
5. J. B. McIntyre, Italy ..... 30.00

*Referred to Supplies Committee.*

#### SPECIAL ORDER, 3 P. M.

Spur Track Permit, H. H. Helbush & Company.

The following bill was presented and on motion *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 5980, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to H. H. Helbush & Company, to construct, maintain and operate a spur track from the tracks of the Southern Pacific Company in Harrison street between Twentieth street and Twenty-first street, thence running southerly along Harrison street on a convenient curve to the left and crossing Twenty-first street, thence along Harrison street from Twenty-first street 125 feet southerly paralleling the easterly curb line and at a distance sufficient westerly therefrom to permit the ties to be laid flush with the curb.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted H. H. Helbush & Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track as follows:

Commencing at a point in the tracks of the Southern Pacific Company in Harrison street between Twentieth and

Twenty-first streets, thence running southerly along Harrison street on a convenient curve to the left and crossing Twenty-first street; thence along Harrison street from Twenty-first street 125 feet southerly paralleling the easterly curb line, and at a distance sufficiently westerly therefrom to permit the ties to be laid flush with the curb.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as complete as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by H. H. Helbush & Company.

Provided, that H. H. Helbush & Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps, to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.

The following committees, by their respective chairmen, presented reports on various matters referred, which reports were read and ordered *filed*:

Supplies Committee, by Supervisor Hilmer, chairman.

Fire Committee, by Supervisor Deasy, chairman.

Report of Joint Committee on Streets and Commercial Development on Proposed Bridge at Seventh and Channel Streets.

San Francisco, February 14, 1922.

To the Board of Supervisors:

Your Committees on Streets and Commercial Development, to which was referred the resolution directing the City Attorney to appear before the Railroad Commission and to oppose the matter pending before that body wherein it is sought to require a drawbridge or other structure across the channel at Seventh street, report thereon as follows:

A hearing on the subject was held by said committees, at which all parties interested were fully heard. At the conclusion thereof the members of the committees were unanimously of the opinion that the City's interests should be represented before the Railroad Commission in the matter stated

and recommend the adoption of the resolution herewith transmitted.

Respectfully submitted,

JOSEPH MULVIHILL,  
JOHN A. MCGREGOR,  
W. S. SCOTT,

Joint Committee on Streets and Commercial Development.

(See Resolution No. 19693.)

Gold Pen Presented to His Honor Mayor James Rolph, Jr., on Occasion of Signing Retirement Ordinance.

On motion of Supervisor McGregor, Mr. Chas. A. McColm, representing Municipal Employes' Organizations, was granted the privilege of the floor.

*Chas. A. McColm:* Mr. Mayor, Members of the Board of Supervisors, Ladies and Gentlemen—We are a committee here today representing three different organizations of city employes, the San Francisco Municipal Civil Service Employees' Association, the Per Diem Men's Association, Street Car Men, Inspectors, Clerks, Watchmen and Elevator Operators. We have set this time, feeling it was most fitting, Mr. Mayor, because the Board is assembled and has played an important part, also a large number of citizens are here who have played an important part in the enactment of your suggestion, Mr. Mayor, on the Retirement Ordinance, and this moment is the fulfillment of dreams of some of us for 20 years. Two years ago you called into your council Mr. Paul Eliel, of the Bureau of Governmental Research, and Mr. Wolcott of the Civil Service Commission, and asked them to provide a resolution for the relief of the aged and disabled employes; the outcome was this act placed on the ballot as Charter Amendment No. 27. You took the initiative, Mr. Mayor, and advised the people, your friends and the citizens through the press that it was good business and splendid legislation for the City to pass such a law. A young man or a young woman goes into the city service full of ambition. They are young and strong, vigorous of mind and body, and they bring to their task a certain enthusiasm that means efficiency. Time passes on; they slow up in body or mind; are not so active; they are not so well able to fill their part as in the earlier days. We realize that as much as anyone could possibly do so because they are our associates. The people responded to your call. Mr. Mayor, and passed Charter Amendment No. 27 with a vote of 8 to 5. After the passage of that by the people your task then began in earnest. It was up to you to name a commission that should frame a law that would cover the Retirement Act. It was exceedingly fortunate for the City and the em-

ployees at that time that we had then, as now, Ralph McLaren, our very able chairman of the Finance Committee, Mr. Thomas F. Boyle, the Auditor, and this Charter Amendment made these officials part of the Commission. It was up to you to build the rest of the Commission. You selected Mr. A. S. Hollman, the insurance man, and Mr. DeWitt C. Treat, the banker, and with your rare insight into the abilities of men, you selected Mr. John W. Rogers, the very efficient Deputy Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, Mr. Coffey, the secretary of the Board of Health, and Mr. Riley as the men to represent us on that commission. How wisely you selected is shown by the fact that after seven months' deliberation a law was brought forth that we believe is the best ever brought forth in any city. It benefits the city as well as the employes. I want to say, Mr. Mayor, the city employes have a pride in our various departments. We want to see this city government so run that we can point to it with pride to the world at large; no city is better and no department is stronger than its employes, and this will enable the city to strengthen its departments by providing a pension allowance for its old and infirm employes who have given the best of their lives to the service of the city. It will result in greater efficiency and better service to the city. Now, Mr. Mayor, we have sought this occasion that through you we might thank the good people of San Francisco for their splendid vote on Charter Amendment No. 27, and the Supervisors who have unanimously passed this ordinance. We want to thank the various civic organizations, the Down Town Association, the labor organizations, the Civic League of Improvement Clubs. All these organizations that, influenced by you, we believe, have endorsed this measure, and we want to, through you, thank the press, which loyally supported us throughout. I want to say to you, Mr. Mayor, as the citizens realize the benefits of this law it will easily rank among the first achievements of your various administrations of the City of San Francisco. We are satisfied that in years to come this will rank as one of the first.

Mr. Mayor, you started this ordinance, it is up to you to sign it. We ask you, as the Mayor, to sign it with this pen, and keep the pen as a token of the esteem you are held in by the city employees of San Francisco. We want you to use it in your private business, and the only thing we ask is that you will occasionally in your busy life give just a moment's thought to the fact that there are 3,000 city employees wishing you and yours all the happiness and prosperity you can

have in this world, and realize that this world is just a little bit better for you having lived in it.

We thank you.

*Mayor Rolph:* Mr. Chairman and Joint Committee, my Colleagues and Fellow Citizens—I do not know of an address I have listened to that appealed to me more than the words you have just uttered. This is Valentine's Day. This is the day when we send messages of cheer, send messages of affection, of hope to those who are nearest and dearest to us in this life. I sent a valentine to every member of my family, my wife and my children. I am sure the members of the Board have done so. I am sure every one in San Francisco has sent them. As long as Valentine's Day lasts, in my life there will be no valentine that means more to me than this valentine from the employees of the city government. When I do retire to private life and look back on the days I have spent here in the city as Mayor I shall be happy and contented in the thought that I did have a thought for those who worked and co-operated with me while I was Mayor. When I took office I saw you striving with all your associates to give value for the petty returns you were receiving for the work you did. From the time I saw the men sweeping the streets and the janitors I was determined, if I could, as all large private institutions do, to provide for the men and women so that after they became too old to work—you know when they get too old it is awfully hard to get a job—that there would be stored down in the archives of this city government a pension fund to take care of you. I want to thank the men who have given their time to bringing about this splendid piece of legislation. I want to thank Mr. Eliel and Mr. Walcott, who started, at my request, and brought to the people and the Board the best that could be acquired with the knowledge of what is being done elsewhere. San Francisco is one of the pioneers in this movement. I want to thank Ralph McLeran, Mr. Boyle, Mr. Hollman, Mr. Treat, Mr. Coffey, Mr. Riley and Mr. John W. Rogers, who have carried on, and who now compose your board of retirement, for the work they have done to bring cheer and hope to you and your families, so the people can say when you retire, "Well done, you good and faithful servants."

There is one man now in the City and County Hospital—his name is O'Connor. He is now over 80 years of age and has had 50 years of service. I have been giving him a vacation because he has been too feeble to work. He will come in under this Retirement Act. His son is in the

Fire Department and is almost old enough to retire also. The old story says when you see the son "you ought to see the grandfather." Today the signing of this document brings to that man, after 50 years of service, a pension from the City and County. It is a splendid valentine for San Francisco. I hope you will all go home feeling that the work is done and feeling grateful. I wish good luck to every one.

I hope this pen in private life will bring me a lot of luck because I need it, and I am going to use it.

#### Conference on Purchase of United Railroads.

*Supervisor Shannon* notified the members of the Special Committee that they are invited to consider the purchase of the United Railroads next Friday in the Mayor's office at 10 a. m., at which time the Committee will meet the representatives of the United Railroads.

#### Tuberculosis Sanatorium.

The following matters, prepared by the City Attorney, were presented:

#### Proposed Agreement With Alameda County for Care of Tubercular Patients.

This agreement made and entered into this — day of February, A. D. 1922, by and between the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation of the State of California, the party of the first part and herein-after called the "City," and the County of Alameda, State of California, the party of the second part and herein-after called "County":

Witnesseth: That, whereas, the City now maintains a hospital within its limits known as the San Francisco Hospital, where indigent sick and disabled residents of the City are cared for, among which are a large number of persons afflicted with tuberculosis; and,

Whereas, climatic conditions in the City and County of San Francisco are not so favorable for the treatment and care of persons afflicted with tuberculosis as certain other sections of the State, and the City desires to provide for the treatment of approximately fifty of such patients afflicted with tuberculosis in a proper and suitable hospital outside of the limits of the City, where climatic conditions are more favorable for the recovery from such disease; and,

Whereas, the County of Alameda now maintains a county hospital near the town of Livermore in the said County of Alameda, known as the Arroyo Sanatorium, where the general surroundings and climatic conditions are admirably suited for the treatment and care of tubercular patients; and,

Whereas, the City desires to enter

into a contract with said County under the terms of which said County will board, maintain and care for, at its said sanatorium near Livermore, approximately fifty patients suffering from tuberculosis who are residents of the City and County of San Francisco, the City paying to the County therefor a certain stipulated sum per day for each of said patients cared for; and,

Whereas, it will be necessary for the County to construct an additional unit or units at its said sanatorium near Livermore to enable it to properly care for such tubercular patients as may be sent there by the City, and the City is willing, in further consideration of the maintenance and care by the County of such tubercular patients, to allow and pay to the County each year a certain percentage of the total construction cost of such additional unit or units, including superintendence, inspection and architect's fees, to cover annual depreciation and obsolescence thereon; and,

Whereas, said County is willing to enter into an agreement with said City to carry into effect the purposes hereinabove outlined;

Now, therefore, in consideration of the premises and the covenants and agreements herein contained, it is mutually understood and agreed between the parties hereto as follows:

1. The City will advance and pay to the County a sum of money equal to the total cost of constructing such additional unit or units to said Arroyo Sanatorium, including superintendence, inspection and architect's fees of sufficient size and capacity to properly care for sixty patients, which sum so advanced to the County will be held by it in a special fund from which said County may deduct each year during the life of this agreement an annual allowance for depreciation and obsolescence of 4 per cent of the total costs to the County for the construction of such unit or units including superintendence, inspection and architect's fees paid by said County on account thereof.

For the purpose of determining the amount to be so advanced and paid to the County by the City and to commence said work it is mutually understood and agreed that immediately upon the execution of this contract the City will advance and pay to the County the sum of five thousand dollars (\$5,000), to be held by the County as a fund to cover the cost of architect's fees for preparing plans and specifications for such additional hospital unit or units, and the County on its part upon the payment to it of the said sum will immediately cause to be prepared plans and specifications for the construction of such additional unit or units to said sanatorium for

the accommodation of approximately sixty patients and will submit such plans and specifications with an estimate of the cost of construction thereunder to the City for its approval. Upon the approval by the City of the plans and specifications so submitted the County will enter into a contract for the construction of such hospital unit or units in accordance with said plans and specifications, which contract shall also be submitted to the City for its approval. Upon the letting of such contract and the approval thereof as herein provided, the City will advance and pay to the County the amount of money necessary to completely construct the said unit or units in accordance with said plans and specifications and the said contract, including the total amount of architect's fees to be paid by the County for such plans and specifications, the cost of superintendence and inspection and supervision of the same. If any changes or alterations are made in such plans or specifications after the contract is let the same shall only be by mutual consent of the parties hereto. If such changes or alterations increase the contract price, an additional sum sufficient to cover the same shall be paid by the City to the County at the completion of the contract. If such changes or alterations decrease said contract price, the amount of such decrease shall be returned by the County to the City at the completion of said contract. On the completion of the contract an adjustment shall be made of the estimated architect's, superintendence and inspection fees advanced by the City. If the estimated amount paid by the City to the County shall have exceeded the cost of such architect's services, superintendence and inspection, such excess shall be repaid by the County to the City. If the estimated amount be less than the cost of such services the City shall pay the difference to the County. If the parties cannot agree on plans or specifications or the said contract, the County shall in no event be required to return to the City any part of such sum of \$5000 paid to it to apply on account of architect's fees to the extent that it has actually incurred a liability for such purpose.

The County agrees that upon the completion of the construction of such unit or units it will wholly equip the same at its own cost and expense and will board, maintain and care for such tubercular patients as the City may send to the said sanatorium, not exceeding fifty in number, at any one time; and the City on its part agrees to pay for the board, maintenance and medical care of such tubercular patients as it may send to such sanatorium a certain sum per day per pa-

tient. It is agreed that the rate per day for each of such patients so boarded and cared for shall be fixed at \$2.87 per day per patient, subject to such modification at the end of each fiscal year as the parties may agree to make, and in the event of a failure to agree, the said daily rate of \$2.87 per patient shall remain in full force and effect during the life of this agreement or until otherwise changed by mutual agreement. All payments for the maintenance and care of such patients by the County shall be paid by the City monthly during the life of this agreement.

It is understood and agreed that this contract shall continue in full force and effect for a period of twenty-five years from date unless sooner terminated by mutual consent or the act of either party by the giving of one year's notice to the other of intention to terminate the contract, and the right of either party to so terminate the contract upon one year's notice to the other is hereby specifically granted. Upon the termination of the contract at any time prior to the expiration of said period of twenty-five years, whether at the instance of either party hereto, the County will return to the City the amount of money advanced to it by the City to cover the architect's, superintendence, and inspection fees and the total construction cost for such hospital unit or units less the annual deduction from said entire cost, including architect's, superintendence and inspection costs of 4 per cent to cover depreciation and obsolescence.

It is further mutually understood and agreed that the administration and control of said Arroyo Sanatorium shall remain exclusively with the said County of Alameda and that the City will comply in every way with the rules and regulations of said sanatorium and will also conform to the standard of admission adopted by the governing body of said sanatorium.

It is further understood and agreed that the City will remove any patients whose removal may be requested by the superintendent of said sanatorium and that the said patients shall be amenable to all the rules and regulations prescribed by the superintendent of said sanatorium or the governing body thereof for patients, and that the said rules shall be uniform as to those patients of the City as well as those of the County.

It is also understood and agreed that any sums of money received from the State of California for the care of such tubercular patients as the City may send to said sanatorium under the terms of this agreement may be retained by the City, and if such money

so paid by the state should be paid to the County the amounts thereof shall be credited on the payments due from the City for the care of such patients.

In witness whereof, the parties hereto, by resolutions of their respective Boards of Supervisors, duly adopted, have caused these presents to be duly executed and signed by the proper officers of the parties, and their corporate names and seals to be affixed hereto this day and year first above written.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO (a Municipal Corporation),

By ..... Mayor.

Attest:

..... Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

COUNTY OF ALAMEDA (a Political Corporation),

By ..... Chairman of Its Board of Supervisors.

Attest:

..... Clerk of Its Board of Supervisors.

#### Motions.

*Supervisor Hayden* moved passage to print of the proposed contract with Alameda County.

*Supervisor McSheehy* moved as an amendment that the Weimar contract be entered into.

Discussion: Supervisors McSheehy and Schmitz.

#### Amendment Defeated.

Whereupon, the roll being called on Supervisor McSheehy's amendment, the same was defeated by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Hynes, McSheehy, Powers—3.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

#### Alameda Site Selected.

Thereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Hayden's motion approving the Alameda County contract and the same *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

#### Explanation of Vote.

*Supervisor Hynes* explained his vote by saying: "I voted for Weimar because I thought Weimar best for the patients. I am very uncertain as to whether or not Alameda County will take care of additional patients and

am not going on record to destroy the opportunity of taking care of 50 of them, so I will, perforce, be obliged to vote for the Alameda Sanatorium."

*Supervisor McSheehy:* I am going to vote *aye* for the Alameda Sanatorium for the reason that I want to see the patients at the San Francisco Hospital given a chance. I feel we are making a mistake in rejecting the opportunity to send them to Weimar.

*Supervisor Bath:* I have always voted for Weimar, but after hearing the Committee's report today I will vote *no*.

*Supervisor Bath was thereupon excused from meeting on account of illness.*

*Supervisor Powers:* I am going to vote *aye*, but think we are making a mistake by not going to Weimar.

Whereupon the following was presented and passed for printing:

**Contract With Alameda for Care of Tubercular Patients.**

On motion of Supervisor Hayden:

Bill No. 5986, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and directing the Mayor, in the name and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, to execute an agreement with the County of Alameda, State of California, for the maintenance and care of tubercular patients from the City and County of San Francisco.

The Mayor is hereby authorized and directed to execute, in the name and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, an agreement with the County of Alameda, State of California, for the maintenance and care by said county of tubercular patients from the City and County of San Francisco; such contract to be approved, in form, by the City Attorney and to be based upon and embody the terms set forth in that certain proposed agreement filed with this Board on the 6th day of February, 1922, accompanied by a certified copy of a resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Alameda, State of California.

Section 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

**UNFINISHED BUSINESS.**

**Final Passage.**

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and finally passed by the following vote:

**Authorizations.**

Resolution No. 19677 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

*Auditorium Fund.*

(1) San Francisco Development Association, refund of deposit as bond for occupancy of Auditorium, January 21 to 26 (claim dated Jan. 30, 1922), \$1,000.

*South Beach Land Fund.*

(2) Board of State Harbor Commissioners, laying track, Belt Line Railroad, south of Aquatic Park (claim dated Feb. 1, 1922), \$2,156.34.

*Municipal Railway Fund.*

(3) Standard Oil Co., gasoline furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated Feb. 1, 1922), \$854.70.

(4) The White Co., one White truck, Municipal Railways (claim dated Jan. 27, 1922), \$5,992.50.

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(5) Alex. Coleman, plumbing, North Beach (Galileo) High School, second payment (claim dated Feb. 1, 1922), \$3,029.17.

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(6) Construction Company of North America, sixth quarterly payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels, Mountain Division of Hetch Hetchy Water construction, Contract No. 77-C (claim dated Feb. 2, 1922), \$24,545.45.

(7) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Groveland Revolving Fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Jan. 30, 1922), \$801.65.

(8) Sherry Bros. Inc., supplies (claim dated Jan. 30, 1922), \$549.

(9) Martens, Read & Co., supplies, (claim dated Jan. 30, 1922), \$871.65.

(10) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Groveland Revolving Fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Jan. 30, 1922), \$1,028.90.

(11) A. Levy & J. Zentner Co., supplies (claim dated Jan. 30, 1922), \$1,167.19.

(12) Baumgarten Bros., supplies (claim dated Jan. 30, 1922), \$2,393.32.

(13) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Hetch Hetchy transportation (claim dated Jan. 30, 1922), \$2,726.92.

(14) Utah Construction Co., extra work and material furnished (claim dated Jan. 30, 1922), \$7,869.13.

(15) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Hetch Hetchy labor expense (claim dated Jan. 30, 1922), \$650.87.

*General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(16) Levison Printing Co., printing, Board Supervisors (claim dated Feb. 6, 1922), \$701.35.

(17) Ed. Barry Co., printing, Board Supervisors (claim dated Feb. 6, 1922), \$603.

(18) San Francisco Society for Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc. of animals (claim dated Feb. 6, 1922), \$1,000.

(19) Rucker-Fuller Desk Co., furniture, Public Defender (claim dated Feb. 6, 1922), \$715.25.

(20) Recorder Printing & Publishing Company, printing Law-Motion-Trial Calendar (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$665.

(21) Haas Brothers, supplies, Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 30, 1922), \$528.84.

(22) Hooper & Jennings, groceries, Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 30, 1922), \$1,267.58.

(23) Smith-Lynden Co., groceries, Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 30, 1922), \$757.59.

(24) Buckingham & Hecht, shoes, Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 30, 1922), \$629.30.

(25) California Metal Enameling Co., highway signs (claim dated Feb. 3, 1922), \$1,507.06.

(26) Schultze Construction Co., second payment, furnishing and erecting street signs (claim dated Feb. 3, 1922), \$5,942.70.

(27) Matthew Brady, District Attorney, extraordinary expense in prosecution of crime (claim dated Jan. 30, 1922), \$1,500.

(28) Flynn & Collins, one Ford roadster, Department of Public Works (claim dated Jan. 27, 1922), \$559.33.

(29) J. H. McCallum, lumber, Department of Public Works (claim dated Jan. 26, 1922), \$802.35.

(30) Shell Co., fuel oil, Department of Public Works (claim dated Jan. 27, 1922), \$561.

(31) Union Oil Co. of Cal., asphalt, oil, etc., Department of Public Works (claim dated Jan. 27, 1922), \$2,502.51.

(32) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement, etc., Department of Public Works (claim dated Jan. 27, 1922), \$4,330.18.

(33) Associated Oil Co., fuel oil, Fire Department (claim dated Jan. 25, 1922), \$603.39.

(34) Westinghouse Elec. Mfg. Co., battery charging, Fire Department (claim dated Jan. 25, 1922), \$609.90.

(35) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished Fire Department hydrants (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$10,927.92.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

#### Appropriations.

Resolution No. 19678 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

#### *School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(1) For furring, lathing and plastering the Galileo High School, Van Ness avenue between Bay and Francisco streets; J. Greenback contract, \$66,925.

(2) For brick and hollow tile work, addition of Mission High School, Eighteenth street between Church and Dolores streets; E. Hogberg contract, \$26,300.

(3) For construction of Hancock School addition, Taylor street between Filbert and Greenwich streets, including inspection, extras and incidentals, \$35,165.

(General construction, O. Monson contract, \$27,860; plumbing work, Gilley Schmid Co. contract, \$1,395; heating and ventilating, P. J. Enright contract, \$1,345; electrical work, F. E. Newberry Electric Co. contract, \$765; inspection, \$1,800; extras and incidentals, \$2,000.)

#### *Auditorium Fund.*

(4) For furnishing and installing electric wires and conduit for additional feeds and plug outlets in Exposition Auditorium; M. E. Ryan contract at \$3,849, and extras, etc., at \$200, \$4,049.

#### *Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1.*

(5) For payment of architectural services in connection with preparation of plans and specifications for the Oral-Deaf School, to be erected on south side of Washington street between Franklin and Gough streets, \$3,000.

#### *Work in Front of City Property, Etc., Budget Item No. 45.*

(6) For construction of sidewalks on Tennessee and Minnesota streets, at Irving M. Scott School, \$782.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Appropriation, \$3,000, Replacement of Hot and Cold Water Pipes, San Francisco Hospital.

Resolution No. 19679 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$3,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, for the expense of replacement of hot and cold water pipes at the San Francisco Hospital, which now show leaks under runways and foundations.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy,

Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

**Appropriation, \$1,755.94, Postal Telegraph Damage Claim, Removal of Manholes.**

Resolution No. 19680 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$1,755.94 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund, and authorized in payment to the Postal Telegraph Cable Company; being payment of judgment by the Superior Court, affirmed by the District Court of Appeal and the Supreme Court of the State of California, for damages sustained due to the moving of its manholes in Market street necessary to permit of the construction of the Municipal Railway in Market street.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

**Amending City Planning Ordinance, Fulton Street.**

Bill No. 5961, Ordinance No. 5562 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled, "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

That Section 3 of the use of property zone map, constituting a part of said ordinance, be changed so as to place the northerly side of Fulton street for a distance of one hundred and twenty feet westerly from the westerly line of Sixth avenue and the westerly side of Sixth avenue for a distance of one hundred and twenty feet northerly from the northerly line of Fulton street and to the same distance from the street frontage in the commercial district instead of the second residential district.

That Section 6 of the use of property zone map, constituting a part of said ordinance, be changed so as to place the southerly side of Lincoln way for a distance of eighty-two feet six inches westerly from the westerly line of Seventh avenue and the westerly side of Seventh avenue for a distance of one hundred feet southerly from the southerly line of Lincoln way to the

depth of the rear lot lines in the commercial district instead of in the second residential district.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on the day of its approval and shall continue in effect for the period of ninety days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect and the premises herein described shall revert to and be in the second residential district.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

**Amending City Planning Ordinance, Fell Street.**

Bill No. 5962, Ordinance No. 5563 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled, "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

That Section 2 of the use of property zone map, constituting a part of said ordinance, be changed so as to place both sides of Fell street to the depth of the rear lot lines from Gough street to Octavia street in the commercial district instead of in the second residential district.

That Section 3 of the use of property zone map, constituting a part of said ordinance, be changed so as to place the northerly side of Fulton street for a distance of eighty feet westerly from the westerly line of Eighth avenue and the westerly side of Eighth avenue for a distance of one hundred feet northerly from the northerly line of Fulton street and to the depth of the rear lot lines, in the commercial district instead of in the second residential district.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

**Plans, etc., Extension Third Street Sewer Outlet.**

Bill No. 5963, Ordinance No. 5564 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for and the construction of an extension to the Third street sewer outlet from Berry street to Channel street; authorizing and directing

the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said sewer construction in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for and to enter into contract for the construction of an extension to the Third street sewer outlet from Berry street to Channel street in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Plans, etc., Exhibition Structure, Nave of Ferry Building.

Bill No. 5964, Ordinance No. 5565 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for and the erection of a structure within the nave of the Ferry Building, San Francisco, Cal., in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for the erection of said structure, and permitting progressive payments to be made during the progress of the work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for and to enter into contract for the erection of a structure within the nave of the Ferry Building, San Francisco, Cal., in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for the erection of said structure conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications on file in the office of the Board of Public Works, and as provided by Section 21, Chapter I, Article VI of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Salary Increase, Jailers, Sheriff's Office.

Bill No. 5965, Ordinance No. 5566 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Subdivision (s) of Section 15 of Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), known as the "Ordinance of Additional Positions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Subdivision (s) of Section 15 of Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), is hereby amended to read as follows:

(s) Six jailers, grade three, each at a salary of \$1,920 a year; for services in guarding Federal prisoners.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Underground District, Bush Street, Van Ness to Fillmore.

Bill No. 5966, Ordinance No. 5567 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for placing electric wires and conductors underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section thereto to be known as Section 1 G.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Order No. 214 (Second Series) is hereby amended by adding a new section to be known as Section 1 G, to read as follows:

Section 1 G. An additional district to those hereinbefore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after September 1, 1922, is hereby designated, to-wit:

*Underground District No. 12.*

Bush street from Van Ness avenue to Fillmore street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on March 1, 1922.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Plans, etc., Extension of Municipal Railway in Sunset District.

Bill No. 5967, Ordinance No. 5568 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the Board of Public Works to prepare plans and specifications and enter into a contract for the construction of an extension of the Municipal Railway from Market street via Duboce avenue and tunnel, Judah street and other connecting streets to the Great Highway.

Be it ordained by the People of the

City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and directed to prepare plans and specifications, advertise, award and enter into a contract for the construction of an extension of the Municipal Railway from Market street, along Duboce avenue, through a tunnel to be constructed under proper procedure, and along Judah street and other connecting streets to the Great Highway. Provision may be made in such specifications and contract for progressive payments to be made during the period of construction as provided in Section 21, Chapter I, Article VI of the Charter.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Full Acceptance, Masonic Avenue.

Bill No. 5968, Ordinance No. 5569 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the full acceptance of the roadway of Masonic avenue between Frederick and Java streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadway of Masonic avenue between Frederick and Java streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby fully accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadway having been paved with basalt blocks and cobble stones and granite curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water mains laid therein.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 5971, Ordinance No. 5571 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 14, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten instalments; that the period of time after the payment of the first instalment when each of the succeeding instalments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding instalment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Balboa street, between Twenty-third and Twenty-fourth avenues*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of an 8-inch vitrified, salt-glazed, iron-stone pipe sewer, with five (5) Y branches and side sewers and two (2) brick manholes with cast-iron frames and covers and galvanized wrought-iron steps, along the center line of Balboa street from the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue to a point twenty (20) feet easterly from the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks 6 feet wide in the middle of the sidewalk area; by the construction of a 14-foot central strip of vertical fiber brick pavement, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the remainder of the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Bill No. 5972, Ordinance No. 5572 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 14, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten instalments; that the period of time after the payment of the first instalment when each of the succeeding instalments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding instalment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Hanover street, between Gutfenberg street and Lowell street, including the crossing of Hanover street and Lowell street*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the corners of the above mentioned crossing; by the construction of two brick catchbasins with cast-iron frames, gratings and traps and 10-inch vitrified, salt-glazed, ironstone pipe culverts on the above mentioned crossing, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

#### Fixing Sidewalk Widths, Horace Street.

Bill No. 5973, Ordinance No. 5573 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered seven hundred and eighty-eight.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, is hereby amended in accordance with communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office January 27, 1922, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered seven hundred and eighty-eight, to read as follows:

Section 788. The width of sidewalks on Horace street between Twenty-fifth and Twenty-sixth streets are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

#### Fixing Sidewalk Widths, Santiago Street.

Bill No. 5974, Ordinance No. 5574 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered seven hundred and eighty-nine.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office January 27, 1922, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered seven hundred and eighty-nine, to read as follows:

Section 789. The width of sidewalks on Santiago street between Fourteenth avenue and its easterly termination shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Santiago street between Fourteenth avenue and its easterly termination," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy,

Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths, Mars Street.

Bill No. 5975, Ordinance No. 5575 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Ninety-seven thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office January 27, 1922, by amending Section Ninety-seven thereof, to read as follows:

Section 97. The width of sidewalks on Mars street between Seventeenth street and Corbett avenue shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Mars street between Seventeenth street and Corbett avenue," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Ordering Street Work, Eliza Place.

Bill No. 5976, Ordinance No. 5576 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 12, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance

with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in five instalments; that the period of time after the payment of the first instalment when each of the succeeding instalments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding instalment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Eliza place between Folsom street and the southerly termination of Eliza place, including the intersection of Eliza place and Shipley street*, by the construction of a concrete pavement with wheel guards and a concrete pavement on the intersection of Shipley street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. 5570 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same, to-wit:

The improvement of *Saturn street between the center line of Ord street and Lower Terrace*, by the construction of 8-inch vitrified salt-glazed, iron-stone pipe sewers with 21 Y branches and a 12-inch vitrified, salt-glazed iron-stone pipe sewer with 3 Y branches, 1 lamphole and 5 brick manholes with castiron frames and covers and galvanized wrought-iron steps.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Referred.

The following matter was ordered referred to Streets Committee:

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approv-

ing and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same, to-wit:

The improvement of *Precita avenue, between Alabama street and Folsom street, where not already improved, including the intersection of Precita avenue and Harrison street*, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width, where artificial stone sidewalks are not already constructed; by the construction of one brick catchbasin with cast-iron frame, grating and trap and 10-inch vitrified, salt-glazed ironstone pipe culvert on the northwest angular corner of the intersection of Precita avenue and Harrison street, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

#### Action Deferred.

The following bill, laid over from last meeting, was taken up and again laid over one week:

#### Building Law Amendment, Fireproofing Boiler Rooms.

Bill No. 5876, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled "Amending Section 253 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as the Building Law, approved December 22, 1909, relating to fireproofing boiler, heating and furnace rooms."

#### REPORT OF FINANCE COMMITTEE.

Demands on the Treasury amounting to \$135,716.95, including the following urgent necessities, were presented and approved by the following vote:

#### Urgent Necessity.

Spring Valley Water Co., water for troughs, \$72.38.

Western Union Tel. Co., official telegrams, \$4.43.

Pacific Tel. & Tel. Co., official outside phones, \$1.94.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

#### NEW BUSINESS.

#### Auditorium Rentals.

Supervisor Hayden presents:

Resolution No. 19682 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following organizations be granted permission to occupy halls in the Auditorium, deposits having been paid to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to guarantee the rental fees:

San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, use of Larkin Hall, April 20 and 21, 1922, for the purpose of holding a children's pet show.

Herman Sons, use of Main, Polk and Larkin halls, February 3, 1923, 6 p. m. to 2 a. m., for the purpose of holding a pageant and ball.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

#### Passed for Printing.

The following matters were passed for printing:

#### Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McLeran:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

#### County Road Fund.

(1) Clarence B. Eaton, 3d payment, improvement of Point Lobos avenue from Great Highway to Forty-eighth avenue (claim dated Feb. 8, 1922), \$17,180.53.

#### Special School Tax, 1920-1921.

(2) A. Lettich, 4th payment, plumbing, Commodore Sloat School (claim dated Feb. 8, 1922), \$646.07.

(3) A. Lettich, 5th payment, heating and ventilating, Commodore Sloat School (claim dated Feb. 8, 1922), \$1,048.39.

#### Municipal Railway Fund.

(4) American Brake Shoe and Foundry Co., brake shoes for Municipal Railways (claim dated Feb. 7, 1922), \$3,661.92.

#### Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(5) F. Boeken, payment of claims, etc., per vouchers (claim dated Feb. 7, 1922), \$1,320.

#### Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(6) Hoar Shovel Agency Inc., mucking machine parts (claim dated Feb. 7, 1922) \$503.17.

(7) City Coal Co. Inc., coal, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Feb. 7, 1922), \$539.80.

(8) Myers-Whaley Co. Inc., steam shovel parts (claim dated Feb. 3, 1922), \$841.50.

(9) Sperry Flour Co., flour, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Feb. 7, 1922), \$855.85.

(10) Howard Automobile Co., one Buick automobile, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Feb. 4, 1922), \$904.38.

(11) J. H. Newbauer & Co., groceries (claim dated Feb. 7, 1922), \$1,052.71.

(12) Sherry Bros. Inc., supplies, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Feb. 3, 1922), \$1,085.88.

(13) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.,

machine parts and hardware (claim dated Feb. 7, 1922), \$1,136.03.

(14) Baumgarten Bros., meats, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Feb. 4, 1922), \$1,371.06.

(15) Virden Packing Co., meats (claim dated Feb. 7, 1922), \$1,392.10.

(16) Byron Jackson Iron Works, one centrifugal pump (claim dated Feb. 4, 1922), \$1,650.78.

(17) Sierra Railway Co. of California, Hetch Hetchy car service (claim dated Feb. 4, 1922), \$1,708.66.

(18) Standard Oil Co. Inc., fuel oil, etc. (claim dated Feb. 7, 1922), \$1,760.94.

(19) Fred L. Hilmer Co., supplies, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Feb. 7, 1922), \$1,783.31.

(20) Eccles & Smith Co. Inc., one motor car and equipment (claim dated Feb. 4, 1922), \$1,784.10.

(21) Virden Packing Co., meats, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Feb. 7, 1922), \$1,940.

(22) Hercules Powder Co., blasting caps, etc. (claim dated Feb. 7, 1922), \$2,599.30.

(23) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., power furnished Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Feb. 7, 1922), \$3,333.70.

(24) Standard Oil Co. Inc., fuel oil, etc., Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Feb. 7, 1922), \$3,512.40.

(25) Standard Underground Cable Co., copper cable, etc. (claim dated Feb. 7, 1922), \$3,514.20.

(26) E. I. Du Pont de Nemours & Co. Inc., powder (claim dated Feb. 7, 1922), \$5,108.15.

(27) Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co., nails, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Feb. 9, 1922), \$521.60.

(28) Keystone Lubricating Co., velox grease, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Feb. 9, 1922), \$563.61.

(29) R. W. Kinney Co. Inc., black pipe (claim dated Feb. 9, 1922), \$1,184.11.

(30) Westinghouse Electric and Mfg. Co., electric motors (claim dated Feb. 9, 1922), \$1,916.30.

(31) Western Pipe and Steel Co., 13th payment, Hetch Hetchy air pipe (claim dated Feb. 8, 1922), \$2,097.10.

(32) Utah Construction Co., 27th payment, construction of Hetch Hetchy dam and appurtenances (claim dated Feb. 8, 1922), \$209,424.

#### *School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(33) Standard Electrical Construction Co., 1st payment, electric work, McKinley School (claim dated Feb. 8, 1922), \$595.88.

(34) The Turner Co., 2d payment, electrical work, Bernal School addition (claim dated Feb. 8, 1922), \$725.20.

(35) J. E. O'Mara & Co., 2d payment, plumbing and heating, McKinley

School (claim dated Feb. 8, 1922), \$1,245.

(36) The Turner Co., 2d payment, plumbing, etc., Bernal School addition (claim dated Feb. 8, 1922), \$1,783.50.

(37) E. E. Etherton Co., 1st payment, construction of Adams School (claim dated Feb. 8, 1922), \$3,829.82.

(38) E. E. Etherton Co., 2d payment, general construction, McKinley School (claim dated Feb. 8, 1922), \$7,857.29.

(39) G. P. W. Jensen, 1st payment, general construction, Crocker-Amazon School (claim dated Feb. 8, 1922), \$12,812.25.

(40) Mahony Bros., 1st payment, general construction of Mission High School (claim dated Feb. 8, 1922), \$17,663.90.

#### *Park Fund.*

(41) Producers Hay Co., oats, etc (claim dated Feb. 10, 1922), \$771.98.

(42) The Carlin Grading Co., clay furnished parks (claim dated Feb. 10, 1922), \$828.75.

#### *General Fund, 1920-1921.*

(43) E. J. Treacy, 4th payment, improvement of Divisadero street from Haight to Sacramento streets (claim dated Feb. 8, 1922), \$2,153.93.

#### *General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(44) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated Feb. 10, 1922), \$12,723.18.

(45) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated Feb. 10, 1922), \$1,187.50.

(46) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated Feb. 10, 1922), \$9,511.45.

(47) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 9, 1922), \$588.39.

(48) California School for Girls, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 8, 1922), \$563.23.

(49) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 8, 1922), \$963.50.

(50) Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 8, 1922), \$735.

(51) Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 8, 1922), \$1,571.50.

(52) St. Vincent's Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 6, 1922), \$1,721.75.

(53) St. Vincent's Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 8, 1922), \$1,487.36.

(54) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 8, 1922), \$2,655.93.

(55) St. Catherine's Training Home, maintenance of minors, Magdalen Asylum (claim dated Feb. 8, 1922), \$641.26.

(56) Little Children's Aid, mainte-

nance of minors (claim dated Feb. 8, 1922), \$8,887.16.

(57) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 8, 1922), \$3,757.72.

(58) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 8, 1922), \$15,997.86.

(59) Smith, Lynden & Co., groceries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$1,131.77.

(60) Chas. Brown & Sons, crockery, etc., San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$898.67.

(61) Herbert F. Dugan, drugs, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$1,909.47.

(62) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., asphalt street resurfacing (claim dated Feb. 4, 1922), \$912.15.

(63) Pacific Portland Cement Co., Con., powdered lime dust, Dept. Public Works (claim dated Feb. 4, 1922), \$1,798.28.

(64) Shell Co., fuel oil, street repair (claim dated Feb. 4, 1922), \$867.

(65) Union Oil Co. of Cal., fuel oil, street repair (claim dated Feb. 4, 1922), \$1,882.16.

(66) Spring Valley Water Co., water for public buildings (claim dated Feb. 10, 1922), \$1,211.51.

(67) Associated Oil Co., fuel oil, etc., Fire Department (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$1,621.81.

(68) H. Harms & Co., coal, etc., Fire Department (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$847.

(69) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electricity, Fire Department (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$1,706.09.

(70) Spring Valley Water Co., water supplied Fire Department (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$1,443.65.

(71) Ed. Barry Co., stationery and printing (claim dated Feb. 14, 1922), \$1,192.80.

(72) San Francisco Chronicle, official advertising (claim dated Feb. 14, 1922), \$1,467.66.

(73) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., January street lighting (claim dated Feb. 14, 1922), \$44,458.33.

#### Appropriations.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

*Extension of Main Sewers, Budget Item 47.*

For construction of sewer through easement, 340 feet, between Powhattan and San Bruno avenues, \$1,200.

*Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1.*

For architectural services performed to date in connection with preparation

of plans and specifications for alterations and annex to Yerba Buena School, \$818.

Appropriation, \$7,500, Additional and Emergency Supplies, Board of Health.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$7,500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, by the Board of Health through the Superintendent of the Relief Home for additional and emergency supplies.

Appropriation, \$596.20, to Tax Collector to Cover Sundry Small Outstanding Balances.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$596.20 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of the General Fund, 1921-1922, and authorized in payment to Edward F. Bryant, as Tax Collector, for the payment of sundry small balances outstanding for taxation on the Assessment Roll of Unsecured Personal Property for the fiscal year 1921-1922; said balances being doubtful of collection or collectible at a greater cost than the amount of possible receipts.

Accepting Offer to Sell Certain Land for Widening Roosevelt Way.

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 19683 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land, sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening and widening of Roosevelt way, have offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Daniel Franck and Dolly Franck, \$100.80.

Commencing at a point which is perpendicularly distant 56.55 feet northerly from the northerly line of Fifteenth street and 100.00 feet westerly from the westerly line of Buena Vista terrace; thence northerly at right angles to the northerly line of Fifteenth street 18.45 feet; thence at right angles easterly 28.38 feet; thence deflecting to the right 146 deg. 58 min. 27 sec. and running southwesterly 33.84 feet to the point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 7, Flint Tract Homestead Association.

As a further consideration, the City and County of San Francisco is to construct a concrete wall to protect the present building.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the prop-

erty owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept a deed therefor in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco upon payment of the agreed purchase price of one hundred and 80-100 (\$100.80) dollars.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

#### Accepting Statement of Gross Receipts and Percentages Due City.

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 19684 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the statements heretofore filed by the Market Street Railway Company showing gross receipts from passenger fares for the months of November and December, 1921, upon which percentages in the following amounts are due the City and County under terms of franchise, be and the same are hereby accepted, to-wit:

November—

Parkside Transit Co. ....	\$318.24
Parnassus and Ninth Ave. ....	257.91
Gough St. Railroad Co. ....	40.15

December—

Parkside Transit Co. ....	\$337.00
Parnassus and Ninth Ave. ....	278.18
Gough St. Railroad Co. ....	42.32

Further Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company is hereby directed to deposit with the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco the hereinabove mentioned sums, the same to be placed to the credit of the General Fund.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Accepting Deed From Residential Development Company to Lands for Streets and Fire House Purposes.

Resolution No. 19685 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following deed from Residential Development Company of San Francisco, a corporation, to the City and County of San Francisco to lands for street and fire house purposes upon the conditions therein contained, be and the same is hereby

accepted. Said deed is in words and figures following, to-wit:

This Indenture, made this seventh day of February, A. D. 1922, between Residential Development Company of San Francisco, a corporation organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California, the party of the first part, and the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal Corporation, the party of the second part.

Witnesseth: That the said party of the first part, for and in consideration of the sum of one dollar, lawful money of the United States of America, to it in hand paid by the said party of the second part, the receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, does by these presents, grant, bargain, sell, convey and confirm unto the said party of the second part, and to its successors and assigns forever, all those certain lots, pieces or parcels of land situate, lying and being in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

#### *Parcel One.*

Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Portola Drive, which is distant south 54 deg. 56 min. west one hundred and thirty-three (133) feet from the westerly extremity of that certain course described as south 54 deg. 56 min. west two hundred and eighty-eight and seventy-nine one-hundredths (288.79) feet in that certain deed from Residential Development Company of San Francisco et al. to the City and County of San Francisco, dated May 5, 1914, recorded October 15, 1914, in Book 836 of Deeds, at page 43, in the Recorder's office of the City and County of San Francisco; thence south 54 deg. 56 min. west nineteen (19) feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the right tangent to the preceding course, whose radius is two hundred and seventy-six (276) feet and central angle 16 deg. 48 min. 54 sec., eighty-one (81) feet in a southwesterly direction; thence south 17 deg. 4 min. east two hundred and thirty-three (233) feet; thence south 85 deg. 11 min. 30 sec. east one hundred and thirty-nine and fifty-nine one-hundredths (139.59) feet; thence north 23 deg. 3 min. west three hundred and six (306) feet to the point of beginning.

#### *Parcel Two.*

Beginning at the westerly extremity of that certain course described as south 54 deg. 56 min. west 288.79 feet in that certain deed from Residential Development Company of San Francisco et al. to the City and County of San Francisco, dated May 5, 1914, recorded October 15, 1914, in Book 836 of Deeds, at page 43, in the Recorder's

office of the City and County of San Francisco; thence south 54 deg. 56 min. west 152 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the right tangent to the preceding course, whose radius is 276 feet and central angle 16 deg. 48 min. 54 sec., 81 feet in a southwesterly direction; thence north 17 deg. 04 min. west 42.855 feet to the aforesaid southerly line of Portola Drive; thence along said southerly line of Portola Drive, along the arc of a curve to the left, whose center bears north 8 deg. 01 min. 43 sec. west 480.88 feet and whose central angle is 27 deg. 02 min. 17 sec., 226.929 feet in a northeasterly direction to the point of beginning.

Said last described parcel is hereby conveyed to the party of the second part as a public street or highway, and is to be and become a portion of Portola Drive as described in that certain deed hereinabove referred to.

Together with all and singular the tenements, hereditaments and appurtenances thereunto belonging, or in anywise appertaining, and the reversion and reversions, remainder and remainders, rents, issues and profits thereof.

To have and to hold all and singular the said premises, together with the appurtenances, unto the said party of the second part, and to its successors and assigns forever.

In witness whereof, the said party of the first part has caused its corporate name to be hereunto subscribed, and its official seal affixed, by its vice-president and secretary thereunto duly authorized, the day and year first hereinabove written.

(Seal) RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT COMPANY OF SAN FRANCISCO.

By FRED M. PICKERING,  
Vice-President.

By EDWIN FOWLER,  
Secretary.

Acknowledged before Muriel Atherton Russell, Notary Public, February 7, 1922.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolutions were passed for printing:

**Furnace, Oil and Boiler Permits.**

On motion of Supervisor Schmitz:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

*Furnace.*

E. B. Gorman and M. Van Dorn, at

51-53 Tehama street, to be used in melting metals.

*Boiler.*

M. V. Doran, at 387 Minna street, 50-horsepower.

*Oil Storage Tank.*

(1,500 gallons capacity.)

E. B. Gorman and M. Van Dorn, at 51-53 Tehama street.

City and County of San Francisco (Crocker-Amazon School), at Prague and Cordova streets.

A. Bottaro, on south side of Washington street, 137 feet west of Leavenworth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

**Blasting Permit.**

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Charles Harlowe, Jr., is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property situate at southwest corner of Main and Market streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of \$25,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction and under the supervision of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Charles Harlowe, Jr., then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit becomes null and void.

**Denying Cabinet Shop Permit.**

Supervisor Schmitz presented:

Resolution No. 19686 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby denied Charles Andrus to maintain a cabinet shop on the north side of Fulton street, 100 feet west of Divisadero street, said business being prohibited in that district by the Zoning Ordinance.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

**Masquerade Ball Permit.**

Supervisor Robb presented:

Resolution No. 19687 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted San Francisco Auxiliary Jewish Consumptive Relief Association, to give a masquerade ball at Puckett's Hall, 1268 Sutter street, Sunday evening, March 26, 1922, upon payment of the usual license fee.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

#### Masquerade Ball Permit.

Supervisor Robb presented:

Resolution No. 19688 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted Mazzini Circle No. 106, Companions of the Forest, to give a masquerade ball at Fugazzi Hall, 678 Green street, Sunday evening, February 19, 1922, upon payment of the usual license fee.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

#### Animal Exhibition Permit.

Supervisor Robb presented:

Resolution No. 19689 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permisison is hereby granted to the Al. G. Barnes Wild Animal Shows to hold exhibitions at Eighth and Market streets, April 18 to April 23, 1922, **both days inclusive**, upon complying with the provisions of Section 34, Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), and all sanitary regulations of the Board of Health.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

*Passed for Printing.*

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Accepting Offer of Daniel O'Day to Sell Land Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Scott presented:

Resolution No. 19690 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Daniel O'Day to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements situate on north line of Twenty-second street distant 126 feet 9 inches west from Church street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said par-

cel of land and improvements is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it.

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land (with improvements free of all encumbrances, for the sum of \$5,500 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Twenty-second street, distant thereon 126 feet 9 inches westerly from the westerly line of Church street, running thence westerly along said northerly line of Twenty-second street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 114; thence at a right angle easterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 114 feet to the northerly line of Twenty-second street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Mission Block 90.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerny title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County, upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid, and the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

*Passed for Printing.*

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

#### Ordering Street Work.

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill: Bill No. 5981, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 9, 1920, having re-

commended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Hearst avenue between Edna street and Foerster street*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks 6 feet in width adjacent to the curb, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### Automobile Sightseeing Cars.

Also, Bill No. 5982, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 6 of Ordinance No. 1898 (New Series), entitled "regulating the use of hackney carriages, automobiles, taxicabs and other public passenger vehicles," etc.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 6 of Ordinance No. 1898 (New Series), is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Section 6. Automobile sightseeing cars shall not stand upon any public square, street or other public place except between the hours of 8:30 and 10 o'clock a. m., between 12:30 and 2 o'clock p. m. and between 6:30 o'clock p. m. and 7 o'clock a. m., except on Sundays and Holidays.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### Fixing Sidewalk Widths, Fulton Street.

Also, Bill No. 5983, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061 en-

titled "regulating the width of sidewalks" approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Seventy-two thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "regulating the width of sidewalks" approved December 18, 1903, is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office December 20, 1921, by amending Section Seventy-two thereof, to read as follows:

Section 72. The width of sidewalks on Fulton street, the northerly side thereof between North Stanyan street and Arguello boulevard shall be ten (10) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Fulton street, the northerly side of between Arguello boulevard and Seventh avenue shall be twenty-two (22) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Fulton street, the northerly side of between Seventh and Eighth avenue shall be nineteen (19) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Fulton street, the northerly side of between Eighth avenue and the Great Highway shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Fulton street, the southerly side of between Stanyan street and the Great Highway shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### Fixing Sidewalk Widths, Market Street, Between Mono and Twenty-fourth Streets.

Bill No. 5984, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered seven hundred and ninety.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office February 2, 1922, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered seven hundred and ninety, to read as follows:

Section 790. The width of sidewalks on Market street between Mono street and Twenty-fourth street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Market Street between Mono Street and Twenty-fourth Street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by

the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**Extension of Time, City Construction Company.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 19691 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That City Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of 90 days' time from and after February 18, 1922, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Twenty-sixth street from Diamond street to a line 320 feet westerly therefrom, under public contract.

This extension is granted for the reason that contractor has been delayed by the inclement weather.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

**Extension of Time, C. B. Eaton.**

Also, Resolution No. 19692 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That C. B. Eaton is hereby granted an extension of 90 days from and after February 11, 1922, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Coso avenue between Coleridge street and Prospect avenue.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that the work is completed but not accepted, and the extension is for the purpose of protecting the assessment.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

**City Attorney to Oppose Moody Estate and Harbor Commission's Petition for Opening and Bridging Channel Street at Seventh.**

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 19693 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Moody Estate Company, a corporation, the Board of State Harbor Commissioners and Thomas G. Knight et al. have filed complaints with the Railroad Commission of the State of California against Southern Pacific Company, a corporation, praying for an order from the Commission directing Southern Pacific Company to remove certain structures and trestles now erected across Channel street immediately east of Seventh street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and to erect at the intersection of Channel and Seventh streets a drawbridge or

other suitable structure for the operation of the Southern Pacific Company's trains across Channel at the intersection of Seventh street; and

Whereas, the intersection of Seventh and Channel streets now consists of a solid fill over which public vehicular traffic passes freely, in addition to the main line tracks of the Southern Pacific Company leading into San Francisco and the Third and Townsend streets depot of the company, in addition to other railway tracks of said company erected on trestles or structures immediately east of the intersection of Channel and Seventh streets; and

Whereas, the announced purpose of complainants in said action in seeking the removal of such trestles or structures at or near the crossing of Seventh and Channel streets is to permit Channel street to be dredged across Seventh street and thence westerly for a distance of approximately one block for the purpose of water transportation, which plan, if carried out, would necessitate the erection of a drawbridge or other similar structure carrying general public traffic over the intersection of said streets and the operation of trains over the tracks of the Southern Pacific Company; and

Whereas, the proposed changes at said street crossing and the erection of a drawbridge or other suitable structure might entail a very considerable expense upon the City and County, both in the making of said changes and the erection of the necessary bridge at said crossing and in the maintenance of the same, which expense on the part of the City would not be justified by any benefits to accrue because of such proposed changes; now, therefore.

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby instructed to appear in said proceedings, now pending before the Railroad Commission of the State of California, and oppose the granting of the relief prayed for by said complainants.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

**Award of Contract, Cement.**

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 19694 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Old Mission Portland Cement Company (a corporation) be and hereby is awarded a contract for furnishing cement during the term beginning with the date of award of contract and ending June 30, 1922, in strict accordance with their bid sub-

mitted February 6, 1922, at the following prices, viz.:

(a) F. o. b. any spur track designated in the order, in car lots, at \$3.03 per barrel.

(b) F. o. b. contractors' warehouse, in car lots, at \$3.03 per barrel.

(c) F. o. b. contractor's warehouse, in less than carload lots, at \$3.65 per barrel.

Note—A credit of 10 cents to be made for each empty Old Mission cloth cement sack returned to contractor's plant in serviceable condition, freight prepaid.

Further Resolved, That said contractor be required to file a bond in the sum of one thousand dollars for the faithful performance of said contract; that the sufficiency of the sureties on the said bond shall be subject to the approval of the Mayor.

Further Resolved, That all other bids for said article are hereby rejected.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

Relative to Employment of Ex-Service Men on Lincoln Highway.

Supervisor Schmitz presented:

At a meeting of ex-service men held in the Labor Temple, Sixteenth and Capp streets, February 12, 1922, the following resolutions were passed:

Whereas, a large number of former service men have for a number of months past been idle and unable to secure employment in order to earn money essential to sustain themselves and their dependents; and

Whereas, the City of San Francisco, through the Park Commission and Board of Supervisors, authorized work to be started on the Scenic Highway in order to furnish sustaining employment to these idle ex-service men; and

Whereas, the manner in which the work is being carried on and the pay allowed are such as to deny to the men employed sufficient remuneration to keep body and soul together; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, in granting an appropriation from the Urgent Necessity Fund, stipulated that the same rate of pay given regular park employees should prevail on the work; and

Whereas, only \$3 per day of eight hours is being paid the men, and the number of days they are allowed to work at this rate is entirely inadequate

as a measure of relief; therefore be it

Resolved, That a committee of five from this meeting of former service men be appointed to take up the matter with the proper authorities in an effort to have an adjustment made that will result in the work being of real service to those engaged during the present emergency; and be it further

Resolved, That copies of the resolution be delivered to his Honor the Mayor, the chairman of the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors and the Board itself; and be it further

Resolved, That the San Francisco Labor Council be requested to lend its assistance in an endeavor to bring about a more satisfactory state of affairs in this regard.

Mr. Hunt, representing unemployed ex-service men, was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board, declaring that the daily wage of \$3 was inadequate to support men with families dependent on them.

*Referred.*

Supervisor Schmitz moved reference of the matter to the Finance Committee and the Mayor for immediate action.

*So ordered.*

Colonel Goethals to Study Peninsula Development.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 19695 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, San Francisco is intensely interested in any project that has for its purpose the making of a Pacific terminal for all railways and highways on the peninsula side of the bay and the development of a site provided by nature for a city of the first magnitude, and

Whereas, Major-General George A. Goethals, an engineer of worldwide reputation, is about to make a visit to this city; therefore,

Resolved, That General Goethals be respectfully requested to inform this Board whether it would be compatible with his official or other obligations to undertake a study of the Peninsula Bay region with a view of submitting his recommendation as to the feasibility of a project, and the means for its accomplishment, that will result in its development as stated in the foregoing preamble.

*Adopted under suspension of the rules by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

**Cancellation of Certificates of Sale.**

Resolution No. 19698 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Auditor and the Tax Collector, in a communication dated February 10, 1922, having reported that the taxes on the hereinafter described property for the year 1920 were fully paid, but through error was not stamped "Paid" on the Assessment Roll and was subsequently sold for delinquent taxes, and have recommended the cancellation of such sale; therefore

Resolved, That the Auditor be directed to cancel Sale No. 536, made June 28, 1921, of Lots 23 and 24, Block 2373, Vol. 15, page 117, assessed to E. Sillerstrom.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Accepting Offer to Sell Land for School Purposes.**

Resolution No. 19699 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Mary L. Allyne, during her lifetime, offered to convey to the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described piece or parcel of land (for school purposes):

Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Union street, distant thereon 100 feet westerly from the westerly line of Franklin street; thence running westerly along said southerly line of Union street 30 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle southerly 137 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 20 feet 8 inches; thence at a right angle southerly 7 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 51 feet 2 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 145 feet to the southerly line of Union street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 117.

for the sum of four thousand (\$4,000) dollars; and

Whereas, said offer was accepted by Resolution No. 19154 (New Series), adopted by the Board of Supervisors on the 15th day of August, 1921, and approved by the Mayor on the 17th day of August, 1921; and

Whereas, said Mary L. Allyne departed this life prior to the delivery of a good and sufficient deed conveying said property to the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, Lucy H. Allyne and Edith Allyne, the executrices of the last will and testament of said Mary N. Allyne, deceased, petitioned the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco,

wherein said matter is pending and numbered in the records thereof No. 32523, for an order confirming the sale to the City and County of San Francisco of said parcel of real property hereinabove described and the same having been confirmed; and

Whereas, the said executrices, Lucy H. Allyne and Edith Allyne, as executrices of the last will and testament of Mary N. Allyne, deceased, and under confirmation of the Court, have executed a good and sufficient deed conveying to the City and County of San Francisco the property described in Resolution No. 19154 (New Series), dated January 30, 1922; be it

Resolved, That said deed be and the same is hereby accepted and the City Attorney is hereby instructed to place such deed of record with the County Recorder of this City and County.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch Wetmore—18.

**Masquerade Ball Permit.**

Supervisor Robb presented:

Resolution No. 19700 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted Hannoveraner Verein to hold a masquerade ball at California Hall, Saturday evening, February 18, 1922, upon payment of the usual license fee.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Departments to File Budgets.**

Resolution No. 19701 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That all departments, bureaus and officials of the City government who are required by the Charter to file Budget estimates be and are hereby directed to file said Budget estimates for the year 1922-1923 with the Board of Supervisors and with the Auditor on or before March 15, 1922.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Budget Appropriation for Richmond Schools.**

Resolution No. 19702 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, in the preparation of the last year's budget for the fiscal year 1921-22 the people of the Richmond or

Park-Presidio District appeared before the Board of Supervisors pressing their claims for better school facilities in that district; and

Whereas, the people of the district above-mentioned were promised that their claims would be the first recommended in the next budget, which will soon be prepared for the fiscal year 1922-23; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Education in making its plans for new school buildings recommend to the Board for budget appropriation this year the amount of money necessary to provide for the Richmond or Park-Presidio District with particular reference to the Rochambeau School and the Fremont School.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—13.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Robb—5.

**Estimate of Cost, Repaving McAllister Street.**

Resolution No. 19703 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works be directed to furnish an estimate of the cost of repaving the roadway of the following streets, viz.:

McAllister street from Franklin to Fillmore street.

Laguna street from Turk to Eddy street.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Transbay Bridge Matters.**

Resolution No. 19704 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That all official matters pertaining to a transbay bridge be referred to the Committee on Commercial and Industrial Development and the General Committee on Transbay Bridge to be considered jointly.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**City Planning Commission to Consider Garage on O'Farrell Street.**

Supervisor Scott presented:

Resolution No. 19705 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Planning Commission be requested to advise this Board as to the advisability of chang-

ing the Zoning Ordinance so as to permit the erection of a public garage on the north side of O'Farrell street, 192 feet west of Octavia street.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Powers—2.

**City Attorney to Dismiss Spring Valley Water Company Condemnation Suit.**

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended to this Board that the condemnation suit filed by the City and County of San Francisco against the Spring Valley Water Company, designated as Action No. 53708, be dismissed in so far as it affects parcel No. 78 of the lands described in the complaint in that action, being certain lands situate on Arroyo Valle, near the town of Livermore, basing his recommendation on the fact that he was advised by the City Engineer that said parcel of land was not necessary for the preservation of the water supply of the City and County of San Francisco; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and directed to dismiss said action in so far as it affects said parcel No. 78, described in said complaint.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

**Passed for Printing.**

The following bill was presented by Supervisor Shannon and *passed for printing*:

**Ocean View Extension, Municipal Railway.**

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Bill No. 5985, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to prepare plans and specifications, advertise, award and enter into a contract for an extension of the Municipal Railway from Brighton and Grafton avenues to Orizaba street in the Ocean View District.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and directed to prepare plans and specifications and to advertise, award and enter into a contract for an extension of the Municipal Railway from Brighton and Grafton avenues along Plymouth avenue, or such other route as the City Engineer shall designate to Orizaba street in the Ocean View District. Pro-

vision may be made in such specifications and contract for progressive payments during the period of construction as provided in Section 21, Chapter I, Article VI of the Charter.

**Acquisition of Glen Park.**

Supervisor Schmitz presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series).

Resolved, That it be the sense of this Board of Supervisors that Glen Park be purchased by the City for playground purposes, and that the Finance Committee is requested to take up

negotiations with the Crocker Estate with a view towards securing the best price possible, and to also provide in the next budget sufficient funds for the purchase of the property.

*Referred to Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee.*

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 6 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors March 6, 1922.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series), of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.



Monday, February 20, 1922.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY

77 Sutter Street, S. F.

J

M

U

P

T

M

H

M

R

W

U

S

U

N

A

P

U

W

I

U

S

A

N

2

1

1

F

S

f

J

S

h

a

S

s

n

S

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 20, 1922, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, February 20, 1922, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLaren, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch (excused on account of illness)—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent Supervisor McLeran was called to the chair.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of January 23 and 30, 1922, were considered read and *approved*.

### Communications.

#### Dumbarton Bridge Committee.

*Communication*—From Board of Supervisors of San Mateo County in re appointment of committee to confer with similar committee of San Mateo County in reference to Dumbarton bridge project was read and *referred to the Special Bridge Committee*.

#### Conference on Dumbarton Bridge.

The following was presented, read and *referred to Special Bridge Committee*:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the increasing demand for the construction of a bridge joining the eastern and western shores of the San Francisco Bay and furnishing a suitable means for the passage of traffic from Alameda County and the San Joaquin Valley into San Mateo and San Francisco counties has been brought to the attention of this Board, and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of San Mateo County deems that the construction of such a bridge would be a matter of incalculable benefit to both San Mateo and San Francisco counties

and would tend to promote the industrial and commercial development of said counties and at the same time afford their residents a quick, safe and direct route, which they do not now enjoy, for automobile and vehicular traffic to and from the northern and central parts of the State, and

Whereas, this Board has already appointed a committee to represent San Mateo County in considering this proposition in every aspect, and it appears that it would be advantageous to have said committee confer with a similar body of representatives from the City and County of San Francisco. Now, therefore,

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of San Mateo County does hereby invite and request the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to designate a committee of not less than three to confer with a similar committee from San Mateo County, relative to the proposition of constructing a bridge across San Francisco Bay at or near Dumbarton point, and to recommend and suggest the ways and means by which the legal, financial and engineering problems connected with such construction may best be solved. Be it further

Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit a copy of this resolution to the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco for such consideration and action as they may deem best in the premises.

Relative to Employment of Ex-Service Men on Lincoln Park Boulevard Extension.

*Supervisor Hynes* raised the question as to why ex-service men on Lincoln Park boulevard extension were receiving only \$3 per day instead of \$5, as directed by the Board.

*Supervisor McLeran* stated that previous to the meeting he was in the Mayor's office, and he advised him that he had a conference with Auditor Boyle and that he would make a statement to the Board along the lines of the meeting held in his office.

### Privilege of the Floor.

*Mr. Hunt*, representing ex-service men, was granted the privilege of the

floor, requesting that the ex-service men be granted the increased pay. He admitted that the rate paid had been fixed by agreement with representatives of the men.

#### Communication.

A communication was presented by Supervisor McLeran from Frank Marisch, representing Post No. 49, declaring that the relief work for ex-service men is going on satisfactorily and that only interference is from a gang of I. W. W. agitators headed by Hunt.

The communication was read and ordered *filed*.

*Thos. Boyle*, Auditor, being sent for, appeared and declared that at the request of his Honor the Mayor, the chairman of the Finance Committee and the Park Commissioners he was acting as paymaster, but that he had nothing to do with the fixing of the rate paid. The rate of pay, he said, was arrived at by agreement with representatives of the unemployed ex-service men. Moreover, he said, this proposition, as I understand it, is a measure of relief calculated to tide over these men until they can get permanent employment elsewhere.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented by Supervisor Schmitz: Park Commission to Pay Ex-Service Men

#### \$5 Per Day.

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors appropriated the sum of \$25,000 for the doing of certain work by the Park Commission, and in that resolution appropriating \$25,000 stipulated that not less than the same wage paid to Park laborers be paid; therefore, it is the sense of this Board to call attention of the Park Commission to the aforesaid resolution, and that it is the earnest request of this Board that the will of the Board be carried out, and that the Park Commission be so notified.

#### Motion.

*Supervisor Schmitz* moved adoption of foregoing resolution.

*So ordered.*

#### REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.

The following committees, by their respective chairmen, presented reports on various matters referred, which reports were read and ordered *filed*.

Fire Committee, by Supervisor Deasy, chairman.

Supplies Committee, by Supervisor Rossi, chairman.

City Planning Committee, by Supervisor McGregor, chairman.

Streets Committee, by Supervisor Mulvihill, chairman.

Leave of Absence, Alfred Ehrman, Fire Commissioner.

San Francisco, Cal., Feb. 17, 1922.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall,  
San Francisco, Cal.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Hon. Alfred Ehrman, Fire Commissioner, for leave of absence with permission to leave the State of California for a period of sixty days, commencing March 4, 1922.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Very respectfully yours,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,  
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No 19706 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Alfred Ehrman, member of Board of Fire Commissioners, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing March 4, 1922, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Appeal—Shafter Avenue, 3 P. M.

Appeal of property owners from the assessment issued by the Board of Public Works for the improvement of Shafter avenue between Ingalls and Jennings streets, including the crossing of Shafter avenue and Ingalls street.

*Supervisor Mulvihill*, chairman of Streets Committee, announced that appellants were satisfied and had agreed to withdraw their objections.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented by Supervisor Mulvihill and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 19707 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the appeal of property owners from the assessment issued by the Board of Public Works for the improvement of Shafter avenue between Ingalls and Jennings streets, including the crossing of Shafter avenue and Ingalls street, be denied and the assessment confirmed.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Ellingwood Property.

Presented by Supervisor McLeran:

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. —  
(New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New

Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades industries and buildings and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is recited in the title of this ordinance, is hereby amended as follows:

That Section 2 of the use of property zone map, constituting part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the block bounded by Vallejo, Broadway, Scott and Divisadero streets in the first residential district instead of the second residential district.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### Motion.

*Supervisor Bath* moved to lay over four weeks on account of illness of Mr. Ellingwood.

*Supervisor Schmitz* objected to such extended delay, but agreed to two weeks more.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Bath, subject matter was *laid over two weeks*.

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

##### Action Deferred.

The following bill laid over from last meeting was taken up and again *laid over one week*:

#### Building Law Amendment, Fireproofing Boiler Rooms.

Bill No. 5876, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled "Amending Section 253 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as the Building Law, approved December 22, 1909, relating to fireproofing boiler, heating and furnace rooms."

#### REPORT OF FINANCE COMMITTEE.

Demands on the Treasury amounting to \$34,595.55, numbered 35822 to 35856, including the following urgent necessity, were presented and *approved* by the following vote:

##### Urgent Necessity.

Sabina M. Churchill, compensation insurance, February, \$83.32.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

#### NEW BUSINESS.

##### Passed for Printing.

The following resolutions were *passed for printing*:

#### Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McLeran:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

##### Municipal Railway Fund.

(1) Phillips & Van Orden Co. Inc., printing, Municipal Railways (claim dated Feb. 9, 1922), \$753.60.

(2) Edgewater Steel Co., steel car shoes (claim dated Feb. 10, 1922), \$4,843.

(3) Market Street Railway Co., January reimbursement per agreement of Dec. 12, 1918 (claim dated Feb. 15, 1922), \$910.09.

(4) Market Street Railway Co., electric power, track repair, etc. (claim dated Feb. 15, 1922), \$2,111.74.

(5) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and Electric power (claim dated Feb. 15, 1922), \$29,563.62.

##### School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(6) J. S. Hannah, first payment, construction of Columbus School addition (claim dated Feb. 15, 1922), \$3,375.

##### Special School Tax, 1921-1922.

(7) Emil Hogberg, final payment, Commodore Sloat School (claim dated Feb. 15, 1922), \$1,419.36.

##### General Fund, 1921-1922.

(8) Neal, Stratford & Kerr, printing (claim dated Feb. 20, 1922), \$517.03.

(9) D. J. O'Brien, police contingent expense (claim dated Feb. 6, 1922), \$750.

(10) Associated Charities, unemployment orders, Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$1,721.50.

(11) Baumgarten Bros., meats, Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$3,223.05.

(12) J. T. Freitas Co., supplies, Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$763.50.

(13) Sherry Bros., butter, Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$864.

(14) Sperry Flour Co., flour, Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$952.50.

(15) Associated Oil Co., fuel oil, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$3,602.30.

(16) Sherry Bros., butter, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$1,152.

(17) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs and cheese, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$1,840.70.

(18) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$2,753.07.

(19) Spring Valley Water Co., water

furnished hospitals (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$1,033.10.

(20) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$554.12.

(21) California Baking Co., bread, County Jails (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$622.20.

(22) California Meat Co., meats, County Jails (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$665.71.

(23) Arata & Peters, potatoes, County Jails (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$927.09.

Supply Station, Garage and Oil Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

*Automobile Supply Station.*

Shell Co. of California, at southwest corner of Seventh avenue and Lincoln way; also to store 1,200 gallons of gasoline.

Shell Co. of California, at northwest corner of Mission and Spear streets; also to store 1,200 gallons of gasoline.

*Public Garage.*

L. M. Smith and Clem De Cota, at 340-350 Fell street; also to store 600 gallons of gasoline.

H. J. Rogers, on east side of Fillmore street, 155 feet north of Haight street.

Oliver Hassing, on east side of Valencia street, 250 feet south of Fourteenth street; also to store 300 gallons of gasoline.

*Oil Storage Tank.*

L. B. Ham, on west side of Jones street, 125 feet north of Bush street; 1,500 gallons capacity.

Frank Martinelli at 530 Broadway, 820 gallons capacity.

William A. Savage, on south side of California street, 250 feet west of Fourteenth avenue, 1,500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

*Street Lights.*

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 19708 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas & Electric Company is hereby instructed to install and remove street lamps as follows:

*Install 250 M. R.*

Farragut and Winnipeg avenues.

Farragut and Huron avenues.

Miranar and Lakeview avenues.

*Install 400 M. R.*

Battery and Lombard streets.

Tenth and Pachero avenues.

Harrison street and Precita avenue.

*Install Single-Top Gas Lamps.*

Northeast corner Eighteenth and Colingwood streets.

East side of Worth street, first south of Twenty-first street.

*Remove 250 M. R.*

Eighteenth street west of Dolores street in front of Mission High School.

Dolores street north of Eighteenth street front of Mission High School.

Harrison street and Precita avenue.

*Remove Gas Lamp.*

Battery and Lombard streets.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

*Masquerade Ball Permits.*

Supervisor Robb presented:

Resolution No. 19709 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted the following organizations to hold masquerade balls at the locations and on the dates herewith given, upon payment of the usual license fee:

Unione Sportiva Italiana at 1451 Stockton street, Saturday evening, March 4, 1922.

Golden Gate Circle No. 11, United Ancient Order of Druids, at Guadalupe Hall, 4551 Mission street, Sunday evening, February 26, 1922.

California Boys' Club at Mission Turn Hall, 3541 Eighteenth street, Saturday evening, February 18, 1922.

Danish Relief Society, Thyra, at Druids' Temple, 44 Page street, Saturday evening, March 4, 1922.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

*Action Deferred.*

The following bill was presented with the recommendation of Public Utilities, Streets and Commercial Development committees and *laid over one week*:

Spur Track Permit, Southern Pacific Company.

Bill No. 5988, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Granting a spur track privilege to the Southern Pacific Company over the tracks belonging to the city and formerly operated by the Ocean Shore Railway Company between the southern side of Harrison street and Potrero avenue.

*Passed for Printing.*

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

**Ordering Street Work.**

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill:

Bill No. 5989, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 20, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Liberty street, between Church street and the easterly curb line of Noe street, and Sanchez street, between Twentieth and Twenty-first streets, including the crossing of Sanchez and Liberty streets, and that portion of Liberty street opposite the termination of Rayburn street*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of pipe sewers and appurtenances, of side sewers with trans, risers and appurtenances, of brick manholes with appurtenances; by the construction of concrete armored coping and artificial stone sidewalks, as particularly noted on Sheet 1 of Drawing No. 3558, titled "Plans for the improvement of Liberty street, between Noe and Church streets, and Sanchez street, between Twentieth and Twenty-first streets," said drawing

being made a part of the accompanying specifications; by the construction of reinforced concrete retaining and support walls, including stairways, landings, copings and seats appertaining thereto; by the construction of pipe railing, including furnishing and setting of all fittings.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**Conditional Acceptance, Certain Streets.**

Bill No. 5990, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Ellington avenue, between Foote and Naglee streets; Ellert street between Bennington and Andover streets; Monterey boulevard between Faxon and San Anselmo avenues, including the intersection of Monterey boulevard and El Verano way; crossing Ellington and Foote avenues; crossing Louisburg street and Niagara avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby *conditionally accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, to-wit:

Ellington avenue between Foote and Naglee avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete, and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewers have been laid therein; no gas or water mains have been laid therein.

Ellert street between Bennington and Andover streets, paved with asphaltic concrete, and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Monterey boulevard between Faxon and San Anselmo avenues, including the intersection of Monterey boulevard and El Verano way, paved with asphaltic concrete, and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewers and water mains have been laid therein; no gas mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Ellington and Foote avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete, and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewers have been laid therein; no gas or water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Louisburg street and

Niagara avenue, paved with asphaltic concrete, and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

**Extension of Time, City Construction Company.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 19710 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That City Construction Company is hereby granted the following extensions of time to complete street work, viz.:

Ninety days from and after March 5, 1922, within which to complete contract for improvement of Castro street between Seventeenth and Nineteenth streets, under public contract.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that contractor has been delayed by public service corporations removing poles, wires, etc.

Ninety days' time from and after February 10, 1922, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Grant street between Maynard and New streets, under public contract.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that contractor has been delayed by inclement weather.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

**Extension of Time, Fay Improvement Company.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 19711 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Fay Improvement Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after March 5, 1922, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Army street between De Haro and Third streets, under public contract.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that contractor has been delayed by inclement weather.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—17.

Absent Supervisor Welch—1.

**Approval of Map, Market Street Extension.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 19712 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 71239 (Second Series), approve a map showing the

proposed change and establishment of official grades on Market street between the southerly line of Twenty-fourth street produced and a line at right angles to the northeasterly line of, 79.68 feet southeasterly from Eagle street; on Twenty-fourth street between Burnham and Market streets; on Burnham street between Market street and a line at right angles to the easterly line of, 64 feet southerly from Elizabeth street; on Grand View avenue between Twenty-third and Elizabeth streets, and on Twenty-third street between the easterly line of Grand View avenue and Corbett avenue.

Resolved, That the map showing the proposed change and establishment of official grades on Market street between the southerly line of Twenty-fourth street produced and a line at right angles to the northeasterly line of, 79.68 feet southeasterly from Eagle street; on Twenty-fourth street between Burnham and Market streets; on Burnham street between Market street and a line at right angles to the easterly line of, 64 feet southerly from Elizabeth street; on Grand View avenue between Twenty-third and Elizabeth streets, and on Twenty-third street between the easterly line of Grand View avenue and Corbett avenue, is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

**Forest Experiment Station Endorsed.**

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 19713 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, there is pending in the Congress of the United States Senate Bill No. 3031, introduced by Senator Hiram W. Johnson of California, providing for the establishment and maintenance of a forest experiment station in co-operation with the University of California for the purpose of determining the best method for the forestation and conservative management of forest and forest lands and for the protection and handling of brush or chaparral growth on watersheds important in the supply of water for irrigation and other purposes; therefore be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby indorse Senate Bill No. 3031 and respectfully urges Congress to enact this important and necessary legislation at an early date; and be it further

Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forwarded by the Clerk to

the Senate and House of Representatives.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitt, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

**Award of Contract, Redeemed School Bond Registers.**

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 19714 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. B. McIntyre Bindery Co. is hereby awarded a contract for furnishing fourteen Redeemed School Bond Registers for the Auditor, for the sum of \$289, in strict conformity with its bid submitted February 14, 1922.

All other bids thereon are hereby rejected.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

**Clerk to Advertise for Foodstuffs.**

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 19715 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise for proposals for furnishing foodstuffs required by the various institutions and departments during the three months' period commencing April 1 and ending June 30, 1922, as per specifications prepared by the Supplies Committee.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

**Clerk to Advertise for Lumber, Street and Sewer Materials.**

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 19716 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise for proposals for furnishing lumber, street and sewer materials required by the various public institutions and departments during the three months' period commencing April 1 and ending June 30, 1922, as per specifications prepared by the Supplies Committee.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Accepting Deed to Land for School Purposes.**

Resolution No. 19720 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Oswald Wallace, Fred Wallace and Emily Meyers (a married woman) are the successors in interest of Margaret Wallace, deceased, in and to that certain parcel of land described in Resolution No. 19620 (New Series), which said real property was offered to the City and County of San Francisco for school purposes, as in said resolution set forth; therefore be it

Resolved, That the deed executed by Oswald Wallace, Fred Wallace and Emily Meyers (a married woman) on the 4th day of February, 1922, conveying said parcel of real property to the City and County of San Francisco be, and the same is, hereby accepted.

*Adopted under suspension of the rule by the following vote:*

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

**Titles to Measures Submitted to People.**

Supervisor Bath presented:

Bill No. 5991, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Titles to all measures placed on ballot must be descriptive and not argumentative, and approved by the City Attorney.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. All measures submitted to the People upon the ballot for their ratification or rejection shall be designated by titles that are descriptive and not argumentative. The titles used shall first receive the written approval of the City Attorney.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

**Masquerade Ball Permit.**

Supervisor Robb presented:

Resolution No. 19717 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted Frank V. Sasinek Assembly No. 33, National Slovak Society, to give a masquerade ball at Sokol Hall, 739 Page street, Saturday evening, February 25, 1922, upon payment of the usual license fee.

*Adopted under suspension of the rules by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers,

Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Shannon, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors McGregor, Scott, Welch—3.

Reception to Palestine Restoration  
Delegation.

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 19719 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, a distinguished delegation, consisting of Nahum Sokolow, Professor Otto Warburg, and Colonel John H. Patterson, of the British Forces, will visit our City on February 22, 1922; and

Whereas, this delegation has been touring the large centers of population of our country on a mission of international and world importance; and

Whereas, throughout their tour it has been accorded the highest official honors at the hands of the Executive and State Departments of the United States Government, receiving special distinction and recognition from the President and the Secretary of State of the United States; and

Whereas, this delegation has been signally honored by governors of our states and mayors of our cities, and has been officially received by boards of supervisors and city councils of our American municipalities; and

Whereas, in recognition of the importance and distinction of this delegation, it is fitting and proper that it do receive at the hands of our city authorities a due and fitting official welcome; now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, in regular session assembled, that this Board of Supervisors do convene in special session, in the chambers thereof, on February 22, 1922, at the hour of two o'clock p. m., to extend to the aforesaid delegation the official welcome and greeting of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California; and be it further

Resolved, That the Mayor of the

City and County of San Francisco be and he is hereby authorized and directed to make due announcement and proclamation hereof to the citizens of the City and County of San Francisco, and to do all that is needful and proper to carry these resolutions into effect.

Adopted under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Welch—1.

Death of Helen P. Sanborn, School  
Director.

Supervisor Morgan presented:

Resolution No. 19718 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, death has removed from our official family Helen P. Sanborn, who for several years has been a member of the Board of Education; and

Whereas, Mrs. Sanborn has long been beloved and honored by a large circle of friends in public and private life as a woman of noble attributes and of strength of character, worthy of emulation, whose public services have been notable and rendered with a desire to promote the public welfare; therefore be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors, in session assembled, takes this opportunity to recognize that her death is an inestimable loss to the community and to her friends, and to express the sympathy which it deeply feels; and be it further

Resolved, That a copy of these resolutions be spread on the minutes of this Honorable Body, and an engrossed copy be sent to the relatives of the deceased.

Adopted by rising vote.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 4 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors March 20, 1922.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series), of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

City and County of San Francisco

Monday, February 27, 1922.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY

77 Sutter Street, S. F.

J

MO

I

cise

p. 1

T

reg

T

me

S

Ha

Mc

Ro

W

C

Sel

to

to

to

to

to

to

to

to

to

to

to

to

to

to

to

to

to

to

to

to

to

to

to

to

to

to

to

to

to

to

to

to

to

to

to

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 1922, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, February 27, 1922, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor McLeran was called to the chair.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of February 6, 1922, was considered read and *approved*.

## ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Increased Pay for Ex-Service Men Working on Lincoln Park Boulevard.

The following was presented, read and ordered *filed*:

February 25, 1922.

Board of Supervisors.

Dear Sirs:

At a regular meeting of the Board of Park Commissioners, held at the Park Lodge, Thursday, February 23, 1922, his Honor Mayor Rolph, Superintendent McLaren and Auditor Boyle being present, all of whom addressed the Board on behalf of increasing the pay of the ex-service men from \$3 to \$5 per day, the following resolution was offered for adoption:

Resolved, That the ex-service men employed on work in Lincoln Park, and who have heretofore been paid at the rate of three (\$3) dollars per day, are hereby increased to five (\$5) dollars per day. This order to be retroactive, so as to provide the additional payment of two (\$2) dollars per day to each ex-service man employed in Lincoln Park prior to the adoption of

this resolution. Resolution *adopted* unanimously.

Very respectfully,

JAS. de SUCCA,

Secretary Park Commission.

## Commendation of Mayor's Action.

*Supervisor Hynes*, with reference to increased pay granted to ex-service men working on the Lincoln Park boulevard extension, moved "that it be the sense of the Board that his Honor Mayor Rolph be commended and complimented for his action in prevailing on the Park Commissioners to acquiesce in the recommendation of this Board that ex-service men employed on the Lincoln Park boulevard extension be paid at the rate of \$5 per day instead of \$3 per day.

*So ordered.*

Leave of Absence, Alfred Roncovieri, Superintendent of Schools.

San Francisco, Cal., Feb. 21, 1922.

To the Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Application has been made to me by Hon. Alfred Roncovieri, Superintendent of Schools, for leave of absence with permission to leave the State of California for a period of thirty days, commencing February 23, 1922.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Very sincerely yours,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,

Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 19746 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Alfred Roncovieri, Superintendent of Schools, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing February 23, 1922, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

Apartment House Heating.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

*Communication*—From J. H. Bridge-

ford calling attention to lack of adequate heating in certain apartment houses and suggesting legislation requiring a minimum temperature as required in ordinances of Eastern cities.

*Referred to Public Welfare Committee.*

#### Bernal Cut.

Mr. Olmer, president South of Army Street Improvement Club, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, was granted the privilege of the floor and asked that the \$5,000 set aside in last year's budget for plans and estimates of cost of Bernal cut project be used for the purchase of a piece of land from Brooks street to San Jose avenue, Dolores to Randall streets.

C. Healy, representing the Board of Public Works, was granted the privilege of the floor. He declared that the statement of the chairman of the Finance Committee that this was a very big project and would cost from \$2,500,000 to \$2,750,000. "To date," he added, "we have spent upwards of \$2,000 of the \$5,000 appropriated last year. This money was used in making studies, etc., of the proposed Bernal cut project. Mr. McSheehy's resolution would take away from us the money we are now expending for the very purpose he advocates."

Supervisor McSheehy: I am going to make a motion in the form of a resolution:

Whereas, in Budget Item No. 328 \$5,000 was allotted for the Bernal cut; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Streets Committee of this Board report upon the advisability of purchasing lots as described here today, from Brooks street to San Jose avenue, Dolores street to Randolph street.

Supervisor Welch declared that the Streets Committee will make an investigation and report and if the two pieces of land are necessary we will find if the purchase can be made out of some other funds, but would not think of taking the money out of the funds set aside for another purpose.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was referred to the Streets Committee.

#### REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.

The following committees, by their respective chairmen, presented reports on various matters referred, which reports were read and ordered filed:

Fire Committee, by Supervisor Deasy, chairman.

Supplies Committee, by Supervisor Rossi, chairman.

Public Buildings Committee, by Supervisor Scott, chairman.

Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee, by Supervisor Morgan, chairman.

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

##### Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and finally passed by the following vote:

##### Authorizations.

Resolution No. 19721 (New Series) as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

##### County Road Fund.

(1) Clarence B. Eaton, 3d payment, improvement of Point Lobos avenue from Great Highway to Forty-eighth avenue (claim dated Feb. 8, 1922), \$17,180.53.

##### Special School Tax, 1920-1921.

(2) A. Lettich, 4th payment, plumbing, Commodore Sloat School (claim dated Feb. 8, 1922), \$646.07.

(3) A. Lettich, 5th payment, heating and ventilating, Commodore Sloat School (claim dated Feb. 8, 1922), \$1,048.39.

##### Municipal Railway Fund.

(4) American Brake Shoe and Foundry Co., brake shoes for Municipal Railways (claim dated Feb. 7, 1922), \$3,661.92.

##### Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(5) F. Boeken, payment of claims, etc., per vouchers (claim dated Feb. 7, 1922), \$1,320.

##### Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(6) Hoar Shovel Agency Inc., mucking machine parts (claim dated Feb. 7, 1922), \$503.17.

(7) City Coal Co. Inc., coal, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Feb. 7, 1922), \$539.80.

(8) Myers-Whaley Co. Inc., steam shovel parts (claim dated Feb. 3, 1922), \$841.50.

(9) Sperry Flour Co., flour, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Feb. 7, 1922), \$855.85.

(10) Howard Automobile Co., one Buick automobile, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Feb. 4, 1922), \$904.38.

(11) J. H. Newbauer & Co., groceries (claim dated Feb. 7, 1922), \$1,052.71.

(12) Sherry Bros. Inc., supplies, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Feb. 3, 1922), \$1,085.88.

(13) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., machine parts and hardware (claim dated Feb. 7, 1922), \$1,136.03.

(14) Baumgarten Bros., meats, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Feb. 4, 1922), \$1,371.06.

(15) Virden Packing Co., meats (claim dated Feb. 7, 1922), \$1,392.10.

(16) Byron Jackson Iron Works, one centrifugal pump (claim dated Feb. 4, 1922), \$1,650.78.

(17) Sierra Railway Co. of California, Hetch Hetchy car service (claim dated Feb. 4, 1922), \$1,708.66.

(18) Standard Oil Co. Inc., fuel oil, etc. (claim dated Feb. 7, 1922), \$1,760.94.

(19) Fred L. Hilmer Co., supplies, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Feb. 7, 1922), \$1,783.31.

(20) Eccles & Smith Co. Inc., one motor car and equipment (claim dated Feb. 4, 1922), \$1,784.10.

(21) Virden Packing Co., meats, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Feb. 7, 1922), \$1,940.

(22) Hercules Powder Co., blasting caps, etc. (claim dated Feb. 7, 1922), \$2,599.30.

(23) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., power furnished Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Feb. 7, 1922), \$3,333.70.

(24) Standard Oil Co. Inc., fuel oil, etc., Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Feb. 7, 1922), \$3,512.40.

(25) Standard Underground Cable Co., copper cable, etc. (claim dated Feb. 7, 1922), \$3,514.20.

(26) E. I. Du Pont de Nemours & Co. Inc., powder (claim dated Feb. 7, 1922), \$5,108.15.

(27) Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co., nails, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Feb. 9, 1922), \$521.60.

(28) Keystone Lubricating Co., velox grease, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Feb. 9, 1922), \$563.61.

(29) R. W. Kinney Co. Inc., black pipe (claim dated Feb. 9, 1922), \$1,184.11.

(30) Westinghouse Electric and Mfg. Co., electric motors (claim dated Feb. 9, 1922), \$1,916.30.

(31) Western Pipe and Steel Co., 13th payment, Hetch Hetchy air pipe (claim dated Feb. 8, 1922), \$2,097.10.

(32) Utah Construction Co., 27th payment, construction of Hetch Hetchy dam and appurtenances (claim dated Feb. 8, 1922), \$209,424.

#### *School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(33) Standard Electrical Construction Co., 1st payment, electric work, McKinley School (claim dated Feb. 8, 1922), \$595.88.

(34) The Turner Co., 2d payment, electrical work, Bernal School addition (claim dated Feb. 8, 1922), \$725.20.

(35) J. E. O'Mara & Co., 2d payment, plumbing and heating, McKinley School (claim dated Feb. 8, 1922), \$1,245.

(36) The Turner Co., 2d payment, plumbing, etc., Bernal School addition (claim dated Feb. 8, 1922), \$1,783.50.

(37) E. E. Etherton Co., 1st payment, construction of Adams School (claim dated Feb. 8, 1922), \$3,829.82.

(38) E. E. Etherton Co., 2d payment, general construction, McKinley

School (claim dated Feb. 8, 1922), \$7,857.29.

(39) G. P. W. Jensen, 1st payment, general construction, Crocker-Amazon School (claim dated Feb. 8, 1922), \$12,812.25.

(40) Mahony Bros., 1st payment, general construction of Mission High School (claim dated Feb. 8, 1922), \$17,663.90.

#### *Park Fund.*

(41) Producers Hay Co., oats, etc (claim dated Feb. 10, 1922), \$771.98.

(42) The Carlin Grading Co., clay furnished parks (claim dated Feb. 10, 1922), \$828.75.

#### *General Fund, 1920-1921.*

(43) E. J. Treacy, 4th payment, improvement of Divisadero street from Haight to Sacramento streets (claim dated Feb. 8, 1922), \$2,153.93.

#### *General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(44) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated Feb. 10, 1922), \$12,723.18.

(45) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated Feb. 10, 1922), \$1,187.50.

(46) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated Feb. 10, 1922), \$9,511.45.

(47) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 9, 1922), \$588.39.

(48) California School for Girls, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 8, 1922), \$563.23.

(49) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 8, 1922), \$963.50.

(50) Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 8, 1922), \$735.

(51) Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 8, 1922), \$1,571.50.

(52) St. Vincent's Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 6, 1922), \$1,721.75.

(53) St. Vincent's Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 8, 1922), \$1,487.36.

(54) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 8, 1922), \$2,655.93.

(55) St. Catherine's Training Home, maintenance of minors, Magdalen Asylum (claim dated Feb. 8, 1922), \$641.26.

(56) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 8, 1922), \$8,887.16.

(57) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 8, 1922), \$3,757.72.

(58) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 8, 1922), \$15,997.86.

(59) Smith, Lynden & Co., groceries,

San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$1,131.77.

(60) Chas. Brown & Sons, crockery, etc., San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$898.67.

(61) Herbert F. Dugan, drugs, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$1,909.47.

(62) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., asphalt street resurfacing (claim dated Feb. 4, 1922), \$912.15.

(63) Pacific Portland Cement Co., Con., powdered lime dust, Dept. Public Works (claim dated Feb. 4, 1922), \$1,798.28.

(64) Shell Co., fuel oil, street repair (claim dated Feb. 4, 1922), \$867.

(65) Union Oil Co. of Cal., fuel oil, street repair (claim dated Feb. 4, 1922), \$1,882.16.

(66) Spring Valley Water Co., water for public buildings (claim dated Feb. 10, 1922), \$1,211.51.

(67) Associated Oil Co., fuel oil, etc., Fire Department (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$1,621.81.

(68) H. Harms & Co., coal, etc., Fire Department (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$847.

(69) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electricity, Fire Department (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$1,706.09.

(70) Spring Valley Water Co., water supplied Fire Department (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$1,443.65.

(71) Ed. Barry Co., stationery and printing (claim dated Feb. 14, 1922), \$1,192.80.

(72) San Francisco Chronicle, official advertising (claim dated Feb. 14, 1922), \$1,467.66.

(73) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., January street lighting (claim dated Feb. 14, 1922), \$44,458.33.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

#### Appropriations.

Resolution No. 19722 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

*Extension of Main Sewers. Budget Item 47.*

For construction of sewer through easement, 340 feet, between Powhattan and San Bruno avenues, \$1,200.

*Special School Tax. Budget Item No. 1.*

For architectural services performed to date in connection with preparation of plans and specifications for alterations and annex to Yerba Buena School, \$818.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman,

Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

Appropriation, \$7,500, Additional and Emergency Supplies, Board of Health.

Resolution No. 19723 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$7,500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, by the Board of Health through the Superintendent of the Relief Home for additional and emergency supplies.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

Appropriation, \$596.20, to Tax Collector to Cover Sundry Small Outstanding Balances.

Resolution No. 19724 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$596.20 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of the General Fund, 1921-1922, and authorized in payment to Edward F. Bryant, as Tax Collector, for the payment of sundry small balances outstanding for taxation on the Assessment Roll of Unsecured Personal Property for the fiscal year 1921-1922; said balances being doubtful of collection or collectible at a greater cost than the amount of possible receipts.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

Furnace, Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 19725 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

#### Furnace.

E. B. Gorman and M. Van Dorn, at 51-53 Tehama street, to be used in melting metals.

#### Boiler.

M. V. Doran, at 387 Minna street, 50-horsepower.

#### Oil Storage Tank.

(1,500 gallons capacity.)

E. B. Gorman and M. Van Dorn, at 51-53 Tehama street.

City and County of San Francisco (Crocker-Amazon School), at Prague and Cordova streets.

A. Bottaro, on south side of Washington street, 137 feet west of Leavenworth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six

months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

#### Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 19726 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Charles Harlowe, Jr., is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property situate at southwest corner of Main and Market streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of \$25,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction and under the supervision of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Charles Harlowe, Jr., then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit becomes null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

#### Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 5981, Ordinance No. 5577 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 9, 1920, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the speci-

cations prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Hearst avenue between Edna street and Foerster street*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks 6 feet in width adjacent to the curb, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

#### Automobile Sightseeing Cars.

Bill No. 5982, Ordinance No. 5578 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 6 of Ordinance No. 1898 (New Series), entitled "regulating the use of hackney carriages, automobiles, taxicabs and other public passenger vehicles," etc.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 6 of Ordinance No. 1898 (New Series), is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Section 6. Automobile sightseeing cars shall not stand upon any public square, street or other public place except between the hours of 8:30 and 10 o'clock a. m., between 12:30 and 2 o'clock p. m. and between 6:30 o'clock p. m. and 7 o'clock a. m., except on Sundays and Holidays.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths, *Fulton Street*.  
Bill No. 5983, Ordinance No. 5579 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061 entitled "regulating the width of sidewalks" approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Seventy-two thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "regulating the width of sidewalks" approved December 18, 1903, is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office December 20, 1921, by amending Section Seventy-two thereof, to read as follows:

Section 72. The width of sidewalks on Fulton street, the northerly side thereof between North Stanyan street and Arguello boulevard shall be ten (10) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Fulton street, the northerly side of between Arguello boulevard and Seventh avenue shall be twenty-two (22) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Fulton street, the northerly side of between Seventh and Eighth avenue shall be nineteen (19) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Fulton street, the northerly side of between Eighth avenue and the Great Highway shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Fulton street, the southerly side of between Stanyan street and the Great Highway shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

**Fixing Sidewalk Widths, Market Street, Between Mono and Twenty-fourth Streets.**

Bill No. 5984, Ordinance No. 5580 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered seven hundred and ninety.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office February 2, 1922, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered seven hundred and ninety, to read as follows:

Section 790. The width of sidewalks on Market street between Mono street

and Twenty-fourth street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Market Street between Mono Street and Twenty-fourth Street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

**Spur Track Permit, H. H. Helbush & Company.**

Bill No. 5980, Ordinance No. 5581 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to H. H. Helbush & Company, to construct, maintain and operate a spur track from the tracks of the Southern Pacific Company in Harrison street between Twentieth street and Twenty-first street, thence running southerly along Harrison street on a convenient curve to the left and crossing Twenty-first street, thence along Harrison street from Twenty-first street 125 feet southerly paralleling the easterly curb line and at a distance sufficient westerly therefrom to permit the ties to be laid flush with the curb.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted H. H. Helbush & Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track as follows:

Commencing at a point in the tracks of the Southern Pacific Company in Harrison street between Twentieth and Twenty-first streets, thence running southerly along Harrison street on a convenient curve to the left and crossing Twenty-first street; thence along Harrison street from Twenty-first street 125 feet southerly paralleling the easterly curb line, and at a distance sufficiently westerly therefrom to permit the ties to be laid flush with the curb.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as complete as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by

the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by H. H. Helbush & Company.

Provided, that H. H. Helbush & Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps, to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

#### **Ocean View Extension, Municipal Railway.**

Bill No. 5985, Ordinance No. 5582 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to prepare plans and specifications, advertise, award and enter into a contract for an extension of the Municipal Railway from Brighton and Grafton avenues to Orizaba street in the Ocean View District.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and directed to prepare plans and specifications and to advertise, award and enter into a contract for an extension of the Municipal Railway from Brighton and Grafton avenues along Plymouth avenue, or such other route as the City Engineer shall designate to Orizaba street in the Ocean View District. Provision may be made in such specifications and contract for progressive payments during the period of construction as provided in Section 21, Chapter I, Article VI of the Charter.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

#### **Contract With Alameda for Care of Tubercular Patients.**

Bill No. 5986, Ordinance No. 5583, (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and directing the Mayor, in the name and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, to execute an agreement with the County of Alameda, State of California, for the maintenance and care of tubercular patients from the City and County of San Francisco.

The Mayor is hereby authorized and directed to execute, in the name and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, an agreement with the County of Alameda, State of California, for the maintenance and care by said

county of tubercular patients from the City and County of San Francisco; such contract to be approved, in form, by the City Attorney and to be based upon and embody the terms set forth in that certain proposed agreement filed with this Board on the 6th day of February, 1922, accompanied by a certified copy of a resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Alameda, State of California.

Section 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

#### **Action Deferred.**

The following bill, laid over from last meeting, was taken up and again *laid over one week*:

#### **Building Law Amendment, Fireproofing Boiler Rooms.**

Bill No. 5876, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled "Amending Section 253 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as the Building Law, approved December 22, 1909, relating to fireproofing boiler, heating and furnace rooms."

#### **REPORT OF FINANCE COMMITTEE.**

Demands on the Treasury amounting to \$491,218.95, numbered 35,858 to 35,909, were presented and *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

#### **NEW BUSINESS.**

##### **University Mound Playground.**

Supervisor Morgan presented:

Resolution No. 19727 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the two blocks of land bounded by Silliman, Somerset, Hamilton and Felton streets, including Holyoke street from Silliman to Felton streets, be acquired for playground purposes. Site is favored by the Playground Commission, which recommends acquisition of six blocks, but it is thought desirable that only two blocks be acquired at this time.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

#### **Passed for Printing.**

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

### Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McLeran:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

#### *Library Fund.*

(1) San Francisco News Company, library books (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$792.62.

(2) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$2,393.34.

#### *Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(3) The Worthington Co., duplex pumps and parts (claim dated Feb. 21, 1922), \$540.65.

(4) Standard Oil Co. Inc., gasoline, oils, etc. (claim dated Feb. 21, 1922), \$561.51.

(5) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Hetch Hetchy transportation expense, per vouchers (claim dated Feb. 21, 1922), \$566.21.

(6) Goodyear Rubber Co., rubber boots, etc. (claim dated Feb. 21, 1922), \$667.

(7) Standard Oil Co. Inc., gasoline, oil, etc. (claim dated Feb. 21, 1922), \$807.44.

(8) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., mazda lamps (claim dated Feb. 21, 1922), \$873.16.

(9) Keystone Lubricating Co., lubricating grease (claim dated Feb. 21, 1922), \$890.64.

(10) W. H. Worden Co. Inc., cable clamps, blocks, etc. (claim dated Feb. 11, 1922), \$909.73.

(11) American Manganese Steel Co., conveyor chains, etc. (claim dated Feb. 21, 1922), \$1,049.60.

(12) Goodyear Rubber Co., rubber boots, coats, etc. (claim dated Feb. 21, 1922), \$1,092.80.

(13) California Corrugated Culvert Co., corrugated culverts (claim dated Feb. 21, 1922), \$1,178.40.

(14) Pacific Tank and Pipe Co., fir pipe (claim dated Feb. 21, 1922), \$1,349.38.

(15) J. F. Mitchell, machine parts (claim dated Feb. 21, 1922), \$1,370.51.

(16) William Chuff Co., groceries (claim dated Feb. 21, 1922), \$2,149.85.

(17) Herbert E. Lowe, mixer, pump, etc. (claim dated Feb. 21, 1922), \$2,258.50.

(18) P. H. Reardon, gravel washing plant, etc. (claim dated Feb. 17, 1922), \$3,599.

(19) The Utah Construction Co., merchandise furnished and labor performed (claim dated Feb. 21, 1922), \$5,838.63.

(20) Hercules Powder Co., gelatin-

powder (claim dated Feb. 21, 1922), \$11,600.

(21) Coffin Valve Co., 13th and final payment for slide gates, Contract No. 66 (claim dated Feb. 21, 1922), \$10,083.34.

#### *Special School Tax, 1921-1922.*

(22) John Reid, Jr., 1st payment, architectural services, Oral Deaf School (claim dated Feb. 20, 1922), \$600.

(23) Quinn & Reilly, 2d payment, general construction of Emerson School (claim dated Feb. 21, 1922), \$5,695.75.

#### *General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(24) California Brick Co., paving brick, street repair (claim dated Feb. 18, 1922), \$2,565.

(25) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement, sewer repair (claim dated Feb. 18, 1922), \$2,945.33.

(26) Shell Company of Cal., fuel oil, street repair (claim dated Feb. 18, 1922), \$918.

(27) Western Rock Products Co., sand, street repair (claim dated Jan. 18, 1922), \$2,339.02.

(28) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated Feb. 18, 1922), \$3,575.71.

(29) Baumgarten Bros., meats, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$1,890.16.

(30) Oliva Bros., fruit and vegetables, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$1,229.25.

(31) H. S. Crocker Co., printing, Recorder's office (claim dated Feb. 27, 1922), \$937.50.

Appropriation, \$37,650, Furring, Lathing, etc., Addition to Mission High School.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$37,650 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1, fiscal year 1921-1922, for furring, lathing and plastering of addition to the Mission High School, per contract awarded to J. F. Smith.

#### *Appropriations for Purchase of School Lands.*

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1, fiscal year 1921-1922, and authorized in payment to the following named persons, being payments for properties required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To D. J. O'Leary, for land and improvements, situate and commencing at point on southerly line of Grove street, distant 125 feet easterly from easterly line of Cole street, of dimensions 25x137½ feet; particularly described by Resolution No. 19660 (New

Series) accepting offer (Andrew Jackson School), \$22,000.

(2) To Florence E. Templeman, for land and improvements, situate and commencing at point on northerly line of Waller street, distant 150 feet easterly from easterly line of Masonic avenue, of dimensions 25x137½ feet; particularly described by Resolution No. 19658 (New Series) accepting offer (Dudley Stone School), \$9,000.

(3) To Francis C. Calkins, for land and improvements, situate and commencing at point on southerly line of Twenty-third street, distant thereon 125 feet easterly from easterly line of Valencia street, of dimensions 30x80 feet; particularly described by Resolution No. 19659 (New Series), accepting offer (Horace Mann School), \$10,000.

**Appropriation, \$5,500, Payment to Daniel O'Day for Land and Improvements Required for Edison School.**

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$5,500 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918, and authorized in payment to Daniel O'Day for land and improvements required for the Edison School, 25x114 feet, north line Twenty-second street, commencing 126 feet 9 inches west from Church street; particularly described in acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 19690 (New Series).

#### **Referred.**

The following resolution was presented by Supervisor McLeran and on motion of Supervisor McSheehy ordered *referred to the Health Committee*:

**Appropriation, \$6,000, Extraordinary Expenses, "Flu" Epidemic.**

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$6,000 be and the same is hereby appropriated out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, fiscal year 1921-1922, for payment of extraordinary expenses incurred and to be incurred by the Department of Public Health in the maintenance of two additional wards at the San Francisco Hospital on account of influenza epidemic.

#### **Transfer of Police Department Funds.**

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 19742 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with Section 3 (Subdivision D) of Ordinance No. 5391 (New Series), the sum of \$10,000 be and is hereby set aside out of Police Department, Personal Services, Appropriation 42-A, and appropriated to the credit of Police De-

partment Maintenance, Appropriation 42-C, recommendation Police Department, dated February 11, 1922.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

**Passed for Printing.**

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

**Supply Station, Garage and Oil Permits.**

Supervisor Deasy presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

#### *Automobile Supply Station.*

Shell Company of California, at the southwest corner of Mission and Randall streets; also to store 1200 gallons of gasoline on premises.

Shell Company of California, at the southeast corner of Tenth and Market streets; also to store 1200 gallons of gasoline on premises.

#### *Public Garage.*

Pacific Coast Garage, on north side of Jackson street, 108 feet west of Kearny street; also to store 300 gallons of gasoline on premises.

George W. Alpers, at southeast corner of Filbert and Fillmore streets; also to store 300 gallons of gasoline on premises.

F. P. Hathaway, at southeast corner of Ellis and Taylor streets; also to store 1200 gallons of gasoline on premises.

#### *Transfer Public Garage.*

To Garrett J. Tompkins, permit granted by Resolution No. 19169 (New Series) to Shein & Castle, for premises situate 2030 Sutter street.

#### *Oil Storage Tank.*

(1500 gallons capacity.)

Mrs. Louise Thomas, at 2346 Van Ness avenue.

Paul Marty, at 849-853 Valencia street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

#### **Masquerade Ball Permit.**

Supervisor Robb presented:

Resolution No. 19728 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted the following organizations to give masquerade balls on the dates and at the locations herewith given, upon payment of the usual license fee:

Court Marconi, Foresters of America, at Guadalupe Hall, 4551 Mission street, Sunday evening, March 5, 1922.

Regina Margherita Circle No. 47,

United Ancient Order of Druids, at Fugazi Hall, 678 Green street, Saturday evening, February 25, 1922.

Kishineff Relief Society, at Majestic Hall, Geary and Fillmore streets, Sunday evening, March 5, 1922.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

#### Street Lights.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 19729 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be instructed to install a street light, 250 M. R., east side Twenty-ninth avenue between Clement and Geary streets, in front of church.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

#### Spur Track Permit, Southern Pacific Company.

The following recommendation of Public Utilities, Streets and Commercial Development Committees was on motion *laid over one week*:

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Granting a spur track privilege to the Southern Pacific Company over the tracks belonging to the City and formerly operated by the Ocean Shore Railway Company between the southern side of Harrison street and Hampshire avenue.

#### Accepting Offers of Land for School Purposes.

Supervisor Scott presented:

Resolution No. 19730 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Peter J. Curtis and Rita I. Hayden to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements, situate on the west line of Folsom street, distant 215 feet from Twenty-third street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land and improvements is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the aforesaid owners to convey to the City and County of San Francisco, a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land and improvements, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of \$9,084 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Folsom street, distant thereon 215 feet northerly from the northerly line of Twenty-third street, running thence northerly along said westerly line of Folsom street 45 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 122 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle southerly 45 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 122 feet 6 inches to the westerly line of Folsom street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Mission Block No. 138.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owners, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon the payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid; and the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

Excused from Voting—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Also, Resolution No. 19731 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Nellie Ahearn to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements, situate on north line of Waller street east of Masonic avenue, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land and improvements is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land and improvements, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of \$9,250 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Waller street, distant thereon 225 feet easterly from the easterly line of Masonic avenue, running thence easterly along said northerly line of Waller street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 137 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southerly

137 feet 6 inches to the northerly line of Waller street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block 657.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. The deed to said property is hereby accepted.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

Also, Resolution No. 19732 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Rose Kincaid to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements, situate on the northerly line of Waller street east of Masonic avenue, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land and improvements is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land and improvements, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of \$8,750 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Waller street, distant thereon 175 feet easterly from the easterly line of Masonic avenue, running thence easterly along said northerly line of Waller street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 137 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 137 feet 6 inches to the northerly line of Waller street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 657.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney

title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. The deed to said property is hereby accepted.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

Also, Resolution No. 19733 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Henry Curran to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements, situate on northerly line of Waller street east of Masonic avenue, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land and improvements is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land and improvements, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of \$7,500 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Waller street, distant thereon 200 feet easterly from the easterly line of Masonic avenue, running thence easterly along said northerly line of Waller street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 137 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 137 feet 6 inches to the northerly line of Waller street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 657.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. The deed to said property is hereby accepted.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman,

Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

Also, Resolution No. 19734 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Josephine Murray to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements, situate on the west line of Webster street northerly from Jackson street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land and improvements is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land and improvements, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of \$10,000 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Webster street, distant thereon 79 feet  $8\frac{1}{4}$  inches northerly from the northerly line of Jackson street, running thence northerly along said westerly line of Webster street 24 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 137 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle southerly 13 feet  $8\frac{1}{4}$  inches; thence at a right angle easterly 24 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle southerly 10 feet  $2\frac{3}{4}$  inches, and thence at a right angle easterly 113 feet to the westerly line of Webster street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 318.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. The deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

Also, Resolution No. 19735 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received

from Henry Myers to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements, situate north line of Jackson street west from Webster street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land and improvements is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land and improvements, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of \$7,750 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Jackson street, distant thereon 90 feet westerly from the westerly line of Webster street, running thence westerly along said northerly line of Jackson street 23 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 79 feet  $8\frac{1}{2}$  inches; thence at a right angle easterly 23 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 79 feet  $8\frac{1}{4}$  inches to the northerly line of Jackson street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 318.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. The deed to said property is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

#### Mayor to Sell Improvements on Proposed School Sites.

Supervisor Scott presented:

Resolution No. 19736 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and requested to sell the following buildings belonging to the City and County, situate on lands recently acquired for school purposes, at public auction, in accordance with provisions of the Charter, to-wit:

Situate on the west line of Bartlett street, distant 170 feet southerly from Twenty-third street, on land 30x120 feet.

Also, on the west line of Bartlett street, distant 135 feet southerly from Twenty-third street, on land 35x120 feet.

Also, on the west line of Bartlett street, distant 110 feet southerly from Twenty-third street, on land 25x120 feet.

Also, on the south line of Twenty-third street, 35 feet west from Bartlett street, on land 30 x 80 feet.

Also, on the south line of Twenty-third street, distant 65 feet west from Bartlett street, on land 30 x 80 feet.

Also, on the south line of Twenty-third street, distant 95 feet west from Bartlett street, on land 30 x 80 feet.

Also, on the southwest corner of Valencia and Twenty-third streets, on land 40 feet frontage on Valencia street and 25 feet on Twenty-third street.

Also, on east line of Valencia street, distant 80 feet south from Twenty-third street, on land 120 x 130 feet.

Also, on the west line of Webster street, distant 31 feet  $8\frac{1}{4}$  inches north from Jackson street, on land 24 x 113 feet.

Also, on the west line of Webster street, distant 55 feet  $8\frac{1}{4}$  inches north from Jackson street, on land 24 x 113 feet.

The Board of Public Works is requested to prepare necessary specifications and conditions for removal of the buildings by the purchasers.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

#### Extensions of Time.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 19737 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Construction Company is hereby granted the following extensions of time to complete street work:

Ninety days from and after March 5, 1922, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Geneva avenue and Walbridge street between Prague street and the County Line.

Ninety days from and after March 12, 1922, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Bennington street between Ellert and Newman streets, including the crossing of Bennington and Ellert streets.

Ninety days from and after March 12, 1922, within which to complete contract for the improvement of the crossing of Benton and Roscoe streets.

These extensions of time are granted

for the reason that the contractor has been delayed by inclement weather.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

Declaring "Camino Del Mar" an Open Public Street.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 19738 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 72077 (Second Series), approve a "map showing property dedicated for street purposes and to be known as Camino Del Mar, 120 feet east of Twenty-fifth avenue"; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the "Map showing property dedicated for street purposes and to be known as Camino Del Mar, 120 feet east of Twenty-fifth avenue" is hereby approved, and the said land declared to be an open public street.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

Clerk to Call for Bids for Official Advertising.

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 19739 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk be directed to advertise that sealed proposals will be received on Monday, the 20th day of March, 1922, at 3 o'clock p. m., for publishing the official advertising for the year commencing April 1, 1922.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

Clerk to Advertise for Proposals for Printing the Delinquent Tax List.

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 19740 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board is hereby directed to advertise that sealed proposals for printing, publishing and distributing the delinquent tax list, index to delinquent real estate taxpayers and printing the sales list and other matters incidental thereto for the fiscal year 1921-1922, will be received on Monday, March 20, 1922, between the hours of 2 o'clock and 3 o'clock p. m.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman,

Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

Award of Contract, Neal, Stratford & Kerr.

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 19741 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved. That Neal, Stratford & Kerr (a corporation) is hereby awarded a contract for printing and binding Auditor's Annual Report for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1921, in strict conformity with its bid submitted February 14, 1922.

All other bids for the foregoing are hereby rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

#### ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Relative to Closing of Navy Yard at Mare Island.

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 19744 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, it has been reported that the United States Government proposes to close some of the navy yards pursuant to its avowed disarmament policy; and

Whereas, the Navy Yard at Mare Island is most conveniently situated and has the facilities to handle the work necessary to be done on the Pacific Coast; therefore

Resolved, That this Board protest most earnestly against any abandonment of the Mare Island Navy Yard and recommends that a complete investigation be made before any policy be declared that will injuriously affect its future usefulness; also that the naval headquarters be retained in this city as being the best policy to promote public interest.

Adopted under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

(Clerk directed to forward copies of foregoing resolution to Secretary of the Navy and to California Congressmen and Senators.)

#### Extension of Municipal Railway in Sunset.

Supervisor Hynes presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, it is desirable that constructive work in connection with public improvements be speedily planned to the end that employment be given to needy workers and that future unemployment crises be avoided, and

Whereas, the construction of an extension of the Municipal Railway into the Sunset District at an early date will do much to relieve the stress of unemployment, and so much of said extension as lies westerly from Cole and Carl streets may be immediately constructed and connection made with the Masonic avenue extension without awaiting the construction of the Duboce avenue tunnel, and thereby give transportation to the Sunset District; therefore,

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works be directed to purchase the necessary materials and thereafter proceed to the construction recited herein, pursuant to the direction contained in Ordinance No. 5568 (New Series).

Referred to Public Utilities Committee.

#### Prohibiting Steam Railroads on Certain Streets.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Prohibiting the operation of steam locomotives on certain streets and places in the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The operation or use of steam locomotives on the tracks formerly owned by the Ocean Shore Railway on Potrero avenue is hereby prohibited.

Section 2. Every person, firm or corporation violating the provisions of this ordinance is guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction shall be punished by a fine of not more than five hundred dollars or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of not exceeding six months.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Referred to Public Utilities Committee.

#### Budget Itemization.

Supervisor McSheehy called attention to a statement prepared by Auditor Boyle and handed to Supervisor Rossi, showing the "distribution of the principal items of expenditure of the several departments during the fiscal year 1920-1921." He declared it to be the most minute itemization of the bud-

get he had ever seen and moved that copies be prepared for each member of the Board to assist them in making up the budget this year.

*Motion carried.*

**Appropriation, \$10,000, Extension of Boulevard From Lincoln Park by Unemployed Ex-Soldiers.**

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of ten thousand (\$10,000) dollars be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, fiscal year 1921-1922, for the construction of extension of boulevard from Lincoln Park westward along the cliffs bordering the Golden Gate, said boulevard extension to be constructed and said money expended under and by direction of the Park Commission of the City and County of San Francisco, and that wages on said work be not less than the wages paid to other park laborers doing the same class of work.

*Motion.*

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the rules be suspended and the resolution adopted.

*Motion lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hynes, McSheehy, Powers, Schmitz, Welch—7.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—10.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

*Referred.*

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was ordered *referred to the Finance Committee.*

**Masquerade Ball Permit.**

Supervisor Robb presented:

Resolution No. 19747 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, Permission is hereby granted Women's Economic League to give a masquerade ball at No. 3265 Sixteenth street, Saturday evening, February 25, 1922, upon payment of the usual license fee.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

**Repaving California Street Between Stockton and Powell Streets.**

Supervisor Deasy presented a petition of property owners for the repaving of California street between Stockton and Powell streets, which petition was ordered *referred to the Streets Committee.*

**Street Car Advertising Permit.**

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 19743 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the San Francisco Baseball Club be and it is hereby granted a permit to advertise on the outside of the street cars of the United Railroads (provided said cars when used for said advertising purposes are not used to carry passengers) the series of baseball games to be held in San Francisco for the period covering the baseball season.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

**Accepting Deed of Annie L. Wallace to Land Required for Public Use.**

Resolution No. 19745 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Annie L. Wallace, in pursuance of Resolution No. 18999 (New Series), executed a good and sufficient deed conveying to the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, property required for public use, said property being described in said resolution and deed dated February 23, 1922; be it

Resolved, That said deed be and the same is hereby accepted and the City Attorney is hereby instructed to place said deed of record with the County Recorder of this City and County.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business the Board at the hour of 4 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 1922.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors March 27, 1922.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series), of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, March 6, 1922.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY

77 Sutter Street, S. F.

j

ci

re

in

H

M

R

W

n

s

F

A

t

a

v

h

e

(

f

s

h

s

i

v

e

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MARCH 6, 1922, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, March 6, 1922, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of February 14, 1922, was considered read and *approved*.

### ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Amendment of Moving Picture Ordinance.

*Communication*—From District Attorney, transmitting draft of ordinance amending Moving Picture Ordinance with respect to preventing pictures having a tendency towards class hatred or race prejudice.

*Referred to Judiciary and Police Committees jointly.*

Report of City Planning Commission on Changing Zone, Nineteenth Avenue and Lincoln Way.

*Report*—Of City Planning Commission recommending denial of application of Wm. S. Mitchell to change the Zone Ordinance so as to place the southwest corner of Nineteenth avenue and Lincoln way in the commercial district instead of the second residential district.

*Referred to City Planning Committee.*

Leave of Absence, John Hermann, Election Commissioner.

San Francisco, Cal.,

March 6, 1922.

Honorable Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application having been made to me by Hon. John Hermann, member of the Commission of Elections of the

City and County of San Francisco, for leave of absence with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of sixty days, commencing March 7, 1922, I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,

Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 19748 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. John Hermann, Election Commissioner, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing March 7, 1922, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

### Ellingwood Property.

Presented by Supervisor McLeran:

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades industries and buildings and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is recited in the title of this ordinance, is hereby amended as follows:

That Section 2 of the use of property zone map, constituting part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the block bounded by Vallejo, Broadway, Scott and Divisadero streets in the first residential district instead of the second residential district.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Motion.

Supervisor Scott moved that matter

lay over two weeks on account of illness of Mr. Ellingwood.

A. J. Gallagher, representing Mr. Ellingwood, urged that action be deferred until plans can be prepared showing how Mr. Ellingwood intends to develop his property.

Jno. L. McNab, representing protesting property owners, urged that matter be no longer delayed, but that the bill be passed for printing.

#### Motion.

Supervisor Scott moved to lay over one week.

Motion lost by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Mulvihill, Powers, Scott—4.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—14.

#### Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the roll was called and the bill passed for printing by the following vote:

Bill No. 5893, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled, "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is recited in the title of this ordinance, is hereby amended as follows:

That Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the block bounded by Vallejo, Broadway, Scott and Divisadero streets in the First Residential District instead of the Second Residential District.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.

The following committees, by their respective chairmen, presented reports on various matters referred, which reports were read and ordered filed:

Supplies Committee, by Supervisor Hilmer, chairman.

Fire Committee, by Supervisor Deasy, chairman.

Public Buildings Committee, by Supervisor Scott, chairman.

Streets Committee, by Supervisor Mulvihill, chairman.

Public Utilities Committee, by Supervisor Shannon, chairman.

Judiciary Committee, by Supervisor Bath, chairman.

Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee, by Supervisor Morgan, chairman.

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

##### Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and finally passed by the following vote:

##### Authorizations.

Resolution No. 19749 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

##### Municipal Railway Fund.

(1) Phillips & Van Orden Co. Inc., printing, Municipal Railways (claim dated Feb. 9, 1922), \$753.60.

(2) Edgewater Steel Co., steel car shoes (claim dated Feb. 10, 1922), \$4,843.

(3) Market Street Railway Co., January reimbursement per agreement of Dec. 12, 1918 (claim dated Feb. 15, 1922), \$910.09.

(4) Market Street Railway Co., electric power, track repair, etc. (claim dated Feb. 15, 1922), \$2,111.74.

(5) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and Electric power (claim dated Feb. 15, 1922), \$29,563.62.

##### School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(6) J. S. Hannah, first payment, construction of Columbus School addition (claim dated Feb. 15, 1922), \$3,375.

##### Special School Tax, 1921-1922.

(7) Emil Hogberg, final payment, Commodore Sloat School (claim dated Feb. 15, 1922), \$1,419.36.

##### General Fund, 1921-1922.

(8) Neal, Stratford & Kerr, printing (claim dated Feb. 20, 1922), \$517.03.

(9) D. J. O'Brien, police contingent expense (claim dated Feb. 6, 1922), \$750.

(10) Associated Charities, unemployment orders, Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$1,721.50.

(11) Baumgarten Bros., meats, Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$3,223.05.

(12) J. T. Freitas Co., supplies, Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$763.50.

(13) Sherry Bros., butter, Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$864.

(14) Sperry Flour Co., flour, Relief

Home (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$952.50.

(15) Associated Oil Co., fuel oil, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$3,602.30.

(16) Sherry Bros. butter, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$1.152.

(17) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs and cheese, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$1,840.70.

(18) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$2,753.07.

(19) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished hospitals (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$1,033.10.

(20) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$554.12.

(21) California Baking Co., bread, County Jails (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$622.20.

(22) California Meat Co., meats, County Jails (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$665.71.

(23) Arata & Peters, potatoes, County Jails (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$927.09.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Authorizations.

Resolution No. 19750 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

#### Library Fund.

(1) San Francisco News Company, library books (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$792.62.

(2) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$2,393.34.

#### Water Construction Fund. Bond Issue 1910.

(3) The Worthington Co., duplex pumps and parts (claim dated Feb. 21, 1922), \$540.65.

(4) Standard Oil Co. Inc., gasoline, oils, etc. (claim dated Feb. 21, 1922), \$561.51.

(5) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Hetch Hetchy transportation expense, per vouchers (claim dated Feb. 21, 1922), \$566.21.

(6) Goodyear Rubber Co., rubber boots, etc. (claim dated Feb. 21, 1922), \$667.

(7) Standard Oil Co. Inc., gasoline, oil, etc. (claim dated Feb. 21, 1922), \$807.44.

(8) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., mazda lamps (claim dated Feb. 21, 1922), \$873.16.

(9) Keystone Lubricating Co., lubricating grease (claim dated Feb. 21, 1922), \$890.64.

(10) W. H. Worden Co. Inc., cable clamps, blocks, etc. (claim dated Feb. 11, 1922), \$909.73.

(11) American Manganese Steel Co., conveyor chains, etc. (claim dated Feb. 21, 1922), \$1,049.60.

(12) Goodyear Rubber Co., rubber boots, coats, etc. (claim dated Feb. 21, 1922), \$1,092.80.

(13) California Corrugated Culvert Co., corrugated culverts (claim dated Feb. 21, 1922), \$1,178.40.

(14) Pacific Tank and Pipe Co., fir pipe (claim dated Feb. 21, 1922), \$1,349.38.

(15) J. F. Mitchell, machine parts (claim dated Feb. 21, 1922), \$1,370.51.

(16) William Cluff Co., groceries (claim dated Feb. 21, 1922), \$2,149.85.

(17) Herbert E. Lowe, mixer, pump, etc. (claim dated Feb. 21, 1922), \$3,258.50.

(18) P. H. Reardon, gravel washing plant, etc. (claim dated Feb. 17, 1922), \$3,599.

(19) The Utah Construction Co., merchandise furnished and labor performed (claim dated Feb. 21, 1922), \$5,838.63.

(20) Hercules Powder Co., gelatin-powder (claim dated Feb. 21, 1922), \$11,600.

(21) Coffin Valve Co., 13th and final payment for slide gates, Contract No. 66 (claim dated Feb. 21, 1922), \$10,083.34.

#### Special School Tax, 1921-1922.

(22) John Reid, Jr., 1st payment, architectural services, Oral Deaf School (claim dated Feb. 20, 1922), \$600.

(23) Quinn & Reilly, 2d payment, general construction of Emerson School (claim dated Feb. 21, 1922), \$5,695.75.

#### General Fund, 1921-1922.

(24) California Brick Co., paving brick, street repair (claim dated Feb. 18, 1922), \$2,565.

(25) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement, sewer repair (claim dated Feb. 18, 1922), \$2,945.33.

(26) Shell Company of Cal., fuel oil, street repair (claim dated Feb. 18, 1922), \$918.

(27) Western Rock Products Co., sand, street repair (claim dated Jan. 18, 1922), \$2,339.02.

(28) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated Feb. 18, 1922), \$3,575.71.

(29) Baumgarten Bros., meats, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$1,890.16.

(30) Oliva Bros., fruit and vegetables, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$1,229.25.

(31) H. S. Crocker Co., printing, Recorder's office (claim dated Feb. 27, 1922), \$937.50.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Appropriation, \$37,650, Furring, Lathing, etc., Addition to Mission High School.

Resolution No. 19751 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$37,650 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1, fiscal year 1921-1922, for furring, lathing and plastering of addition to the Mission High School, per contract awarded to J. F. Smith.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Appropriations for Purchase of School Lands.

Resolution No. 19752 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1, fiscal year 1921-1922, and authorized in payment to the following named persons, being payments for properties required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To D. J. O'Leary, for land and improvements, situate and commencing at point on southerly line of Grove street, distant 125 feet easterly from easterly line of Cole street, of dimensions 25x137½ feet; particularly described by Resolution No. 19660 (New Series) accepting offer (Andrew Jackson School), \$22,000.

(2) To Florence E. Templeman, for land and improvements, situate and commencing at point on northerly line of Waller street, distant 150 feet easterly from easterly line of Masonic avenue, of dimensions 25x137½ feet; particularly described by Resolution No. 19658 (New Series) accepting offer (Dudley Stone School), \$9,000.

(3) To Francis C. Calkins, for land and improvements, situate and commencing at point on southerly line of Twenty-third street, distant thereon 125 feet easterly from easterly line of Valencia street, of dimensions 30x80 feet; particularly described by Resolution No. 19659 (New Series), accepting offer (Horace Mann School), \$10,000.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Restoration of Mission High School.

Resolution No. 19753 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Building Committee of this Board is hereby requested to make a survey of the Mission High School and report to the Board of Education and to the Board of Supervisors estimate of cost of restoration of the building to its original condition and the time required to make such restoration.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Supply Station, Garage and Oil Permits.

Resolution No. 19754 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

##### *Automobile Supply Station.*

Shell Company of California, at the southwest corner of Mission and Randall streets; also to store 1200 gallons of gasoline on premises.

Shell Company of California, at the southeast corner of Tenth and Market streets; also to store 1200 gallons of gasoline on premises.

##### *Public Garage.*

Pacific Coast Garage, on north side of Jackson street, 108 feet west of Kearny street; also to store 300 gallons of gasoline on premises.

George W. Alpers, at southeast corner of Filbert and Fillmore streets; also to store 300 gallons of gasoline on premises.

F. P. Hathaway, at southeast corner of Ellis and Taylor streets; also to store 1200 gallons of gasoline on premises.

##### *Transfer Public Garage.*

To Garrett J. Tompkins, permit granted by Resolution No. 19169 (New Series) to Shein & Castle, for premises situate 2030 Sutter street.

##### *Oil Storage Tank.*

(1500 gallons capacity.)

Mrs. Louise Thomas, at 2346 Van Ness avenue.

Paul Marty, at 849-853 Valencia street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Supply Station, Garage and Oil Permits.

Resolution No. 19755 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

##### *Automobile Supply Station.*

Shell Co. of California, at southwest corner of Seventh avenue and Lincoln

way; also to store 1,200 gallons of gasoline.

Shell Co. of California, at northwest corner of Mission and Spear streets; also to store 1,200 gallons of gasoline.

*Public Garage.*

L. M. Smith and Clem De Cota, at 340-350 Fell street; also to store 600 gallons of gasoline.

H. J. Rogers, on east side of Fillmore street, 155 feet north of Haight street.

Oliver Hassing, on east side of Valencia street, 250 feet south of Fourteenth street; also to store 300 gallons of gasoline.

*Oil Storage Tank.*

L. B. Ham, on west side of Jones street, 125 feet north of Bush street; 1,500 gallons capacity.

Frank Martinelli at 530 Broadway, 820 gallons capacity.

William A. Savage, on south side of California street, 250 feet west of Fourteenth avenue, 1,500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

*Ordering Street Work.*

Bill No. 5989, Ordinance No. 5584 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 20, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San

Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Liberty street, between Church street and the easterly curb line of Noe street, and Sanchez street, between Twentieth and Twenty-first streets, including the crossing of Sanchez and Liberty streets, and that portion of Liberty street opposite the termination of Rayburn street*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of pipe sewers and appurtenances, of side sewers with traps, risers and appurtenances, of brick manholes with appurtenances; by the construction of concrete armored coping and artificial stone sidewalks, as particularly noted on Sheet 1 of Drawing No. 3558, titled "Plans for the improvement of Liberty street, between Noe and Church streets, and Sanchez street, between Twentieth and Twenty-first streets," said drawing being made a part of the accompanying specifications; by the construction of reinforced concrete retaining and support walls, including stairways, landings, copings and seats appertaining thereto; by the construction of pipe railing, including furnishing and setting of all fittings.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

*Conditional Acceptance, Certain Streets.*

Bill No. 5990, Ordinance No. 5585 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Ellington avenue, between Foote and Naglee streets; Ellert street between Bennington and Andover streets; Monterey boulevard between Faxon and San Anselmo avenues, including the intersection of Monterey boulevard and El Verano way; crossing Ellington and Foote avenues; crossing Louisburg street and Niagara avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and of the

Board of Supervisors, are hereby *conditionally accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic, concrete and curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, to wit:

Ellington avenue between Foote and Naglee avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete, and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewers have been laid therein; no gas or water mains have been laid therein.

Ellert street between Bennington and Andover streets, paved with asphaltic concrete, and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Monterey boulevard between Faxon and San Anselmo avenues, including the intersection of Monterey boulevard and El Verano way, paved with asphaltic concrete, and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewers and water mains have been laid therein; no gas mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Ellington and Foote avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete, and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewers have been laid therein; no gas or water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Louisburg street and Niagara avenue, paved with asphaltic concrete, and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### Indefinite Postponement.

The following resolution, heretofore passed for printing, was taken up and on motion *indefinitely postponed*:

Appropriation, \$5,500, Payment to Daniel O'Day for Land and Improvements Required for Edison School.

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$5,500 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918, and authorized in payment to Daniel O'Day for land and improvements required for the Edison School, 25x114 feet, north line Twenty-second street, commencing 126 feet 9 inches west from Church street; particularly described in acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 19690 (New Series).

#### Action Deferred.

The following bill, laid over from last meeting, was taken up and again *laid over one week*:

#### Building Law Amendment, Fireproofing Boiler Rooms.

Bill No. 5876, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled "Amending Section 253 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as the Building Law, approved December 22, 1909, relating to fireproofing boiler, heating and furnace rooms."

#### REPORT OF FINANCE COMMITTEE.

Demands on the Treasury amounting to \$215,269.97 were presented and *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Urgent Necessity.

Spring Valley Water Company, water, public troughs, \$65.42.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### NEW BUSINESS.

##### Auditorium Rentals.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 19756 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following organizations be granted permission to occupy halls in the Auditorium, deposits having been paid to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to guarantee the rental fees:

Knights Templar, Bay Counties Association, Main Hall, April 15, 1922, 6 p. m. to 12 p. m.

Masonic Board of Relief, Main Hall, September 2, 1922, 6 p. m. to 12 p. m.

Near East Relief, Inc., Main Hall, April 17, 1922, 6 p. m. to 12 p. m.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

#### Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McLeran:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

*Special School Tar, 1921-1922.*

(1) A. Lettich, fifth payment, plumbing Commodore Sloat School (claim dated March 1, 1922), \$2,440.94.

(2) Anderson & Ringrose, sixth payment, general construction Commodore Sloat School (claim dated March 1, 1922), \$10,886.25.

(3) John Reid, Jr., first payment, architectural services, Yerba Buena School (claim dated March 1, 1922), \$818.

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(4) C. Peterson Co., first payment, heating and ventilating, North Beach (Galileo) High School (claim dated March 1, 1922), \$3,450.

(5) Robert Trost, second payment, general construction, North Beach (Galileo) High School (claim dated March 1, 1922), \$31,956.

*Municipal Railway Fund.*

(6) Shell Company, gasoline, Municipal Railways (claim dated Feb. 24, 1922), \$913.08.

(7) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., brake shoes, Municipal Railways (claim dated Feb. 24, 1922), \$1,218.90.

(8) John A. Roebling's Sons Co., copper trolley wire, Municipal Railways (claim dated Feb. 27, 1922), \$2,714.

*Auditorium Fund.*

(9) M. E. Ryan, first payment, additional electric outlets at the Auditorium (claim dated March 1, 1922), \$1,552.50.

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(10) A. Levy & J. Zentner Co., supplies, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$507.80.

(11) Payne's Bolt Works, rods, rivets, etc. (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$570.45.

(12) H. E. Teller Co., supplies (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$583.27.

(13) Sullivan Machinery Co., machine parts (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$590.88.

(14) Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson, Inc., steel ranges, boilers, etc. (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$654.52.

(15) International Diamond Drill Contracting Co., diamond drill, rentals and supplies (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$739.20.

(16) Ames-Harris-Neville Co., tents, etc. (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$1,188.35.

(17) P. H. Reardon, suction and discharge hose, etc. (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$1,128.76.

(18) Sherry Bros., Inc., supplies (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$1,214.81.

(19) J. H. Newbauer & Co., supplies (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$1,434.82.

(20) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Groveland revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$2,096.36.

(21) Hercules Powder Co., blasting caps, etc. (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$2,657.26.

(22) The Republic Supply Co., black pipe (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$2,786.36.

(23) Virden Packing Co., meats (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$2,888.39.

(24) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premiums, Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$7,894.73.

*County Road Fund.*

(25) Raisch Impt. Co., first payment, City's portion of improvement of San Jose avenue (claim dated March 3, 1922), \$5,205.50.

(26) C. B. Eaton, fourth payment, improvement of Point Lobos avenue from Great Highway to Forty-eighth avenue (claim dated March 3, 1922), \$7,860.89.

*General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(27) California Baking Co., bread, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$517.43.

(28) Hooper & Jennings, groceries, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$907.67.

(29) Schultz Construction Co., first payment, construction of fire cistern at Lakeview and Josiah streets (claim dated March 1, 1922), \$4,389.19.

(30) Alex Coleman, second payment, heating and plumbing, Fire Department Chief's residence (claim dated March 1, 1922), \$1,773.50.

(31) O. Wrba, final payment, lathing and plastering, Fire Department Chief's residence (claim dated March 1, 1922), \$588.50.

(32) Spring Valley Water Co., water through hydrants, Fire Department (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$10,919.83.

(33) H. O. Harrison Co., one Dodge commercial car, Fire Department (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$1,041.28.

(34) Gheffoli & Maggini, one Ford runabout, Fire Department (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$546.89.

(35) E. D. Bullard, four Gibbs apparatus, Fire Department (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$804.

(36) Don Lee, one Cadillac car, less allowance, Fire Department (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$2,536.

(37) Spears-Wells Machinery Co., Inc., one steam roller, Department of Public Works (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$3,877.

(38) Union Construction Co., one sweeping machine, Department of Public Works (claim dated Feb. 24, 1922), \$7,600.

(39) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., surface heater royalties, Department of Public Works (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$687.35.

(40) Spring Valley Water Co., water for street work, Department of Public Works (claim dated Feb. 24, 1922), \$743.

(41) Coast Rock & Gravel Co., sand and gravel, Department of Public Works (claim dated Feb. 24, 1922), \$1,624.93.

(42) Shell Company, fuel oil, De-

partment of Public Works (claim dated Feb. 24, 1922), \$1,020.

(13) Clinton Construction Co., second payment, construction of Ocean Beach Esplanade, Section C (claim dated March 3, 1922), \$11,250.

Levison Printing Co., printing record books (claim dated March 6, 1922), \$579.25.

The San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated March 6, 1922), \$1,000.

#### Appropriations for School Lands.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax, 1921-1922, and authorized in payment to the following named persons for lands and appurtenances required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Peter J. Curtis and Rita I. Hayden, 45 x 112½ feet, west line Folsom street, 215 feet north from north line of Twenty-third street, for Hawthorne School; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 19736 (New Series), \$9,084.

(2) To Nellie Ahearn, 25 x 137½ feet, north line Waller street, 225 feet east from east line Masonic avenue, for Dudley Stone School; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 19731 (New Series), \$9,250.

(3) To Rose Kincaid, 25 x 137½ feet, north line Waller street, 175 feet east from east line Masonic avenue, for Dudley Stone School; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 19732 (New Series), \$8,750.

(4) To Henry Curran, 25 x 137½ feet, north line Waller street, 200 feet from east line Masonic avenue, for Dudley Stone School; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 19733 (New Series), \$7,500.

(5) To Josephine Murray, 24 feet frontage, west line Webster street, 79 feet 8¼ inches north from north line of Jackson street, for Pacific Heights School; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 19734 (New Series), \$10,000.

(6) To Henry Myers, 23 x 79 feet 8¼ inches, north line Jackson street, 90 feet west from west line Webster street, for Pacific Heights School; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 19735 (New Series), \$7,750.

(7) To Daniel O'Day, 25 x 114 feet, north line Twenty-second street, 126 feet 9 inches west from Church street, for Edison School; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 19690 (New Series), \$5,500.

*(Supervisor Hayden excused from voting on Item No. 1 of the foregoing.)*

Plans, etc., Andrew Jackson School.

Also, Bill No. 5992, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for and the construction of the Andrew Jackson School, to be erected on the south side of Grove street between Clayton and Cole streets; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction in accordance with said plans and specifications so prepared, and permitting progressive payments to be made during the progress of construction.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for and to enter into contract for the construction of the Andrew Jackson School, to be erected on the south side of Grove street between Clayton and Cole streets, in accordance with said plans and specifications so prepared and approved by the Board of Education.

Section 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for the construction of said Andrew Jackson School conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications and as provided by Section 21, Chapter 1, Article VI of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Appropriation, \$16,160. Plans, etc. Horace Mann School.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$16,160 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax, 1921-1922, to defray cost of preparation of plans and specifications for the new Horace Mann School, to be erected on Valencia street between Twenty-third and Twenty-fourth streets.

Appropriation, \$2,225.75. Purchase and Repair of Emergency Hospital Equipment.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$2,225.75 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, Fiscal Year 1921-1922, to the credit of Department of Public Health, for purchase of and repair of Emergency Hospital equipment and for printing of ordinances, etc., urgently required by Department of Public Health.

Appropriation, \$10,000, for Extension of Boulevard From Lincoln Park.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$10,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, Fiscal Year 1921-1922, additional to \$25,000 heretofore appropriated, for construction of extension of boulevard from Lincoln Park westward along the cliffs bordering the Golden Gate, said boulevard extension to be constructed and said money expended under and by direction of the Park Commission of the City and County of San Francisco, and that wages on said work be not less than the wages paid to other park laborers doing the same class of work.

City Refuses to Insure Paintings in Palace of Fine Arts.

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 19757 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, by communication addressed to his Honor the Mayor, and by him transmitted to this Board, request is made by counsel for the United States Alien Property Custodian that the City and County of San Francisco insure collection of paintings in the custody of the Alien Property Custodian and now on exhibition at the Palace of Fine Arts, this city; and

Whereas, the City and County has no jurisdiction over and not responsible for said paintings, and without authority in the premises; therefore

Resolved, That the City and County must necessarily and does hereby refuse to insure said property.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Appropriation, \$6,000, Board of Health, "Flu" Epidemic.

On motion of Supervisor McLeran:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$6,000 out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, Fiscal Year 1921-1922, for payment of extraordinary expense incurred and to be incurred by the Department of Public Health in the maintenance of two additional wards at the San Francisco Hospital on account of influenza epidemic.

Appointments, Widows' Pension Bureau.

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 19758 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Eugenie Schenk, for eight years past a faithful and efficient assistant in the Widows' Pension Bureau, and who has been satisfactorily performing the duties of Director, vice Margaret Nesfield, since December 1, 1921; and

Whereas, Dr. Mary Mylott, for six years past has given faithful and efficient service in the Widows' Pension Bureau, and since December 1, 1921, has satisfactorily performed the duties of Assistant Director; therefore be it

Resolved, That in recognition of fidelity to duty and deserved promotion, Eugenie Schenk is hereby continued as Director of the Widows' Pension Bureau and Dr. Mary Mylott is continued as Assistant Director thereof at the respective salaries fixed in the Budget for these positions; and that resolutions in conflict herewith are repealed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Supply Station, Garage and Oil Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

*Automobile Supply Station.*

Standard Oil Company, at northwest corner of Fulton street and Sixth avenue; also to store 1,200 gallons of gasoline on premises.

*Public Garage.*

Henry R. Teigeler, in rear of 3494-3498 Mission street.

*Oil Storage Tank.*

(1,500 gallons capacity.)

C. Jorgensen, at northeast corner of Palm and Euclid avenues.

C. Restani, at southwest corner of Geneva and Huron avenues.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

Install Street Lights.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 19759 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company is hereby instructed to install and remove street lights as follows:

*Install 250 M. R.*

Forty-fourth avenue between Geary and Anza streets.

Fulton street between Cole and Clayton streets.

Fulton street between Clayton and Ashbury streets.

*Install 400 M. R.*

Funston avenue between Fulton and Cabrillo streets.

*Install 600 M. R.*

Corner Twenty-fourth and Church streets.

*Install Double Inverted Gas.*

Twenty-fourth and Castro streets, northwest and southeast corners.

*Remove Double Inverted Gas.*

Twenty-fourth and Church streets, northeast and southwest corners.

*Remove 250 M. R.*

Funston avenue between Fulton and Cabrillo streets.

*Install Lights.*

Pole southeast corner Grove street and Van Ness avenue.

Claremont court, \$15 per month for additional lighting.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

*Accepting Offer of Chas. A. Adams to Sell for \$52,500 Land for School Purposes.*

Resolution No. 19760 (New Series), as follows:

Accepting offer of Chas. A. Adams to sell for \$52,500 certain land on northwesterly corner of Jackson and Webster streets, required for school purposes.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

*Referred.*

The following was presented by Supervisor Shannon and on motion ordered referred to the Public Utilities Committee:

*Prohibiting Operation of Steam Locomotives of Certain Streets.*

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Prohibiting the operation of steam locomotives on certain streets and places in the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The operation or use of steam locomotives on the tracks formerly owned by the Ocean Shore Railway on Potrero avenue is hereby prohibited.

Section 2. Every person, firm or corporation violating the provisions of this ordinance is guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction shall be punished by a fine of not more than five hundred dollars or by imprison-

ment in the county jail for a period of not exceeding six months.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

*Conduit Permit for Hetch Hetchy Water in Redwood City.*

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 19761 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby finds and declares that the location of the City and County of San Francisco is such that the same cannot be adequately or conveniently provided with a water conduit or conduits conveying water to the said City and County from Hetch Hetchy sources without the construction of such conduit or conduits in and across public streets in the municipality of Redwood City, designated as follows, to-wit:

Redwood avenue, Wood street, Oak avenue, Wallace street, Roosevelt avenue, Kendall street, Dickey street, Vera avenue, Lowell street, Madison avenue, Myrtle street, Jefferson avenue, Avenue Del Ora, Topaz street, Foothill boulevard, Canyon road.

Be it further

Resolved, That, in accordance with the provisions of law for such cases provided, the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to address to the City Council of Redwood City a request in writing that the City and County of San Francisco be granted permission to construct and maintain said Hetch Hetchy conduit in and across the streets of Redwood City above mentioned.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

*City Attorney to Dismiss Spring Valley Condemnation Proceedings.*

Resolution No. 19762 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended to this Board that the condemnation suit filed by the City and County of San Francisco against the Spring Valley Water Company, designated as Action No. 53,708, be dismissed in so far as it affects Parcel No. 78 of the lands described in the complaint in that action, being certain lands situate on Arroyo Valle, near the town of Livermore, basing his recommendation on the fact that he was advised by the City Engineer that said parcel of land was not necessary for the preservation of the water supply of the City and County of San Francisco; therefore be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and di-

rected to dismiss said action in so far as it affects said Parcel No. 78, described in said complaint.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

*Passed for Printing.*

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

#### Ordering Street Work.

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill: Bill No. 5994, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 8, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Seventeenth avenue from Santiago to Taraval streets* by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of a 14-foot central strip of vertical fiber brick pavement from the northerly line of Taraval street to a line 300 feet northerly therefrom, and by the construc-

tion of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the remainder of the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

*Extension of Time, C. B. Eaton.*

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 19763 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That C. B. Eaton is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after March 10, 1922, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Jules avenue between Holloway and Grafton avenues.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that additional time is required to permit the fill to settle.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

*Passed for Printing.*

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

#### Ordering Street Work, Harold Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 5995, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors July 6, 1921, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The method of assessment for said work and improvement determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No. 69809 (Second Series) is hereby confirmed.

The improvement of *Harold avenue from Grafton avenue to its southerly termination* by the construction of an 8-inch, vitrified, salt-glazed, ironstone pipe sewer with 13 Y branches and two brick manholes with cast iron frames and covers and galvanized wrought iron steps along the center line of Harold avenue from the southerly line

of Grafton avenue to a point 197 feet southerly; by the construction of an 8-inch sewer with two Y branches and one lamphole from the last described point to a point 250 feet southerly from Grafton avenue and 8 feet easterly from the westerly line of Harold avenue; thence southerly along a line parallel with and 8 feet easterly from the westerly line of Harold avenue from the last described point to a point 130 feet southerly therefrom, by the construction of an 8-inch sewer with 6 Y branches and one brick manhole with cast iron frame and cover and galvanized wrought iron steps.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**Approving Method of Assessment, Harold Avenue.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 19764 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the method of assessment for the improvement of Harold avenue from Grafton avenue to its southerly termination by the construction of an 8-inch, vitrified, salt-glazed, ironstone pipe sewer with 13 Y branches and two brick manholes with cast iron frames and covers and galvanized wrought iron steps along the center line of Harold avenue from the southerly line of Grafton avenue to a point 197 feet southerly; by the construction of an 8-inch sewer with two Y branches and one lamphole from the last described point to a point 250 feet southerly from Grafton avenue and 8 feet easterly from the westerly line of Harold avenue; thence southerly along a line parallel with and 8 feet easterly from the westerly line of Harold avenue from the last described point to a point 130 feet southerly therefrom, by the construction of an 8-inch sewer with 6 Y branches and one brick manhole with cast iron frame and cover and galvanized wrought iron steps; determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No. 69809 (Second Series), be and the same is hereby confirmed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

**Ordering Street Work.**

Bill No. 5996, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public

Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 8 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the crossing of *Foerster street and Staples avenue* by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of an 8-inch vitrified, salt-glazed, ironstone pipe sewer and one brick manhole along the center line of Foerster street from the southerly line of Staples avenue to a point 20 feet northerly therefrom; by the construction of a 12-inch sewer from the last described point; thence in a northerly direction to the existing sewer within the above mentioned crossing; by the construction of two brick catchbasins and appurtenances and 10-inch vitrified, salt-glazed ironstone pipe culverts, one of each on the southwesterly and southeasterly angular corners of Foerster street and Staples avenue; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width adjacent to the concrete curb, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 5997, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain

street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 10, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Joost avenue between the easterly line of Foerster street and a line at right angles to the northerly line of Joost avenue at its intersection with the westerly line of Edna street, and Edna street between Joost avenue and Monterey boulevard, including the intersection of Joost avenue and Edna street*, by the construction of a 12-inch vitrified, salt-glazed, ironstone pipe sewer with 8 Y branches along the center line of Joost avenue from a point on a line at right angles with the northerly line of Joost avenue at its intersection with the westerly line of Edna street to the existing sewer westerly therefrom; by grading to subgrade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of three brick catch-basins with cast iron frames, gratings and traps and 10-inch vitrified, salt-glazed, ironstone culverts, one each on the southeasterly, southwesterly corners of Edna street and Joost ave-

nue and one on the northerly side of Joost avenue on a line at right angles with the southerly line of Joost avenue at its intersection with the westerly line of Edna street; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners of Edna street and Joost avenue; by the construction of asphaltic concrete pavements on the roadway of Joost avenue from a line 200 feet easterly from the easterly line of Foerster street to a line at right angles with the southerly line of Joost avenue at its intersection with the easterly line of Edna street and on the roadway of Edna street and on the northerly line of Monterey boulevard to a line 90 feet northerly therefrom, and by the construction of concrete pavements on the remainder of the roadways thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 5998, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 15, 1919, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that

the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Paris street between France and Italy avenues, including the crossing of Paris street and Italy avenue*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of the following artificial stone sidewalks on Paris street between France and Italy avenues: an eight-foot strip adjacent to the easterly property line of Paris street; on the westerly side of Paris street of the full official width; on the northwest, northeast and southwest angular corners of Paris street and Italy avenue the full official width, and on the southeast angular corner a six-foot strip adjacent to the curb; by the construction of brick catchbasins with cast iron frames, gratings and traps and 10-inch vitrified, salt-glazed, ironstone pipe culverts, one each on the easterly and westerly side of Paris street between France and Italy avenues; by the construction of a 14-foot strip of vertical fibre brick pavement in the center of the roadway on Paris street from France avenue to a line 206 feet southerly therefrom; by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the remainder of the roadway thereon, and by the construction of three concrete stairways, copings and landings.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### Changing Grades, Market Street.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Bill No. 5999, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Changing and establishing the official grades on Market street between the southerly line of Twenty-fourth street produced and a line at right angles to the northeasterly line of, 79.68 feet southeasterly from Eagle street; on Twenty-fourth street between Burnham and Market streets; on Burnham street between Market street and a line at right angles to the easterly line of, 64 feet southerly from Elizabeth street; on Grand View avenue between Twenty-third and Elizabeth streets, and on Twenty-third street between the easterly line of Grand View avenue and Corbett avenue; as shown on map approved by Resolution No. 19712 (New Series).

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on December 21, 1921, by Resolution No. 19522 (New Series), declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on Market street between the southerly line of Twenty-fourth street produced and a line at right angles to

the northeasterly line of, 79.68 feet southeasterly from Eagle street; on Twenty-fourth street between Burnham and Market streets; on Burnham street between Market street and a line at right angles to the easterly line of, 64 feet southerly from Elizabeth street; on Grand View avenue between Twenty-third and Elizabeth streets, and on Twenty-third street between the easterly line of Grand View avenue and Corbett avenue; as shown on map approved by Resolution No. 15712 (New Series).

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works, within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention, caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points herein-after named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Market street between the southerly line of Twenty-fourth street produced and a line at right angles to the northeasterly line of, 79.68 feet southeasterly from Eagle street; on Twenty-fourth street between Burnham and Market streets; on Burnham street between Market street and a line at right angles to the easterly line of, 64 feet southerly from Elizabeth street; on Grand View avenue between Twenty-third and Elizabeth streets, and on Twenty-third street between the easterly line of Grand View avenue and Corbett avenue; as shown on map approved by Resolution No. 19712 (New Series).

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 19765 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points herein-after specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 72767 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works adopted February 24, 1922, and written recommendation of

said board filed February 28, 1922, to-wit:

*Holyoke Street.*

Felton street, 97 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Ten feet easterly from the westerly line of, 200 feet southerly from Felton street, 98.60 feet.

Ten feet easterly from the westerly line of, 250 feet southerly from Felton street, 99.62 feet.

Ten feet easterly from the westerly line of, 300 feet southerly from Felton street, 101.89 feet.

Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.

Ten feet westerly from the easterly line of, 200 feet southerly from Felton street, 98.60 feet.

Ten feet westerly from the easterly line of, 250 feet southerly from Felton street, 99.51 feet.

Ten feet westerly from the easterly line of, 300 feet southerly from Felton street, 101.44 feet.

Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.

Easterly line of, at Burrows street, 103 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Burrows street, 108 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Holyoke street between Felton and Burrows streets be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

*Establishing Grades, Bonview Street.*

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill: Bill No. 6000, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Bonview street between Eugenia avenue and a line parallel with and 450 feet northerly therefrom.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Bonview street between Eugenia avenue and a line parallel with and 450 feet northerly therefrom are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed February 28, 1922.

*Bonview Street.*

450 feet northerly from Eugenia avenue, 293 feet.

375 feet northerly from Eugenia avenue, 292.34 feet.

335 feet northerly from Eugenia avenue, 289.98 feet.

295 feet northerly from Eugenia avenue, 283.57 feet.

Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.

200 feet northerly from Eugenia avenue, 263.54 feet.

150 feet northerly from Eugenia avenue, 254.88 feet.

100 feet northerly from Eugenia avenue, 250 feet.

Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.

Eugenia avenue northerly line, 244 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Bonview street between Eugenia avenue and a line parallel with and 450 feet northerly therefrom be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**City Attorney's Opinion Asked on Centralization of Supplies Purchases.**

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 19766 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the purchasing power of the city is distributed among eight separate departments of the government, viz.: Board of Supervisors, School Department, Park Department, Fire Department, Playground Department, Library Department, Department of Elections, Bureau of Engineering; and

Whereas, the purchasing powers of all departments, except the Department of Elections and Bureau of Engineering are specified by Charter; and

Whereas, the Supplies Committee of the Board of Supervisors is considering measures to improve the city's purchasing procedure; and

Whereas, Article II, Chapter II, Section 1, Paragraph 38, of the Charter, which was adopted as a Charter amendment in 1912 reads as follows:

(That the Board of Supervisors shall have power) "to provide for and regulate the purchase, storage and distribution of all supplies for the various offices and departments, and may provide for the establishment of a Bureau of Supplies and the employment of a chief of such bureau, with necessary employees and salaries therefor. The bureau so established may furnish all supplies and materials required by any officer or department of the City and County."

Resolved, That the City Attorney is hereby requested to report as to the effect of the provisions above quoted, with particular reference to—

1. Do the above provisions supersede the earlier specifications of the Charter which grant independent purchasing powers to the School, Park, Fire, Playground and Library departments?

2. Can the Board of Supervisors, under the above provisions, institute a single, centralizing purchasing agency for the City to handle the purchasing of materials and supplies for all departments?

3. Are powers granted to the Board of Supervisors by the above provisions to modify departmental requisitions for the so-called patented articles when there is more than one patented article suitable for the particular work or the purposes desired on the market?

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Observance of U. S. Grant's Birthday.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 19767 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor Mayor Rolph is respectfully requested to appoint a committee of citizens to make arrangements for the appropriate observance of U. S. Grant's birthday on April 27, 1922.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Relative to the Production of "The Clansman."

Messrs. Peoples and Mabson, representing the negro element of San Francisco, were granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board protesting against the continued production of "The Clansman" as offensive to the negro population and calcu-

lated to arouse race hatred and incite riot.

*Referred.*

Whereupon, the following bill was presented by Supervisor Morgan and referred to the Judiciary and Police Committees:

Bill No. 4235. Ordinance No. 3893 (New Series), as follows:

Regulating motion picture exhibitions and entertainments, and repealing Ordinance No. 761 (New Series), approved May 3, 1909.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, or corporation to hold, conduct, or carry on, or to cause or to permit to be held, conducted or carried on, any motion picture exhibition, or entertainment of any sort which is offensive to decency, or is adopted to excite, or having a tendency to excite vicious or lewd thoughts or acts, or acts of violence, or race or class hatred or prejudice or unrest, or which is lewd or obscene or vulgar, or which is of an obscene, indecent or immoral nature, or so suggestive as to be offensive to the moral sense.

Section 2. The Chief of Police is hereby directed to detail a police officer to see that the provisions of this ordinance are fully complied with.

Section 3. Any person, firm, association, or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be declared guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by having the license to exhibit motion pictures revoked for six months and by a fine of not less than one hundred dollars, or more than five hundred dollars, or by said revocation and imprisonment in the county jail of not less than thirty days, or more than six months, or by all such revocation, fine, and imprisonment.

Section 4. Ordinance No. 761, approved May 3, 1909, is hereby repealed.

Section 5. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Victor Herbert, Composer, Presented.

Victor Herbert, the distinguished musical director and composer, accompanied by Herman Heller and E. H. Roth of the California Theater, was presented by his Honor the Mayor. Mr. Herbert was enthusiastically received and in a pleasant and witty address deplored that a curb was put on cultural education and refinement by the imposition of a tax on music teachers. He asked that the Board distinguish itself by causing the tax to be removed.

Whereupon, the following ordinance was presented and passed for printing:

**License Ordinance Amended, Music Teacher Eliminated.**

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 74 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), "Imposing license taxes on certain businesses, callings, trades or employments within the City and County of San Francisco."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 74 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

*Attorneys, Doctors, etc.*

Section 74. For every person, firm or corporation conducting, managing or carrying on or engaging in any of the businesses, professions or occupations hereinafter in this section enumerated, the annual gross receipts of which business amount to less than \$3,000, \$3 per quarter;

\$3,000 and less than \$5,000, \$4.50 per quarter;

\$5,000 and less than \$7,500, \$6 per quarter;

\$7,500 and less than \$10,000, \$7.50 per quarter;

\$10,000 and less than \$15,000, \$11.25 per quarter;

\$15,000 and less than \$20,000, \$15 per quarter;

\$20,000 and less than \$25,000, \$18.75 per quarter;

\$25,000 and less than \$30,000, \$22.50 per quarter;

\$30,000 and less than \$40,000, \$30 per quarter;

\$40,000 and less than \$50,000, \$37.50 per quarter;

\$50,000 and less than \$60,000, \$45 per quarter;

\$60,000 and less than \$70,000, \$52.50 per quarter;

\$70,000 and less than \$80,000, \$60 per quarter;

\$80,000 and less than \$90,000, \$67.50 per quarter;

\$90,000 and less than \$100,000, \$75 per quarter;

\$100,000 and less than \$150,000, \$112.50 per quarter;

\$150,000 and over, \$125 per quarter.

The license provided for in this section shall be paid by every person, firm or corporation conducting, managing or carrying on, or engaged in any of the following businesses, professions, or occupations:

Advertising Counsel,  
Appraiser,  
Architect,  
Attorney-at-Law,  
Auditor, Accountant,  
Bail Bond Brokers,

Chiropodist,  
Chiropractor,  
Civil, Electrical or Mechanical Engineer,

Dentist,  
Designer or Illustrator,  
Drugless Practitioner.

Engraver,  
Geologist,  
Hair-dressing Parlor,  
Interpreter,

Insurance Adjuster,  
Landscape Gardener,  
Lapidary,  
Manicuring Parlor,  
Midwife,  
Naturopath,  
Optician,  
Optometrist,  
Oculist,  
Osteopath or Osteopathist,  
Physician,  
Surgeon,  
Public Stenographer,  
Sign Painter,  
Veterinary.

No license shall be issued under the provisions of this section to any person to practice as a physician and surgeon, osteopath, naturopath, drugless practitioner, chiropodist or midwife or veterinary medicine, or any branch thereof, in the City and County of San Francisco, unless such person shall exhibit to the Tax Collector an unexpired and unrevoked license entitling such person to practice medicine, or any branch thereof or veterinary medicine in the State of California, issued to such person by the Board of Examiners in veterinary medicine or the Board of Medical Examiners of the State of California.

The Tax Collector shall, before issuing any license to engage in the business or occupation of a veterinary, under the provisions of this section, require every applicant therefor to furnish satisfactory proof that he is the person named in the license issued by the Board of Examiners in veterinary medicine or the Board of Medical Examiners of the State of California, exhibited to the Tax Collector as hereinbefore provided.

That nothing in this section contained shall be deemed or construed as applying to any person engaged in any of the professions or occupations hereinbefore enumerated, solely as an employee of any other person, firm or corporation conducting, managing or carrying on any such business, occupation or profession in the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect July 1, 1922.

MONDAY, MARCH 6, 1922.

**Estimates of Cost for Street Work.**

*Supervisor Welch* moved that all requests of civic organizations for street improvements be sent to the City Engineer with request that he furnish estimate of cost as soon as possible.

*Motion carried.*

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 5:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors April 3, 1922.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series), of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, March 13, 1922.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY  
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

J

N  
It  
disc  
T  
regi

T  
ing  
S  
Hy  
Mon  
Ros  
Wel  
S  
was  
ex  
S  
Q  
H  
T  
pre  
app  
RO

(  
Cor  
ma  
at  
h  
Co  
Ne  
of  
th  
da

i  
be  
me  
pro  
lis  
ho

c's  
qu  
an  
Ac  
Mc

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MARCH 13, 1922, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, March 13, 1922, 2 p. m.  
The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Supervisor Bath sent word that he was unable to attend and asked to be excused.

*So ordered.*

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was *laid over for approved until next meeting.*

### ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

"The Clansman."

*Communication* — From Vigilant Committee, condemning "The Clansman" in San Francisco or elsewhere at any time.

*Referred to Judiciary and Police Committee.*

Mr. Peoples, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, was granted the privilege of the floor and said he understood that something would be reported today as promised by the Mayor.

### Standardization of Salaries.

Supervisor Hynes moved that Clerk be instructed that heads of departments who have not complied with previous request be asked to furnish list of employees, salaries paid and hours of employment.

*So ordered.*

### Amendment to Volstead Act.

*Communication* — From San Francisco Caravan of Order of Camels, requesting hearing on their petition for amendment or repeal of the Volstead Act.

Read and made a Special Order for Monday, March 20, 1922, at 3 p. m.

### Supervisor Shannon Commended.

*Communication* — From Executive Committee of San Francisco Oriental Commercial Relationship Delegation, commending Supervisor Warren Shannon as representative of San Francisco on Oriental trip.

### Resurfacing Downtown Streets.

*Communication* — From Mayor, transmitting communication from Down Town Association, relative to resurfacing streets.

*Referred to Mayor* for his attention with request that Board of Works take proper steps to correct same.

### Park Employment for Ex-Soldiers.

*Communication* — From San Francisco Council, American Legion, asking appropriation in Budget for public improvement in Park for employment of idle ex-service men.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

### Musicians' Union Shrine Presentation Ceremonies.

*Communication* — From Musicians' Union, inviting attendance at ceremonies commemorating the presentation of resolutions accepted by said Union to 1922 Shrine Committee, same to take place at Polk street entrance of City Hall March 14, 1922.

Read and *accepted.*

### Mare Island Navy Yard.

*Communication* — From Congressman Kahn, relative to the continuation of Mare Island Navy Yard.

Read and *filed.*

### Leave of Absence, City Attorney.

*Communication* — From City Attorney Lull, requesting leave of absence for thirty days, to settle litigation in New York against Samuel G. Murphy, trustee for Honora Sharp Bequest.

Read and *filed.*

### Requests Reconsideration of Municipal Railway Extension.

*Communication* — From San Francisco Bureau of Governmental Research, requesting that Municipal Railway extensions plans be reconsidered.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

### Light Wines and Beer Legislation.

*Communication* — From City of Streator, relative to indorsement of bill permitting light wines and beers.

*Over until next Monday, March 20, 1922, Special Order, 3 p. m.*

**Protest Against Production of "Four Horsemen of the Apocalypse."**

*Communication*—From Mayor, transmitting protest of Steuben Society against presentation of film "The Four Horsemen of the Apocalypse."

*Referred to Judiciary and Police Committee.*

**Requested Improvements in Downtown District.**

*Communication*—From North Central Improvement Association, suggesting appropriations in the Budget of 1922-23 for certain improvements in the downtown financial district.

**Industrial Commissioner.**

*Communication*—From Jewell Steel and Malleable Co., suggesting a Budget appropriation of \$50,000 for an Industrial Commissioner, to promote establishment of industries in San Francisco.

*Read and referred to Finance Committee.*

#### REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.

The following committees, by their respective chairmen, presented reports on various matters referred, which reports were read and ordered *filed*:

Fire Committee, by Supervisor Deasy, chairman.

Supplies Committee, by Supervisor Rossi, chairman.

Public Buildings Committee, by Supervisor Scott, chairman.

Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee, by Supervisor Morgan, chairman.

#### PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

*Lumber, Millwork, Street and Sewer Material.*

Sixteen sealed proposals were received by the Board of Supervisors for furnishing lumber and millwork and street and sewer material required by the City and County for the three months' period beginning April 1 and ending June 30, 1922. Said bids were referred to the Supplies Committee.

*Foodstuffs.*

Sixty-one sealed proposals were received by the Board of Supervisors, and referred to the Supplies Committee, for furnishing, as may be ordered from time to time, during the three months' period beginning April 1 and ending June 30, 1922, foodstuffs described in Class 1 of the General Schedule of Supplies, including meats, poultry, fish, dairy produce, fresh fruits, fresh vegetables, potatoes, onions, farinaceous products, canned fruits, canned vegetables, dried fruits and groceries, for use by the hospitals, prisons, public institutions and other departments of the City and County of San Francisco for which the Board

of Supervisors is required to make contracts under the provisions of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

**Final Passage.**

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and finally passed by the following vote:

**Authorizations.**

Resolution No. 19768 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

*Special School Tax, 1921-1922.*

(1) A. Lettich, fifth payment, plumbing Commodore Sloat School (claim dated March 1, 1922), \$2,440.94.

(2) Anderson & Ringrose, sixth payment, general construction Commodore Sloat School (claim dated March 1, 1922), \$10,886.25.

(3) John Reid, Jr., first payment, architectural services, Yerba Buena School (claim dated March 1, 1922), \$818.

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(4) C. Peterson Co., first payment, heating and ventilating, North Beach (Galileo) High School (claim dated March 1, 1922), \$3,450.

(5) Robert Trost, second payment, general construction, North Beach (Galileo) High School (claim dated March 1, 1922), \$31,956.

*Municipal Railway Fund.*

(6) Shell Company, gasoline, Municipal Railways (claim dated Feb. 24, 1922), \$913.08.

(7) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co, brake shoes, Municipal Railways (claim dated Feb. 24, 1922), \$1,218.90.

(8) John A. Roebling's Sons Co., copper trolley wire, Municipal Railways (claim dated Feb. 27, 1922), \$2,714.

*Auditorium Fund.*

(9) M. E. Ryan, first payment, additional electric outlets at the Auditorium (claim dated March 1, 1922), \$1,552.50.

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(10) A. Levy & J. Zentner Co., supplies, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$507.80.

(11) Payne's Bolt Works, rods, rivets, etc. (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$570.45.

(12) H. E. Teller Co., supplies (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$583.27.

(13) Sullivan Machinery Co., machine parts (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$590.88.

(14) Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson,

Inc., steel ranges, boilers, etc. (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$654.52.

(15) International Diamond Drill Contracting Co., diamond drill, rentals and supplies (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$739.20.

(16) Ames-Harris-Neville Co., tents, etc. (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$1,188.35.

(17) P. H. Reardon, suction and discharge hose, etc. (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$1,128.76.

(18) Sherry Bros., Inc., supplies (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$1,214.81.

(19) J. H. Newbauer & Co., supplies (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$1,434.82.

(20) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Groveland revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$2,096.36.

(21) Hercules Powder Co., blasting caps, etc. (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$2,657.26.

(22) The Republic Supply Co., black pipe (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$2,786.36.

(23) Virden Packing Co., meats (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$2,888.39.

(24) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premiums, Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$7,894.73.

#### *County Road Fund.*

(25) Raisch Impt. Co., first payment, City's portion of improvement of San Jose avenue (claim dated March 3, 1922), \$5,205.50.

(26) C. B. Eaton, fourth payment, improvement of Point Lobos avenue from Great Highway to Forty-eighth avenue (claim dated March 3, 1922), \$7,800.89.

#### *General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(27) California Baking Co., bread, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$517.43.

(28) Hooper & Jennings, groceries, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$907.67.

(29) Schultz Construction Co., first payment, construction of fire cistern at Lakeview and Josiah streets (claim dated March 1, 1922), \$4,389.19.

(30) Alex Coleman, second payment, heating and plumbing, Fire Department Chief's residence (claim dated March 1, 1922), \$1,773.50.

(31) O. Wrba, final payment, lathing and plastering, Fire Department Chief's residence (claim dated March 1, 1922), \$588.50.

(32) Spring Valley Water Co., water through hydrants, Fire Department (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$10,919.83.

(33) H. O. Harrison Co., one Dodge commercial car, Fire Department (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$1,041.28.

(34) Gheffoli & Maggini, one Ford runabout, Fire Department (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$546.89.

(35) E. D. Bullard, four Gibbs ap-

paratus, Fire Department (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$804.

(36) Don Lee, one Cadillac car, less allowance, Fire Department (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$2,536.

(37) Spears-Wells Machinery Co., Inc., one steam roller, Department of Public Works (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$3,877.

(38) Union Construction Co., one sweeping machine, Department of Public Works (claim dated Feb. 24, 1922), \$7,600.

(39) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., surface heater royalties, Department of Public Works (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$687.35.

(40) Spring Valley Water Co., water for street work, Department of Public Works (claim dated Feb. 24, 1922), \$743.

(41) Coast Rock & Gravel Co., sand and gravel, Department of Public Works (claim dated Feb. 24, 1922), \$1,624.93.

(42) Shell Company, fuel oil, Department of Public Works (claim dated Feb. 24, 1922), \$1,020.

(43) Clinton Construction Co., second payment, construction of Ocean Beach Esplanade, Section C (claim dated March 3, 1922), \$11,250.

(44) Levison Printing Co., printing record books (claim dated March 6, 1922), \$579.25.

(45) The San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated March 6, 1922), \$1,000.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

#### *Appropriations for School Lands.*

Resolution No. 19769 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax, 1921-1922, and authorized in payment to the following named persons for lands and appurtenances required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Peter J. Curtis and Rita I. Hayden, 45 x 112½ feet, west line Folsom street, 215 feet north from north line of Twenty-third street, for Hawthorne School; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 19736 (New Series), \$9,084.

(2) To Nellie Ahearn, 25 x 137½ feet, north line Waller street, 225 feet east from east line Masonic avenue, for Dudley Stone School; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 19731 (New Series), \$9,250.

(3) To Rose Kincaid, 25 x 137½ feet, north line Waller street, 175 feet east from east line Masonic avenue, for

Dudley Stone School; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 19732 (New Series), \$8,750.

(4) To Henry Curran, 25 x 137½ feet, north line Waller street, 200 feet from east line Masonic avenue, for Dudley Stone School; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 19733 (New Series), \$7,500.

(5) To Josephine Murray, 24 feet frontage, west line Webster street, 79 feet 8½ inches north from north line of Jackson street, for Pacific Heights School; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 19734 (New Series), \$10,000.

(6) To Henry Myers, 23 x 79 feet 8½ inches, north line Jackson street, 90 feet west from west line Webster street, for Pacific Heights School; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 19735 (New Series), \$7,750.

(7) To Daniel O'Day, 25 x 114 feet, north line Twenty-second street, 126 feet 9 inches west from Church street, for Edison School; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 19690 (New Series), \$5,500.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Appropriation, \$16,160. Plans, etc., Horace Mann School.

Resolution No. 19770 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$16,160 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax, 1921-1922, to defray cost of preparation of plans and specifications for the new Horace Mann School, to be erected on Valencia street between Twenty-third and Twenty-fourth streets.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Appropriation, \$2,225.75, Purchase and Repair of Emergency Hospital Equipment.

Resolution No. 19771 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$2,225.75 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, Fiscal Year 1921-1922, to the credit of Department of Public Health, for purchase of and repair of Emergency Hospital equipment and for printing of ordinances, etc., urgently required by Department of Public Health.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers,

Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Appropriation, \$10,000, for Extension of Boulevard From Lincoln Park.

Resolution No. 19772 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$10,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, Fiscal Year 1921-1922, additional to \$25,000 heretofore appropriated, for construction of extension of boulevard from Lincoln Park westward along the cliffs bordering the Golden Gate, said boulevard extension to be constructed and said money expended under and by direction of the Park Commission of the City and County of San Francisco, and that wages on said work be not less than the wages paid to other park laborers doing the same class of work.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Appropriation, \$6,000, Board of Health, "Flu" Epidemic.

Resolution No. 19773 (New Series), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$6,000 out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, Fiscal Year 1921-1922, for payment of extraordinary expense incurred and to be incurred by the Department of Public Health in the maintenance of two additional wards at the San Francisco Hospital on account of influenza epidemic.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Supply Station, Garage and Oil Permits.

Resolution No. 19774 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

*Automobile Supply Station.*

Standard Oil Company, at northwest corner of Fulton street and Sixth avenue; also to store 1,200 gallons of gasoline on premises.

*Public Garage.*

Henry R. Teigeler, in rear of 3494-3498 Mission street.

*Oil Storage Tank.*

(1,500 gallons capacity.)

C. Jorgensen, at northeast corner of Palm and Euclid avenues.

C. Restani, at southwest corner of Geneva and Huron avenues.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six

months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Plans, etc., Andrew Jackson School.

Bill No. 5992, Ordinance No. 5586 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for and the construction of the Andrew Jackson School, to be erected on the south side of Grove street between Clayton and Cole streets; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction in accordance with said plans and specifications so prepared, and permitting progressive payments to be made during the progress of construction.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for and to enter into contract for the construction of the Andrew Jackson School, to be erected on the south side of Grove street between Clayton and Cole streets, in accordance with said plans and specifications so prepared and approved by the Board of Education.

Section 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for the construction of said Andrew Jackson School conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications and as provided by Section 21, Chapter I, Article VI of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

License Ordinance Amended, Music Teacher Eliminated.

Bill No. 5993, Ordinance No. 5587, (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 74 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), "Imposing license taxes on certain businesses, callings, trades or employments within the City and County of San Francisco."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 74 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

*Attorneys, Doctors, etc.*

Section 74. For every person, firm or corporation conducting, managing or carrying on or engaging in any of the businesses, professions or occupations hereinafter in this section enumerated, the annual gross receipts of which business amount to less than \$3,000, \$3 per quarter;

\$3,000 and less than \$5,000, \$4.50 per quarter;

\$5,000 and less than \$7,500, \$6 per quarter;

\$7,500 and less than \$10,000, \$7.50 per quarter;

\$10,000 and less than \$15,000, \$11.25 per quarter;

\$15,000 and less than \$20,000, \$15 per quarter;

\$20,000 and less than \$25,000, \$18.75 per quarter;

\$25,000 and less than \$30,000, \$22.50 per quarter;

\$30,000 and less than \$40,000, \$30 per quarter;

\$40,000 and less than \$50,000, \$37.50 per quarter;

\$50,000 and less than \$60,000, \$45 per quarter;

\$60,000 and less than \$70,000, \$52.50 per quarter;

\$70,000 and less than \$80,000, \$60 per quarter;

\$80,000 and less than \$90,000, \$67.50 per quarter;

\$90,000 and less than \$100,000, \$75 per quarter;

\$100,000 and less than \$150,000, \$112.50 per quarter;

\$150,000 and over, \$125 per quarter.

The license provided for in this section shall be paid by every person, firm or corporation conducting, managing or carrying on, or engaged in any of the following businesses, professions, or occupations:

Advertising Counsel,  
Appraiser,  
Architect,  
Attorney-at-Law,  
Auditor, Accountant,  
Bail Bond Brokers,  
Chiroprapist,  
Chiropractor,  
Civil, Electrical or Mechanical Engineer,  
Dentist,  
Designer or Illustrator,  
Drugless Practitioner,  
Engraver,  
Geologist,  
Hair-dressing Parlor,  
Interpreter,  
Insurance Adjuster,  
Landscape Gardener,  
Lapidary,  
Manicuring Parlor,  
Midwife,  
Naturopath,  
Optician,

Optometrist,  
Oculist,  
Osteopath or Osteopathist,  
Physician,  
Surgeon,  
Public Stenographer,  
Sign Painter,  
Veterinary.

No license shall be issued under the provisions of this section to any person to practice as a physician and surgeon, osteopath, naturopath, drugless practitioner, chiropodist or midwife or veterinary medicine, or any branch thereof, in the City and County of San Francisco, unless such person shall exhibit to the Tax Collector an unexpired and unrevoked license entitling such person to practice medicine, or any branch thereof or veterinary medicine in the State of California, issued to such person by the Board of Examiners in veterinary medicine or the Board of Medical Examiners of the State of California.

The Tax Collector shall, before issuing any license to engage in the business or occupation of a veterinary, under the provisions of this section, require every applicant therefor to furnish satisfactory proof that he is the person named in the license issued by the Board of Examiners in veterinary medicine or the Board of Medical Examiners of the State of California, exhibited to the Tax Collector as hereinbefore provided.

That nothing in this section contained shall be deemed or construed as applying to any person engaged in any of the professions or occupations hereinbefore enumerated, solely as an employee of any other person, firm or corporation conducting, managing or carrying on any such business, occupation or profession in the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect July 1, 1922.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 5994, Ordinance No. 5588 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed

in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 8, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Seventeenth avenue from Santiago to Taraval streets* by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of a 14-foot central strip of vertical fiber brick pavement from the northerly line of Taraval street to a line 300 feet northerly therefrom, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the remainder of the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Ordering Street Work, Harold Avenue.

Bill No. 5995, Ordinance No. 5589 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors July 6, 1921, having recommended the ordering of the following street

work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The method of assessment for said work and improvement determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No. 63809 (Second Series) is hereby confirmed.

The improvement of *Harold avenue from Grafton avenue to its southerly termination* by the construction of an 8-inch, vitrified, salt-glazed, ironstone pipe sewer with 13 Y branches and two brick manholes with cast iron frames and covers and galvanized wrought iron steps along the center line of Harold avenue from the southerly line of Grafton avenue to a point 197 feet southerly; by the construction of an 8-inch sewer with two Y branches and one lamphole from the last described point to a point 250 feet southerly from Grafton avenue and 8 feet easterly from the westerly line of Harold avenue; thence southerly along a line parallel with and 8 feet easterly from the westerly line of Harold avenue from the last described point to a point 139 feet southerly therefrom, by the construction of an 8-inch sewer with 6 Y branches and one brick manhole with cast iron frame and cover and galvanized wrought iron steps.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

#### Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 5996, Ordinance No. 5590 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 8 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and

County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the *crossing of Foerster street and Staples avenue* by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of an 8-inch vitrified, salt-glazed, ironstone pipe sewer and one brick manhole along the center line of Foerster street from the southerly line of Staples avenue to a point 20 feet northerly therefrom; by the construction of a 12-inch sewer from the last described point; thence in a northerly direction to the existing sewer within the above mentioned crossing; by the construction of two brick catchbasins and appurtenances and 10-inch vitrified, salt-glazed ironstone pipe culverts, one of each on the southwesterly and southeasterly angular corners of Foerster street and Staples avenue; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width adjacent to the concrete curb, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Bill No. 5997, Ordinance No. 5591 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public

Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 10, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Joost avenue between the easterly line of Foerster street and a line at right angles to the northerly line of Joost avenue at its intersection with the westerly line of Edna street, and Edna street between Joost avenue and Monterey boulevard, including the intersection of Joost avenue and Edna street, by the construction of a 12-inch vitrified, salt-glazed, ironstone pipe sewer with 8 Y branches along the center line of Joost avenue from a point on a line at right angles with the northerly line of Joost avenue at its intersection with the westerly line of Edna street to the existing sewer westerly therefrom; by grading to subgrade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of three brick catch-basins with cast iron frames, gratings and traps and 10-inch vitrified, salt-glazed, ironstone culverts, one each on the southeasterly, southwesterly corners of Edna street and Joost avenue and one on the northerly side of Joost avenue on a line at right angles with the southerly line of Joost avenue at its intersection with the west-

erly line of Edna street; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners of Edna street and Joost avenue; by the construction of asphaltic concrete pavements on the roadway of Joost avenue from a line 200 feet easterly from the easterly line of Foerster street to a line at right angles with the southerly line of Joost avenue at its intersection with the easterly line of Edna street and on the roadway of Edna street and on the roadway of Edna street from the northerly line of Monterey boulevard to a line 90 feet northerly therefrom, and by the construction of concrete pavements on the remainder of the roadways thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Bill No. 5998, Ordinance No. 5592 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 15, 1919, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be

one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Paris street between France and Italy avenues, including the crossing of Paris street and Italy avenue*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of the following artificial stone sidewalks on Paris street between France and Italy avenues; an eight-foot strip adjacent to the easterly property line of Paris street; on the westerly side of Paris street of the full official width; on the northwest, northeast and southwest angular corners of Paris street and Italy avenue the full official width, and on the southeast angular corner a six-foot strip adjacent to the curb; by the construction of brick catchbasins with cast iron frames, gratings and traps and 10-inch vitrified, salt-glazed, ironstone pipe culverts, one each on the easterly and westerly side of Paris street between France and Italy avenues; by the construction of a 14-foot strip of vertical fibre brick pavement in the center of the roadway on Paris street from France avenue to a line 205 feet southerly therefrom; by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the remainder of the roadway thereon, and by the construction of three concrete stairways, copings and landings.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Changing Grades, Market Street.

Bill No. 5999, Ordinance No. 5593 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and establishing the official grades on Market street between the southerly line of Twenty-fourth street produced and a line at right angles to the northeasterly line of, 79.68 feet southeasterly from Eagle street; on Twenty-fourth street between Burnham and Market streets; on Burnham street between Market street and a line at right angles to the easterly line of, 64 feet southerly from Elizabeth street; on Grand View avenue between Twenty-third and Elizabeth streets, and on Twenty-third street between the easterly line of Grand View avenue and Corbett avenue; as shown on map approved by Resolution No. 19712 (New Series).

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on De-

cember 21, 1921, by Resolution No. 19522 (New Series), declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on Market street between the southerly line of Twenty-fourth street produced and a line at right angles to the northeasterly line of, 79.68 feet southeasterly from Eagle street; on Twenty-fourth street between Burnham and Market streets; on Burnham street between Market street and a line at right angles to the easterly line of, 64 feet southerly from Elizabeth street; on Grand View avenue between Twenty-third and Elizabeth streets, and on Twenty-third street between the easterly line of Grand View avenue and Corbett avenue; as shown on map approved by Resolution No. 19712 (New Series).

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works, within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention, caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Market street between the southerly line of Twenty-fourth street produced and a line at right angles to the northeasterly line of, 79.68 feet southeasterly from Eagle street; on Twenty-fourth street between Burnham and Market streets; on Burnham street between Market street and a line at right angles to the easterly line of, 64 feet southerly from Elizabeth street; on Grand View avenue between Twenty-third and Elizabeth streets, and on Twenty-third street between the easterly line of Grand View avenue and Corbett avenue; as shown on map approved by Resolution No. 19712 (New Series).

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Establishing Grades, Bonview Street.

Bill No. 6000, Ordinance No. 5594 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Bonview

street between Eugenia avenue and a line parallel with and 450 feet northerly therefrom.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Bonview street between Eugenia avenue and a line parallel with and 450 feet northerly therefrom are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed February 28, 1922.

*Bonview Street.*

450 feet northerly from Eugenia avenue, 293 feet.

375 feet northerly from Eugenia avenue, 292.54 feet.

335 feet northerly from Eugenia avenue, 289.98 feet.

295 feet northerly from Eugenia avenue, 283.57 feet.

Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.

200 feet northerly from Eugenia avenue, 263.54 feet.

150 feet northerly from Eugenia avenue, 254.88 feet.

100 feet northerly from Eugenia avenue, 250 feet.

Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.

Eugenia avenue northerly line, 244 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Bonview street between Eugenia avenue and a line parallel with and 450 feet northerly therefrom be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

*Amending Zoning Ordinance.*

Bill No. 5893, Ordinance No. 5595 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled, "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is recited in the title of this ordinance, is hereby amended as follows:

That Section 2 of the Use of Prop-

erty Zone Map, constituting part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the block bounded by Vallejo, Broadway, Scott and Divisadero streets in the First Residential District instead of the Second Residential District.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

*Action Deferred.*

The following bill, laid over from last meeting, was taken up and again laid over one week:

Building Law Amendment, Fireproofing Boiler Rooms.

Bill No. 5876, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled "Amending Section 253 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as the Building Law, approved December 22, 1909, relating to fireproofing boiler, heating and furnace rooms."

**REPORT OF FINANCE COMMITTEE.**

Demands on the Treasury amounting to \$252,439.97, including the following Urgent Necessity, were presented and approved by the following vote:

*Urgent Necessity.*

Sabina M. Churchill, compensation insurance, \$92.25.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

**NEW BUSINESS.**

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were passed for printing:

*Authorizations.*

On motion of Supervisor McLeran: Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

*Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.*

(1) J. A. Mohr & Son, painting Geary street car barn, Contract 124 (claim dated March 8, 1922), \$1,275.

*Municipal Railway Fund.*

(2) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., steel brake shoes (claim dated March 8, 1922), \$1,226.26.

*Special School Tax, 1921-1922.*

(3) Electric Appliance Co., Benjamin reflectors, hangers, etc., for Mission Evening at Polytechnic High

School (claim dated Feb. 24, 1922), \$574.81.

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(4) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, payment of Hetch Hetchy transportation (claim dated March 7, 1922), \$1,046.02.

(5) South San Francisco Packing & Provision Co., meats (claim dated March 7, 1922), \$1,993.15.

(6) R. W. Kinney Co., Inc., black pipe (claim dated March 7, 1922), \$2,243.96.

(7) Ingersoll-Rand Co., hollow steel (claim dated March 7, 1922), \$2,919.13.

(8) Utah Construction Co., 28th payment, Contract 61, Hetch Hetchy dam and appurtenances (claim dated March 8, 1922), \$129,713.22.

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(9) Frederick W. Snook Co., second payment, plumbing, Spring Valley School (claim dated March 8, 1922), \$547.73.

(10) Butte Electrical Equipment Co., first payment, electric work, North Beach (Galileo) High School (claim dated March 8, 1922), \$1,124.03.

(11) Mahony Bros., second payment, general construction, Mission High School (claim dated March 8, 1922), \$15,416.43.

*General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(12) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished public buildings (claim dated March 8, 1922), \$1,175.08.

(13) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement, Dept. Public Works (claim dated March 8, 1922), \$1,959.38.

(14) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated March 8, 1922), \$3,184.19.

(15) Palace Hardware Co., first payment, finish hardware, residence of Chief Engineer, Fire Dept. (claim dated March 8, 1922), \$600.

(16) Frederiek H. Meyer, first payment, architect service, Fire Dept. drill tower (claim dated March 8, 1922), \$1,200.

(17) Union Oil Co. of Cal., fuel oil, Dept. Public Works (claim dated March 3, 1922), \$2,143.48.

(18) Shell Oil Co., gasoline, Police Dept. (claim dated Feb. 27, 1922), \$628.95.

(19) California Baking Co., bread, County Jails (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$545.07.

(20) California Meat Co., meat for County Jails (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$580.61.

(21) Haas Brothers, supplies, Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$556.09.

(22) Standard Oil Co., fuel oil, Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$2,133.48.

(23) J. T. Freitas Co., supplies, Re-

lief Home (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$1,010.70.

(24) Greenebaum, Weil & Michels, clothing, Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$629.

(25) Hooper & Jennings, supplies, Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 24, 1922), \$1,474.16.

(26) N. & S. E. Kalischer, clothing, Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$551.60.

(27) Oliva Bros. supplies, Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$655.52.

(28) Producers' Hay Co., feed, Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$1,528.65.

(29) Sherry Bros., supplies, Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$960.

(30) Sperry Flour Co., supplies, Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 24, 1922), \$952.50.

(31) Greenebaum, Weil & Michels, clothing, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$1,018.50.

(32) Associated Oil Co., fuel oil, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$3,335.40.

(33) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated March 10, 1922), \$8,908.76.

(34) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated March 10, 1922), \$11,712.26.

(35) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated March 10, 1922), \$1,022.50.

(36) George Lull, transportation, hotel and legal expenses to and from New York on official business (claim dated March 6, 1922), \$1,400.

(37) American La France Co., Fire Dept. apparatus parts (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$778.85.

(38) Associated Oil Co., gasoline and fuel oil, Fire Dept. (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$2,182.59.

(39) H. Harms & Co., wood and coal, Fire Dept. (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$926.

(40) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electricity, Fire Dept. (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$1,289.15.

(41) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished Fire Dept. (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$1,602.37.

(42) The Recorder Printing & Publishing Co., trial and law and motion calendar (claim dated Mar. 13, 1922), \$665.

(43) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., public street lighting (claim dated March 13, 1922), \$41,165.74.

(44) San Francisco Chronicle, official advertising (claim dated March 13, 1922), \$1,020.93.

Appropriation, \$5,000, Arroyo Sanitorium. Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of five thousand dollars be and the same is

hereby set aside and appropriated out of Tubercular Sanatorium Fund, and authorized in payment to the County of Alameda, State of California, for expense of preparation of plans and specifications, including architect's fee, for and account of construction of additional unit to the Arroyo Sanatorium, Alameda County, State of California, in accordance with agreement entered into between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda, the 11th day of March, 1922.

Appropriation, \$52,500, Pacific Heights School.

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$52,500 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1, Fiscal Year 1921-1922, and authorized in payment to Charles A. Adams; being payment for land and improvements required for Pacific Heights School; said land and improvements being situate, commencing at intersection of northerly line of Jackson street with westerly line of Webster street, 31 feet 8 $\frac{1}{4}$  inches on Webster street by a uniform depth of 90 feet. As per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 19670 (New Series).

Appropriation, \$2,500, Extraordinary Expense, District Attorney.

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$2,500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, 1921-1922, for extraordinary expense by the District Attorney in the prosecution of criminal cases.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were adopted:

Appropriation, \$1,404, to Provide Vacations for Sidesewer Men.

On motion of Supervisor McLeran: Resolution No. 19775 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$1,404 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Budget Item No. 332 (Bureau of Sewer Repair—Employments) to the credit of Tearing Up Streets Fund, to provide vacations for sidesewer men, Department of Public Works; as recommended by Department of Public Works.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Accepting Offer of Propertyowners to Construct Retaining Wall, Market Street.

Resolution No. 19776 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the following owners of property fronting on Market street have offered to construct a brick or concrete retaining wall of such height, width and strength to retain the earth on their property and prevent the same from depositing on the sidewalk of Market street, and also waive all damage to their lot or building on account of the establishment of the official grade on Market street; and,

Whereas, the City and County will be relieved of payment of damages to the said owners, which said damages would amount to at least the sums mentioned below; and,

Whereas, the City Attorney and City Engineer recommended the acceptance of said offers, as per the following terms, namely:

Jacob Weikert, \$250.

Lot No. 243 of Heyman Tract, as per map thereof recorded in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on October 1, 1891, in Liber "E" and "F" of Maps, at pages 158 and 159.

Josef Aubel and Marie Aubel, \$250.

Lot No. 11 in Block 19, Market Street Homestead Association, according to map thereof filed October 26, 1868, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, California, and recorded in Liber "C" and "D" of Maps, pages 130 and 131.

Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer be accepted and the City Attorney be authorized to close negotiations and superintend the payment of moneys to each of the above named persons, upon receipt of the proper releases.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land for Widening of Market Street.

Resolution No. 19777 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the following owner of the following described land sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening and widening of Market street has offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite her name, viz:

Elizabeth Jones, \$122.

For the point of beginning commence at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Romain street with the northeasterly line of Market street, running northwesterly along the northeasterly line of Market street 86.250 feet; thence deflecting 101 deg. 40 min. 43 sec. to the right and running northeasterly 43.917 feet to the point of beginning, and running thence

northeasterly along the preceding course produced 9.686 feet; thence southeasterly on a curve to the right of 225.20-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 73 deg. 26 min. 03 sec. to the right from the preceding course, central angle 15 deg. 23 min. 39 sec., a distance of 60.506 feet; thence deflecting 163 deg. 30 min. 11 sec. to the right and running northwesterly 62.554 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Lot 3 in Block 16, Market Street Homestead Association, according to map thereof filed October 26, 1868, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and recorded in Liber "C" and "D" of Maps, pages 130 and 131.

As a further consideration for said conveyance it is hereby agreed that the small parcel of land now adjoining on the south shall become the property of Elizabeth Jones, and the City and County of San Francisco guarantees that the street improvements on Market street assessed to this parcel shall not exceed the sum of \$500. The said parcel of land is described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Romain street, distant thereon 44.739 feet easterly from the easterly line of Market street, said point of beginning being the point of intersection of the proposed easterly line of Market street with the northerly line of Romain street, and running thence easterly along the northerly line of Romain street 15.533 feet; thence deflecting 122 deg. 30 min. 24 sec. to the left and running northwesterly 42.296 feet to a point on the proposed easterly line of Market street; thence southerly along the proposed easterly line of Market street on a curve to the right of 225.20-foot radius, central angle 9 deg. 16 min. 02 sec., a distance of 36.425 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Lot 4 of Block 16 of Market Street Homestead.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted, the Title Insurance and Guaranty Company be authorized to deed said small parcel to Elizabeth Jones, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept a deed therefor in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase

price of one hundred and twenty-two and 00/100 (\$122.00) dollars.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Assessor to Correct Assessment.

Resolution No. 19778 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Assessor be authorized to correct an error in assessment in Volume 22, page 60, Lot 35, Block 3652, personal property, \$9.95, assessed to Sven Persson, personal property tax, \$34.53, to read "nil." Through error this personal property was assessed to the wrong real estate, and will be added to the party's correct real estate on the 1922 assessment-roll.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance.

Bill No. 6001, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

That Section 9 of the use of property zone map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the west side of Potrero avenue commencing 135 feet north of Twenty-fourth street for a distance of 25 feet northerly therefrom to the depth of the rear lot line, in the commercial district instead of the second residential district.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Denying Applications to Change Zoning Ordinance.

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, that the following applications to change the Zoning Ordinance be denied, to-wit:

Application of Mercantile Trust Company, to change west side of Octavia street between Washington and Jackson streets from first to second residential district; Helena Rolph, to change the northwest corner of Twenty-first and Folsom streets from second residential to light industrial district; Wm. F. Mitchell, to change northeast corner of Lincoln way and Nineteenth avenue from second residential to commercial district.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Garage, Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

*Public Garage.*

T. Lavell, on north side of O'Farrell street, 150 feet west of Hyde street; also to store 600 gallons of gasoline on premises.

*Oil Storage Tank.*

(1500 gallons capacity.)

G. W. Burnett, at 1445 Clay street.

G. W. Burnett, at 1441 Clay street.

T. P. Maloney, at 1345 Sacramento street.

D. McKillop, at 1436 California street.

*Boiler.*

D. McKillop, at 1436 California street; 8 horsepower, to be used in furnishing steam for heating purposes.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted Jacka & Pelsinger to maintain an automobile supply station at the northeast corner of Valencia and McCoppin streets; also to store 600 gallons of gasoline on premises.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

*Street Lights.*

Resolution No. 19780 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company is hereby instructed to remove and install street lights as follows:

*Remove Gas Lamps.*

Lincoln way and Fifth, Fourth, Third, Second and First avenues.

Lincoln way, west of Fifth, Fourth, Third, Second and First avenues.

*More Gas Lamp.*

North side of Jersey street, opposite 472, 6 feet west.

North side of Bush street, first east of Taylor street, to west property line.

*Install 600 M. R.*

Lincoln way and First, Second, Third, Fourth and Fifth avenues.

Fulton street and Funston avenue.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Action Deferred.

The following bill was presented and, on motion, *laid over two weeks*:

Imposing License Tax on Itinerant Shows, Etc.

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Imposing a license tax on itinerant shows, carnivals and concessions, regulating the matter of maintaining or conducting same, and providing a penalty for a violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Every person, firm or corporation maintaining or conducting in connection with an itinerant show or exhibition any museum, panorama, cyclorama, kinetoscope parlor, phonograph parlor, skating-rink, merry-go-round, swing, revolving wheel, chute, toboggan slide, Firth wheel, mechanical contrivance for carrying passengers, or any side-show, exhibition or concession shall, after conforming to the regulatory provisions of this ordinance, pay a license fee of twenty (20) dollars per day for each and every concession show or device herein enumerated and conducted or maintained in connection with any itinerant show or exhibition.

Section 2. The term "itinerant show or exhibition" shall be construed to mean any outdoor carnival, show or concession, exhibiting or operating under a tent or in the open for a period exceeding three (3) days, except such as come within the provisions of Ordinance No. 5240 (New Series) and Section No. 34 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series).

Section 3. The applicant for any show, exhibition or concession mentioned in Section 1 of this ordinance shall, before beginning operations, obtain a permit from the Board of Police Commissioners to so operate, which permit may be revoked at any time by said board. Without such a permit from the Board of Police Commissioners, the Tax Collector shall not issue a license to conduct any itinerant

show, exhibition or concession enumerated in this ordinance. If the permit is revoked, the license issued thereon shall immediately terminate and expire. The Board of Police Commissioners shall not issue a permit and the Tax Collector shall not issue a license to any person, firm or corporation to conduct any of the shows, exhibitions or concessions mentioned in Section 1 of this ordinance if said shows, exhibitions, or concessions are to be operated or conducted within a distance of two hundred (200) feet from the front line of any church or school, or within one hundred (100) feet of the property line on the sides or rear of any church, school lot, or children's playground; provided, however, that no other restrictions as to the location of such places shall be considered by the Board of Police Commissioners or the Tax Collector.

Section 4. The provisions of Section 1 of Ordinance No. 902 (New Series), regulating the manner of testing passenger-carrying devices, shall be strictly observed before any such itinerant show, exhibition or carnival may open for business. A permit signed by the Board of Police Commissioners certifying that all legal requirements have been met must also be first presented to the Tax Collector, before said official may issue a license to the owner or lessee of any itinerant carnival, show or exhibition or to any person holding a concession therein.

Section 5. Any person, firm or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be punishable by a fine not to exceed five hundred (500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 6. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Adopted.

The following resolution was adopted:

Accepting Offer to Sell Land for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 19781 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from the Mercantile Trust Company to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements situate on the northerly line of Waller street, distant 112 feet 6 inches westerly from Central avenue, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said land and improvements is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient

fee simple title to the following described land and improvements, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of \$7,800, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Waller street, distant thereon one hundred twelve (112) feet and six (6) inches westerly from the westerly line of Central avenue, running thence northerly and parallel with Central avenue one hundred thirty-seven (137) feet and six (6) inches; thence at right angles and parallel with Waller street twenty-five (25) feet; thence at right angles southerly and parallel with Central avenue one hundred thirty-seven (137) feet and six (6) inches to the said northerly line of Waller street; thence easterly along said northerly line of Waller street twenty-five (25) feet to the point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 657.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient moneys reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. The deed to said property is hereby accepted.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSherry, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was passed for printing:

Prohibiting Operation of Steam Locomotives on Certain Streets.

Bill No. 6003, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Prohibiting the operation of steam locomotives on certain streets and places in the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 1. The operation or use of steam locomotives on the tracks formerly owned by the Ocean Shore Railway on Potrero avenue is hereby prohibited.

Section 2. Every person, firm or corporation violating the provisions of this ordinance is guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction shall be punished by a fine or not more than

five hundred dollars or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of not exceeding six months.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**Action Deferred.**

The following bill was presented and, on motion, *laid over one week*:

**Spur Track Permit.**

Bill No. 6004, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Granting a spur track privilege to the Southern Pacific Company over the tracks belonging to the City and formerly operated by the Ocean Shore Railway Company between the southern side of Harrison street and Potrero avenue.

**Passed for Printing.**

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

**Establishing Grades, Acton Street.**

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Acton street between Mission street and a line connecting a point on the westerly line of, 167.44 feet southerly therefrom, and a point on the easterly line of, 192.99 feet southerly therefrom.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Acton street are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed March 6, 1922.

*Acton Street.*

Mission street, 310 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, 167.44 feet southerly from Mission street, 319.80 feet.

Easterly line of, 192.99 feet southerly from Mission street, 321.30 feet.

On Acton street between Mission street and a line connecting a point on the westerly line of, 167.44 feet southerly therefrom and a point on the easterly line of, 192.99 feet southerly therefrom be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**Adopted.**

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Changing and Establishing Grades.**

Resolution No. 19782 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No.

72274 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works adopted March 3, 1922, and written recommendation of said board filed March 6, 1922, to-wit:

*Fifteenth Street.*

Bryant street, 38 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Florida street, easterly line, 36 feet. Southerly line of, 40 feet westerly from Florida street easterly line, 36 feet.

Northerly line of, 40 feet westerly from Florida street easterly line, 36 feet.

Southerly line of, 40 feet easterly from Florida street westerly line, 27.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southerly line of, at Florida street westerly line, 27.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Northerly line of, 40 feet easterly from Florida street westerly line, 25.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Northerly line of, at Florida street westerly line, 25.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

*Florida Street.*

Alameda street southerly line, 13.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Fifteenth street, 36 feet.

Forty feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Fifteenth street, 36 feet.

Forty feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Fifteenth street northerly line, 25.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Fifteenth street northerly line, 25.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Forty feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Fifteenth street southerly line, 27.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Fifteenth street southerly line, 27.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, 250 feet southerly from Fifteenth street, 37.80 feet.

Forty feet westerly from the easterly line of, 250 feet southerly from Fifteenth street, 37.80 feet.

Forty feet easterly from the westerly line of, 250 feet southerly from Fifteenth street, 34.70 feet.

Westerly line of, 250 feet southerly from Fifteenth street, 34.70 feet.

Easterly line of, at Sixteenth street, 41.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Sixteenth street, 37.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Fifteenth street between the westerly line of Bryant street and Florida street, and on Florida street between Alameda and Sixteenth streets be changed and established to conform

to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

#### **Tubercular Sanatorium Agreement.**

The following form of agreement for care of tubercular patients, prepared by the City Attorney, was presented and ordered spread in the Journal:

This agreement made and entered into this — day of March, A. D. 1922, by and between the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation of the State of California, the party of the first part and hereinafter called the "City", and the County of Alameda, State of California, the party of the second part and hereinafter called "County":

Witnesseth: That, whereas, the City now maintains a hospital within its limits known as the San Francisco Hospital, where indigent sick and disabled residents of the City are cared for, among which are a large number of persons afflicted with tuberculosis; and

Whereas, climatic conditions in the City and County of San Francisco are not so favorable for the treatment and care of persons afflicted with tuberculosis as certain other sections of the State, and the City desires to provide for the treatment of approximately fifty of such patients afflicted with tuberculosis in a proper and suitable hospital outside of the limits of the City, where climatic conditions are more favorable for the recovery from such disease; and

Whereas, the County of Alameda now maintains a county hospital near the town of Livermore in the said County of Alameda, known as the Arroyo Sanatorium, where the general surroundings and climatic conditions are admirably suited for the treatment and care of tubercular patients; and

Whereas, the City desires to enter into a contract with said County under the terms of which said County will board, maintain and care for, at its said sanatorium near Livermore, approximately fifty patients suffering

from tuberculosis who are residents of the City and County of San Francisco, the City paying to the County therefor a certain stipulated sum per day for each of said patients cared for; and

Whereas, it will be necessary for the County to construct an additional unit or units at its said sanatorium near Livermore, to enable it to properly care for such tubercular patients as may be sent there by the City, and the City is willing, in further consideration of the maintenance and care by the County of such tubercular patients, to allow and pay to the County each year a certain percentage of the total construction cost of such additional unit or units, including superintendence, inspection and architect's fees, to cover annual depreciation and obsolescence thereon; and

Whereas, said County is willing to enter into an agreement with said City to carry into effect the purpose hereinabove outlined;

Now, therefore, in consideration of the premises and the covenants and agreements herein contained, it is mutually understood and agreed between the parties hereto as follows:

1. The City will advance and pay to the County a sum of money equal to the total cost of constructing such additional unit or units to said Arroyo Sanatorium, including superintendence, inspection and architect's fees of sufficient size and capacity to properly care for sixty patients, which sum so advanced to the County will be held by it in a special fund from which said County may deduct each year during the life of this agreement an annual allowance for depreciation and obsolescence of 4 per cent of the total costs to the County for the construction of such unit or units, including superintendence, inspection and architect's fees paid by said County on account thereof.

For the purpose of determining the amount to be so advanced and paid to the County by the City and to commence said work it is mutually understood and agreed that immediately upon the execution of this contract the City will advance and pay to the County the sum of five thousand dollars (\$5,000), to be held by the County as a fund to cover the cost of architect's fees for preparing plans and specifications for such additional hospital unit or units, and the County on its part upon the payment to it of the said sum will immediately cause to be prepared plans and specifications for the construction of such additional unit or units to said sanatorium for the accommodation of approximately sixty patients and will submit such plans and specifications with an estimate of the cost of construction thereunder to the City for its approval. Upon the ap-

approval by the City of the plans and specifications so submitted the County will enter into a contract for the construction of such hospital unit or units in accordance with said plans and specifications, which contract shall also be submitted to the City for its approval. Upon the letting of such contract and the approval thereof as herein provided, the City will advance and pay to the County the amount of money necessary to completely construct the said unit or units in accordance with said plans and specifications and the said contract, including the total amount of architect's fees to be paid by the County for such plans and specifications, the cost of superintendence and inspection and supervision of the same. If any changes or alterations are made in such plans or specifications after the contract is let the same shall only be by mutual consent of the parties hereto. If such changes or alterations increase the contract price, an additional sum sufficient to cover the same shall be paid by the City to the County at the completion of the contract. If such changes or alterations decrease said contract price, the amount of such decrease shall be returned by the County to the City at the completion of said contract. On the completion of the contract an adjustment shall be made of the estimated architect's, superintendence and inspection fees advanced by the City. If the estimated amount paid by the City to the County shall have exceeded the cost of such architect's services, superintendence and inspection, such excess shall be repaid by the County to the City. If the estimated amount be less than the cost of such services the City shall pay the difference to the County. If the parties cannot agree on plans or specifications or the said contract, the County shall in no event be required to return to the City any part of such sum of \$5,000 paid to it to apply on account of architect's fees to the extent that it has actually incurred a liability for such purpose.

The County agrees that upon the completion of the construction of such unit or units it will wholly equip the same at its own cost and expense and will board, maintain and care for such tubercular patients as the City may send to the said sanatorium, not exceeding fifty in number, at any one time, and the City on its part agrees to pay for the board, maintenance and medical care of such tubercular patients as it may send to such sanatorium a certain sum per day per patient. It is agreed that the rate per day for each of such patients so boarded and cared for shall be fixed at \$2.87 per day per patient, subject to such modification at the end of

each fiscal year as the parties may agree to make, and in the event of a failure to agree, the said daily rate of \$2.87 per patient shall remain in full force and effect during the life of this agreement or until otherwise changed by mutual agreement. All payments for the maintenance and care of such patients by the County shall be paid by the City monthly during the life of this agreement.

It is understood and agreed that this contract shall continue in full force and effect for a period of twenty-five years from date unless sooner terminated by mutual consent or the act of either party by the giving of one year's notice to the other of intention to terminate the contract, and the right of either party to so terminate the contract upon one year's notice to the other is hereby specifically granted. Upon the termination of the contract at any time prior to the expiration of said period of twenty-five years, whether at the instance of either party hereto, the County will return to the City the amount of money advanced to it by the City to cover the architect's, superintendence and inspection fees and the total construction cost for such hospital unit or units less the annual deduction from said entire cost, including architect's, superintendence and inspection costs of 4 per cent to cover depreciation and obsolescence.

It is further mutually understood and agreed that the administration and control of said Arroyo Sanatorium shall remain exclusively with the said County of Alameda and that the City will comply in every way with the rules and regulations of said sanatorium and will also conform to the standard of admission adopted by the governing body of said sanatorium.

It is further understood and agreed that the City will remove any patients whose removal may be requested by the superintendent of said sanatorium and that the said patients shall be amenable to all the rules and regulations prescribed by the superintendent of said sanatorium or the governing body thereof for patients, and that the said rules shall be uniform as to those patients of the City as well as those of the County.

It is also understood and agreed that any sums of money received from the State of California for the care of such tubercular patients as the City may send to said sanatorium under the terms of this agreement may be retained by the City, and if such money so paid by the State should be paid to the County the amounts thereof shall be credited on the payments due from the City for the care of such patients.

In witness whereof, the parties hereto, by resolution of their respective Boards of Supervisors, duly adopted,

have caused these presents to be duly executed and signed in duplicate by the proper officers of the parties, and their corporate names and seals to be affixed hereto this day and year first above written.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO (a Municipal Corporation).

By ..... Mayor.

Attest:

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco. COUNTY OF ALAMEDA (a Political Corporation).

By ..... Chairman of its Board of Supervisors.

Attest:

Clerk of its Board of Supervisors.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were adopted:

Dedication of Land for Widening Market Street.

Resolution No. 19783 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That all the following described property, owned in fee simple by the City and County of San Francisco, acquired for the widening of Market street between Mono street and Twenty-fourth street be and it is hereby set apart and dedicated as a public street, to-wit: Market street:

*Parcel "L."*

Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Mono street with the northeasterly line of Market street, formerly Falcon avenue, said point being distant along the northeasterly line of Market street 172.896 feet southeasterly from the southerly line of Eagle street, and running thence northerly along the easterly line of Mono street 50.859 feet; thence southeasterly on a curve to the right of 535-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 110 deg. 35 min. 15 sec. to the right from the preceding course, central angle 17 deg. 11 min. 34 sec., a distance of 160.538 feet to a point on the northeasterly line of Short street, distant thereon 142.396 feet easterly from the easterly line of Mono street; thence northwesterly along the northeasterly line of Short street 142.396 feet to the point of beginning.

*Parcel "J."*

Beginning at the point of intersection of the southwesterly line of Short street with the northeasterly line of Market street, formerly Falcon avenue, and running thence southeasterly along the southwesterly line of Short street 39.230 feet; thence deflecting 12 deg. 23 min. 20 sec. to the right and continuing southeasterly along the southwesterly

line of Short street 50.38 feet; thence deflecting 5 deg. 24 min. 18 sec. to the right and continuing southeasterly along the southwesterly line of Short street 8.529 feet; thence deflecting 23 deg. 21 min. 46 sec. to the right and running southeasterly 305.193 feet; thence southeasterly on a curve to the left of 171.80-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 14 deg. 24 min. 43 sec., a distance of 43.968 feet to a point on the northeasterly line of Market street, distant thereon 15.497 feet northwesterly from the westerly line of Yukon street; thence northwesterly along the northeasterly line of Market street 436.878 feet to the point of beginning.

*Parcel "K."*

Beginning at the point of intersection of the northwesterly line of Glendale street with the southwesterly line of Market street, formerly Falcon avenue, and running thence southwesterly along the northwesterly line of Glendale street 27.062 feet; thence northwesterly on a curve to the right of 244.80-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 64 deg. 34 min. 45 sec. to the right from the preceding course, central angle 31 deg. 36 min. 55 sec., a distance of 135.078 feet; thence northwesterly, tangent to the preceding curve 32.142 feet to a point on the westerly line of Market street; thence southeasterly along the southwesterly line of Market street 171.830 feet to the point of beginning.

*Parcel "L."*

Beginning at the point of intersection of the southeasterly line of Glendale street with the southwesterly line of Market street, formerly Falcon avenue, and running thence southwesterly along the southeasterly line of Glendale street 26.065 feet; thence southeasterly on a curve to the left of 244.80-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 123 deg. 29 min. 28 sec. to the left from the preceding course, central angle 13 deg. 45 min. 43 sec., a distance of 58.799 feet; thence southeasterly tangent to the preceding curve a distance of 56.028 feet to the southwesterly line of Market street; thence northwesterly along the southwesterly line of Market street 98.351 feet to the point of beginning.

*Parcel "M."*

Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Grand View avenue with the northeasterly line of Market street, formerly Falcon avenue, and running thence easterly along the southerly line of Grand View avenue 38.726 feet; thence deflecting 13 deg. 47 min. 45 sec. to the right and running easterly 76.331 feet; thence southeasterly on a curve to the right of 225.20-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 34 deg.

42 min. 09 sec., a distance of 136.398 feet; thence southwesterly along a line deflected 106 deg. 33 min. 57 sec. to the right from the tangent to the preceding curve, a distance of 9.686 feet; thence deflecting 107 deg. 40 min. 07 sec. to the left and running southeasterly 62.554 feet; thence southerly on a curve to the right of 225.20-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 16 deg. 29 min. 49 sec. to the right from the preceding course, central angle 9 deg. 16 min. 02 sec., a distance of 36.425 feet to the northerly line of Romain street at a point distant thereon 44.739 feet easterly from the easterly line of Market street; thence westerly along the northerly line of Romain street 44.739 feet to the northeasterly line of Market street; thence northwesterly along the northeasterly line of Market street 296.917 feet to the point of beginning.

*Parcel "N."*

Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Romain street with the easterly line of Market street, formerly Falcon avenue, and running thence easterly along the southerly line of Romain street 46.969 feet; thence southerly on a curve to the right of 225.20-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 92 deg. 02 min. 07 sec. to the right from the preceding course, central angle 58 deg. 05 min. 47 sec., a distance of 228.347 feet; thence southerly tangent to the preceding curve 77.406 feet; thence southerly on a curve to the left of 174.80-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 20 deg. 49 min. 31 sec., a distance of 63.535 feet to the easterly line of Market street; thence northerly along the easterly line of Market street 324.926 feet to the point of beginning.

*Parcel "O."*

Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Morgan alley with the westerly line of Market street, formerly Falcon avenue, and running thence westerly along the northerly line of Morgan alley 9.218 feet; thence deflecting 88 deg. 09 min. 40 sec. to the right and running northerly 240.107 feet; thence northerly on a curve to the right of 244.80-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 23 deg. 28 min. 55 sec., a distance of 100.328 feet to the westerly line of Market street; thence southerly along the westerly line of Market street 338.436 feet to the point of beginning.

*Parcel "P."*

Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Market street, formerly Falcon avenue, distant thereon 22.674 feet northerly from the northerly line of Morgan alley, and running thence northerly along the easterly line of

Market street 193.369 feet; thence deflecting 178 deg. 38 min. 31 sec. to the right and running southerly 193.276 feet to the point of beginning.

*Parcel "Q."*

Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Morgan alley with the westerly line of Market street, formerly Falcon avenue, and running thence southerly along the westerly line of Market street 385 feet to the northerly line of Dixie alley; thence deflecting 93 deg. 44 min. 08 sec. to the right and running northwesterly along the northeasterly line of Dixie alley 6.436 feet; thence north-easterly on a curve to the right of 244.80-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 71 deg. 41 min. 16 sec. to the right from the preceding course, central angle 26 deg. 19 min. 24 sec., a distance of 112.468 feet; thence northerly, tangent to the preceding curve, a distance of 94.950 feet; thence northerly on a curve to the left of 155.20-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 26 deg. 28 min. 18 sec., a distance of 71.705 feet; thence northerly, tangent to the preceding curve, 102.349 feet to a point on the southerly line of Morgan alley, distant thereon 10.044 feet westerly from the westerly line of Market street; thence easterly along the southerly line of Morgan alley 10.044 feet to the point of beginning.

*Parcel "R."*

Beginning at the point of intersection of the southwesterly line of Dixie alley with the westerly line of Market street and running thence southerly along the westerly line of Market street 358.747 feet to a point distant thereon 27.670 feet northerly from the northerly line of Argent alley; thence northerly on a curve to the right of 244.80-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 159 deg. 00 min. 43 sec. to the right from the preceding course, central angle 16 deg. 00 min. 21 sec., a distance of 68.386 feet; thence northerly, tangent to the preceding curve, 68.912 feet; thence northerly on a curve to the left of 155.20-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 29 deg. 18 min. 00 sec., a distance of 79.366 feet; thence northerly, tangent to the preceding curve, 105.145 feet; thence northerly on a curve to the right of 244.80-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 8 deg. 20 min. 38 sec., a distance of 35.650 feet to a point on the southerly line of Dixie alley, distant thereon 8.617 feet northwesterly from the westerly line of Market street; thence southeasterly along the southwesterly line of Dixie alley 8.617 feet to the point of beginning.

*Parcel "T."*

Beginning at the point of intersec-

tion of the southerly line of Argent alley with the westerly line of Market street, formerly Falcon avenue, and running thence southerly along the westerly line of Market street 105.793 feet to the northerly line of Twenty-third street; thence at right angles westerly along the northerly line of Twenty-third street 12.723 feet; thence northerly on a curve to the right of 244.80-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 80 deg. 30 min. 29 sec. to the right from the preceding course, central angle 25 deg. 14 min. 40 sec., a distance of 107.859 feet to a point on the southerly line of Argent alley, distant thereon 6.959 feet westerly from the westerly line of Market street; thence easterly along the southerly line of Argent alley 6.959 feet to the point of beginning.

*Parcel "U."*

Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Twenty-third street with the easterly line of Market street, formerly Falcon avenue, and running thence northerly along the easterly line of Market street 123.499 feet; thence southerly on a curve to the left of 174.80-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 165 deg. 18 min. 10 sec. to the right from the preceding course, central angle 38 deg. 22 min. 13 sec., a distance of 117.061 feet to a point on the northerly line of Twenty-third street, distant thereon 2.900 feet easterly from the easterly line of Market street; thence westerly along the northerly line of Twenty-third street 2.900 feet to the point of beginning.

*Parcel "V."*

Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Twenty-third street with the westerly line of Market street, formerly Grand View avenue, and running thence southeasterly, southerly and southwesterly along the westerly line of Market street 319.467 feet to the northeasterly line of Golding alley; thence deflecting 72 deg. 44 min. 06 sec. to the right and running northwesterly along the northeasterly line of Golding alley 36.850 feet; thence northerly on a curve to the left of 180.20-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 114 deg. 01 min. 01 sec. to the right from the preceding course, central angle 82 deg. 11 min. 15 sec., a distance of 258.487 feet; thence northerly, tangent to the preceding curve, 11.364 feet to a point on the southerly line of Twenty-third street, distant thereon 32.726 feet westerly from the westerly line of Market street; thence easterly along the southerly line of Twenty-third street 32.726 feet to the point of beginning.

*Parcel "W."*

Beginning at the point of intersection of the southwesterly line of Gold-

ing alley with the northwesterly line of Market street, formerly Grand View avenue, and running thence southwesterly along the northwesterly line of Market street 355.337 feet to the easterly line of Corbett avenue; thence deflecting 161 deg. 57 min. 41 sec. to the right and running northerly along the easterly line of Corbett avenue 18.103 feet; thence deflecting 17 deg. 30 min. 06 sec. to the right and running northerly 131.842 feet; thence north-easterly on a curve to the right of 244.80-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 32 deg. 44 min. 12 sec., a distance of 139.870 feet; thence northeasterly, tangent to the preceding curve, a distance of 28.467 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the left of 180.20-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 6 deg. 53 min. 52 sec., a distance of 21.694 feet to the southwesterly line of Golding alley at a point distant thereon 33.658 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Market street; thence southeasterly along the southwesterly line of Golding alley 33.658 feet to the point of beginning.

*Parcel "X."*

Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Market street, formerly Grand View avenue, at a point distant thereon 3.080 feet southwesterly from the westerly line of Burnham street, and running thence southwesterly along the southeasterly line of Market street 352.026 feet to the northerly line of Twenty-fourth street; thence deflecting 127 deg. 35 min. 37 sec. to the left and running easterly along the northerly line of Twenty-fourth street 18.625 feet; thence deflecting 52 deg. 56 min. 36 sec. to the left and running northeasterly 170.812 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the right of 174.80-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 32 deg. 44 min. 12 sec., a distance of 99.874 feet; thence northeasterly tangent to the preceding curve, 28.467 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the left of 250.20-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 7 deg. 54 min. 05 sec., a distance of 34.504 feet to the point of beginning.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Clerk to Advertise for Proposals, Health Ordinances.

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 19784 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise for proposals for furnishing 1000 sets Social Hygiene Literature, 1000 Milk and Dairy Ordi-

nances, 1000 Health Ordinances, for Department of Public Health, as per specifications prepared by the Supplies Committee.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

Leave of Absence, Supervisor Joseph B. McSheehy.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

To the Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, Cal.

My Dear Sirs:

Application has been made to me by Supervisor James B. McSheehy for leave of absence with permission to leave the State of California for a period of sixty days, commencing March 14, 1922.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Very respectfully yours,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,

Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Resolution No. 19786 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Supervisor James B. McSheehy is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing March 14, 1922, with permission to March 13, 1922.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

**Taylor Street Extension, Municipal Railway.**

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 19788 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Engineer be requested to advise this Board as to the advisability of diverting a portion of the Geary street cars along Taylor street to Market street over the tracks of the Market Street Railway, and to furnish an estimate of the cost of same.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers,

Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

**Relative to the Production of "The Clansman."**

Supervisor McGregor presented:

Resolution No. 19789 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That this Board deplores the production of the picture "The Clansman", and that the Joint Committee, Police and Judiciary, be requested to appear before the Police Commission and convey to them the feelings of this Board with regard to the production of this picture, and the desire of the Board that it be forthwith suppressed, and relief afforded to those people who are very much incensed at its production.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Report of City Attorney on Action to Compel Samuel G. Murphy to Pay Honora Sharp Bequest.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, March 13, 1922.

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Cal.

Gentlemen:

At the request of the Park Commission and his Honor the Mayor I commenced an action in the courts of the State of New York on the 19th day of January, 1922, against Samuel G. Murphy to compel Murphy to turn over to the City and County of San Francisco \$40,000, which came into his possession as a trustee of an express trust created by Reuben H. Lloyd and A. B. Spreckels, together with interest thereon from April 21, 1915.

Under the terms of this trust the \$40,000 was to be used by the Park Commissioners for the improvement of San Francisco parks. This action is still pending in the courts of New York and this week I have received a telegram from the attorney for Mr. Murphy offering to settle and compromise this case for \$40,000 and requesting that I come to New York in order to settle up the matter as Mr. Murphy refused to deal with our legal representatives in New York. Murphy is very ill and is of advanced age and if he should die while this litigation is pending a suit would have to be prosecuted against the administrator or executor of his estate, which might result in legal complications. There is a legal question attached to the matter of interest on this amount. I have answered the attorney for Mr.

Murphy that I would be willing to discuss a compromise with him on the interest question, but he replied that Murphy will not compromise for anything excepting the \$40,000. I have taken the matter up with the Park Commission and that Commission has by resolution declared its willingness, so far as it is concerned, to accept not less than \$40,000, leaving the question of the compromise of the interest in my hands and requesting that I proceed to New York and close up the matter.

It should, of course, be unnecessary that I go to New York, but in view of Murphy's condition and his request, from which he does not recede, I should, without delay, go there and settle the matter if agreeable to your Honorable Board, because if Murphy should die serious legal complications as I have already stated would arise.

I therefore recommend to your Honorable Board that I be authorized to settle and compromise this litigation for not less than \$40,000 and that I be authorized, in the name of the City and County of San Francisco, to release Murphy upon the payment of not less than \$40,000 of any and all claims that the City has against him. If this is agreeable to your Honorable Board, I suggest the passage of the accompanying resolution.

Respectfully,

GEORGE LULL,  
City Attorney.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented by Supervisor McLeran and *adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

**City Attorney to Settle and Compromise Litigation, City and County of San Francisco vs. Samuel G. Murphy.**

Resolution No. 19785 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That City Attorney George Lull be and he is hereby authorized and directed to settle and compromise that certain litigation pending in the Supreme Court, County of New York, State of New York, entitled "City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, Plaintiff, against Samuel G. Murphy, sometimes known as Samuel G. Murphey, defendant" for not less than the sum of \$40,000. Be it further

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized in the name of the City and County of San Francisco, upon the payment of not less than \$40,000 to the City and County of San Francisco to release and discharge said Samuel G. Murphy from all claims in law or equity which said City and County has or may have by reason of any of the facts, things and transactions set out and alleged in said action pending in said County of New York, State of New York, and release and discharge said Samuel G.

Murphy from any and all claims arising by virtue of those certain instruments in writing set out and alleged in said action whereby said Samuel G. Murphy undertook and agreed to pay to the City and County of San Francisco the sum of \$40,000, received and held in trust for the City and County of San Francisco by said Samuel G. Murphy.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Whereupon, the following was presented and read by the Clerk:

**Leave of Absence, City Attorney Lull.**

San Francisco, March 13, 1922.

To his Honor the Mayor and the Honorable Board of Supervisors.

Gentlemen:

I hereby make application for permission to leave the State of California for a period of thirty days, commencing from this date. The purpose of this request is to enable me to proceed to New York to settle the litigation pending in the courts of New York against Samuel G. Murphy.

Respectfully,

GEORGE LULL,  
City Attorney.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Resolution No. 19787 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, George Lull, City Attorney, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing March 13, 1922, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

**Agreement for Construction of Hetch Hetchy Conduit.**

The following matters were presented, and, on motion, made a *Special Order of Business for 4 p. m. next Monday*:

**Report of Public Utilities Committee.**

San Francisco, March 13, 1922.

To the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Your Committee on Public Utilities, to which was referred the ordinance prohibiting the operation of steam locomotives on Potrero avenue, has had the same under consideration and recommends its passage.

Your Committee also herewith presents an ordinance authorizing the

execution of an agreement between the City and the Spring Valley Water Company by the terms of which the City agrees to construct the peninsular division of the Hetch Hetchy conduit, from Irvington to the Crystal Springs reservoir, the same to be used by the Spring Valley Water Company to convey water from its Calaveras reservoir and thus insure an adequate water supply for the City. Copies of this agreement have been supplied to all the members of this Board and your Committee recommends that the ordinance be made a special order for next Monday.

Respectfully submitted,  
 WARREN SHANNON,  
 JOSEPH MULVIHILL,  
 MARGARET MARY MORGAN,  
 Public Utilities Committee.

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. —  
 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco to enter into an agreement with the Spring Valley Water Company for the operation of the section of the Hetch Hetchy water supply conduit between Crystal Springs and Irvington, until such time as the City shall require the said conduit for the delivery of water from Hetch Hetchy sources; and prescribing the form, terms and conditions of said contract.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to enter into a written agreement with the Spring Valley Water Company covering the operation and maintenance of that portion of the Hetch Hetchy conduit to be built by the City and County of San Francisco between Crystal Springs lake in San Mateo County and Irvington, Alameda County.

The form, terms and conditions of said contract shall be as follows, provided that the Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and directed to fill in the blank spaces provided in said form with proper words and figures prior to the execution thereof:

This agreement made and entered into this — day of —, 1922, by and between the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, hereinafter referred to as the Board, party of the first part, and Spring Valley Water Company, a corporation organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California, hereinafter referred to as the Water Company, party of the second part, witnesseth: that

Whereas, the Water Company is now

engaged in the work of increasing the height of its present dam known as the Calaveras Dam in the County of Alameda, State of California, and in making other additions to its structures and facilities, for the purpose of increasing the supply of water available for transmission from its Alameda system to the City and County of San Francisco and distribution to its consumers; and

Whereas, the Board is now engaged in the construction of a system of water supply works, known as the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply Project, for the purpose of bringing water from the Sierra Nevada Mountains to the City and County of San Francisco and, as a part of such system of water supply works, proposes forthwith to commence the construction of an aqueduct and pumping plant for the transmission of water from a point in the vicinity of Irvington, County of Alameda, State of California, to a point in the vicinity of Crystal Springs Reservoir, in the County of San Mateo, State of California; and

Whereas, the Board has heretofore been authorized and directed, by ordinance No. — (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, approved —, 1922, to make an agreement with the Water Company wherein and whereby the Water Company shall, during the life of this agreement, operate and maintain the said aqueduct and pumping plant and deliver into the said aqueduct such quantities of water as the Water Company may from time to time deem necessary for transmission from its Alameda system to its Crystal Springs Reservoir, in the County of San Mateo, all upon the terms hereinafter expressed, a copy of said resolution being hereto annexed;

Now, therefore, for and in consideration of the premises and of the promises and agreements hereinafter specified mutually to be kept and performed, it is agreed as follows:

First: (a) The Water Company shall prosecute the work of increasing the height of its present Calaveras Dam in the County of Alameda, State of California, to an elevation which will result in an increase of not less than twenty-four million gallons in the average daily yield of the Water Company's Alameda system above the present yield of such system. The work of so increasing the height of the said dam shall be completed not later than the day upon which the said aqueduct and pumping plant to be constructed by the Board, as hereinafter provided, shall have been completed and made available for the transmission of water; provided, however, that such increase in the height

of the said dam may, at the option of the Water Company, be made in two or more units, and in such event only the first of such units need be completed within the period hereinabove specified, and the remaining unit or units shall be completed within such further period or periods of time as may be jointly determined by the City Engineer of the City and County of San Francisco and the chief engineer of the Water Company, and in the event of their failure to agree thereupon, as may be determined by the Railroad Commission of the State of California.

(b) The Water Company shall likewise make such other additions to its structures and facilities as may be requisite in order to enable it to deliver such additional twenty-four million gallons of water daily into the said aqueduct, to be constructed by the Board, as aforesaid, at Irvington, Alameda County, and shall complete the same in season to permit the delivery of such water at Irvington on or before the time when the said aqueduct and pumping plant shall have been completed and become available for such use. Such additions to be made by the Water Company shall include the construction of a pipeline from a point at or in the vicinity of the Niles Screen Tank of the Water Company to a point of connection with the said aqueduct at or near its intersection with the railroad of the Southern Pacific Company in the vicinity of Irvington.

Second: The Water Company shall grant to the City and County of San Francisco a right of way, not exceeding sixty (60) feet in width, over, through and across lands now owned by the Water Company as the Board may require, for the construction, maintenance and operation of the said aqueduct. Such right of way shall extend along such route or routes as the City Engineer of the City and County of San Francisco may designate; provided, however, that the location of such right of way shall be so determined as to interfere to the least possible extent with the future use by the Water Company of its lands and other properties, and provided, further, that wherever the said aqueduct shall pass through lands of the Water Company by means of a tunnel or tunnels, the Water Company shall be obligated hereunder to grant an easement for tunnel purposes only.

Third: The Water Company shall give to the Board a license to deposit waste material resulting from the construction of tunnels upon such portions of the lands now owned by the Water Company as the City Engineer of the City and County of San Francisco shall deem necessary or convenient for such

purpose and likewise a license to place construction camps and to install water supply lines, telephone lines and other adjuncts and appurtenances required for the construction of said aqueduct upon such portions of the lands now owned by the Water Company as the City Engineer may deem necessary or convenient to that end; provided, however, that such licenses and all rights conferred thereby shall ipso facto cease and determine upon the completion of the said aqueduct.

Fourth: (a) The Board shall commence forthwith and prosecute diligently to completion the work of constructing that portion of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct extending from a point of intersection with the railroad of the Southern Pacific Company in the vicinity of Irvington, County of Alameda, to a point on the route of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct in the vicinity of Crystal Springs reservoir, County of San Mateo, such latter point to be so determined as to permit the delivery of water directly into the said reservoir. Such aqueduct shall follow such route and shall be constructed of such size and capacity and of such materials as the City Engineer of the City and County of San Francisco shall deem proper; provided, however, that the minimum capacity of the said aqueduct, when receiving water at a hydraulic grade of approximately three hundred ninety-three (393) feet and delivering water by gravity into the Crystal Springs reservoir at a hydraulic grade of approximately two hundred ninety (290) feet (H. H. W. S. datum), shall be not less than forty-five million gallons daily; provided, further, that the Board at its option may construct the submarine section of said aqueduct in two or more successive installations, of which the first installation shall have a minimum capacity of twenty-four million gallons daily under the hydraulic grade conditions above specified.

(b) The Board shall likewise install a pumping plant at such point upon the line of said aqueduct as the City Engineer of the City and County of San Francisco shall determine, which shall have a capacity sufficient to insure the safe and efficient transmission by means of said aqueduct of not less than twenty-four million gallons of water daily from Irvington to Crystal Springs reservoir. The plans and specifications for the construction of the said pumping plant shall be determined by the City Engineer of the said City and County of San Francisco. Immediately upon the completion of the said pumping plant the Board shall cause the same to be connected with an electric power service line and shall place the same in all respects in condition for operation.

(c) The program of construction and time of completion of the said aqueduct and the said pumping plant shall be subject to joint determination by the City Engineer of the City and County of San Francisco and the Chief Engineer of the Water Company, and, in case of their failure to agree upon such program of construction or time of completion in any respect, the difference shall be submitted to the Railroad Commission of the State of California for determination.

Fifth: Upon the completion of the said aqueduct and pumping plant, the Water Company shall operate and maintain the same, and shall deliver into such aqueduct such quantities of water as it may from time to time deem necessary for transmission from its Alameda system to its Crystal Springs reservoir in the County of San Mateo, such operation and maintenance to continue until the expiration or other termination of this agreement, as hereinafter provided. The said aqueduct and pumping plant shall be operated by the Water Company at its own sole cost and expense and by means of its own officers, agents and employees, but such operation shall be under the general direction and control of the City Engineer of the City and County of San Francisco, who shall act as agent for the Board for the purposes hereof.

The Water Company shall likewise furnish, at its own sole cost and expense, the necessary labor and material for the ordinary maintenance of the said aqueduct and pumping plant during the life of this agreement, such maintenance to be under the general direction and control of the City Engineer of the City and County of San Francisco, who shall act as agent for the Board, as aforesaid, but all replacements and extraordinary repairs, together with expense, loss or damage resulting from faulty or inadequate construction or employment of defective material, and all other expense, loss or damage, except such as may be incurred or sustained in the course of ordinary operation and maintenance, shall be borne by the Board. In the event that any difference shall arise between the Board and the Water Company as to their respective liability under the terms hereof to bear any particular expense incurred for the maintenance, repair or replacement of said aqueduct and or pumping plant, or any part or portion thereof, such difference, if the parties shall be unable to adjust the same between themselves, shall be submitted to the Railroad Commission of the State of California for determination and such determination shall be binding upon the parties.

Sixth: (a) In consideration of the

rights secured to the Water Company by virtue of this agreement, the Water Company shall pay to the City and County of San Francisco, during each year of the life of this agreement following the completion of the said aqueduct and pumping plant and the receipt of written notice by the Water Company from the Board that the same are available to the Water Company for the transmission of water, a sum determined at the rate of five (5) per cent upon the total cost of constructing the said aqueduct and pumping plant; provided, however, that the amount so to be paid during each year shall not exceed the sum of two hundred fifty thousand (250,000) dollars. Such payments shall be made in equal semi-annual installments on or before the 30th day of June and the 31st day of December of each year following the completion of the said aqueduct and pumping plant and the receipt by the Water Company from the Board of the written notice hereinabove specified; provided, however, that the initial payment to be made hereunder shall be such proportion of the total amount payable per annum, determined as hereinbefore provided, as the number of days which shall then have elapsed since the receipt by the Water Company from the Board of the written notice aforesaid bears to three hundred and sixty-five days. In order to establish the total cost of constructing the said aqueduct and pumping plant, for the purposes hereof, the Board shall furnish or cause to be furnished to the Water Company, as soon as possible after such construction shall have been completed and not later than sixty (60) days thereafter, a statement, certified by the Board to be correct, setting forth the total cost of such construction. It is expressly understood and agreed that such cost shall include only expenditures for labor, superintendence, material, supplies, rights of way, and other expenditures properly chargeable to the construction of such facilities and shall exclude any charge by way of interest during construction. In the event that any difference shall arise between the Board and the Water Company as to the correctness of such statement of cost in any respect, or as to the propriety of any item of expense included therein, such difference, if the parties shall be unable to adjust the same between themselves, shall be submitted to the Railroad Commission of the State of California for determination, and such determination shall be binding upon the parties.

(b) The Water Company shall likewise pay to the City and County of San Francisco, during each year of the period of construction of the said aqueduct and pumping plant, but for a total period not exceeding three (3)

years, a sum determined at the rate of five (5) per cent upon the cost of such construction theretofore incurred, as follows, to-wit:

On or before the 30th day of June and the 31st day of December of each year during such period of construction, the Water Company shall pay to the City and County of San Francisco a sum determined at the rate of five (5) per cent per annum upon the amounts theretofore expended by the City and County of San Francisco in such construction and computed (1) from the dates of the several payments made by the City and County of San Francisco therefor within the period of six (6) months then terminating and (2) from the commencement of such period of six (6) months as to payments made prior thereto, it being the intent hereof that such sums to be paid by the Water Company shall commence to accrue upon each of the amounts so expended by the City and County of San Francisco as of and from the several dates of payment thereof, respectively; provided, however, that the sums so to be paid by the Water Company shall not exceed two hundred fifty thousand (\$250,000) dollars in any year, and provided, further, that if the period of construction shall exceed three (3) years the Water Company shall not be obligated to make any payment under the terms of this subdivision (b) of this paragraph after the expiration of such three-year period. For the purpose of establishing the amounts upon which such sums so to be paid by the Water Company shall be computed, the Board shall deliver or cause to be delivered to the Water Company at least fifteen days prior to the 30th day of June and the 31st day of December, respectively, of each year, a statement, certified by the Board to be correct, setting forth the amounts and dates of all expenditures made by the Board for such construction during the period of six (6) months immediately preceding. In the event that any difference shall arise between the Water Company and the Board as to the correctness of any statement so furnished, or as to the propriety of any item of expense included therein, such difference, if the parties shall be unable to adjust the same, shall be submitted to the Railroad Commission of the State of California for determination and such determination shall be binding upon the parties. The amount of the final payment to be made by the Water Company under the terms of this subdivision (b) of this paragraph shall be computed in the manner above specified, but prorated for the period or periods terminating within the day upon which the Water Company shall have received from the Board the

written notice hereinbefore specified in subdivision (a) of this paragraph, and such final payment shall be made by the Water Company on or before the 30th day of June or the 31st day of December, whichever shall next ensue after the receipt of the notice aforesaid.

Seventh: The Water Company agrees that water which shall be transmitted by it through the said aqueduct to the Crystal Springs reservoir shall, so far as may be necessary, and to the extent of the Water Company's existing conduit facilities, be pumped to the elevation of San Andreas reservoir of the Water Company, in San Mateo County, and thereafter be delivered to the consumers of the Water Company in the City and County of San Francisco.

Eighth: The term of this agreement shall expire at twelve p. m. upon the 31st day of December, 1933, provided, however, (1) that the Board on its part shall have the right to terminate this agreement at any time prior to the said 31st day of December, 1933, by giving three years' written notice to the Water Company of such termination, but provided, further, that such notice shall not be given except for the purpose of enabling the City and County of San Francisco to use the said aqueduct and pumping plant for the transmission of water from its Hetch Hetchy project to San Francisco and that such termination shall not become effective prior to the time when the City and County of San Francisco shall actually require the use of such aqueduct and pumping plant for such purpose, it being the intent hereof that the Water Company shall be afforded adequate opportunity to provide or acquire other facilities for the transmission or other disposition of its water if and when said agreement shall be terminated by the Board; and provided; (2) that the Water Company on its part shall have the right, with the prior approval of the Railroad Commission of the State of California, to terminate this agreement at any time prior to the said 31st day of December, 1933, by giving three years' written notice to the Board of such termination.

Ninth: This agreement shall be binding upon and enure to the benefit of the successors and assigns of the Water Company in the ownership and/or operation of its public utility property.

In witness whereof, the Board has caused its members to sign these presents and the Water Company has caused these presents to be executed by its officers first thereunto duly authorized by resolution of its board of directors, a copy of which is hereunto annexed, the day and year first above written.

THE BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS,  
of the City and County of San  
Francisco.

By .....  
.....

Its Members.

SPRING VALLEY WATER COM-  
PANY.

By .....  
Vice-President.

Attest:

.....  
Secretary.

New Lafayette School.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series),  
as follows:

Whereas, the Sutro Heights Im-  
provement Association, an organization  
of the Richmond District devoted to  
the civic betterment of San Francisco  
and incidentally interested in the needs  
of their home district, have petitioned  
the Board of Education for the pur-  
chase of land and new building to take  
the place of the Lafayette School, now  
located on Thirty-seventh avenue be-  
tween Geary and Anza streets; now,  
therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Super-  
visors respectfully requests that the  
Board of Education investigate the  
conditions existing at the Lafayette  
School and report to the Board the  
amount of money necessary to buy  
lands and erect a new building to pro-  
vide for the growing demands of the  
Sutro Heights District; and be it  
further

Resolved, That the building of a new  
Lafayette School be made a part of the  
budget of this coming fiscal year 1922-  
1923:

*Referred to Education, Parks and  
Playgrounds Committee.*

Oak Street Extension of Municipal  
Railway.

Supervisor Hynes presented:

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. —  
(New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and directing the Board  
of Public Works to prepare plans and  
specifications and to enter into a con-  
tract for furnishing materials and con-  
structing an extension of the Municipal  
Railway from Masonic avenue along  
Oak street to Market street.

Be it ordained by the People of the  
City and County of San Francisco as  
follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public  
Works is hereby authorized and direc-  
ted to prepare plans and specifications,  
advertise for bids and enter into a con-  
tract for furnishing the necessary ma-  
terials for and the construction of an  
extension of the Municipal Railway  
from Masonic avenue along Oak street  
to Market street. Provision may be  
made in said specifications and con-

tract for progressive payments as pro-  
vided in Section 21, Chapter I, Article  
VI, of the Charter.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take  
effect immediately.

*Referred to Public Utilities Com-  
mittee.*

Also, Bill No. —, Ordinance No. —  
(New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and directing the Board  
of Public Works to prepare plans and  
specifications, advertise and enter into  
contract for furnishing materials and  
constructing an extension of the Mu-  
nicipal Railway from the Great High-  
way to Carl and Cole streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the  
City and County of San Francisco as  
follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public  
Works is hereby authorized and direc-  
ted to prepare plans and specifications,  
advertise for bids and enter into a  
contract for the furnishing of the  
necessary materials for and the con-  
struction of an extension of the Mu-  
nicipal Railway from the Great High-  
way along Judah street, Tenth avenue,  
Irving street, Carl street to Cole  
street. Provision may be made in said  
specifications and contract for pro-  
gressive payments as provided by  
Section 21, Chapter I, Article VI, of the  
Charter.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take  
effect immediately.

*Referred to Public Utilities Com-  
mittee.*

New Everett School.

Supervisor Schmitz presented:

Resolution No. — New Series),  
as follows:

Whereas, the Everett Grammar  
School is in very bad condition, the  
roof leaking and the whole structure  
badly in need of replacement; there-  
fore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Edu-  
cation be and is hereby requested to  
make provision in its budget for the  
fiscal year 1922-1923 for a new school  
building on or adjacent to the present  
site of the Everett School.

*Referred to Education, Parks and  
Playgrounds Committee.*

Additional Yard Space for Douglas School.

Supervisor Schmitz presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series),  
as follows:

Whereas, the yard space of the Dou-  
glas School is very inadequate for the  
needs of the school children attending  
said school; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Educa-  
tion be and is hereby requested to ar-  
range for the purchase of land to be  
added to the present yard space of the  
Douglas School.

*Referred to Education, Parks and  
Playgrounds Committee.*

**Dedication of Fire Chief's Home.**

The Chair announced that on Thursday afternoon, at 4 o'clock, there would be a dedication of the new home of Fire Chief Murphy on Bush street, near Mason. All were invited to be present.

**Hearing on Camels' Petition for Volstead Act Amendment.**

On motion of Supervisor Mulhivill next Monday at 3 p. m. was set for hearing petition of Camels for endorsement of petition before Congress to amend the Volstead Act permitting light wines and beers.

**Condition of Masonic Avenue in Park Panhandle.**

*Supervisor Schmitz* called attention to the poor condition of the pavement of Masonic avenue through the Park Panhandle. He requested that the Streets Committee take up with the Board of Public Works the question of having repairs made immediately.

*So ordered.*

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business the Board at the hour of 6 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN.

Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors April 24, 1922.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,

City and County of San Francisco.



Monday, March 20, 1922.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



**THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY**

**77 Sutter Street, S. F.**

J

N

I

dis

T

reg

?

ing

S

Ha

Mo

Ro

Wi

?

(

I

me

sid

RO

(

to

ore

pa

tri

(

els

re

the

tie

Pr

Ss

to

m

of

er

ac

of

co

lic

si

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MARCH 20, 1922, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, March 20, 1922, 2 p. m.  
The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.  
Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of February 20, 1922, was considered read and approved.

## ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

### 40-Minute Parking Limit.

*Communication*—From the Downtown Assn., presenting draft of an ordinance extending the 40-minute parking limit for the Downtown District.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

### Convention League Budget Request.

*Communication*—From the San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, requesting that \$50,000 be provided in the next budget to help secure conventions and advertise San Francisco.

*Referred to the Finance Committee.*

### Proposed Zoning Ordinance Amendment.

*Communication*—From Theodore J. Savage, offering proposed amendment to the Zoning Ordinance.

*Referred to the City Planning Committee.*

### Islais Creek Sewer.

*Communication*—From the South of Market Street Merchants & Property Owners Assn., requesting prompt action on petition for the construction of the Islais Creek sewer. Present conditions are a serious menace to public health.

*Referred to the Streets Committee.*

### Sidewalk on Ocean Avenue.

Petition of the McCarthy Co., for a sidewalk of full width on the south

side of Ocean avenue where not now constructed.

*Referred to the Streets Committee.*

### Closing of Waller Street.

*Communication*—From the Chief of the Fire Department, declaring that there is no objection to the closing of Waller street between Buchanan and Laguna streets so far as the Fire Department is concerned.

*Communication*—From R. D. McElroy, urging that the petition for the closing of Waller street from Laguna to Buchanan street to permit the construction by the State of a Normal School, be favorably acted upon.

Petition from John McLeod, and other property owners for the closing of Waller street between Buchanan and Laguna street to permit the construction by the State of the State Normal School.

*Communication*—From Emmons Draying & Safe Moving Company, favoring the closing of Waller street between Buchanan and Laguna streets, for the aforesaid purpose.

### Street Lights, Ingleside.

Supervisor Schmitz presented:

*Petition*—Of Mrs. I. W. Muter for street lights in Ingleside District, vicinity of Vernon Arch, Ralston, Bixby, Monticello and Beberly streets.

*Referred to Lighting Committee.*

### Islais Creek Sewer.

*Petition*—Congress of Mothers' Clubs (103 sheets) for the construction of the Islais Creek sewer.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

*Supervisor Mulvihill* moved that the City Engineer furnish estimate of cost of Islais Creek sewer and its desirability at the present time.

*So ordered.*

### Spring Valley Pipe Line Agreement Endorsed.

*Communication*—From San Francisco Bureau of Municipal Research, endorsing proposed contract with Spring Valley Water Company covering construction of conduit between Irvington and Crystal Springs.

*Read and filed.*

Leave of Absence, John F. Davis, Fire Commissioner.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., March 20, 1922.  
Hon. Board of Supervisors of the City  
and County of San Francisco,  
City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application having been made to me by Hon. John F. Davis, President of the Board of Fire Commissioners, for an extension of thirty days on leave of absence granted him on January 30, 1922, with permission to leave the State of California, I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said extension of leave of absence.

Very truly yours,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,

Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 19806 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. John F. Davis, president of the Board of Fire Commissioners, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing March 30, 1922, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

#### REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.

The following committees, by their respective chairmen, presented reports on various matters referred, which reports were read and ordered *filed*:

Supplies Committee, by Supervisor Rossi, chairman.

Fire Committee, by Supervisor Deasy, chairman.

Streets Committee, by Supervisor Mulvihill, chairman.

Commercial Development and Streets Committee, by Supervisor Welch, chairman.

Education, Parks and Playground Committee, by Supervisor Morgan, chairman.

#### SPECIAL ORDER, 3 P. M.

##### Amendment of the Volstead Act.

Consideration of communication from the Order of Camels regarding petition to Congress for amendment or repeal of the Volstead Act.

*Supervisor Bath*, seconded by *Supervisor Scott*, moved to lay on the table.

*Supervisor Mulvihill* asked for the report of the Joint Committee on Public Welfare, Judiciary and Police.

*Supervisor Colman* declared that a verbal report had been made two weeks ago to the effect "that it was a matter not within the province of the Board to act upon."

Whereupon, the Roll was called on

the motion to lay on the table with the following result:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Rossi, Scott, Wetmore—9.

Noes—Supervisors Deasy, Hynes, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch—8.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

##### Explanation of Vote.

*Supervisor Mulvihill* explained his vote as follows: This matter was set for a Special Order of Business and people were promised a hearing.

*Supervisor Schmitz*: We gave notice of a hearing at 3 p. m. today and we should conform to our ruling.

*Supervisor Scott*: The procedure is out of order. It has no place here today.

The Chair declared the motion carried, which he subsequently reversed and declared the "motion *lost*" on referring to Rule 13 of the Board.

Whereupon, Supervisor Hynes moved that the Board resolve itself into Committee of the Whole for the purpose of hearing the petition of the Order of Camels.

*Motion carried.*

*Supervisor Welch* moved that Supervisor McLeran act as chairman of the Committee of the Whole.

*Motion carried.*

##### Proceedings of the Committee of the Whole.

##### Privilege of the Floor.

*Eugene E. Pfaffle* and *J. C. Kloos*, representing the San Francisco Caravan No. 6, Order of Camels; Mrs. Rosalie Rooney, President of the Women's National Association for Personal Liberty and Opposition to Prohibition; John O'Connell, representing the San Francisco Labor Council, and George Skaller, representing the Civic League of Improvement Clubs and Associations, were heard urging favorable action on the pending petition.

*Chester Rowell*, Chairman of the Wright Act Publicity Committee, was also heard alleging that any action of this Board in the matter would be futile and an idle act, and urged that the Board endorse the Wright Act providing for strict enforcement of the prohibition law.

*Supervisor Hynes* moved that the Committee of the Whole refer subject-matter back to subcommittee for report to this Board.

*Supervisor Colman* moved as an amendment that the Board of Supervisors take no action on the petition of the Camels.

*Supervisor Schmitz* moved as an amendment to the amendment that when the Committee rises to report back to the Board, that it report favorably on the question of memorializing

Congress to amend the Volstead Act, permitting the use of light wines and beers.

*Supervisor Hayden* moved, as a substitute for the whole, "that the Clerk prepare a proper ordinance to be placed on the ballot as a declaration of policy from the people of San Francisco to the Congress of the United States asking for a modification of the Volstead Act, permitting the use of light wines and beers."

Substitute for the whole *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Rossi, Scott, Wetmore—9.

Noes—Supervisors Deasy, Hynes, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch—8.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Whereupon, the Committee of the Whole arose and the Chair declared that the Clerk stood directed to prepare proper ordinance for submission to the people memorializing Congress to amend the Volstead Act in favor of light wines and beers.

#### Official Advertising.

Sealed proposals were received for the publishing of the official advertising for the year commencing April 1, 1922, as follows:

1. Chronicle Publishing Co., Anglo and London Bank, \$1,000.
2. The Journal Publishing Co., Wells Fargo Bank, \$1,000.

*Referred to Public Welfare and Publicity Committee.*

#### PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

##### Delinquent Tax List.

The following proposal for the printing, publishing and distributing of the Delinquent Tax List, Index of Delinquent Real Estate Taxpayers and printing the Sales List and other matters incidental thereto for the fiscal year 1921-1922, to-wit:

1. The Organized Labor Publishing Co., Liberty Bank, certified check, \$350.

*Referred to the Committee on Public Welfare and Publicity.*

#### SPECIAL ORDER, 4 P. M.

Report of Public Utilities Committee on ordinance authorizing the execution of an agreement between the City and Spring Valley Water Company for the construction of the Hetch Hetchy conduit from Irvington to Crystal Springs reservoir.

*City Engineer M. M. O'Shaughnessy* was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board as to the necessity and urgency of entering into the agreement at this time. He referred to the danger from water famine in case there is further delay in bringing more water for San Francisco.

#### Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 6009, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco to enter into an agreement with the Spring Valley Water Company for the operation of the section of the Hetch Hetchy water supply conduit between Crystal Springs and Irvington, until such time as the City shall require the said conduit for the delivery of water from Hetch Hetchy sources; and prescribing the form, terms and conditions of said contract, and providing for the disposition of moneys paid under its terms.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to enter into a written agreement with the Spring Valley Water Company covering the operation and maintenance of that portion of the Hetch Hetchy conduit to be built by the City and County of San Francisco between Crystal Springs Lake in San Mateo County and Irvington, Alameda County.

The form, terms and conditions of said contract shall be as follows, provided that the Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and directed to fill in the blank spaces provided in said form with proper words and figures prior to the execution thereof:

This agreement made and entered into this — day of —, 1922, by and between the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, hereinafter referred to as the Board, party of the first part, and Spring Valley Water Company, a corporation organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California, hereinafter referred to as the Water Company, party of the second part, witnesseth: that

Whereas, the Water Company is now engaged in the work of increasing the height of its present dam known as the Calaveras Dam in the County of Alameda, State of California, and in making other additions to its structures and facilities, for the purpose of increasing the supply of water available for transmission from its Alameda system to the City and County of San Francisco and distribution to its consumers; and

Whereas, the Board is now engaged in the construction of a system of water supply works, known as the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply Project, for the purpose of bringing water from the Sierra Nevada Mountains to the

City and County of San Francisco and, as a part of such system of water supply works, proposes forthwith to commence the construction of an aqueduct and pumping plant for the transmission of water from a point in the vicinity of Irvington, County of Alameda, State of California, to a point in the vicinity of Crystal Springs Reservoir, in the County of San Mateo, State of California; and

Whereas, the Board has heretofore been authorized and directed, by ordinance No. — (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, approved —, 1922, to make an agreement with the Water Company wherein and whereby the Water Company shall, during the life of this agreement, operate and maintain the said aqueduct and pumping plant and deliver into the said aqueduct such quantities of water as the Water Company may from time to time deem necessary for transmission from its Alameda system to its Crystal Springs Reservoir, in the County of San Mateo, all upon the terms hereinafter expressed, a copy of said ordinance being hereto annexed;

Now, therefore, for and in consideration of the premises and of the promises and agreements hereinafter specified mutually to be kept and performed, it is agreed as follows:

First: (a) The Water Company shall prosecute the work of increasing the height of its present Calaveras Dam in the County of Alameda, State of California, to an elevation which will result in an increase of not less than twenty-four million gallons in the average daily yield of the Water Company's Alameda system above the present yield of such system. The work of so increasing the height of the said dam shall be completed not later than the day upon which the said aqueduct and pumping plant to be constructed by the Board, as hereinafter provided, shall have been completed and made available for the transmission of water; provided, however, that such increase in the height of the said dam may, at the option of the Water Company, be made in two or more units, and in such event only the first of such units need be completed within the period hereinabove specified, and the remaining unit or units shall be completed within such further period or periods of time as may be jointly determined by the City Engineer of the City and County of San Francisco and the chief engineer of the Water Company, and in the event of their failure to agree thereupon, as may be determined by the Railroad Commission of the State of California.

(b) The Water Company shall like-

wise make such other additions to its structures and facilities as may be requisite in order to enable it to deliver such additional twenty-four million gallons of water daily into the said aqueduct, to be constructed by the Board, as aforesaid, at Irvington, Alameda County, and shall complete the same in season to permit the delivery of such water at Irvington on or before the time when the said aqueduct and pumping plant shall have been completed and become available for such use. Such additions to be made by the Water Company shall include the construction of a pipe-line from a point at or in the vicinity of the Niles Screen Tank of the Water Company to a point of connection with the said aqueduct at or near its intersection with the railroad of the Southern Pacific Company in the vicinity of Irvington.

Second: The Water Company shall grant to the City and County of San Francisco a right of way, not exceeding sixty (60) feet in width, over, through and across lands now owned by the Water Company as the Board may require, for the construction, maintenance and operation of the said aqueduct. Such right of way shall extend along such route or routes as the City Engineer of the City and County of San Francisco may designate; provided, however, that the location of such right of way shall be so determined as to interfere to the least possible extent with the future use by the Water Company of its lands and other properties, and provided, further, that wherever the said aqueduct shall pass through lands of the Water Company by means of a tunnel or tunnels, the Water Company shall be obligated hereunder to grant an easement for tunnel purposes only.

Third: The Water Company shall give to the Board a license to deposit waste material resulting from the construction of tunnels upon such portions of the lands now owned by the Water Company as the City Engineer of the City and County of San Francisco shall deem necessary or convenient for such purpose and likewise a license to place construction camps and to install water supply lines, telephone lines and other adjuncts and appurtenances required for the construction of said aqueduct upon such portions of the lands now owned by the Water Company as the City Engineer may deem necessary or convenient to that end; provided, however, that such licenses and all rights conferred thereby shall ipso facto cease and determine upon the completion of the said aqueduct.

Fourth: (a) The Board shall commence forthwith and prosecute diligently to completion the work of constructing that portion of the Hetch

Hetchy aqueduct extending from a point of intersection with the railroad of the Southern Pacific Company in the vicinity of Irvington, County of Alameda, to a point on the route of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct in the vicinity of Crystal Springs reservoir, County of San Mateo, such latter point to be so determined as to permit the delivery of water directly into the said reservoir. Such aqueduct shall follow such route and shall be constructed of such size and capacity and of such materials as the City Engineer of the City and County of San Francisco shall deem proper; provided, however, that the minimum capacity of the said aqueduct, when receiving water at a hydraulic grade of approximately three hundred ninety-three (393) feet and delivering water by gravity into the Crystal Springs reservoir at a hydraulic grade of approximately two hundred ninety (290) feet (H. H. W. S. datum), shall be not less than forty-five million gallons daily; provided, further, that the Board at its option may construct the submarine section of said aqueduct in two or more successive installations, of which the first installation shall have a minimum capacity of twenty-four million gallons daily under the hydraulic grade conditions above specified.

(b) The Board shall likewise install a pumping plant at such point upon the line of said aqueduct as the City Engineer of the City and County of San Francisco shall determine, which shall have a capacity sufficient to insure the safe and efficient transmission by means of said aqueduct of not less than twenty-four million gallons of water daily from Irvington to Crystal Springs reservoir. The plans and specifications for the construction of the said pumping plant shall be determined by the City Engineer of the said City and County of San Francisco. Immediately upon the completion of the said pumping plant the Board shall cause the same to be connected with an electric power service line and shall place the same in all respects in condition for operation.

(c) The program of construction and time of completion of the said aqueduct and the said pumping plant shall be subject to joint determination by the City Engineer of the City and County of San Francisco and the Chief Engineer of the Water Company, and, in case of their failure to agree upon such program of construction or time of completion in any respect, the difference shall be submitted to the Railroad Commission of the State of California for determination.

Fifth: Upon the completion of the said aqueduct and pumping plant, the Water Company shall operate and maintain the same, and shall deliver

into such aqueduct such quantities of water as it may from time to time deem necessary for transmission from its Alameda system to its Crystal Springs reservoir in the County of San Mateo, such operation and maintenance to continue until the expiration or other termination of this agreement, as hereinafter provided. The said aqueduct and pumping plant shall be operated by the Water Company at its own sole cost and expense and by means of its own officers, agents and employees, but such operation shall be under the general direction and control of the City Engineer of the City and County of San Francisco, who shall act as agent for the Board for the purposes hereof.

The Water Company shall likewise furnish, at its own sole cost and expense, the necessary labor and material for the ordinary maintenance of the said aqueduct and pumping plant during the life of this agreement, such maintenance to be under the general direction and control of the City Engineer of the City and County of San Francisco, who shall act as agent for the Board, as aforesaid, but all replacements and extraordinary repairs, together with expense, loss or damage resulting from faulty or inadequate construction or employment of defective material, and all other expense, loss or damage, except such as may be incurred or sustained in the course of ordinary operation and maintenance, shall be borne by the Board. In the event that any difference shall arise between the Board and the Water Company as to their respective liability under the terms hereof to bear any particular expense incurred for the maintenance, repair or replacement of said aqueduct and/or pumping plant, or any part or portion thereof, such difference, if the parties shall be unable to adjust the same between themselves, shall be submitted to the Railroad Commission of the State of California for determination and such determination shall be binding upon the parties.

Sixth: (a) In consideration of the rights secured to the Water Company by virtue of this agreement, the Water Company shall pay to the City and County of San Francisco, during each year of the life of this agreement following the completion of the said aqueduct and pumping plant and the receipt of written notice by the Water Company from the Board that the same are available to the Water Company for the transmission of water, a sum determined at the rate of five (5) per cent upon the total cost of constructing the said aqueduct and pumping plant; provided, however, that the amount so to be paid during each year shall not exceed the sum of two hundred fifty

thousand (250,000) dollars. Such payments shall be made in equal semi-annual installments on or before the 30th day of June and the 31st day of December of each year following the completion of the said aqueduct and pumping plant and the receipt by the Water Company from the Board of the written notice hereinabove specified; provided, however, that the initial payment to be made hereunder shall be such proportion of the total amount payable per annum, determined as hereinbefore provided, as the number of days which shall then have elapsed since the receipt by the Water Company from the Board of the written notice aforesaid bears to three hundred and sixty-five days. In order to establish the total cost of constructing the said aqueduct and pumping plant, for the purposes hereof, the Board shall furnish or cause to be furnished to the Water Company, as soon as possible after such construction shall have been completed and not later than sixty (60) days thereafter, a statement, certified by the Board to be correct, setting forth the total cost of such construction. It is expressly understood and agreed that such cost shall include only expenditures for labor, superintendence, material, supplies, rights of way, and other expenditures properly chargeable to the construction of such facilities and shall exclude any charge by way of interest during construction. In the event that any difference shall arise between the Board and the Water Company as to the correctness of such statement of cost in any respect, or as to the propriety of any item of expense included therein, such difference, if the parties shall be unable to adjust the same between themselves, shall be submitted to the Railroad Commission of the State of California for determination, and such determination shall be binding upon the parties.

(b) The Water Company shall likewise pay to the City and County of San Francisco, during each year of the period of construction of the said aqueduct and pumping plant, but for a total period not exceeding three (3) years, a sum determined at the rate of five (5) per cent upon the cost of such construction theretofore incurred, as follows, to-wit:

On or before the 30th day of June and the 31st day of December of each year during such period of construction, the Water Company shall pay to the City and County of San Francisco a sum determined at the rate of five (5) per cent per annum upon the amounts theretofore expended by the City and County of San Francisco in such construction and computed (1) from the dates of the several payments made by the City and County of San Francisco therefor within the period of

six (6) months then terminating and (2) from the commencement of such period of six (6) months as to payments made prior thereto, it being the intent hereof that such sums to be paid by the Water Company shall commence to accrue upon each of the amounts so expended by the City and County of San Francisco as of and from the several dates of payment thereof, respectively; provided, however, that the sums so to be paid by the Water Company shall not exceed two hundred fifty thousand (250,000) dollars in any year, and provided, further, that if the period of construction shall exceed three (3) years the Water Company shall not be obligated to make any payment under the terms of this subdivision (b) of this paragraph after the expiration of such three-year period. For the purpose of establishing the amounts upon which such sums so to be paid by the Water Company shall be computed, the Board shall deliver or cause to be delivered to the Water Company at least fifteen days prior to the 30th day of June and the 31st day of December, respectively, of each year, a statement, certified by the Board to be correct, setting forth the amounts and dates of all expenditures made by the Board for such construction during the period of six (6) months immediately preceding. In the event that any difference shall arise between the Water Company and the Board as to the correctness of any statement so furnished, or as to the propriety of any item of expense included therein, such difference, if the parties shall be unable to adjust the same, shall be submitted to the Railroad Commission of the State of California for determination and such determination shall be binding upon the parties. The amount of the final payment to be made by the Water Company under the terms of this subdivision (b) of this paragraph shall be computed in the manner above specified, but prorated for the period or periods terminating with the day upon which the Water Company shall have received from the Board the written notice hereinbefore specified in subdivision (a) of this paragraph, and such final payment shall be made by the Water Company on or before the 30th day of June or the 31st day of December, whichever shall next ensue after the receipt of the notice aforesaid.

Seventh: The Water Company agrees that water which shall be transmitted by it through the said aqueduct to the Crystal Springs reservoir shall, so far as may be necessary, and to the extent of the Water Company's existing conduit facilities, be pumped to the elevation of the San Andreas reservoir of the Water Company, in San Mateo County,

and thereafter be delivered to the consumers of the Water Company in the City and County of San Francisco.

Eighth: The term of this agreement shall expire at twelve p. m. upon the 31st day of December, 1933, provided, however, (1) that the Board on its part shall have the right to terminate this agreement at any time prior to the said 31st day of December, 1933, by giving three years' written notice to the Water Company of such termination, but provided, further, that such notice shall not be given except for the purpose of enabling the City and County of San Francisco to use the said aqueduct and pumping plant for the transmission of water from its Hetch Hetchy project to San Francisco and that such termination shall not become effective prior to the time when the City and County of San Francisco shall actually require the use of such aqueduct and pumping plant for such purpose, it being the intent hereof that the Water Company shall be afforded adequate opportunity to provide or acquire other facilities for the transmission or other disposition of its water if and when said agreement shall be terminated by the Board; and provided, (2) that the Water Company on its part shall have the right, with the prior approval of the Railroad Commission of the State of California, to terminate this agreement at any time prior to the said 31st day of December, 1933, by giving three years' written notice to the Board of such termination.

Ninth: This agreement shall be binding upon and enure to the benefit of the successors and assigns of the Water Company in the ownership and/or operation of its public utility properties.

In witness whereof, the Board has caused its members to sign these presents and the Water Company has caused these presents to be executed by its officers first thereunto duly authorized by resolution of its board of directors, a copy of which is hereunto annexed, the day and year first above written.

THE BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS,  
of the City and County of San  
Francisco.

By .....  
.....  
.....

Its Members.

SPRING VALLEY WATER COM-  
PANY.

By .....  
Vice-President.

Attest:

.....  
Secretary.

Section 2. Immediately after said contract shall have been executed the Board of Public Works is authorized

to commence and complete the construction of that portion of the Hetch Hetchy conduit described in said agreement, subject to the terms and conditions of Ordinance No. 5294 (New Series), and thereafter to cause the same to be operated in accordance with the terms of the said written agreement, and subject to the conditions and limitations therein contained.

Section 3. Nothing in this agreement contained shall be construed to abridge any right that the City and County of San Francisco may have to apply to the Railroad Commission for a rehearing of its Decision No. 9352, or to obtain a modification thereof in any particular; nor shall it be construed to abridge any right the said City and County may have to institute new proceedings at any time before said Railroad Commission for a reduction of rates or for a betterment of service or for any other order or suggestion within the jurisdiction of said commission as the interest of the City and County may appear and require.

It is further provided that this agreement in no way commits the City and County of San Francisco to the purchase of any part of the Spring Valley Water Company's properties as suggested by the Railroad Commission; also said agreement shall not apply to any interpretation that might be placed on it in reference to the Raker Act granting to the City and County of San Francisco the Hetch Hetchy water supply.

Section 4. All moneys paid by the Spring Valley Water Company under this agreement shall be deposited with the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco and credited to the Water Bond Interest and Redemption Fund, 1910.

Section 5. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Schmitz explained his vote by saying that he was voting for the bill on the statement of City Engineer that there is a possibility of a shortage of water in San Francisco in case next year happens to be a dry year.

Supervisor Welch offered the same explanation.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and finally passed by the following vote:

**Authorizations.**

Resolution No. 19790 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

*Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.*

(1) J. A. Mohr & Son, painting Geary street car barn, Contract 124 (claim dated March 8, 1922), \$1,275.

*Municipal Railway Fund.*

(2) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., steel brake shoes (claim dated March 8, 1922), \$1,226.26.

*Special School Tax, 1921-1922.*

(3) Electric Appliance Co., Benjamin reflectors, hangers, etc., for Mission Evening at Polytechnic High School (claim dated Feb. 24, 1922), \$574.81.

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(4) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, payment of Hetch Hetchy transportation (claim dated March 7, 1922), \$1,046.02.

(5) South San Francisco Packing & Provision Co., meats (claim dated March 7, 1922), \$1,993.15.

(6) R. W. Kinney Co., Inc., black pipe (claim dated March 7, 1922), \$2,243.96.

(7) Ingersoll-Rand Co., hollow steel (claim dated March 7, 1922), \$2,919.13.

(8) Utah Construction Co., 28th payment, Contract 61, Hetch Hetchy dam and appurtenances (claim dated March 8, 1922), \$129,713.22.

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(9) Frederick W. Snook Co., second payment, plumbing, Spring Valley School (claim dated March 8, 1922), \$547.73.

(10) Butte Electrical Equipment Co., first payment, electric work, North Beach (Galileo) High School (claim dated March 8, 1922), \$1,124.03.

(11) Mahony Bros., second payment, general construction, Mission High School (claim dated March 8, 1922), \$15,416.43.

*General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(12) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished public buildings (claim dated March 8, 1922), \$1,175.08.

(13) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement, Dept. Public Works (claim dated March 8, 1922), \$1,959.38.

(14) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated March 8, 1922), \$3,184.19.

(15) Palace Hardware Co., first payment, finish hardware, residence of Chief Engineer, Fire Dept. (claim dated March 8, 1922), \$600.

(16) Frederick H. Meyer, first payment, architect service, Fire Dept.

drill tower (claim dated March 8, 1922), \$1,200.

(17) Union Oil Co. of Cal., fuel oil, Dept. Public Works (claim dated March 3, 1922), \$2,143.48.

(18) Shell Oil Co., gasoline, Police Dept. (claim dated Feb. 27, 1922), \$628.95.

(19) California Baking Co., bread, County Jails (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$545.07.

(20) California Meat Co., meat for County Jails (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$580.61.

(21) Haas Brothers, supplies, Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$556.09.

(22) Standard Oil Co., fuel oil, Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 31, 1922), \$2,133.48.

(23) J. T. Freitas Co., supplies, Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$1,010.70.

(24) Greenebaum, Weil & Michels, clothing, Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$629.

(25) Hooper & Jennings, supplies, Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 24, 1922), \$1,474.16.

(26) N. & S. E. Kalischer, clothing, Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$551.60.

(27) Oliva Bros. supplies, Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$655.52.

(28) Producers' Hay Co., feed, Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$1,528.65.

(29) Sherry Bros., supplies, Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$960.

(30) Sperry Flour Co., supplies, Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 24, 1922), \$952.50.

(31) Greenebaum, Weil & Michels, clothing, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$1,018.50.

(32) Associated Oil Co., fuel oil, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$3,335.40.

(33) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated March 10, 1922), \$8,908.76.

(34) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated March 10, 1922), \$11,712.26.

(35) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated March 10, 1922), \$1,022.50.

(36) George Lull, transportation, hotel and legal expenses to and from New York on official business (claim dated March 6, 1922), \$1,400.

(37) American La France Co., Fire Dept. apparatus parts (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$778.85.

(38) Associated Oil Co., gasoline and fuel oil, Fire Dept. (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$2,182.59.

(39) H. Harms & Co., wood and coal, Fire Dept. (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$926.

(40) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electricity, Fire Dept. (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$1,289.15.

(41) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished Fire Dept. (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$1,602.37.

(42) The Recorder Printing & Publishing Co., trial and law and motion calendar (claim dated Mar. 13, 1922), \$665.

(43) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., public street lighting (claim dated March 13, 1922), \$41,165.74.

(44) San Francisco Chronicle, official advertising (claim dated March 13, 1922), \$1,020.93.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Appropriation, \$5,000, Arroyo Sanitorium.

Resolution No. 19791 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of five thousand dollars be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Tubercular Sanatorium Fund, and authorized in payment to the County of Alameda, State of California, for expense of preparation of plans and specifications, including architect's fee, for and account of construction of additional unit to the Arroyo Sanitorium, Alameda County, State of California, in accordance with agreement entered into between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda, the 11th day of March, 1922.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Appropriation, \$52,500, Pacific Heights School.

Resolution No. 19792 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$52,500 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1, Fiscal Year 1921-1922, and authorized in payment to Charles A. Adams; being payment for land and improvements required for Pacific Heights School; said land and improvements being situate, commencing at intersection of northerly line of Jackson street with westerly line of Webster street, 31 feet 8¼ inches on Webster street by a uniform depth of 90 feet. As per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 19670 (New Series).

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers,

Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Appropriation, \$2,500, Extraordinary Expense, District Attorney.

Resolution No. 19793 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$2,500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, 1921-1922, for extraordinary expense by the District Attorney in the prosecution of criminal cases.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Garage, Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 19794 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

*Public Garage.*

T. Lavell, on north side of O'Farrell street, 150 feet west of Hyde street; also to store 600 gallons of gasoline on premises.

*Oil Storage Tank.*

(1500 gallons capacity.)

G. W. Burnett, at 1445 Clay street.

G. W. Burnett, at 1441 Clay street.

T. P. Maloney, at 1345 Sacramento street.

D. McKillop, at 1436 California street.

*Boiler.*

D. McKillop, at 1436 California street; 8 horsepower, to be used in furnishing steam for heating purposes.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 19795 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted Jacka & Pelsinger to maintain an automobile supply station at the northeast corner of Valencia and McCoppin streets; also to store 600 gallons of gasoline on premises.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Amending Zoning Ordinance.**

Bill No. 6001, Ordinance No. 5596 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

That Section 9 of the use of property zone map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the west side of Potrero avenue commencing 135 feet north of Twenty-fourth street for a distance of 25 feet northerly therefrom to the depth of the rear lot line, in the commercial district instead of the second residential district.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Prohibiting Operation of Steam Locomotives on Certain Streets.**

Bill No. 6003, Ordinance No. 5597 (New Series), as follows:

Prohibiting the operation of steam locomotives on certain streets and places in the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 1. The operation or use of steam locomotives on the tracks formerly owned by the Ocean Shore Railway on Potrero avenue is hereby prohibited.

Section 2. Every person, firm or corporation violating the provisions of this ordinance is guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction shall be punished by a fine or not more than five hundred dollars or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of not exceeding six months.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Establishing Grades, Acton Street.**

Bill No. 6005, Ordinance No. 5598 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Acton street

between Mission street and a line connecting a point on the westerly line of, 167.44 feet southerly therefrom, and a point on the easterly line of, 192.99 feet southerly therefrom.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Acton street are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed March 6, 1922.

**Acton Street.**

Mission street, 310 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, 167.44 feet southerly from Mission street, 319.80 feet.

Easterly line of, 192.99 feet southerly from Mission street, 321.30 feet.

On Acton street between Mission street and a line connecting a point on the westerly line of, 167.44 feet southerly therefrom and a point on the easterly line of, 192.99 feet southerly therefrom be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Action Deferred.**

The following bill, laid over from last meeting, was taken up and again *laid over one week*:

**Building Law Amendment, Fireproofing Boiler Rooms.**

Bill No. 5876, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled "Amending Section 253 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as the Building Law, approved December 22, 1909, relating to fireproofing boiler, heating and furnace rooms."

**REPORT OF FINANCE COMMITTEE.**

Demands on the Treasury amounting to \$356,058.38, including the following Urgent Necessity, were presented and approved by the following vote:

**Urgent Necessity.**

The California School for the Deaf and the Blind, Berkeley, Cal., clothing, etc., furnished deaf and blind inmates from San Francisco, per court orders, \$121.07.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**NEW BUSINESS.**

**Auditorium Rental.**

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 19796 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following organizations are hereby granted permission to occupy halls in the Auditorium on the dates mentioned, deposits having been made with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to guarantee the rental fee:

The San Francisco Journal, use of the Main Hall and galleries, March 22, 1922, 6 p. m. to 12 p. m.

Commercial Travelers' Association. Main, Polk and Larkin halls, 6 p. m. to 2 a. m.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were passed for printing:

**Authorizations.**

On motion of Supervisor McLeran:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

*Special School Tax, 1921-1922.*

(1) John Reid, Jr., second payment, architectural services, Horace Mann School (claim dated March 15, 1922), \$8,080.

*Special School Tax, 1920-1921.*

(2) A. Lettich, sixth payment, heating and ventilating, Commodore Sloat School (claim dated March 15, 1922), \$2,982.94.

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(3) A. Lettich, first payment, plumbing, Columbus School (claim dated March 15, 1922), \$717.52.

(4) J. E. O'Mara Co., third payment, heating and ventilating, McKinley School (claim dated March 15, 1922), \$1,113.75.

(5) A. Lettich, first payment, plumbing, Adams School (claim dated March 15, 1922), \$1,365.15.

(6) E. E. Etherton Co., second payment, general construction, Adams School (claim dated March 15, 1922), \$6,084.27.

(7) E. E. Etherton Co., third payment, general construction, McKinley School (claim dated March 15, 1922), \$7,711.48.

(8) Emil Hogberg, first payment, brick and hollow tile, Mission High

School (claim dated March 15, 1922), \$6,206.25.

*Auditorium Fund.*

(9) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., Auditorium lighting (claim dated March 13, 1922), \$1,436.27.

*Municipal Railway Fund.*

(10) Market Street Railway Co., February reimbursement, per agreement Dec. 12, 1918, \$858.99.

(11) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished (claim dated March 15, 1922), \$1,866.66.

(12) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., electric power furnished (claim dated March 15, 1922), \$27,062.98.

*Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.*

(13) Postal Telegraph Cable Co., payment of judgment by Superior Court, sustained by Supreme Court, damages sustained moving manholes in Market street (claim dated February 15, 1922), \$1,755.94.

(14) J. R. Hanify Co., purchase of redwood ties, Contract 126 (claim dated March 15, 1922), \$21,322.96.

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(15) Hercules Powder Co., blasting caps, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated March 14, 1922), \$739.50.

(16) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., hardware, etc. (claim dated March 14, 1922), \$759.23.

(17) Edward W. Brown Co., food supplies (claim dated March 14, 1922), \$790.01.

(18) The Safety Insulated Wire & Cable Co., steel cable (claim dated March 14, 1922), \$797.20.

(19) Ingersoll-Rand Co., machine parts (claim dated March 14, 1922), \$865.14.

(20) Sperry Flour Co., food supplies (claim dated March 14, 1922), \$855.85.

(21) Pioneer Rubber Mills, belting (claim dated March 14, 1922), \$900.67.

(22) Virden Packing Co., food supplies (claim dated March 14, 1922), \$939.18.

(23) George H. Tay Co., casings, etc. (claim dated March 14, 1922), \$970.52.

(24) Johns-Manville Inc., asbestos wood smokestacks (claim dated March 14, 1922), \$1,001.25.

(25) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, transportation charges, per vouchers (claim dated March 14, 1922), \$1,254.42.

(26) Standard Oil Co., Inc., gasoline (claim dated March 14, 1922), \$1,338.65.

(27) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Groveland revolving fund expenditures per vouchers (claim dated March 14, 1922), \$1,430.83.

(28) Smith-Booth-Usher Co., steam hoist with boiler (claim dated March 14, 1922), \$2,300.61.

(29) William Cluff Co., food supplies (claim dated March 14, 1922), \$2,591.30.

(30) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated March 14, 1922), \$2,765.

(31) Ingersoll-Rand Co., drill steel (claim dated March 14, 1922), \$3,256.50.

*General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(32) D. J. O'Brien, police contingent expense (claim dated March 6, 1922), \$750.

(33) California School for Girls, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 13, 1922), \$561.94.

(34) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 13, 1922), \$592.90.

(35) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 13, 1922), \$965.33.

(36) Roman Catholic Orphan Asylum, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 13, 1922), \$2,778.51.

(37) Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 13, 1922), \$729.01.

(38) Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 13, 1922), \$1,540.

(39) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 13, 1922), \$16,383.67.

(40) St. Catherine's Training Home, maintenance of minors at Magdalen Asylum (claim dated March 13, 1922), \$615.13.

(41) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 13, 1922), \$8,955.19.

(42) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 13, 1922), \$3,224.50.

(43) Herbert F. Dugan, drugs, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$2,226.15.

(44) Smith, Lynden & Co., groceries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$1,863.10.

(45) Hooper & Jennings, groceries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$1,537.51.

(46) Greenebaum, Weil & Michels, dry goods, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$1,695.65.

(47) L. Dinkelspiel Co., Inc., dry goods, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$2,144.53.

(48) L. Dinkelspiel Co., Inc., dry goods, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$1,462.68.

(49) J. T. Freitas Co., food supplies, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$1,745.50.

(50) Sherry Bros., butter, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$1,080.

(51) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished hospitals (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$1,000.52.

(52) Associated Charities, unem-

ployment orders, Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$1,598.67.

(53) Smith, Lynden & Co., groceries, Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$900.75.

(54) Baumgarten Bros., meats, Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$3,415.46.

*Auditorium Fund.*

(55) W. A. Plummer Mfg. Co., ship cleats and steel bands furnished and installed in Auditorium (claim dated March 7, 1922), \$512.

Appropriation, \$7,800, Mercantile Trust Company, Land for Dudley Stone School.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$7,800 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax, 1921-1922, and authorized in payment to Mercantile Trust Company, being payment for land and improvements, situated on north line of Waller street, commencing 112½ feet west from westerly line of Central avenue, of dimensions 137½ x 25 feet; required for the Dudley Stone School; per Resolution No. 19781 (New Series) accepting offer.

Appropriations, Third Street Sewer, Pacific Heights School.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

*Extension of Main Sewers, Budget Item No. 47.*

(1) For cost of construction of extension to the Third street sewer outlet (including inspection and possible extras, \$618); Healy-Tibbitts Con. Co. contract, \$6,500.

*Special School Tax, 1921-1922.*

(2) For architectural services in connection with preparation of plans and specifications for the Pacific Heights School, \$14,400.

*Permits.*

On motion of Supervisor Deasy: Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

*Automobile Supply Station.*

Burgi & Glenn, at the southwest corner of Fell street and Van Ness avenue; also to store 1200 gallons of gasoline on premises.

Union Oil Co. of Cal., at the southwest corner of Polk and Geary streets; also to store 1200 gallons of gasoline on premises.

*Public Garage.*

Maurice J. O'Callaghan, at the north-east corner of Shotwell and Twentieth streets; no gasoline to be stored on premises.

*Oil Storage Tank.*

(1500 gallons capacity.)

Morris and Henry Zirker, on east side of Hyde street, 90 feet north of Clay street.

Ruge & Gast, on north side of Geary street, between Sixteenth and Seventeenth avenues.

M. T. and Mary West, on south side of Washington street, 176 feet west of Polk street.

Carl H. Peterson, on north side of O'Farrell street, 137 feet west of Jones street.

Charles Schwarz, on east side of Fifth avenue, 50 feet south of Lake street.

Arthur Stevens, on north side of Fulton street, 140 feet west of Fifteenth avenue.

A. J. Patterson, on south side of Jackson street, 325 feet west of Laurel street.

National Fibreform Co., on east side of Arkansas street, 28 feet south of Sixteenth street (2000 gallons capacity).

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

*Install Street Lights.*

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 19797 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company is hereby instructed to install and change street lights as follows:

*Install 400 M. R.*

West side Larkin street between Hayes and Grove streets.

*Change 250 M. R.*

Prospect avenue, 75 feet west Fair avenue, to Prospect street and Fair avenue.

*Install 400 M. R.*

South side Athens street between Excelsior street and Brazil avenue.

Grove street between Masonic avenue and Ashbury street.

Twenty-second avenue between Fulton and Cabrillo streets.

*Install Gas Lamp.*

South side Clipper street, 114 feet west of Dolores street.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

*Action Deferred.*

The following matter was presented and on motion *laid over one week*:

*Spur Track Permit.*

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Granting a spur track privilege to the Southern Pacific Company over the tracks belonging to the city and formerly operated by the Ocean Shore Railway Company between the southern side of Harrison street and Potrero avenue.

*Passed for Printing.*

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill:

*Ordering Street Work.*

Bill No. 6006, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 9, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in five installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the *crossing of Munich street and Persia avenue* by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of a 12-inch vitrified,

salt-glazed, ironstone pipe sewer along the center line of Munich street between the center and northwesterly lines of Persia avenue; by the construction of four (4) brick catchbasins with cast iron frames, gratings and traps and ten (10) inch vitrified, salt-glazed, iron-stone pipe sewer culverts; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners; by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 19798 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 72367 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works adopted March 13, 1922, and written recommendation of said Board, filed March 14, 1922, to-wit:

#### *Seventh Avenue.*

Lawton street, 348.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

100 feet southerly from Lawton street, 350.50 feet.

212 feet southerly from Lawton street, 352.40 feet.

324 feet southerly from Lawton street, 353.49 feet.

Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.

500 feet southerly from Lawton street, 354.56 feet.

Moraga street, 354.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Seventh avenue between Lawton street and Moraga street be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this Resolution of Intention.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1

#### Extension of Time.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 19799 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific States Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of thirty days' time from and after April 4, 1922, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Tara street between Ocean avenue and Mount Vernon avenue.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor has been delayed owing to rainy weather. The work is well under way. The grading is completed and the greater part of the curb constructed; 50 per cent of the pavement is completed.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Fixing March 27, 1922, for Hearing Appeal, Fortieth Avenue.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 19800 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Monday, March 27, 1922, at 3 p. m., is hereby fixed as the time for hearing appeal of property owners from the action and decision of the Board of Public Works in overruling the protest of property owners against the improvement of Fortieth avenue between Balboa and Cabrillo streets.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

#### Spur Track Permit.

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled, "Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Willard Storage Battery Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track from the tracks of the Southern Pacific Company on the west side of Second street, 85 feet northerly from Bryant street, to a point 20 feet southerly from Stillman street. The purpose of this limitation is that no cars shall be spotted within 20 feet of the existing Spring Valley Water Company's hydrant on the southwesterly corner of Second and Stillman streets."

Spur Track Permit, James Graham Manufacturing Company.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled, "Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to James Graham Manufacturing Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track from the tracks of the Southern Pacific Company in Second street to the property at the northwest corner of Second and Bryant streets."

Clerk to Advertise for Proposals.

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 19801 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise for proposals for furnishing one high-pressure dressing sterilizer required by the Central Emergency Hospital.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

#### ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Hearing, 3:15 p. m.

#### *Closing of Waller Street.*

Protest of property owners against the closing of Waller street between Laguna and Buchanan streets.

*Communications from R. D. McElroy and Emmons Draying and Safe Moving Co.,* favoring the closing of Waller street, were read by the Clerk.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

*Attorney Sullivan*, alleging that he represented two-thirds of protesting property owners, and Mr. Strange appeared and voiced their protest against the closing of Waller street.

*W. S. King*, property owner, and former State Senator T. Treacy, property owner, favored the closing of Waller street to enable the State to carry out its plan for the State Normal School.

*Frank English*, attorney, representing Market and Guerrero Streets Improvement Club, urged the closing of Waller street and declared that San Francisco would not get the Normal School unless said street was closed.

*Supervisor Mulvihill:* I want to make one statement. The Streets Committee will recommend, as soon as it has the figures on it, the opening and grading of Buchanan street into Market street and the State will deed 11 feet 3 inches on the northerly side of Herman street, making it a beautiful boulevard, and I think the people who are now protesting will come here and thank the Board for its action.

Whereupon, on the suggestion of Supervisor Schmitz, the protest was withdrawn and the following resolution presented and passed for printing:

#### Closing Waller Street.

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Closing and abandoning a portion of Waller street from the westerly line of Laguna street to the easterly line of Buchanan street.

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 19667 (New Series) declared its intention to close up a portion of Waller street from the westerly line of Laguna street to the easterly line of Buchanan street; and

Whereas, the notice of said resolution and of said proposed closing of said portion of Waller street duly given by the Board of Public Works of this City and County by publication and posting in the manner provided by Section 3 of Chapter 3 of Article VI of the Charter of this City and County; and

Whereas, more than ten days have elapsed since the expiration of the time of publication of said notice; and

Whereas, no objection or protest has been made against the closing up of said portion of Waller street as provided in the Charter, or at all; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of this Board that the public interest requires the closing up of said portion of Waller street; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said portion of Waller street from the westerly line of Laguna street to the easterly line of Buchanan street is hereby closed and abandoned as a public street.

#### Extension of Time.

Resolution No. 19802 (New Series) as follows:

Resolved, That M. E. Ryan is hereby granted an extension of thirty days' time from and after February 27, 1922, within which to complete contract for the furnishing and installation of electric wires and conduit for additional feeds and plug outlets in the Exposition Auditorium.

This first extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor was delayed because of various functions held in the Auditorium during February.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Addition to James Rolph, Jr., Playground.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, during the brief time which the James Rolph, Jr., Playground has been in use it has been demonstrated that it is inadequate to provide recre-

ation for the juvenile population of the immediate neighborhood and is crowded to its capacity and that a larger area must be provided to meet the existing needs; therefore,

Resolved, That the Committees on Education, Parks and Playgrounds and Finance be directed to consider the acquisition of land on the west side of Hampshire street contiguous to the James Rolph, Jr., Playground as an addition thereto.

*Referred to Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee.*

**Addition to Sunnyside School.**

Supervisor Schmitz presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Sunnyside School is in need of more school-yard room, and

Whereas, just west of the said school there is a lot which is suited for this purpose; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the School Department be and is hereby requested to take such action as may be necessary to acquire this or any other suitable piece of land for school-yard purposes.

*Referred to the Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee.*

**Supervisors' Convention.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented.

Resolution No. 19803 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the State Association of Supervisors will hold its Eleventh Annual Convention at Eureka, Humboldt County, from June 20 to 24, 1922; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor be requested to appoint five members of the Board of Supervisors to attend said convention.

*Adopted under suspension of the rules by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Estimate of Cost, Opening Twenty-sixth Street.**

Resolution No. 19805 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works is hereby requested to furnish this Board with an estimate of the cost of opening Twenty-sixth street between York and Hampshire streets.

*Adopted under suspension of the rules by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Accepting Offer, Extension of Market Street.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 19804 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following properties situated in the City and County of San Francisco, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1. Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Market street, distant thereon 137.25 feet southwest-erly from the southerly line of Morgan alley, and running thence southwest-erly along the northwesterly line of Market street 25 feet; thence deflecting 98 deg. 28 min. 14 sec. to the right and running northwesterly 15.469 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the left of 155.20-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 92 deg. 37 min. 17 sec. to the right from the preceding course, central angle 9 deg. 06 min. 59 sec., a distance of 24.694 feet; thence deflecting 96 deg. 09 min. 47 sec. to the right from the tangent to the preceding course and running southeasterly 12.621 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot No. 4 in Block 22, Market Street Homestead Association, according to map thereof filed in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco on October 26, 1868, and recorded in Liber C and D of Maps, at pages 130 and 131.

Parcel 2. Lot No. 2 in Block No. 10 of Market Street Homestead Association, as per map thereof recorded October 26, 1868, in Map Book C and D, pages 130 and 131, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Be it further Resolved, That said properties are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: as parts of the land required for the extension of Market street, a public street of said City and County, from the intersection of Caselli avenue and Eagle street to the intersection of Corbett avenue and Twenty-fourth street. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use. The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said tracts of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman,

Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, Mc-  
Leran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers,  
Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon,  
Welch, Wetmore—17.  
Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the  
Board at the hour of 6 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors May 8, 1922.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors  
of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify  
that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings  
of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,

City and County of San Francisco.



Monday, March 27, 1922.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY

77 Sutter Street, S. F.

JO

MO

In  
eisco  
Th  
regul

Th  
ing  
Su  
Haye  
Mors  
Ross  
Wett  
Al  
Qu  
Hi

Th  
prev  
appr  
ROL

L  
Co  
eisco  
appr  
rees  
Re

(  
lan,  
sugg  
ies  
velo  
Re  
Quan

(  
Acti  
Emp  
has  
Offi  
nece  
offen  
R

P  
othe  
purp  
betw  
and  
R

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MARCH 27, 1922, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, March 27, 1922, 2 p. m.  
The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was *laid over for approval until next meeting.*

### ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Letter of Thanks, Music Teachers.

*Communication*—From San Francisco Teachers Association, expressing appreciation for recent action of Board rescinding license on music teachers.  
Read and ordered *filed*.

Mare Island Navy Yard.

*Communication*—From John I. Nolan, in re future of Mare Island, and suggesting co-operation with bay cities in securing adequate funds for development of base at Alameda.

Read and *filed*.

Quarters of United States Federal Employment Bureau.

*Communication*—From J. A. Kelly, Acting District Director United States Employment Bureau, advising that he has taken up quarters in the Post Office building and that it will not be necessary to take advantage of City's offer of quarters in City Hall.

Read and *filed*.

Potrero Diagonal Street.

*Petition*—Of S. H. Stergion and others against any assessment for the purpose of cutting a diagonal street between Twenty-second and Carolina and Rhode Island streets.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

Bituminizing Hyde Street.

Supervisor Deasy presented:

*Petition*—Of C. W. Conradi and others for the bituminizing of east side of Hyde street between Pine and California streets.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

### Cemetery Tax.

*Communication*—From Mme. C. E. Grosjean and Mary E. Bush, representing Cemetery Protective Organization, for hearing in re abolishment of cemetery tax.

*Communication*—From the California Club, advising that at a social science meeting of said club held Thursday, March 2, it was unanimously voted to oppose any amendment to the present cemetery ordinance in reference to remitting the tax upon the disinterring of bodies.

Mare Island Navy Yard.

*Communication*—From Edwin Denby, Secretary of the Navy, advising that it is not the present intention of the Navy Department to discontinue operation of the Navy Yard at Mare Island.

Read and ordered *filed*.

### SPECIAL ORDER, 3 P. M.

Consideration of the report of the Public Utilities Committee on the communication of the San Francisco Bureau of Governmental Research on appropriations for extensions of the Municipal Railway, made a Special Order for 3 p. m. this day.

### Motion.

*Supervisor Schmitz* moved reference to the Joint Committee on Public Utilities and Finance and that City Engineer be requested to attend hearing.

On motion of Supervisors Hayden and Mr. Nannery, representing the Municipal Research Bureau, was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board, reviewing the condition of the depreciation fund, earnings of the Municipal Railway and the probable deficits of the new extensions and declaring the program to be unsound from a financial standpoint.

On motion of Supervisor Welch, Geo. Skaller, Judge D. J. O'Brien, Mrs. Muligan, Mr. Kalish and Mr. Kennedy spoke in favor of the Sunset extension,

and Richard Coleman and Mrs. Seaman urged the Ocean View extension.

Thereupon, on motion of Supervisor Mulvihill, the hearing was *closed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Shannon, Wetmore—12.

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Hynes, Scott, Welch—4.

Absent—Supervisors McLeran, McSheehy—2.

#### Referred.

Whereupon, the subject-matter was *referred to the Finance and Public Utilities Committees* for hearing one week from Wednesday.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors McLeran, McSheehy—2.

#### United Railroads Conference.

*Supervisor Shannon* announced a meeting with the representatives of the Market Street Railway in the Mayor's office tomorrow at 10 a. m.

#### HEARING OF APPEAL.

Fortieth Avenue Between Balboa and Cabrillo Streets.

Hearing of appeal of property owners from the action and decision of the Board of Public Works in overruling the protest of property owners against the improvement of Fortieth avenue between Balboa and Cabrillo streets, fixed for 3 p. m. this day.

#### No appearance.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

#### Appeal Denied.

Resolution No. 19807 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the appeal of property owners from the action and decision of the Board of Public Works in overruling the protest of property owners against the improvement of Fortieth avenue between Balboa and Cabrillo streets be denied and the work ordered.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

#### Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the following bill was presented and, on motion, *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 6010, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving

and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 8, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvement, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Fortieth avenue between Balboa and Cabrillo streets, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of a central strip of vertical fiber brick pavement 14 feet in width from the northerly line of Cabrillo street to a line 300 feet northerly therefrom, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the remainder of the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

##### Health Ordinances, Etc.

Proposals for furnishing 1000 sets Social Hygiene Literature, 1000 Milk and Dairy Ordinances and 1000 Health Ordinances for the Department of Public Health, were received in open meeting of the Board of Supervisors and referred to the Supplies Committee, to-wit:

1—Mercury Press; Liberty Bank, \$30.03.

2—Phillips & Van Orden Co.; California, \$65.

3—Neal, Stratford & Kerr; California, \$125.50.

4—John Kitchen Jr. Co.; Anglo London, \$62.

5—California Printing Co.; Anglo Cal. Trust Co., \$79.

Leave of Absence, H. B. Mills.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.  
Hon. Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco—  
Gentlemen:

Application having been made to me by Honorable Henry B. Mills, member of the Playgrounds Commission, for an extension of sixty days on leave of absence granted him on January 27, 1922, with permission to leave the State of California, extension to operate from March 20, 1922, I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said extension of leave of absence.

Yours very truly,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,  
Mayor.

Whereupon the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 19828 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Henry B. Mills, member of the Playground Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing March 20, 1922, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

#### REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.

The following committees, by their respective chairmen, presented reports on various matters referred, which reports were read and ordered *filed*:

Fire Committee, by Supervisor Deasy, chairman.

Public Utilities Committee, by Supervisor Shannon, chairman.

Supplies Committee, by Supervisor Rossi, chairman.

Public Buildings Committee, by Supervisor Scott, chairman.

City Planning Committee, by Supervisor McGregor, chairman.

Public Welfare and Publicity Committee, by Supervisor Colman, chairman.

#### Action Deferred.

The following matter was, on motion of Supervisor Hayden, *laid over one week*:

(Submitted by the Clerk in accord-

ance with the motion of Supervisor Hayden.)

Resolved, That pursuant to the provisions of Section 2, Chapter IV, Article XI of the Charter, the Board of Supervisors herewith submits to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco the following question to be voted upon at the general election to be held on the 7th day of November, 1922, viz.:

"Shall Congress be memorialized to so amend the law so as to permit the manufacture and use of light wines and beer for beverage purposes?"

That such question be printed on the ballot to be used at such election, with a space opposite the same, so that each voter may express the answer thereto either "yes" or "no."

That the Election Commission make due return of the result of the votes cast upon such question, and should the same receive a majority in the affirmative this Board will memorialize Congress accordingly.

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

##### Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

##### Authorizations.

Resolution No. 19808 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

*Special School Tax, 1921-1922.*

(1) John Reid, Jr., second payment, architectural services, Horace Mann School (claim dated March 15, 1922), \$8,080.

*Special School Tax, 1920-1921.*

(2) A. Lettich, sixth payment, heating and ventilating, Commodore Sloat School (claim dated March 15, 1922), \$2,982.94.

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(3) A. Lettich, first payment, plumbing, Columbus School (claim dated March 15, 1922), \$717.52.

(4) J. E. O'Mara Co., third payment, heating and ventilating, McKinley School (claim dated March 15, 1922), \$1,113.75.

(5) A. Lettich, first payment, plumbing, Adams School (claim dated March 15, 1922), \$1,365.15.

(6) E. E. Etherton Co., second payment, general construction, Adams School (claim dated March 15, 1922), \$6,084.27.

(7) E. E. Etherton Co., third payment, general construction, McKinley School (claim dated March 15, 1922), \$7,711.48.

(8) Emil Hogberg, first payment,

brick and hollow tile, Mission High School (claim dated March 15, 1922), \$6,206.25.

*Auditorium Fund.*

(9) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., Auditorium lighting (claim dated March 13, 1922), \$1,436.27.

*Municipal Railway Fund.*

(10) Market Street Railway Co., February reimbursement, per agreement D. c. 12, 1918, \$858.99.

(11) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished (claim dated March 15, 1922), \$1,866.66.

(12) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., electric power furnished (claim dated March 15, 1922), \$27,062.98.

*Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.*

(13) Postal Telegraph Cable Co., payment of judgment by Superior Court, sustained by Supreme Court, damages sustained moving manholes in Market street (claim dated February 15, 1922), \$1,755.94.

(14) J. R. Hanify Co., purchase of redwood ties, Contract 126 (claim dated March 15, 1922), \$21,322.96.

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(15) Hercules Powder Co., blasting caps, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated March 14, 1922), \$739.50.

(16) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., hardware, etc. (claim dated March 14, 1922), \$759.23.

(17) Edward W. Brown Co., food supplies (claim dated March 14, 1922), \$790.01.

(18) The Safety Insulated Wire & Cable Co., steel cable (claim dated March 14, 1922), \$797.20.

(19) Ingersoll-Rand Co., machine parts (claim dated March 14, 1922), \$865.14.

(20) Sperry Flour Co., food supplies (claim dated March 14, 1922), \$855.85.

(21) Pioneer Rubber Mills, belting (claim dated March 14, 1922), \$900.67.

(22) Virden Packing Co., food supplies (claim dated March 14, 1922), \$939.18.

(23) George H. Tay Co., casings, etc. (claim dated March 14, 1922), \$970.52.

(24) Johns-Manville Inc., asbestos wood smokestacks (claim dated March 14, 1922), \$1,001.25.

(25) W. M. O'Shaughnessy, transportation charges, per vouchers (claim dated March 14, 1922), \$1,254.42.

(26) Standard Oil Co., Inc., gasoline (claim dated March 14, 1922), \$1,338.65.

(27) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Groveland revolving fund expenditures per vouchers (claim dated March 14, 1922), \$1,430.83.

(28) Smith-Booth-Usher Co., steam hoist with hoiler (claim dated March 14, 1922), \$2,300.61.

(29) William Cluff Co., food supplies (claim dated March 14, 1922), \$2,591.30.

(30) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated March 14, 1922), \$2,765.

(31) Ingersoll-Rand Co., drill steel (claim dated March 14, 1922), \$3,256.50.

*General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(32) D. J. O'Brien, police contingent expense (claim dated March 6, 1922), \$750.

(33) California School for Girls, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 13, 1922), \$561.94.

(34) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 13, 1922), \$592.90.

(35) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 13, 1922), \$965.33.

(36) Roman Catholic Orphan Asylum, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 13, 1922), \$2,778.51.

(37) Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 13, 1922), \$729.01.

(38) Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 13, 1922), \$1,540.

(39) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 13, 1922), \$16,383.67.

(40) St. Catherine's Training Home, maintenance of minors at Magdalen Asylum (claim dated March 13, 1922), \$615.13.

(41) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 13, 1922), \$8,955.19.

(42) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 13, 1922), \$3,224.50.

(43) Herbert F. Dugan, drugs, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$2,226.15.

(44) Smith, Lynden & Co., groceries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$1,863.10.

(45) Hooper & Jennings, groceries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$1,537.51.

(46) Greenebaum, Weil & Michels, dry goods, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$1,695.65.

(47) L. Dinkelspiel Co., Inc., dry goods, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$2,114.53.

(48) L. Dinkelspiel Co., Inc., dry goods, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$1,462.68.

(49) J. T. Freitas Co., food supplies, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$1,745.50.

(50) Sherry Bros., butter, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$1,080.

(51) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished hospitals (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$1,000.52.

(52) Associated Charities, unem-

ployment orders, Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$1,598.67.

(53) Smith, Lynden & Co., groceries, Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$900.75.

(54) Baumgarten Bros., meats, Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$3,415.46.

#### *Auditorium Fund.*

(55) W. A. Plummer Mfg. Co., ship cleats and steel bands furnished and installed in Auditorium (claim dated March 7, 1922), \$512.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Appropriation, \$7,800, Mercantile Trust Company, Land for Dudley Stone School.

Resolution No. 19809 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$7,800 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax, 1921-1922, and authorized in payment to Mercantile Trust Company, being payment for land and improvements, situate on north line of Waller street, commencing 112½ feet west from westerly line of Central avenue, of dimensions 137½ x 25 feet; required for the Dudley Stone School; per Resolution No. 19781 (New Series) accepting offer.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Appropriations, Third Street Sewer, Pacific Heights School.

Resolution No. 19810 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

*Extension of Main Sewers, Budget Item No. 47.*

(1) For cost of construction of extension to the Third street sewer outlet (including inspection and possible extras, \$618); Healy-Tibbitts Con. Co. contract, \$6,500.

*Special School Tax, 1921-1922.*

(2) For architectural services in connection with preparation of plans and specifications for the Pacific Heights School, \$14,400.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

#### *Permits.*

Resolution No. 19811 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

#### *Automobile Supply Station.*

Burgi & Glenn, at the southwest corner of Fell street and Van Ness avenue; also to store 1200 gallons of gasoline on premises.

Union Oil Co. of Cal., at the southwest corner of Polk and Geary streets; also to store 1200 gallons of gasoline on premises.

#### *Public Garage.*

Maurice J. O'Callaghan, at the northeast corner of Shotwell and Twentieth streets; no gasoline to be stored on premises.

#### *Oil Storage Tank.*

(1500 gallons capacity.)

Morris and Henry Zirker, on east side of Hyde street, 90 feet north of Clay street.

Ruge & Cast, on north side of Geary street, between Sixteenth and Seventeenth avenues.

M. T. and Mary West, on south side of Washington street, 176 feet west of Polk street.

Carl H. Peterson, on north side of O'Farrell street, 137 feet west of Jones street.

Charles Schwarz, on east side of Fifth avenue, 50 feet south of Lake street.

Arthur Stevens, on north side of Fulton street, 140 feet west of Fifteenth avenue.

A. J. Patterson, on south side of Jackson street, 325 feet west of Laurel street.

National Fibreform Co., on east side of Arkansas street, 28 feet south of Sixteenth street (2000 gallons capacity).

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

#### *Closing Waller Street.*

Resolution No. 19812 (New Series), as follows:

Closing and abandoning a portion of Waller street from the westerly line of Laguna street to the easterly line of Buchanan street.

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 19667 (New Series) declared its intention to close up a portion of Waller street from the westerly line of Laguna street to the easterly line of Buchanan street; and

Whereas, the notice of said resolution and of said proposed closing of

said portion of Waller street duly given by the Board of Public Works of this City and County by publication and posting in the manner provided by Section 3 of Chapter 3 of Article VI of the Charter of this City and County; and

Whereas, more than ten days have elapsed since the expiration of the time of publication of said notice; and

Whereas, no objection or protest has been made against the closing up of said portion of Waller street as provided in the Charter, or at all; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of this Board that the public interest requires the closing up of said portion of Waller street; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said portion of Waller street from the westerly line of Laguna street to the easterly line of Buchanan street is hereby closed and abandoned as a public street.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Spring Valley Water Company Agreement.**

Bill No. 6009, Ordinance No. 5599 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco to enter into an agreement with the Spring Valley Water Company for the operation of the section of the Hetch Hetchy water supply conduit between Crystal Springs and Irvington, until such time as the City shall require the said conduit for the delivery of water from Hetch Hetchy sources; and prescribing the form, terms and conditions of said contract, and providing for the disposition of moneys paid under its terms.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to enter into a written agreement with the Spring Valley Water Company covering the operation and maintenance of that portion of the Hetch Hetchy conduit to be built by the City and County of San Francisco between Crystal Springs Lake in San Mateo County and Irvington, Alameda County.

The form, terms and conditions of said contract shall be as follows, provided that the Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and directed to fill in the blank spaces provided in said form with proper words and figures prior to the execution thereof:

This agreement made and entered into this — day of —, 1922, by and between the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, herein-

after referred to as the Board, party of the first part, and Spring Valley Water Company, a corporation organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California, hereinafter referred to as the Water Company, party of the second part, witnesseth: that

Whereas, the Water Company is now engaged in the work of increasing the height of its present dam known as the Calaveras Dam in the County of Alameda, State of California, and in making other additions to its structures and facilities, for the purpose of increasing the supply of water available for transmission from its Alameda system to the City and County of San Francisco and distribution to its consumers; and

Whereas, the Board is now engaged in the construction of a system of water supply works, known as the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply Project, for the purpose of bringing water from the Sierra Nevada Mountains to the City and County of San Francisco and, as a part of such system of water supply works, proposes forthwith to commence the construction of an aqueduct and pumping plant for the transmission of water from a point in the vicinity of Irvington, County of Alameda, State of California, to a point in the vicinity of Crystal Springs Reservoir, in the County of San Mateo, State of California; and

Whereas, the Board has heretofore been authorized and directed, by ordinance No. — (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, approved —, 1922, to make an agreement with the Water Company wherein and whereby the Water Company shall, during the life of this agreement, operate and maintain the said aqueduct and pumping plant and deliver into the said aqueduct such quantities of water as the Water Company may from time to time deem necessary for transmission from its Alameda system to its Crystal Springs Reservoir, in the County of San Mateo, all upon the terms hereinafter expressed, a copy of said ordinance being hereto annexed;

Now, therefore, for and in consideration of the premises and of the promises and agreements hereinafter specified mutually to be kept and performed, it is agreed as follows:

First: (a) The Water Company shall prosecute the work of increasing the height of its present Calaveras Dam in the County of Alameda, State of California, to an elevation which will result in an increase of not less than twenty-four million gallons in the average daily yield of the Water Company's Alameda system above the present yield of such system. The

work of so increasing the height of the said dam shall be completed not later than the day upon which the said aqueduct and pumping plant to be constructed by the Board, as hereinafter provided, shall have been completed and made available for the transmission of water; provided, however, that such increase in the height of the said dam may, at the option of the Water Company, be made in two or more units, and in such event only the first of such units need be completed within the period hereinabove specified, and the remaining unit or units shall be completed within such further period or periods of time as may be jointly determined by the City Engineer of the City and County of San Francisco and the chief engineer of the Water Company, and in the event of their failure to agree thereupon, as may be determined by the Railroad Commission of the State of California.

(b) The Water Company shall likewise make such other additions to its structures and facilities as may be requisite in order to enable it to deliver such additional twenty-four million gallons of water daily into the said aqueduct, to be constructed by the Board, as aforesaid, at Irvington, Alameda County, and shall complete the same in season to permit the delivery of such water at Irvington on or before the time when the said aqueduct and pumping plant shall have been completed and become available for such use. Such additions to be made by the Water Company shall include the construction of a pipe-line from a point at or in the vicinity of the Niles Screen Tank of the Water Company to a point of connection with the said aqueduct at or near its intersection with the railroad of the Southern Pacific Company in the vicinity of Irvington.

Second: The Water Company shall grant to the City and County of San Francisco a right of way, not exceeding sixty (60) feet in width, over, through and across lands now owned by the Water Company as the Board may require, for the construction, maintenance and operation of the said aqueduct. Such right of way shall extend along such route or routes as the City Engineer of the City and County of San Francisco may designate; provided, however, that the location of such right of way shall be so determined as to interfere to the least possible extent with the future use by the Water Company of its lands and other properties, and provided, further, that wherever the said aqueduct shall pass through lands of the Water Company by means of a tunnel or tunnels, the Water Company shall be obligated

hereunder to grant an easement for tunnel purposes only.

Third: The Water Company shall give to the Board a license to deposit waste material resulting from the construction of tunnels upon such portions of the lands now owned by the Water Company as the City Engineer of the City and County of San Francisco shall deem necessary or convenient for such purpose and likewise a license to place construction camps and to instal water supply lines, telephone lines and other adjuncts and appurtenances required for the construction of said aqueduct upon such portions of the lands now owned by the Water Company as the City Engineer may deem necessary or convenient to that end; provided, however, that such licenses and all rights conferred thereby shall ipso facto cease and determine upon the completion of the said aqueduct.

Fourth: (a) The Board shall commence forthwith and prosecute diligently to completion the work of constructing that portion of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct extending from a point of intersection with the railroad of the Southern Pacific Company in the vicinity of Irvington, County of Alameda, to a point on the route of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct in the vicinity of Crystal Springs reservoir, County of San Mateo, such latter point to be so determined as to permit the delivery of water directly into the said reservoir. Such aqueduct shall follow such route and shall be constructed of such size and capacity and of such materials as the City Engineer of the City and County of San Francisco shall deem proper; provided, however, that the minimum capacity of the said aqueduct, when receiving water at a hydraulic grade of approximately three hundred ninety-three (393) feet and delivering water by gravity into the Crystal Springs reservoir at a hydraulic grade of approximately two hundred ninety (290) feet (H. H. W. S. datum), shall be not less than forty-five million gallons daily; provided, further, that the Board at its option may construct the submarine section of said aqueduct in two or more successive installations, of which the first installation shall have a minimum capacity of twenty-four million gallons daily under the hydraulic grade conditions above specified.

(b) The Board shall likewise instal a pumping plant at such point upon the line of said aqueduct as the City Engineer of the City and County of San Francisco shall determine, which shall have a capacity sufficient to insure the safe and efficient transmission by means of said aqueduct of not less than twenty-four million gallons of water daily from Irvington to Crystal Springs reservoir. The plans and specifications

for the construction of the said pumping plant shall be determined by the City Engineer of the said City and County of San Francisco. Immediately upon the completion of the said pumping plant the Board shall cause the same to be connected with an electric power service line and shall place the same in all respects in condition for operation.

(c) The program of construction and time of completion of the said aqueduct and the said pumping plant shall be subject to joint determination by the City Engineer of the City and County of San Francisco and the Chief Engineer of the Water Company, and, in case of their failure to agree upon such program of construction or time of completion in any respect, the difference shall be submitted to the Railroad Commission of the State of California for determination.

Fifth: Upon the completion of the said aqueduct and pumping plant, the Water Company shall operate and maintain the same, and shall deliver into such aqueduct such quantities of water as it may from time to time deem necessary for transmission from its Alameda system to its Crystal Springs reservoir in the County of San Mateo, such operation and maintenance to continue until the expiration or other termination of this agreement, as hereinafter provided. The said aqueduct and pumping plant shall be operated by the Water Company at its own sole cost and expense and by means of its own officers, agents and employees, but such operation shall be under the general direction and control of the City Engineer of the City and County of San Francisco, who shall act as agent for the Board for the purposes hereof.

The Water Company shall likewise furnish, at its own sole cost and expense, the necessary labor and material for the ordinary maintenance of the said aqueduct and pumping plant during the life of this agreement, such maintenance to be under the general direction and control of the City Engineer of the City and County of San Francisco, who shall act as agent for the Board, as aforesaid, but all replacements and extraordinary repairs, together with expense, loss or damage resulting from faulty or inadequate construction or employment of defective material, and all other expense, loss or damage, except such as may be incurred or sustained in the course of ordinary operation and maintenance, shall be borne by the Board. In the event that any difference shall arise between the Board and the Water Company as to their respective liability under the terms hereof to bear any particular expense incurred for the maintenance, repair or replacement of

said aqueduct and/or pumping plant, or any part or portion thereof, such difference, if the parties shall be unable to adjust the same between themselves, shall be submitted to the Railroad Commission of the State of California for determination and such determination shall be binding upon the parties.

Sixth: (a) In consideration of the rights secured to the Water Company by virtue of this agreement, the Water Company shall pay to the City and County of San Francisco, during each year of the life of this agreement following the completion of the said aqueduct and pumping plant and the receipt of written notice by the Water Company from the Board that the same are available to the Water Company for the transmission of water, a sum determined at the rate of five (5) per cent upon the total cost of constructing the said aqueduct and pumping plant; provided, however, that the amount so to be paid during each year shall not exceed the sum of two hundred fifty thousand (250,000) dollars. Such payments shall be made in equal semi-annual installments on or before the 30th day of June and the 31st day of December of each year following the completion of the said aqueduct and pumping plant and the receipt by the Water Company from the Board of the written notice hereinabove specified; provided, however, that the initial payment to be made hereunder shall be such proportion of the total amount payable per annum, determined as hereinbefore provided, as the number of days which shall then have elapsed since the receipt by the Water Company from the Board of the written notice aforesaid bears to three hundred and sixty-five days. In order to establish the total cost of constructing the said aqueduct and pumping plant, for the purposes hereof, the Board shall furnish or cause to be furnished to the Water Company, as soon as possible after such construction shall have been completed and not later than sixty (60) days thereafter, a statement, certified by the Board to be correct, setting forth the total cost of such construction. It is expressly understood and agreed that such cost shall include only expenditures for labor, superintendence, material, supplies, rights of way, and other expenditures properly chargeable to the construction of such facilities and shall exclude any charge by way of interest during construction. In the event that any difference shall arise between the Board and the Water Company as to the correctness of such statement of cost in any respect, or as to the propriety of any item of expense included therein, such difference, if the parties shall be unable to adjust the same between themselves, shall be

submitted to the Railroad Commission of the State of California for determination, and such determination shall be binding upon the parties.

(b) The Water Company shall likewise pay to the City and County of San Francisco, during each year of the period of construction of the said aqueduct and pumping plant, but for a total period not exceeding three (3) years, a sum determined at the rate of five (5) per cent upon the cost of such construction theretofore incurred, as follows, to-wit:

On or before the 30th day of June and the 31st day of December of each year during such period of construction, the Water Company shall pay to the City and County of San Francisco a sum determined at the rate of five (5) per cent per annum upon the amounts theretofore expended by the City and County of San Francisco in such construction and computed (1) from the dates of the several payments made by the City and County of San Francisco therefor within the period of six (6) months then terminating and (2) from the commencement of such period of six (6) months as to payments made prior thereto, it being the intent hereof that such sums to be paid by the Water Company shall commence to accrue upon each of the amounts so expended by the City and County of San Francisco as of and from the several dates of payment thereof, respectively; provided, however, that the sums so to be paid by the Water Company shall not exceed two hundred fifty thousand (250,000) dollars in any year, and provided, further, that if the period of construction shall exceed three (3) years the Water Company shall not be obligated to make any payment under the terms of this subdivision (b) of this paragraph after the expiration of such three-year period. For the purpose of establishing the amounts upon which such sums so to be paid by the Water Company shall be computed, the Board shall deliver or cause to be delivered to the Water Company at least fifteen days prior to the 30th day of June and the 31st day of December, respectively, of each year, a statement, certified by the Board to be correct, setting forth the amounts and dates of all expenditures made by the Board for such construction during the period of six (6) months immediately preceding. In the event that any difference shall arise between the Water Company and the Board as to the correctness of any statement so furnished, or as to the propriety of any item of expense included therein, such difference, if the parties shall be unable to adjust the same, shall be submitted to the Railroad Commission of the State of California for determination and such de-

termination shall be binding upon the parties. The amount of the final payment to be made by the Water Company under the terms of this subdivision (b) of this paragraph shall be computed in the manner above specified, but prorated for the period or periods terminating with the day upon which the Water Company shall have received from the Board the written notice hereinbefore specified in subdivision (a) of this paragraph, and such final payment shall be made by the Water Company on or before the 30th day of June or the 31st day of December, whichever shall next ensue after the receipt of the notice aforesaid.

Seventh: The Water Company agrees that water which shall be transmitted by it through the said aqueduct to the Crystal Springs reservoir shall, so far as may be necessary, and to the extent of the Water Company's existing conduit facilities, be pumped to the elevation of the San Andreas reservoir of the Water Company, in San Mateo County, and thereafter be delivered to the consumers of the Water Company in the City and County of San Francisco.

Eighth: The term of this agreement shall expire at twelve p. m. upon the 31st day of December, 1933, provided, however, (1) that the Board on its part shall have the right to terminate this agreement at any time prior to the said 31st day of December, 1933, by giving three years' written notice to the Water Company of such termination, but provided, further, that such notice shall not be given except for the purpose of enabling the City and County of San Francisco to use the said aqueduct and pumping plant for the transmission of water from its Hetch Hetchy project to San Francisco and that such termination shall not become effective prior to the time when the City and County of San Francisco shall actually require the use of such aqueduct and pumping plant for such purpose, it being the intent hereof that the Water Company shall be afforded adequate opportunity to provide or acquire other facilities for the transmission or other disposition of its water if and when said agreement shall be terminated by the Board; and provided, (2) that the Water Company on its part shall have the right, with the prior approval of the Railroad Commission of the State of California, to terminate this agreement at any time prior to the said 31st day of December, 1933, by giving three years' written notice to the Board of such termination.

Ninth: This agreement shall be binding upon and enure to the benefit of the successors and assigns of the Water Company in the ownership

and or operation of its public utility properties.

In witness whereof, the Board has caused its members to sign these presents and the Water Company has caused these presents to be executed by its officers first thereunto duly authorized by resolution of its board of directors, a copy of which is hereunto annexed, the day and year first above written.

THE BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS,  
of the City and County of San Francisco.

By .....

Its Members.

SPRING VALLEY WATER COMPANY,

By .....

Vice-President.

Attest:

.....

Secretary.

Section 2. Immediately after said contract shall have been executed the Board of Public Works is authorized to commence and complete the construction of that portion of the Hetch Hetchy conduit described in said agreement, subject to the terms and conditions of Ordinance No. 5294 (New Series), and thereafter to cause the same to be operated in accordance with the terms of the said written agreement, and subject to the conditions and limitations therein contained.

Section 3. Nothing in this agreement contained shall be construed to abridge any right that the City and County of San Francisco may have to apply to the Railroad Commission for a rehearing of its Decision No. 9352, or to obtain a modification thereof in any particular; nor shall it be construed to abridge any right the said City and County may have to institute new proceedings at any time before said Railroad Commission for a reduction of rates or for a betterment of service or for any other order or suggestion within the jurisdiction of said commission as the interest of the City and County may appear and require.

It is further provided that this agreement in no way commits the City and County of San Francisco to the purchase of any part of the Spring Valley Water Company's properties as suggested by the Railroad Commission; also said agreement shall not apply to any interpretation that might be placed on it in reference to the Raker Act granting to the City and County of San Francisco the Hetch Hetchy water supply.

Section 4. All moneys paid by the Spring Valley Water Company under

this agreement shall be deposited with the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco and credited to the Water Bond Interest and Redemption Fund, 1910.

Section 5. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 6006, Ordinance No. 5690 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 9, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in five installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the crossing of Munich street and Persia avenue by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of a 12-inch vitrified, salt-glazed, ironstone pipe sewer along the center line of Munich street between the center and northwesterly lines of Persia avenue; by the construc-

tion of four (4) brick catchbasins with cast iron frames, gratings and traps and ten (10) inch vitrified, salt-glazed, iron-stone pipe sewer culverts; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners; by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Horgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

#### Spur Track Permit.

Bill No. 6007, Ordinance No. 5601 (New Series), entitled, "Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Willard Storage Battery Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track from the tracks of the Southern Pacific Company on the west side of Second street, 85 feet northerly from Bryant street, to a point 20 feet southerly from Stillman street. The purpose of this limitation is that no cars shall be spotted within 20 feet of the existing Spring Valley Water Company's hydrant on the southwesterly corner of Second and Stillman streets."

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Spur Track Permit, James Graham Manufacturing Company.

Bill No. 6008, Ordinance No. 5602 (New Series), entitled, "Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to James Graham Manufacturing Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track from the tracks of the Southern Pacific Company in Second street to the property at the northwest corner of Second and Bryant streets."

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

#### NEW BUSINESS.

##### Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

##### Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McLeran: Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized

to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

##### Park Fund

(1) J. P. McLaughlin, U. S. Collector of Internal Revenue, tax on beverages and admissions (claim dated March 24, 1922), \$5,178.02.

##### School Bonds, Issue 1918.

(2) J. S. Hannah, 2d payment, general construction of Columbus School addition (claim dated March 22, 1922), \$5,385.25.

(3) O. Monson, final payment, construction Bernal School addition (claim dated March 22, 1922), \$10,136.87.

##### Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(4) Firestone Tire & Rubber Co., auto tires, etc. (claim dated March 23, 1922), \$502.75.

(5) W. H. Worden Co. Inc., camp pump (claim dated March 23, 1922), \$655.

(6) United States Steel Products Co., copper wire (claim dated March 23, 1922), \$678.53.

(7) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., electric service at Priest Camp (claim dated March 16, 1922), \$736.70.

(8) Palace Hardware Co., crockery, etc., supplies (claim dated March 23, 1922), \$789.81.

(9) International Diamond Drill Contracting Co., carbon and drill rental (claim dated March 22, 1922), \$829.20.

(10) Sullivan Machinery Co., machine parts (claim dated March 23, 1922), \$888.23.

(11) Engineering Products Co., one Wheeling crusher (claim dated March 23, 1922), \$946.92.

(12) Golden State Miners' Iron Works, dump and skip cars (claim dated March 23, 1922), \$1,010.66.

(13) Fred L. Hilmer Co., food supplies (claim dated March 22, 1922), \$1,016.43.

(14) Crane Co., machine parts (claim dated March 23, 1922), \$1,095.50.

(15) Sierra Railway Co. of Cal., car service (claim dated March 20, 1922), \$1,366.20.

(16) Montague Pipe & Steel Co., steel pipe, etc. (claim dated March 16, 1922), \$1,425.66.

(17) A. S. Cameron Steam Pump Works, one pump (claim dated March 23, 1922), \$1,625.

(18) Pacific Tank & Pipe Co., tank and fir piping (claim dated March 22, 1922), \$2,949.92.

(19) Standard Oil Co. Inc., fuel oil (claim dated March 22, 1922), \$4,034.65.

(20) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premium, Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated March 16, 1922), \$8,336.70.

(21) Hercules Powder Co., gelatin

and exploders (claim dated March 22, 1922), \$15,308.05.

*Municipal Railway Fund.*

(22) Union Oil Co. of Cal. gasoline, Municipal Railway (claim dated March 23, 1922), \$800.31.

(23) United States Steel Products Co., trolley wire (claim dated March 23, 1922), \$1,304.11.

(24) Cambria Steel Co., steel car wheels (claim dated March 23, 1922), \$8,100.

*General Fund, 1920-1921.*

(25) O. Monson, 3d payment, general construction, Fire Department Chief's residence (claim dated March 22, 1922), \$7,441.22.

*General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(26) Walter M. Murphy Co., one Lincoln auto, Fire Department (claim dated March 15, 1922), \$3,780.

(27) Union Oil Co., fuel oil, etc., Fire Department (claim dated March 15, 1922), \$1,205.10.

(28) Producers Hay Co., hay, etc., Police Department (claim dated March 20, 1922), \$609.12.

(29) Wilbur Smith, two Ford autos, Sealer of Weights and Measures (claim dated March 18, 1922), \$839.53.

(30) A. Carlisle & Co., printing, Department of Elections (claim dated March 23, 1922), \$957.75.

(31) Thompson Bros. Inc., motor truck wheels, Department of Public Works (claim dated March 18, 1922), \$839.50.

(32) Coast Rock & Gravel Co., sand and gravel, Department of Public Works (claim dated March 20, 1922), \$786.02.

(33) Western Rock Products Co., sand, Department of Public Works (claim dated March 18, 1922), \$1,459.22.

(34) Neal, Stratford & Kerr, printing Auditor's report (claim dated March 27, 1922), \$748.

(35) L. Dinkelspiel & Co., dry goods, Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$947.

(36) Standard Oil Co., fuel oil, Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$1,971.81.

(37) Haas Bros., groceries, etc., Relief Home (claim dated March 20, 1922), \$876.56.

(38) Hooper & Jennings, groceries, Relief Home (claim dated March 20, 1922), \$1,520.85.

(39) Smith-Lynden Co., groceries, Relief Home (claim dated March 20, 1922), \$978.79.

(40) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$2,627.96.

(41) Baumgarten Bros., meat, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$1,403.81.

(42) Associated Charities, cash and orders issued, Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$560.21.

(43) Alice Newman, injured Health Department employee, compensation insurance, per award by State Industrial Accident Commission (claim dated March 11, 1922), \$1,195.60.

*Special School Tax, 1921-1922.*

(44) Quinn & Reilly, 3d payment, general construction, Emerson School (claim dated March 24, 1922), \$12,686.75.

*Library Fund.*

(45) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$1,464.22.

(46) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$1,268.86.

(47) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$1,244.58.

(48) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$586.74.

(49) Foster & Futernick, binding library books (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$1,565.35.

(50) Forderer Cornice Works, Library window repairs (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$966.54.

*Accepting Statements of City's Percentage of Gross Receipts, Market Street Railway Company.*

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 19813 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the statements heretofore filed by the Market Street Railway Company showing gross receipts from passenger fares for the months of January and February, 1922, upon which percentages in the following amounts are due the City and County under the terms of franchises of said Market Street Railway Company, be and the same are hereby accepted, to-wit:

January—	
Parkside Transit Company.....	\$315.21
Parnassus and Ninth Avenue Extension .....	258.19
Gough Street Railroad Co. ....	40.30
February—	
Parkside Transit Company....	284.06
Parnassus and Ninth Avenue Extension .....	226.98
Gough Street Railroad Co. ....	35.64

Further Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company is hereby directed to deposit with the Treasurer of the City and County the hereinabove mentioned sums, the same to be placed to the credit of the General Fund.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

## Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Appropriation, \$8,500. Payment to Chas. A. Adams for Land, Pacific Heights School.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$8,500 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax, 1921-1922, and authorized in payment to Charles A. Adams, being payment for lands and improvements situate on north line Webster street, commencing 103 feet 8½ inches north from Jackson street, 24 x 137½ feet, required for Pacific Heights School, per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 19645 (New Series).

Appropriation, \$7,000, Plans, etc., Andrew Jackson School.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$7,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax, 1921-1922, for expense of preparation of plans and specifications for the Andrew Jackson School, to be erected on Grove street between Clayton and Cole streets.

Appropriations, Construction Drill Tower, Fire Department.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of hereinafter mentioned funds, for construction of Fire Department drill tower, Division street, between Tenth and Eleventh streets, as per itemization hereinafter, to-wit:

Drill tower, Budget Item No. 442, \$23,000.

Fire Department building, Budget Item No. 63, \$15,937.

General construction (L. M. Sommer) . . . . . \$23,874

Brick and tile work (Chas. Hock) . . . . . 9,375

Electric work (F. E. Newberry Co.) . . . . . 1,135

Plumbing, etc. (A. Lettich) . . . . . 2,153

Inspection . . . . . 1,200

Extras and incidentals . . . . . 1,000

Architect service, additional . . . . . 200

Land for Pioneer Park.

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following properties situated in the City and County of San Francisco, and more particularly described as follows:

Parcel 1. Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Kearny street, distant thereon 75 feet southerly from the southerly line of Lombard street, running thence southerly along the easterly line of Kearny street 50 feet; thence at right angles easterly 137 feet 6 inches; thence at right angles northerly 50 feet; thence at right angles westerly 137 feet 6 inches to the point of beginning. Being portion of 50 Vara Block No. 60.

Parcel 2. Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Kearny street, distant thereon 103 feet 2½ inches northerly from the northerly line of Greenwich street, and running thence northerly along the easterly line of Kearny street 46 feet 9½ inches; thence at right angles easterly 137 feet 6 inches; thence at right angles southerly 46 feet 9½ inches; thence at right angles westerly 137 feet 6 inches to the point of beginning. Being portion of 50 Vara Block No. 60.

Parcel 3. Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Greenwich street with the easterly line of Kearny street, and running thence northerly along the easterly line of Kearny street 103 feet 2½ inches; thence at right angles easterly 137 feet 6 inches; thence at right angles southerly 103 feet 2½ inches to the northerly line of Greenwich street; thence at right angles westerly along the northerly line of Greenwich street 137 feet 6 inches to the point of beginning. Being portion of 50 Vara Block No. 60.

Parcel 4. Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Greenwich street, distant thereon 137 feet 6 inches easterly from the easterly line of Kearny street, and running thence easterly along the northerly line of Greenwich street 137 feet 6 inches; thence at right angles northerly 137 feet 6 inches; thence at right angles westerly 137 feet 6 inches; thence at right angles southerly 137 feet 6 inches to the point of beginning. Being portion of 50 Vara Block No. 60.

Parcel 5. Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Greenwich street with the westerly line of Kearny street, and running thence southerly along the westerly line of Kearny street 112 feet 6 inches; thence at right angles westerly 87 feet 6 inches; thence at right angles northerly 25 feet; thence at right angles easterly 50 feet; thence at right angles northerly 87 feet 6 inches to the southerly line of Greenwich street; thence at right angles easterly along the southerly line of Greenwich street 37 feet 6 inches to the point of beginning. Being portion of 50 Vara Block No. 82.

Parcel 6. Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of

Greenwich street with the westerly line of Kearny street, and running thence westerly along the northerly line of Greenwich street 57 feet 6 inches; thence at right angles northerly 75 feet; thence at right angles easterly 57 feet 6 inches to the westerly line of Kearny street; thence at right angles southerly along the westerly line of Kearny street 75 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of 50 Vara Block No. 81.

Parcel 7. Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Greenwich street, distant thereon 57 feet 6 inches westerly from the westerly line of Kearny street, and running thence westerly along the northerly line of Greenwich street 20 feet; thence at right angles northerly 75 feet; thence at right angles easterly 20 feet; thence at right angles southerly 75 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of 50 Vara Block No. 81.

Parcel 8. Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Kearny street, distant thereon 75 feet northerly from the northerly line of Greenwich street, and running thence northerly along the westerly line of Kearny street 62 feet 6 inches; thence at right angles westerly 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 62 feet 6 inches; thence at right angles easterly 25 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of 50 Vara Block No. 81.

Parcel 9. Beginning at a point distant 75 feet at right angles northerly from the northerly line of Greenwich street, and distant 25 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Kearny street, and running thence northerly parallel with Kearny street 62 feet 6 inches; thence at right angles westerly 22 feet 6 inches; thence at right angles southerly 62 feet 6 inches; thence at right angles easterly 22 feet 6 inches to the point of beginning. Being portion of 50 Vara Block No. 81.

Parcel 10. Beginning at a point distant 75 feet at right angles northerly from the northerly line of Greenwich street, and distant 47 feet 6 inches at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Kearny street, and running thence northerly parallel with Kearny street 62 feet 6 inches; thence at right angles westerly 20 feet; thence at right angles southerly 62 feet 6 inches; thence at right angles easterly 30 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of 50 Vara Block No. 81.

Parcel 11. Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Kearny street, distant thereon 81 feet southerly from the southerly line of Lombard street, and running thence southerly along

the westerly line of Kearny street 56 feet 6 inches; thence at right angles westerly 54.50 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the right of 182.50-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 121 deg. 32 min. 53 sec. to the right from the preceding course, central angle 24 deg. 50 min. 23 sec., a distance of 79.12 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of 50 Vara Block No. 81.

Be it further

Resolved, That said properties are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the extension of Pioneer Park, a public park of said City and County, and for the construction of a new boulevard through said park. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use. The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said tracts of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was passed for printing:

Amending City Planning Ordinance, San Bruno Avenue.

On motion of Supervisor McGregor:

Bill No. 6011, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 11 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the easterly side of San Bruno avenue between Key and Le Conte avenues, and the westerly side of San Bruno avenue between Mansell and Ward streets, to the depth of the rear lot lines, in the commercial district instead of in the second residential district.

**Zoning Ordinance Amendments Denied.**  
 Supervisor McGregor presented:  
 Resolution No. 19815 (New Series),  
 as follows:

Resolved, That the following applications to amend the Zoning Ordinance be denied:

a. Wm. Wertsch, to change a lot fronting 27½ feet on Page street, 110 feet west of Franklin street, from second residential to light industrial district.

b. Geo. Clark Sargent, to change the south side of Lake street between Twenty-second and Twenty-fifth avenues from the second to the first residential district.

c. National Ice Cream Company, to change the westerly side of Guerrero street between Fifteenth and Sixteenth streets from the commercial to the light industrial district.

d. The application to change Twenty-seventh avenue between Lake and West Clay streets from the first to the second residential district.

*Adopted by the following vote:*  
 Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**City Planning Commission to Report on Changing North Side of Lake Street From First to Second Residential District.**

Supervisor McGregor presented:  
 Resolution No. 19816 (New Series),  
 as follows:

Resolved, That the City Planning Commission be requested to advise this Board as to the desirability of changing the north side of Lake street from the first to the second residential district.

*Adopted by the following vote:*  
 Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

*Passed for Printing.*

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

**Garage, Boiler and Oil Permits.**  
 On motion of Supervisor Deasy:  
 Resolution No. — (New Series),  
 as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

*Public Garage.*  
 J. A. Yoakam, at 514½ Pacific street, Weber & Miller, at 943 Post street, in basement.

H. A. Meagher, on east side of Valencia street, 90 feet south of Twenty-first street, also to store 300 gallons of gasoline on premises.

Fred Moller, on west side of Sixth

street, 125 feet north of Bryant street, extending through to Harriet street on the west and to Bryant street on the south; also to store 300 gallons of gasoline on premises.

A. P. Chapuis, at 834 Divisadero street; also to store 300 gallons of gasoline on premises.

*Boiler.*

M. Dettling, at 386 Utah street, 60 horsepower.

Continental Furniture Mfg. Co., on Florida street, between Fifteenth and Sixteenth streets, 75 horsepower.

*Oil Storage Tank.*

(1500 gallons capacity.)

E. V. Lacey, on east side Van Ness avenue, 47 feet south of Broadway.

W. Props, on west side of Hyde street, 50 feet south of Pine street.

Veyhle, Collins & Barz, on south side of Union street, 150 feet east of Van Ness avenue.

A. W. Congdon, on north side of Twenty-first street, 45 feet east of San Carlos avenue.

Sam Solomon, on north side of Haight street, 100 feet west of Laguna street.

Louis Stoff, on south side of Bush street, 176 feet east of Leavenworth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

**Health Inspection Fee Ordinance Amended.**

Bill No. 6012, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 7 of Ordinance No. 5298 (New Series), entitled "Imposing fees for inspection, examination and permits in certain cases, and authorizing the Board of Health to collect the same."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 7 of Ordinance No. 5398 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is amended to read as follows:

Section 7. For the permit required to be issued for the removal of dead human bodies or disinterred human remains, the Board of Health shall collect in advance of the issuance thereof the sum of one dollar; provided, however, that no fee shall be collected for removals from legally closed cemeteries.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

No—Supervisor Schmitz—1.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

*Mme. Grosjean and Mme. J. Bush* were heard in opposition to the foregoing and Geo. Skaller, representing the Masonic Cemetery Association, in favor.

**Action Deferred.**

The following bill was presented and, on motion, *laid over one week*:

**License Tax on "Itinerant Shows."**

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Imposing a license tax on itinerant shows, carnivals and concessions, regulating the matter of maintaining or conducting same, and providing a penalty for a violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Every person, firm or corporation maintaining or conducting in connection with an itinerant show or exhibition any museum, panorama, cyclorama, kinetoscope parlor, phonograph parlor, skating-rink, merry-go-round, swing, revolving wheel, chute, toboggan slide, Firth wheel, mechanical contrivance for carrying passengers, or any side-show, exhibition or concession shall, after conforming to the regulatory provisions of this ordinance, pay a license fee of twenty (20) dollars per day for each and every concession, show or device herein enumerated and conducted or maintained in connection with any itinerant show or exhibition.

Section 2. The term "itinerant show or exhibition" shall be construed to mean any outdoor carnival, show or concession, exhibiting or operating under a tent or in the open for a period exceeding three (3) days, except such as come within the provisions of Ordinance No. 5240 (New Series) and Section No. 34 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series).

Section 3. The applicant for any show, exhibition or concession mentioned in Section 1 of this ordinance shall, before beginning operations, obtain a permit from the Board of Police Commissioners to so operate, which permit may be revoked at any time by said board. Without such a permit from the Board of Police Commissioners, the Tax Collector shall not issue a license to conduct any itinerant show, exhibition or concession enumerated in this ordinance. If the permit is revoked, the license issued thereon shall immediately terminate and expire. The Board of Police Commissioners shall not issue a permit and the Tax Collector shall not issue a license to any person, firm or corporation to conduct any of the shows, exhibitions or concessions mentioned in Section 1 of this ordinance if said shows, exhibitions, or concessions are

to be operated or conducted within a distance of two hundred (200) feet from the front line of any church or school, or within one hundred (100) feet of the property line on the sides or rear of any church, school lot, or children's playground; provided, however, that no other restrictions as to the location of such places shall be considered by the Board of Police Commissioners or the Tax Collector.

Section 4. The provisions of Section 1 of Ordinance No. 902 (New Series), regulating the manner of testing passenger-carrying devices, shall be strictly observed before any such itinerant show, exhibition or carnival may open for business. A permit signed by the Board of Police Commissioners certifying that all legal requirements have been met must also be first presented to the Tax Collector, before said official may issue a license to the owner or lessee of any itinerant carnival, show or exhibition or to any person holding a concession therein.

Section 5. Any person, firm or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be punishable by a fine not to exceed five hundred (500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 6. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**Install Street Lights.**

Supervisor Power presented:

Resolution No. 19817 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company is hereby instructed to install and remove street lights as follows:

**Install 400 M. R.**

Southeast corner of Haight and Webster streets.

Southwest corner of Haight and Steiner streets.

Northeast and southwest corners of Haight and Pierce streets.

Northeast and southwest corners of Haight and Scott streets.

North side of Haight street between Webster and Fillmore streets.

South side of Haight street, between Webster and Fillmore streets.

North side of Haight street between Fillmore and Steiner streets.

South Side of Haight street between Fillmore and Steiner streets.

North side of Haight street between Steiner and Pierce streets.

South side of Haight street between Steiner and Pierce streets.

North side of Haight street between Pierce and Scott streets.

South side of Haight street between Pierce and Scott streets.

*Install 250 M. R.*

East side of Twenty-seventh avenue between Taraval and Santiago streets.

*Remove 250 M. R.*

South side of Haight street between Webster and Fillmore streets.

South side of Haight street between Fillmore and Steiner streets.

South side of Haight street between Steiner and Pierce streets.

South side of Haight street between Pierce and Scott streets.

*Remove 600 M. R.*

Haight and Scott streets.

*Remove 400 M. R.*

Haight and Pierce streets.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Accepting Offer to Sell for \$19,000 Land and Improvements on Valencia Street for School Purposes.**

Resolution No. 19818 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Louise L. Colley, to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements situate on the easterly line of Valencia street, distant 40 feet southerly from Twenty-third street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land and improvements is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land and improvements, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of \$19,000, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Valencia street, distant thereon 40 feet southerly from the southerly line of Twenty-third street, running thence southerly along said easterly line of Valencia street 40 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 125 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 40 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 125 feet to the easterly line of Valencia street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Mission Block No. 155; also known as Block 3643 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called

McEnerney title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County, upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid; and the deed to said property is hereby accepted.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Accepting Offer of Bertha W. Luther to Sell Property on Waller Street for School Purposes.**

Supervisor Scott presented:

Resolution No. 19819 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Bertha W. Luther to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain lands and improvements situate on the northerly line of Waller street, distant 125 feet easterly from the easterly line of Masonic avenue, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land and improvements is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land and improvements, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of \$8,000 be, and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Waller street, distant thereon 125 feet easterly from the easterly line of Masonic avenue, running thence easterly along said northerly line of Waller street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 137 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 137 feet 6 inches to the northerly line of Waller street and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block 657.

The City Attorney is hereby requested to examine the title to said land and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for

said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid; and the deed to said property is hereby accepted.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Extension of Time, Steel Rails, United States Steel Products Co.**

Supervisor Scott presented:

Resolution No. 19820 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That an extension of thirty days' time from March 22, 1922, be granted to the United States Steel Products Co. within which to complete contract for furnishing and delivering steel rail, rail joints and track materials, under Sections A and B of Contract 127, Municipal Railway System.

This extension is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that a portion of the material failed to connect with boat reaching San Francisco before expiration of time allowed in contract.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Accepting Land for Extension of Villamar Avenue.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 19821 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the deed dated the 26th day of October, 1920, from Philip King Brown and Helen Hillyear Prown, husband and wife, Luther J. Holton and Mary Elizabeth Holton, his wife, James T. Watkins and Eleanor P. Watkins, his wife, Charles K. Field, a single man, Field Development Company (a corporation) and Spring Valley Water Company (a corporation) to the City and County of San Francisco, to lands for the extension of Villamar avenue from its present northerly termination upon conditions therein contained be, and the same is hereby accepted, and the land described therein is hereby declared to be an open public street of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Approving Map, Extension of Villamar Avenue.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 19822 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did by Resolution No. 72463 (Second Series) adopted March 20, 1922, approve a map showing the extension of Villamar avenue northerly from its present northerly termination; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map showing the extension of Villamar avenue northerly from its present northerly termination is approved and the land deeded to the City and County of San Francisco for the extension of Villamar avenue is hereby declared an open public street, and to be known as Villamar avenue.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Passed for Printing.**

The following matter were passed for printing:

**Ordering Street Work.**

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill: Bill No. 6014, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 15, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be

imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The method of assessment for the work and improvement determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No. 72249a (Second Series) is hereby confirmed.

The improvement of *Thirty-fourth avenue between Lincoln way and Irving street*, by the construction of concrete curbs and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect July 1, 1922.

#### Confirming Method of Assessment.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 19823 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the method of assessment for the improvement of *Thirty-fourth avenue between Lincoln way and Irving street* by the construction of concrete curbs and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No. 72249a (Second Series) be and the same is hereby confirmed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

#### Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Seventh Avenue.

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill: Bill No. 6015, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section One Hundred Thirty-seven thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, is hereby amended in accordance with communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office March 17, 1922, by amending Section One Hundred and Thirty-seven thereof to read as follows:

Section 137. The width of sidewalks on *Seventh avenue between Lincoln way and Lawton street* shall be twelve (12) feet.

The width of sidewalks on *Seventh avenue between Lawton street and Noriega street* shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### Fixing Width of Sidewalks, Tenth Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 6016, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered Seven Hundred and Ninety-two and Seven Hundred and Ninety-three.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office March 21, 1922, by adding thereto new sections, to be numbered Seven Hundred and Ninety-two and Seven Hundred and Ninety-three, to read as follows:

Section 792. The width of sidewalks on *Tenth avenue between Lake street and its northerly termination* shall be thirteen (13) feet.

Section 793. The width of sidewalks on *Twelfth avenue between Lake street and its northerly termination* shall be thirteen (13) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths will be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### Award of Contract, Official Advertising.

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 19824 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the contract for doing official advertising for the City and County of San Francisco for one year from April 1, 1922, to and including April 1, 1923, in a daily newspaper in the City and County of San Francisco, which has a bona fide daily circulation of at least 8000 copies and has been in existence at the time of letting such contract for at least two years, and to deliver daily to the office of the Board of Supervisors and to any other office or department of the City and County authorized to advertise, as many copies of the "official newspaper" not to exceed one hundred and fifty, as may be directed by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, and

must also deliver as directed by said Clerk at least ten and not to exceed one hundred and twenty-five copies or slips of all orders, ordinances, resolutions or notices, published by order of the Board of Supervisors, or by any other department or officer of the municipal government authorized or permitted to advertise in said "official newspaper;" also deliver at least one hundred copies of all resolutions, orders, ordinances or notices published by order of any of the offices or departments of the City and County (other than the Board of Supervisors) to such office or department causing said publication, in strict accordance with the specifications and the advertisement inviting proposals thereon, is hereby awarded to the Chronicle Publishing Company, to be published in the "San Francisco Chronicle," which is hereby designated as the "official newspaper," at the price bid therefor, viz.: For each insertion in 6-point type, per square, 42 cents; provided the sureties on its bond, which is hereby fixed at ten thousand (\$10,000) dollars, are satisfactory to his Honor the Mayor, who is hereby authorized to enter into said contract.

The "San Francisco Chronicle" newspaper is hereby declared and designated to be the "official newspaper" of the City and County for one year from April 1, 1922, to and including April 1, 1923.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

#### Rates for Advertising.

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 19825 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors be and he is hereby authorized and directed to collect the following rates for advertising for publications made in the official newspaper from April 1, 1922, to April 1, 1923, to-wit:

For proposal notices inviting bids, resolutions of award of contract, bills and ordinances granting franchises, fifty cents per square.

For resolutions granting extensions of time to complete contracts, the sum of two dollars and fifty cents shall be paid to cover the cost of advertising.

For resolutions granting permits for blasting, dyeing and cleaning works, engines and boilers, garages, automobile supply stations, parking stations, furnaces, hospitals, gas works, laundries, medical colleges, oil storage, planing mills and wood-working establishments, stables and undertaking es-

tablishments, or for masked balls when the license fee is remitted, or for amateur wrestling matches when no license therefor is imposed, or for any other permit which requires no license fee in connection therewith, the sum of five dollars shall be paid to cover the cost of advertising.

Resolved, That all sums of money so collected shall be immediately paid into the Treasury by said Clerk, as provided by Chapter III, Article III of the Charter, and the Treasurer of this City and County is hereby directed to issue to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors his receipt for the moneys so collected and paid to said Treasurer.

Resolved, That the above rates of advertising, in so far as they are applicable, shall be collected by all officers, offices and departments of this City and County.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

#### Referred.

The following was presented and referred to the Supplies Committee:

Clerk to Advertise for Proposals, Loose Leaf Record Books.

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise for proposals for furnishing 50 loose leaf record books and sheets for the Recorder; also for 150,000 tax bills, year 1922, for the Tax Collector, as per specifications prepared by the Supplies Committee.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

#### Extension of Time.

Supervisor Deasy presented:

Resolution No. 19826 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Schmitz Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of sixty days from and after March 28, 1922, within which to complete contract for construction of a fire cistern at Lakeview and Josiah avenues.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor was delayed by inclement weather and awaiting testing of cistern.

*Adopted under suspension of the rules by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers,

Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

#### Masquerade Ball Permit.

Supervisor Robb presented:

Resolution No. 19827 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted Workmen's Circle, Branch 114, of San Francisco, to give a masquerade ball at Majestic Hall, Geary and Fillmore streets, Sunday evening, April 2, 1922, upon payment of the usual license fee.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

#### "49" Celebration at Sacramento.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 19829 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City of Sacramento has arranged for a series of events commemorative of the pioneer and mining days of this State, reproducing the times and spirit of '49, therefore,

Resolved, That San Francisco should be represented on this occasion and the Mayor is authorized to appoint a suitable committee for this purpose.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

#### Reconstruction of Everett School.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Education is hereby earnestly requested to give immediate consideration and recommendation for the reconstruction of the Everett School, situated on Sanchez street, between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets, for the reason that it is apparent and necessary that a modern and convenient building be erected to accommodate the steadily increasing school attendance in this locality.

*Referred to Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee.*

#### South of Market Playground.

Supervisor Schmitz presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That land be purchased in the district east of Seventh and south of Mission streets for playground purposes.

*Referred to Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee.*

#### Mission Playground.

Supervisor Schmitz presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That land be purchased in the neighborhood of Mission, Whipple, Naglee and Ellington streets for playground purposes.

*Referred to the Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee.*

#### Repair of Column of Progress.

Supervisor Schmitz presented:

Resolution No. 19833 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Column of Progress at the Marina is in a dilapidated condition and should either be repaired or removed; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Exposition Company be and is hereby requested to repair the said Column of Progress to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and failing to do so within sixty days that the Exposition Company be compelled to demolish the same.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

#### Roosevelt School.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Roosevelt Grammar School, situated in the Richmond District, is attended by approximately 700 boys and girls; and

Whereas, the stairways, corridor floors and fire escapes vibrate excessively when the children march to and from the class-rooms, and wooden supports in the basement are cracked apparently from strain; be it

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors that the Committee on Education, Parks and Playgrounds make an immediate investigation of the need for a new building for this school.

*Referred to Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee.*

#### Awards of Contracts, Foodstuffs.

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 19831 (New Series), as follows:

Awarding contracts for furnishing foodstuffs for use of the public institutions and departments of the City and County of San Francisco, for which the Board of Supervisors is required to make contracts, required during the months of April, May and June, 1922, be and the same are hereby awarded to individuals, firms or corporations in conformity with their bids submitted March 13, 1922.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Award of Contract, Lumber, Millwork, etc.

Also, Resolution No. 19830 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That contracts for furnishing lumber and millwork and street and sewer materials required during April, May and June, 1922, be and the same are hereby awarded to individuals, firms and corporations, in compliance with their bids submitted March 13, 1922.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors June 12, 1922.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,

City and County of San Francisco.

Clerk to Advertise for Proposals, General Supplies, Printing, Books and Stationery.

Also, Resolution No. 19832 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk be and hereby is directed to advertise for proposals for furnishing the Municipal Departments (not otherwise provided for by law) during the fiscal year 1922-1923 with general supplies; also printing, books and stationery, as per specifications prepared by the Supplies Committee.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

#### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board, at the hour of 6:15 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk.

Monday, April 3, 1922.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



**THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY**

77 Sutter Street, S. F.

JO

M

In  
cisco  
Th  
regu

Th  
ing  
St  
Hay  
Mor  
Scot  
Al  
vibi  
Q  
H

T  
mee  
erec  
ROL

C  
Stre  
any  
Dur  
R  
tee  
C  
disc  
ing  
Cou  
of  
Pol  
L  
tee

App  
p  
th  
T  
anc  
Con

Cle  
P  
De  
I  
Ga  
Bo  
Co  
the  
of  
au

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

---

MONDAY, APRIL 3, 1922, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, April 3, 1922, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mulvihill—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of March 6, 1922, was considered read and *approved*.

### ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

#### Bay Bridge Favored.

*Communication*—From Mission Street Merchants Association, favoring any efforts in promotion of proposed Dumbarton Point Bay Bridge.

*Referred to Special Bridge Committee.*

*Communication*—From San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, suggesting a conference with San Mateo County for the immediate construction of a trans-bay bridge at Dumbarton Point.

*Referred to Special Bridge Committee.*

*Application of Golden Gate Ferry Company to Erect and Take Tolls on a Public Ferry.*

The following was presented, read and *referred to the Public Utilities Committee*:

March 30, 1922.

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Dear Sir:

Enclosed please find a copy of the notice of the intention of the Golden Gate Ferry Company to apply to the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco on Monday, the 24th day of April, 1922, at the hour of two o'clock p. m. of said day, for authority to erect, take tolls on and to

keep a public ferry across the San Francisco Bay and between terminals located in San Francisco and Sausalito, Marin County, California.

I would greatly appreciate your placing the above matter upon the calendar of the Board of Supervisors for hearing on the above mentioned date.

Thanking you, I remain,

Very truly yours,

DUDLEY D. SALES.

To whom it may concern: Notice is hereby given that the Golden Gate Ferry Company, on Monday, the 24th day of April, 1922, at the hour of two (2) o'clock p. m. of said day, or as soon thereafter as it can be heard, in the Assembly Room of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, located in the City Hall of said City and County, intends to make written application to said Board of Supervisors for authority to erect and take tolls on a public ferry, to be operated across the San Francisco Bay, between terminals located in said City and County of San Francisco and the City of Sausalito, Marin County, California.

GOLDEN GATE FERRY COMPANY,  
By AVEN J. HANFORD, President.

Leave of Absence, Mayor Rolph.

The following matters were presented and read by the Clerk:

April 3, 1922.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, Cal. Gentlemen:

I hereby make application to your Honorable Board for a leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California, for a period of thirty days, commencing Wednesday, April 5th, 1922.

Very respectfully yours,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,

Mayor.

Resolution No. 19851 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Hon. James Rolph, Jr., Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing April 5, 1922, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi,

Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mulvihill—2.

Leave of Absence, Supervisor Bath.

*Communication*—From Mayor, recommending leave of absence for Supervisor Bath for thirty days, commencing April 6, 1922.

Resolution No. 19850 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Edwin G. Bath, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing April 6, 1922, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mulvihill—2.

Report of Building Committee on Mission High School.

*Supervisor McLeran* moved that the following report be concurred in and a copy sent to the Board of Education.

*Motion carried.*

The report follows:

San Francisco, April 3, 1922.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors:

Pursuant to resolution of the Board, the Building Committee has made careful investigation of the condition of the Mission High School, recently damaged by fire.

The Committee made a personal survey of the structure and, while the Committee was in agreement as to the rehabilitation of the building, it was felt advisable, because of the problem involved, to have expert opinion in addition to the opinions of the members of the Committee; therefore the Committee procured the service of Frederick H. Meyer, architect, to make a supplemental and analytical report on the High School building.

Mr. Meyer's report is herewith presented to the Board. In substance it recommends that, to reconstruct the building would be uneconomical and inadvisable as it would not serve the school purposes, and would not be a first-class structure; but, to meet the emergency, he suggests that the building be rehabilitated as a temporary High School until a new and modern building can be constructed.

The rehabilitation of the building to its former capacity would involve an expenditure of approximately \$200,000, and a year's time would be consumed in making the repairs.

However, to place the building in use, with renewed plumbing, wiring, etc., so that 20 class-rooms would be available for temporary use, it can be done for approximately \$40,000, and these rooms would be available for the classes at the beginning of the next school term.

The construction of the new wing of the Mission High School is now under way, and the temporary rehabilitation of the old building would enable the Board of Education to conduct the Mission High School classes without disadvantage or inconvenience to the Department or the pupils.

The reconstruction of the Mission High School for this temporary purpose, and to meet the emergency, can be done at very much less expense than to build or rent temporary class-rooms until such time as the main building is provided.

After careful reading of Mr. Meyer's report, and in the experience of the members of the Building Committee, the Committee approves the suggestions made by Mr. Meyer, and recommends that the report be forwarded to the Board of Education for its consideration and approval.

Respectfully submitted,

W. S. SCOTT,

R. McLERAN,

CHAS. J. POWERS,

Public Building Committee.

#### REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.

The following committees, by their respective chairmen, presented reports on various matters referred, which reports were read and ordered *filed*:

Supplies Committee, by Supervisor Rossi, chairman.

Fire Committee, by Supervisor Deasy, chairman.

Public Buildings Committee, by Supervisor Scott, chairman.

Public Welfare and Publicity Committee, by Supervisor Colman, chairman.

Joint Fire and Streets Committee, by Supervisor Mulvihill, chairman.

Streets Committee, by Supervisor Mulvihill, chairman.

#### PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

##### Sterilizer.

Bids for one high-pressure dressing sterilizer for the Central Emergency Hospital were received, opened and referred as follows:

(1) Frederick A. Hamilton, certified check Wells Fargo Bank, \$100.

(2) Mott Company of California (no check).

*Referred to Supplies Committee.*

Report of the Whole on Amendment to Volstead Act.

The following report was presented and read by the Clerk:

March 20, 1922.

To the Hon. Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco:

Your Committee of the Whole sitting to consider a resolution from the S. F. Caravan No. 6, Order of Camels, requesting the endorsement of a petition pending before the Congress of the United States to amend the Volstead Act with reference to the use of light wines and beers begs leave to report that it has considered the communications received from the S. F. Caravan No. 6, Order of Camels; communication from the City of Streator, Ill.; communication from the S. F. Labor Council; communication from the Civic League of Improvement Clubs and Associations, and others favoring the endorsement of the petition pending before Congress; also, that it has considered a communication from the Wright Act Publicity Committee for the passage of a resolution favoring the Wright Anti-Bootlegging Act now before the people on referendum.

Furthermore, your Committee of the Whole heard Eugene E. Pfaeffle and J. C. Kloss, representing S. F. Caravan No. 6, Order of Camels; Mrs. Rosalie Rooney, president of the Women's National Association for Personal Liberty and Opposition to Prohibition; John O'Connell, representing the S. F. Labor Council, and Geo. Skaller, representing the Civic League of Improvement Clubs and Associations, urging favorable action on the pending petition. Chester Rowell, chairman of the Wright Act Publicity Committee, was also heard alleging that any action of this Board in the matter would be futile and an idle act, and urging that the Board endorse the Wright Act providing for the strict enforcement of the prohibition law.

Whereupon, your Committee of the Whole adopted by a vote of 9 to 8 a motion by Supervisor Hayden "that the Clerk of this Board prepare a resolution to be placed on the ballot as a declaration of policy from the people of San Francisco to the Congress of the United States asking for a modification of the Volstead Act permitting the use of light wines and beers."

Thereupon, the Committee of the Whole arose and the chair announced that the Clerk stood directed to prepare the necessary resolution for submission to the people.

RALPH McLERAN.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and adopted:

Resolution No. 19854 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to the provisions of Section 2, Chapter IV, Article XI, of the Charter, the Board of Supervisors herewith submits to the

voters of the City and County of San Francisco the following question, to be voted upon at the general election to be held on the 7th day of November, 1922, viz.:

"Shall Congress be memorialized to so amend the law as to permit the manufacture and use of light wines and beer for beverage purposes?"

That such question be printed on the ballot to be used at such election with a space opposite the same so that each voter may express the answer thereto either "yes" or "no."

That the Election Commission make due return of the result of the votes cast upon such question, and should the same receive a majority in the affirmative, this Board will memorialize Congress accordingly.

Adopted under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mulvihill—2.

Relative to Extension of Blasting and Rock Crushing Limits.

The following bills were presented by the Fire and Streets Committee with the recommendation that they do not pass:

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

To prohibit the establishment, maintenance or use of rock-crushing machines within certain limits.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. No person, company or association shall establish, maintain or use any rock-crushing machine operated by steam, gas, electric, vapor or other motive power, within that portion of the City and County bounded as follows:

By The Embarcadero, Green street, Calhoun street, Union street, Sansome street, Greenwich street, Montgomery street, Lombard street, Winthrop street, Chestnut street, Kearny street, The Embarcadero, Jefferson street, Van Ness avenue, Bay street, Broderick street, Haight street, Scott street, Thirteenth street, Castro street, Seventeenth street, Douglass street, Romain street, Corbett avenue, High street, Clipper street, Douglass street, Twenty-seventh street, Burnett avenue, Thirtieth street, San Jose avenue, Army street, York street, Twenty-fifth street, Portrero avenue, Brannan street and the waters of the bay from Brannan street to Green street.

Section 2. Any person, company or association violating the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and, upon conviction,

tion thereof, shall be fined a sum not exceeding five hundred dollars, nor less than twenty-five dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail of said City and County of San Francisco for a term not exceeding six months nor less than three days, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 3. Ordinance No. 647, entitled "To prohibit the establishment, maintenance or use of rock-crushing machines within certain limits" (approved February 10, 1903) and Ordinance No. 682, entitled "An ordinance amending Section 1 of an ordinance entitled Ordinance No. 647, to prohibit the establishment, maintenance and use of rock-crushing machines within certain limits" (approved April 3, 1903), and Ordinance No. 945, to prohibit the establishment, maintenance or use of rock-crushing machines within certain limits (approved August 7, 1903), and all other ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict with this ordinance, are hereby repealed.

Section 4. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force on and after the — day of —, 1922.

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

To prohibit the maintenance or operation of rock or stone quarries within certain limits.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. No person, company or association shall maintain or operate any rock or stone quarry within that portion of the City and County of San Francisco bounded as follows:

By Van Ness avenue, Bay street, Broderick street, Haight street, Scott street, Thirteenth street, Castro street, Seventeenth street, Douglass street, Romain street, Corbett avenue, High street, Clipper street, Douglass street, Twenty-seventh street, Burnett avenue, Thirtieth street, San Jose avenue, Army street, York street, Twenty-fifth street, Potrero avenue, Brannan street and the waters of the bay from Brannan street to Van Ness avenue.

Section 2. Any person, company or association violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and, upon conviction thereof, shall be fined a sum not exceeding five hundred dollars, nor less than twenty-five dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail of said City and County of San Francisco for a term not exceeding six months, nor less than three days, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 3. Ordinance No. 648, entitled "To prohibit the maintenance and operation of rock or stone quarries within certain limits" (approved February 10, 1903) and Ordinance No. 683, entitled "An ordinance amending Section 1 of an ordinance entitled

Ordinance No. 648, to prohibit the maintenance and operation of rock or stone quarries within certain limits" (approved April 3, 1903), and Ordinance No. 944, to prohibit the maintenance or operation of rock or stone quarries within certain limits (approved August 7, 1903), and all other ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed.

Section 4. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force on and after the — day of —, 1922.

(Discussion by Supervisors Welch, Scott, Shannon, Deasy, McGregor, Hayden, Powers and Mayor Rolph.)

**Motion Carried.**

*Supervisor Welch:* I move that the matter be heard at the next meeting of the Committee.

*Motion carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Wetmore—12.

Noes—Supervisors Powers, Schmitz, Welch—3.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mulvihill—2.

Excused from voting—Supervisor Scott—1.

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

##### Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

##### Authorizations.

Resolution No. 19834 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

##### Park Fund.

(1) J. P. McLaughlin, U. S. Collector of Internal Revenue, tax on beverages and admissions (claim dated March 24, 1922), \$5,178.02.

##### School Bonds, Issue 1918.

(2) J. S. Hannah, second payment, general construction of Columbus School addition (claim dated March 22, 1922), \$5,385.25.

(3) O. Monson, final payment, construction Bernal School addition (claim dated March 22, 1922), \$10,136.87.

##### Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(4) Firestone Tire & Rubber Co., auto tires, etc. (claim dated March 23, 1922), \$502.75.

(5) W. H. Worden Co. Inc., camp pump (claim dated March 2, 1922), \$655.

(6) United States Steel Products Co., copper wire (claim dated March 23, 1922), \$678.53.

(7) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electric service at Priest Camp (claim dated March 16, 1922), \$736.70.

(8) Palace Hardware Co., crockery, etc., supplies (claim dated March 23, 1922), \$789.81.

(9) International Diamond Drill Contracting Co., carbon and drill rental (claim dated March 22, 1922), \$829.20.

(10) Sullivan Machinery Co., machine parts (claim dated March 23, 1922), \$888.23.

(11) Engineering Products Co., one Wheeling crusher (claim dated March 23, 1922), \$946.92.

(12) Golden State & Miners' Iron Works, dump and skip cars (claim dated March 23, 1922), \$1,010.66.

(13) Fred L. Hilmer Co., food supplies (claim dated March 22, 1922), \$1,016.43.

(14) Crane Co., machine parts (claim dated March 23, 1922), \$1,095.50.

(15) Sierra Railway Co. of California, car service (claim dated March 20, 1922), \$1,366.20.

(16) Montague Pipe & Steel Co., steel pine, etc. (claim dated March 16, 1922), \$1,425.66.

(17) A. S. Cameron Steam Pump Works, one pump (claim dated March 23, 1922), \$1,625.

(18) Pacific Tank & Pipe Co., tank and fir piping (claim dated March 22, 1922), \$2,949.92.

(19) Standard Oil Co. Inc., fuel oil (claim dated March 22, 1922), \$4,034.65.

(20) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premium, Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated March 16, 1922), \$8,336.70.

(21) Hercules Powder Co., gelatin and exploders (claim dated March 22, 1922), \$15,308.05.

#### *Municipal Railway Fund.*

(22) Union Oil Co. of California, gasoline. Municipal Railways (claim dated March 23, 1922), \$800.31.

(23) United States Steel Products Co., trolley wire (claim dated March 23, 1922), \$1,304.11.

(24) Cambria Steel Co., steel car wheels (claim dated March 23, 1922), \$8,100.

#### *General Fund, 1920-1921.*

(25) O. Monson, 3d payment, general construction. Fire Department Chief's residence (claim dated March 22, 1922), \$7,441.22.

#### *General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(26) Walter M. Murphy Co., one Lincoln auto, Fire Department (claim dated March 16, 1922), \$3,780.

(27) Union Oil Co., fuel oil, etc., Fire Department (claim dated March 15, 1922), \$1,205.10.

(28) Producers Hay Co., hay, etc., Police Department (claim dated March 20, 1922), \$609.12.

(29) Wilbur Smith, two Ford autos,

Sealer of Weights and Measures (claim dated March 18, 1922), \$839.53.

(30) A. Carlisle & Co., printing, Department of Elections (claim dated March 23, 1922), \$957.75.

(31) Thompson Bros. Inc., motor truck wheels, Department of Public Works (claim dated March 18, 1922), \$839.50.

(32) Coast Rock & Gravel Co., sand and gravel, Department of Public Works (claim dated March 20, 1922), \$786.02.

(33) Western Rock Products Co., sand, Department of Public Works (claim dated March 18, 1922), \$1,459.22.

(34) Neal, Stratford & Kerr, printing Auditor's report (claim dated March 27, 1922), \$748.

(35) L. Dinkelspiel & Co., dry goods, Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$947.

(36) Standard Oil Co., fuel oil, Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$1,971.81.

(37) Haas Bros., groceries, etc., Relief Home (claim dated March 20, 1922), \$876.56.

(38) Hooper & Jennings, groceries, Relief Home (claim dated March 20, 1922), \$1,520.85.

(39) Smith-Lynden Co., groceries, Relief Home (claim dated March 20, 1922), \$978.79.

(40) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$2,627.96.

(41) Baumgarten Bros., meat, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$1,403.81.

(42) Associated Charities, cash and orders issued, Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$560.21.

(43) Alice Newman, injured Health Department employee, compensation insurance, per award by State Industrial Accident Commission (claim dated March 11, 1922), \$1,195.60.

#### *Special School Tax, 1921-1922.*

(44) Quinn & Reilly, 3d payment, general construction, Emerson School (claim dated March 24, 1922), \$12,686.75.

#### *Library Fund.*

(45) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$1,464.22.

(46) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$1,268.86.

(47) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$1,244.58.

(48) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$586.74.

(49) Foster & Futernick, binding library books (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$1,565.35.

(50) Forderer Cornice Works,

library window repairs (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$966.54.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mulvihill—2.

Appropriation, \$8,500. Payment to Chas. A. Adams for Land, Pacific Heights School.

Resolution No. 19835 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$8,500 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax, 1921-1922, and authorized in payment to Charles A. Adams, being payment for lands and improvements situate on north line of Webster street, commencing 103 feet 8½ inches north from Jackson street, 24x137½ feet, required for Pacific Heights School. Per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 19645 (New Series).

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mulvihill—2.

Appropriation, \$7,000, Plans, etc., Andrew Jackson School.

Resolution No. 19836 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$7,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax, 1921-1922, for expense of preparation of plans and specifications for the Andrew Jackson School, to be erected on Grove street between Clayton and Cole streets.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mulvihill—2.

Appropriation, Construction, Drill Tower, Fire Department.

Resolution No. 19837 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds, for construction of Fire Department drill tower, Division street between Tenth and Eleventh streets, as per itemization hereinafter, to-wit:

Drill tower, Budget Item No. 442, \$23,000.

Fire Department building, Budget Item No. 63, \$15,937.

General construction (L. M. Sommer), \$23,874.

Brick and tile work (Charles Hock), \$9,375.

Electric work (F. E. Newberry Co.), \$1,135.

Plumbing, etc. (A. Lettich), \$2,153.

Inspection, \$1,200.

Extras and incidentals, \$1,000.

Architect services, additional, \$200.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mulvihill—2.

#### Garage, Boiler and Oil Permits.

Resolution No. 19838 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

#### Public Garage.

J. A. Yoakam, at 514½ Pacific street. Weber & Miller, at 943 Post street, in basement.

11. A. Meagher, on east side of Valencia street, 90 feet south of Twenty-first street; also to store 300 gallons of gasoline on premises.

Fred Moller, on west side of Sixth street, 125 feet north of Bryant street, extending through to Harriet street on the west and to Bryant street on the south; also to store 300 gallons of gasoline on premises.

A. P. Chapuis, at 834 Divisadero street; also to store 300 gallons of gasoline on premises.

#### Boiler.

M. Dettling, at 386 Utah street, 60-horsepower.

Continental Furniture Manufacturing Company, on Florida street between Fifteenth and Sixteenth streets; 75-horsepower.

#### Oil Storage Tank.

(1500 gallons capacity.)

E. V. Lacey, on east side Van Ness avenue, 47 feet south of Broadway.

W. Props, on west side of Hyde street, 50 feet south of Pine street.

Veyhle, Collins & Barz, on south side of Union street, 150 feet east of Van Ness avenue.

A. W. Congdon, on north side of Twenty-first street, 45 feet east of San Carlos avenue.

Sam Solomon, on north side of Haight street, 100 feet west of Laguna street.

Louis Stoff, on south side of Bush street, 176 feet east of Leavenworth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi,

Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mulvihill—2.

Amending City Planning Ordinance, San Bruno Avenue.

Bill No. 6011, Ordinance No. 5603 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings and the location of buildings designed for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 11 of the use of property zone map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the easterly side of San Bruno avenue between Key and Le Conte avenues and the westerly side of San Bruno avenue between Mansell and Ward streets to the depth of the rear lot lines, in the commercial district, instead of in the second residential district.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mulvihill—2.

#### Health Inspection Fee Ordinance Amended.

Bill No. 6012, Ordinance No. 5604 (New Series), as follows:

Amending section 7 of Ordinance No. 5398 (New Series), entitled "Imposing fees for inspection, examination and permits in certain cases, and authorizing the Board of Health to collect the same."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 7 of Ordinance No. 5398 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is amended to read as follows:

Section 7. For the permit required to be issued for the removal of dead human bodies or disinterred human remains, the Board of Health shall collect in advance of the issuance thereof the sum of one dollar; provided, however, that no fee shall be collected for removals from legally closed cemeteries.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi,

Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mulvihill—2.

#### Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 6014, Ordinance No. 5605 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 15, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten instalments: that the period of time after the payment of the first instalment when each of the succeeding instalments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding instalment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The method of assessment for the work and improvement determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No. 72249A (Second Series), is hereby confirmed.

The improvement of Thirty-fourth avenue, between Lincoln way and Irving street, by the construction of concrete curbs and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect July 1, 1922.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mulvihill—2.

#### Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Seventh Avenue.

Bill No. 6015, Ordinance No. 5606 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending section one hundred and thirty-seven thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, is hereby amended in accordance with communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office March 17, 1922, by amending section one hundred and thirty-seven thereof, to read as follows:

Section 137. The width of sidewalks on Seventh avenue between Lincoln way and Lawton street shall be twelve (12) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Seventh avenue between Lawton street and Noriega street shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mulvihill—2.

#### Fixing Width of Sidewalks, Tenth Avenue.

Bill No. 6016, Ordinance No. 5607 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered seven hundred and ninety-two and seven hundred and ninety-three.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office March 21, 1922, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered seven hundred and ninety-two and seven hundred and ninety-three, to read as follows:

Section 792. The width of sidewalks on Tenth avenue, between Lake street and its northerly termination, shall be thirteen (13) feet.

Section 793. The width of sidewalks on Twelfth avenue, between Lake street and its northerly termination, shall be thirteen (13) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mulvihill—2.

#### Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 6010, Ordinance No. 5608 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 8, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Fortieth avenue, between Balboa and Cabrillo streets, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of a central strip of vertical fiber brick pavement 14 feet in width from the northerly line of Cabrillo street to a line 300 feet northerly therefrom, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the remainder of the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mulvihill—2.

#### Action Deferred.

The following bill, heretofore passed for printing, was taken up and on motion *laid over one week*:

#### Amendment of Building Law, Fireproofing Boiler Heating and Furnace Rooms.

Bill No. 5876, Ordinance No. — (New Series) amending Section No. 253 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as "The Building Law," approved December 22, 1909, relating to fireproofing boiler heating and furnace rooms.

#### REPORT OF FINANCE COMMITTEE.

Demands on the Treasury amounting to \$170,490.82, including the following Urgent Necessity, were presented and approved by the following vote:

##### *Urgent Necessity.*

California School for Deaf and Blind, clothing, etc., Edward Brown, San Francisco, commitment, \$29.02.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mulvihill—2.

#### NEW BUSINESS.

##### Auditorium Rentals.

On motion of Supervisor Hayden:

Resolution No. 19839 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following persons and organizations be granted permission to occupy halls in the Auditorium, deposits having been made to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to guarantee the rental fees:

The Widows' and Orphans' Aid Association of the San Francisco Police Department, use of Main, Polk and Larkin halls, February 10, 1923, 6 p. m. to 2 a. m., for the purpose of holding reception and ball.

Selby C. Oppenheimer, use of Main Hall, April 23, 1922, 8 a. m. to 6 p. m., for the purpose of holding a concert.

San Francisco Pyramid Scouts, use of Main and Polk halls, May 5 and 6, 1922, until 12 p. m., for the purpose of holding a pageant.

The Emporium, use of Main Hall, May 8, 1922, 6 p. m. to 12 p. m., for the purpose of holding a dance.

California Industries Exposition, use of Main, Polk and Larkin halls, October 2 to 30, 1922, 12 p. m., inclusive, for the purpose of holding second an-

nual exposition; partial deposit paid to cover the reservation of above dates.

Young Men's Institute, use of Main Hall, October 31, 1922, 6 p. m. to 1 a. m., for the purpose of holding a reception and dance.

Frank W. Healy, use of Main Hall, November 1 and 12, 1922, 8 a. m. to 6 p. m., of each date, for the purpose of holding concerts. The above dates are in lieu of April 9 and 23, heretofore canceled.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mulvihill—2.

#### Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

##### Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McLeran:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the herein-after mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

##### *Special School Tax, 1920-1921.*

(1) Anderson & Ringrose, 3d payment, general construction of Parkside School (claim dated March 30, 1922), \$17,906.25.

##### *Special School Tax, 1921-1922.*

(2) John Reid Jr., 2d payment, architectural services, Oral-Deaf School (claim dated March 30, 1922), \$1,200. *School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(3) The Turner Co., final payment, electrical work, Bernal School addition (claim dated March 29, 1922), \$849.20.

(4) A. Holle, 2d payment, plumbing, Crocker-Amazon School (claim dated March 29, 1922), \$1,368.75.

(5) The Turner Co., final payment, plumbing, etc., Bernal School (claim dated March 29, 1922), \$1,309.25.

(6) Standard Electrical Construction Co., 1st payment, electrical work, Mission High School addition (claim dated March 29, 1922), \$1,882.50.

(7) C. P. W. Jensen, 2d payment, general construction, Crocker-Amazon School (claim dated March 29, 1922), \$9,999.

##### *Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.*

(8) Payne's Bolt Works, tie rods, Municipal Railways (claim dated March 29, 1922), \$1,140.

##### *Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(9) Oakdale Milling Co., supplies, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated March 25, 1922), \$939.03.

(10) Goodyear Rubber Co., supplies (claim dated March 28, 1922), \$1,281.11.

(11) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Hetch Hetchy revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers attached (claim dated March 25, 1922), \$1,374.30.

(12) The Giant Powder Co. Con., powder (claim dated March 28, 1922), \$7,637.24.

(13) The Utah Construction Co., reimbursement for payments to clearing contractors, extra work, etc. (claim dated March 25, 1922), \$2,575.91.

(14) South San Francisco Packing & Provision Co., supplies (claim dated March 30, 1922), \$524.82.

(15) Western Meat Co., supplies (claim dated March 30, 1922), \$528.91.

(16) Miller & Lux Inc., supplies (claim dated March 30, 1922), \$530.40.

(17) Krogh Pump & Machinery Co., one 2-stage vertical pump (claim dated March 30, 1922), \$598.58.

(18) J. H. Newbauer & Co., supplies (claim dated March 30, 1922), \$654.33.

(19) A. Leschen & Sons Rope Co., wire rope (claim dated March 30, 1922), \$699.70.

(20) Edward W. Brown Co., supplies (claim dated March 30, 1922), \$901.88.

(21) Sperry Flour Co., supplies (claim dated March 30, 1922), \$958.42.

(22) Haas Bros., supplies (claim dated March 30, 1922), \$1,155.25.

(23) Virden Packing Co., supplies (claim dated March 30, 1922), \$1,560.44.

(24) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated March 30, 1922), \$1,605.85.

(25) Standard Oil Co. Inc., fuel oil (claim dated March 30, 1922), \$1,828.27.

(26) J. F. Hedden, switch sets, etc. (claim dated March 30, 1922), \$1,895.50.

(27) California Saw Works, beam blocks, etc. (claim dated March 30, 1922), \$2,379.70.

(28) Keystone Lubricating Co., grease (claim dated March 30, 1922), \$3,174.82.

(29) Hoar Shovel Agency Inc., one mucking machine and machine parts (claim dated March 30, 1922), \$3,726.21.

(30) Hercules Powder Co., gelatin and blasting caps (claim dated March 30, 1922), \$4,238.28.

(31) C. W. Marwedel, sheet copper (claim dated March 30, 1922), \$6,333.

*General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(32) H. O. Harrison Co., one Hudson auto, Sheriff's Dept. (claim dated Feb. 20, 1922), \$2,056.18.

(33) Matthew Brady, District Attorney, expenses of prosecutions (claim dated March 24, 1922), \$1,500.

(34) Matthew Brady, District Attor-

ney, expenses of prosecutions (claim dated March 24, 1922), \$1,000.

(35) Bender-Moss Co., law books furnished District Attorney (claim dated March 27, 1922), \$583.27.

(36) Goodyear Rubber Co., hose, etc., Dept. of Public Works (claim dated March 27, 1922), \$530.

(37) Union Oil Co. of Cal., asphalt, Dept. of Public Works (claim dated March 25, 1922), \$545.25.

(38) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., surface heater royalties (claim dated March 27, 1922), \$578.70.

(39) Pacific Portland Cement Co., lime dust, Dept. of Public Works (claim dated March 27, 1922), \$1,960.59.

(40) Standard Oil Co. Inc., asphalt, Dept. of Public Works (claim dated March 25, 1922), \$4,250.55.

(41) Union Oil Co. of Cal., fuel oil, Dept. of Public Works (claim dated March 25, 1922), \$2,038.92.

(42) Union Oil Co., gasoline, Police Dept. (claim dated March 27, 1922), \$565.95.

(43) Howard Automobile Co., two Buick roadsters, Fire Dept. (claim dated March 29, 1922), \$3,304.34.

(44) Oliva Bros., supplies, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$1,127.40.

(45) L. Dinkelspiel Co. Inc., dry goods, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$504.90.

(46) L. Dinkelspiel Co. Inc., dry goods, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Feb. 28, 1922), \$1,846.39.

(47) Neal, Stratford & Kerr, license books for Auditor (claim dated April 3, 1922), \$1,209.

Appropriation, Land for Horace Mann and Dudley Stone Schools.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax, 1921-1922, and authorized in payment to the following named persons; being payments for lands and improvements required for school purposes, to-wit:

To Louise L. Colley, per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 19818 (New Series), required for the Horace Mann School, \$19,000.

To Bertha W. Luther, per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 19819 (New Series), required for the Dudley Stone School, \$8,000.

Appropriation, \$748.04, Crocker National Bank, Fiscal Agent.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$748.04 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Urgent Neces-

sity, Budget Item No. 30, and authorized in payment to The Crocker National Bank for expense attending bond payments acting as City's fiscal agent (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922).

Appropriation, \$5,281,000, Aqueduct Tunnels, Hetch Hetchy.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of five million two hundred and eighty-one thousand dollars (\$5,281,000) be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910, to cover costs of work under Contract No. 77-C for the construction of aqueduct tunnels in the Mountain Division of the Hetch Hetchy water project.

#### Appropriations.

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 19840 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the purposes set forth, to-wit:

#### County Road Fund.

(1) For the improvement of Corbett road between Clayton and Twenty-fourth streets, \$500.

#### Extension of Main Sewers, Budget Item 47.

(2) For the construction of a 24-inch pipe sewer in center line of Taraval street to a line 120 feet westerly from Forty-fourth avenue; City's contribution, \$440.

(3) For the construction of a 24-inch pipe sewer in center line of Taraval street to a line 120 feet easterly from the center line of Forty-fifth avenue; City's contribution, \$440.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mulvihill—2.

#### City Attorney to Commence Condemnation Proceedings, Acquisition.

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 19841 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and is authorized and directed to institute condemnation proceedings for the acquiring by the City of that portion of property known as Ocean Shore Railroad right of way, running southeasterly from Harrison street and southwesterly from Eleventh street, of approximately 60 feet frontage on Har-

ison street by 300 feet in depth on a curve.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mulvihill—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were passed for printing:

#### Garage and Oil Permits.

Supervisor Deasy presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

#### Public Garage.

Claire Sloan (two-story building), on west side of Divisadero street, 50 feet south of Bush street; also to store 600 gallons of gasoline on premises.

Charles J. Evans and Frank A. Busse (two-story and basement building), on north side of Pine street, 85 feet west of Polk street; also to store 600 gallons of gasoline on premises.

#### Oil Storage Tank.

R. J. O'Brien, on south side of Clay street, 130 feet east of Presidio avenue; 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

#### Laundry Permit.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted A. B. Cazet to maintain a laundry and operate a 15-horsepower boiler at 458 Bush street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

#### License Tax on Itinerant Shows.

On motion of Supervisor Bath:

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Imposing a license tax on itinerant shows, carnivals and concessions; regulating the matter of maintaining or conducting same, and providing a penalty for a violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Every person, firm or corporation maintaining or conducting in connection with an itinerant show or exhibition any museum, panorama, cyclorama, kinetoscope parlor, phonograph parlor, skating rink, merry-go-round, swing, revolving wheel, chute, toboggan slide, Firth wheel, mechanical contrivance for carrying passengers, or

any side show, exhibition or concession, shall, after conforming to the regulatory provisions of this ordinance, pay a license fee of twenty (20) dollars per day for each and every concession, show or device herein enumerated and conducted or maintained in connection with any itinerant show or exhibition.

Section 2. The term itinerant show or exhibition shall be construed to mean any outdoor carnival, show or concession, exhibiting or operating under a tent or in the open for a period exceeding three (3) days, except such as come within the provisions of Ordinance No. 5240 (New Series) and Section 34 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series).

Section 3. The applicant for any show, exhibition or concession mentioned in Section 1 of this ordinance shall, before beginning operations, obtain a permit from the Board of Police Commissioners to so operate, which permit may be revoked at any time by said board. Without such a permit from the Board of Police Commissioners, the Tax Collector shall not issue a license to conduct any itinerant show, exhibition or concession enumerated in this ordinance. If the permit is revoked the license issued thereon shall immediately terminate and expire. The Board of Police Commissioners shall not issue a permit and the Tax Collector shall not issue a license to any person, firm or corporation to conduct any of the shows, exhibitions or concessions mentioned in Section 1 of this ordinance, if said shows, exhibitions or concessions are to be operated or conducted within a distance of two hundred (200) feet from the front line of any church or school, or within one hundred (100) feet of the property line on the sides or rear of any church, school lot, or children's playground; provided, however, that no other restrictions as to the location of such places shall be considered by the Board of Police Commissioners or the Tax Collector.

Section 4. The provisions of Section 1 of Ordinance No. 902 (New Series), regulating the manner of testing passenger carrying devices, shall be strictly observed before any such itinerant show, exhibition or carnival may open for business. A permit signed by the Board of Police Commissioners certifying that all legal requirements have been met must also be first presented to the Tax Collector before said official may issue a license to the owner or lessee of any itinerant carnival, show or exhibition or to any person holding a concession therein.

Section 5. Any person, firm or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be punishable by a fine not to exceed five hundred (500) dol-

lars or by imprisonment in the county jail for not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 6. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### **Install Street Lights.**

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 19842 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company is hereby instructed to install and remove street lights as follows:

#### *Install 400 M. R.*

South side of Twenty-fourth street between Church and Vicksburg streets.

South side of Twenty-fourth street between Sanchez and Vicksburg streets.

South side of Twenty-fourth street between Sanchez and Noe streets.

North side of Twenty-fourth street between Sanchez and Noe streets.

South side of Twenty-fourth street between Noe and Castro streets.

North side of Twenty-fourth street between Noe and Castro streets.

West side of Castro street between Twenty-fourth and Jersey streets.

West side of Castro street between Twenty-fifth and Jersey streets.

#### *Install 600 M. R.*

Church and Twenty-fourth streets.

Vicksburg and Twenty-fourth streets.

Noe and Twenty-fourth streets.

Castro and Twenty-fourth streets.

Castro and Jersey streets.

Castro and Twenty-fifth streets.

#### *Remove Double Inverted Gas.*

Northeast and southwest corners of Twenty-fourth and Church streets.

Northwest and southeast corners of Twenty-fourth and Vicksburg streets.

North side of Twenty-fourth street, 125 feet west of Church street.

South side of Twenty-fourth street, 125 feet west of Vicksburg street.

Northeast and southwest corners of Twenty-fourth and Sanchez streets.

North side of Twenty-fourth street, 110 and 330 feet west of Sanchez street.

South side of Twenty-fourth street, 220 and 440 feet west of Sanchez street.

Northeast and southwest corners of Twenty-fourth and Noe streets.

North side of Twenty-fourth street, 110 and 330 feet west of Noe street.

South side of Twenty-fourth street, 220 and 440 feet west of Noe street.

Northeast and southwest corners of Twenty-fourth and Castro streets.

#### *Remove Single Top Gas.*

East side of Castro street, 110 feet south of Twenty-fourth street.

Northwest and southeast corners of Castro and Jersey streets.

West side of Castro street, 110 feet south of Jersey street.

Northeast and southwest corners of Twenty-fifth and Castro streets.

*Install 250 M. R.*

Twenty-eighth street between Sanchez and Noe streets.

Lisbon street, opposite Avalon avenue.

*Install Single Top Gas.*

South side of Vermont street between Twenty-third and Twenty-fourth streets.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mulvihill—2.

*Mayor to Sell Improvements on School Lands.*

Supervisor Scott presented:

Resolution No. 19843 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby authorized to sell at public auction in accordance with the Charter, the following buildings belonging to the City and County, located on lands recently purchased by the City and County for school purposes:

Building located on west line of Webster street, 103 feet 8½ inches northerly from Jackson street.

Also, building on west line of Webster street distant 79 feet 8¼ inches northerly from Jackson street.

Also, building situate at the northwest corner of Jackson and Webster streets.

The Board of Public Works is requested to prepare specifications and conditions for the removal of said buildings by the successful bidders.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mulvihill—2.

*Extension of Time, Fay Improvement Co.*

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 19844 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Fay Improvement Company is hereby granted the following extensions of time to complete contracts for street work, viz:

Thirty days from and after April 12, 1922, to complete improvement of Ulloa street between Thirty-second and Thirty-fourth avenues.

Thirty days from and after April 12, 1922, to complete improvement of Ulloa street between Twenty-ninth and Thirty-second avenues.

Thirty days from and after April 12, 1922, to complete improvement of Ul-

loa street between Twenty-seventh and Twenty-ninth avenues.

These extensions of time are granted for the reason that the contractor has been delayed by inclement weather.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mulvihill—2.

*Extension of Time, Raisch Improvement Company.*

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 19845 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Raisch Improvement Company (A. J. Raisch, assignee) is hereby granted the following extensions of time to complete contracts for street work, viz.:

Ninety days' time from and after April 19, 1922, within which to complete contract for the improvement of San Jose avenue between Ottawa and Sickles avenues.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor was delayed owing to the reconstruction of its tracks by the Railroad Company. The work is well under way, the grading being practically completed and the greater part of the curb constructed.

Ninety days' time from and after April 18, 1922, within which to complete contract for the improvement of the crossing of Baden street and Hearst avenue.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor has been delayed due to weather conditions. The catchbasins on this crossing have been completed.

Ninety days' time from and after April 12, 1922, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Beach street between Leavenworth and Hyde streets; Leavenworth street between North Point and Beach streets; Columbus avenue between North Point and Leavenworth streets, and Columbus avenue between Leavenworth and Beach streets.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor has been delayed on account of the renewing of its tracks by the Railroad Company. The work is practically completed with the exception of the asphalt paving.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mulvihill—2.

# Accepting Offer of Easement for Sewer Right of Way.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 19846 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco is desirous of securing a right-of-way or easement for the construction of a public sewer over the following described strip of land, namely:

A strip of land 2 feet wide at right angles on each side of the following described center line and said center line produced westerly: Beginning at a point on the westerly line of San Bruno avenue, distant thereon 540 feet north-erly from the northerly line of Cortland avenue, said point being on the subdivisional line between Lots 292 and 293 of Precita Valley Lands, and run- nings thence westerly along said sub- divisional line 340 feet to the easterly line of Holladay avenue; being portion of Lots 292 and 293 of Precita Valley Lands, all in the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, Ferdinand Reis, Jr., the owner of the above described strip of land has offered to grant said ease- ment upon the conditions that the said public sewer shall be constructed at the expense of the City and County of San Francisco and also that four out- lets or "Y's" shall be built in the said sewer for the use of the adjoining prop- erty; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer be ac- cepted and the City Attorney is here- by authorized to accept a deed con- taining the above conditions in behalf of the City and County of San Fran- cisco.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, Mc- Leran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wet- more—16.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mul- vihill—2.

# Rejecting Bid for Printing Delinquent Tax List.

Resolution No. 19847 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the bid of the Organ- ized Labor Publishing Company for printing, publishing and distributing the Delinquent Tax List, Index of De- linquent Real Estate Taxpayers and printing the Sales List and other mat- ters incidental thereto for the fiscal year 1921-1922, filed with the Board of Supervisors on March 20, 1922, pur- suant to Resolution No. 19740 (New Series), be and the same is hereby re- jected.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, Mc- Leran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi,

Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wet- more—16.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mul- vihill—2.

# Clerk to Advertise for Printing Delinquent Tax List.

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 19848 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board is hereby directed to advertise that sealed proposals for printing, pub- lishing and distributing the Delinquent Tax List, Index of Delinquent Real Es- tate Taxpayers and printing Sales List and other matters incidental thereto for the fiscal year 1921-1922, will be received on Monday, April 17, 1922, between the hours of 2 o'clock and 3 o'clock p. m.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, Mc- Leran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wet- more—16.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mul- vihill—2.

# Clerk to Advertise for Loose-leaf Record Books.

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 19849 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise for proposals for furnishing 50 loose-leaf record books and sheets for the Recorder, as per specifications prepared by the Supplies Committee.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, Mc- Leran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wet- more—16.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mul- vihill—2.

# ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MO- TIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR RE- PORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

# Death of Daughter of William T. Sesnon.

*Supervisor McGregor* called atten- tion to the lamentable death of Kath- arine Sesnon, the young daughter of William T. Sesnon, a prominent citi- zen and former Assessor of San Fran- cisco.

He moved that this Board of Super- visors express its heartfelt sympathy to William T. Sesnon and his wife on this sad occasion commiserating them on their deplorable loss, that note be made in the minutes of this action and forwarded to the family.

*So ordered.*

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted* unani- mously:

Resolution No. 19857 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors expresses to William T. Sesnon and his wife the heartfelt sympathy of the Board on the death of their beloved daughter, Katharine; and be it further

Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be spread upon the minutes of the Board and sent to the bereaved family.

#### Civic Center Development.

*His Honor Mayor Rolph Jr.* called attention to an illustration in Sunday's paper showing the Civic Center as it would look if property opposite the Whitcomb Hotel on Market street were removed. He believed that if block bounded by Grove, Hyde, Market and Larkin streets was acquired and the present structures razed before the cost became prohibitive that it would add much to the beautification and adornment of San Francisco.

*Referred to the Finance and Lands and Tunnels Committees* on motion of Supervisor Hayden, with instructions to report back at proper time.

#### Extension of Panhandle.

*Supervisor McGregor* suggested the desirability of extending the Park panhandle to the Civic Center, as was proposed several years ago. He asked that the matter be considered at this time by the joint committees referred to.

*So ordered.*

#### City Engineer's Certificate for Municipal Railway Extensions.

*Supervisor Hynes* presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the charter of the City and County provides that the Board of Public Works "shall have charge, superintendence and control of the construction, maintenance and operation of any and all public utilities owned, controlled or operated by the City and County or which may hereafter be so constructed, owned, controlled or operated. It may, in accordance with such ordinances as the Supervisors may enact, contract for work to be performed or materials or equipment to be furnished wherever such work, service, materials or equipment are certified by the City Engineer to be necessary in connection with the construction, maintenance or operation of such utilities," and

Whereas, it does not appear that any of the proposed extensions of the Municipal Street Railway have been certified by the City Engineer as being necessary in connection with the maintenance or operation of said Municipal Street Railway, and consequently the Board of Supervisors has been without the power or jurisdiction to order the construction of such railway extensions, and the Board of Public Works is without authority to contract for the same; therefore

Resolved, That the City Engineer be requested to furnish to this Board, through the Board of Public Works, his certificate as required by the Charter hereinabove quoted, showing what extensions to the Municipal Railway are at this time necessary, if any such there be, and that if any such extensions be deemed necessary by him, that he be requested to furnish to this board the following information:

(a) The estimated cost of such extension.

(b) The estimated cost of any additional equipment required to operate such extension.

(c) The estimated cost of operation of such extension.

(d) The estimated revenue to come from such operation.

(e) The fund from which appropriations should be made to defray the cost of such construction.

(f) Such other information as in his opinion will be of value to this Board in determining a proper policy to pursue in relation to providing for the extension of the Municipal Railway system.

#### Motion.

*Supervisor McGregor* moved reference to a special committee of the Public Utilities Committee, Finance Committee and the City Engineer.

*So ordered.*

Whereupon, *Supervisor Hynes* withdrew his motion and requested that the City Engineer be furnished with copy of resolution and asked to report on matter by next Wednesday's meeting of the Public Utilities Committee.

#### Charter Amendment, Election of Supervisors.

*Supervisor Wetmore* presented:

Charter Amendment No. —, as follows:

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 2 of Chapter I of Article II thereof, relating to the election of Supervisors from districts instead of from the City at large.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at a general election to be held on the — of November, 19—, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County as follows:

Amend Section 2 of Chapter I of Article II of the Charter so that the same shall read as follows:

Sec. 2. For the purpose of the election of Supervisors, the City and County of San Francisco shall be divided into districts, conforming with the State Assembly Districts, therein located, from each of which districts

one representative shall be elected by the voters thereof. There shall be an additional number of Supervisors, elected at large, to make a total of eighteen Supervisors. The present incumbents shall hold office until the expiration of their respective terms. To succeed those whose terms of office expire in 1923, there shall be elected representatives from the nine Assembly Districts, coming first in the order of numbering. At the succeeding biennial election, the Supervisors at large and the representatives of the remaining districts shall be elected. Each candidate seeking election as Supervisor must have been a resident and qualified elector of the City and County of San Francisco for five years, and, except in the case of those elected at large, of the district in which he seeks election for at least one year prior to his filing his declaration of candidacy. Every person who has served as Mayor of the City and County, so long as he remains a resident thereof, shall be entitled to a seat in the Board of Supervisors and to participate in its debates, but shall not be entitled to a vote or to compensation. All provisions and parts of this Charter in conflict with this section are hereby repealed.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

**Board of Public Works to Report Street Work Required to Be Done by the United Railroads.**

Supervisor Schmitz presented:

Resolution No. 19856 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, under the provisions of street railway franchises in the City and County of San Francisco, the Market Street Railway and other companies are required to pave and keep in repair the roadway between the tracks and two feet each side thereof; and

Whereas, the Market Street Railway Company has not complied with the provisions of its franchise in regard to maintenance of streets and many miles of pavement required to be kept in repair by said railroad company are not in proper condition and repair; therefore

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works is hereby requested to report to the Board of Supervisors a list of street work required to be done by the Market Street Railway Company.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mulvihill—2.

**Observance of Good Friday.**

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 19852 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor is hereby requested to declare a recess on Good Friday, April 14, 1922, between the hours of 12 noon and 3 o'clock p. m., to permit employees of the City who desire to participate in religious exercises that day to do so between the hours mentioned.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mulvihill—2.

**Comic Bull Fight Permit.**

Supervisor Robb presented:

Resolution No. 19855 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted to Jose Zakany to conduct a comic bull fight at Ewing Field from April 21, 1922, to May 30, 1922, both days inclusive, upon payment of the usual license fee.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mulvihill—2.

**Attendance at Opera.**

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 19853 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, San Francisco has justly earned the reputation of being a city of music-loving people, who support liberally every musical enterprise (including grand opera, symphonies and concerts) that visits our city; and

Whereas, at the present time, on account of the sickness of Miss Mary Garden of the Chicago Opera Company, the attendance has been seriously affected; and

Whereas, Mary Garden now being restored to health and ready to appear three times this week; therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor Mayor Rolph issue a proclamation calling on the people of San Francisco to uphold the magnificent record of attendance for the Chicago Opera Company of last year by patronizing the aforesaid company this year in the same manner as they did last year, thus upholding the reputation that San Francisco "knows how."

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, Mc-

Leran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi,  
Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wet-  
more—16.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mul-  
vihill—2.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the  
Board at 6 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors June 19, 1922.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.



Monday, April 10, 1922.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY

77 Sutter Street, S. F.

J

dis

re

in

H

Pe

Sl

Sl

pl

at

R

S

in

m

M

th

S

P

in

er

ti

lo

P

je

P

a

s

n

s

F

2

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, APRIL 10, 1922, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, April 10, 1922, 2 p. m.  
The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, McSheehy, Mulvihill—3.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was *laid over* for approval until next meeting.

### ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

#### Itinerant Show License.

*Communication* — From Mission Street Merchants' Association endorsing itinerant show license ordinance.

*Referred to Special License Committee.*

#### Land for Sunnyside School.

*Communication* — From Rudolph Mohr and Sons, offering assistance in the purchase of additional land for Sunnyside School.

*Referred to Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee.*

#### Protest, Golden Gate Ferry.

*Communication*—From Ariel Rowing Club, opposing permit to the Golden Gate Ferry for a ferry landing at the foot of Hyde street or any other location in the newly acquired Aquatic Park.

*Over until April 24, 1922, when subject matter will be before the Board.*

#### Itinerant Show License.

*Communication*—From Board of Police Commissioners, recommending an amendment to pending itinerant show license ordinance.

*Referred to Special License Committee.*

#### Street Work Along Right of Way of Market Street Railway.

*Communication*—From Board of Public Works, advising that City Engineer has been asked for report as

to the street work required to be performed by the Market Street Railway Company along its tracks.

*Read and filed.*

#### Ocean View Extension of Municipal Railway.

*Communication*—From Ocean View Improvement Club, urging that there be no further delay in the construction of the Ocean View Extension of the Municipal Railway.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

#### Captain Compton, H. M. S. S. "Calcutta."

His Honor Mayor Rolph presented Captain Compton of H. M. S. S. "Calcutta," whose vessel is in the harbor for a short stay. Captain Compton addressed the Board, expressing his appreciation of the hospitality extended to him by the officials of San Francisco. He declared San Francisco's City Hall to be the finest he had ever seen, that he was delighted with the city and he invited the Board and the public to visit his vessel, which is on inspection from 1 to 5 p. m. daily.

Supervisor Colman responded, declaring that "visits of this kind have a very happy influence towards cementing an already very firm and cordial feeling of friendship that exists between your country and ours. It is a great pleasure, sir, to see you."

### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

#### Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

#### Authorizations.

Resolution No. 19880 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

#### *Special School Tar.* 1920-1921.

(1) Anderson & Ringrose, third payment, general construction of Parkside School (claim dated March 30, 1922), \$17,906.25.

#### *Special School Tar.* 1921-1922.

(2) John Reid, Jr., second payment, architectural service, Oral-Deaf School (claim dated March 30, 1922), \$1,200.

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(3) The Turner Co., final payment, electrical work, Bernal School addition (claim dated March 29, 1922), \$849.20.

(4) A. Holle, second payment, plumbing, Crocker Amazon School (claim dated March 29, 1922), \$1,368.75.

(5) The Turner Co., final payment, plumbing, etc., Bernal School (claim dated March 29, 1922), \$1,309.25.

(6) Standard Electrical Construction Co., first payment, electrical work, Mission High School addition (claim dated March 29, 1922), \$1,882.50.

(7) C. P. W. Jensen, second payment, general construction, Crocker Amazon School (claim dated March 29, 1922), \$9,999.

*Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.*

(8) Payne's Bolt Works, tie rods, Municipal Railways (claim dated March 29, 1922), \$1,140.

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(9) Oakdale Milling Co., supplies, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated March 25, 1922), \$939.03.

(10) Goodyear Rubber Co., supplies (claim dated March 28, 1922), \$1,281.11.

(11) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Hetch Hetchy revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers attached (claim dated March 25, 1922), \$1,375.30.

(12) The Giant Powder Co., Con., powder (claim dated March 28, 1922), \$7,637.24.

(13) The Utah Construction Co., reimbursement for payments to clearing contractors, extra work, etc. (claim dated March 25, 1922), \$2,575.91.

(14) South San Francisco Packing and Provision Co., supplies (claim dated March 30, 1922), \$524.82.

(15) Western Meat Co., supplies (claim dated March 30, 1922), \$528.91.

(16) Miller & Lux Inc., supplies (claim dated March 30, 1922), \$530.40.

(17) Krogh Pump and Machinery Co., one two-stage vertical pump (claim dated March 30, 1922), \$598.58.

(18) J. H. Newbauer & Co., supplies (claim dated March 30, 1922), \$654.33.

(19) A. Leschen & Sons Rope Co., wire rope (claim dated March 30, 1922), \$699.70.

(20) Edward W. Brown Co., supplies (claim dated March 30, 1922), \$901.88.

(21) Sperry Flour Co., supplies (claim dated March 30, 1922), \$958.42.

(22) Haas Bros., supplies (claim dated March 30, 1922), \$1,155.25.

(23) Virden Packing Co., supplies (claim dated March 30, 1922), \$1,560.44.

(24) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated March 30, 1922), \$1,605.85.

(25) Standard Oil Co., Inc., fuel oil (claim dated March 29, 1922), \$1,828.27.

(26) J. F. Hadden, switch sets, etc. (claim dated March 30, 1922), \$1,895.50.

(27) California Saw Works, beam blocks, etc. (claim dated March 30, 1922), \$2,379.70.

(28) Keystone Lubricating Co., grease (claim dated March 30, 1922), \$3,174.82.

(29) Hoar Shovel Agency, Inc., one mucking machine and machine parts (claim dated March 30, 1922), \$3,726.21.

(30) Hercules Powder Co., gelatin and blasting caps (claim dated March 30, 1922), \$4,238.28.

(31) C. W. Marwedel, sheet copper (claim dated March 30, 1922), \$6,333.

*General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(32) H. O. Harrison Co., one Hudson auto, Sheriff's department (claim dated February 20, 1922), \$2,056.18.

(33) Matthew Brady, District Attorney, expenses of prosecutions (claim dated March 24, 1922), \$1,500.

(34) Matthew Brady, District Attorney, expenses of prosecutions (claim dated March 24, 1922), \$1,000.

(35) Bender-Moss Co., law books furnished District Attorney (claim dated March 27, 1922), \$583.27.

(36) Goodyear Rubber Co., hose, etc., Dept. Public Works (claim dated March 27, 1922), \$530.

(37) Union Oil Co. of Cal., asphalt, Dept. Public Works (claim dated March 25, 1922), \$545.25.

(38) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., surface heater royalties (claim dated March 27, 1922), \$578.70.

(39) Pacific Portland Cement Co., lime dust, Dept. Public Works (claim dated March 27, 1922), \$1,960.59.

(40) Standard Oil Co., Inc., asphalt, Dept. Public Works (claim dated March 25, 1922), \$4,250.55.

(41) Union Oil Co. of Cal., fuel oil, Dept. Public Works (claim dated March 25, 1922), \$2,038.92.

(42) Union Oil Co., gasoline, Police Dept. (claim dated March 27, 1922), \$565.95.

(43) Howard Automobile Co., two Buick roadsters, Fire Dept. (claim dated March 29, 1922), \$3,304.34.

(44) Oliva Bros., supplies, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated February 28, 1922), \$1,127.40.

(45) L. Dinkelspiel Co., Inc., dry goods, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated February 28, 1922), \$504.90.

(46) L. Dinkelspiel Co., Inc., dry goods, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated February 28, 1922), \$1,846.39.

(47) Neal, Stratford & Kerr, license books for Auditor (claim dated April 3, 1922), \$1,209.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, McSheehy, Mulvihill—3.

**Appropriation, Land for Horace Mann and Dudley Stone Schools.**

Resolution No. 19858 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax, 1921-1922, and authorized in payment to the following named persons, being payments for lands and improvements required for school purposes, to-wit:

To Louise L. Colley, per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 19818 (New Series), required for the Horace Mann School, \$19,000.

To Bertha W. Luther, per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 19819 (New Series), required for the Dudley Stone School, \$8,000.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, McSheehy, Mulvihill—3.

**Appropriation, \$748.04, Crocker National Bank, Fiscal Agent.**

Resolution No. 19859 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$748.04 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, and authorized in payment to the Crocker National Bank for expense attending bond payments acting as City's fiscal agent (claim dated March 31, 1922).

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, McSheehy, Mulvihill—3.

**Appropriation, \$5,281,000, Aqueduct Tunnels, Hetch Hetchy.**

Resolution No. 19860 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of five million two hundred and eighty-one thousand dollars (\$5,281,000) be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910, to cover costs of work under Contract No. 77-C for the construction of aqueduct tunnels in the mountain division of the Hetch Hetchy water project.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, McSheehy, Mulvihill—3.

**Garage and Oil Permits.**

Resolution No. 19861 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

*Public Garage.*

Clairy Stone (two-story building), on west side of Divisadero street, 50 feet south of Bush street; also to store 600 gallons of gasoline on premises.

Charles J. Evans and Frank A. Busse (two-story and basement building), on north side of Pine street, 85 feet west of Polk street; also to store 600 gallons of gasoline on premises.

*Oil Storage Tank.*

R. J. O'Brien, on south side of Clay street, 130 feet east of Presidio avenue; 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, McSheehy, Mulvihill—3.

*Laundry Permit.*

Resolution No. 10862 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted A. B. Cazet to maintain a laundry and operate a 15-horsepower boiler at 458 Bush street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, McSheehy, Mulvihill—3.

*Action Deferred.*

The following bill, heretofore passed for printing, was taken up and laid over two weeks:

*Itinerant Show License.*

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Imposing a license tax on itinerant shows, carnivals and concessions; regulating the matter of maintaining or conducting same, and providing a penalty for a violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Every person, firm or corporation maintaining or conducting in connection with an itinerant show or exhibition any museum, panorama, cyclorama, kinetoscope parlor, phonograph parlor, skating rink, merry-go-round, swing, revolving wheel, chute, toboggan slide, Firth wheel, mechanical contrivance for carrying passengers, or any side show, exhibition or concession, shall, after conforming to the regulatory provisions of this ordinance, pay a license fee of twenty (20)

dollars per day for each and every concession, show or device herein enumerated and conducted or maintained in connection with any itinerant show or exhibition.

Section 2. The term itinerant show or exhibition shall be constructed to mean any outdoor carnival, show or concession, exhibiting or operating under a tent or in the open for a period exceeding three (3) days, except such as come within the provisions of Ordinance No. 5240 (New Series) and Section 34 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series).

Section 3. The applicant for any show, exhibition or concession mentioned in Section 1 of this ordinance shall, before beginning operations, obtain a permit from the Board of Police Commissioners to so operate, which permit may be revoked at any time by said Board. Without such a permit from the Board of Police Commissioners, the Tax Collector shall not issue a license to conduct any itinerant show, exhibition or concession enumerated in this ordinance. If the permit is revoked, the license issued thereon shall immediately terminate and expire. The Board of Police Commissioners shall not issue a permit and the Tax Collector shall not issue a license to any person, firm or corporation to conduct any of the shows, exhibitions or concessions mentioned in Section 1 of this ordinance, if said shows, exhibitions or concessions are to be operated or conducted within a distance of two hundred (200) feet from the front line of any church or school, or within one hundred (100) feet of the property line on the sides or rear of any church, school lot, or children's playground; provided, however, that no other restrictions as to the location of such places shall be considered by the Board of Police Commissioners or the Tax Collector.

Section 4. The provisions of Section 1 of Ordinance No. 902 (New Series), regulating the manner of testing passenger-carrying devices, shall be strictly observed before any such itinerant show, exhibition or carnival may open for business. A permit signed by the Board of Police Commissioners certifying that all legal requirements have been met must also be first presented to the Tax Collector, before said official may issue a license to the owner or lessee of any itinerant carnival, show or exhibition or to any person holding a concession therein.

Section 5. Any person, firm or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be punishable by a fine not to exceed five hundred (500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than six (6)

months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 6. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### REPORT OF FINANCE COMMITTEE.

Demands on the Treasury amounting to \$163,648.80, including the following Urgent Necessity, were presented and approved by the following vote:

##### *Urgent Necessity.*

Spring Valley Water Co., water, public troughs, \$83.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, McSheehy, Mulvihill—3.

#### NEW BUSINESS.

##### *Auditorium Rentals.*

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 19863 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following organizations be granted permission to occupy halls in the Auditorium, deposits having been paid to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to guarantee the rental fees:

California Grays, use of Main Hall, November 3, 1922, 6 p. m. to 12 p. m., for the purpose of holding a drill and dance.

S. F. Auxiliary, Jewish Consumption Relief Association, use of Main Hall, November 5, 1922, 6 p. m. to 12 p. m., for the purpose of holding a dance.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, McSheehy, Mulvihill—3.

Also, Resolution No. 19864 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco, in co-operation with San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, be granted permission to occupy the Main Hall, Auditorium, April 14, 1922, 6 p. m. to 12 p. m., for the purpose of promoting the San Francisco hospitality movement, to which all citizens and visitors are invited to attend.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, McSheehy, Mulvihill—3.

##### *Passed for Printing.*

The following matters were passed for printing:

##### *Authorizations.*

On motion of Supervisor McLeran:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(1) Sullivan Machinery Co., machine parts, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated April 4, 1922), \$520.79.

(2) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., hardware, etc. (claim dated April 4, 1922), \$539.99.

(3) Edw. L. Soule Co., sheet iron, etc. (claim dated April 4, 1922), \$580.56.

(4) Byron Jackson Iron Works, Inc., two pumps (claim dated April 4, 1922), \$580.75.

(5) West Side Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated April 4, 1922), \$626.30.

(6) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Groveland Revolving Fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated April 4, 1922), \$1,015.10.

(7) William Cluff Co., supplies (claim dated April 4, 1922), \$1,450.70.

(8) Sherry Bros. Inc., supplies (claim dated April 4, 1922), \$2,152.84.

(9) Utah Construction Co., 29th payment, construction of dam and appurtenances (claim dated April 5, 1922), \$95,696.22.

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(10) Emil Hogberg, second payment, brick and terra cotta work, Spring Valley School (claim dated April 5, 1922), \$4,963.50.

*Special School Tax, 1921-1922.*

(11) Kohlwey Smith Electric Co., first payment, electrical work, Parkside School (claim dated April 5, 1922), \$1,950.75.

(12) Globe Electric Works, second payment, electric work, Commodore Sloat School (claim dated April 5, 1922), \$4,556.53.

(13) John Reid, Jr., second payment, architectural service, Pacific Heights School (claim dated April 6, 1922), \$7,200.

*County Road Fund.*

(14) C. B. Eaton, fifth payment, improvement of Point Lobos avenue from Great Highway to Forty-eighth avenue (claim dated April 5, 1922), \$18,558.97.

*Auditorium Fund.*

(15) M. E. Ryan, final payment, electric wiring and conduit at Auditorium (claim dated April 6, 1922), \$2,296.50.

(16) Business Exposition Co., refund of deposit as bond for occupancy of Auditorium, March 1-14 (claim dated April 10, 1922), \$1,000.

*General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(17) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated April 7, 1922), \$1,000.

(18) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated April 7, 1922), \$8,374.01.

(19) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated April 7, 1922), \$11,105.02.

(20) Clinton Construction Co., third payment, construction of Ocean Beach Esplanade (claim dated April 5, 1922), \$33,637.50.

(21) Sperry Flour Co., flour Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1922), \$930.

(22) Spring Valley Water Co., water through hydrants and high pressure, Fire Department (claim dated March 31, 1922), \$12,172.24.

(23) San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated April 10, 1922), \$1,000.

(24) Bancroft-Whitney Co., law books furnished District Attorney (claim dated April 10, 1922), \$712.50.

*Appropriations.*

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund for the cost of furnishing and delivery of cars and equipment for Municipal Railway System, in accordance with Contract No. 125, Municipal Railway System, to-wit:

(1) To cover contract awarded American Car Company, under Section "A" (Proposition No. 2), \$103,740.

For inspection and incidentals, \$5,000.

(2) To cover contract awarded Westinghouse Electric & Manufacturing Co., under Section "C" (Proposition A), for furnishing motor equipment for cars, \$49,503.66.

For inspection and possible extras, \$2,996.34.

(3) To cover contract awarded American Car Company, under Section "B", furnishing and delivering street car trucks, \$26,957.

For freight and inspection, \$3,543.

(4) To cover contract awarded Westinghouse Traction Brake Co., under Section "D", for furnishing and delivering car air-brake equipment, \$11,363.73.

For freight and inspection, \$2,636.27.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

*Special School Tax, 1921-1922.*

(1) For purchase of furniture and equipment for the new Commodore Sloat School, \$7,500.

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(2) For purchase of furnishings for auditorium of Bernal School, \$390. (Recommendation of Department of Education.)

**Accepting Statement of California Street Cable Railroad Company.**

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 19865 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the statement heretofore filed by the California Street Cable Railroad Company showing gross receipts from passenger fares for the year ending December 31, 1921, upon which percentages in the sum of \$5,792.07 is due the City and County, be and the same is hereby accepted; and further

Resolved, That the said California Street Cable Railroad Company is hereby directed to deposit said sum of \$5,792.07 with the Treasurer of the City and County, the same to be placed to the credit of the General Fund.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.  
Absent—Supervisors Bath, McSheehy, Mulvihill—3.

**Cancellation of Assessment.**

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 19866 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, by Resolution No. 19001 (New Series) the Auditor was directed to cancel the assessment on Lot 35, Block 3612, on account of the same being owned by the City, and

Whereas, only a portion of said lot was in fact so owned and the cancellation of the portion not owned was an error; therefore,

Resolved, That said Resolution No. 19001 (New Series) is hereby rescinded so far as it cancelled the assessment of said described lot excepting as to the following, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the southeasterly line of Buena Vista terrace, distant thereon 77.01 feet northeasterly from the first angle point northerly from Fifteenth street; thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of Buena Vista terrace 25.29 feet; thence deflecting to the right 130 deg. 11 min. 59 sec. and running southerly along the easterly line of Buena Vista terrace if produced southerly 9.03 feet; thence deflecting to the left 67 deg. 09 min. 45 sec. and running southeasterly 65.04 feet; thence on a curve to the left tangent to the preceding course 100 feet radius, central angle 8 deg. 43 min.

17 sec. 15.22 feet; thence deflecting to the right 75 deg. 39 min. 27 sec. from the tangent to the preceding curve at the last described point 8.49 feet; thence deflecting to the right 109 deg. 09 min. 32 sec. and running northwesterly 98.75 feet to the southeasterly line of Buena Vista terrace and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Lot 4, Block D, Park Hill Homestead Association.

The Auditor is hereby directed to cancel the assessment for year 1920 on the portion of Lot 35, Block 3612, hereinabove described.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, McSheehy, Mulvihill—3.

**Accepting Offer to Sell Land for Opening and Widening Roosevelt Way.**

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 19867 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening and widening of Roosevelt way have offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Thomas Coyne and Mary Coyne, \$1,415.

Commencing at a point on the southeasterly line of Park Hill avenue, distant thereon 134.14 feet southwesterly from the southerly line of Fifteenth street; thence southwesterly along the southeasterly line of Park Hill avenue 23 feet 2 inches; thence at right angles southeasterly 10 feet; thence at right angles northeasterly 23 feet 2 inches; thence at right angles northwesterly 10 feet to the southeasterly line of Park Hill avenue and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Lot 16, Block 9, Flint Tract Homestead Association.

The building now partially on the above parcel to remain the property of Thomas Coyne and Mary Coyne and to be moved by them.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisal of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept a deed therefor in be-

half of the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, McSheehy, Mulvihill—3.

**City Attorney to Commence Condemnation Proceedings for Land Required for Street Purposes.**

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 19868 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Fourteenth avenue, distant thereon 132 feet 5 inches northerly from the northerly line of Lawton street, and running thence northerly along the westerly line of Fourteenth avenue a distance of 87.920 feet; thence northwesterly on a curve to the left, the tangent of which deflects 52 deg. 29 min. 12 sec. to the left from the last described course at the last described point, radius 550 feet, central angle 15 deg. 21 min. 26 sec. a distance of 147.419 feet; thence southerly parallel to the westerly line of Fourteenth avenue 166.625 feet; thence deflecting to the left 87 deg. 58 min. 45 sec. and running easterly 50.851 feet; thence deflecting to the left 92 deg. 01 min. 15 sec. and running northerly parallel to the westerly line of Fourteenth avenue a distance of 12.333 feet; thence deflecting to the right 94 deg. 07 min. 39 sec. and running easterly 61.200 feet to the westerly line of Fourteenth avenue and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Lands Block No. 782.

Be it further

Resolved, That said property is suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: as the land required for a public street and highway of said City and County. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use. The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owner of said tract of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, McSheehy, Mulvihill—3.

**Accepting Offer of Lands for Schools.**

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 19869 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from John Gordino to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements situate on the northerly line of Waller street, distant 250 feet easterly from the easterly line of Masonic avenue, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land and improvements is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land and improvements, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of \$12,500 be, and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Waller street, distant thereon two hundred and fifty (250) feet easterly from the point formed by the intersection of the said northerly line of Waller street with the easterly line of Masonic avenue, and running thence easterly along the said northerly line of Waller street twenty-five (25) feet; thence at a right angle northerly one hundred and thirty-seven (137) feet and six (6) inches; thence at a right angle westerly twenty-five (25) feet, and thence at a right angle southerly one hundred and thirty-seven (137) feet and six (6) inches to the northerly line of Waller street and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 657.

The City Attorney is hereby requested to examine the title to said land and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price aforesaid, and the deed to said property is hereby accepted.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.  
Absent—Supervisors Bath, McSheehy, Mulvihill—3.

#### Circus Permit.

Supervisor Robb presented:

Resolution No. 19870 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted to Sells Floto Circus and Buffalo Bill's Wild West, combined, to hold exhibitions at Twelfth and Market streets September 4 to September 10, 1922, both days inclusive, upon complying with the provisions of Section 34, Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), and all sanitary regulations of the Board of Health.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, McSheehy, Mulvihill—3.

#### Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

#### Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

#### Automobile Parking Station.

Harold T. Campbell, on the south side of Stevenson street, 175 feet west of Seventh street, and running through to Jessie street. The provisions of Ordinance No. 3108 (New Series), must be strictly complied with.

#### Oil Storage Tank.

(1500 gallons capacity.)

W. L. Hemminga, on north side of Fulton street, 478 feet west of Masonic avenue.

W. L. Hemminga, on north side of Fulton street, 408 feet west of Masonic avenue.

Gray & Danielson, at 250 First street.

Kincannon & Perego, on south side of Clay street, 150 feet east of Polk street.

A. Johnson, at southwest corner of Eighteenth avenue and Clement street.

Morrow & Garren, on west side of Twelfth avenue, 150 feet south of Geary street.

#### Boiler.

Gray & Danielson, at 250 First street, 10 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

#### Non-Contract Purchase for Municipal Railway.

Resolution No. 19871 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works is hereby authorized to purchase, without advertising, the following material for the Municipal Railway:

200 pair Westinghouse 306 C. A. bronze motor bearings;

One air-driven compressor;

One air receiver;

Also certain track material as described in its communications dated March 2 and 11, 1922.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, McSheehy, Mulvihill—3.

#### Extension of Time, Improvement of Point Lobos Avenue.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 19872 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That C. B. Eaton is hereby granted an extension of sixty days from and after April 5, 1922, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Point Lobos avenue from Great Highway to Forty-eighth avenue.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor was delayed owing to unusual rains. The major part of the work has been completed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, McSheehy, Mulvihill—3.

#### Award of Contract, Social Hygiene.

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 19873 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mercury Press is hereby awarded a contract for furnishing 1000 sets, consisting of 9 pamphlets each, Social Hygiene Literature, for the Department of Public Health, for the sum of \$161.50, in strict conformity with its bid submitted March 27, 1922.

All other bids therefor are hereby rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, McSheehy, Mulvihill—3.

#### Award of Contract, Milk and Dairy Ordinances.

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 19874 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Neal, Stratford & Kerr, a corporation, is hereby awarded a contract for furnishing 1000 Milk

and Dairy Ordinances, for the sum of \$122.50; and 1000 Health Ordinances, for the sum of \$264.50, for the Department of Public Health, in strict conformity with its bid submitted March 27, 1922.

All other bids therefor are hereby rejected.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, McSheehy, Mulvihill—3.

#### Award of Contract, "American" Dressing Sterilizer.

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 19875 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Frederick A Hamilton be and hereby is awarded a contract for furnishing one "American" dressing sterilizer required by the Central Emergency Hospital, in strict conformity with his bid submitted April 3, 1922, for the sum of \$870.

Further Resolved, That all other bids for said article be rejected; also all offers received for the turn-in of the old sterilizer.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, McSheehy, Mulvihill—3.

#### Clerk to Advertise for Foodstuffs.

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 19876 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise for proposals for furnishing foodstuffs for the period of three months—July, August and September, 1922—as per specifications prepared by the Supplies Committee.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, McSheehy, Mulvihill—3.

#### Municipal Railway Extensions.

Supervisor Hynes asked if there would be a report of the Public Utilities Committee on his resolution requesting certain information in re Municipal Railway extensions considered at last meeting of the Public Utilities Committee on Wednesday.

Supervisor Shannon, chairman of the Public Utilities Committee, reported progress.

Thereupon, Supervisor Hynes moved that the Clerk read to the Board the report of the City Engineer on the questions propounded by him and

which was read in the Joint Committee on Wednesday.

Supervisor McLeran raised the point of order that the resolution was introduced at the last meeting of the Board and referred to a joint committee, and that the joint committee has thirty days to report. Chairman Shannon of the Public Utilities Committee having just reported progress.

Chair ruled: Point of order well taken.

(Discussion: Mayor Rolph, Supervisors Hynes, McLeran.)

Supervisor Hynes, seconded by Supervisor Deasy, renewed his motion to have report of City Engineer read.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Hynes, Powers, Robb, Scott, Welch—6.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Rossi, Schmitz, Shannon, Wolfe—9.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, McSheehy, Mulvihill—3.

#### ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Board of Public Works to Estimate Cost of Repaving Baker Street.

Supervisor Scott presented:

Resolution No. 19877 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works is hereby requested to furnish this Board with an estimate of the cost of repaving Baker street between Union and Green streets.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, McSheehy, Mulvihill—3.

#### Joint Highway District.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 19878 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, it is imperative that additional highways should be immediately constructed along the peninsula in order that the traffic in and to San Francisco can be accommodated and the convenience of its citizens may be promoted; also, that more direct connection may be made between San Francisco and the interior of the State; therefore

Resolved, As expressing the desire of the Board of Supervisors, that the City and County of San Francisco will join with the County of San Mateo in forming a joint highway district as provided by State law, and that the Board of Supervisors of San Mateo County be invited to initiate the necessary proceedings to the end that a

highway may be constructed on the easterly side of the tracks of the Southern Pacific Company to a suitable point at which a bridge may be constructed across the bay of San Francisco as may be determined after a survey and report by the engineers of said counties and the engineer of the State Highway Commission.

(Discussion: Supervisors Scott, Welch, McLeran, Hayden, City Engineer O'Shaughnessy and N. A. Davis, representative of the One Hundred Per Cent Club.)

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was *adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, McSheehy, Mulvihill—3.

#### Explanation of Vote.

*Supervisor McLeran* explained his vote by saying: "I am voting *aye* on the resolution reserving the right to settle the financial question when it comes up. My voting *aye* does not indicate that I am committing myself to any policy for the expenditure of any of the City's money or an assessment district."

*Supervisor Rossi*: "I am voting *aye* with the same reservations."

#### Certification of Necessity of Municipal Railway Extension.

Supervisor Hynes presented:

Resolution No. 19879 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney be requested to advise this Board as to its authority to provide for the extension of the Municipal Street Railway system, particularly in respect to the following questions, involving the proper interpretation of Subdivision 8 of Section 9, Chapter I, Article VI of the Charter:

1. Is the provision requiring the certificate of the City Engineer that a given construction is necessary a prerequisite to the passage of an ordinance by the Board of Supervisors authorizing or directing the Board of

Public Works to make such construction?

2. Must such a certificate be given to the Board of Public Works before it can enter into a contract for such construction?

3. Is a direction by the Supervisors to the Board of Public Works to enter into a contract to construct a stated work mandatory upon such Board to execute the same?

4. Or is it merely a direction in which the Board of Public Works may exercise its discretion?

5. May the City Engineer by refusing to make a certificate of necessity prevent the execution of any direction of the Board of Supervisors?

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, McSheehy, Mulvihill—3.

#### Extension of Time.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 19881 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That State Improvement Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety days from and after April 14, 1922, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Forty-second avenue between Fulton and Cabrillo streets, under public contract.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor has been delayed on account of the difficulty in obtaining curb material.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, McSheehy, Mulvihill—3.

#### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board, at 5 30 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors June 19, 1922.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN.

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, April 17, 1922.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY

77 Sutter Street, S. F.



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, APRIL 17, 1922, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, April 17, 1922, 2 p. m.  
The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Powers, Rossi—3.

(Supervisors Powers and Rossi excused—attending Native Sons' Convention.)

Supervisor Mulvihill explained his absence for the last two weeks and asked to be recorded as *excused*.

*So ordered.*

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Morgan was called to the chair.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of April 10, 1922, was *laid over for approval* until next meeting.

### ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

#### City Planning Amendment.

Supervisor Deasy presented petition of Chas. Baer for an amendment of the Zoning Ordinance, Western Addition Block 81a to be changed from first residential district to second residential district.

*Referred to City Planning Commission.*

Chamber of Commerce Endorses Dumbarton Bridge.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

*Communication*—From the Chamber of Commerce, expressing its approval and appreciation of recent action toward consummation of Dumbarton Bridge project and urging that the necessary successive legislative steps be taken to complete the work as soon as possible.

*Referred to Special Bridge Committee.*

#### Annual Conference of Social Workers.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

*Communication*—From the San Diego Board of Supervisors inviting attendance at annual conference of Social Workers which will meet in San Diego 25th, 26th and 28th inst.

Read by the Clerk.

#### Bicycle Course on Park Stadium.

Supervisor Schmitz presented:

*Communication*—From New Century Wheelmen, requesting Board's co-operation in securing use of old bicycle track in the Golden Gate Park Stadium for novice race next month and practice work for future contests.

*Referred to Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee.*

#### Market Street Extension Protest.

Clerk presented:

*Protest*—Of Anita E. Perrine, protesting that \$10 a running foot is an exorbitant price for street work on Market street extension, and that she cannot see her way clear to pay that price.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

#### Dewey Day Celebration.

*Communication*—From Captain Chas. D. Gridley, Chapter No. 1, Dewey Congressional Medal Men's Association, inviting attendance at Dewey Memorial Day ceremonies in Union Square at Dewey Monument, 1:30 p. m. Sunday, May 1, 1922.

Read and *accepted*.

#### Municipal Railway Extensions.

On motion of Supervisor Hynes, the following communication was ordered spread in the Journal, referred to the City Engineer, and a copy furnished each Supervisor, to-wit:

April 15, 1922.

Honorable John D. Hynes, Supervisor, Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Dear Sir:

In accordance with your verbal request of Wednesday, April 12th, I am sending herewith a copy of the Bureau's communication to the Board of Supervisors on April 10th relative to Municipal Railway finances. The communication was referred to the joint Public Utilities and Finance committee, the whole matter of extensions

being still in the hands of this joint committee. May I emphasize that the Bureau's position in the matter is, and has been, not in any sense opposing extensions, but that of desiring that all the facts of the case shall be clearly understood by the Supervisors and that the action of the Supervisors should be based on actual facts rather than unsupported conclusions. A copy of the letter to the Supervisors follows:

April 10, 1922.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

In regard to report of the joint Finance and Public Utilities Committee on the Municipal Railway extension program, the Bureau of Governmental Research desires to point out that no discussion of the matter of "finances" was permitted, although this was the particular subject referred to your joint committee.

To the end that the Board of Supervisors shall have a clear understanding of the railway finances, and an appreciation of the effect of adopting the committee report, on the railway finances in the near future, the Bureau respectfully suggests that the Supervisors obtain from official sources, and analyze, the following information:

1. Gross annual Depreciation Reserve (18 %) .....
2. Payments therefrom (Bonds, Accident Claims, etc.) .....
3. *Net* annual Depreciation Reserve .....
4. Net annual Profit (exclusive of "Comparison Charges") .....
5. Net annual Profit and Depreciation Reserve .....
6. Annual cost for Pension System (new) .....
7. Additional annual Deficit, Taraval St. ....
8. Estimated Annual Deficit, Masonic Ave. ....
9. Loss of Income, Depreciation Reserve Bonds .....
10. Total of above four items ...
11. Annual Operating Deficit to come from Depreciation Reserve .....
12. Estimate of Depreciation Requirements, next 15 years. ....
13. Unencumbered Balance, Depreciation Reserve, after financing Masonic Ave., Taraval St. and cars .....
14. Unencumbered Balance, "Operating Surplus" account ...
15. Statement of where funds are

to come from for further depreciation requirements.

In the opinion of the Bureau—with no Railway "Operating Surplus" balance, with the net Depreciation Reserve amounting to only 9.2% (instead of 18% commonly considered), and with this reserve reduced to 5.1% by the contemplated expenditures—the railway will be seriously over-extended out of its past reserves and surpluses.

Very truly yours,

W. H. NARRY,  
Director.

#### PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Sealed proposals for 50 loose-leaf record books for the Recorder were received in open meeting of the Board of Supervisors on Monday, April 17, 1922, between the hours of 2 and 3 o'clock p. m., to-wit:

1. H. S. Crocker Co.; certified check, \$90.
2. The T. J. Cardoza Co.; certified check, \$91.35.
3. A. Carlisle & Co.; certified check, \$100.
4. Schwabacher-Frey Stationery Co.; certified check, \$100.

*Referred to Supplies Committee.*

#### Proposals for Publishing Delinquent Tax List.

Sealed proposals were received by the Board of Supervisors from publishers of daily or weekly newspapers on Monday, April 17, 1922, between the hours of 2 o'clock and 3 o'clock p. m., for the printing, publishing and distributing of the Delinquent Tax List, Index of Delinquent Real Estate Tax-payers and printing the Sales List and other matters incidental thereto, for the fiscal year 1921-1922, to-wit:

1. Twin Peaks Sentinel (\$.064); certified check, \$200.

*Referred to Public Welfare and Publicity Committee.*

#### REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.

The following committees, by their respective chairmen, presented reports on various matters referred, which reports were read and ordered *filed*:

Supplies Committee, by Supervisor Rossi, chairman.

Fire Committee, by Supervisor Deasy, chairman.

Streets Committee, by Supervisor Mulvihill, chairman.

Commercial Development and Streets Committee, by Supervisor Welch, chairman.

Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee, by Supervisor Morgan, chairman.

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

##### Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Authorizations.**

Resolution No. 19882 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(1) Sullivan Machinery Co., machine parts, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated April 4, 1922), \$520.79.

(2) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., hardware, etc. (claim dated April 4, 1922), \$539.99.

(3) Edw. L. Soule Co., sheet iron, etc. (claim dated April 4, 1922), \$580.56.

(4) Byron Jackson Iron Works, Inc., two pumps (claim dated April 4, 1922), \$580.75.

(5) West Side Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated April 4, 1922), \$626.30.

(6) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Groveland Revolving Fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated April 4, 1922), \$1,015.10.

(7) William Cluff Co., supplies (claim dated April 4, 1922), \$1,450.70.

(8) Sherry Bros. Inc., supplies (claim dated April 4, 1922), \$2,152.84.

(9) Utah Construction Co., 29th payment, construction of dam and appurtenances (claim dated April 5, 1922), \$95,696.22.

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(10) Emil Hogberg, second payment, brick and terra cotta work, Spring Valley School (claim dated April 5, 1922), \$4,963.50.

*Special School Tax, 1921-1922.*

(11) Kohlwey Smith Electric Co., first payment, electrical work, Parkside School (claim dated April 5, 1922), \$1,950.75.

(12) Globe Electric Works, second payment, electric work, Commodore Sloat School (claim dated April 5, 1922), \$4,556.53.

(13) John Reid, Jr., second payment, architectural service, Pacific Heights School (claim dated April 6, 1922), \$7,200.

*County Road Fund.*

(14) C. B. Eaton, fifth payment, improvement of Point Lobos avenue from Great Highway to Forty-eighth avenue (claim dated April 5, 1922), \$18,558.97.

*Auditorium Fund.*

(15) M. E. Ryan, final payment, electric wiring and conduit at Auditorium (claim dated April 6, 1922), \$2,296.50.

(16) Business Exposition Co., refund of deposit as bond for occupancy of

Auditorium, March 1-14 (claim dated April 10, 1922), \$1,000.

*General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(17) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated April 7, 1922), \$1,000.

(18) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated April 7, 1922), \$8,374.01.

(19) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated April 7, 1922), \$11,105.02.

(20) Clinton Construction Co., third payment, construction of Ocean Beach Esplanade (claim dated April 5, 1922), \$33,637.50.

(21) Sperry Flour Co., flour Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1922), \$930.

(22) Spring Valley Water Co., water through hydrants and high pressure, Fire Department (claim dated March 31, 1922), \$12,172.24.

(23) San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated April 10, 1922), \$1,000.

(24) Bancroft-Whitney Co., law books furnished District Attorney (claim dated April 10, 1922), \$712.50.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Powers, Rossi—3.

**Appropriations.**

Resolution No. 19883 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund for the cost of furnishing and delivery of cars and equipment for Municipal Railway System, in accordance with Contract No. 125, Municipal Railway System, to-wit:

(1) To cover contract awarded American Car Company, under Section "A" (Proposition No. 2), \$103,740.

For inspection and incidentals, \$5,000.

(2) To cover contract awarded Westinghouse Electric & Manufacturing Co., under Section "C" (Proposition A), for furnishing motor equipment for cars, \$49,503.66.

For inspection and possible extras, \$2,996.34.

(3) To cover contract awarded American Car Company, under Section "B", furnishing and delivering street car trucks, \$26,957.

For freight and inspection, \$3,543.

(4) To cover contract awarded Westinghouse Traction Brake Co., under Section "D", for furnishing and delivering car air-brake equipment, \$11,363.73.

For freight and inspection, \$2,636.27.  
Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Powers, Rossi—3.

Resolution No. 19884 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

*Special School Tax, 1921-1922.*

(1) For purchase of furniture and equipment for the new Commodore Sloat School, \$7,500.

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(2) For purchase of furnishings for auditorium of Bernal School, \$390. (Recommendation of Department of Education.)

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Powers, Rossi—3.

*Permits.*

Resolution No. 19885 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

*Automobile Parking Station.*

Harold T. Campbell, on the south side of Stevenson street, 175 feet west of Seventh street, and running through to Jessie street. The provisions of Ordinance No. 3108 (New Series), must be strictly complied with.

*Oil Storage Tank.*

(1500 gallons capacity.)

W. L. Hemminga, on north side of Fulton street, 478 feet west of Masonic avenue.

W. L. Hemminga, on north side of Fulton street, 408 feet west of Masonic avenue.

Gray & Danielson, at 250 First street.

Kincannon & Perego, on south side of Clay street, 150 feet east of Polk street.

A. Johnson, at southwest corner of Eighteenth avenue and Clement street.

Morrow & Garren, on west side of Twelfth avenue, 150 feet south of Geary street.

*Boiler.*

Gray & Danielson, at 250 First street, 10 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman,

Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Powers, Rossi—3.

**REPORT OF FINANCE COMMITTEE.**

Demands on the Treasury amounting to \$261,698.13, including the following Urgent Necessity, were presented and approved by the following vote:

*Urgent Necessity.*

Sabina M. Churchill, accident indemnity compensation, \$89.27.

League of California Municipalities, two years' dues, \$120.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Powers, Rossi—3.

**NEW BUSINESS.**

*Auditorium Rentals.*

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 19886 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following organizations be granted permission to occupy the halls in the Auditorium, deposits having been paid to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to guarantee the rental fee:

Homeless Children Committee, Native Sons and Daughters of the Golden West, use of Main Hall, November 29, 1922, 6 p. m. to 12 p. m., for the purpose of holding pageant.

Disabled War Veterans, use of Main Hall, April 19, 1922, 6 p. m. to 12 p. m., for the purpose of holding a dance.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Powers, Rossi—3.

*Passed for Printing.*

The following matters were passed for printing:

**Authorizations.**

On motion of Supervisor McLeran: Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(1) Crucible Steel Co., tool steel, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Apr. 10, 1922), \$528.54.

(2) Coast Supply Co., iron pipe (claim dated Apr. 10, 1922), \$904.32.

(3) A. Levy & J. Zentner Co., supplies (claim dated Apr. 10, 1922), \$1,109.32.

(4) Fairbanks, Morse & Co. Inc., two motors and parts (claim dated Apr. 10, 1922), \$1,113.98.

(5) Virden Packing Co., meats (claim dated Apr. 10, 1922), \$1,163.60.

(6) Standard Oil Co. Inc., oils (claim dated Apr. 10, 1922), \$1,289.17.

(7) Murray Brothers, two drum hoists (claim dated Apr. 10, 1922), \$2,746.68.

(8) Ingersoll-Rand Co. Inc., drill parts (claim dated Apr. 10, 1922), \$3,202.13.

(9) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Apr. 10, 1922), \$3,456.25.

(10) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., motor and parts (claim dated Apr. 11, 1922), \$595.01.

(11) Union Petroleum Co., liquid grease (claim dated Apr. 13, 1922), \$637.18.

(12) R. C. Curnow, machine parts (claim dated Apr. 11, 1922), \$644.85.

(13) Wm. Cluff Co., supplies (claim dated Apr. 13, 1922), \$749.25.

(14) Martens, Read & Co., supplies (claim dated Apr. 13, 1922), \$758.15.

(15) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., soft steel (claim dated Apr. 13, 1922), \$766.91.

(16) Simpson-Gray Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Apr. 11, 1922), \$1,174.56.

(17) Edward R. Bacon Co. Inc., tee rail (claim dated Apr. 13, 1922), \$1,644.57.

(18) H. H. Robertson Co., corrugated roofing (claim dated Apr. 13, 1922), \$1,736.71.

(19) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, freight charges, per vouchers (claim dated Apr. 11, 1922), \$2,023.87.

(20) West Side Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Apr. 11, 1922), \$2,589.05.

(21) Standard Oil Co. Inc., fuel oil, etc. (claim dated Apr. 13, 1922), \$3,468.51.

(22) Hercules Powder Co., powder, etc. (claim dated Apr. 13, 1922), \$5,662.50.

# *County Road Fund.*

(23) Thomas Coyne and Mary Coyne, payment for lands required for opening and widening of Roosevelt way; as accepted by Resolution No. 19867 (New Series) (claim dated Apr. 14, 1922), \$1,415.

# *Municipal Railway Fund.*

(24) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., steel brake shoes, Municipal Railways (claim dated Apr. 10, 1922), \$1,194.39.

# *Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.*

(25) Frank L. David and Harriet L.

David, settlement in full of claim arising out of accident and injury to Harriet L. David (claim dated Apr. 3, 1922), \$2,250.

# *School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(26) Monson Bros., extra work, addition to Hancock School (claim dated Apr. 11, 1922), \$742.90.

(27) Mahony Bros., 3rd payment, construction of addition to Mission High School (claim dated Apr. 12, 1922), \$12,449.89.

# *Special School Tax, 1921-1922.*

(28) John Reid Jr., first payment, architectural service, Andrew Jackson School (claim dated Apr. 12, 1922), \$1,400.

(29) Anderson & Ringrose, final payment, general construction, Commodore Sloat School (claim dated Apr. 12, 1922), \$33,753.

# *Library Fund.*

(30) San Francisco News Co., library books (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$747.93.

(31) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$1,921.71.

(32) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$1,547.83.

(33) Foster & Futernick Co., book binding (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$1,421.20.

(34) James A. Nelson, library heating repairs (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$543.60.

# *General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(35) George Lull, expense of transportation, etc., to New York in matter of City and County vs. Murphy (claim dated Apr. 13, 1922), \$700.

(36) St. Mary's Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Apr. 12, 1922), \$597.97.

(37) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Apr. 12, 1922), \$2,786.94.

(38) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Apr. 12, 1922), \$1,047.87.

(39) Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Apr. 12, 1922), \$1,568.76.

(40) St. Mary's Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Apr. 12, 1922), \$665.

(41) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Apr. 12, 1922), \$1,500.58.

(42) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Apr. 12, 1922), \$1,536.31.

(43) Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Apr. 12, 1922), \$810.52.

(44) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated Apr. 12, 1922), \$9,067.95.

(45) Children's Agency, maintenance

of minors (claim dated Apr. 12, 1922), \$16,248.77.

(46) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Apr. 12, 1922), \$3,415.80.

(47) St. Catherine's Home, maintenance of minors at Magdalen Asylum (claim dated Apr. 12, 1922), \$648.75.

(48) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors (claim dated Apr. 13, 1922), \$580.

(49) California School for Girls, maintenance of minors (claim dated Apr. 13, 1922), \$576.42.

(50) D. J. O'Brien, police contingent expense (claim dated Apr. 3, 1922), \$750.

(51) California Meat Co., meats, County Jails (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$548.01.

(52) California Baking Co., bread, County Jails (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$565.96.

(53) Flynn & Collins, Ford Roadster, Dept. Public Works (claim dated Apr. 6, 1922), \$559.92.

(54) Shell Company, asphalt, Dept. Public Works (claim dated Apr. 7, 1922), \$510.

(55) Standard Oil Co. Inc., asphalt, Dept. Public Works (claim dated Apr. 6, 1922), \$1,033.62.

(56) California Brick Co., paving brick, Dept. Public Works (claim dated Apr. 7, 1922), \$2,185.

(57) California Brick Co., paving brick, Dept. Public Works (claim dated Apr. 11, 1922), \$3,800.

(58) Spring Valley Water Co., water for public buildings (claim dated Apr. 13, 1922), \$1,257.83.

(59) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated Apr. 13, 1922), \$3,048.02.

(60) Union Oil Co. of Cal., fuel oil, Dept. Public Works (claim dated Apr. 13, 1922), \$2,075.63.

(61) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement, street repair (claim dated Apr. 13, 1922), \$4,054.85.

(62) H. Harms & Co., fuel, Fire Dept. (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$848.50.

(63) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electric service, Fire Dept. (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$1,017.89.

(64) Union Oil Co., gasoline etc., Fire Dept. (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$1,367.98.

(65) Herbert F. Dugan, drug supplies, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$2,181.52.

(66) J. T. Freitas Co., supplies, Relief Home (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$698.60.

(67) Oliva Bros., supplies, Relief Home (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$739.78.

(68) Producers Hay Co., hay, Relief Home (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$909.32.

(69) Sherry Bros., supplies Relief

Home (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$1,008.

(70) Haas Bros., supplies, Relief Home (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$534.24.

(71) Baumgarten Bros., meats, Relief Home (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$4,443.95.

(72) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished hospitals (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$1,077.38.

(73) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished Relief Home (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$503.72.

(74) J. T. Freitas Co., beet pulp, Relief Home (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$600.

(75) Associated Charities, orders for unemployed, Relief Home (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$1,091.14.

(76) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., street lighting (claim dated Apr. 17, 1922), \$45,587.93.

(77) The Recorder Printing & Publishing Co., printing etc. Law-Motion-Trial Calendar (claim dated Apr. 17, 1922), \$665.

#### *Auditorium Fund.*

(78) Board Supervisors, refund of deposit as bond for occupancy of Auditorium by Chicago Grand Opera Co. (claim dated Apr. 17, 1922), \$1,000.

#### *Appropriations, Construction of Horace Mann School.*

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of Four hundred and thirteen thousand-three hundred and eighty-six dollars (\$413,386), be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax, 1921-1922, for cost of erection of Horace Mann School, to be constructed on east side of Valencia street between Twenty-third and Twenty-fourth streets; as per the following:

General construction (Anderson & Ringrose), \$302,382.

Lathing and plastering (J. Greenback), \$46,267.

Heating and ventilating (P. J. Enright), \$21,910.

Plumbing work (A. Lettich), \$19,902.

Electrical work (Butte Elec. Equipt. Co.), \$12,922.

Inspection, \$6,000.

Additional architect's fees \$4,003.

#### *Appropriation, Exhibition Structure in Nave of Ferry Building.*

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$16,389 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of General Fund, for the construction of an exhibition structure, for the use of the City and County, in the nave of the Ferry Building, in-

cluding extras and incidentals of \$500 (Louis J. Cohen contract, \$15,889.)

Appropriation, \$9,120, Hardware, Galileo High School.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$9,120 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918, to defray cost of finish hardware for the Galileo High School (Joost Bros. contract).

Appropriation, \$12,500, Payment to John Gordino for Land and Improvements.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$12,500 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax, fiscal year 1921-1922, and authorized in payment to John Gordino for land and improvements situate and commencing on northerly line of Waller street, distant 250 feet from easterly line of Masonic avenue, of dimensions 25x137½ feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 19869 (New Series), and required for the Dudley Stone School.

Appropriating \$1,025, Plumbing Repairs, Service Building, San Francisco Hospital.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$1,025 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, for plumbing repairs in Service Building at San Francisco Hospital.

#### Garage and Oil Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:  
Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

#### Transfer Public Garage.

To Marr & Berger, permit granted by Resolution No. 16981 (New Series) to R. D. White, for premises situate south-west corner Sansome and Merchant streets (No. 535 Sansome street).

To Evans & Dolson, permit granted by Resolution No. 17919 (New Series) to Selah Chamberlain for premises situate south side of Stevenson street, 25 feet east of New Montgomery street (No. 125 Stevenson street).

To Dunleavy & Monlia, permit granted by Resolution No. 18531 (New Series) to J. Munroe Smith, for premises situate 3512 Sixteenth street.

#### Oil Storage Tank.

Parkside Creamery, at 1439 Haight street, 600 gallons capacity.

Joseph L. Stewart, at northwest corner of San Leandro way and St. Francis boulevard, 600 gallons capacity.

E. Klasser, at 444 Clement street, 600 gallons capacity.

J. H. Kruse, on south side of Twenty-third street, 50 feet west of Howard street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

#### Street Lights.

Resolution No. 19887 (New Series), as follows:

Supervisor Power presented:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas & Electric Company is hereby instructed to install, move and remove street lights as follows:

#### Install 250 M. R.

West side Forty-third avenue between Irving and Judah streets.

Vermont street between Twenty-third and Twenty-fourth streets.

#### Move Gas Lamp.

Front of 743 Leavenworth street, west side, between Post and Sutter, about three feet.

#### Remove Iron Poles Not in Use.

North side and south side Haight street between Buchanan and Scott.

#### Remove Gas Lamp.

Vermont street between Twenty-third and Twenty-fourth streets.

#### Condemnation of Land Required for

#### School Purposes.

Resolution No. 1988 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity and use require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco of the following described lands, and any and all rights and claims thereto, for school purposes, to-wit: All the lots, pieces or parcels of land included in the following descriptions, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Army street at the intersection with the westerly line of Harrison street, running thence westerly along said southerly line of Army street 218 feet, more or less; thence southerly 300 feet, more or less, to the northerly line of Precita avenue; thence easterly along said northerly line of Precita avenue 185 feet to a point; thence northerly and parallel with Harrison street 145 feet, more or less; thence at a right angle easterly 33 feet to the westerly line of Harrison street; thence northerly along said westerly line of Harrison street 145 feet, more or less, to the southerly line of Army street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Precita Valley Lands, and required for the La Conte School.

Also:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Sanchez street adjoining school property, distant 165 feet northerly from the northerly line of

Seventeenth street, running thence easterly at a right angle along said school property 160 feet to the westerly line of Dehon street; thence southerly along said westerly line of Dehon street 165 feet to the northerly line of Seventeenth street; thence westerly along said northerly line of Seventeenth street 160 feet to the easterly line of Sanchez street; thence northerly along said easterly line of Sanchez street 165 feet to the point of commencement. Being a portion of Mission Block 95, required for the Everett School.

That all the lands, rights and claims above described are hereby declared to be suitable, adaptable and necessary for a public use by the City and County of San Francisco.

The City Attorney is hereby instructed to commence proceedings against the owner of said lots, pieces and parcels of land enclosed within said hereinabove description, and any and all rights and claims thereto and interest therein for the condemnation thereof for the use of the City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid, and to prosecute such proceedings to a speedy termination.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Powers, Rossi—3.

**Accepting Offer to Sell Land on Green Street Required for School Purposes.**

Resolution No. 19889 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from W. J. Clasby to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements situate on the north line of Green street, distant 123 feet 2 inches west from Franklin street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land and improvements, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of \$7,000 be, and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Green street, distant thereon 123 feet 2 inches westerly from the westerly line of Franklin street, running thence westerly along said northerly line of Green street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 130 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 25 feet;

thence at a right angle southerly 130 feet to the northerly line of Green street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block 117.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnaney title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon the payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Powers, Rossi—3.

**Extension of Time.**

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 19890 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That an extension of ninety days from April 28, 1922, be granted to the Utah Construction Company within which to complete contract for the construction of the Hetch Hetchy dam and appurtenant work, Contract No. 61.

This second extension of time is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works and for the reason of delays due to inclement weather and shortage of electric power.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Powers, Rossi—3.

**Extension of Time, Fay Improvement Co.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 19891 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Fay Improvement Company is hereby granted an extension of sixty days' time from and after April 23, 1922, within which to complete contract for improvement of Twenty-second street between Mississippi and Texas streets, under public contract.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that contractor has been delayed by weather conditions.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Powers, Rossi—3.

**Approving Purchase of Materials and Supplies for Municipal Railway.**

Supervisor Robb presented:

Resolution No. 19892 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the purchase of the following material and supplies by the Board of Public Works for the Municipal Railway be and the same is hereby approved, viz.:

From Moore-Jones Brass & Metal Co., 500 trolley wheels at \$1.60 each, \$800.

From Edgewater Steel Co., 200 rolled steel car wheels at \$35.25 each, \$7,050.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Powers, Rossi—3.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Estimate of Cost for Repairing Fillmore Street Between Filbert and Union Streets.**

Supervisor Scott presented:

Resolution No. 19893 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works be and is requested to furnish this Board with an estimate of the cost of repaving Fillmore street between Filbert and Union streets.

*Adopted under suspension of the rules by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Powers, Rossi—3.

**Leave of Absence, Supervisor Jesse Colman.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

*Communication*—From Mayor, recommending thirty days' leave of absence for Supervisor Jesse Colman, commencing April 18, 1922, with permission to leave the State.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 19894 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the

Mayor, Hon. Jesse Colman, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing April 18, 1922, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Powers, Rossi—3.

**Leave of Absence, School Director Esberg.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., April 13, 1922.

To the Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, Cal.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Hon. Alfred I. Esberg, School Director, for a leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California for a period of sixty days, commencing April 17, 1922.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Very respectfully yours,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,

Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 19895 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Alfred I. Esberg, School Director, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing April 17, 1922, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Powers, Rossi—3.

**Leave of Absence, Mrs. Maude R. Mott, Director, Board of Education.**

San Francisco, Cal., April 12, 1922.

To the Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, Cal.—

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Mrs. Maude R. Mott, a Director of the Board of Education, for a leave of absence with permission to leave the State of California for a period of six weeks, beginning April 12, 1922.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Very sincerely yours,

JAMES ROLPH, Jr.,

Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 19896 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mrs. Maude R. Mott, a Director of the Board of Education, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of six weeks, beginning April 12, 1922, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Powers, Rossi—3.

Leave of Absence. Dr. Hassler.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., April 13, 1922.  
To the Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, Cal.—Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Dr. William C. Hassler, Health Officer, for a leave of absence with permission to leave the State of California, for a period of fifteen days, commencing April 20, 1922.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Very respectfully yours,  
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,  
Mayor.

April 12, 1922.

Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, Calif.—Gentlemen:

In accordance with the provisions of Section 3, Article XVI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, I herewith respectfully request permission to absent myself from the City and County of San Francisco and the State of California for a period of two weeks from April 20, 1922, for the purpose of attending to private business.

In conformity with the Charter provisions, I have this day forwarded a similar communication to his Honor, James Rolph, Jr., Mayor, City and County of San Francisco.

Respectfully,  
WM. C. HASSLER,  
Health Officer.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 19897 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Dr. William C. Hassler, Health Officer, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing April 20, 1922, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor,

McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Powers, Rossi—3.

Charter Amendment Relating to Control, Superintendence and Management of Public Utilities of City.

Supervisor McLeran presented the following which was ordered *referred to the Public Utilities Committee*:

Charter Amendment No. —

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding to said Charter a new article to be known as Article 12-A relating to the control, superintendence and management of public utilities owned by the City and County.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the electors of said City and County at an election to be held on the — day of —, 1922, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County as follows:

That said Charter be amended by adding thereto a new article to be known as Article 12-A to read as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby created a Public Service Commission for the City and County of San Francisco consisting of three members to be appointed by the Mayor for a term of six years provided that those first appointed shall be named for terms ending at noon July 1, 1925, July 1, 1927, and July 1, 1929, respectively. The Commission shall serve without compensation and shall be allowed their actual expenses to be approved by the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors and audited by the Auditor in the same manner as other demands are audited which such Commissioners may expend in the actual performance of their duties. The Public Service Commission shall provide for and have charge of the construction, extension and operation of the public works for supplying the inhabitants with light, water, power and heat, transportation of passengers or freight, telephone service or other means of communication when established or acquired by the municipality; such Commission shall exercise the same control over such other public utilities and exercise such other powers as the Board of Supervisors may from time to time by ordinance prescribe and which are not otherwise conferred by this Charter and shall also have such other necessary powers as are incidental to the purposes herein expressed. The Public Service Commission is empowered to enter into contracts for construction or for ma-

terials and shall have and exercise all powers over public utilities elsewhere conferred by this Charter on the Board of Public Works and all grants of authority in conflict with this article are hereby superseded, provided, however, that all contracts entered into at the time of the ratification of this amendment by the Legislature for construction on any public utility or for materials for any public utility shall be carried on and fully executed by the Board of Public Works the same as if this amendment had not been adopted.

Section 2. The Public Service Commission shall have power to employ a manager for each public utility owned and operated by the municipality, and all necessary assistance in the construction, extension and operation of such utilities, and may fix the compensation of all employees. The manager and experts in superintending positions requiring high technical skill and persons employed outside the City and County shall not be subject to the requirements elsewhere provided by this Charter concerning residence or compensation.

The following shall be exempted from the requirements of the classified Civil Service:

(a) The manager of any of the public utilities

(b) Experts in superintending positions in such utilities requiring high technical skill.

(c) Appointees to positions holding a confidential relation to the manager of a public utility, but not more than three such confidential appointments shall be made in any public utility without the consent of the Civil Service Commission.

All other employees of the Public Service Commission shall be governed by the provisions of article XIII of the Charter, except that section 12 of such article shall not apply to persons employed in the operating department of any public utility.

Section 3. The Commission shall establish rules for the management and regulation of each utility and for the government of their employees, and shall keep a strict account of all receipts and expenses of each such utility in accordance with the provisions of subdivision 3 of section 16 of chapter I of this article. Appropriations shall be made from time to time from such earnings in the order set forth in subdivision 1 of section 16 of chapter I of this article and from such other sources as the Supervisors may provide. The earnings of each public utility shall be kept in separate funds, and any moneys in such funds shall be used only for the acquisition, construction or extension of the same class of public utility from which such

moneys are derived or for the repayment of the bonded debt thereon.

Section 4. The Commission shall hold regular meetings at least once each week at a place and time to be fixed by resolution entered into its minutes. Special meetings may be called and held at any time after ten hours' notice of the same has been posted showing the purpose for which such meeting has been called. Regular and special meetings shall be opened to the public. Voting shall be by ayes and noes on all questions submitted and the record of such voting be kept, giving the name of the Commissioners and the manner in which each voted.

Section 5. The Commission may lease for agricultural or other purposes any lands purchased or in its charge for terms not exceeding ten years. The provisions of article 11, chapter II, section 1, paragraph 32 of this Charter shall not apply to such leases.

Section 6. The Commission shall not contract for the sale of any product or service of a public utility to any person, firm or corporation which product or service is intended by such person, firm or corporation to be resold to consumers without the consent of the Board of Supervisors.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was passed for printing:

**Board of Public Works to Contract for Toilets in Auditorium.**

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Bill No. 6017, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the conversion of two existing rooms in Polk Hall, Exposition Auditorium, into two toilets, and the furnishing and installation of necessary fixtures therein for said toilets, and authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said work in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the conversion of two existing rooms in Polk Hall, Exposition Auditorium, into two toilets, and the furnishing and installation of the necessary fixtures therein for said toilets in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor by the said Board of Public Works and on file in its office, which plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**Disabled Veterans' Refund.**

*Supervisor Schmitz*, referring to a rental charge of \$300 for the use of the Auditorium by the Disabled Veterans for a dance, requested that the charge be remitted, in view of the laudable purpose for which the building is to be used—the amelioration of the condition of men wounded in the World War who are unable to help themselves.

*Supervisor Hayden*, Chairman of the Auditorium Committee, explained in part by saying: "Of course, we are all in hearty sympathy with the motives that prompt the Supervisor to make the statement he does, but there is an ordinance of this Board that provides rates for the use of the Auditorium and the Committee could not rescind these rates. If the Supervisor could find any money to reimburse them, all right."

*Supervisor Schmitz*: I move that \$300 be taken from the Urgent Necessities Fund to defray the expenses of the veterans for the hall-rent. I do that in order that there may be no precedent established for the refunding of the rental. I think we ought to be very, very kind to these disabled veterans. I should like to have that motion referred to the Finance Committee.

*Supervisor Colman* explained that there were two organizations of World War Veterans, one of which the City has promised assistance. It was called to my attention the other day that another organization of disabled veterans of the World War was going to give this dance. I have tried very hard to get in touch with them, but without success. The citizens of San Francisco raised a fund of \$40,000, of which \$15,000 has been expended, and \$25,000 is available for disabled vet-

erans and their families. I am chairman of that fund. We are meeting weekly in the Red Cross Building and the men are properly taken care of, and so I would like to have the chairman of the Auditorium Committee look into this organization that is coming before them and see if we cannot coordinate our efforts. I don't say it with distrust of this organization, but say it with frankness that I have not been able to get in touch with the organization.

*Supervisor Hayden*: In reply to Supervisor Colman, as far as the City of San Francisco is concerned, we have no interest in the people who rent the Auditorium except to collect the rent.

*Supervisor Colman*: We want to be sure that the funds raised for the disabled veterans are used for that purpose.

*Miss Hoffman*, war nurse, was granted the privilege of the floor, on motion of Supervisor Schmitz, and explained her connection with the proposed dance. "I have assumed charge of the floor for April 19. The title of the organization is 'Disabled Veterans of the World's War.' It is an independent organization. It is a state organization of about sixty-five members."

*Supervisor Scott*: It seems to me this should be discussed before the Finance Committee for the purpose of getting the refund rather than take of the time of the Board.

*Chair*: If there is no objection, the matter will be referred to the Finance Committee.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board at 4 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors June 26, 1922.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,

City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, April 24, 1922.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY

77 Sutter Street, S. F.

J

es

res

ing

He

Mc

Se

me

se

th

m

stic

RC

Ci

ti

of

Be

Se

st:

th

m

M

T

in

di

ot

pr

c

T

G

m

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, APRIL 24, 1922, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, April 24, 1921, 2 p. m.  
The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Morgan was called to the chair.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of March 13, 1922, was considered read and *approved*.

### ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

#### Dumbarton Bridge.

*Communication*—From the Redwood City Chamber of Commerce, transmitting resolution reaffirming its action of 1916 and pledging its support to the Board of Supervisors of San Mateo and San Francisco Counties in the construction of East Side Highway and the Dumbarton Bridge.

Read and *referred to Com. Dev. Committee*.

#### Coyote Point Bridge.

Also, *Communication*—From the Mayor, transmitting invitation of the Three Cities Chamber of Commerce, inviting Supervisors to a luncheon and discussion of the merits of Little Coyote Point as an ideal location for the proposed trans-bay bridge.

*Communication From Three Cities Chamber of Commerce Favoring Bridge at Little Coyote Point.*

San Mateo, California, April 19, 1922.  
To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

The Three Cities Chamber of Commerce, composed of representative citi-

zens of Burlingame, San Mateo and Hillsborough, urges your Honorable Board to give careful consideration to the location for the bridge site in connection with the East Side Highway.

This Chamber of Commerce has arrived at the conclusion, after many months of careful study, that it would be more advantageous for the City of San Francisco and for San Mateo County to have a low level bridge as far north as possible, connecting at least the southern limits of the great population on the Peninsula and in Alameda County.

Your Body perhaps knows that some time ago this Body endorsed the location at Dumbarton Point, as a last resort, for the location of a bay bridge. Now, after deliberate study, the Three Cities Chamber of Commerce has committed itself to a location at Little Coyote Point for the bridge, believing that the bridge located there would do some real good for San Francisco and San Mateo and Alameda counties.

Therefore, we urge you to give careful consideration to this bridge location and will take the liberty, if you will allow us, of having the engineering expert who furnished us with the necessary information to address your Body. We refer to John B. Leonard of the Hundred Percent Club of San Francisco.

Respectfully,

By authority of the Board of Directors:

THREE CITIES CHAMBER OF COMMERCE.

DANIEL C. IMBODEN,  
Manager.

San Francisco Bureau of Governmental Research.

Supervisor McGregor presented:

*Communication*—From the Trustees of the San Francisco Bureau of Governmental Research, explaining its organization, its purpose, and the authority of its director to represent the body before the Board of Supervisors.

Read and *ordered filed*.

#### Street Improvements.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

*Communication*—From T. T. Prather, endorsing proposed repaving of the re-

maining rough streets in the heart of San Francisco, viz.: Jones street, Golden Gate avenue and Larkin street from McAllister to Post.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

Also, *Communication*—From California Street Cable Railway Company, urging the construction of a new and modern pavement on Franklin street between Pine and Bush streets.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

From Commander of H. M. S. "Calcutta."

*Communication*—From the Mayor, transmitting copy of wireless message from Captain Compton, Commander of H. M. S. "Calcutta," thanking the Mayor and Supervisors for their courtesy to him on his recent visit.

Read by the Clerk.

**Creation of Highway District.**

*Communication*—From L. E. Burke, Secretary of the San Francisco Real Estate Board, congratulating the Board on its progressive action in regard to creation of a highway district to construct a new highway from San Francisco down the Peninsula, and offering assistance.

Read by the Clerk.

**May Day Festival.**

*Communication*—From Mission Terrace Improvement Club, inviting the Board to attend May Day Festival at Balboa Park Sunday, April 30, at 2:30 p. m.

Read and *accepted*.

**Dewey Day Celebration.**

*Communication*—From the officers of the Dewey Congressional Medal Men's Association, with invitation to attend exercises to be held at Dewey Monument, Union Square, Sunday, April 30th, at 1 p. m.

Read and *accepted*.

**Leave of Absence, Alfred Ehrman, Fire Commissioner.**

The following was presented, read and ordered spread in the Journal:

San Francisco, Cal., April 24, 1922.  
Hon. Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application having been made to me by Hon. Alfred Ehrman, member of the Board of Fire Commissioners, for an extension of thirty days on leave of absence granted him from March 4, 1922, with permission to leave the State of California, I hereby request that you concur with me in granting such extension of leave for thirty days, commencing May 4, 1922.

Yours very truly,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,

Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 19913 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Alfred Ehrman, member of the Board of Fire Commissioners, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing May 4, 1922, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Creation of Joint Highway District.**

The following were presented, read and ordered spread in the Journal:

April 17, 1922.

Mr. J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Dear Sir:

At the meeting of the Board of Supervisors of this County, held today, the Board adopted a resolution to initiate proceedings for the creation of a joint highway district, and directed me to forward the enclosed certified copy of said resolution to you.

Yours very truly,

ELIZABETH M. NASH,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.  
Board of Supervisors of the County of San Mateo, State of California.

Resolution, to initiate proceedings for the creation of a joint highway district under an act providing for the creation, organization and government of joint highway districts composed of two or more counties of the State of California, approved April 15, 1917, and as amended June 1, 1921.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of the County of San Mateo, State of California, find and declare that the public interest requires the construction of a public highway, including a bridge, the general location and course whereof is hereinafter stated, and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco and the County of San Mateo are directly interested in and will be directly benefited by such highway construction, and

Whereas, it is desirable and necessary to create a joint highway district composed of said two counties for the purposes above indicated; be it therefore

Resolved and Ordered, That the Board of Supervisors of said County of San Mateo, State of California, initiate and hereby proposes to create a joint highway district composed of said City and County of San Francisco and the said County of San Mateo, for the purpose of constructing a highway, the general location and course of which will be as follows:

Within the City and County of San Francisco, commencing at or near the intersection of Potrero avenue and Army street and thence southerly and easterly over existing highways, the same to be widened, and straightened, extended and new grades established and reconstructed where necessary to the county line between said City and County of San Francisco and the said County of San Mateo; thence in the same general direction partly over new and partly over existing highways and connecting the same where necessary, extending, widening, straightening, regrading and reconstructing such existing highways where necessary or convenient to a point in the vicinity of South San Francisco, or San Bruno; thence along the easterly side of and in close proximity to the Southern Pacific Railroad tracks, running through said San Mateo County, through Burlingame, San Mateo, and to a point in the vicinity of the Dumbarton Railroad Bridge of said San Mateo County and across the San Francisco Bay, to the easterly side thereof, it being expressly understood, however, that the particular location of said proposed bridge across said bay shall depend upon what is most feasible, practical and economical.

Be it further

Resolved and Ordered, That a certified copy of this resolution be by the clerk of this Board forwarded to the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, and the said Board be invited to consider the advisability of forming said joint highway district and the approval of said Board of the proposed plan aforesaid, and that thereafter such further steps be taken as are necessary to comply with the complete creation, organization and government of the aforesaid joint highway district, in accordance with said Act of 1917, as amended in 1921.

#### REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.

The following committees, by their respective chairmen, presented reports on various matters referred, which reports were read and ordered *filed*:

Supplies Committee, by Supervisor Rossi, chairman.

Public Welfare and Publicity Committee, by Supervisor Colman, chairman.

City Planning Committee, by Supervisor McGregor, chairman.

Streets Committee, by Supervisor Mulvihill, chairman.

Judiciary Committee, by Supervisor Bath, chairman.

Public Utilities Committee, by Supervisor Shannon, chairman.

Fire and Streets "Rock Quarries", by Supervisor Deasy.

#### Report of Public Utilities Committee on Golden Gate Ferry.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Calif., April 24, 1922.  
To the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Your Committee on Public Utilities, to which was referred the application of the Golden Gate Ferry Company for a ferry franchise between this city and Sausalito, reports thereon as follows:

That the Committee heard all parties interested and also viewed the site of the terminal at the foot of Hyde street and recommends that the franchise be granted with the understanding that if at any time the City should be in a position to extend the Aquatic Park and the use of the area devoted to the ferry terminal should interfere with the City's development plans, then the Ferry Company would remove to another location.

Respectfully submitted,

WARREN SHANNON,

MARGARET MARY MORGAN,

E. E. SCHMITZ,

JOS. MULVIHILL,

Public Utilities Committee.

#### Communication From Park Commission.

A communication from the Park Commission protesting an automobile ferry at the foot of Hyde street was presented and read by the Clerk.

#### Protest Against Golden Gate Ferry.

Supervisor McLeran presented:

*Communication*—From Mrs. D. E. F. Easton, protesting against the building of a ferry slip at the foot of Hyde street, for the reason that it will interfere with the development of the Aquatic Park.

Read by the Clerk.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

*Attorney D. D. Sales*, representing the Golden Gate Ferry Company, was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board in advocacy of the proposed franchise.

*Supervisor Mulvihill* moved that the franchise be granted, that the license fee be fixed at \$100 per month, and that the rate of toll be the same as that filed by the petitioners.

*Supervisor McLeran*, seconded by Supervisor McGregor, moved as an amendment a postponement for one week in order that the Park Commissioners might have an opportunity to be heard.

Motion to postpone *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Rossi—5.

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hynes, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—12.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Explanation of Vote.**

*Supervisor Hayden:* I am voting *aye* out of deference to the Park Commissioners.

*Supervisor Morgan:* I am voting *aye* because I think it is a matter for the Committee on Education, Parks and Playgrounds.

*Supervisor McGregor:* I am voting for postponement purely out of consideration for our Park Commissioners.

**Passage to Print.**

Whereupon, the following Bill was passed for printing:

Bill No. 6033, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Granting a franchise to Golden Gate Ferry Company, a corporation, and its assigns, to erect, take tolls on and keep a public ferry to operate between the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the City of Sausalito, Marin County, California, across the San Francisco Bay, a navigable body of water dividing the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Marin, and fixing the amount of the penal bond, the license tax and the rate of tolls to be collected.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Golden Gate Ferry Company, a corporation, having on the 24th day of April, 1922, presented to the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, its verified written petition praying for authority to erect, take tolls on and keep a public ferry to be operated across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the City of Sausalito, Marin County, California, and said petition having come on regularly for hearing on said day before the said Board of Supervisors, and it having been made to appear by the necessary affidavits presented at said hearing and filed with the Clerk of said Board of Supervisors that the Golden Gate Ferry Company has given notice of its intention to apply to said Board on the 24th day of April, 1922, at the hour of 2 o'clock p. m. of said day, for authority to erect, take tolls on and keep a public ferry, as hereinabove stated, and that said notice was given in the manner, form and for the time required by law and that said notice was served upward of ten (10) days prior to the 24th day of April, 1922, upon the Northwestern Pacific Railway Company and upon the owners of the real property upon which Golden Gate Ferry Company expects to locate its slips, wharves, docks and other terminal facilities, as set forth in said written petition; and

Section 2. It further appearing that

Golden Gate Ferry Company has in all things complied with the law in making application for said ferry franchise between said points and in giving notice of said application; and

Section 3. It further appearing that such ferry is a public necessity and convenience and for the best interests of said City and County of San Francisco and County of Marin and the traveling public and that the situation of the City and County of San Francisco and the City of Sausalito and the crossing of a public highway renders it necessary for public convenience to establish such a ferry and that such a ferry be established; and

Section 4. It further appearing that Golden Gate Ferry Company is a proper and suitable corporation to which to grant such a franchise, right and privilege, and that the owners of the land described in said written petition, and neither of them have made application for a ferry franchise within a reasonable time after the necessity therefor arose and that no franchise for a ferry has been granted by this Board within one mile of the proposed location of said ferry;

Section 5. Now, therefore, this Board of Supervisors does hereby grant to Golden Gate Ferry Company, a corporation, and its assigns, for a term of twenty (20) years, the right, privilege and authority to erect, take tolls on and keep a public ferry to be run and operated across the San Francisco Bay between the said City and County of San Francisco and the City of Sausalito, Marin County, California, with ferry landings or terminals located in said City and County and said City, and more particularly described as follows:

**San Francisco Ferry Landing.**

Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Jefferson street and one hundred (100) feet east of the easterly line of Hyde street; thence northerly at right angle to said line of Jefferson street fifty (50) feet; thence westerly at right angle one hundred (100) feet; thence northerly along easterly line of Hyde street produced one hundred seventy (170) feet; thence northwesterly at an angle of thirty-seven (37) degrees to the left three hundred ten (310) feet; thence southwesterly at an angle of ninety-eight (98) degrees to the left three hundred (300) feet, more or less, to a point distant at right angle westerly fifty (50) feet from the easterly line of Hyde street produced; thence southerly and parallel to said line of Hyde street one hundred eighty (180) feet, more or less, to the northerly line of Jefferson street; thence easterly along the northerly line of Jefferson street one hundred fifty (150) feet to the point of beginning; containing forty-nine thousand (49,000) square feet, more or less, of land.

*Sausalito Ferry Landing.*

Lots Nos. 88, 89, 90, 91, 92 and 93, each twenty-five (25) feet front by one hundred (100) feet depth, in Block "B" as shown, delineated upon a certain map entitled "Subdivisions of Blocks 1, 2, A, B, from Section Map C" of lands of Sausalito Land and Ferry Company, filed in the office of the County Recorder of the County of Marin, State of California, April 14, 1884.

The real property lying seaward of the real property last above described and immediately in front thereof for a distance of two hundred fifty (250) feet between the northerly and southerly boundary lines of the said lots as extended.

That the amount of the penal bond to be given by Golden Gate Ferry Company; or its assigns, for the benefit of said City and County of San Francisco and the County of Marin and all persons crossing or desiring to cross the said ferry is hereby fixed at the sum of ten thousand (\$10,000) dollars and shall be given and renewed annually for said sum.

That the amount of the license tax to be paid by Golden Gate Ferry Company for taking tolls on said ferry is hereby fixed at the sum of one hundred (\$100) dollars per month, payable yearly.

That the rate of tolls and fares, which may be collected for crossing said ferry are hereby fixed as follows:

## TOLLS.

1. Ambulances, self-propelled or horse drawn .....\$1.30
2. Automobiles ..... 1.00

## AUTOMOBILE PASSENGER BUSES:

3. 10-passenger capacity .....\$1.50
4. 15-passenger capacity ..... 2.00
5. 20-passenger capacity ..... 2.50
6. 31-passenger capacity and over.. 3.00

## CARTS AND WAGONS:

7. Cart or wagon without horse....\$1.00
8. Push carts ..... .40

## COMMERCIAL OR DELIVERY AUTOMOBILES AND MOTOR TRUCKS, not exceeding 7 feet wide or 15 feet in length:

9.  $\frac{1}{2}$ -ton capacity or under, each..\$1.00
10.  $\frac{3}{4}$ -ton capacity ..... 1.00
11. 1-ton capacity (see Rule 2)..... 1.25

## COMMERCIAL OR DELIVERY AUTOMOBILES AND MOTOR TRUCKS exceeding 7 feet wide or 15 feet in length:

12.  $\frac{1}{2}$ -ton capacity or under.....\$1.50
13.  $\frac{3}{4}$ -ton capacity ..... 2.00
14. 1-ton capacity (see Rule 2)..... 2.00

## COMMERCIAL OR DELIVERY AUTOMOBILES AND MOTOR TRUCKS exceeding in load or vehicle both 7 feet in width and 15 feet in length:

15.  $\frac{1}{2}$ -ton capacity and under.....\$2.25
16.  $\frac{3}{4}$ -ton capacity ..... 3.00
17. 1-ton capacity (see Rule 2)..... 3.00

## COMMERCIAL OR DELIVERY AUTOMOBILES AND MOTOR TRUCKS not exceeding 9 feet wide or 20 feet long in load or vehicle:

18.  $\frac{1}{2}$ -ton capacity .....\$1.25
19. 2-ton capacity ..... 1.25
20.  $2\frac{1}{2}$ -ton capacity ..... 1.50
21.  $3\frac{1}{2}$ -ton capacity ..... 1.50
22. 5-ton capacity (see Rule 2)..... 1.75

## COMMERCIAL OR DELIVERY AUTOMOBILES AND MOTOR TRUCKS exceeding either 9 feet wide or 20 feet in length either in load or vehicle:

23.  $\frac{1}{2}$ -ton capacity .....\$2.50
24. 2-ton capacity ..... 2.50
25.  $2\frac{1}{2}$ -ton capacity ..... 3.00
26.  $3\frac{1}{2}$ -ton capacity ..... 3.00
27. 5-ton capacity (see Rule 2)..... 3.50

## COMMERCIAL VEHICLES AND TRUCKS:

28. Trucks and vehicles over 35 feet long, including load, will be charged 50 per cent of the rate herein provided in addition. (Rule 5.)
29. Any load accepted requiring the turning of the boat, either to load or unload, to be charged in addition to the regular freight tariff rate for each turning of the boat (see Rule 5) .....\$10.00
30. Ditchers, harvesters, steam rollers, tractors and all similar conveyances, machines and vehicles charged on a basis of weight per ton of 2000 pounds (see Rule 5) ..... 1.60

## CATTLE AND STOCK:

31. Cattle per head and stock in herds and uncared, including one attendant (Rule 3)..... .50
32. Sheep and swine, uncared and in herds, including one herder (Rule 3) ..... .40

## COMMUTATION RATES:

33. Motor stages operated daily over a fixed route, minimum charge per day \$10 per trip; includes driver but no passengers..... .75
34. Daily round-trip for automobiles per month, for car, no driver.. 30.00

## FREIGHT:

35. Freight of all kinds on vehicles, per 1000 lbs. minimum charge 20 cents (Rule 1)..... .75
36. Freight of all kinds, not on vehicles, per 1000 lbs. minimum charge 30 cents (see Rule 5).... 1.50
37. Hearses, self-propelled or horse-drawn, with or without casket and corpse ..... 1.20

## HORSES:

38. Horse and wagon or cart..... 1.00
39. Two horses and wagon..... 1.50
40. Two horses and dray..... 1.75
41. One horse or draft animal..... .50
42. Each horse over two..... .40

## MOTORCYCLES:

43. Motorcycles, each ..... .30
44. Motorcycles with side car..... .60

## TRAILERS:

45. Two-wheel trailers attached to automobiles ..... .50
46. Four-wheel trailers attached to automobiles ..... .75
- Four-wheel trailers attached to trucks ..... Tonnage

## PASSENGERS:

- One way ..... .15
- One round-trip ..... .25
- One commute ..... 3.95

## RULES AND REGULATIONS.

Rule 1. All baggage and freight,

goods and merchandise charged for at freight rates (except personal handbags carried by passenger), shipper to furnish satisfactory proof of weight.

Rule 2. Rates charged for commercial or delivery vehicles or motor trucks are for standard sizes only. The rate for motor trucks of a tonnage capacity not classified will be the same rate as charged for motor trucks of the next higher tonnage classification. Motor busses of a passenger capacity not specified charged rate of next higher classification for motor busses.

Rule 3. Livestock when uncrated and in herds carried on first morning trip on week days only. When crated and on conveyances will be carried on all trips and charged as general freight.

Rule 4. Shipper to pay all war or other taxes now in force or hereafter levied in addition to the regular rate.

Rule 5. The company reserves the right to refuse any and all freight or vehicles of excessive size or weight or which will jeopardize the safe passage of the boats or which it is not equipped to handle.

Rule 6. All drivers and passengers must pay regular passenger rate except attendants of cattle, herders and drivers of motor stages operating on a commutation rate.

Rule 7. Articles not taken: Benzine, benzole, camphene, coal oil, crude or refined petroleum, loose cotton, hay or hemp, loose rags, naphtha, nitric or sulphuric acid, nitro-glycerine or any other articles of highly combustible or inflammable nature (excepting gasoline in tanks of automobiles on transit).

That the further right is hereby granted to Golden Gate Ferry Company to land its ferry boat or boats at such other point or points on the shore line of the City and County of San Francisco, and/or the shore line of Marin County, as this Board may hereafter designate.

#### Notice of Reconsideration.

Thereupon, Supervisor McLeran changed his vote from "no" to "aye", and gave notice for reconsideration.

Supervisor Hynes, seconded by Supervisor Scott, moved suspension of the rules and immediate reconsideration.

#### Supervisor Schmitz Excused.

It being observed that Supervisor Schmitz had left the chambers and request having been made that he be sent for, Supervisor Mulvihill made a motion which *carried*, that Supervisor Schmitz be excused.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Wetmore—13.

Noes—Supervisors Scott, Shannon, Welch—3.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmitz—2.

#### Reconsideration.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Hynes' motion for suspension of the rules and immediate reconsideration with the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hynes, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Scott, Shannon, Welch—10.

Noes—Supervisors Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Rossi, Wetmore—6.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmitz—2.

#### Relative to Quarry at Twenty-sixth and Douglass Streets.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, April 24, 1922.

Board of Supervisors.

Gentlemen:

At a joint meeting of your Fire and Streets & Sewers Committees, at which all of the members were present, further consideration was given to the two proposed ordinances curtailing the district within which it is unlawful to operate rock or stone quarries and rock crushing machinery.

Supervisor Scott, author of the bills, explained that he was not seeking to have the quarry reopened, but had in mind the making of "Battle Mountain" a site for homes. But in view of the fact that the residents in that section of the city were fearful that their dwellings would be damaged and their lives endangered, if the project planned were carried out, he requested and was granted permission by the unanimous vote of the committees to withdraw both of the proposed amendatory ordinances.

Respectfully submitted,

C. J. DEASY.

MARGARET MARY MORGAN.

JOS. MULVIHILL (Chairman.)

W. S. SCOTT.

FRANK ROBB.

Read *filed* and *approved*.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Walter Schmitt was granted the privilege of the floor and asked that no ordinance be passed permitting blasting or quarrying in the district. He requested that money be appropriated for a park or playground on the quarry site.

Jos. P. Osterlay, representing the West of Castro Club, also urged that provision be made for a park or playground on the quarry site.

#### Purchase of Battle Mountain.

Whereupon, Supervisor Scott presented:

Be it Resolved, That \$25,000 is hereby appropriated out of the General Fund to be applied to the purchase

of the property known as Battle Mountain, situated at Douglass and Twenty-sixth streets.

*Referred to Finance and Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committees.*

#### SPECIAL ORDER, 3 P. M.

##### Rincon Hill Change of Grade.

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill, hearing on Rincon Hill change of grade fixed for 3 p. m. this day, was postponed until June 12, at 3 p. m.

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

##### Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and finally passed by the following vote:

##### Authorizations.

Resolution No. 19898 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

##### *Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(1) Crucible Steel Co., tool steel, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Apr. 10, 1922), \$528.54.

(2) Coast Supply Co., iron pipe (claim dated Apr. 10, 1922), \$904.32.

(3) A. Levy & J. Zentner Co., supplies (claim dated Apr. 10, 1922), \$1,109.32.

(4) Fairbanks, Morse & Co. Inc., two motors and parts (claim dated Apr. 10, 1922), \$1,113.95.

(5) Virden Packing Co., meats (claim dated Apr. 10, 1922), \$1,163.60.

(6) Standard Oil Co. Inc., oils (claim dated Apr. 10, 1922), \$1,289.17.

(7) Murray Brothers, two drum hoists (claim dated Apr. 10, 1922), \$2,746.68.

(8) Ingersoll-Rand Co. Inc., drill parts (claim dated Apr. 10, 1922), \$3,202.13.

(9) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Apr. 10, 1922), \$3,456.25.

(10) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., motor and parts (claim dated Apr. 11, 1922), \$595.01.

(11) Union Petroleum Co., liquid grease (claim dated Apr. 13, 1922), \$637.18.

(12) R. C. Curnow, machine parts (claim dated Apr. 11, 1922), \$644.85.

(13) Wm. Cluff Co., supplies (claim dated Apr. 13, 1922), \$749.25.

(14) Martens, Read & Co., supplies (claim dated Apr. 13, 1922), \$758.15.

(15) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., soft steel (claim dated Apr. 13, 1922), \$766.91.

(16) Simpson-Gray Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Apr. 11, 1922), \$1,174.56.

(17) Edward R. Bacon Co. Inc., tee

rail (claim dated Apr. 13, 1922), \$1,644.57.

(18) H. H. Robertson Co., corrugated roofing (claim dated Apr. 13, 1922), \$1,736.71.

(19) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, freight charges, per vouchers (claim dated Apr. 11, 1922), \$2,023.87.

(20) West Side Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Apr. 11, 1922), \$2,589.05.

(21) Standard Oil Co. Inc., fuel oil, etc. (claim dated Apr. 13, 1922), \$3,468.51.

(22) Hercules Powder Co., powder, etc. (claim dated Apr. 13, 1922), \$5,662.50.

##### *County Road Fund.*

(23) Thomas Coyne and Mary Coyne, payment for lands required for opening and widening of Roosevelt way; as accepted by Resolution No. 19867 (New Series) (claim dated Apr. 14, 1922), \$1,415.

##### *Municipal Railway Fund.*

(24) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., steel brake shoes, Municipal Railways (claim dated Apr. 10, 1922), \$1,194.39.

##### *Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.*

(25) Frank L. David and Harriet L. David, settlement in full of claim arising out of accident and injury to Harriet L. David (claim dated Apr. 3, 1922), \$2,250.

##### *School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(26) Monson Bros., extra work, addition to Hancock School (claim dated Apr. 11, 1922), \$742.90.

(27) Mahony Bros., 3rd payment, construction of addition to Mission High School (claim dated Apr. 12, 1922), \$12,449.89.

##### *Special School Tax, 1921-1922.*

(28) John Reid Jr., first payment, architectural service, Andrew Jackson School (claim dated Apr. 12, 1922), \$1,400.

(29) Anderson & Ringrose, final payment, general construction, Commodore Sloat School (claim dated Apr. 12, 1922), \$33,753.

##### *Library Fund.*

(30) San Francisco News Co., library books (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$747.93.

(31) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$1,921.71.

(32) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$1,547.83.

(33) Foster & Futernick Co., book binding (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$1,421.20.

(34) James A. Nelson, library heating repairs (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$543.60.

##### *General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(35) George Lull, expense of trans

portation, etc., to New York in matter of City and County vs. Murphy (claim dated Apr. 13, 1922), \$700.

(36) St. Mary's Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Apr. 12, 1922), \$597.97.

(37) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Apr. 12, 1922), \$2,786.94.

(38) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Apr. 12, 1922), \$1,047.87.

(39) Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Apr. 12, 1922), \$1,568.76.

(40) St. Mary's Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Apr. 12, 1922), \$665.

(41) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Apr. 12, 1922), \$1,500.58.

(42) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Apr. 12, 1922), \$1,536.31.

(43) Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Apr. 12, 1922), \$810.52.

(44) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated Apr. 12, 1922), \$9,067.95.

(45) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated Apr. 12, 1922), \$16,248.77.

(46) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Apr. 12, 1922), \$3,415.80.

(47) St. Catherine's Home, maintenance of minors at Magdalen Asylum (claim dated Apr. 12, 1922), \$648.75.

(48) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors (claim dated Apr. 13, 1922), \$580.

(49) California School for Girls, maintenance of minors (claim dated Apr. 13, 1922), \$576.42.

(50) D. J. O'Brien, police contingent expense (claim dated Apr. 3, 1922), \$750.

(51) California Meat Co., meats, County Jails (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$548.01.

(52) California Baking Co., bread, County Jails (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$565.96.

(53) Flynn & Collins, Ford Roadster, Dept. Public Works (claim dated Apr. 6, 1922), \$559.92.

(54) Shell Company, asphalt, Dept. Public Works (claim dated Apr. 7, 1922), \$510.

(55) Standard Oil Co. Inc., asphalt, Dept. Public Works (claim dated Apr. 6, 1922), \$1,033.62.

(56) California Brick Co., paving brick, Dept. Public Works (claim dated Apr. 7, 1922), \$2,185.

(57) California Brick Co., paving brick, Dept. Public Works (claim dated Apr. 11, 1922), \$3,800.

(58) Spring Valley Water Co., water

for public buildings (claim dated Apr. 13, 1922), \$1,257.83.

(59) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated Apr. 13, 1922), \$3,048.02.

(60) Union Oil Co. of Cal., fuel oil, Dept. Public Works (claim dated Apr. 13, 1922), \$2,075.63.

(61) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement, street repair (claim dated Apr. 13, 1922), \$4,054.85.

(62) H. Harms & Co., fuel, Fire Dept. (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$848.50.

(63) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electric service, Fire Dept. (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$1,017.89.

(64) Union Oil Co., gasoline etc., Fire Dept. (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$1,367.98.

(65) Herbert F. Dugan, drug supplies, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$2,181.52.

(66) J. T. Freitas Co., supplies, Relief Home (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$698.60.

(67) Oliva Bros., supplies, Relief Home (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$739.78.

(68) Producers Hay Co., hay, Relief Home (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$909.32.

(69) Sherry Bros., supplies Relief Home (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$1,008.

(70) Haas Bros., supplies, Relief Home (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$534.24.

(71) Baumgarten Bros., meats, Relief Home (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$4,443.95.

(72) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished hospitals (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$1,077.38.

(73) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished Relief Home (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$503.72.

(74) J. T. Freitas Co., beet pulp, Relief Home (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$600.

(75) Associated Charities, orders for unemployed, Relief Home (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$1,091.14.

(76) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., street lighting (claim dated Apr. 17, 1922), \$45,587.93.

(77) The Recorder Printing & Publishing Co., printing etc. Law-Motion-Trial Calendar (claim dated Apr. 17, 1922), \$665.

#### *Auditorium Fund.*

(78) Board Supervisors, refund of deposit as bond for occupancy of Auditorium by Chicago Grand Opera Co. (claim dated Apr. 17, 1922), \$1,000.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Appropriations, Construction of Horace Mann School.**

Resolution No. 19899 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of Four hundred and thirteen thousand-three hundred and eighty-six dollars (\$413,386), be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax, 1921-1922, for cost of erection of Horace Mann School, to be constructed on east side of Valencia street between Twenty-third and Twenty-fourth streets; as per the following:

General construction (Anderson & Ringrose), \$302,382.

Lathing and plastering (J. Greenback), \$46,267.

Heating and ventilating (P. J. Enright), \$21,910.

Plumbing work (A. Lettich), \$19,902.

Electrical work (Butte Elec. Equipt. Co.), \$12,922.

Inspection, \$6,000.

Additional architect's fees \$4,003.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Appropriation, Exhibition Structure in Nave of Ferry Building.**

Resolution No. 19900 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$16,389 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of General Fund, for the construction of an exhibition structure, for the use of the City and County, in the nave of the Ferry Building, including extras and incidentals of \$500 (Louis J. Cohen contract, \$15,889.)

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Appropriation, \$9,120. Hardware, Galileo High School.**

Resolution No. 19901 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$9,120 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918, to defray cost of finish hardware for the Galileo High School (Joost Bros. contract).

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Appropriation, \$12,500, Payment to John Gordinio for Land and Improvements.**

Resolution No. 19902 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$12,500 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax, fiscal year 1921-1922, and authorized in payment to John Gordinio for land and improvements situate and commencing on northerly line of Waller street, distant 250 feet from easterly line of Masonic avenue, of dimensions 25x137½ feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 19869 (New Series), and required for the Dudley Stone School.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Appropriating \$1,025, Plumbing Repairs, Service Building, San Francisco Hospital.**

Resolution No. 19903 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$1,025 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, for plumbing repairs in Service Building at San Francisco Hospital.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Garage and Oil Permits.**

Resolution No. 19904 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

*Transfer Public Garage.*

To Marr & Berger, permit granted by Resolution No. 16981 (New Series) to R. D. White, for premises situate southwest corner Sansome and Merchant streets (No. 535 Sansome street).

To Evans & Dolson, permit granted by Resolution No. 17919 (New Series) to Selah Chamberlain for premises situate south side of Stevenson street, 25 feet east of New Montgomery street (No. 125 Stevenson street).

To Dunleavy & Monlia, permit granted by Resolution No. 18531 (New Series) to J. Munroe Smith, for premises situate 3512 Sixteenth street.

*Oil Storage Tank.*

Parkside Creamery, at 1439 Haight street, 600 gallons capacity.

Joseph L. Stewart, at northwest corner of San Leandro way and St. Francis boulevard, 600 gallons capacity.

E. Klasser, at 444 Clement street, 600 gallons capacity.

J. H. Kruse, on south side of Twenty-third street, 50 feet west of Howard street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Board of Public Works to Contract for Toilets in Auditorium.**

Bill No. 6017, Ordinance No. 5609 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the conversion of two existing rooms in Polk Hall, Exposition Auditorium, into two toilets, and the furnishing and installation of necessary fixtures therein for said toilets, and authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said work in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the conversion of two existing rooms in Polk Hall, Exposition Auditorium, into two toilets, and the furnishing and installation of the necessary fixtures therein for said toilets in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor by the said Board of Public Works and on file in its office, which plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

#### REPORT OF FINANCE COMMITTEE.

Demands on the Treasury amounting to \$279,477.28 were presented and *approved* by the following vote:

##### *Urgent Necessity.*

Pacific Telephone & Telegraph Company, official outside calls, \$9.65.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

#### NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

#### Amendment to Zoning Ordinance, Arguello Boulevard.

On motion of Supervisor McGregor: Bill No. 6018, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings and the location of buildings designed for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions".

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 3 of the use of property zone map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the easterly side of Arguello boulevard between Sacramento and Clay streets, to the depth of the lot lines, in the second residential district instead of in the first residential district.

Section 3 of the use of property zone map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the westerly side of Arguello boulevard, commencing 100 feet northerly from Lake street and extending northerly to the southerly line of Presidio Terrace, and to the depth of the rear lot lines, in the first residential district instead of in the second residential district.

Section 2 of the use of property zone map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southerly side of Fulton street between Divisadero and Broderick streets, to the depth of the rear lot lines, in the commercial district instead of in the second residential district.

Section 7 of the use of property zone map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place both sides of Seventeenth street between Guerrero and Church streets, to the depth of the rear lot lines, in the second residential district instead of in the commercial district, but this change shall not apply to lots also fronting on Guerrero street and on Church street.

#### Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McLeran: Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(1) Griffin Wheel Co., car wheels, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$526.80.

(2) Sherry Bros. Inc., food supplies (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$539.36.

(3) Ingersoll-Rand Co., drill nuts (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$547.50.

(4) J. F. Mitchell, machine parts (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$563.72.

(5) City Coal Co. Inc., smithing coal (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$564.03.

(6) J. H. Newbauer & Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$625.50.

(7) International Diamond Drill Contracting Co., rentals of drills (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$679.42.

(8) Payne's Bolt Works, bolts, rods, etc. (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$684.93.

(9) P. H. Reardon, discharge hose (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$696.

(10) Virden Packing Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$910.65.

(11) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Groveland Revolving Fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$1,070.10.

(12) Ingersoll-Rand Co., machine parts (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$1,112.07.

(13) Standard Oil Co. Inc., oil, etc. (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$1,579.44.

(14) Standard Oil Co. Inc., fuel oil, etc. (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$1,890.11.

(15) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., mazda lamps (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$2,591.84.

(16) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., power furnished Priest Camp (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$2,623.50.

(17) Standard Oil Co. Inc., fuel oil, etc. (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$2,651.62.

(18) Standard Oil Co. Inc., fuel oil, etc. (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$3,582.51.

(19) Edward R. Bacon Co. Inc., construction equipment (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$5,811.66.

(20) State Compensation Insurance Fund, employes' insurance premiums, March (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$9,802.26.

(21) Dennis & Wilson, construction of Priest diversion tunnel (claim dated Apr. 20, 1922), \$16,352.20.

(22) The Utah Construction Co., extra work, clearing Hetch Hetchy reservoir (claim dated Apr. 20, 1922), \$2,146.77.

*Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.*

(23) Harry Wilkinson Plummer, settlement of final judgment against Municipal Railways (claim dated Apr. 20, 1922), \$556.40.

*Municipal Railway Fund.*

(24) Power Rubber Co., tire casings, Municipal Railways (claim dated Apr. 20, 1922), \$514.90.

(25) Associated Oil Co., gasoline (claim dated Apr. 20, 1922), \$926.10.

(26) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., brake shoes (claim dated Apr. 20, 1922), \$1,174.20.

(27) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., brake shoes (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$1,715.02.

(28) Market Street Railway Co., March reimbursements, per agreement of Dec. 12, 1918 (claim dated Apr. 14, 1922), \$997.47.

(29) Market Street Railway Co., electric power supplied (claim dated Apr. 14, 1922), \$2,087.28.

(30) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electricity supplied (claim dated Apr. 14, 1922), \$32,200.27.

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(31) J. M. Lettich, first payment, heating and ventilating, Crocker-Amazon School (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$1,206.41.

(32) E. E. Etherton Co., 3rd payment, construction of addition to Adams School (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$4,108.27.

(33) E. E. Etherton Co., 4th payment, construction of McKinley School addition (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$4,062.89.

(34) O. Monson, first payment, construction of Hancock School addition (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$9,090.

*Special School Tax, 1920-1921.*

(35) The Turner Co., 2d payment, heating and ventilating Parkside School (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$1,875.

*Special School Tax, 1921-1922.*

(36) Quinn & Reilly, 4th payment, construction of Emerson School (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$9,738.75.

*Auditorium Fund.*

(37) Pacific Exposition Company, refund of deposit as bond for occupancy of Auditorium Jan. 9-16 (claim dated Apr. 24, 1922), \$650.

*General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(38) San Francisco Chronicle, official advertising (claim dated Apr. 20, 1922), \$1,322.90.

(39) Spencer Street Planing Mill, construction of platform and cabinets, Dept. of Elections (claim dated Apr. 20, 1922), \$650.

(40) California Baking Co., bread, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$533.87.

(41) J. T. Freitas Co., foodstuffs, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$2,052.10.

(42) Associated Oil Co., fuel oil, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$3,486.53.

(43) Smith-Lynden & Co., groceries,

S. F. Hospital (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$1,129.23.

(44) Hooper & Jennings, groceries, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$1,322.47.

(45) South S. F. Packing & Provision Co., meats, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$575.60.

(46) Sherry Bros., butter, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$1,224.

(47) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$2,952.72.

(48) Oliva Bros., vegetables, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922) \$1,232.83.

(49) Associated Charities, relief unemployed, etc., through Relief Home (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$936.89.

(50) Standard Oil Co., fuel oil, etc., Relief Home (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$2,159.99.

(51) William Cluff Co., groceries, Relief Home (claim dated Apr. 18, 1922), \$696.74.

**Appropriation, \$1,000, Fence at Corner of McAllister and Polk Streets.**

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$1,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, to cover cost of cleaning up and constructing fence around city property at the northwest corner of McAllister and Polk streets.

**Appropriation, \$7,000, Payment to W. J. Clasby, Land for Sherman School.**

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$7,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax, 1921-1922, and authorized in payment to W. J. Clasby; being payment for land and improvements situate and commencing at a point on the northerly line of Green street, 123 feet 2 inches west from westerly line of Franklin street, of dimensions 25 x 130 feet; more particularly described in Resolution No. 19889 (New Series); and required for the Sherman School.

**Appropriation, \$7,965, Roofing North Beach (Galileo) High School.**

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$7,965 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918, to cover the cost of roofing on North Beach (Galileo) High School; as per award to United Materials Company.

**Appropriation, \$3,272, Architectural Services, Yerba Buena School.**

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$3,272 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax, 1921-1922, for architectural services in connection with preparation of plans and specifications for the alterations and annex to the Yerba Buena School, to be erected on the north side of Greenwich street between Webster and Fillmore streets.

**Plans, Etc., Temporary Mission High School.**

Also, Bill No. 6019, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for the construction of temporary buildings for the use of the Mission High School and the Humboldt Evening High School, in Mission Park on Dolores street between Eighteenth and Nineteenth streets; authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction in accordance with said plans and specifications so prepared, and permitting progressive payments to be made during the course of construction.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for and to enter into contract for the construction of temporary buildings for the use of the Mission High School and the Humboldt Evening High School, in Mission Park on Dolores street between Eighteenth and Nineteenth streets, in accordance with said plans and specifications so prepared and approved by the Board of Education.

Section 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for the construction of said temporary school buildings, conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications, and as provided by Section 21, Chapter 1, Article VI of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**Board of Public Works to Discontinue Switching Service Over Ocean Shore Tracks.**

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 19905 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works be and is hereby authorized and directed to discontinue switching service by the Municipal Railways over tracks owned by the City and County and formerly owned by the Ocean Shore Railroad Company.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers,

Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

**Garage, Oil and Boiler Permit.**

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

*Transfer Public Garage.*

To G. Hadley and M. Barkan, permit granted by Resolution No. 19838 (New Series) to J. A. Yoakam, for premises situate 514½ Pacific street.

*Oil Storage Tank.*

L. F. Neal, on south side of Pine street, 180 feet west of Leavenworth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Clarence Stahl, at 678 Chenery street, 600 gallons capacity.

Lincoln Park Presbyterian Church, at southwest corner of Thirty-first avenue and Clement street, 600 gallons capacity.

*Boiler.*

Eugene Clyne, at 1436 Haight street, 6 horsepower

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

**Denying Laundry Permit.**

Supervisor Deasy presented:

Resolution No. 19906 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied Jean Pats to maintain a laundry and operate a boiler at 3270 Twenty-fourth street.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Mayor to Contract With Southern Pacific for Installation of Fire Alarm System Across Sixth and Hooper Streets.**

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 19907 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be authorized to enter into a contract between the City and County of San Francisco and the Southern Pacific Company, a corporation, wherein it is agreed that said City and County may install and maintain wires of its fire alarm system over the right of way of said company at Sixth and Hooper streets, as shown on the blue print attached to said agreement, subject to the conditions expressed therein.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman,

Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

**Defining Terms, Board of Health Fees.**

On motion of Supervisor Bath:

Bill No. 6020, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Defining certain terms used in Section 2 of Ordinance No. 5496 (New Series.)

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. For the purpose of collecting certain fees as described in Section 2 of Ordinance No. 5496 (New Series), and to enable the Board of Health to correctly determine in what instance these fees are to be paid; tenement houses, lodging houses and hotels are hereby defined as follows:

Section 2. A tenement house is any house or building or portion thereof more than one story in height which is designed, built, rented, leased, let or hired out to be occupied, or which is occupied as the home or residence of five (5) or more families living independently of each other and doing their own cooking in the said building; provided, however, that all buildings that are hereafter erected, altered or converted and that require a certificate of final completion and a permit of occupancy under the State Tenement House Act of 1917, shall pay a fee as described in Section 2 of Ordinance No. 5496 (New Series), upon completion of construction, alteration or conversion only, and shall not renew said permit annually when such building contains less than five (5) apartments.

Section 3. A hotel or lodging house is any house or building containing fifteen (15) rooms or more in which six (6) or more of said rooms are used, intended or designed to be used, let or hired out to be occupied by six or more guests, whether the compensation for hire be paid directly or indirectly in money, goods, wares, merchandise, labor or otherwise, but shall exclude clubs, hospitals, asylums, sanitariums and orphanages.

Section 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**License of Itinerant Shows.**

On motion of Supervisor Bath:

Bill No. 6021, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Imposing a license tax on itinerant shows, carnivals and concessions; regulating the matter of maintaining or conducting same, and providing a penalty for a violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the

City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Every person, firm or corporation maintaining or conducting in connection with an itinerant show or exhibition any museum, panorama, cyclorama, kinetoscope parlor, phonograph parlor, skating rink, merry-go-round, swing, revolving wheel, chute, toboggan slide, Firth wheel, mechanical contrivance for carrying passengers, or any side show, exhibition or concession, shall, after conforming to the regulatory provisions of this ordinance, pay a license fee of twenty (20) dollars per day for each and every concession, show or device herein enumerated and conducted or maintained in connection with any itinerant show or exhibition.

Section 2. The term itinerant show or exhibition shall be constructed to mean any outdoor carnival, show or concession, exhibiting or operating under a tent or in the open for a period exceeding three (3) days, except such as come within the provisions of Ordinance No. 5240 (New Series) and Section 34 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series).

Section 3. The applicant for any show, exhibition or concession mentioned in Section 1 of this ordinance shall, before beginning operations, obtain a permit from the Board of Police Commissioners to so operate, which permit may be revoked at any time by said Board. Without such a permit from the Board of Police Commissioners, the Tax Collector shall not issue a license to conduct any itinerant show, exhibition or concession enumerated in this ordinance. If the permit is revoked, the license issued thereon shall immediately terminate and expire. The Board of Police Commissioners shall not issue a permit and the Tax Collector shall not issue a license to any person, firm or corporation to conduct any of the shows, exhibitions or concessions mentioned in Section 1 of this ordinance, if said shows, exhibitions or concessions are to be operated or conducted within a distance of two hundred (200) feet from the front line of any church or school, or within one hundred (100) feet of the property line on the sides or rear of any church, school lot, or children's playground; provided, however, that no other restrictions as to the location of such places shall be considered by the Board of Police Commissioners or the Tax Collector.

Section 4. The provisions of Section 1 of Ordinance No. 902 (New Series), regulating the manner of testing passenger carrying devices, shall be strictly observed before any such itinerant show, exhibition or carnival may open for business. A permit signed by the Board of Police Commissioners cer-

tifying that all legal requirements have been met must also be first presented to the Tax Collector, before said official may issue a license to the owner or lessee of any itinerant carnival, show or exhibition or to any person holding a concession therein.

Section 5. Any person, firm or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be punishable by a fine not to exceed five hundred (500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 6. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### Indefinite Postponement.

Thereupon, Bill No. 6013, entitled "Imposing a license on itinerant shows, carnivals and concessions; regulating the manner of maintaining and conducting same, and providing a penalty for a violation thereof" which bill was passed for printing on April 3, was taken up and on motion *indefinitely postponed*.

#### Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

#### Motion Picture Ordinance Amended.

On motion of Supervisor Bath:

Bill No. 6022, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 3893 (New Series), entitled "Regulating Motion Picture Exhibitions and Entertainments" and repealing Ordinance No. 761 (New Series.)

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Ordinance No. 3893 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, association or corporation to hold, conduct or carry on or to cause, or to permit to be held, conducted or carried on, any motion picture exhibitions, or entertainment of any sort which is offensive to decency, or which excites vicious or lewd thoughts or acts or creates acts of violence or excites race or class hatred, or which is lewd or obscene or vulgar, or which is of an obscene, indecent or immoral nature, or so suggestive as to be offensive to the moral sense.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Accepting Offer of Wm. F. Kenny to Sell Land for School Purposes.

Supervisor Scott presented:

Resolution No. 19908 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Wm. F. McKenny to convey to

the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements situate on the west line of Folsom street, distant 140 feet north from Twenty-third street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land and improvements is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land and improvements, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of \$6,600 be, and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Folsom street, distant thereon 140 feet northerly from the northerly line of Twenty-third street, running thence northerly along said westerly line of Folsom street 30 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 122 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle southerly 30 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 122 feet 6 inches to the westerly line of Folsom street and point of commencement; being a portion of Mission Block No. 138; also known as Lot 11 Block No. 3638 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnery title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon the payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid; and the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shanon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Accepting Offer of Katie M. Hick to Sell Land and Improvements Required for School Purposes.**

Supervisor Scott presented:

Resolution No. 19909 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Katie M. Heck to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements situate on the west line of Folsom street, distant 170 feet north from Twenty-third

street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land and improvements is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land and improvements, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of \$9,750 be, and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Folsom street, distant thereon 170 feet northerly from the northerly line of Twenty-third street, running thence northerly along said westerly line of Folsom street 23 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 122 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle southerly 23 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 122 feet 6 inches to the westerly line of Folsom street and point of commencement; being a portion of Mission Block No. 138; also known as Lot 10 Block No. 3638 on Assessor's Block Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnery title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon the payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid; and the deed to said land is hereby accepted.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Passed for Printing.**

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

**Full Acceptance, Streets:**

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill: Bill No. 6023, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Providing for full acceptance of the roadway of Balboa street between the westerly line of Thirty-third avenue and the westerly line of Forty-second avenue, including the crossing of Balboa street and Thirty-seventh avenue, Balboa street and Thirty-eighth avenue, Balboa street and Fortieth avenue, Balboa street and Forty-first ave-

nue, Balboa street and Forty-second avenue.

Coso avenue between Coleridge street and Prospect avenue, including the intersections of Coso avenue and Coleridge street, Coso avenue and Bernal avenue, Coso avenue and Lundy's lane and Coso avenue and Montezuma street.

Coso avenue between Precita avenue and Bernal avenue and Coleridge street.

Ulloa street between easterly line of Twenty-seventh avenue and the westerly line of Twenty-ninth avenue, including the crossings of Ulloa street and Twenty-seventh avenue, Ulloa street and Twenty-eighth avenue and Ulloa street and Twenty-ninth avenue.

Ulloa street between Twenty-ninth avenue and Thirty-second avenue, including the crossings of Ulloa street and Thirtieth avenue and Ulloa street and Thirty-first avenue.

Ulloa street between Thirty-second and Thirty-third avenues, Ulloa street between Thirty-third and Thirty-fourth avenues, the crossings of Ulloa street and Thirty-second avenue, and Ulloa street and Thirty-fourth avenue, and the crossings of Ulloa street and Thirty-third avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby fully accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphalt, asphaltic concrete and basalt blocks and granite curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water mains laid therein, to-wit:

Balboa street between the westerly line of Thirty-third avenue and the westerly line of Forty-second avenue, including the crossings of Balboa street and Thirty-seventh avenue, Balboa street and Thirty-eighth avenue, Balboa street and Fortieth avenue, Balboa street and Forty-first avenue and Balboa street and Forty-second avenue; paved with asphalt and concrete curbs.

Coso avenue between Coleridge street and Prospect avenue, including the intersections of Coso avenue and Coleridge street, Coso avenue and Bernal avenue, Coso avenue and Lundy's lane and Coso avenue and Montezuma street; paved with concrete and asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs.

Coso avenue between Precita avenue and Bernal avenue and Coleridge street; paved with basalt blocks and granite curbs.

Ulloa street between the easterly line of Twenty-seventh avenue and the westerly line of Twenty-ninth avenue, including the crossings of Ulloa street and Twenty-seventh avenue, and Ulloa street and Thirty-eighth avenue and Ulloa street and Twenty-ninth avenue; paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs.

Ulloa street between Twenty-ninth avenue and Thirty-second avenue, including the crossings of Ulloa street and Thirtieth avenue and Ulloa street and Thirty-first avenue; paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs.

Ulloa street between Thirty-second and Thirty-third avenues, Ulloa street between Thirty-third and Thirty-fourth avenues, the crossing of Ulloa street and Thirty-second avenue, and Ulloa street and Thirty-fourth avenue, and the crossing of Ulloa street and Thirty-third avenue; paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### Changing Grades.

Also, Bill No. 6024, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled "Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Santiago street between Twelfth and Fourteenth avenues, and on Funston avenue between the northerly line of Santiago street and a line parallel with the southerly line of Santiago street and 140 feet southerly therefrom."

Also, Bill No. 6025, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled "Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Taraval street between the westerly line of Thirty-seventh avenue and the westerly line of Forty-seventh avenue; on Thirty-eighth, Thirty-ninth, Fortieth, Forty-first, Forty-second, Forty-third, Forty-fourth, Forty-fifth, Forty-sixth and Forty-seventh avenues between Santiago and Ulloa streets."

#### Ordering Street Work.

Also, Bill No. 6026, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 10, 1920, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby

ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Girard street between Olmstead street and Mansell street* by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of a 14-foot central strip of vertical fiber brick pavement, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the remainder of the roadway.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 6027, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 10, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by

said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Hearst avenue between Baden street and Congo street*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 6028, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 10, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding

installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Hearst avenue between Congo street and Detroit street* by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 6029, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 10, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Market street from the southerly termination of Mono street to the southerly line of Twenty-fourth street* by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of catchbasins, storm-water inlets and accompanying 10-inch vitrified, salt-glazed, ironstone pipe cul-

verts; by the construction of 6-inch open tile drains in rock; by the reconstruction of one brick manhole to an offset manhole; by the construction of steps of Types A, B and C, respectively; one safety station complete with accompanying curbs, walks and steps, concrete curbs of Type F, concrete coping of Type D, galvanized pipe railing, and concrete post footings of Type E; by the construction of redwood headers; by the construction of concrete curbs and artificial stone sidewalks; and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof; all more fully described and shown on drawings designated as numbers 4685, 4686 and 4687, respectively, titled "Plans for the improvement of Market street from the southerly termination of Mono street to Twenty-fourth street," which said drawings are on file in the office of the said Board of Public Works and made a part hereof and to which reference is hereby made for a more particular description of the said proposed improvement and the lines and location thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 6030, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 10, 1920, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of

time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the *southerly side of Joost avenue from a line 250 feet easterly from the easterly line of Acadia street*; thence easterly 25 feet, and from a line 300 feet easterly from the easterly line of Acadia street; thence easterly 25 feet, by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 6031, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 7, 1920, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The improvement of the *easterly side of Thirty-second avenue between Geary and Clement streets* by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width, where artificial stone sidewalks at least six (6) feet in width are not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Bill No. 6032, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for the construction of a sewer and appurtenances along the Great Highway from Noriega street southerly; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for the construction of said sewers, and permitting progres-

sive payments to be made during the progress of the work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for, and to enter into contract for the construction of, a sewer and appurtenances along the Great Highway from Noriega street southerly, in accordance with said plans and specifications.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for the construction of said sewer, conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications, and as provided by Section 21, Chapter 1, Article VI of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### Intention to Close Certain Streets.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 19910 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that portions of Norman street, Orleans street and Mazzini street be closed. Be it further

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close portions of Norman street, Orleans street and Mazzini street, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

#### *Norman Street.*

Beginning at the point of intersection of the southeasterly line of Norman street with the easterly line of Orleans street, and running thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of Norman street 641.626 feet to the northeasterly terminal line of Norman street; thence deflecting 123 deg. 25 min. 10 sec. to the left and running northwesterly along said northeasterly terminal line 24.440 feet; thence deflecting 12 deg. 15 min. to the left and continuing northwesterly along said northeasterly terminal line 28.050 feet to the northwesterly line of Norman street; thence deflecting 44 deg. 19 min. 50 sec. to the left and running southwesterly along the northwesterly line of Norman street 584.103 feet to the easterly line of Orleans street and the southwesterly terminal line of Norman street; thence deflecting 59 deg. 02 min. 20 sec. to the left and running southerly along the easterly line of Orleans street 46.646 feet to the point of beginning; being all of that certain street known as Norman street and containing 24,587 square feet.

*Orleans Street.*

Beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Orleans street with the northeasterly line of Jerrold avenue, and running thence northerly along the westerly line of Orleans street 171.853 feet to the northerly terminal line of Orleans street; thence deflecting 59 deg. 02 min. 20 sec. to the right and running northeasterly along said northerly terminal line 46.646 feet to the easterly line of Orleans street; thence deflecting 120 deg. 57 min. 40 sec. to the right and running southerly along the easterly line of Orleans street 209.908 feet to the northwesterly line of Napoleon street; thence deflecting 59 deg. 02 min. 20 sec. to the right and running southwesterly along the northwesterly line of Napoleon street extended and produced a distance of 7.029 feet to the northeasterly line of Jerrold avenue; thence deflecting 58 deg. 26 min. 50 sec. to the right and running northwesterly along the northeasterly line of Jerrold avenue 38.295 feet to the point of beginning; being a portion of Orleans street and containing 7750 square feet.

*Mazzini Street.*

Beginning at the point of intersection of the southwesterly line of Mazzini street with the northwesterly line of Napoleon street and running thence northeasterly along the northwesterly line of Napoleon street 40 feet to the northeasterly line of Mazzini street; thence at right angles northwesterly along the northeasterly line of Mazzini street 140 feet to the southeasterly line of Norman street; thence at right angles southwesterly along the southeasterly line of Norman street 40 feet to the southwesterly line of Mazzini street; thence at right angles southeasterly along the southwesterly line of Mazzini street 140 feet to the point of beginning; being all of that certain street known as Mazzini street and containing 5600 square feet.

Said closing of said portions of Norman street, Orleans street and Mazzini street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, as amended, and the sections of said chapter and article following said Section 2. Be it further

Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing up of said portions of Norman street, Orleans street and Mazzini street be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers,

Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Award of Contract, Delinquent Tax List.**

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 19911 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the contract for publishing the Delinquent Tax List, Index of Delinquent Real Estate Taxpayers and Sales List and other matters incidental thereto for the fiscal year 1921-1922, is hereby awarded to Chase and Rae, owners of the "Twin Peaks Sentinel," at the price bid therefor, viz.: six and four-tenths (6 4/10) cents per line (the same being the only bid submitted therefor), in accordance with the specifications therefor and according to law, and the Mayor is hereby authorized to enter into such contract upon the filing of a good and sufficient bond in the sum of five thousand (5,000) dollars, conditioned upon the faithful performance of such contract.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Award of Contract, Loose-Leaf Record Books for Recorder.**

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 19912 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. Carlisle & Co. be, and hereby is awarded a contract for furnishing 50 loose leaf record books for the Recorder, for the sum of \$892.50 in strict conformity with its bid submitted April 17, 1922.

Further Resolved, That all other bids thereon are hereby rejected.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Approval of Formation of Joint Highway District.**

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of the County of San Mateo did on the 17th day of April, 1922, adopt a resolution reciting that the public interest requires the construction of a public highway, including a bridge, within the County of San Mateo and the City and County of San Francisco, the general location and course of which is set forth in said resolution, a certified copy of which has been transmitted to and is on file in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Su-

Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco and reference thereto for further particulars is here made; and

Whereas, said resolution further recites that said County of San Mateo and the City and County of San Francisco will be interested in and benefited by the construction of such highway and that it is desirable and necessary to create a Joint Highway District composed of the counties herein named for such purpose as provided by an act of the Legislature providing for the organization of such districts; therefore

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, due consideration of the aforesaid resolution and the forming of such joint highway district having been had, that tentative approval thereof be and the same is hereby given fully and completely as required by Section 3 of an act entitled "An Act providing for the creation, organization and government of joint highway districts composed of two or more counties of the State of California", approved April 15, 1917, and amended by act approved June 1, 1921.

That a certified copy of this resolution be forthwith transmitted to the Board of Supervisors of the County of San Mateo.

*Supervisor McLeran* requested reference of foregoing to Joint Committee on Commercial Development and finance.

*Supervisor Mulvihill* moved reference to Joint Committee on Streets, Finance and Commercial Development.  
*So ordered.*

*(Clerk directed to send out notices for Thursday, 2:30 p. m.)*

**Municipal Bus System on Embarcadero.**

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, numerous requests have been made for the establishment of a municipal bus system along the water front; and

Whereas, such a system is necessary in order to take care of people doing business along the water front and passengers to the different steamship lines; and

Whereas, such system should be owned and controlled by the City and County of San Francisco; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Engineer prepare plans and specifications for buses to be used for such service, together with estimate of the cost of the same, and report to this Board said plans and specifications as soon as feasible.

*Referred to Finance, Streets and Commercial Development Committees.*

#### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 5:30 p m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors July 3, 1922.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.



Monday, May 1, 1922.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY

77 Sutter Street, S. F.

J

Is  
disc  
T  
reg

T  
ing  
S  
Ha  
Me  
Ro  
We  
C  
I

T  
pre  
apl  
RO

Dis  
Co  
for  
its  
for  
th  
st  
nis  
the  
Ra

on  
ter  
2  
Fr

ad  
A.  
te  
hi  
ck

U

et  
of  
to

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MAY 1, 1922, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, May 1, 1922, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journals of Proceedings of previous meetings were *laid over* for approval until next meeting.

### ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Discontinuance of Ocean Shore Switching.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

*Communication*—From Michelin Tire Company, declaring that it will be forced to abandon its plan of making its present location its headquarters for the Pacific Coast in the event that the spur track service along Twelfth street to Mission, which has been furnished by the Municipal Railway since the discontinuance of the Ocean Shore Railroad, is abandoned.

*Referred to Streets, Public Utilities and Commercial Development Committees.* Meeting Thursday, May 11th, at 2 o'clock.

Fifth Anniversary Celebration Foreign Trade Club.

*Communication*—From the Mayor, advising of invitation to attend Fifth Anniversary Foreign Trade Club extended to the Board of Supervisors and himself at the San Francisco Commercial Club on May 9th.

Read by the Clerk and *accepted*.

Union Square Underground Parking Station.

Supervisor McGregor presented:

*Petition*—Of Albert A. Rhine, for a charter amendment permitting the use of the sub-space under Union Square for parking station purposes.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

### Publicity Appropriation.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

*Communication*—From the Northern California Hotel Association, endorsing the publicity activity of the San Francisco Convention and Tourist League and recommending a budget appropriation this year of \$50,000 to further the work.

*Referred to Public Welfare and Publicity Committees.*

Condemnation Proceedings for Power Transmission Right-of-way.

*Communication*—From the City Attorney, transmitting resolution providing for the condemnation of an electric power transmission line through the property of C. Leithold in Tuolumne County and requesting its adoption.

Read by the Clerk and resolution *adopted*.

### Bay Bridge.

*Communication*—From the Southern Promotion Association, in the matter of the construction of bridge across the Bay at or near San Mateo, declaring that they favor the consideration of this matter by a board of impartial engineers who may determine the most economic and advantageous point at which same could be constructed.

Read and *referred to Special Bridge Committee.*

### Repavement of Allen Street.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

*Petition*—Of property owners for the repavement of Allen street from Hyde street to the termination of said Allen street.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

### Auditor's Budget.

April 28, 1922.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

In compliance with the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco—Article 3, Chapter 1, Section 2—I submit herewith my budget estimate, in detail, of the probable revenues and expenditures for financial operations for the ensuing fiscal year 1922-1923 for all departments, boards and commissions.

The compilation of these estimates has been made as heretofore in con-

formity with the plan suggested and advocated by the United States Bureau of the Census and Statistics, the State of California, and also recommended by the Accounting Commission appointed by the Mayor and your Honorable Board.

In preparing the budget estimate for the ensuing fiscal year I have been seriously handicapped, due to the fact that several of the departments did not file with the Auditor a duplicate estimate of their needs as required by the Charter. Therefore, my budget estimate has been prepared by the only other method available, to-wit, taking the revenues and expenditures from the books and records in my office.

In making up my annual budget estimate I have endeavored to give you an estimate of the amount of money disbursed during the past fiscal year. There are many needs of the City and County government which I feel sure will appeal to you. Inasmuch as I am not in possession of any of the information presented by the numerous civic organizations regarding desired or necessary improvements in their several districts, it follows that I am not in a position to intelligently cope with the problem of estimating the sums necessary to accommodate their requests.

From general observation of conditions that now obtain in our city, I would urgently recommend that the needs of the School Department and Playgrounds Commission be given special attention.

Another very important recommendation I would strongly urge is to provide most liberally for the maintenance of minors (orphans, half-orphans and abandoned children), widows' pensions, public libraries, health department, parks, the furtherance of the work on the Beach Esplanade, and all improvements having to do with beautifying our city.

At this time there comes to my mind, in keeping with the laudable expression of energy manifested by our citizens in particular, and the population of the northern part of our beautiful State in general, in boosting the beautiful resources we have at our disposal to the world, that we take advantage of this movement by appropriating a sum of money to be expended in advertising our city's attractiveness to capital and home seekers. It seems to me that the appropriation of a sum to carry on a publicity campaign would meet with the hearty approval of all. I would recommend that the sum of \$60,000 be raised by levying a tax of one cent on each one hundred dollars of assessed valuation for the year, this sum to be expended under the direction of your Honorable Board,

and no doubt the city's business interests would subscribe a like amount, making the total \$120,000 for this praiseworthy purpose. The \$30,000 appropriated by your Honorable Board last year for this purpose has resulted in materially assisting in bringing a number of national conventions to our city.

Yours respectfully,

THOS. F. BOYLE,  
City and County Auditor.

*Read and ordered spread in Journal and referred to Finance Committee.*

Leave of Absence, John Hermann Election Commissioner.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

May 1, 1922.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall,  
San Francisco, Cal.  
Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me for an extension of the leave of absence of Hon. John Hermann, Election Commissioner, with permission to remain out of the State of California for a period of ninety days, commencing May 1, 1922.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this extension of leave of absence.

Very respectfully yours,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,  
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 19927 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable John Hermann, Election Commissioner, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of ninety days, commencing May 1, 1922, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Report of City Planning Commission.

San Francisco, May 1, 1922.

To the Board of Supervisors:

The City Planning Commission, to which was referred the application of John H. Sherry to amend the zoning ordinance so as to place the south side of Clay street between Presidio avenue and Walnut street in the second residential district instead of in the first residential district, recommends that said application be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

CITY PLANNING COMMISSION.

By H. A. MASON, Secretary.

*Referred to City Planning Committee.*

## PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

## Supplies.

One hundred and seventy-one sealed proposals were received by the Board of Supervisors and publicly opened on Monday, May 1, 1922, at 3 o'clock p. m., for furnishing, as may be ordered from time to time during the fiscal year commencing July 1, 1922, and ending June 30, 1923, goods, merchandise, stores, supplies, drugs and other necessary articles for use of the hospitals, prisons, public institutions and other departments of the City and County of San Francisco for which the Board of Supervisors is required by law to make contracts (except foodstuffs, for which proposals will be invited at a later date).

Bids were referred to the *Supplies Committee*.

## REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.

The following committees, by their respective chairmen, presented reports on various matters referred, which reports were read and ordered *filed*:

Supplies Committee, by Supervisor Rossi, chairman.

Fire Committee, by Supervisor Deasy, chairman.

Public Buildings Committee, by Supervisor Scott, chairman.

Streets Committee, by Supervisor Mulvihill, chairman.

Civil Service, Standardization of Salaries and Retirement System, by Supervisor Schmitz, chairman.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

## Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

## Authorizations.

Resolution No. 19914 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(1) Griffin Wheel Co., car wheels, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$526.80.

(2) Sherry Bros. Inc., food supplies (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$539.36.

(3) Ingersoll-Rand Co., drill nuts (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$547.50.

(4) J. F. Mitchell, machine parts (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$563.72.

(5) City Coal Co. Inc., smithing coal (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$564.03.

(6) J. H. Newbauer & Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$625.50.

(7) International Diamond Drill Contracting Co., rentals of drills (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$679.42.

(8) Payne's Bolt Works, bolts, rods, etc. (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$684.93.

(9) P. H. Reardon, discharge hose (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$696.

(10) Virden Packing Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$910.65.

(11) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Groveland Revolving Fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$1,070.10.

(12) Ingersoll-Rand Co., machine parts (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$1,112.07.

(13) Standard Oil Co. Inc., oil, etc. (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$1,579.44.

(14) Standard Oil Co. Inc., fuel oil, etc. (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$1,890.11.

(15) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., mazda lamps (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$2,591.84.

(16) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., power furnished Priest Camp (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$2,623.50.

(17) Standard Oil Co. Inc., fuel oil, etc. (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$2,651.62.

(18) Standard Oil Co. Inc., fuel oil, etc. (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$3,582.51.

(19) Edward R. Bacon Co. Inc., construction equipment (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$5,811.66.

(20) State Compensation Insurance Fund, employes' insurance premiums, March (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$9,802.26.

(21) Dennis & Wilson, construction of Priest diversion tunnel (claim dated Apr. 20, 1922), \$16,352.20.

(22) The Utah Construction Co., extra work, clearing Hetch Hetchy reservoir (claim dated Apr. 20, 1922), \$2,146.77.

*Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.*

(23) Harry Wilkinson Plummer, settlement of final judgment against Municipal Railways (claim dated Apr. 20, 1922), \$556.40.

*Municipal Railway Fund.*

(24) Power Rubber Co., tire casings, Municipal Railways (claim dated Apr. 20, 1922), \$514.90.

(25) Associated Oil Co., gasoline (claim dated Apr. 20, 1922), \$926.10.

(26) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., brake shoes (claim dated Apr. 20, 1922), \$1,174.20.

(27) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., brake shoes (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$1,715.02.

(28) Market Street Railway Co., March reimbursements, per agreement of Dec. 12, 1918 (claim dated Apr. 14, 1922), \$997.47.

(29) Market Street Railway Co., electric power supplied (claim dated Apr. 14, 1922), \$2,087.28.

(30) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electricity supplied (claim dated Apr. 14, 1922), \$32,200.27.

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(31) J. M. Lettich, first payment, heating and ventilating, Crocker-Amazon School (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$1,206.41.

(32) E. E. Etherton Co., 3rd payment, construction of addition to Adams School (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$4,108.27.

(33) E. E. Etherton Co., 4th payment, construction of McKinley School addition (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$4,062.89.

(34) O. Monson, first payment, construction of Hancock School addition (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$9,090.

*Special School Tax, 1920-1921.*

(35) The Turner Co., 2d payment, heating and ventilating Parkside School (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$1,875.

*Special School Tax, 1921-1922.*

(36) Quinn & Reilly, 4th payment, construction of Emerson School (claim dated Apr. 19, 1922), \$9,738.75.

*Auditorium Fund.*

(37) Pacific Exposition Company, refund of deposit as bond for occupancy of Auditorium Jan. 9-16 (claim dated Apr. 24, 1922), \$650.

*General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(38) San Francisco Chronicle, official advertising (claim dated Apr. 20, 1922), \$1,322.90.

(39) Spencer Street Planing Mill, construction of platform and cabinets, Dept. of Elections (claim dated Apr. 20, 1922), \$650.

(40) California Baking Co., bread, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$533.87.

(41) J. T. Freitas Co., foodstuffs, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$2,052.10.

(42) Associated Oil Co., fuel oil, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$3,486.53.

(43) Smith-Lynden & Co., groceries, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$1,129.23.

(44) Hooper & Jennings, groceries, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$1,322.47.

(45) South S. F. Packing & Provision Co., meats, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$575.60.

(46) Sherry Bros., butter, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$1,224.

(47) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$2,952.72.

(48) Oliva Bros., vegetables, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$1,232.83.

(49) Associated Charities, relief un-

employed, etc., through Relief Home (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$936.89.

(50) Standard Oil Co., fuel oil, etc., Relief Home (claim dated Mar. 31, 1922), \$2,159.99.

(51) William Cluff Co., groceries, Relief Home (claim dated Apr. 18, 1922), \$696.44.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Appropriation, \$7,000, Payment to W. J. Clasby, Land for Sherman School.

Resolution No. 19915 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$7,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax, 1921-1922, and authorized in payment to W. J. Clasby; being payment for land and improvements situate and commencing at a point on the northerly line of Green street, 123 feet 2 inches west from westerly line of Franklin street, of dimensions 25 x 130 feet; more particularly described in Resolution No. 19889 (New Series); and required for the Sherman School.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Appropriation, \$7,965, Roofing North Beach (Galileo) High School.

Resolution No. 19916 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$7,965 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918, to cover the cost of roofing on North Beach (Galileo) High School; as per award to United Materials Company.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Appropriation, \$3,272, Architectural Services, Yerba Buena School.

Resolution No. 19917 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$3,272 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax, 1921-1922, for architectural services in connection with preparation of plans and specifications for the alterations to be erected on the north side of Greenwich street between Webster and Fillmore streets.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill,

Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Garage, Oil and Boiler Permit.**

Resolution No. 19918 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

*Transfer Public Garage.*

To G. Hadley and M. Barkan, permit granted by Resolution No. 19838 (New Series) to J. A. Yoakam, for premises situate 514½ Pacific street.

*Oil Storage Tank.*

L. F. Neal, on south side of Pine street, 180 feet west of Leavenworth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Clarence Stahl, at 678 Chenery street, 600 gallons capacity.

Lincoln Park Presbyterian Church, at southwest corner of Thirty-first avenue and Clement street, 600 gallons capacity.

*Boiler.*

Eugene Clyne, at 1436 Haight street, 6 horsepower

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Amendment to Zoning Ordinance, Arguello Boulevard.**

Bill No. 6018, Ordinance No. 5610 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings and the location of buildings designed for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions".

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 3 of the use of property zone map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the easterly side of Arguello boulevard between Sacramento and Clay streets, to the depth of the lot lines, in the second residential district instead of in the first residential district.

Section 3 of the use of property zone map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the westerly side of Arguello boulevard, commencing 100 feet northerly from Lake street and extending northerly to the southerly line of Presidio Terrace, and to the depth of

the rear lot lines, in the first residential district instead of in the second residential district.

Section 2 of the use of property zone map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southerly side of Fulton street between Divisadero and Broderick streets, to the depth of the rear lot lines, in the commercial district instead of in the second residential district.

Section 7 of the use of property zone map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place both sides of Seventeenth street between Guerrero and Church streets, to the depth of the rear lot lines, in the second residential district instead of in the commercial district, but this change shall not apply to lots also fronting on Guerrero street and on Church street.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Plans, Etc., Temporary Mission High School.**

Bill No. 6019, Ordinance No. 5611 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for the construction of temporary buildings for the use of the Mission High School and the Humboldt Evening High School, in Mission Park on Dolores street between Eighteenth and Nineteenth streets; authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction in accordance with said plans and specifications so prepared, and permitting progressive payments to be made during the course of construction.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for and to enter into contract for the construction of temporary buildings for the use of the Mission High School and the Humboldt Evening High School, in Mission Park on Dolores street between Eighteenth and Nineteenth streets, in accordance with said plans and specifications so prepared and approved by the Board of Education.

Section 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for the construction of said temporary school buildings, conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications, and as provided by Section 21, Chapter I, Article VI of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Defining Terms, Board of Health Fees.

Bill No. 6020, Ordinance No. 5612 (New Series), as follows:

Defining certain terms used in Section 2 of Ordinance No. 5496 (New Series.)

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. For the purpose of collecting certain fees as described in Section 2 of Ordinance No. 5496 (New Series), and to enable the Board of Health to correctly determine in what instance these fees are to be paid; tenement houses, lodging houses and hotels are hereby defined as follows:

Section 2. A tenement house is any house or building or portion thereof more than one story in height which is designed, built, rented, leased, let or hired out to be occupied, or which is occupied as the home or residence of five (5) or more families living independently of each other and doing their own cooking in the said building; provided, however, that all buildings that are hereafter erected, altered or converted and that require a certificate of final completion and a permit of occupancy under the State Tenement House Act of 1917, shall pay a fee as described in Section 2 of Ordinance No. 5496 (New Series), upon completion of construction, alteration or conversion only, and shall not renew said permit annually when such building contains less than five (5) apartments.

Section 3. A hotel or lodging house is any house or building containing fifteen (15) rooms or more in which six (6) or more of said rooms are used, intended or designed to be used, let or hired out to be occupied by six or more guests, whether the compensation for hire be paid directly or indirectly in money, goods, wares, merchandise, labor or otherwise, but shall exclude clubs, hospitals, asylums, sanitariums and orphanages.

Section 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### License of Itinerant Shows.

Bill No. 6021, Ordinance No. 5613 (New Series), as follows:

Imposing a license tax on itinerant shows, carnivals and concessions; regulating the matter of maintaining or conducting same, and providing a penalty for a violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Every person, firm or corporation maintaining or conducting in connection with an itinerant show or exhibition any museum, panorama, cyclorama, kinetoscope parlor, phonograph parlor, skating rink, merry-go-round, swing, revolving wheel, chute, toboggan slide, Firth wheel, mechanical contrivance for carrying passengers, or any side show, exhibition or concession, shall, after conforming to the regulatory provisions of this ordinance, pay a license fee of twenty (20) dollars per day for each and every concession, show or device herin enumerated and conducted or maintained in connection with any itinerant show or exhibition.

Section 2. The term itinerant show or exhibition shall be constructed to mean any outdoor carnival, show or concession, exhibiting or operating under a tent or in the open for a period exceeding three (3) days, except such as come within the provisions of Ordinance No. 5240 (New Series) and Section 34 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series).

Section 3. The applicant for any show, exhibition or concession mentioned in Section 1 of this ordinance shall, before beginning operations, obtain a permit from the Board of Police Commissioners to so operate, which permit may be revoked at any time by said Board. Without such a permit from the Board of Police Commissioners, the Tax Collector shall not issue a license to conduct any itinerant show, exhibition or concession enumerated in this ordinance. If the permit is revoked, the license issued thereon shall immediately terminate and expire. The Board of Police Commissioners shall not issue a permit and the Tax Collector shall not issue a license to any person, firm or corporation to conduct any of the shows, exhibitions or concessions mentioned in Section 1 of this ordinance, if said shows, exhibitions or concessions are to be operated or conducted within a distance of two hundred (200) feet from the front line of any church or school, or within one hundred (100) feet of the property line on the sides or rear of any church, school lot, or children's playground; provided, however, that no other restrictions as to the location of such places shall be considered by the Board of Police Commissioners or the Tax Collector.

Section 4. The provisions of Section 1 of Ordinance No. 902 (New Series), regulating the manner of testing passenger carrying devices, shall be strictly observed before any such itinerant show, exhibition or carnival may open for business. A permit signed by

the Board of Police Commissioners certifying that all legal requirements have been met must also be first presented to the Tax Collector, before said official may issue a license to the owner or lessee of any itinerant carnival, show or exhibition or to any person holding a concession therein.

Section 5. Any person, firm or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be punishable by a fine not to exceed five hundred (500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 6. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Motion Picture Ordinance Amended.

Bill No. 6022, Ordinance No. 5614 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 3893 (New Series), entitled "Regulating Motion Picture Exhibitions and Entertainments" and repealing Ordinance No. 761 (New Series.)

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Ordinance No. 3893 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, association or corporation to hold, conduct or carry on or to cause, or to permit to be held, conducted or carried on, any motion picture exhibitions, or entertainment of any sort which is offensive to decency, or which excites vicious or lewd thoughts or acts or creates acts of violence or excites race or class hatred, or which is lewd or obscene or vulgar, or which is of an obscene, indecent or immoral nature, or so suggestive as to be offensive to the moral sense.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Full Acceptance, Streets.

Bill No. 6023, Ordinance No. 5615 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for full acceptance of the roadway of Balboa street between the westerly line of Thirty-third avenue and the westerly line of Forty-second avenue, including the crossing of Balboa street and Thirty-seventh avenue, Balboa street and Thirty-eighth ave-

nue, Balboa street and Fortieth avenue, Balboa street and Forty-first avenue, Balboa street and Forty-second avenue.

Coso avenue between Coleridge street and Prospect avenue, including the intersections of Coso avenue and Coleridge street, Coso avenue and Bernal avenue, Coso avenue and Lundy's lane and Coso avenue and Montezuma street.

Coso avenue between Precita avenue and Bernal avenue and Coleridge street.

Ulloa street between easterly line of Twenty-seventh avenue and the westerly line of Twenty-ninth avenue, including the crossings of Ulloa street and Twenty-seventh avenue, Ulloa street and Twenty-eighth avenue and Ulloa street and Twenty-ninth avenue.

Ulloa street between Twenty-ninth avenue and Thirty-second avenue, including the crossings of Ulloa street and Thirtieth avenue and Ulloa street and Thirty-first avenue.

Ulloa street between Thirty-second and Thirty-third avenues, Ulloa street between Thirty-third and Thirty-fourth avenues, the crossings of Ulloa street and Thirty-second avenue, and Ulloa street and Thirty-fourth avenue, and the crossings of Ulloa street and Thirty-third avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby fully accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphalt, asphaltic concrete and basalt blocks and granite curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water mains laid therein, to-wit:

Balboa street between the westerly line of Thirty-third avenue and the westerly line of Forty-second avenue, including the crossings of Balboa street and Thirty-seventh avenue, Balboa street and Thirty-eighth avenue, Balboa street and Fortieth avenue, Balboa street and Forty-first avenue and Balboa street and Forty-second avenue; paved with asphalt and concrete curbs.

Coso avenue between Coleridge street and Prospect avenue, including the intersections of Coso avenue and Coleridge street, Coso avenue and Ber-

nal avenue, Coso avenue and Lundy's lane and Coso avenue and Montezuma street; paved with concrete and asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs.

Coso avenue between Precita avenue and Bernal avenue and Coleridge street; paved with basalt blocks and granite curbs.

Ulloa street between the easterly line of Twenty-seventh avenue and the westerly line of Twenty-ninth avenue, including the crossings of Ulloa street and Twenty-seventh avenue, and Ulloa street and Thirty-eighth avenue and Ulloa street and Twenty-ninth avenue; paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs.

Ulloa street between Twenty-ninth avenue and Thirty-second avenue, including the crossings of Ulloa street and Thirtieth avenue and Ulloa street and Thirty-first avenue; paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs.

Ulloa street between Thirty-second and Thirty-third avenues, Ulloa street between Thirty-third and Thirty-fourth avenues, the crossing of Ulloa street and Thirty-second avenue, and Ulloa street and Thirty-fourth avenue, and the crossing of Ulloa street and Thirty-third avenue; paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Changing Grades.

Bill No. 6024, Ordinance No. 5616 (New Series), entitled "Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Santiago street between Twelfth and Fourteenth avenues, and on Funston avenue between the northerly line of Santiago street and a line parallel with the southerly line of Santiago street and 140 feet southerly therefrom."

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Bill No. 6025, Ordinance No. 5617 (New Series), entitled "Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Taraval street between the westerly line of Thirty-seventh avenue and the westerly line of Forty-seventh avenue; on Thirty-eighth, Thirty-ninth, Fortieth, Forty-first, Forty-second, Forty-third, Forty-fourth, Forty-fifth, Forty-sixth and Forty-seventh avenues between Santiago and Ulloa streets."

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 6026, Ordinance No. 5618 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 10, 1920, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Girard street between Olmstead street and Mansell street* by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of a 14-foot central strip of vertical fiber brick pavement, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the remainder of the roadway.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Bill No. 6027, Ordinance No. 5619 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 10, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Hearst avenue between Baden street and Congo street*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Bill No. 6028, Ordinance No. 5620 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 10, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Hearst avenue between Congo street and Detroit street* by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Bill No. 6029, Ordinance No. 5621 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 10, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Im-

provement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Market street from the southerly termination of Mono street to the southerly line of Twenty-fourth street* by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of catchbasins, storm-water inlets and accompanying 10-inch vitrified, salt-glazed, ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of 6-inch open tile drains in rock; by the reconstruction of one brick manhole to an offset manhole; by the construction of steps of Types A, B and C, respectively; one safety station complete with accompanying curbs, walks and steps, concrete curbs of Type F, concrete coping of Type D, galvanized pipe railing, and concrete post footings of Type E; by the construction of redwood headers; by the construction of concrete curbs and artificial stone sidewalks; and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof; all more fully described and shown on drawings designated as numbers 4685, 4686 and 4687, respectively, titled "Plans for the improvement of Market street from the southerly termination of Mono street to Twenty-fourth street," which said drawings are on file in the office of the said Board of Public Works and made a part hereof and to which reference is hereby made for a more particular description of the said proposed improvement and the lines and location thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Bill No. 6030, Ordinance No. 5622 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 10, 1920, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the *southerly side of Joost avenue from a line 250 feet easterly from the easterly line of Acadia street*; thence easterly 25 feet, and from a line 300 feet easterly from the easterly line of Acadia street; thence easterly 25 feet, by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Bill No. 6031, Ordinance No. 5623 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor,

and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 7, 1920, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The improvement of the *easterly side of Thirty-second avenue between Geary and Clement streets* by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width, where artificial stone sidewalks at least six (6) feet in width are not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Bill No. 6032, Ordinance No. 5624 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for the construction of a sewer and appurtenances along the Great Highway from Noriega street southerly; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for the construction of said sewers, and permitting progressive payments to be made during the progress of the work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for, and to enter into contract for the construction of, a sewer and appurtenances along the Great Highway from Noriega street southerly, in accordance with said plans and specifications.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for the construction of said sewer, conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications, and as provided by

Section 21, Chapter 1, Article VI of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Notice of Reconsideration—Golden Gate Ferry Franchise.

Supervisor McLeran, in accordance with notice given at last meeting, moved reconsideration of the vote whereby Golden Gate Ferry franchise was passed for printing.

Supervisor Mulvihill moved as an amendment that we proceed to hearing the interested parties.

Supervisor Mulvihill's amendment accepted by Supervisor McLeran.

Privilege of the Floor.

Whereupon, Wm. Humphrey, representing the Park Commission, was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board, presenting the objections of the Park Commission to the franchise.

Attorney D. Sales, representing the applicant, addressed the Board in support of the application.

(Verbatim report of discussion in Clerk's office.)

Notice of Reconsideration Withdrawn.

Whereupon, Supervisor McLeran withdrew his notice of reconsideration and the Golden Gate Ferry franchise in words and figures following was finally passed by the following vote:

Bill No. 6033, Ordinance No. 5625, (New Series), as follows:

Granting a franchise to Golden Gate Ferry Company, a corporation, and its assigns, to erect, take tolls on and keep a public ferry to operate between the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the City of Sausalito, Marin County, California, across the San Francisco Bay, a navigable body of water dividing the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Marin, and fixing the amount of the penal bond, the license tax and the rate of tolls to be collected.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Golden Gate Ferry Company, a corporation, having on the 24th day of April, 1922, presented to the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, its verified written petition praying for authority to erect, take tolls on and keep a public ferry to be operated across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the City of Sausalito, Marin County, California, and said petition having come on regularly for

hearing on said day before the said Board of Supervisors, and it having been made to appear by the necessary affidavits presented at said hearing and filed with the Clerk of said Board of Supervisors that the Golden Gate Ferry Company has given notice of its intention to apply to said Board on the 24th day of April, 1922, at the hour of 2 o'clock p. m. of said day, for authority to erect, take tolls on and keep a public ferry, as hereinabove stated, and that said notice was given in the manner, form and for the time required by law and that said notice was served upward of ten (10) days prior to the 24th day of April, 1922, upon the Northwestern Pacific Railway Company and upon the owners of the real property upon which Golden Gate Ferry Company expects to locate its slips, wharves, docks and other terminal facilities, as set forth in said written petition; and

Section 2. It further appearing that Golden Gate Ferry Company has in all things complied with the law in making application for said ferry franchise between said points and in giving notice of said application; and

Section 3. It further appearing that such ferry is a public necessity and convenience and for the best interests of said City and County of San Francisco and County of Marin and the traveling public and that the situation of the City and County of San Francisco and the City of Sausalito and the crossing of a public highway renders it necessary for public convenience to establish such a ferry and that such a ferry be established; and

Section 4. It further appearing that Golden Gate Ferry Company is a proper and suitable corporation to which to grant such a franchise, right and privilege, and that the owners of the land described in said written petition, and neither of them have made application for a ferry franchise within a reasonable time after the necessity therefor arose and that no franchise for a ferry has been granted by this Board within one mile of the proposed location of said ferry;

Section 5. Now, therefore, this Board of Supervisors does hereby grant to Golden Gate Ferry Company, a corporation, and its assigns, for a term of twenty (20) years, the right, privilege and authority to erect, take tolls on and keep a public ferry to be run and operated across the San Francisco Bay between the said City and County of San Francisco and the City of Sausalito, Marin County, California, with ferry landings or terminals located in said City and County and said City, and more particularly described as follows:

*San Francisco Ferry Landing.*

Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Jefferson street and one hundred

(100) feet east of the easterly line of Hyde street; thence northerly at right angle to said line of Jefferson street fifty (50) feet; thence westerly at right angle one hundred (100) feet; thence northerly along easterly line of Hyde street produced one hundred seventy (170) feet; thence northwesterly at an angle of thirty-seven (37) degrees to the left three hundred ten (310) feet; thence southwesterly at an angle of ninety-eight (98) degrees to the left three hundred (300) feet, more or less, to a point distant at right angle westerly fifty (50) feet from the easterly line of Hyde street produced; thence southerly and parallel to said line of Hyde street one hundred eighty (180) feet, more or less, to the northerly line of Jefferson street; thence easterly along the northerly line of Jefferson street one hundred fifty (150) feet to the point of beginning; containing forty-nine thousand (49,000) square feet, more or less, of land.

*Sausalito Ferry Landing.*

Lots Nos. 88, 89, 90, 91, 92 and 93, each twenty-five (25) feet front by one hundred (100) feet depth, in Block "B" as shown, delineated upon a certain map entitled "Subdivisions of Blocks 1, 2, A, B, from Section Map C" of lands of Sausalito Land and Ferry Company, filed in the office of the County Recorder of the County of Marin, State of California, April 14, 1884.

The real property lying seaward of the real property last above described and immediately in front thereof for a distance of two hundred fifty (250) feet between the northerly and southerly boundary lines of the said lots as extended.

That the amount of the penal bond to be given by Golden Gate Ferry Company; or its assigns, for the benefit of said City and County of San Francisco and the County of Marin and all persons crossing or desiring to cross the said ferry is hereby fixed at the sum of ten thousand (\$10,000) dollars and shall be given and renewed annually for said sum.

That the amount of the license tax to be paid by Golden Gate Ferry Company for taking tolls on said ferry is hereby fixed at the sum of one hundred (\$100) dollars per month, payable yearly.

That the rate of tolls and fares, which may be collected for crossing said ferry are hereby fixed as follows:

TOLLS.

1. Ambulances, self-propelled or horse drawn .....\$1.30
  2. Automobiles ..... 1.00
- AUTOMOBILE PASSENGER BUSES:
3. 10-passenger capacity .....\$1.50
  4. 15-passenger capacity ..... 2.00
  5. 20-passenger capacity ..... 2.50
  6. 21-passenger capacity and over.. 3.00

**CARTS AND WAGONS:**

7. Cart or wagon without horse....\$1.00  
 8. Push carts ..... .40

**COMMERCIAL OR DELIVERY AUTOMOBILES AND MOTOR TRUCKS, not exceeding 7 feet wide or 15 feet in length:**

9.  $\frac{1}{2}$ -ton capacity or under, each..\$1.00  
 10.  $\frac{3}{4}$ -ton capacity ..... 1.00  
 11. 1-ton capacity (see Rule 2)..... 1.25

**COMMERCIAL OR DELIVERY AUTOMOBILES AND MOTOR TRUCKS exceeding 7 feet wide or 15 feet in length:**

12.  $\frac{1}{2}$ -ton capacity or under.....\$1.50  
 13.  $\frac{3}{4}$ -ton capacity ..... 2.00  
 14. 1-ton capacity (see Rule 2)..... 2.00

**COMMERCIAL OR DELIVERY AUTOMOBILES AND MOTOR TRUCKS exceeding in load or vehicle both 7 feet in width and 15 feet in length:**

15.  $\frac{1}{2}$ -ton capacity and under.....\$2.25  
 16.  $\frac{3}{4}$ -ton capacity ..... 3.00  
 17. 1-ton capacity (see Rule 2)..... 3.00

**COMMERCIAL OR DELIVERY AUTOMOBILES AND MOTOR TRUCKS not exceeding 9 feet wide or 20 feet long in load or vehicle:**

18.  $\frac{1}{2}$ -ton capacity .....\$1.25  
 19. 2-ton capacity ..... 1.25  
 20.  $2\frac{1}{2}$ -ton capacity ..... 1.50  
 21.  $3\frac{1}{2}$ -ton capacity ..... 1.50  
 22. 5-ton capacity (see Rule 2)..... 1.75

**COMMERCIAL OR DELIVERY AUTOMOBILES AND MOTOR TRUCKS exceeding either 9 feet wide or 20 feet in length either in load or vehicle:**

23.  $1\frac{1}{2}$ -ton capacity .....\$2.50  
 24. 2-ton capacity ..... 2.50  
 25.  $2\frac{1}{2}$ -ton capacity ..... 3.00  
 26.  $3\frac{1}{2}$ -ton capacity ..... 3.00  
 27. 5-ton capacity (see Rule 2)..... 3.50

**COMMERCIAL VEHICLES AND TRUCKS:**

28. Trucks and vehicles over 35 feet long, including load, will be charged 50 per cent of the rate herein provided in addition. (Rule 5.)  
 29. Any load accepted requiring the turning of the boat, either to load or unload, to be charged in addition to the regular freight tariff rate for each turning of the boat (see Rule 5) .....\$10.00  
 30. Ditchers, harvesters, steam rollers, tractors and all similar conveyances, machines and vehicles charged on a basis of weight per ton of 2000 pounds (see Rule 5) ..... 1.60

**CATTLE AND STOCK:**

31. Cattle per head and stock in herds and uncrated, including one attendant (Rule 3)..... .50  
 32. Sheep and swine, uncrated and in herds, including one herder (Rule 3) ..... .40

**COMMUTATION RATES:**

33. Motor stages operated daily over a fixed route, minimum charge per day \$10 per trip; includes driver but no passengers..... .75  
 34. Daily round-trip for automobiles per month, for car, no driver.. 30.00

**FREIGHT:**

35. Freight of all kinds on vehicles, per 1000 lbs. minimum charge 20 cents (Rule 1)..... .75

36. Freight of all kinds, not on vehicles, per 1000 lbs. minimum charge 30 cents (see Rule 5).... 1.50  
 37. Hearses, self-propelled or horse-drawn, with or without casket and corpse ..... 1.20

**HORSES:**

38. Horse and wagon or cart..... 1.00  
 39. Two horses and wagon..... 1.50  
 40. Two horses and dray..... 1.75  
 41. One horse or draft animal..... .50  
 42. Each horse over two..... .40

**MOTORCYCLES:**

43. Motorcycles, each ..... .20  
 44. Motorcycles with side car..... .60

**TRAILERS:**

45. Two-wheel trailers attached to automobiles ..... .50  
 46. Four-wheel trailers attached to automobiles ..... .75  
 Four-wheel trailers attached to trucks ..... Tonnage

**PASSENGERS:**

- One way ..... .15  
 One round-trip ..... .25  
 One commute ..... 3.95

**RULES AND REGULATIONS.**

Rule 1. All baggage and freight, goods and merchandise charged for at freight rates (except personal handbags carried by passenger), shipper to furnish satisfactory proof of weight.

Rule 2. Rates charged for commercial or delivery vehicles or motor trucks are for standard sizes only. The rate for motor trucks of a tonnage capacity not classified will be the same rate as charged for motor trucks of the next higher tonnage classification. Motor busses of a passenger capacity not specified charged rate of next higher classification for motor busses.

Rule 3. Livestock when uncrated and in herds carried on first morning trip on week days only. When crated and on conveyances will be carried on all trips and charged as general freight.

Rule 4. Shipper to pay all war or other taxes now in force or hereafter levied in addition to the regular rate.

Rule 5. The company reserves the right to refuse any and all freight or vehicles of excessive size or weight or which will jeopardize the safe passage of the boats or which it is not equipped to handle.

Rule 6. All drivers and passengers must pay regular passenger rate except attendants of cattle, herders and drivers of motor stages operating on a commutation rate.

Rule 7. Articles not taken: Benzine, benzole, camphene, coal oil, crude or refined petroleum, loose cotton, hay or hemp, loose rags, naphtha, nitric or sulphuric acid, nitro-glycerine or any other articles of highly combustible or inflammable nature (excepting gasoline in tanks of automobiles on transit).

That the further right is hereby

granted to Golden Gate Ferry Company to land its ferry boat or boats at such other point or points on the shore line of the City and County of San Francisco, and/or the shore line of Marin County, as this Board may hereafter designate.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### REPORT OF FINANCE COMMITTEE.

Demands on the Treasury amounting to \$191,791.79 were presented and *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

#### Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McLeran: Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(1) A. Lettich, second payment, plumbing, Columbus School (claim dated April 26, 1922), \$706.95.

(2) P. J. Enright, first payment, heating and ventilating, Columbus School (claim dated April 26, 1922), \$753.61.

(3) Standard Electrical Construction Co., second payment, electrical work, Mission High School addition (claim dated April 26, 1922), \$993.75.

(4) F. E. Newberry Electric Co., first payment, electric work, Crocker Amazon School (claim dated April 26, 1922), \$1,091.25.

(5) A. Lettich, second payment, plumbing Adams School (claim dated April 26, 1922), \$1,310.93.

(6) J. S. Hannah, third payment, general construction, Columbus School addition (claim dated April 26, 1922), \$2,105.04.

(7) Robert Trost, third payment, general construction, North Beach (Galilea) High School (claim dated April 26, 1922), \$15,622.88.

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(8) Oakdale Milling Co., hay, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated April 25, 1922), \$557.63.

(9) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.,

hardware (claim dated April 25, 1922), \$630.48.

(10) Myers-Whaley Co., Inc., machine parts (claim dated April 25, 1922), \$759.54.

(11) Ingersoll-Rand Co., machine parts (claim dated April 25, 1922), \$766.22.

(12) Sullivan Machinery Co., machine parts (claim dated April 25, 1922), \$791.55.

(13) Standard Oil Co., fuel oil, etc. (claim dated April 25, 1922), \$1,622.39.

(14) John A. Roebling's Sons Co., steel cable (claim dated April 26, 1922), \$2,817.77.

(15) Chas. R. McCormick Lumber Co., pine lumber (claim dated April 26, 1922), \$515.78.

(16) Standard Underground Cable Co., copper wire (claim dated April 26, 1922), \$582.09.

(17) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Groveland revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (dated April 26, 1922), \$1,655.19.

(18) Virden Packing Co., meats (claim dated April 26, 1922), \$2,411.88.

#### General Fund, 1921-1922.

(19) Associated Oil Co., gasoline, Police Department (claim dated April 24, 1922), \$782.25.

(20) Producers' Hay Co., hay, etc., Police Department (claim dated April 17, 1922), \$612.73.

(21) Western Rock Products, Co., sand, Department Public Works (claim dated April 17, 1922), \$559.24.

(22) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., royalties on surface heaters (claim dated April 21, 1922), \$834.55.

(23) Mrs. Mary Whelan, widow of James Whelan, Department Public Works' employee, compensation, per award by State Industrial Accident Commission (claim dated April 24, 1922), \$3,345.97.

(24) Coast Rock & Gravel Co., sand and gravel, Department Public Works (claim dated April 17, 1922), \$4,483.56.

(25) Shell Co., fuel oil, Department Public Works (claim dated April 17, 1922), \$1,887.

(26) Spring Valley Water Co., water, Fire Department hydrants (claim dated April 27, 1922), \$10,907.92.

(27) Baumgarten Bros., meals, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1922), \$1,784.14.

(28) Rucker-Fuller Desk Co., desks, and chairs, Police Department (claim dated May 1, 1922), \$542.50.

Appropriation, \$704, Rehabilitating House of John R. Dower, Liberty Street Re-grade.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$704 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Liberty Street," Budget Item No. 68, to defray cost of raising

and rehabilitating house of John R. Dower, No. 304 Liberty street; recommendation of Board of Public Works, per Resolution No. 72851 (Second Series).

Appropriation, \$5,000. Portion of Cost Improving Balboa Street.

Also Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of five thousand dollars be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund, to be applied toward defraying a portion of the cost of improving Balboa street between Twenty-third and Twenty-fourth avenues.

Appropriation, \$54,913, Construction of Oral Deaf School.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$54,913 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1, Fiscal Year 1921-1922, for the construction of the Oral Deaf School, to be erected on the south side of Washington street between Franklin and Gough streets, as per awards of contract, etc., to-wit:

General construction (J. Mor-	\$42,950
ton) .....	
Plumbing work (J. E. O'Mara) ..	3,455
Heating and ventilating (Atlas	
Heating & V. Co.) .....	3,495
Electrical work (M. E. Ryan) ..	2,328
Inspection .....	1,800
Extras and incidentals .....	750
Additional architect's fee .....	135

Appropriation, Lands for Hawthorne School.

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1, Fiscal Year 1921-1922, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter mentioned persons, being payments for lands and improvements required for the Hawthorne School, to-wit:

- (1) To William F. McKenney for land and improvements commencing at a point on the westerly line of Folsom street, distant 140 feet northerly from northerly line of Twenty-third street, of dimensions 30 x 122½ feet, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 19908 (New Series).....\$6,600
- (2) To Katie M. Heck, for land and improvements commencing at a point on westerly line of Folsom street 170 feet northerly from northerly line of Twenty-third street, of dimensions 23 x 122½ feet, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 19909 (New Series)..... 9,750

Appropriation, \$175, Sidewalks in Front of City Property.

Supervisor McLeran presented: Resolution No. 19919 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$175 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Street Work in Front of City Property, Budget Item No. 45, for reconstruction of sidewalk front City (school) property on south side of Geary street between Jones and Leavenworth streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Passed for Printing.

The following Bill was passed for printing:

Additional Positions Ordinance.

On motion of Supervisor McLeran: Bill No. 6034, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Subdivisions (q), (v) and (ii) of Section 28 of Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), known as the Ordinance of Additional Positions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Subdivisions (q), (v) and (ii) of Section 28 of Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), providing for the appointment by the Board of Fire Commissioners of one veterinarian, three hostlers and one horseshoer, are hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Acquisition of Land at Bay View.

Supervisor McLeran presented: Resolution No. 19920 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity and use require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco of the following described lands, and any and all rights and claims thereto, for city purposes, to-wit, all the following lots, pieces or parcels of land described as follows:

The lands situate in and being all of Block No. 4849 as appears on the Assessor's Block Map and formerly Block No. 485 Bay View Homestead Association, and bounded by Keith street, Armstrong avenue, Jennings street and Bancroft avenue.

Also, the lands situate in and being all of Block No. 4880 as appears on the Assessor's Block Map and formerly Block No. 494 Bay View Homestead Association, and bounded by Keith street, Bancroft avenue, Jennings street and Carroll avenue.

That all the lands, rights and claims to lots, pieces or parcels within land

above described are hereby declared to be suitable, adaptable and necessary for a public use by the City and County of San Francisco.

The City Attorney is hereby instructed to commence proceedings against the owners of said lots, pieces or parcels of land enclosed within said hereinb fore description, and any and all rights and claims thereto, and interest thereon, and for the condemnation thereof for the use of the City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid, and to prosecute such proceedings to a speedy termination.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Action Deferred.

The following resolution was presented by Supervisor McLeran and on his motion *laid over one week*:

#### Treasurer Authorized to Sell Water Bonds.

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell those certain two hundred and fifty Water Bonds of the issue of the year 1910, and of the denomination of one thousand dollars, each, which were originally purchased pursuant to law and the charter from surplus money of the City and County of San Francisco in the South Beach Land Fund and repurchased with the surplus money of the City and County in Investment Fund No. 3. The proceeds of the sale of such bonds shall be applied to the purposes for which the money of said Investment Fund No. 3 was originally placed in the Treasury of the City and County of San Francisco.

(A. Hobro, cashier Treasurer's office, being sent for, appeared and explained the purpose of the foregoing resolution.)

#### Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Plans, etc., High School of Commerce. On motion of Supervisor McLeran:

Bill No. 6035, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for and the construction of an addition to the High School of Commerce to be erected on the north side of Fell street between Van Ness avenue and Franklin street; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction in accordance with said plans and specifications pre-

pared therefor, and permitting progressive payments to be made during the course of construction.

The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for and to enter into contract for the construction of an addition to the High School of Commerce, to be erected on the north side of Fell street between Van Ness avenue and Franklin streets, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Sec. 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for the said construction of an addition to the High School of Commerce, conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications and as provided by Section 21, Chapter I, Article VI of the Charter.

Sec. 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### Oil Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

#### Oil Storage Tank.

A. A. Johnson, east side of Polk street, 95 feet south of Greenwich street; 1500 gallons capacity.

William Mensor, south side California street, 140 feet west of Laguna street; 1500 gallons capacity.

George W. Mensor, north side of California street, 110 feet east of Octavia street; 1500 gallons capacity.

Percy D. Tyler, west side Scott street, 30 feet north of Oak street; 1500 gallons capacity.

Jerry Lynch, east side Guerrero street, 100 feet south of Seventeenth street; 1500 gallons capacity.

Fred Helbush, east side Twenty-seventh avenue, 20 feet north of Lake street; 1500 gallons capacity.

Chas. A. Johnson, north side of Clement street, 50 feet east of Seventeenth avenue; 1500 gallons capacity.

Charles A. Johnson, south side of Geary street, 70 feet west of Leavenworth street; 1500 gallons capacity.

J. McCook, east side of Larkin street, 100 feet south of Washington street; 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

#### Garage Permit.

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Louis Wood to maintain a one-story garage on the

south side of Fulton street, 187 feet 6 inches west of Divisadero street; also to store 500 gallons of gasoline on premises.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit becomes null and void.

#### *Install Street Lights.*

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 19921 (New Series), as follows:

Resolution No. 19921 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas & Electric Company is hereby instructed to install, remove and change street lights as follows:

#### *Install 250 M. R.*

Holloway avenue and Beverly street.

Twenty-fifth avenue between Anza and Balboa streets.

Naples street between France and Russia avenues.

Waller street between Fillmore and Steiner streets.

#### *Install 600 M. R.*

West side Larkin street between Hayes and Grove streets.

#### *Remove 400 M. R.*

West side Larkin street between Hayes and Grove streets.

#### *Change Gas Lamps.*

From north side of Bush alley to south side opposite No. 23 on east property line.

#### *Remove Single-Top Gas.*

Waller street between Fillmore and Steiner streets.

#### *Install Single-Top Gas.*

Southeast corner Green and Webster streets.

Northeast corner Vallejo and Webster streets.

#### *Move Gas Lamps.*

North side Elizabeth street opposite 553, about 18 feet east.

South side Silliman street about 100 feet west of Second street, 6 feet.

East side Fillmore street, 180 feet north of Haight street, 10 feet.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Accepting Offer of Margaret M. Mitchell to Sell Land for School Purposes.**

Resolution No. 19922 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Margaret M. Mitchell to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate on the north line of Hayes street, distant 125 feet east from Cole street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of \$3,500 be, and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Hayes street, distant thereon 125 feet easterly from the north-easterly corner of Hayes and Cole streets, and running thence easterly along said northerly line of Hayes street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 137 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 137 feet 6 inches to the said northerly line of Hayes street and point of beginning. Being a portion of Western Addition Block 685.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerny title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon the payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid; and the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Accepting Offer of Cox Estate Co. to Sell land for School Purposes.**

Resolution No. 19923 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Cox Estate Co. to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate on the north line of Washington street, distant 112 feet 6 inches west from Hyde street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore be it

Resolved, That the offer of the Cox Estate Co. by communication dated April 24, 1922, to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of \$5,500 be, and the same is hereby accepted, the

said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Washington street, distant thereon 112 feet 6 inches westerly from the westerly line of Hyde street, running thence westerly along said northerly line of Washington street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 137 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 137 feet 6 inches to the northerly line of Washington street and point of commencement. Being a portion of 50 Vara Block No. 302.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerny title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon the payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid; and the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

#### Ordering Street Work.

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill: Bill No. 6036, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 10, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works,

and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Grant avenue between Bay street and the Embarcadero, excepting the crossing of North Point street*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 6037, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 10, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said con-

templated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *North Point street*, from a line at right angles with the southerly line of *North Point street* at its intersection with the northeasterly line of *Columbus avenue* to *Taylor street*, including the crossing of *Jones street*, and the improvement of *North Point street* from *Mason street* to the *Embarcadero* and *Kearny street*, respectively, including the crossing of *Grant avenue* and excepting the crossings of *Stockton* and *Powell streets*, excepting that portion of the roadways required by law to be paved by the company having tracks thereon, by the construction of the following vitrified, salt-glazed, ironstone pipe sewers and appurtenances: A 12-inch with 14 Y branches and two brick manholes along the center line of *North Point street* from *Powell street* to a point 102 feet 6 inches easterly from the easterly line of *Mason street*; a 12-inch with 14 Y branches and two brick manholes along the center line of *North Point street* between the existing sewer in *Grant avenue* and a point 102 feet 6 inches easterly from the easterly line of *Stockton street*; a 12-inch with 11 Y branches and two brick manholes along the center line of *North Point street* between the existing sewer in *Grant avenue* and a point 102 feet 6 inches westerly from the westerly line of *Kearny street*; by the construction of the following brick catchbasins with cast-iron frames, gratings and traps and 10-inch vitrified, salt-glazed, ironstone pipe culverts, one on the northerly side of *North Point street* between *Jones street* and *Columbus avenue*, three on the crossing of *Jones street*, one on the southerly side of *North Point street* between *Taylor* and *Jones streets*, four between *Powell* and *Mason streets*, four between *Stockton* and *Powell streets*, two between *Grant avenue* and *Stockton street*, four on the crossing of *Grant avenue*, four between *Grant avenue* and the *Embarcadero* and *Kearny street*, respectively, two storm water inlets with cast iron frames and gratings and 10-inch vitrified, salt-glazed ironstone pipe culverts on the northerly side of *North Point street* between *Grant avenue* and the *Embarcadero*, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement with wheel guards on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### Confirmation of Assessment.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 19924 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the method of assessment for the improvement of *Forty-fifth avenue* between *Cabrillo* and *Fulton streets*, by the construction of concrete curbs and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof, determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No. 72710 (Second Series), be and the same is hereby confirmed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were passed for printing:

#### Ordering Street Work.

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill:

Bill No. 6038, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 10, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on

all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The method of assessment for the work and improvement determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No. 72710 (Second Series) is hereby confirmed.

The improvement of *Forty-fifth avenue between Cabrillo and Fulton streets*, by the construction of concrete curbs and by the construction of an asphalt concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### Ordering Street Work.

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill:

Bill No. 6039, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 10, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Ulloa street, between Fifteenth and Seventeenth avenues, including the crossing of Sixteenth avenue and Ulloa street*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of a 12-inch vitrified, salt-glazed, ironstone pipe sewer with

30 "Y" branches and five (5) brick manholes with cast iron frames and covers and galvanized wrought iron steps along the center line of Ulloa street, between Fifteenth and Seventeenth avenues; by the construction of an 8-inch vitrified, salt-glazed, ironstone pipe sewer along the center line of Sixteenth avenue, between the center and northerly lines of Ulloa street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 6040, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 10, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Anderson street, between the northerly line of Cortland avenue and the northerly line of Eugenia avenue, including the crossing of Eugenia avenue and Anderson street*, by the construction of concrete curbs where stone curbs are not already constructed; by the construction of a fourteen (14) foot central strip of vertical fibre brick pavement between the northerly line of Cortland avenue and the southerly line

of Eugenia avenue, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the remainder of the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**Confirmation of Assessment of Collingwood Street.**

Resolution No. 19925 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the method of assessment for the improvement of Collingwood street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets; Twenty-first street between Castro street and the westerly line of Diamond street; Twenty-second street between Castro street and the westerly line of Diamond street, including the crossings of Diamond and Twenty-first streets, Diamond and Twenty-second streets, Collingwood and Twenty-first streets and the intersection of Collingwood and Twenty-second streets, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of pipe sewers and appurtenances, of side sewers with traps, risers and appurtenances, of culverts, of brick manholes, of brick catchbasins, of brick storm water inlets, and of lampholes with their respective appurtenances; by the construction of reinforced concrete retaining and step support walls, stairways, landings, copings, drains, gutters, curb armor and armored offsets; by the construction of pipe railing, including furnishing and setting of all fittings; by the construction of concrete armored coping; by the reconstruction of brick catchbasins, including the furnishing and setting of cast iron frames, gratings and traps, determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No. 72696 (Second Series), be and the same is hereby confirmed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were passed for printing:

**Ordering Street Work.**

Also, Bill No. 6043, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed

in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 10, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The method of assessment for said work and improvement determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No. 72696 (Second Series) is hereby confirmed.

The improvement of *Collingwood street, between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets; Twenty-first street, between Castro street and the westerly line of Diamond street; Twenty-second street, between Castro street and the westerly line of Diamond street, including the crossings of Diamond and Twenty-first streets, Diamond and Twenty-second streets, Collingwood and Twenty-first streets, and the intersection of Collingwood and Twenty-second streets*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of pipe sewers and appurtenances, of side sewers with traps, risers and appurtenances, of culverts, of brick manholes, of brick catchbasins, of brick storm water inlets, and of lampholes with their respective appurtenances; by the construction of reinforced concrete retaining and step support walls, stairways, landings, copings, drains, gutters, curb armor and armored offsets; by the construction of pipe railings, including furnishing and setting of all fittings; by the construction of concrete armored coping; by the reconstruction of brick catchbasins, including the furnishing and setting of cast iron frames, gratings and traps.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 6041, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 9, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Arch street between Holloway avenue and Garfield street*, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of a concrete pavement from the northerly line of Garfield street to a line 250 feet northerly therefrom; and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the remainder of the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Auditorium Rental.**

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 19926 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the American Irish Liberty League be granted permission to occupy the Main Hall, Auditorium,

May 7, 1922, 6 to 12 p. m., for the purpose of holding mass meeting, a deposit having been paid to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to guarantee the rental fee.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Observance of Decoration Day.**

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 19928 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor Mayor James Rolph, Jr. appoint a committee to properly observe Decoration Day, May 30, 1922.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Plans, etc., Westerly Side Great Highway.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Bill No. 6042, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for the improvement of the westerly half of the Great Highway between Cabrillo and Fulton streets; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said improvement and permitting progressive payments to be made during the progress of the work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for, and to enter into contract for the improvement of the westerly half of the Great Highway between Cabrillo and Fulton streets in accordance with said plans and specifications.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for said improvement of the Great Highway conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications, and as provided by Section 21, Chapter I, Article VI of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

*Passed for printing* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Estimate of Cost of Convenience Stations.**

Supervisor Scott presented:

Resolution No. 19930 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Engineer be and he is hereby requested to submit to the Finance Committee a survey and the probable cost of establishing a complete series of convenience stations throughout the City and that the Finance Committee be requested to recommend to the Board of Supervisors a sum of money sufficient to provide the City with suitable convenience stations.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Resurfacing Market Street From Eighth to Kearny Streets.**

Supervisor Scott presented:

Resolution No. 19931 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works be requested to resurface Market street from Eighth street to Kearny street, the work to be paid for from its maintenance fund.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Mayor to Sell Electric Locomotive.**

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 19932 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby authorized and requested to sell at public auction one electric locomotive connected with the Municipal Railway system.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Auditorium Rental, Disabled Veterans.**

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 19934 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Disabled American Veterans of the World's War be granted permission to occupy the Main and Polk halls in the Auditorium, May 5, 1922, 6 p. m. to 12 p. m., for the purpose of holding an entertainment and dance, the rental fee having been paid to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman,

Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Shrimp Fishing Permit.**

The following was presented and on motion *passed for printing* under suspension of the rules:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Lee Lee Co. be and is hereby granted permission to use lot situate at 515 Fairfax avenue for shrimp fishing purposes and to erect a temporary building. This permission to be revocable at the pleasure of the Board of Supervisors.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Condemnation of Lands at Hetch Hetchy.**

Resolution No. 19935 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That public interest, convenience and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco of a right of way for an electric power transmission line over the following described property, situate in the County of Tuolumne, State of California, to-wit:

A portion of the S.  $\frac{1}{2}$  of the S. W.  $\frac{1}{4}$  of section 34, T. 1 S., R. 15 E., M. D. B. and M.; Lot 8 of section 3, T. 2 S., R. 15 E.; Lots 1, 3 and E.  $\frac{1}{2}$  of Lot 6 in section 3, T. 2 S., R. 15 E., more particularly described as follows:

A strip of land 150 feet in width, 75 feet on each side of the surveyed center line of the City and County of San Francisco's electric transmission line survey, commencing at the point at which said center line intersects the northerly line of the south  $\frac{1}{2}$  of the southwest  $\frac{1}{4}$  of said section 34, and from which point the  $\frac{1}{4}$  corner between sections 34 and 35 bears north 71 deg. 48 min. east, 3,709.6 feet; thence south 49 deg. 28 $\frac{1}{2}$  min. west, 1,984.4 feet; thence south 58 deg. 58 $\frac{1}{2}$  min. west, 2,518.15 feet; thence south 87 deg. 58 $\frac{1}{2}$  min. west, 2,134.85 feet, at which point said center line intersects the westerly line of the east  $\frac{1}{4}$  of lot 6 in section 4 and from which point the section corner common to sections 32, 33, 4 and 5 bears north 40 deg. 58 min. west, 1,975 feet, containing 23.24 acres, more or less.

Be it further Resolved, That said right of way is suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, to-wit, as a right of way for an electric power transmission line.

The City Attorney and Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy Water

Supply are hereby authorized and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said tract of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the use of said City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Sacramento Celebration, Days of "49."

*His Honor Mayor Ralph* presented Don Arthur Dudley, Sacramento's official representative of the "Days of '49 Celebration," who had arrived in San Francisco from Sacramento by aeroplane this morning. He was arrayed in the habiliments of early days in California—wore top boots, silk hat and swallow-tail coat, and sported a young crop of chin whiskers. He declared in a sepulchral voice that he embodied the spirit of the "past" beckoning to the "present" to set aside its business cares and worries and join with Sacramento in recalling "the days of old, the days of gold, the days of '49."

He invited the San Francisco Board of Supervisors to become members of the "Whiskers Club," whose members have pledged themselves to allow their beards to grow, under penalty of \$100 fine for failure to do so.

Upon suggestion of His Honor the Mayor, the entire Board of Supervisors agreed to participate in a body in Sacramento's celebration.

#### "49" Celebration at Sacramento.

Whereupon, Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 19929 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Mayor has been requested to appoint a committee of the Board of Supervisors to act in conjunction with a like committee of the Chamber of Commerce to participate in the coming celebration in Sacramento, May 23 to 28, 1922, for the purpose of reviving the memories of the "days of old, the days of gold, the days of '49"; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Finance Committee be requested to provide the necessary means so that San Francisco will be properly and adequately represented in the aforesaid historical event.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch Wetmore—18.

#### Tentative Approval of Joint Highway District.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 19933 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of the County of San Mateo did on the 17th day of April, 1922, adopt a resolution reciting that the public interest requires the construction of a public highway, including a bridge, within the County of San Mateo and the City and County of San Francisco, the general location and course of which is set forth in said resolution, a certified copy of which has been transmitted to and is on file in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco and reference thereto for further particulars is here made; and

Whereas, said resolution further recites that said County of San Mateo and the City and County of San Francisco will be interested in and benefitted by the construction of such highway and that it is desirable and necessary to create a Joint Highway District composed of the counties herein named for such purpose as provided by an act of the Legislature providing for the organization of such districts; therefore

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, due consideration of the aforesaid resolution and the forming of such joint highway district having been had, that tentative approval thereof be and the same is hereby given fully and completely as required by Section 3 of an act entitled "An Act providing for the creation, organization and government of joint highway districts composed of two or more counties of the State of California", approved April 15, 1917, and amended by act approved June 1, 1921.

That a certified copy of this resolution be forthwith transmitted to the Board of Supervisors of the County of San Mateo.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Relative to Payment of Fire Losses and Centralization of Supplies Department.

*Supervisor McSheehy*, under roll call, addressed the Board with reference to his recent trip to Washington in the interest of policy holders of German insurance companies who had refused to pay losses of the 1906 fire in San Francisco. He declared that losses amounting to upwards of \$4,800,000 would be paid. Three com-

panies, he said, would pay in full, in the Hamburg Bremen, the Aachen-Munich and the Prussian National. He declared that in his investigation he found that San Francisco was the only city that has no real authorized purchasing agent. He stated that it was his intention to furnish his data to the Supplies Committee at an early date and to present a resolution providing for a revision of the way we purchase supplies in this city.

*Supervisor Rossi* declared that it was the intention of the Supplies Committee ever since its reorganization to centralize the purchasing of supplies for San Francisco. He called attention

to a resolution which he had heretofore presented asking for an opinion from the City Attorney as to power of Supplies Committee and declared that as soon as that opinion is in the committee will proceed to carry out its ideas for the improvement of that department, and said that any data Supervisor McSheehy could furnish would be appreciated.

#### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 4:40 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors July 10, 1922.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

Vo

J

TI

Vol. 17—New Series

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY

No. 19

Monday, May 8, 1922.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



**THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY**

77 Sutter Street, S. F.

J

!  
cis  
ula

7  
Su  
Hy  
Mo  
Se  
me

me  
sic  
RC

be  
at  
at  
wi

Su

M

De

an  
Be  
th  
re  
of  
vi  
Ju  
lo

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MAY 8, 1922, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, May 8, 1922, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of March 20, 1922, was considered read and *approved*.

### ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Bay Bridge.

*Communication*—From Niles Chamber of Commerce inviting attendance at banquet on Saturday, May 13, 1922, at Niles, at which proposed bay bridge will be discussed.

Read and *accepted*.

Supervisors' Committee to Attend Convention of State Association of Supervisors.

San Francisco, Cal.,

May 3, 1922.

Mr. J. S. Dunnigan,

Clerk, Board of Supervisors,

City Hall, San Francisco.

Dear Sir:

I beg to inform you that, in accordance with a resolution passed by the Board of Supervisors March 20 last, the Mayor appointed a committee to represent the Board at the convention of the State Association of Supervisors, to be held in Eureka, Cal., June 20 to 24, 1922, inclusive. Following is the committee:

Hon. Joseph Mulvihill, Chairman;

Hon. Ralph McLeran,

Hon. Warren Shannon,

Hon. Richard Welch,

Hon. Wm. S. Scott.

Yours very truly,

EDWARD RAINEY,

Executive Secretary to the Mayor.

Read and *filed*.

Creation of Joint Highway District.

*Communication* -- From San Francisco Restaurant Association requesting that immediate action in the matter of creating a joint highway district, enabling a commission to proceed with the establishment of an east-side highway into San Mateo County.

Read and *filed*.

### REPORT OF COMMITTEES.

The following committees, by their respective chairmen, presented reports on various matters referred, which reports were read and ordered *filed*:

Supplies Committee, by Supervisor Rossi, chairman.

Fire Committee, by Supervisor Deasy, chairman.

Public Buildings Committee, by Supervisor Scott, chairman.

Public Welfare and Publicity Committee, by Supervisor Colman, chairman.

City Planning Committee, by Supervisor McGregor, chairman.

### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

#### Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

#### Authorizations.

Resolution No. 19936 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(1) A. Lettich, second payment, plumbing, Columbus School (claim dated April 26, 1922), \$706.95.

(2) P. J. Enright, first payment, heating and ventilating, Columbus School (claim dated April 26, 1922), \$753.61.

(3) Standard Electrical Construction Co., second payment, electrical work, Mission High School addition (claim dated April 26, 1922), \$993.75.

(4) F. E. Newberry Electric Co., first payment, electric work, Crocker Amazon School (claim dated April 26, 1922), \$1,091.25.

(5) A. Lettich, second payment,

plumbing Adams School (claim dated April 26, 1922), \$1,310.93.

(6) J. S. Hannah, third payment, general construction, Columbus School addition (claim dated April 26, 1922), \$2,105.04.

(7) Robert Trost, third payment, general construction, North Beach (Galilea) High School (claim dated April 26, 1922), \$15,622.88.

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(8) Oakdale Milling Co., hay, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated April 25, 1922), \$557.63.

(9) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., hardware (claim dated April 25, 1922), \$630.48.

(10) Myers-Whaley Co., Inc., machine parts (claim dated April 25, 1922), \$759.54.

(11) Ingersoll-Rand Co., machine parts (claim dated April 25, 1922), \$766.22.

(12) Sullivan Machinery Co., machine parts (claim dated April 25, 1922), \$791.55.

(13) Standard Oil Co., fuel oil, etc. (claim dated April 25, 1922), \$1,622.39.

(14) John A. Roebling's Sons Co., steel cable (claim dated April 26, 1922), \$2,817.77.

(15) Chas. R. McCormick Lumber Co., pine lumber (claim dated April 26, 1922), \$515.78.

(16) Standard Underground Cable Co., copper wire (claim dated April 26, 1922), \$582.09.

(17) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Groveland revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (dated April 26, 1922), \$1,655.19.

(18) Virden Packing Co., meats (claim dated April 26, 1922), \$2,411.88.

*General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(19) Associated Oil Co., gasoline, Police Department (claim dated April 24, 1922), \$782.25.

(20) Producers' Hay Co., hay, etc., Police Department (claim dated April 17, 1922), \$612.73.

(21) Western Rock Products, Co., sand, Department Public Works (claim dated April 17, 1922), \$559.24.

(22) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., royalties on surface heaters (claim dated April 21, 1922), \$834.55.

(23) Mrs. Mary Whelan, widow of James Whelan, Department Public Works' employee, compensation, per award by State Industrial Accident Commission (claim dated April 24, 1922), \$3,345.97.

(24) Coast Rock & Gravel Co., sand and gravel, Department Public Works (claim dated April 17, 1922), \$4,483.56.

(25) Shell Co., fuel oil, Department Public Works (claim dated April 17, 1922), \$1,887.

(26) Spring Valley Water Co., water, Fire Department hydrants (claim dated April 27, 1922), \$10,907.92.

(27) Baumgarten Bros., meats, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1922), \$1,784.14.

(28) Rucker-Fuller Desk Co., desks, and chairs, Police Department (claim dated May 1, 1922), \$542.50.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Coleman—1.

Appropriation, \$704, Rehabilitating House of John R. Dower, Liberty Street Re-grade.

Resolution No. 19937 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$704 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Liberty Street," Budget Item No. 68, to defray cost of raising and rehabilitating house of John R. Dower, No. 304 Liberty street; recommendation of Board of Public Works, per Resolution No. 72851 (Second Series).

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Appropriation, \$5,000, Portion of Cost Improving Balboa Street.

Resolution No. 19938 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of five thousand dollars be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund, to be applied toward defraying a portion of the cost of improving Balboa street between Twenty-third and Twenty-fourth avenues.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Appropriation, \$54,913, Construction of Oral Deaf School.

Resolution No. 19939 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$54,913 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1, Fiscal Year 1921-1922, for the construction of the Oral Deaf School, to be erected on the south side of Washington street between Franklin and Gough streets, as per awards of contract, etc., to-wit:

General construction (J. Mor-	
ton) .....	\$42,950
Plumbing work (J. E. O'Mara) ..	3,455
Heating and ventilating (Atlas	
Heating & V. Co.) .....	3,495
Electrical work (M. E. Ryan) ..	2,328

Inspection ..... 1,800  
 Extras and incidentals..... 750  
 Additional architect's fee..... 135

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

#### Appropriation, Lands for Hawthorne School.

Resolution No. 19940 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1, Fiscal Year 1921-1922, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter mentioned persons, being payments for lands and improvements required for the Hawthorne School, to-wit:

(1) To William F. McKenney for land and improvements commencing at a point on the westerly line of Folsom street, distant 140 feet northerly from northeily line of Twenty-third street, of dimensions 30 x 122½ feet, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 19908 (New Series).....\$6,600

(2) To Katie M. Heck, for land and improvements commencing at a point on westerly line of Folsom street 170 feet northerly from northerly line of Twenty-third street, of dimensions 23 x 122½ feet, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 19909 (New Series)..... 9,750

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

#### Appropriation, \$1,000, Fence at Corner of McAllister and Polk Streets.

Resolution No. 19941 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$1,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, to cover cost of cleaning up and constructing fence around city property at the northwest corner of McAllister and Polk streets.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

#### Oil Permits.

Resolution No. 19942 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

#### Oil Storage Tanks.

A. A. Johnson, east side of Polk street, 95 feet south of Greenwich street; 1500 gallons capacity.

William Mensor, south side California street, 140 feet west of Laguna street; 1500 gallons capacity.

George W. Mensor, north side of California street, 110 feet east of Octavia street; 1500 gallons capacity.

Percy D. Tyler, west side Scott street, 30 feet north of Oak street; 1500 gallons capacity.

Jerry Lynch, east side Guerrero street, 100 feet south of Seventeenth street; 1500 gallons capacity.

Fred Helbush, east side Twenty-seventh avenue, 20 feet north of Lake street; 1500 gallons capacity.

Chas. A. Johnson, north side of Clement street, 50 feet east of Seventeenth avenue; 1500 gallons capacity.

Charles A. Johnson, south side of Geary street, 70 feet west of Leavenworth street; 1500 gallons capacity.

J. McCook, east side of Larkin street, 100 feet south of Washington street; 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

#### Shrimp Fishing Permit.

Resolution No. 19943 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Lee Lee Co. be and is hereby granted permission to use lot situate at 515 Fairfax avenue for shrimp fishing purposes and to erect a temporary building. This permission to be revocable at the pleasure of the Board of Supervisors.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

#### Additional Positions Ordinance.

Bill No. 6034, Ordinance No. 5626 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Subdivisions (q), (v) and (ii) of Section 28 of Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), known as the Ordinance of Additional Positions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Subdivisions (q), (v) and (ii) of Section 28 of Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), providing for the appointment by the Board of Fire Commissioners of one veterinarian, three hostlers and one horseshoer, are hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Plans, etc., High School of Commerce.

Bill No. 6035, Ordinance No. 5627 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for and the construction of an addition to the High School of Commerce to be erected on the north side of Fell street between Van Ness avenue and Franklin street; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor, and permitting progressive payments to be made during the course of construction.

The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for and to enter into contract for the construction of an addition to the High School of Commerce, to be erected on the north side of Fell street between Van Ness avenue and Franklin streets, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Sec. 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for the said construction of an addition to the High School of Commerce, conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications and as provided by Section 21, Chapter I, Article VI of the Charter.

Sec. 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 6036, Ordinance No. 5628 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 10, 1922, having

recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Grant avenue between Bay street and the Embarcadero, excepting the crossing of North Point street*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Bill No. 6037, Ordinance No. 5629 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 10, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said

work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *North Point street, from a line at right angles with the southerly line of North Point street at its intersection with the northeasterly line of Columbus avenue to Taylor street, including the crossing of Jones street, and the improvement of North Point street from Mason street to the Embarcadero and Kearny street, respectively, including the crossing of Grant avenue and excepting the crossings of Stockton and Powell streets, excepting that portion of the roadways required by law to be paved by the company having tracks thereon, by the construction of the following vitrified, salt-glazed, ironstone pipe sewers and appurtenances: A 12-inch with 14 Y branches and two brick manholes along the center line of North Point street from Powell street to a point 102 feet 6 inches easterly from the easterly line of Mason street; a 12-inch with 14 Y branches and two brick manholes along the center line of North Point street between the existing sewer in Grant avenue and a point 102 feet 6 inches easterly from the easterly line of Stockton street; a 12-inch with 11 Y branches and two brick manholes along the center line of North Point street between the existing sewer in Grant avenue and a point 102 feet 6 inches westerly from the westerly line of Kearny street; by the construction of the following brick catchbasins with cast-iron frames, gratings and traps and 10-inch vitrified, salt-glazed, ironstone pipe culverts, one on the northerly side of North Point street between Jones street and Columbus avenue, three on the crossing of Jones street, one on the southerly side of North Point street between Taylor and Jones streets, four between Powell and Mason streets, four between Stockton and Powell*

*streets, two between Grant avenue and Stockton street, four on the crossing of Grant avenue, four between Grant avenue and the Embarcadero and Kearny street, respectively, two storm water inlets with cast iron frames and gratings and 10-inch vitrified, salt-glazed ironstone pipe culverts on the northerly side of North Point street between Grant avenue and the Embarcadero, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement with wheel guards on the roadway thereof.*

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Bill No. 6038, Ordinance No. 5630 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 10, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The method of assessment for the work and improvement determined and declared by the Board of Public

Works by its Resolution No. 72710 (Second Series) is hereby confirmed.

The improvement of *Forty-fifth avenue between Cabrillo and Fulton streets*, by the construction of concrete curbs and by the construction of an asphalt concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Bill No. 6039, Ordinance No. 5631 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 10, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Ulloa street, between Fifteenth and Seventeenth avenues, including the crossing of Sixteenth avenue and Ulloa street*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of a 12-inch vitrified, salt-glazed, ironstone pipe sewer with 30 "Y" branches and five (5) brick

manholes with cast iron frames and covers and galvanized wrought iron steps along the center line of Ulloa street, between Fifteenth and Seventeenth avenues; by the construction of an 8-inch vitrified, salt-glazed, ironstone pipe sewer along the center line of Sixteenth avenue, between the center and northerly lines of Ulloa street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Bill No. 6040, Ordinance No. 5632 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 10, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Anderson street, between the northerly line of Cortland avenue and the northerly line of Eugenia avenue, including the crossing of Eugenia avenue and Anderson street*, by the construction of concrete curbs where stone curbs are

not already constructed; by the construction of a fourteen (14) foot central strip of vertical fibre brick pavement between the northerly line of Cortland avenue and the southerly line of Eugenia avenue, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the remainder of the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Bill No. 6043, Ordinance No. 5632 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 10, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The method of assessment for said work and improvement determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No. 72696 (Second Series) is hereby confirmed.

The improvement of *Collingwood street, between Twentieth and Twen-*

*ty-second streets; Twenty-first street, between Castro street and the westerly line of Diamond street; Twenty-second street, between Castro street and the westerly line of Diamond street, including the crossings of Diamond and Twenty-first streets, Diamond and Twenty-second streets, Collingwood and Twenty-first streets, and the intersection of Collingwood and Twenty-second streets, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of pipe sewers and appurtenances, of side sewers with traps, risers and appurtenances, of culverts, of brick manholes, of brick catchbasins, of brick storm water inlets, and of lampholes with their respective appurtenances; by the construction of reinforced concrete retaining and step support walls, stairways, landings, copings, drains, gutters, curb armor and armored offsets; by the construction of pipe railings, including furnishing and setting of all fittings; by the construction of concrete armored coping; by the reconstruction of brick catchbasins, including the furnishing and setting of cast iron frames, gratings and traps.*

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Bill No. 6041, Ordinance No. 5634 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 9, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of

San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Arch street between Holloway avenue and Garfield street*, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of a concrete pavement from the northerly line of Garfield street to a line 250 feet northerly therefrom; and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the remainder of the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Plans, etc. Westerly Side Great Highway.

Bill No. 6012, Ordinance No. 5635 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for the improvement of the westerly half of the Great Highway between Cabrillo and Fulton streets; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said improvement and permitting progressive payments to be made during the progress of the work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for, and to enter into contract for the improvement of the westerly half of the Great Highway between Cabrillo and Fulton streets in accordance with said plans and specifications.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for said improvement of the Great Highway conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications, and as provided by Section 21, Chapter I, Article VI of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

#### REPORT OF FINANCE COMMITTEE.

Demands on the Treasury amounting to \$102,539.36 were presented and approved by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

#### Urgent Necessity.

Spring Valley Water Co., water, public troughs, \$81.11.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

#### Relative to Delay in School Construction.

Supervisor Hynes presented the following resolution and moved its adoption under suspension of the rules:

Whereas, construction on several school buildings in the City of San Francisco has been stopped due to the refusal of material supply houses to sell building material necessary for the completion thereof; and

Whereas, efforts made by contractors holding contracts for the construction of the Adams, Columbus and Parkside schools to procure the necessary material have been met with an ultimatum on the part of said material supply houses to the effect that said contractors must include among their respective mechanics a certain percentage of non-union men, who are incompetent as mechanics; and

Whereas, union mechanics in San Francisco who have spent their lives in the upbuilding of the City and County of San Francisco are thus prevented as taxpayers from performing such work; therefore be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco condemns this action on the part of those building material manufacturers and supply houses as un-American and an unwarranted attack on the rights of citizens of this community; and, further, that the Mayor be requested to direct the Board of Public Works to proceed immediately with this work without delay.

#### Amendment.

Supervisor McLeran moved as an amendment that the resolution be referred to the Board of Public Works and the Mayor.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

P. H. McCarthy, Mr. Doyle and T. Riordan, President of the Board of Public Works, were granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board on the pending resolution.

Whereupon, the question being taken on Supervisor McLeran's amendment,

the same was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Welch, Wetmore—10.

Noes—Supervisors Deasy, Hynes, McSheehy, Powers, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon—7.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

#### NEW BUSINESS.

##### Auditorium Rentals.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 19944 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following organizations be granted permission to occupy halls in the Auditorium, deposits having been paid to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to guarantee the rental fee:

Order Eastern Star, use of the Main Hall, August 28, 1922, 6 p. m. to 12 p. m., for the purpose of holding reception and dance.

Moving Picture Operators' Union, use of the Main Hall, September 16, 1922, 6 p. m. to 12 p. m., for the purpose of holding a dance.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

##### Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

##### Set-Back Line Ordinance.

On motion of Supervisors McGregor: Bill No. 6044, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

An ordinance describing the method of procedure for establishing set-back lines in the First and Second Residential Districts in the City and County of San Francisco, and penalty for violation of ordinance establishing such lines.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Proceedings for the establishment of set-back line or lines along any street or streets, or any portion of a street, in the City and County of San Francisco, may be initiated and conducted as in this ordinance provided.

A petition signed by the owners of the majority of frontage on one or both sides of the street or streets, or any portion of a street in a First or Second Residential District along which such set-back line or lines are sought to be established may be filed with the City Planning Commission, proposing the establishment of such set-back line or lines. Said petition shall designate the street or streets or portion of street

along which such set-back line or lines are proposed and shall be accompanied by a map or sketch showing said street or streets or portion of street and lot lines and the proposed set-back line or lines and the distance thereof from the street line.

The City Planning Commission, if satisfied that the proposed set-back line or lines should be in whole or in part or in modified form established, shall so report to the Board of Supervisors with the recommendation that such set-back line or lines be established as proposed or as modified by the Commission.

The City Planning Commission, without any petition therefor being filed, may of its own motion file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors its recommendation that certain set-back line or lines be established along one or both sides of any street or streets or portion of street in a First or Second Residential District. Such recommendation shall be accompanied by a map or sketch similar to that required in the case of petition filed with the Commission by property holders.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors shall promptly consider any recommendation so made by the City Planning Commission, and whenever public health, safety, convenience, interest or welfare may so require, said Board is hereby authorized and empowered to determine the minimum distance back from the street line for the erection of buildings or structures along any street or portion thereof, in a First or Second Residential District in the City and County of San Francisco, and to order the establishment of a line, to be known and designated as a set-back line, between which line and the street line no building or structure shall be erected or placed.

Section 3. Before ordering the establishment of any set-back line authorized by Section 2 the Board of Supervisors shall pass a resolution of intention so to do, designating the set-back line or lines proposed to be established, which resolution shall be published twice in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco, and two copies of said resolution shall be posted conspicuously at least 100 feet apart upon the side of each street in front of each block of any street along which such set-back line is proposed to be established. Said resolution shall contain also a notice of the day, hour and place when and where any and all persons having any objection to the establishment of the proposed set-back line or lines may appear before said Board and present any objections which they may have to said proposed set-back line or lines as set forth in said resolution of intention. Said time

of hearing shall not be less than fifteen days nor more than thirty days from the date of the adoption of the resolution of intention, and said publication and posting of said resolution shall be made at least ten days before the time of said hearing.

Section 4. After the adoption of said resolution of intention and prior to the time the ordinance establishing a set-back line or lines in such proceeding becomes effective no permit shall be issued for the erection of any building or structure between any proposed set-back line and the street line, and any permit so issued shall be void.

Section 5. At any time not later than the hour set for hearing objections and protests to the establishment of the proposed set-back line or lines any person having any interest in any land upon which any set-back line is proposed to be established may file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors a written protest or objection against the establishment of such set-back line or lines designated in the resolution of intention.

Such protest must be in writing and be delivered to said Clerk not later than the hour set for said hearing, and no other protests or objections shall be considered. All protestants may appear before the Board at said hearing, either in person or by counsel, and be heard in support of their protests or objections. At the time set for hearing, or at any time to which the hearing may be continued, the Board shall proceed to hear and pass upon all protests and objections so made, and its decision shall be final and conclusive.

Said Board shall have power and jurisdiction to sustain any protest or objection and abandon said proceeding, or to deny any and all protests or objections and order, by ordinance, the establishment of said set-back line or lines described in the resolution of intention, or to order the same established with such changes or modifications as the Board may deem proper.

Section 6. From and after the taking effect of such ordinance establishing any set-back line or lines, it shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation, to construct or place any building, wall, fence or other structure within the space between a street line and the set-back line so established, and the Board of Public Works shall refuse to issue any permit for any building or structure to be erected or placed on such space. The word "structure" as used herein shall not be deemed to include any coping, a fence not higher than three feet, retaining wall, walk or stairway leading to a building.

Section 7. Wherever the word "street" occurs herein it shall be held

to include all streets, avenues, boulevards, highways or other public ways in the City and County of San Francisco, which have been or may hereafter be dedicated and open to public use.

Section 8. Any person, firm or corporation violating any of the provisions of any ordinance establishing any set-back line or lines, pursuant to this ordinance, shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and, upon conviction thereof, shall be punished by fine of not more than \$500 or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period not more than six months, or by both said fine and imprisonment.

Each person, firm or corporation shall be deemed guilty of a separate offense for each day during any portion of which any violation of such ordinance is permitted, continued or committed by such person, firm or corporation, and shall be punished therefor as provided in this ordinance.

#### Amending Zoning Ordinance.

On motion of Supervisor McGregor: Bill No. 6045, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled, "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings and the location of buildings designed for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the use of property zone map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the block bounded by Presidio avenue, Clay, Walnut and Sacramento streets, excepting the portion thereof now in the commercial district, in the second residential district instead of in the first residential district.

#### Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McLeran: Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(1) Utah Construction Co., thirtieth payment, construction of dam and appurtenances, Contract 61, Hetch Hetchy water construction (claim dated May 4, 1922), \$239,863.61.

(2) Construction Company of North America, seventh payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels, Contract 77-C

(claim dated May 3, 1922), \$24,545.45.

(3) Pacific Metal Works, brass and bronze furnished (claim dated May 22, 1922), \$521.28.

(4) Firestone Tire & Rubber Co., tires and tubes (claim dated April 28, 1922), \$528.10.

(5) Chas. R. McCormick Lumber Co., lumber furnished (claim dated April 28, 1922), \$533.49.

(6) Ames, Harris, Neville Co., tents, etc. (claim dated May 2, 1922), \$538.52.

(7) P. H. Reardon, air receiver, hose, etc. (claim dated May 2, 1922), \$543.99.

(8) Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co., soft steel (claim dated May 2, 1922), \$648.27.

(9) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated May 2, 1922), \$691.25.

(10) Union Petroleum Co., oil supplies (claim dated April 28, 1922), \$803.07.

(11) Fred L. Hilmer Co., supplies (claim dated April 28, 1922), \$874.62.

(12) The Utah Construction Co., supplies and labor furnished, clearing camp No. 3 (claim dated May 2, 1922), \$911.58.

(13) Virden Packing Co., supplies (claim dated May 2, 1922), \$952.36.

(14) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Hetch Hetchy transportation charges, per vouchers (claim dated May 2, 1922), \$981.22.

(15) Krogh Pump & Machinery Co., one centrifugal pump (claim dated May 2, 1922), \$1,043.96.

(16) William Cluff Co., supplies (claim dated May 2, 1922), \$1,370.10.

(17) Ingersoll-Rand Co., machine parts (claim dated May 2, 1922), \$1,424.21.

(18) Standard Oil Co., Inc., gasoline (claim dated April 28, 1922), \$1,488.52.

(19) A. S. Camerson Steam Pump Works, one turbine pump (claim dated May 2, 1922), \$1,625.

(20) Sierra Railway Co. of Cal., car service (claim dated April 28, 1922), \$1,809.78.

(21) Chas. R. McCormick Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated May 2, 1922), \$1,925.47.

(22) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated April 28, 1922), \$2,747.15.

(23) Joshua Hendy Iron Works, four gate valves (claim dated April 28, 1922), \$3,596.40.

(24) Hercules Powder Co., gelatin powder, etc. (claim dated April 28, 1922), \$4,786.82.

(25) Crucible Steel Co., drill steel (claim dated April 28, 1922), \$11,944.95.

#### *Municipal Railway Fund.*

(26) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., steel brake shoes (claim dated May 1, 1922), \$1,176.29.

#### *Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.*

(27) Dorothy Vogelsang, payment in full settlement and satisfaction of Su-

perior Court Action No. 124375, being claim for damages on account of injuries sustained (claim dated May 1, 1922), \$1,585.

(28) Standard Underground Cable Co., first payment, cables and splicing materials, Section A and B, Contract 129 (claim dated May 5, 1922), \$28,785.46.

#### *County Road Fund.*

(29) Eaton & Smith, sixth payment, improvement of Point Lobos avenue from Great Highway to Forty-eighth avenue (claim dated May 5, 1922), \$24,636.86.

#### *Special School Tax, 1921-1922.*

(30) John Reid, Jr., third payment, architectural services Horace Mann School (claim dated May 3, 1922), \$2,401.78.

#### *School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(31) Alex Coleman, third payment, plumbing, North Beach (Galileo) High School (claim dated May 3, 1922), \$4,290.45.

(32) Emil Hogberg, third payment, brick and terra cotta work, Spring Valley School (claim dated May 3, 1922), \$5,705.25.

(33) G. P. W. Jensen, third payment, general construction, Crocker Amazon School (claim dated May 3, 1922), \$7,053.75.

(34) C. Peterson Co., second payment, heating and ventilating, North Beach (Galileo High School) (claim dated May 3, 1922), \$5,812.50.

#### *General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(35) Union Oil Co. of Cal., asphalt, Dept. Public Works (claim dated May 1, 1922), \$704.93.

(36) Southern Pacific Co., freight on two surface heaters (claim dated April 27, 1922), \$741.77.

(37) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement, Dept. Public Works (claim dated April 27, 1922), \$3,273.

(38) Union Oil Co. of Cal., fuel oil and asphalt, Dept. Public Works (claim dated May 1, 1922), \$4,147.69.

(39) Clinton Construction Co., fourth payment, construction of Section "C" of Ocean Beach Esplanade (claim dated May 5, 1922), \$11,175.

(40) San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding etc. of animals (claim dated May 8, 1922), \$1,000.

(41) Neal, Stratford & Kerr, printing (claim dated May 8, 1922), \$845.50.

(42) Wilcox & Co., printing (claim dated May 8, 1922), \$640.75.

(43) Buckley & Curtin, printing (claim dated May 8, 1922), \$600.10.

(44) Pierce-Arrow Pacific Sales Co., one 7-passenger Pierce-Arrow touring car, with extras, for Board of Supervisors, less allowance \$1,000 for Winton car (claim dated May 8, 1922), \$6,454.

**Appropriations, Land for School Purposes.**

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1, fiscal year 1921-1922, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for lands required for school purposes, to-wit:

To Margaret M. Mitchell, for lot of land situate and commencing on northerly line of Hayes street, distant thereon 125 feet easterly from northerly corner of Hayes and Cole streets, 25 x 137½ feet; and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 19922 (New Series); required for Andrew Jackson School, \$3,500.

To Cox Estate Company, for lot of land situate and commencing on northerly line of Washington street, distant thereon 112½ feet westerly from westerly line of Hyde street, 25 x 137½ feet; and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 19923 (New Series); required for Spring Valley School, \$5,500.

**Plans, Etc., Playgrounds, James Lick School.**

Also, Bill No. 6046, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for and the improvement of the playground adjoining the James Lick School at Clipper and Noe streets, authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said work in accordance with said plans and specifications so prepared and permitting progressive payments to be made during the progress of construction.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for and to enter into contract for the improvement of the playground adjoining the James Lick School at Clipper and Noe streets, in accordance with said plans and specifications so prepared and approved.

Section 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for the said work, conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications and as provided by Section 21, Chapter I, Article VI, of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**Appropriations, Hetch Hetchy Construction.**

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910, for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For cost of furnishing and delivering four 25,000-horsepower wheel units for Moccasin Creek power plant, under contract 79-A, awarded to Pelton Water Wheel Co., \$248,800.

(2) For cost of furnishing and delivering electric generators and exciters for the Moccasin Creek power plant, under contract 80, awarded to General Electric Co., \$260,285.

(3) For cost of furnishing and delivering eight 36-inch valves for Moccasin Creek power plant, under contract 79-B, awarded to Coffin Valve Co., \$37,580.

**Appropriations, Taraval Extension of Municipal Railway.**

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For construction of the Taraval street line of Municipal Railway from Thirty-third to Forty-eighth avenues, including possible bonus of \$500, engineering inspection and possible extras, \$3,214.50 (Eaton & Smith contract), \$16,000.

(2) For cost of installing concrete trolley poles and electrical conductors on Taraval street extension of Municipal Railway, from Thirty-third to Forty-eighth avenues, including possible bonus of \$100, inspection, engineering, etc., of \$500 (Continental Construction Co. contract), \$8,878.

**Appropriation, \$3,500, Publicity and Advertising, "Days of '49" Celebration at Sacramento.**

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$3,500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of General Fund, 1921-1922, for publicity and advertising San Francisco in "Days of '49" celebration at Sacramento, California, May 23-28, 1922.

**Treasurer to Sell Water Bonds.**

Resolution No. 19945 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco be authorized and directed to sell those certain two hundred and fifty Water Bonds of the issue of the year 1910, and of the denomination of one thousand dollars each, which were originally purchased pursuant to law and

the Charter from surplus money of the City and County of San Francisco in the South Beach Land Fund and repurchased with the surplus money of the City and County in Investment Fund No. 3. The proceeds of the sale of such bonds shall be applied to the purposes for which the money of said Investment Fund No. 3 was originally placed in the Treasury of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

#### Permits.

Supervisor Deasy presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

#### *Transfer Public Garage.*

To J. G. Garcia and Charles J. Hinze, permit granted by Resolution No. 17348 (New Series) to P. J. Reilly for premises situate north side of Ellis street, 122½ feet west of Larkin street (Nos. 730-742 Ellis street).

#### *Automobile Supply Station.*

Union Oil Co. of California, at southeast corner of Folsom and Twenty-fourth streets; also to store 1200 gallons of gasoline on premises.

#### *Oil Storage Tank.*

M. A. Little, on east side of Shannon street, 60 feet south of Geary street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. Broussal, at 5045 California street, 600 gallons capacity.

Estelle B. Lippitt, on north side Pacific avenue, 135 feet west of Fillmore street, 600 gallons capacity.

#### *Boiler.*

Pig'n Whistle Corp'n., at 33 Powell street, 30-horsepower.

Indigo Bluing Co., at 2604 Eighteenth street, 8-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

#### *Garage Permit.*

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Albert A. Rhine, to maintain a public garage (2-story building) on the west side of Third street, 137½ feet north of Folsom street; also to store 1200 gallons of gasoline on premises.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit becomes null and void.

#### Clerk to Advertise for Proposals for Burying Indigent Dead.

Resolution No. 19946 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board is hereby directed to advertise proposals for the burying of the indigent dead of the City and County of San Francisco from July 1, 1922, to and including June 30, 1923, in accordance with specifications prepared by the Board of Health.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

#### Southern Pacific Company to Supply Switching Service.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon:

Resolution No. 19947 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Southern Pacific Company be requested to supply switching service in behalf of the City and County over the tracks of said City and County (formerly operated by the Ocean Shore Railway) between Harrison street and Hampshire street, it being understood that such service by said Southern Pacific Company is to be temporary, pending the purchase by the City of a tract of land lying between the termination of Alabama street and Harrison street, and, furthermore, said company shall not be obligated to continue such service in case of being prevented by adverse claims or causes beyond its control.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

#### *Extension of Time.*

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 19948 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That an extension of 20 days from April 21, 1922, be granted to United States Steel Products Co. within which to complete contract for furnishing steel rails and track materials under Section A of Contract No. 127, Municipal Railway system.

This second extension is recommended by the Board of Public Works and for the reason that material was not loaded on proper steamer. The major portion of the material has been received.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Clerk to Advertise for Printing Municipal Record.

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 19949 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk be directed to advertise that bids for printing the Municipal Record for the fiscal year 1922-1923 will be received by this Board at 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, June 5, 1922, and that the Public Welfare and Publicity Committee prepare specifications therefor.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Board of Public Works to Purchase Fare Boxes for Municipal Railway.

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 19950 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works be and hereby is authorized to purchase for use of the Municipal Railway the following patented proprietary articles, viz.:

From F. F. Bodler, 20 Johnson fare boxes, type D. P. (Penny Lockup), at \$97.50 each, \$1,950.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

*Install Street Lights.*

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 19951 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company is hereby instructed to install, change and remove street lights as follows:

*Install 250 M. R.*

Arlington street between Mateo and Magill streets.

Forty-second avenue between Geary and Anza streets.

Bosworth street, third pole from Congo street.

*Install 600 M. R.*

South side Portola drive, 300 feet, 600 feet east Kensington way.

*Change 250 M. R.*

First light Bosworth street, west Congo street, one pole west.

*Remove Gas Lamp.*

Valencia and Mission streets, at junction.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers,

Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

*Extension of Time.*

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 19952 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Construction Company is hereby granted the following extensions of time to complete street work, viz.:

Sixty days from and after May 11, 1922, within which to complete contract for improvement of Craut street between Maynard and Ney streets.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that the work is well under way, the curbs and concrete foundation having been completed.

Ninety days from and after May 19, 1922, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Twenty-sixth street from Diamond street westerly.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor was delayed on account of weather conditions, and is now ready to proceed with this improvement.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

**Fixing May 22, 1922, Ulloa Street Hearing.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 19953 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Monday, May 22, 1922, at 3 p. m., is hereby fixed as the time for hearing the appeal of property owners from the assessment issued for the improvement of Ulloa street from the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue to the westerly line of Thirty-fourth avenue, etc.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

**Amendment to Traffic Ordinance.**

Supervisor Robb presented:

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 67 and Section 81 of Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series), entitled, "Regulating moving travel and traffic upon the streets and other public places of the City and County of San Francisco, and providing a punishment for any violation thereof,

and repealing Orders Nos. 70 and 175 (Second Series), and Ordinances Nos. 256, 723, 803, 807, 808, 809, 814, 825, 851, 888, 898, 899, 902, 1088, 1132, 1359, 1367, 1369, 1379, 1380, 1507, 1517, and Ordinances Nos. 339, 575, 649, 1527 and 2128 (New Series)."

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

**Amendment to Taxicab Ordinance.**

Supervisor Robb presented:

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled, "Regulating the use of hackney carriages, automobiles, taxicabs and other public passenger vehicles, fixing the rates to be charged for the transportation of persons and personal baggage, regulating the use of boats in the waters of the bay, providing a punishment for any violation thereof and repealing Order No. 1611, Ordinances 446, 514, 1033, 1898, 3723, 4613, 4662, 4975 and 5284 (New Series), and any and all other orders or ordinances in conflict herewith."

*Referred to Police Committee.*

**Mayor to Appoint Fourth of July Committee.**

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 19954 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby requested to appoint a committee, to consist of as many members as in his judgment he may deem fit, to arrange for the proper observance of Independence Day, July 4th, 1922.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

**Amendment to Fireworks Ordinance.**

Supervisor Powers presented:

Bill No. —, amending ordinance entitled, "Prohibiting storage and sale of fireworks, etc."

*Referred to Fire Committee.*

**Accepting Offer of P. M. Shoaf to Sell Land for School Purposes.**

Supervisor Scott presented:

Resolution No. 19955 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from P. M. Shoaf to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements situate on the north line of Twenty-second street, distant 101 feet 9 inches west from Church street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land and improvements is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said

owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land (with improvements), free of all encumbrances, for the sum of \$6,000 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Twenty-second street, distant thereon 101 feet 9 inches westerly from the westerly line of Church street, running thence westerly along said northerly line of Twenty-second street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 114 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 114 feet to the northerly line of Twenty-second street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Mission Block 90.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the results of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid, and the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

**Leave of Absence, Thomas Flaherty, Sealer of Weights and Measures.**

*Communication*—From Mayor recommending leave of absence for Sealer of Weights and Measures.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 19956 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Thomas Flaherty, Sealer of Weights and Measures, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing May 15, 1922, with permission to leave the State being for the purpose of attending Fifteenth Annual Conference on Weights and Measures at Washington, D. C.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers,

Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

**Treasurer to Furnish Information of Sale of Hetch Hetchy Bonds.**

Supervisor McShoeby presented:

Resolution No. 19957 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco in August, 1921, granted an option for the sale of \$21,826,000 Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds at the rate of  $5\frac{1}{2}$  per cent interest; and

Whereas, such option has been exercised and the money paid into the City Treasury, where the money still lies unused for the purposes for which these bonds were sold; and

Whereas, the difference between the rate of interest at which the bonds were sold under the option and the rate of interest prevailing on April 8, 1922, would amount to \$1,789,732 on an average maturity of seventeen years, which is an absolute loss to the City; and

Whereas, by granting options the City has everything to lose and nothing to gain, as under previous options granted by the City such options were never exercised if the market conditions were not in favor of the grantees; therefore be it

Resolved, That the City Treasurer be requested to furnish the following information:

1. At what time was the option given by the City and County of San Francisco to the Anglo & London Paris National Bank for the purchase of City and County bonds?

2. At what date was the option exercised?

3. What was the total moneys obtained for the bonds?

4. What were the maturities on hand when the option was granted on these bonds?

5. What were the maturities of the bonds that were delivered under the option?

6. What was the total amount expended by the City up to May 1, 1922, out of the receipts of these bonds?

7. How much interest does the City obtain from the reinvestment of these bonds in United States Government bonds, and what is the difference between that investment and what the City has to pay as interest on said bonds?

Resolved, That the Finance Committee furnish the following information:

1. When did the City Engineer request the sale of bonds for meeting the necessary payments in connection with the Hetch Hetchy construction work?

2. What were the reasons given to the Finance Committee by the City Engineer as to why the money should be made available immediately and

why such a large block of bonds should be sold? And be it further

Resolved, That the City Attorney is hereby directed to prepare a charter amendment which will prohibit the City and County of San Francisco from granting any option on the sale of bonds or property.

Adopted under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McShoeby, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

**Condemnation Proceedings for Land for Corporation Yard.**

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 19958 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following properties, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the center line of Twelfth street, if extended and produced southeasterly, with the southeasterly line of Harrison street, and running thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of Harrison street to a point distant 30 feet at right angles northeasterly from the center line of Twelfth street produced southeasterly; thence southeasterly 98.9 feet parallel with, and distant 30 feet at right angles northeasterly from, the center line of Twelfth street produced southeasterly; thence southeasterly on a curve to the right of 388.4-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, a distance of 125.6 feet; thence southeasterly, tangent to the preceding curve, a distance of 87.8 feet; thence southeasterly on a curve to the right of 388.1-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, a distance of 7.5 feet to a point on the northerly boundary line of property of the City and County of San Francisco, distant thereon 172.8 feet westerly from the southwesterly line of Eleventh street; thence westerly along said northerly boundary line 11.7 feet to an angle point in said boundary line; thence southwesterly along said northerly boundary line 50.1 feet to a point distant 60 feet at right angles southwesterly from the fourth course in this description; thence northwesterly parallel with said fourth course and distant 60 feet at right angles southwesterly therefrom a distance of 87.4 feet; thence northwesterly on a curve to the left of 328.4-

foot radius, tangent to the preceding course and concentric with the curve forming the third course of this description, a distance of 106.1 feet; thence northeasterly tangent to the preceding curve and parallel with the center line of Twelfth street, produced southeasterly, and distant 30 feet at right angles therefrom, a distance of 100.6 feet, to the southeasterly line of Harrison street; thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of Harrison street to the point of beginning; being portion of Mission Block 46. Be it further

Resolved, That said property is suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit, that said land is required for the extension of the Corporation Yards of the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use. The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said tract of land, and of any and all interests therein, or claims thereto, for the condemnation thereof for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

**Amendment to Fireworks Ordinance.**

Supervisor Powers presented:

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled, "Prohibiting the storage of fireworks, etc."

*Referred to the Fire Committee.*

**Diagonal Street in Potrero.**

*Supervisor Schmitz* brought up the question of the proposed diagonal street in the Potrero district and was informed by Supervisor Mulvihill that the matter had been considered by the Streets Committee and referred to the "City Engineer's office with the understanding they would take it up with the Finance Committee and that an appropriation be made in the budget toward defraying the cost of the work".

Whereupon, *Supervisor McGregor* moved, and it was *carried*, that "the City Engineer be requested to make an investigation of the general situation relative to the opening of the pro-

posed diagonal street between Rhode Island, Carolina, Twentieth and Twenty-second streets, particularly as to the feasibility of improving that district by making it a part of the general boulevard system; ascertaining what part of the cost might reasonably be borne by those who live in the vicinity, and what remaining balance will be required to be made up out of the general funds of the city by direct taxation.

**Death of John R. Hanify and Edwin A. Christensen.**

*Supervisor McGregor* called attention to the deplorable accident in the Bay of San Francisco in which John R. Hanify and Edwin A. Christensen lost their lives. Supervisor McGregor expressed deep regret at the untimely death of these men who, he said, filled prominent places in the public and business life of our city and whose loss will be keenly felt by the whole community which recognized in them successful business men and citizens of sterling qualities.

*Supervisor McGregor* moved that when this Board adjourns it do so out of respect to the memory of the deceased.

*Motion adopted unanimously by rising vote.*

**Countess Markievicz Presented.**

*Supervisor Morgan* presented the Countess Markievicz, the Irish "Joan of Arc," who was Minister of Labor in President Eamonn de Valera's cabinet and second in command of the Irish Republican Army.

*Countess Markievicz* addressed the Board and declared that her purpose in touring the United States was to off-set British propaganda in the United States as to the real situation in Ireland. "We stand for a united Ireland," she said, "the continued reports in this country of dissension among the Irish people are highly exaggerated and are propaganda of the British government. The people of Ireland want complete freedom, have always wanted it and have fought for it seven hundred years."

*Supervisor Hayden*, being called upon, extended a hearty welcome to Countess Markievicz and hoped that her stay in San Francisco would be pleasant and fruitful of success in the cause she represented.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 6 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk.

MONDAY, MAY 8, 1922.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors July 10, 1922.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, May 15, 1922.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY

77 Sutter Street, S. F.

J

I  
dis  
reg

ins  
Ha  
Mc  
Se  
Ro

set  
the  
pr  
ap  
r.c

re  
St  
an  
Mi  
Tw  
Jo

ph  
ch  
Co  
ve  
re  
to  
an  
pe

q  
ch  
ch  
no  
fr

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MAY 15, 1922, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, May 15, 1922, 2 p. m.  
The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Rossi, Scott—3.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor McLeran was called to the chair

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was *laid over for approval* until next meeting.

### ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

#### Improved Lighting, Mission Street.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

*Communication*—From Mission Street Merchants' Association, requesting that the 300-watt street lights on Mission street between Sixteenth and Twenty-sixth streets be increased to 500-watt.

*Referred to Lighting Committee.*

#### Convention of Fire Chiefs.

*Communication*—From Thos. R. Murphy, Chief of the Fire Department and chairman of General Arrangements Committee, Twenty-ninth Annual Convention of Pacific Coast Fire Chiefs, requesting an appropriation of \$3,500 for the entertainment of fire chiefs and their families during convention period, August 9-18, 1922, in this city.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

#### Amendment, Zoning Ordinance.

Supervisor Deasy presented:

*Petition*—Of J. B. King and others requesting that no construction on Pacific avenue between Laguna and Buchanan streets be permitted which is not built at least twelve feet back from the property line.

*Referred to City Planning Commission.*

#### Days of '49 Committee.

*Communication*—From his Honor the Mayor advising that he has appointed the entire membership of the Board of Supervisors to represent San Francisco at the coming "Days of '49 Celebration" at Sacramento, May 23-28, 1922.

*Read and ordered filed.*

#### Hetch Hetchy Conduit in Redwood City.

*Communication*—From W. A. Price, City Clerk, Redwood City, transmitting copy of Ordinance No. 226 granting permission to San Francisco to construct and maintain water conduits across Circle street in Redwood City in connection with the Hetch Hetchy water supply project and prescribing the terms and conditions of said permit.

*Read and ordered filed.*

#### Report of City Planning Commission.

May 15, 1922.

To the Board of Supervisors:

The City Planning Commission, to which was referred the several applications to amend the Zoning Ordinance herein set forth, reports thereon as follows:

That the following applications be approved:

To change the Zoning Ordinance so as to place both sides of Gough street between Fulton and Gorge streets in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

To change the north side of O'Farrell street between Divisadero and Scott streets in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

That the following applications be denied:

To change Birch street at the northeast corner of Buchanan street from the Second residential District to the Commercial District.

To change westerly side of Potrero avenue between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets from the Second Residential District to the Light Industrial District.

Respectfully submitted,

CITY PLANNING COMMISSION.

By H. A. MASON, Secretary.

## REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.

The following committees, by their respective chairmen, presented reports on various matters referred, which reports were read and ordered *filed*:

Supplies Committee, by Supervisor Rossi, chairman.

Fire Committee, by Supervisor Deasy, chairman.

Streets Committee, by Supervisor Mulvihill, chairman.

## Duboce Tunnel Report.

The Clerk presented:

*Communication*—From the City Engineer, submitting proposed resolution of intention for the construction of the Duboce tunnel; also cross-section maps.

*Supervisor Shannon* moved that the report on the Duboce tunnel be referred to the Public Utilities and Lands and Tunnels Committee.

*Motion carried.*

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

## Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

## Authorizations.

Resolution No. 19959 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(1) Utah Construction Co., thirtieth payment, construction of dam and appurtenances, Contract 61, Hetch Hetchy water construction (claim dated May 4, 1922), \$239,863.61.

(2) Construction Company of North America, seventh payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels, Contract 77-C (claim dated May 3, 1922), \$24,545.45.

(3) Pacific Metal Works, brass and bronze furnished (claim dated May 22, 1922), \$521.28.

(4) Firestone Tire & Rubber Co., tires and tubes (claim dated April 28, 1922), \$528.10.

(5) Chas. R. McCormick Lumber Co., lumber furnished (claim dated April 28, 1922), \$533.49.

(6) Ames, Harris, Neville Co., tents, etc. (claim dated May 2, 1922), \$538.52.

(7) P. H. Reardon, air receiver, hose, etc. (claim dated May 2, 1922), \$543.99.

(8) Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co., soft steel (claim dated May 2, 1922), \$648.27.

(9) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated May 2, 1922), \$691.25.

(10) Union Petroleum Co., oil supplies (claim dated April 28, 1922), \$803.07.

(11) Fred L. Hilmer Co., supplies (claim dated April 28, 1922), \$874.62.

(12) The Utah Construction Co., supplies and labor furnished, clearing camp No. 3 (claim dated May 2, 1922), \$911.58.

(13) Virden Packing Co., supplies (claim dated May 2, 1922), \$952.36.

(14) M. M. O'Shaugnessy, Hetch Hetchy transportation charges, per vouchers (claim dated May 2, 1922), \$981.22.

(15) Krogh Pump & Machinery Co., one centrifugal pump (claim dated May 2, 1922), \$1,043.96.

(16) William Cluff Co., supplies (claim dated May 2, 1922), \$1,370.10.

(17) Ingersoll-Rand Co., machine parts (claim dated May 2, 1922), \$1,424.21.

(18) Standard Oil Co., Inc., gasoline (claim dated April 28, 1922), \$1,488.52.

(19) A. S. Camerson Steam Pump Works, one turbine pump (claim dated May 2, 1922), \$1,625.

(20) Sierra Railway Co. of Cal., car service (claim dated April 28, 1922), \$1,809.78.

(21) Chas. R. McCormick Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated May 2, 1922), \$1,925.47.

(22) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated April 28, 1922), \$2,747.15.

(23) Joshua Hendy Iron Works, four gate valves (claim dated April 28, 1922), \$3,596.40.

(24) Hercules Powder Co., gelatin powder, etc. (claim dated April 28, 1922), \$4,786.82.

(25) Crucible Steel Co., drill steel (claim dated April 28, 1922), \$11,944.95.

*Municipal Railway Fund.*

(26) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., steel brake shoes (claim dated May 1, 1922), \$1,176.29.

*Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.*

(27) Dorothy Vogelsang, payment in full settlement and satisfaction of Superior Court Action No. 121375, being claim for damages on account of injuries sustained (claim dated May 1, 1922), \$1,585.

(28) Standard Underground Cable Co., first payment, cables and splicing materials, Section A and B, Contract 129 (claim dated May 5, 1922), \$28,785.46.

*County Road Fund.*

(29) Eaton & Smith, sixth payment, improvement of Point Lobos avenue from Great Highway to Forty-eighth avenue (claim dated May 5, 1922), \$24,636.86.

*Special School Tax, 1921-1922.*

(30) John Reid, Jr., third payment, architectural services Horace Mann School (claim dated May 3, 1922), \$2,401.78.

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(31) Alex Coleman, third payment,

plumbing, North Beach (Galileo) High School (claim dated May 3, 1922), \$4,290.45.

(32) Emil Hogberg, third payment, brick and terra cotta work, Spring Valley School (claim dated May 3, 1922), \$5,705.25.

(33) G. P. W. Jensen, third payment, general construction, Crocker Amazon School (claim dated May 3, 1922), \$7,053.75.

(34) C. Peterson Co., second payment, heating and ventilating, North Beach (Galileo High School) (claim dated May 3, 1922), \$5,812.50.

*General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(35) Union Oil Co. of Cal., asphalt, Dept. Public Works (claim dated May 1, 1922), \$704.93.

(36) Southern Pacific Co., freight on two surface heaters (claim dated April 27, 1922), \$741.77.

(37) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement, Dept. Public Works (claim dated April 27, 1922), \$3,273.

(38) Union Oil Co. of Cal., fuel oil and asphalt, Dept. Public Works (claim dated May 1, 1922), \$4,147.69.

(39) Clinton Construction Co., fourth payment, construction of Section "C" of Ocean Beach Esplanade (claim dated May 5, 1922), \$11,175.

(40) San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding etc., of animals (claim dated May 8, 1922), \$1,000.

(41) Neal, Stratford & Kerr, printing (claim dated May 8, 1922), \$845.50.

(42) Wilcox & Co., printing (claim dated May 8, 1922), \$640.75.

(43) Buckley & Curtin, printing (claim dated May 8, 1922), \$600.10.

(44) Pierce-Arrow Pacific Sales Co., one 7-passenger Pierce-Arrow touring car, with extras, for Board of Supervisors, less allowance \$1,000 for Winton car (claim dated May 8, 1922), \$6,454.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Rossi, Scott—3.

**Appropriations, Land for School Purposes.**

Resolution No. 19960 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1, fiscal year 1921-1922, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for lands required for school purposes, to-wit:

To Margaret M. Mitchell, for lot of land situate and commencing on northerly line of Hayes street, distant thereon 125 feet easterly from northerly corner of Hayes and Cole streets, 25 x 137½ feet; and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 19922 (New Se-

ries); required for Andrew Jackson School, \$3,500.

To Cox Estate Company, for lot of land situate and commencing on northerly line of Washington street, distant thereon 112½ feet westerly from westerly line of Hyde street, 25 x 137½ feet; and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 19923 (New Series); required for Spring Valley School, \$5,500.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Rossi, Scott—3.

**Appropriations, Hetch Hetchy Construction.**

Resolution No. 19961 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910, for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For cost of furnishing and delivering four 25,000-horsepower wheel units for Moccasin Creek power plant, under contract 79-A, awarded to Pelton Water Wheel Co., \$248,800.

(2) For cost of furnishing and delivering electric generators and exciters for the Moccasin Creek power plant, under contract 80, awarded to General Electric Co., \$260,285.

(3) For cost of furnishing and delivering eight 36-inch valves for Moccasin Creek power plant, under contract 79-B, awarded to Coffin Valve Co., \$37,580.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Rossi, Scott—3.

**Appropriations, Taraval Extension of Municipal Railway.**

Resolution No. 19962 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For construction of the Taraval street line of Municipal Railway from Thirty-third to Forty-eighth avenues, including possible bonus of \$500, engineering inspection and possible extras, \$3,214.50 (Eaton & Smith contract), \$46,000.

(2) For cost of installing concrete trolley poles and electrical conductors on Taraval street extension of Municipal Railway, from Thirty-third to

Forty-eighth avenues, including possible bonus of \$100, inspection, engineering, etc., of \$500 (Continental Construction Co. contract), \$8,878.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Rossi, Scott—3.

Appropriation, \$3,500, Publicity and Advertising, "Days of '49" Celebration at Sacramento.

Resolution No. 19963 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$3,500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of General Fund, 1921-1922, for publicity and advertising San Francisco in "Days of '49" celebration at Sacramento, California, May 23-28, 1922.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Rossi, Scott—3.

#### Permits.

Resolution No. 19964 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

#### *Transfer Public Garage.*

To J. G. Garcia and Charles J. Hinze, permit granted by Resolution No. 17348 (New Series) to P. J. Reilly for premises situate north side of Ellis street, 122½ feet west of Larkin street (Nos. 730-742 Ellis street).

#### *Automobile Supply Station.*

Union Oil Co. of California, at southeast corner of Folsom and Twenty-fourth streets; also to store 1200 gallons of gasoline on premises.

#### *Oil Storage Tank.*

M. A. Little, on east side of Shannon street, 60 feet south of Geary street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. Broussal, at 5045 California street, 600 gallons capacity.

Estelle B. Lippitt, on north side Pacific avenue, 135 feet west of Fillmore street, 600 gallons capacity.

#### *Boiler.*

Pig'n Whistle Corp., at 33 Powell street, 30-horsepower.

Indigo Bluing Co., at 2604 Eighth street, 8-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers,

Robb, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Rossi, Scott—3.

#### Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 19965 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Albert A. Rhine, to maintain a public garage (2-story building) on the west side of Third street, 137½ feet north of Folsom street; also to store 1200 gallons of gasoline on premises.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit becomes null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent — Supervisor McSheehy, Rossi, Scott—3.

#### Set-Back Line Ordinance.

Bill No. 6044, Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), as follows:

An ordinance describing the method of procedure for establishing set-back lines in the First and Second Residential Districts in the City and County of San Francisco, and penalty for violation of ordinance establishing such lines.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Proceedings for the establishment of set-back line or lines along any street or streets, or any portion of a street, in the City and County of San Francisco, may be initiated and conducted as in this ordinance provided.

A petition signed by the owners of the majority of frontage on one or both sides of the street or streets, or any portion of a street in a First or Second Residential District along which such set-back line or lines are sought to be established may be filed with the City Planning Commission, proposing the establishment of such set-back line or lines. Said petition shall designate the street or streets or portion of street along which such set-back line or lines are proposed and shall be accompanied by a map or sketch showing said street or streets or portion of street and lot lines and the proposed set-back line or lines and the distance thereof from the street line.

The City Planning Commission, if satisfied that the proposed set-back line or lines should be in whole or in part or in modified form established, shall so report to the Board of Supervisors with the recommendation that such set-back line or lines be estab-

lished as proposed or as modified by the Commission.

The City Planning Commission, without any petition therefor being filed, may of its own motion file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors its recommendation that certain set-back line or lines be established along one or both sides of any street or streets or portion of street in a First or Second Residential District. Such recommendation shall be accompanied by a map or sketch similar to that required in the case of petition filed with the Commission by property holders.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors shall promptly consider any recommendation so made by the City Planning Commission, and whenever public health, safety, convenience, interest or welfare may so require, said Board is hereby authorized and empowered to determine the minimum distance back from the street line for the erection of buildings or structures along any street or portion thereof, in a First or Second Residential District in the City and County of San Francisco, and to order the establishment of a line, to be known and designated as a set-back line, between which line and the street line no building or structure shall be erected or placed.

Section 3. Before ordering the establishment of any set-back line authorized by Section 2 the Board of Supervisors shall pass a resolution of intention so to do, designating the set-back line or lines proposed to be established, which resolution shall be published twice in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco, and two copies of said resolution shall be posted conspicuously at least 100 feet apart upon the side of each street in front of each block of any street along which such set-back line is proposed to be established. Said resolution shall contain also a notice of the day, hour and place when and where any and all persons having any objection to the establishment of the proposed set-back line or lines may appear before said Board and present any objections which they may have to said proposed set-back line or lines as set forth in said resolution of intention. Said time of hearing shall not be less than fifteen days nor more than thirty days from the date of the adoption of the resolution of intention, and said publication and posting of said resolution shall be made at least ten days before the time of said hearing.

Section 4. After the adoption of said resolution of intention and prior to the time the ordinance establishing a set-back line or lines in such proceeding becomes effective no permit shall be issued for the erection of any building or structure between any proposed set-

back line and the street line, and any permit so issued shall be void.

Section 5. At any time not later than the hour set for hearing objections and protests to the establishment of the proposed set-back line or lines any person having any interest in any land upon which any set-back line is proposed to be established may file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors a written protest or objection against the establishment of such set-back line or lines designated in the resolution of intention.

Such protest must be in writing and be delivered to said Clerk not later than the hour set for said hearing, and no other protests or objections shall be considered. All protestants may appear before the Board at said hearing, either in person or by counsel, and be heard in support of their protests or objections. At the time set for hearing, or at any time to which the hearing may be continued, the Board shall proceed to hear and pass upon all protests and objections so made, and its decision shall be final and conclusive.

Said Board shall have power and jurisdiction to sustain any protest or objection and abandon said proceeding, or to deny any and all protests or objections and order, by ordinance, the establishment of said set-back line or lines described in the resolution of intention, or to order the same established with such changes or modifications as the Board may deem proper.

Section 6. From and after the taking effect of such ordinance establishing any set-back line or lines, it shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation, to construct or place any building, wall, fence or other structure within the space between a street line and the set-back line so established, and the Board of Public Works shall refuse to issue any permit for any building or structure to be erected or placed on such space. The word "structure" as used herein shall not be deemed to include any coping, a fence not higher than three feet, retaining wall, walk or stairway leading to a building.

Section 7. Wherever the word "street" occurs herein it shall be held to include all streets, avenues, boulevards, highways or other public ways in the City and County of San Francisco, which have been or may hereafter be dedicated and open to public use.

Section 8. Any person, firm or corporation violating any of the provisions of any ordinance establishing any set-back line or lines, pursuant to this ordinance, shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and, upon conviction thereof, shall be punished by fine of not more than \$500 or by imprison-

ment in the County Jail for a period not more than six months, or by both said fine and imprisonment.

Each person, firm or corporation shall be deemed guilty of a separate offense for each day during any portion of which any violation of such ordinance is permitted, continued or committed by such person, firm or corporation, and shall be punished therefor as provided in this ordinance.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Hynes, McGregor, ET Leran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Rossi, Scott—3.

#### Amending Zoning Ordinance.

Bill No. 6045, Ordinance No. 5637 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled, "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings and the location of buildings designed for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the use of property zone map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the block bounded by Presidio avenue, Clay, Walnut and Sacramento streets, excepting the portion thereof now in the commercial district, in the second residential district instead of in the first residential district.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Rossi, Scott—3.

#### Plans, Etc., Playgrounds, James Lick School.

Bill No. 6046, Ordinance No. 5638 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for and the improvement of the playground adjoining the James Lick School at Clipper and Noe streets, authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said work in accordance with said plans and specifications so prepared and permitting progressive payments to be made during the progress of construction.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for and to enter into contract for the improvement of the playground adjoining the James Lick School at Clipper and Noe streets, in accordance with said plans and specifications so prepared and approved.

Section 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for the said work, conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications and as provided by Section 21, Chapter I, Article VI, of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Rossi, Scott—3.

#### Action Deferred.

The following resolution laid over from last meeting was taken up, and again *laid over one week*:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors to Louis Wood to maintain a one-story garage on the south side of Fulton street, 500 gallons of gasoline on premises.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit becomes null and void.

#### REPORT OF FINANCE COMMITTEE.

Demands on the Treasury amounting to \$487,563.90, including the following Urgent Necessity, were presented and *approved* by the following vote:

##### *Urgent Necessity.*

Sabina M. Churchill, compensation insurance, \$92.25.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Rossi, Scott—3.

#### NEW BUSINESS.

##### Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

##### Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McLeran: Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter

mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

*Library Fund.*

(1) San Francisco News Co., library books (claim dated Apr. 30, 1922), \$1,860.16.

(2) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Apr. 30, 1922), \$4,474.17.

(3) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Apr. 30, 1922), \$4,600.54.

*Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.*

(4) Billie Nelson, settlement of claim and judgment by Superior Court against Municipal Railways (claim dated May 6, 1922), \$1,102.30.

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(5) J. E. O'Mara, fourth payment, plumbing and heating in addition to McKinley School (claim dated May 10, 1922), \$1,526.25.

(6) O. Monson, third payment, general construction, Spring Valley School (claim dated May 10, 1922), \$4,882.50.

*Special School Tax, 1921-1922.*

(7) John Reid, Jr., second payment, architectural services, Andrew Jackson School (claim dated May 10, 1922), \$2,800.

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(8) Haas Bros., groceries, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$542.90.

(9) Western Meat Co., meats (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$670.54.

(10) The White Co., White truck equipment (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$711.77.

(11) Pioneer Rubber Mills, belting (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$1,096.34.

(12) Ingersoll-Rand Co., machine parts (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$1,159.08.

(13) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Groveland Revolving Fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$1,233.12.

(14) Associated Oil Co., gasoline (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$1,336.40.

(15) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., mazda lamps, etc. (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$1,646.61.

(16) Wm. Cluff Co., groceries (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$1,622.48.

(17) West Side Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$2,370.63.

(18) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., electric current (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$2,565.20.

(19) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, miscellaneous expense, per vouchers (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$2,590.78.

(20) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$3,456.25.

(21) Baumgarten Bros., meats (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$3,466.78.

(22) Anglo-California Trust Co., rails, bars, etc. (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$5,664.01.

*Park Fund.*

(23) Geo. McHugh, clay furnished parks (claim dated May 12, 1922), \$925.08.

(24) Spring Valley Water Co., water for parks (claim dated May 12, 1922), \$1,247.99.

*General Fund, 1920-1921.*

(25) E. J. Treacy, fifth payment, widening of Divisadero street from Haight to Sacramento street (claim dated May 12, 1922), \$3,771.07.

(26) Boy's Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$978.72.

(27) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$2,750.38.

(28) Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$1,641.64.

(29) Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$758.59.

(30) St. Vincent's School maintenance of minors (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$1,540.

(31) St. Mary's Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$560.

(32) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$9,141.92.

(33) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$17,443.54.

(34) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$3,131.

(35) St. Catherine's Training Home, maintenance of inmates at Magdalen Asylum (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$664.76.

(36) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electricity, Fire Dept. (claim dated Apr. 29, 1922), \$1,318.61.

(37) Spring Valley Water Co., water, Fire Dept. (claim dated Apr. 29, 1922), \$1,822.69.

(38) Union Oil Co., gasoline, etc., Fire Dept. (claim dated Apr. 29, 1922), \$1,794.50.

(39) Union Oil Co., fuel oil, Fire Dept. (claim dated Apr. 29, 1922), \$1,006.21.

(40) California School for Girls, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$549.64.

(41) California School for Girls, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$532.26.

(42) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$581.92.

(43) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$599.32.

(44) California Baking Co., bread,

County Jails (claim dated May 1, 1922), \$573.61.

(45) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated May 12, 1922), \$8,380.31.

(46) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated May 12, 1922), \$11,099.90.

(47) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated May 12, 1922), \$944.93.

(48) J. H. McCallum, lumber, Department of Public Works (claim dated May 5, 1922), \$641.32.

(49) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement, Department of Public Works (claim dated May 5, 1922), \$945.36.

(50) Spring Valley Water Co., water, public buildings (claim dated May 9, 1922), \$1,287.76.

(51) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated May 10, 1922), \$2,873.68.

(52) California Meat Co., meats, Relief Home (claim dated April 30, 1922), \$536.73.

(53) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs, Relief Home (claim dated April 30, 1922), \$530.58.

(54) C. Nauman Co., vegetables, Relief Home (claim dated April 30, 1922), \$507.22.

(55) Producers Hay Co., feed, Relief Home (claim dated April 30, 1922), \$1,132.36.

(56) Sherry Bros., butter, etc., Relief Home (claim dated April 30, 1922), \$593.21.

(57) Greenebaum, Weil & Michaels, dry goods, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1922), \$709.20.

(58) Herbert F. Dugan, drugs, S. F. Hospital (claim dated April 30, 1922), \$1,847.69.

(59) Wm. Cluff Co., groceries, S. F. Hospital (claim dated April 29, 1922), \$997.54.

(60) Hooper & Jennings, groceries, S. F. Hospital (claim dated April 29, 1922), \$1,115.72.

(61) Haas Bros., groceries, S. F. Hospital (claim dated April 29, 1922), \$650.44.

(62) Miller & Lux, meats, S. F. Hospital (claim dated April 29, 1922), \$1,115.06.

(63) California Meat Co., meats, S. F. Hospital (claim dated April 29, 1922), \$520.46.

(64) Sherry Bros., butter, etc., S. F. Hospital (claim dated April 29, 1922), \$825.40.

(65) Oliva Bros., fruit, etc., S. F. Hospital (claim dated April 29, 1922), \$764.02.

(66) C. Nauman & Co., vegetables, S. F. Hospital (claim dated April 29, 1922), \$724.

(67) Zellerbach Paper Co., paper supplies, S. F. Hospital (claim dated April 29, 1922), \$510.90.

(68) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs, S. F. Hospital (claim dated April 29, 1922), \$1,120.73.

(69) S. F. Dairy Co., milk, S. F. Hospital (claim dated April 29, 1922), \$2,797.30.

(70) Associated Oil Co., fuel oil, S. F. Hospital (claim dated April 29, 1922), \$3,115.71.

(71) Spring Valley Water Co., water for hospitals (claim dated April 29, 1922), \$1,024.34.

(72) San Francisco Chronicle, official advertising (claim dated May 10, 1922), \$1,377.61.

(73) The Recorder Printing & Publishing Co., printing, etc., Law and Motion Calendars, etc. (claim dated May 15, 1922), \$665.

#### Appropriations.

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 19966 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purpose, to-wit:

*Street Work in Front of City Property, Budget Item 45.*

(1) For City's portion of cost of improving crossing of Thirty-seventh and Forty-second avenues with Balboa street, on account of school property, \$272.46.

(2) For City's portion of cost of improving Ulloa street between Twenty-seventh and Thirty-fourth avenues, account of school property, \$435.89.

*City Planning, Budget Item No. 59.*

(3) For construction and installation of counter with drawers and lockers in room 236, City Hall, City Planning Commission, \$250.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Rossi, Scott—3.

#### Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Appropriation, \$20,000, Repairs to School Buildings.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$20,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1, fiscal year 1921-1922, for repairs to school buildings.

Appropriation, \$50,000, Land at Bay View.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$50,000 be

and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "For Purchase of Land at Bay View," etc., Budget Item No. 72, fiscal year 1921-1922, for payment for lands at Bay View upon which condemnation proceedings for the purchase of same have been authorized by Resolution No. 19920 (New Series).

Appropriation, \$6,393. Hardware, Mission High School.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$6,393 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918, for purchase of finish hardware for Mission High School addition (Palace Hardware Co. award).

#### Irrigation District Agreement.

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 19967 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has recommended that the City of San Francisco enter into an agreement with the Modesto and Turlock Irrigation Districts covering certain points of conflict between the Don Pedro reservoir project of said districts and the Hetch Hetchy project of the City and County of San Francisco, and

Whereas, it appears to the best interests of the City and County of San Francisco that such an agreement be made, with the view of securing the necessary protection to the Hetch Hetchy project and at the same time preserving amicable relations with the Modesto and Turlock Irrigation Districts; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco enter into an agreement with said irrigation districts embodying the following provisions, as recommended by the City Engineer:

1. A new steel bridge and reconstructed grade approaches are to be built across Sixbit Gulch at such an elevation as will enable the Hetch Hetchy Railroad to be entirely above the flood line of the proposed Don Pedro reservoir. The contract for construction of such bridge is to be let by the City and County of San Francisco, and the same built to its satisfaction; provided, that if the bids for such construction are too high in the opinion of the engineers for the districts, the districts shall have the option of constructing the same by force account under the direction of the City Engineer; in either event, the entire cost of this bridge to be paid by the irrigation districts, except that the City and County of San Francisco will contribute \$6,300 toward its construction, being the present worth of the replacement cost of the existing wooden

structure over the life of the new steel structure, using a 5% interest rate.

2. Such of the fills on the railroad line in the Tuolumne Canyon as the City Engineer deems it necessary to protect, after a joint inspection with the districts' engineers, are to be ripped at the districts' expense.

3. The present opening in the base of the Don Pedro dam, about 20 feet wide by 40 feet high, is to be left open and unobstructed so as to permit the river to flow through the dam until such time as the foregoing work is completed and paid for by the districts.

4. The districts will give the City a guarantee in writing against any damage or loss to the City's structures, or resulting from interruption of traffic on the railroad, due to the flooding, undermining or washing of bridges, fills or other structures, and if any such loss occurs, will immediately reimburse the City therefor.

5. If the districts elect to install gates in the spillway of the Don Pedro dam, they are not to be raised during the period between December 1 of each year and May 15 of the following year, or during other periods of extraordinary floods.

6. The City will permit the districts to flood such patented land as it owns within their reservoir site at Red Mountain Bar, provided, that the districts will lower the reservoir flow line at a point below this land at such time as the City desires to construct the Red Mountain Bar crossing of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct.

7. The City is to have the right to construct the Hetch Hetchy pipe line across all of the irrigation canals and laterals in the Modesto Irrigation District without objection by the district, and to make such reconstruction in those canals as may be necessary so as to pass the Hetch Hetchy pipe line over, under, or through them.

8. The districts shall permit the City to construct a power house at such time as it desires on the south bank of the Tuolumne River at Red Mountain Bar on the line of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct.

The City Attorney and Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy project are hereby authorized and directed to draft an agreement with the Modesto and Turlock Irrigation Districts embodying the foregoing terms and conditions, and the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute the same in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Rossi, Scott—3.

#### Auditor to Cancel Assessments.

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 19968 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Auditor be directed to cancel the following assessments reported by the Tax Collector to be duplicates, viz.:

Vol. 3, Page 233, Block 480, Lot 6B, Bill No. 3196, assessed to B. K. Taylor, A. Lewis and M. Boyd in the sum of \$750. For duplicate see Vol. 3, Page 233, Block 480, Lot 6B, Bill No. 3195; Total tax, \$26.02.

Also, Vol. 35, Page 175, Block 6468A, Lot No. 21, Bill No. 2525, assessed to August Weihe Inv. Co. in the sum of \$80. For duplicate see Vol. 35, Page 175, Block 6468A, Lot No. 21, Bill No. 2524; total tax \$2.78.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Rossi, Scott—3.

#### Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

#### Garage, Boiler and Oil Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

#### Public Garage.

Joseph Pasqualitti (2-story building), on north side of Union street, 150 feet east of Franklin street; also to store 600 gallons of gasoline on premises.

#### Transfer Public Garage.

To G. W. Page, permit granted by Resolution No. 14176 (New Series) to M. O. Droit for premises situate 740 Valencia street.

To J. F. Ferriera, permit granted by Resolution No. 11795 (New Series) to W. N. McCaw for premises situate on west side of Third avenue, 100 feet south of Clement street (No. 327 Third avenue).

#### Boiler.

Lee-Greefkens Co., 4-horsepower, at 570 Folsom street.

#### Oil Storage Tank.

M. A. Hunt, on west side of Taylor street, 50 feet south of Post street, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. H. Curtaz, on west side of Shannon street, 80 feet south of Geary street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. Scheeline, at 3553 Clay street, 600 gallons capacity.

Alfred L. Wiel, at 3535 Clay street, 600 gallons capacity.

Louis Blum, on north side of Sutter street, 137 feet east of Larkin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. A. Hunt, on east side of Hyde street, 40 feet north of Geary street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Dr. Harry I. Wiel, at 3511 Clay street, 600 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

#### Denying Garage Permit.

Supervisor Deasy presented:

Resolution No. 19969 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied Joseph Markley to maintain and operate a public garage on the east side of Guerrero street, 100 feet south of Fifteenth street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Rossi, Scott—3.

#### Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

#### Ordering Street Work.

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill: Bill No. 6047, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 11, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County

of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Mars street* between the southerly curb line of *Seventeenth street* and a line at right angles with the westerly line of *Mars street* at a point 159.68 feet northerly from *Corbett avenue*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of two brick manholes with cast iron frames and covers and galvanized wrought iron steps; by the construction of one brick catchbasin with cast iron frame, grating and traps and 10-inch vitrified, salt-glazed, iron-stone pipe culvert; by the construction of a reinforced concrete retaining wall, including stairway and railing appertaining thereto; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stoie sidewalks; by the construction of a 14-foot central strip of vertical fiber brick pavement from a line at right angles to the easterly line of *Mars street* at a point 52.78 feet southerly from the second angle southerly from *Seventeenth street* to the existing pavement at the southerly termination of this improvement; by the construction of basalt block conform pavement at the intersection of *Seventeenth* and *Mars streets*, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the remainder of the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### Municipal Bus Line on Embarcadero.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors that it favors the establishment of a municipal bus line along The Embarcadero from Van Ness avenue to Third and Townsend streets, and that the Board of State Harbor Commissioners be requested to state the extent of its co-operation in the matter of the establishment and maintenance of said line.

#### Report of Engineer on Embarcadero Bus Line.

The following report was read and, on motion of Supervisor Hynes, made a part of the record:

Public Utilities Committee, Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Cal.—Gentlemen:

Your committee gave me a copy of

the report filed by Mr. Sydney W. Taylor, Jr., chairman of the special committee of the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce on Embarcadero passenger transportation. I have carefully gone over Mr. Taylor's report and find that he has covered the matter in a very thorough manner.

He has figured on the operation of the buses at a speed of 8.7 miles per hour. This speed may or may not be too high. With the amount of traffic which will be encountered on The Embarcadero, most of which is slow moving, it is possible that for a considerable number of hours during the day we cannot maintain a speed which, together with the necessary layovers to insure continuous operation on schedule time, will permit an average hourly speed of 8.7 miles. It is granted that during other hours of the day it would be possible to maintain a higher schedule. I therefore consider that 8.7 miles per hour could be used for this report.

I have carefully gone over the costs of operating Municipal Railway buses, using as far as possible actual figures taken from our own experience. We believe that we can operate nine buses on the basis of 756 miles per day for a cost of \$280 per day. At 5c per passenger this would require that we carry 5600 passengers in order to equal cost. At 10c per passenger it would require that we carry 2800 passengers. Neither of these figures contemplates the exchange of transfers with the street railway lines. From figures very carefully prepared in 1917 we came to the conclusion that the number of passengers which could be expected daily would not exceed 3500, and it was expected that 50 per cent of these would be handled on transfers. If we consider that at the present time the business has increased so as to warrant our planning on carrying 4000 passengers daily, 50 per cent to be carried on transfers and 50 per cent on cash fares, the amount earned would be \$150 a day, making a deficit of \$130 a day, or \$47,450 in a year. On the basis of the 10c fares the number of passengers would fall off very materially, so that it is doubtful if we would carry more than 2500 passengers daily. Half of these, or 1250 at 10c each, would produce a revenue of \$125, and the other half at 6c, figuring the cost of the transfer at 4c, would produce \$75, a total earning of \$200 per day, leaving a deficit of \$80 per day, or \$29,200 annually.

In his report Mr. Taylor says that the Harbor Commission indicated to him that they would carry \$18,000 of the annual deficit. I suggested to him that he arrange to have this matter presented to me in writing by the Harbor Commission. So far this assurance has not come to hand directly.

Even though this subsidy is granted, the loss under a 5c fare would be almost \$20,000 a year and under a 10c fare more than \$11,000 a year. Mr. Taylor indicates that this subsidy would be for a period of three years only. This period would be long enough, possibly, to establish the bus line so firmly as to make it impossible for us to discontinue the service when the burden of operating it became so heavy as to materially reduce the net revenue of the Municipal Railway.

Mr. Taylor's own figures, as set forth on page 7 of his report, indicate that it would be impossible to operate any kind of service on a 5c fare with transfers, with a net income to the railway. He intimates that with a 10c fare it might be possible to operate a single man bus with transfers on a 10-minute headway, in which case we would just about make actual expenses. This type of service, however, would not meet with general approval, and we would be forced to add additional equipment, and decrease the headway, causing the losses which I have already indicated.

I have gone over the waterfront, with the operation of this service in mind, and find that there are a number of places where the roadway is not in suitable condition for the operation of passenger-carrying automobile buses.

In view of these conditions I still must recommend against the establishment of this service on the waterfront.

Yours truly,

M. M. O'SHAUGHNESSY,  
City Engineer.

*Supervisor Hynes* asked that it be made a matter of record that he was in favor of a bus line on The Embarcadero, but not at a loss of \$40,000 to the City.

*Supervisor Morgan:* As a member of the Public Utilities Committee, I am in favor of a bus line on The Embarcadero, but I wish it understood my vote on that Committee did not carry with it that the City would be at a loss. The idea that my vote on the Public Utilities Committee meant that I was willing there should be a loss on that account is a mistake. I think every facility should be afforded the public, and if the Harbor Commissioners will give \$18,000 a year, why not let this Board make arrangements and let the State Harbor Board run the line?

*Supervisor McGregor:* I suggest that this resolution be referred to the Committee. The chairman has told us that in his judgment there will be a probable loss of \$9,000 or \$10,000 a year by the operation of this line. In view of the statement of the City Engineer as to the cost of this service,

and in view of the fact that a member of the Committee voted under a misunderstanding, and again as to the legal right of the Harbor Board to pay a portion of the deficit—these questions are very pertinent and I move the matter be referred back to the Committee for investigation and report.

*Supervisor McLeran:* A thought I have in mind is the proposition now confronting the Supervisors on the lighting of Market street. A few years ago the property owners on Market street agreed to pay \$36,000 for excess lighting, and they now claim they cannot and will not raise any more money. It might be that the same thing will happen with the Harbor Board. Whether they have the legal right to pay \$18,000 a year is something this Board ought to find out. I would suggest that the resolution be worded asking the Harbor Board what it will do, eliminating that part asking how far it will go, and we will see how far they can go.

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill the following resolution was read into the record by the Clerk:

**Accepting Proposal of State Harbor Commission to Pave Strip Adjacent to Sea Wall for the Operation by the City of Motor Buses.**

Resolution No. 13832 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the proposal made by the State Harbor Commission to pave a strip adjacent to the sea wall from Fisherman's Wharf to the southern end of said sea wall, and to give to the City exclusive right to operate motor buses over the same, be accepted by this Board, and upon the completion of said pavement the City and County will provide the necessary means of transportation.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

Whereupon, Messrs. Cook and Taylor, Chamber of Commerce Municipal Affairs Committee; Geo. Skaller, representing the Civic League; M. Davis, representing the Foreign Trade Club; Andrew J. Gallagher, representing the Southern Promotion Association, and Thos. C. Jordan, addressed the Board, favoring the proposed bus line.

Thereupon, Supervisor McLeran moved that the Clerk prepare a resolution requesting the Public Utilities Committee to confer with the Harbor Commissioners and the City Attorney and investigate upon what terms a bus service can be operated on The Embarcadero, and report back to this Board.

Motion carried.

**Passed for Printing.**

The following bill was passed for printing:

**Ordering Street Work.**

Also, Bill No. 6048, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 8, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeed installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Ingerson avenue between Griffith street and Gilroy street*, and the improvement of *Gilroy street between Ingerson avenue and Jamestown avenue*, by the construction of the following vitrified, salt-glazed, ironstone pipe sewers and appurtenances: a 12-inch with two brick manholes and seven Y branches along a line parallel with the easterly line of Ingerson avenue from the existing sewer on the center line of Griffith street at the northerly line of Ingerson avenue; thence southerly to a point on the center line of Ingerson avenue; a 12-inch with eight Y branches and one brick manhole from the last described point to the center line of Gilroy street produced; a 12-inch with ten Y branches and two lampholes along the center line of Gilroy street between

the center line of Ingerson avenue and the center line of Ignacio street; an 8-inch with two brick manholes and six Y branches along the center line of Gilroy street from the last described point to a point 50 feet northerly from Jamestown avenue; an 8-inch along the center line of Ignacio street between the easterly and center lines of Gilroy street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**Confirming Method of Assessment, Peru Avenue.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 19970 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the method of assessment for the improvement of Peru avenue between the easterly line of Lisbon street and the easterly line of Madrid street, including the crossing of Peru avenue and Madrid street, as described in Resolution of Intention No. 72611 (Second Series), determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No. 73048 (Second Series) be and the same is hereby confirmed.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Rossi, Scott—3.

**Passed for Printing.**

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

**Ordering Street Work.**

Also, Bill No. 6049, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 9, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and speci-

cations are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The method of assessment for said work and improvement determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No. 73048 (Second Series) is hereby confirmed.

The improvement of *Peru avenue between the easterly line of Lisbon street and the easterly line of Madrid street, including the crossing of Peru avenue and Madrid street*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of three brick catchbasins with cast iron frames, gratings and traps and 10-inch vitrified, salt-glazed, ironstone pipe culverts, one each on the southwest, southeast and northeast corners of Peru avenue and Madrid street; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners; by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway between the easterly line of Lisbon street and the westerly line of Madrid street, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadways of the crossings of Peru avenue and Madrid street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### Fixing Sidewalk Widths.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Bill No. 6050, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto new sections, to be numbered seven hundred and ninety-one, and seven hundred and ninety-four to eight hundred and one, inclusive.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this

office May 4, 1922, by adding thereto new sections, to be numbered seven hundred and ninety-one, and seven hundred and ninety-four to eight hundred and one, inclusive, to read as follows:

Section 791. The width of sidewalks on Forest Side avenue between Taraval street and Vicente street shall be eleven (11) feet.

Section 794. The width of sidewalks on Madrone avenue between Taraval street and Vicente street shall be eleven (11) feet.

Section 795. The width of sidewalks on Lenox way between Ulloa street and Allston way shall be eight (8) feet.

Section 796. The width of sidewalks on Ulloa street between Funston street and West Portal avenue shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 797. The width of sidewalks on Wawona street between Fifteenth avenue and Taraval street shall be eleven (11) feet.

Section 798. The width of sidewalks on Vicente street between Fourteenth avenue and Portola drive shall be eleven (11) feet.

Section 799. The width of sidewalks on Fourteenth avenue between Vicente street and Portola drive shall be eleven (11) feet.

Section 800. The width of sidewalks on Fifteenth avenue between Wawona street and Portola drive shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 801. The width of sidewalks on West Portal avenue between Ulloa street and Portola drive shall be Twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

#### Closing Portion of Railroad Avenue.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 19971 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved. That the public interest requires that a portion of Railroad avenue running southerly from Rodeo avenue 380 feet, more or less, be closed. Be it further

Resolved. That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close that portion of Railroad avenue southerly from Rodeo avenue as follows:

Beginning at a point on the south-easterly line of Rodeo avenue (formerly San Bruno avenue), distant thereon 43.03 feet southwesterly from the second angle point southerly from the southeasterly line of Third street (formerly Railroad avenue), as shown on the map of the Crocker Bay Shore Tract filed in the Hall of Records November 9, 1911, in Book "G" of Maps, pages 68-69, and running thence

southerly at an angle of 53 deg. 27 min. 30 sec. to the left along the westerly line of Railroad avenue 382.04 feet; thence at right angles easterly 60 feet to the easterly line of Railroad avenue; thence at right angles northerly along the easterly line of Railroad avenue 468.871 feet to a point in the southeasterly line of Rodeo avenue; thence southwesterly along the southeasterly line of Rodeo avenue 109.31 feet to the point of beginning.

Said closing shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter, as amended, and the sections of said chapter and article following said Section 2. Be it further

Resolved, That the damage cost and expense of said closing up of said part of Railroad avenue be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Rossi—2.

Fixing May 29, 1922, Hearing of Appeal, Balboa Street.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 19972 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Monday, May 29, 1922, at 3 p. m., is hereby fixed as the time for hearing the appeal of property owners from the assessment issued for the improvement of Balboa street from the westerly line of Thirty-third avenue to the westerly line of Forty-second avenue, including the crossings of Thirty-seventh, Thirty-eighth, Fortieth, Forty-first and Forty-second avenues with Balboa street, and excepting the crossings of Thirty-fourth, Thirty-fifth, Thirty-sixth and Thirty-ninth avenues with Balboa street, and Balboa street between Thirty-ninth and Fortieth avenues, etc.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Rossi, Scott—3.

Board of Public Works to Purchase Double-Track Electric Line Crossing.

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 19973 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works be and hereby is authorized to purchase from the Bethlehem Steel

Company one complete double-track electric line crossing, required at Columbus avenue and Powell street, for the sum of \$3,180, free alongside ship at San Francisco, pursuant to recommendation of the City Engineer.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Rossi, Scott—3.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

"Save the Surface" Campaign.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 19974 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Bay Counties "Save-the-Surface" Campaign, including seven counties of San Francisco Bay and the City of San Francisco, is to be held for two weeks beginning May 15; and,

Whereas, the object of this campaign is to clean-up and paint-up our city in anticipation of the arrival of Eastern Shriners here for their convention in June; and

Whereas, the greatest advertisement possible for our city is its neat, clean, attractive and beautiful appearance; therefore be it

Resolved, That we, the members of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, indorse this campaign as being of inestimable value to our city and call upon all persons to participate in it.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Rossi, Scott—3.

Death of Michael J. Brady.

Supervisor Bath presented:

Resolution No. 19975 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Michael J. Brady, one of San Francisco's pioneers, has passed away, leaving a record of twenty-seven years of faithful service in the Police Department; therefore

Resolved, That we tender the remaining members of his family the deep regret which we feel for the loss of a worthy citizen and faithful servant, and express our condolence to those who have just cause to mourn; that when this Board adjourns it does so in respect to his memory.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Extension of Time, W. J. Tobin.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 19976 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That W. J. Tobin is hereby granted an extension of thirty days' time from and after May 24, 1922, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Augusta street between San Bruno avenue and Silver avenue and the tributary sewers.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor was delayed because of the fact that the ground was full of water on account of the rains of winter time.

The work is well under way, two blocks having been completed.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, Mc-

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Bus Service on The Embarcadero.

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 19977 (New Series), as follows:

Requesting the Public Utilities Committee to confer with the Harbor Board and the City Attorney and investigate on what terms a bus service can be operated on The Embarcadero, and report back to the Board of Supervisors.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Auto Taxi Service for City.

Supervisor Schmitz presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco is paying thousands of dollars per year for automobiles for the different departments of our city government; and

Whereas, the cost per mile for operating said automobiles is estimated at thirty cents; and

Whereas, tentative bids have in the past been submitted offering to furnish automobile service for about ten cents per mile; therefore be it

Resolved, That the Supplies Committee of this Board of Supervisors be, and it is hereby requested to make an investigation as to the advisability of calling for bids from the different automobile and taxicab companies to

supply the City with automobile and taxicab service under a yearly contract; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Supplies Committee furnish this Board of Supervisors with a list of automobiles now being used by the City; the number in the different departments; and the cost thereof; also the estimated amount per mile that it costs to operate the same.

*Referred to Supplies Committee.*

Automatic Sewer Flushing System.

Supervisor Schmitz presented:

Resolution No. 19978 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Engineer be and he is hereby requested to investigate the automatic flushing system of sewers used in Los Angeles and report to this Board of Supervisors the advisability of using that system for flushing of our sewers.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

*(Supervisor Schmitz requested that foregoing resolution be sent to City Engineer.)*

District Attorney to Furnish Klu Klux Klan List.

Supervisor Schmitz moved that the District Attorney be requested to furnish a list of municipal employees belonging to the Klu Klux Klan.

*Motion carried.*

Auditorium Rental, Disabled Veterans.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 19979 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Disabled American Veterans, World War, be granted permission to occupy the Main Hall, Auditorium, May 18, 1922, 6 p. m. to 12 p. m., for the purpose of holding an athletic pageant consisting of boxing, wrestling and gymnastic features, rental fee having been paid to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Rule 43 Suspended.

On motion of Supervisor Scott Rule 43, prohibiting smoking in the Chambers, was *suspended* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

No—Supervisor Hynes—1.

# FINANCE COMMITTEE REPORT

---

## Budget Recommendations, 1922-1923

---

The following was presented by Supervisor McLeran:

San Francisco, May 15, 1922.

*To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco:*

Your Finance Committee herewith presents recommendation for Budget appropriation for the fiscal year 1922-1923, the total of which is \$24,741,578.

The tax rate to meet this total may be fixed at \$3.42, as against a \$3.47 rate for 1921-1922.

There are several compulsory increases in appropriations, particularly in Bond Interest and Redemption, School Maintenance, Park and Library. A substantial increase is also provided for Playgrounds, Police Department, Health Department and Fire Department; and provision is also made to meet a 20 per cent increase in the cost of Water Service, as required by the ruling of the State Railroad Commission.

### SCHOOL PROBLEMS.

The problem confronting the San Francisco School Department and the Board of Supervisors in financing urgent school needs is the most difficult of all municipal questions. The Committee went into the condition of the School Department in great detail, and had several prolonged conferences with the educational department.

The Budget contains a recommendation for School Construction, Lands, Plans, Maintenance, etc., of \$930,000. It is the judgment of the Committee that funds adequate for the maintenance of existing school property, and making full provision for the normal growth in school attendance should be provided annually in the tax rate, and the above-mentioned amount is set up accordingly.

It is apparent that the present needs of the San Francisco School Department for new buildings and purchase of lands cannot be met from current taxes. The condition is such that only a Bond Issue based upon a definite program will meet the present requirements of the San Francisco schools.

Because of the urgent and justified demands for more and adequate schools, there is no doubt that the tax rate, within any reasonable or legal limitations, will not be able to provide these necessities. Therefore, the Committee recommends that the Board of Education complete its survey of the school buildings and grounds; and formulate a comprehensive program for a Bond Issue which will, in the quickest and most economical manner, provide housing and equipment for taking care of the children—giving them the best possible educational opportunities.

### NEW RELIEF HOME NEEDED.

Attention is directed to the housing conditions prevailing at the Relief Home. We recommend a Bond Issue for providing new buildings. The buildings are old, overcrowded and dangerous. Your Finance Committee believes that provision should be made for reconstruction, but this expenditure cannot be provided in a tax rate; the sum required is too great to put in any one year's Budget. The need is so urgent and the hazard so great that the people ought to, and we believe would, authorize a Bond Issue sufficient to construct new buildings, and give better and safer living conditions to the dependent and helpless.

### CIVIC CENTER.

Continuing policy of former Boards of Supervisors, the Committee recommends an appropriation of \$100,000 for the Civic Center, so

that the plan of this great public attraction may be completed by doing a little each year; and when the time comes, if a bond election for other purposes is held, we recommend that funds sufficient to complete the Civic Center be included.

#### **ESPLANADE.**

Also, there is in the Budget an appropriation of \$150,000 for continuation of the construction of the Esplanade. Expenditures heretofore made for this beautiful improvement have met with universal approval. The appropriation suggested will carry on the work to a point recommended by the City Engineer, namely, to the Chalet on the Beach.

San Francisco's splendid Boulevard system is being developed and extended each year. It is urged that this work be continued, and that improvements be made from revenues derived from the Good Roads Fund. From the revenues to be received this year, we recommend the completion of the link connecting Lincoln Park and the Great Highway; also the completion of the Marina, Telegraph Hill and Sloat boulevards.

#### **TWENTY-FIVE ADDITIONAL POLICEMEN.**

Provision is made for twenty-five additional Policemen. The appointment of these additional officers is necessary. Traffic conditions require the presence of more officers on the streets and the equipping of the Department with motor service and these additional men will enable the Department to give better protection to life and property.

An additional appropriation is requested by the Board of Fire Commissioners, and on this urgent request of the Board the Committee has provided funds for the number of men required.

The Board of Park Commissioners earnestly urge the acquisition of lands for a Playground at the Great Highway, and, complying with that request, the Committee recommends an initial appropriation for the purchase of the lands designated, and, also, an appropriation is recommended for the purchase of land for park or playground activities adjacent to Golden Gate Park and the Polytechnic High School.

#### **INTEREST ON BONDS.**

It is necessary to provide a substantial amount for increased interest on Water Bonds. The monthly outlay for construction during the year has averaged about \$500,000. The City Engineer's program estimates that during the forthcoming fiscal year the expenditures will reach \$11,000,000, which includes payment of two million dollars plus for the completion of the Hetch Hetchy Dam. As these moneys are expended the revenues from investment funds are consequently reduced, and, as authorized by the vote of the people, the interest must be provided in the tax rate.

#### **STREET RECONSTRUCTION.**

The street reconstruction recommended in this Budget carries on the annual program of doing as much as possible each year. The streets designated for reconstruction require an appropriation of \$303,500. The estimates for cost of reconstructing streets, prepared by the Board of Public Works, totals more than two million dollars. It is obvious that all the work listed could not be done in one year, and therefore, with the advice and co-operation of the Street Committee, those streets most urgently needed are recommended for reconstruction.

Respectfully submitted,

RALPH McLERAN.  
JOHN A. MCGREGOR.  
ANGELO J. ROSSI.

# BUDGET

## FOR MUNICIPAL EXPENDITURES

### City and County of San Francisco, California

### For Fiscal Year 1922-1923

**BILL NO. 6051, ORDINANCE NO. — (New Series).**

An ordinance fixing and appropriating the aggregate sum of the items thereof allowed to each department, officer, board and commission for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1923, and making a budget of the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The aggregate sums and items thereof hereinafter set forth are hereby fixed, designated and appropriated for the respective purposes set opposite the same, to be expended during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1923, in accordance with the provisions of Article III, Chapter I, of the Charter of the City and County.

Section 2. No department, officer, board or commission shall expend, or agree to expend moneys, or to incur liabilities which, in total amount, exceed the total sum appropriated to such department, officer, board or commission by this ordinance, or which may subsequently be appropriated to such department, officer, board or commission.

Section 3. The amounts appropriated to the various departments, officers, boards and commissions shall be expended and administered by them subject to the following conditions:

A. No department, officer, board or commission shall expend moneys, or incur liabilities in excess of the total amount appropriated to such department, officer, board, or commission; provided further, that such expenditure shall conform to the provisions of Article III, Chapter I, Section 9, of the Charter.

B. When a specific appropriation is made to any bureau, division or institution of a department, board or commission such appropriation shall be known and administered as a main appropriation title, and the main division thereunder of an object of expenditure classification as theretofore adopted shall be known and administered as a specific sub-appropriation thereof.

C. Departments, officers, boards or commissions desiring to make transfers from one sub-appropriation to any other sub-appropriation embraced within the same main appropriation title shall file with the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors a statement in duplicate setting forth the reasons for requesting such transfers, the purpose to which the money is to be devoted, the sub-appropriations from and to which such transfer is requested, the amounts of such transfers, and any other facts which may aid in determining the merits of such request. Whenever any such transfer is approved by the Finance Committee, the duplicate request shall be forwarded to the Auditor and a notice sent to the department making the request, setting forth the amounts and the sub-appropriation, or budget item numbers affected. In case of disapproval, notice to this effect shall be given to the department making the request.

D. Whenever any department, officer, board or commission desires to make a transfer from any sub-appropriation or appropriations embraced in one main appropriation title or titles, to any other or the same sub-appropriation or appropriations embraced in or desired to be embraced in any other main appropriation title or titles, said department, officer, board or commission shall file with the Board of Supervisors a statement in duplicate similar to the statement required in condition "C" above and containing, in addition, the main

appropriation title or titles from and to which such transfer is requested. If the Board of Supervisors approves the request, notice thereof shall be forwarded to the originating department and the duplicate copy of the request forwarded to the Auditor. Said notice shall contain the main appropriation title or titles affected, the sub-appropriation or appropriations from and to which such transfer is to be made and the amounts thereof. If the Board of Supervisors denies the request, notice thereof shall be sent to the originating department with the reasons for such action.

Section 4. The amounts appropriated are as follows:

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
1	1090 E	(Appropriation 1) For plans and construction of new school buildings, additions and repairs to existing school buildings, furniture, the purchase of land for school purposes, a special tax on each one hundred dollars of assessed valuation to produce.....		
	1093 E			
				<b>\$930,000</b>

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

### *Personal Services—(Appropriation 2-A)*

2	401 A	18 Supervisors at \$2,400 each.....	\$43,200
3	402 A	Clerk . . . . .	4,800
4	"	1 Chief Assistant Clerk.....	3,600
5	"	1 Expert Accountant . . . . .	6,000
6	"	1 Bond and Ordinance Clerk.....	3,000
7	"	1 Superintendent of Supplies.....	3,000
8	"	1 Inspector of Supplies.....	2,700
9	"	1 Assistant Clerk, Stationery Dept.	2,700
10	"	1 Assistant Clerk, Stationery Dept.	2,400
11	"	1 Assistant Clerk . . . . .	3,000
12	"	4 Assistant Clerks at \$2,700.....	10,800
13	"	1 Assistant Clerk . . . . .	2,400
14	"	1 Stenographer to Finance Committee . . . . .	3,000
15	"	1 Stenographer . . . . .	2,400
16	"	1 Stenographer . . . . .	2,100
17	"	1 Telephone Operator and Filing Clerk . . . . .	1,800
18	401 A	1 Sergeant-at-Arms .. . . .	2,100
19	"	1 Chauffeur-Messenger . . . . .	2,400
20	529 A	1 Gas and Water Inspector.....	2,100
21	464 A	1 Horticultural Commissioner ....	2,400
22	"	1 Horticultural Inspector . . . . .	1,800
TELEPHONE EXCHANGE.			
23	433 A	Chief Operator . . . . .	1,980
24	"	4 Operators at \$1,680.....	6,720
25	"	Relief Operators . . . . .	600

Total Personal Services..... **\$117,000**

## MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNTS UNDER CONTROL OF BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

### (Appropriations 3-26 to 3-96)

26	403 B	Finance Committee Expenses.....	\$5,000
27	401 K	Supervisors' Incidental Expenses..	5,000

28	464 K	Horticultural Commissioner's Ex-	
		penses . . . . .	1,800
29	402 B	Advertising Resolutions and Ordi-	
		nances . . . . .	34,000
30	402 K	Urgent Necessity Fund.....	100,000
31	402 B	Printing Public Documents.....	4,000
32	"	Printing Law and Motion Calendar.	8,000
33	614 K	Celebration Fourth of July.....	2,500
34	"	Memorial Day Observance.....	500
35	613 K	Maintenance Municipal Band.....	10,000
36	626 H	Interment U. S. Soldiers and Sail-	
		ors . . . . .	5,000
37	425 A	Examination of Insane.....	9,000
38	455 B	Maintenance of Insane Criminals..	5,000
39	1092 D	Furniture for Public Buildings....	10,000
40	529 B	Lighting Streets . . . . .	560,000
41	— H	Premiums on Official Bonds.....	5,000
42	415 A-C	Block Books . . . . .	4,500
43	1093 E	Esplanade . . . . .	150,000
44	"	Civic Center . . . . .	100,000
45	527 E	Street Work in front of City Prop-	
		erty . . . . .	15,000
46	1093 E	County Road Fund.....	1,000
47	"	Extension of Main Sewers.....	25,000
48	629 H	Police Relief Pension Fund Deficit.	75,000
49	"	Relief of Exempt Firemen.....	5,000
50	678 K	Auditorium . . . . .	10,000
51	467 H	Public Pound . . . . .	12,000
52	553 B	Feeble-Minded Home . . . . .	90,000
53	553 H	Maintenance of Minors.....	480,000
54	"	Widows' Pensions . . . . .	270,000
55	"	Magdalen Asylum . . . . .	8,000
56	"	State Schools . . . . .	18,000
57	419	City Planning Commission . . . . .	5,000
58	402 C	City Hall Garage, Gasoline and	
		Supplies . . . . .	5,000
59	1093 E	Fire Department Building.....	33,000
60	432 K	Miscellaneous Repairs to and Main-	
		tenance of Buildings.....	15,000
60a	—	Stationery, Printing, Books and	
		Postage . . . . .	80,000
61	1090	Funston Playground . . . . .	20,000
62	"	University Mound Playground.....	20,000
63	"	Glen Park Playground.....	10,000
64	"	Ocean View Playground.....	20,000
65	1092	Margaret Hayward Playground,	
		equipment . . . . .	15,000
66	1093	Telegraph Hill Improvement.....	25,000
67	1090	For Purchase of Land from Market	
		Street Railway . . . . .	8,000
68	"	For Purchase of Land from Spring	
		Valley Water Company.....	30,000
Total . . . . .			<b>\$2,314,300</b>

**Reconstruction and Repair of the Fol-**  
**lowing Streets, as Designated:**

69	527	Jones street, Golden Gate avenue	
		to Post street . . . . .	\$32,000
70	"	Battery street, Jackson to Pacific,	
		Pacific to Broadway, Broadway	
		to Vallejo, Vallejo to Green....	27,000

71	"	Broadway, Sansome to the Embarcadero . . . . .	16,000
72	"	Clay street, Battery to Sansome..	4,250
73	"	Merchant street, Embarcadero to Drumm . . . . .	2,500
74	"	Ceylon street, Clay to Washington	3,200
75	"	Mason street, North Point to Beach street . . . . .	5,000
76	"	Broadway, Stockton to Powell street	10,500
77	"	Columbus avenue . . . . .	12,000
78	"	Clay street, Kearny to Grant avenue	5,000
79	"	Commercial street, Grant avenue to Kearny . . . . .	4,000
80	"	Vallejo street, Scott to Divisadero.	8,000
81	"	Broadway, Fillmore to Steiner....	10,000
82	"	Steiner street, Broadway to Pacific	6,500
83	"	Powell street, Eddy to Ellis and O'Farrell to Geary streets.....	12,000
84	"	Eighth street, Folsom to Bryant streets . . . . .	10,000
85	"	Folsom street, from Third street west . . . . .	40,000
86	"	Bryant street, Second to Third streets . . . . .	11,000
87	"	Capp street, Sixteenth to Seventeenth streets . . . . .	6,500
88	"	Dolores street, Twenty-third to Twenty-fourth . . . . .	4,000
89	"	Post street, Polk to Van Ness ave..	3,500
90	"	Bush street, Kearny to Grant ave..	6,000
91	"	McAllister street, from Franklin west . . . . .	25,000
92	"	Rolph street . . . . .	9,000
93	"	Laguna street, Turk to Eddy streets	6,000
94	"	Divisadero street, Vallejo to Green streets . . . . .	6,000
95	"	Filbert street, Polk to Larkin streets . . . . .	6,250
96	"	Filbert street, Jones to Leavenworth streets . . . . .	7,500
97	"	Grant avenue, Bush to Pine.....	4,800

Total Special Street Work...

**\$303,500****EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENTS.****MAYOR.***Personal Services—(Appropriation 4-A)*

98	404 A	Mayor . . . . .	6,000
99	"	Executive Secretary . . . . .	4,200
100	"	Assistant Secretary . . . . .	3,600
101	"	4 Stenographer - Typewriters at \$1,800 each . . . . .	7,200
102	"	Telephone Operator . . . . .	1,680
103	"	Messenger . . . . .	1,500
104	"	Chauffeur . . . . .	2,400

Total Personal Services..... **\$26,580***Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 4-B)*

105	404 K	Contingent Expenses (Charter)...	3,600
-----	-------	----------------------------------	-------

106	"	Personal Services and other than Personal Services . . . . .	5,000
		Total Non-Personal Services.	\$8,600
		Total Mayor . . . . .	<b>\$35,180</b>

**AUDITOR.***Personal Services—(Appropriation 5-A)*

107	405 A	Auditor . . . . .	\$4,000
108	"	Office Superintendent . . . . .	3,000
109	"	Chief Clerk . . . . .	3,000
110	"	2 Deputies at \$3,000 each . . . . .	6,000
111	"	6 Deputies at \$2,400 each . . . . .	14,400
112	"	1 Deputy . . . . .	2,280
113	"	4 Deputies at \$2,100 each . . . . .	8,400
114	"	2 Deputies at \$1,800 each . . . . .	3,600
115	"	1 Stenographer-Bond Clerk . . . . .	2,280
116	"	Expert (State Law), Section 4099A . . . . .	2,400
117	"	Telephone Operator and Filing Clerk . . . . .	1,800
118	"	Attorney . . . . .	1,800
119	"	Service: Assessment Roll, State and local; compiling statistics for State Board and Controller, and settlements with City and State . . . . .	8,000

Total Personal Services . . . . .	\$60,960
-----------------------------------	----------

*Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 5-B)*

120	405 B	Contingents . . . . .	500
121	"	License Tags and Blanks . . . . .	3,000

Total Non-Personal Services.	\$3,500
------------------------------	---------

Total Auditor . . . . .	<b>\$64,460</b>
-------------------------	-----------------

**TAX COLLECTOR.***Personal Services—(Appropriation 6-A)*

122	408 A	Tax Collector . . . . .	\$4,000
123	"	Office Superintendent . . . . .	3,000
124	"	Cashier . . . . .	3,000
125	"	Accountant . . . . .	2,700
126	"	6 Special Deputies at \$2,400 each . . . . .	14,400
127	"	1 Assistant Cashier . . . . .	2,400
128	"	2 Expert Searchers at \$2,400 each . . . . .	4,800
129	"	26 Deputies at \$2,100 each . . . . .	54,600
130	"	1 Stenographer . . . . .	1,800
131	"	Extra Clerical Help . . . . .	9,000
132	"	Twin Peaks Tunnel Accountant . . . . .	1,140
133	"	Twin Peaks Tunnel Clerk . . . . .	2,100
134	"	Adjuster of Licenses . . . . .	2,400

Total Personal Services . . . . .	\$105,340
-----------------------------------	-----------

*Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 6-B)*

135	408 B	Printing Delinquent Tax List . . . . .	\$3,000
136	"	Advertising Tax Notices and Con- tingents . . . . .	1,000

MONDAY, MAY 15, 1922.

137 1092	Equipment . . . . .	3,000	
	Total Non-Personal Services.	\$7,000	
	Total Tax Collector.....		<b>\$112,340</b>

**TREASURER.***Personal Services—(Appropriation 7-A)*

138 406 A	Treasurer . . . . .	\$4,000	
139 "	Chief Deputy . . . . .	2,700	
140 "	Cashier . . . . .	4,500	
141 "	Bank and Bond Deputy.....	3,300	
141a "	1 Deputy . . . . .	3,300	
142 "	Coupon Clerk . . . . .	2,400	
143 "	1 Deputy . . . . .	3,000	
144 "	Bookkeeper . . . . .	3,000	
145 "	Assistant Bookkeeper . . . . .	2,100	
146 "	2 Clerks at \$2,400 each.....	4,800	
147 "	1 Clerk . . . . .	1,800	
	Total Personal Services.....	\$34,900	

*Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 7-B)*

148 406 K	Total Non-Personal Services.....	\$150	
	Total Treasurer . . . . .		<b>\$35,050</b>

**ASSESSOR.***Personal Services—(Appropriation 8-A)*

149 407 A	Assessor . . . . .	\$8,000	
150 "	1 Chief Deputy.....	3,000	
151 "	Cashier . . . . .	2,400	
152 "	4 Assistant Deputies at \$3,000 each	12,000	
153 "	8 Assistant Deputies at \$2,400 each	19,200	
154 "	18 Deputies at \$2,100 each.....	37,800	
155 "	1 Cartographer . . . . .	2,400	
156 "	3 Appraisers of Personal Property at \$2,100 each.....	6,300	
157 "	Extra Clerks . . . . .	76,834	
157a "	1 Confidential Deputy.....	2,100	
	Total Personal Services.....	\$170,034	

158 407 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 8-B) . . . . .	5,000	
-----------	---	-------	--

Total Assessor . . . . .	<b>\$175,034</b>
--------------------------	------------------

**DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS.***Personal Services—(Appropriation 9-A)*

159 431 A	5 Commissioners at \$1,000 each...	\$5,000	
160 "	Registrar . . . . .	4,800	
161 "	2 Deputy Registrars at \$3,000 each.	6,000	
162 "	6 Deputy Registrars at \$2,400 each.	14,400	
163 "	10 Deputy Registrars at \$2,100 each	21,000	
164 "	1 Typograph Operator-Mechanic...	2,100	
165 "	1 Stenographer Typewriter.....	1,800	
166 "	1 Watchman . . . . .	2,100	

Total Personal Services.....	\$57,200
------------------------------	----------

*Personal and Non-Personal Service—(Appropriation 9-B)*

167	431 K	Election Expenses .....	\$259,450
-----	-------	-------------------------	-----------

Total Department of Elections.		
--------------------------------	--	--

<b>\$316,650</b>
------------------

**DISTRICT ATTORNEY.***Personal Services—(Appropriation 10-A)*

168	412 A	District Attorney .....	\$5,000
169	"	5 Assistants at \$4,500 each.....	22,500
170	"	1 Assistant .....	3,000
171	"	6 Assistants at \$2,400 each.....	14,400
172	"	1 Assistant .....	2,400
173	"	Warrant and Bond Clerk.....	3,000
174	"	1 Assistant Warrant and Bond Clerk .....	2,400
175	"	6 Assistant Warrant and Bond Clerks at \$1,800 each.....	10,800
176	"	Chief Clerk .....	2,400
177	"	Assistant Chief Clerk.....	1,800
178	"	Bookkeeper .....	1,500
179	"	1 Stenographer .....	2,400
180	"	1 Stenographer .....	1,800
181	"	Messenger .....	1,800
182	"	1 Juvenile Court Investigator.....	1,800

Total Personal Services.....	\$77,000
------------------------------	----------

*Personal and Non-Personal Service—(Appropriation 10-B)*

183	412 K	Detection and Prosecution of Criminals .....	\$7,500
-----	-------	--	---------

Total District Attorney.....
------------------------------

<b>\$84,500</b>
-----------------

**PUBLIC DEFENDER.***Personal Services—(Appropriation 10-C)*

183 A	411	Public Defender .....	\$5,000
-------	-----	-----------------------	---------

*Personal and Non-Personal Services—Appropriation —)*

183 B	411	Deputies and Expenses .....	\$7,800
-------	-----	-----------------------------	---------

Total Public Defender.....
----------------------------

<b>\$12,800</b>
-----------------

**CITY ATTORNEY.***Personal Services—(Appropriation 11-A)*

184	410 A	City Attorney .....	\$5,000
185	"	3 Assistant City Attorneys at \$4,200 each .....	12,600
186	"	3 Assistant City Attorneys at \$3,000 each .....	9,000
187	"	2 Assistant City Attorneys at \$2,400 each .....	4,800
188	"	1 Assistant City Attorney.....	1,800
189	"	2 Stenographers at \$1,800 each....	3,600
190	"	1 Bookkeeper File Clerk.....	2,100

Total Personal Services.....	\$38,900
------------------------------	----------

MONDAY, MAY 15, 1922.

*Personal and Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 11-B)*

191	410 K	General Litigation .....	\$5,000
192	"	Rate Litigation .....	5,000
Total Non-Personal Services.			\$10,000
Total City Attorney.....			<b>\$48,900</b>

**CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION.***Personal Services—(Appropriation 12-A)*

193	417 A	3 Commissioners at \$1,200 each....	\$3,600
194	"	Deputy Commissioner and Chief Examiner . . . . .	3,600
195	"	1 Chief Inspector .....	2,700
196	"	1 Inspector . . . . .	2,700
197	"	Assistant Inspector .....	2,100
198	"	1 Assistant Secretary .....	2,100
199	"	1 General Clerk .....	2,100
200	"	1 General Clerk .....	2,100
201	"	1 Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	1,800
202	"	Special Examiners and Extra Clerks	1,200

Total Personal Services.....			\$24,000
203	417 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 12-B) .....	1,250

Total Civil Service Commission **\$25,250**

**COUNTY CLERK.***Personal Services—(Appropriation 13-A)*

204	426 A	County Clerk .....	\$4,000
205	"	Chief Registry Clerk.....	3,000
206	"	Cashier . . . . .	2,400
207	"	5 Registry Clerks at \$2,400 each...	12,000
208	"	10 Assistant Registry Clerks at \$2,100 each .....	21,000
209	"	16 Superior Court Clerks at \$2,100 each . . . . .	33,600
210	"	4 Police Court Clerks at \$2,100 each	8,400
211	"	38 Copyists at \$1,980 each.....	75,240

Total Personal Services.....			\$159,640
212	426 K	Non-Personal Services .....	150
213	1092 D	Equipment—Typewriters . . . . .	1,500

Total Non-Personal .....

Total County Clerk..... **\$161,290**

**SHERIFF.***Personal Services—(Appropriation 14-A)*

214	430 A	Sheriff . . . . .	\$8,000
215	"	Cashier . . . . .	3,000
216	"	Deputy, Grade Two.....	2,700
217	"	Attorney . . . . .	1,800
218	"	Secretary and Chief Bookkeeper..	2,700
219	"	2 Bookkeepers, Grade One, at \$2,100 each . . . . .	4,200

220	"	12 Deputies, Grade One, at \$2,100 each . . . . .	25,200
221	557 A	17 Jailers, Grade Three, at \$1,920 each . . . . .	32,640
222	430 A	Stenographer . . . . .	1,800
223	557 A	Chauffeur-Machinist . . . . .	2,100
224	"	Under-Sheriff . . . . .	3,000
225	"	Superintendent of Jails.....	2,340
226	"	Assistant Superintendent . . . . .	2,220
227	"	Chief Jailer . . . . .	2,340
228	"	27 Jailers, Grade One, at \$1,920 each . . . . .	51,840
229	"	9 Jailers, Grade Two, at \$1,920 each . . . . .	17,280
230	"	Commissary Storekeeper . . . . .	2,400
231	"	1 Bookkeeper, Grade One.....	2,100
232	"	1 Matron . . . . .	2,100
233	"	3 Matrons, at \$1,800 each.....	5,400
234	"	2 Drivers, at \$1,980 each.....	3,960
235	"	2 Cooks, at \$1,800 each.....	3,600
236	"	1 Jailer, Grade Four.....	2,220

Total Personal Services..... \$184,940

*Other Than Personal Services—(Appropriation 14-B)*

237	557 Var	Maintenance, Subsistence and Equipment . . . . .	60,000
-----	---------	--	--------

Total Sheriff ..... **\$244,940**

## RECORDER.

*Personal Services—(Appropriation 15-A)*

238	454 A	Recorder . . . . .	\$4,000
239	"	Chief Deputy . . . . .	3,000
240	"	5 Deputies, at \$2,400 each.....	12,000
241	"	1 Deputy . . . . .	2,160
242	"	8 Clerks, at \$2,100 each.....	16,800
243	"	1 Machinist . . . . .	2,400
244	"	26 Copyists, at \$1,980 each.....	51,480

Total Personal Services..... \$91,840

245	454 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 15-B) . . . . .	500
-----	-------	--	-----

Total Recorder ..... **\$92,340**

## SUPERIOR COURTS.

*Personal Services—(Appropriation 16-A)*

246	425 A	16 Judges . . . . .	\$56,000
247	"	Secretary . . . . .	5,400
248	"	Messenger-Clerk . . . . .	1,800
249	"	8 Translators, at \$1,800 each.....	14,400
250	"	Jury and Witness Fees.....	27,800
251	428 A	Grand Jury Expenses.....	5,000
252	425 A	Stenographers (Reporters' Fees)..	20,000
253	"	Telephone Operator and Filing Clerk . . . . .	1,800

Total Personal Services..... \$132,200

*Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 16-B)*

254	425 K	Court Orders .....	\$4,000
Total Superior Courts.....			<b>\$136,200</b>

**JUSTICES' COURTS.***Personal Services—(Appropriation 17-A)*

255	424 A	5 Justices of the Peace at \$4,200 each . . . . .	\$21,000
256	"	Justices' Clerk .....	3,900
257	"	Chief Deputy .....	2,700
258	"	Cashier . . . . .	2,700
259	"	3 Deputy Clerks, at \$2,280 each....	6,840
260	"	Messenger . . . . .	2,280
Total Justices' Courts.....			<b>\$39,420</b>

**JUVENILE DETENTION HOME.***Personal Services—(Appropriation 18-A)*

261	558 A	Superintendent . . . . .	\$1,800
262	"	Matron . . . . .	1,500
263	"	Assistant Superintendent .....	1,500
264	"	Night Superintendent .....	1,500
265	"	Clinic Nurse .....	1,080
266	"	7 Nurses, at \$840 each.....	5,880
267	"	Cook . . . . .	900
268	"	1 Orderly . . . . .	1,380
269	"	1 Orderly . . . . .	1,080

Total Personal Services..... \$16,620

*Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 18-B)*

270	558 Var	Maintenance and Subsistence.....	13,000
-----	---------	----------------------------------	--------

Total Juvenile Detention Home **\$29,620**

**JUVENILE COURT.***Personal Services—(Appropriation 19-A)*

271	5591 A	Chief Probation Officer.....	\$3,600
272	"	Assistant Chief Probation Officer..	2,400
273	"	8 Assistant Probation Officers, at \$1,800 each .....	14,400
274	"	3 Deputy Probation Officers, at \$1,800 each .....	5,400
275	"	3 Clerk-Stenographers, at \$1,800 each . . . . .	5,400
276	"	1 Collector . . . . .	2,400
277	"	1 Cashier-Bookkeeper . . . . .	1,800
278	"	1 File Clerk .....	1,500
279	"	2 Stenographers, at \$1,800 each...	3,600

Total Personal Services..... \$40,500

280	5591 K	Non-Personal Services, including Ford Auto (Appropriation 19-B).	3,500
-----	--------	--	-------

Total Juvenile Court..... **\$44,000**

**ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT.***Personal Services—(Appropriation 20-A)*

281	5590 A	Chief Probation Officer .....	\$3,600
282	"	Assistant Chief Probation Officer..	2,400
283	"	7 Assistant Probation Officers at \$1,800 each .....	12,600
284	"	1 Stenographer . . . . .	1,500

---

Total Personal Services..... \$20,100

285	5590 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 20-B) .....	600
-----	--------	--	-----

---

Total Adult Probation Dept.. **\$20,700**

**WIDOWS' PENSION BUREAU.***Personal Services—(Appropriation 21-A)*

286	553 A	Director . . . . .	\$2,400
287	"	Assistant Director .....	1,800
288	"	3 Social Service Visitors at \$1,680 each .....	5,040

---

Total Personal Services..... \$9,240

289	553 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 21-B) .....	600
-----	-------	--	-----

---

Total Widows' Pension Bureau . . . . . **\$9,840**

**LAW LIBRARY.***Personal Services—(Appropriation 22-A)*

290	427 A	Librarian . . . . .	\$3,600
291	"	Assistant Librarian .....	2,100

---

Total Law Library..... **\$5,700**

**POLICE COURTS.***Personal Services—(Appropriation 23-A)*

292	423 A	4 Police Judges at \$3,600 each....	\$14,400
293	"	4 Stenographers at \$2,400 each....	9,600

---

Total Police Court..... **\$24,000**

**CORONER.***Personal Services—(Appropriation 24-A)*

294	429 A	Coroner . . . . .	\$4,000
295	"	Chief Deputy .....	3,000
296	"	Autopsy Surgeon .....	3,000
297	"	3 Deputies at \$2,100 each.....	6,300
298	"	3 Assistant Deputies (female) at \$1,800 each .....	5,400
299	"	3 Assistant Deputy Drivers at \$2,040 each .....	6,120
300	"	1 Stenographer . . . . .	2,100
301	"	1 Assistant Stenographer .....	1,980
302	"	Toxicologist . . . . .	1,500

---

Total Personal Services..... **\$33,400**

303	429 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 24-B) .....	2,500	
		Total Coroner .....		<b>\$35,900</b>

### SEALER OF WEIGHTS AND MEASURES.

#### *Personal Services—(Appropriation 25-A)*

304	460 A	Sealer .....	\$3,600	
305	"	Chief Deputy .....	2,700	
306	"	6 Deputy Sealers at \$2,100 each...	12,600	
		Total Personal Services.....	\$18,900	
307	460 K	Non-Personal Services and Equipment (Appropriation 25-B) .....	2,700	
		Total Sealer of Weights and Measures .....		<b>\$21,600</b>

### DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS.

#### Commissioners and General Office.

308	414 A	Personal Services (Appropriation 26-A) .....		<b>\$32,580</b>
-----	-------	--	--	-----------------

#### Bureau of Accounting.

309	414 A	Personal Services (Appropriation 27-A) .....		<b>\$58,440</b>
-----	-------	--	--	-----------------

#### Bureau of Architecture.

310	416 A	Personal Services (Appropriation 28-A) .....		<b>\$12,300</b>
-----	-------	--	--	-----------------

#### Bureau of Building Repair, Maintenance and Operation.

311	432 A	Personal Services (Appropriation 29-A) .....		<b>\$191,280</b>
312	— A	Repairs to Public Buildings, other than school buildings, personal services, employments (Appropriation 29½-A) .....		<b>\$79,350</b>
313	— B	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 29-B) .....		<b>\$27,040</b>
314	— B	Materials and Supplies (Appropriation 29-C) .....		<b>\$50,000</b>
315	— B	Lighting Public Buildings (Appropriation 29-D) .....		<b>\$32,000</b>
316	— B	Water, Public Buildings (Appropriation 29-E) .....		<b>\$20,000</b>

#### Bureau of Stores and Yards.

317	4142 A	Personal Services (Appropriation 30-A) .....		<b>\$50,322</b>
318	" B	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 30-B) .....		<b>\$4,000</b>

#### Bureau of Building Inspection.

319	455 A	Personal Services (Appropriation 31-A) .....		<b>\$35,700</b>
-----	-------	--	--	-----------------

**Bureau of Engineering.**

320	415 A	Personal Services (Appropriation 32-A) . . . . .	\$156,440
321	414 —	Personal Services Pipe Yard (Appropriation 32-A1) . . . . .	\$6,350
322	414 B	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 32-B) . . . . .	\$7,800
323	527 —	Non-Personal Services Street Signs (Appropriation 32-B1) . . . . .	\$7,500

**Bureau of Street Repairs.**

324	527 A	Personal Services (Appropriation 33-A) . . . . .	\$12,900
325	527 A and 1092	Repair of the Roadways of Accepted Streets, Personal and other than Personal Services and Material, Supplies and Equipment (Appropriation 33-B) . . . . .	\$294,224

**Bureau of Bridge Operations and Maintenance.**

326	527 A	Personal Services (Appropriation 34-A) . . . . .	\$47,745
327	"	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 34-B) . . . . .	\$3,500

**Bureau of Street Cleaning.**

328	502 A	Personal Services (Appropriation 35-A) . . . . .	\$21,040
329	502 A	Employments (Appropriation 35-A 1) . . . . .	\$413,025
330	502 <hr/> 1092	Non-Personal Services, Materials Supplies and Equipment (Appropriation 35-B) . . . . .	\$41,700

**Bureau of Sewer Repair.**

331	501 A	Personal Services (Appropriation 36-A) . . . . .	\$6,000
332	501 A	Employments (Appropriation 36-A 1) . . . . .	\$205,855
333	501 —	Non-Personal Services, Material Supplies and Equipment (Appropriation 36-B) . . . . .	\$23,000

**Sewage Pumping Stations.**

334	501 A	Personal Services (Appropriation 37-A) . . . . .	\$2,340
335	501 —	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 37-B) . . . . .	\$2,200

**Board of Public Works, Miscellaneous.**

336	— K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 38) . . . . .	\$16,300
337	679 —	Municipal Water Works (Appropriation 39) . . . . .	\$3,900

Total Board of Public Works			<hr/> \$1,864,831
-----------------------------	--	--	-------------------

**DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICITY.***Personal Services—(Appropriation 40-A)*

338	465 A	Office Superintendent . . . . .	\$3,900
339	"	Secretary . . . . .	2,700
340	"	1 Clerk . . . . .	2,100
341	"	1 Stenographer-Typewriter . . . . .	1,920
342	"	1 Helper-Messenger . . . . .	1,500
343	461 A	Chief Inspector . . . . .	2,700
344	"	9 Inspectors at \$2,400 each . . . . .	21,600
345	465 A	1 Foreman Lineman . . . . .	2,340
346	"	Chief Operator . . . . .	2,580
347	"	7 Fire Alarm Operators at \$2,280 each . . . . .	15,960
348	"	4 Telephone Operators at \$1,680 each . . . . .	6,720
349	"	Relief Telephone Operator . . . . .	500
350	"	Superintendent of Plant . . . . .	3,000
351	"	Cable Splicer at \$8 per diem . . . . .	2,400
352	"	Batterymen . . . . .	2,220
353	"	Foreman Instrument Maker . . . . .	2,580
354	"	4 Instrument Makers at \$2,400 each . . . . .	9,600
355	"	Foreman Laborer at \$6.50 per diem . . . . .	650
356	"	2 Laborers at \$5 per diem . . . . .	1,000
357	"	1 Machinist at \$8 per diem . . . . .	2,400
358	"	2 Foremen Linemen at \$2,340 each . . . . .	4,680
359	"	12 Linemen at \$2,160 each . . . . .	25,920
360	"	Commissary . . . . .	1,800
361	"	Repairer . . . . .	2,220
362	"	1 Painter at \$8 per diem . . . . .	2,400

Total Personal Services . . . . . \$125,390

*Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 40-B)*

363	465	Material, Supplies and Equipment	\$15,000
-----	-----	----------------------------------	----------

*Personal and Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 40-E)*

364	1093	Underground Conduit System . . . . .	\$15,000
-----	------	--------------------------------------	----------

Total Non-Personal Services . . . . . \$30,000

Total Department of Electricity **\$155,390**

**FIRE DEPARTMENT.***Personal Services—(Appropriation 41-A)*

365	452 A	4 Commissioners at \$1,200 each . . . . .	\$4,800
366	"	Office Superintendent and Secretary . . . . .	3,600
367	"	Physician . . . . .	2,400
368	"	Stenographer . . . . .	2,400
369	"	Chief Engineer . . . . .	5,360
370	"	First Assistant Chief . . . . .	3,960
371	"	Second Assistant Chief . . . . .	3,360
372	"	16 Battalion Chiefs at \$3,060 each . . . . .	48,960
373	"	18 Operators at \$2,160 each . . . . .	38,880

\$113,720

**ENGINE COMPANIES.**

374	452 A	49 Captains at \$2,460 each . . . . .	\$120,540
375	"	72 Lieutenants at \$2,310 each . . . . .	166,320
376	"	38 Engineers at \$2,280 each . . . . .	86,640

377	"	40 Drivers at \$2,040 each.....	81,600
378	"	19 Drivers at \$1,920 each.....	36,480
379	"	4 Drivers at \$1,800 each.....	7,200
380	"	51 Stokers at \$2,040 each.....	194,040
381	"	10 Stokers at \$1,920 each.....	19,200
382	"	2 Stokers at \$1,800 each.....	3,600
383	"	269 Hosemen at \$2,040 each.....	548,760
384	"	88 Hosemen at \$1,920 each.....	168,960
385	"	72 Hosemen at \$1,800 each.....	129,600
		Automatic Increase of Salaries..	21,470
			<hr/>
			\$1,494,410

## CHEMICAL COMPANIES.

386	452 A	13 Captains at \$2,460 each.....	\$31,980
387	"	13 Lieutenants at \$2,310 each....	30,030
388	"	11 Drivers at \$2,040 each.....	22,440
389	"	2 Drivers at \$1,920 each.....	3,840
390	"	13 Hosemen at \$2,040 each.....	26,520
391	"	Automatic Increase in Salaries	176
			<hr/>
			\$114,986

## TRUCK COMPANIES.

392	452 A	13 Captains at \$2,460 each.....	\$31,980
393	"	13 Lieutenants at \$2,310 each....	30,030
394	"	11 Drivers at \$2,040 each.....	22,440
395	"	2 Drivers at \$1,920 each.....	3,840
396	"	13 Tillermen at \$2,040 each.....	26,520
397	"	100 Truckmen at \$2,040 each.....	204,000
398	"	3 Truckmen at \$1,920 each.....	5,760
399	"	1 Truckman . . . . .	1,800
400		Automatic Increase in Salaries	605
			<hr/>
			\$326,975

## WATER TOWER COMPANIES.

401	452 A	1 Driver . . . . .	\$2,040
402	"	1 Hoseman . . . . .	2,040
			<hr/>
			\$4,080

## FIRE BOAT.

403	452 A	For Fire Boat Crew and Maintenance, all revenues received from State of California to be credited to this item.....	\$60,000
-----	-------	---	----------

## PUMPING STATIONS.

404	452 A	2 Chief Engineers at \$3,000 each..	\$6,000
405	"	5 Assistant Engineers at \$2,400 each . . . . .	12,000
406	"	7 Firemen at \$1,980 each.....	13,860
407	"	Vacation Engineers . . . . .	700
408	"	Vacation Firemen . . . . .	577
			<hr/>
			\$33,137

## DISTRIBUTING SYSTEM.

409	452 A	Superintendent . . . . .	\$3,420
410	"	Foreman Gateman . . . . .	2,520
411	"	Assistant Foreman Gateman.....	2,370
412	"	10 Gatemen-Hydrantmen at \$2,220 each . . . . .	22,200
413	"	1 Calker at \$6.75 per diem.....	2,025
414	"	3 Laborers . . . . .	4,500
			<hr/>
			\$37,035

## CORPORATION YARD.

415	452 A	Superintendent . . . . .	\$3,600
416	"	Clerk and Commissary . . . . .	2,400
417	"	1 Bookkeeper . . . . .	1,800
418	"	3 Watchmen, Second Grade, at \$1,620 each . . . . .	4,860
419	"	1 Drayman . . . . .	1,920
420	"	1 Clerk . . . . .	1,500
421	"	1 Batteryman . . . . .	1,800
422	"	General Foreman . . . . .	2,700
423	"	Superintendent of Assignments . . . . .	1,440
424	"	12 Machinists at \$8 per diem each	28,800
425	"	3 Machinists' Helpers at \$6 per diem each . . . . .	5,400
426	"	3 Blacksmiths at \$8 per diem each.	7,200
427	"	3 Blacksmiths' Helpers at \$6.08 per diem each . . . . .	5,472
428	"	2 Woodworkers at \$8 per diem . . . . .	4,800
429	"	1 Brass Finisher at \$8 per diem . . . . .	2,400
430	"	1 Boilermaker at \$8 per diem . . . . .	2,400
431	"	1 Boilermaker's Helper at \$6.08 per diem . . . . .	1,824
432	"	Foreman Carriage Painter at \$8.50 per diem . . . . .	2,550
433	"	2 Carriage Painters at \$8 per diem	4,800
434	"	Leatherworker at \$8 per diem . . . . .	2,400
			<hr/> \$90,066

Total Personal Services . . . . .

---

**\$2,274,409***Non-Personal Services*

435	452 Var	Maintenance, Material and Sup- plies (Appropriation 41-B) . . . . .	\$100,000
436	452 B	Hydrant Service Spring Valley Rental, Water for Buildings and High Pressure Service (Appro- priation 41-C) . . . . .	180,000
437	1092 E	Equipment (Appropriation 41-D) . . . . .	37,500

Total Non-Personal Services. \$317,500

Total Fire Department . . . . .

---

**\$2,591,909****POLICE DEPARTMENT.**

## GENERAL OFFICE AND ADMINISTRATION.

*Personal Services—(Appropriation 42-A)*

438	451 A	4 Commissioners at \$1,200 each . . . . .	\$4,800
439	"	Office Superintendent . . . . .	3,000
440	"	Confidential Clerk . . . . .	2,400
441	"	Stenographer . . . . .	3,000
442	"	Chief of Police . . . . .	6,000
443	"	Clerk to Chief . . . . .	3,000
444	"	Office Assistant (Detective Ser- geant) . . . . .	2,400
445	"	Office Assistant (Corporal) . . . . .	2,160
446	"	Surgeon and Physician . . . . .	1,860
447	"	4 Telephone Operators at \$1,680 each . . . . .	6,720
448	"	2 Telephone Operators at \$1,500 each . . . . .	3,000

449	"	Inspector of Motor Vehicles.....	2,400
450	"	Inspector of Horses and Equipment.....	2,400

**BOOKKEEPING AND ACCOUNTING.***Personal Services*

451	451 A	Bookkeeper (Corporal) .....	2,160
452	"	4 Bookkeepers (Patrolmen) at \$2,064 each .....	8,256

**PROPERTY CLERK'S BUREAU.**

453	451 A	Property Clerk (Captain).....	\$3,000
454	"	Assistant Property Clerk (Corporal) .....	2,160
455	"	4 Assistant Property Clerks (Patrolmen) at \$2,064 each.....	8,256

**BUREAU OF PERMITS AND REGISTRATION.**

456	451 A	Lieutenant . . . . .	2,520
457	"	3 Patrolmen at \$2,064 each.....	6,192

**COMPLAINT BUREAU.**

458	451 A	Lieutenant . . . . .	2,520
459	"	3 Patrolmen at \$2,064 each.....	6,192

**DETECTIVE BUREAU.**

460	451 A	Captain . . . . .	4,000
461	"	Lieutenant . . . . .	2,520
462	"	24 Detective Sergeants at \$2,400 each .....	57,600
463	"	1 Stenographer .....	2,400
464	"	Photographer . . . . .	2,424
465	"	3 Women Protective Officers at \$2,064 each .....	6,192

**UNIFORM FORCE AND OTHER EMPLOYEES.**

466	451 A	10 Captains at \$3,000 each.....	30,000
467	"	19 Lieutenants at \$2,520 each.....	47,880
468	"	75 Sergeants at \$2,280 each.....	171,000
469	"	50 Corporals at \$2,160 each.....	108,000
470	"	800 Patrolmen at \$2,064 each.....	1,651,200
471	"	25 Patrol Drivers at \$2,040 each...	51,000
472	"	8 Hostlers at \$1,800 each.....	14,400
473	"	4 Matrons at \$1,800 each.....	7,200
474	"	Matrons' Relief .....	1,200
475	"	Cook .....	1,800
476	"	3 Engineers at \$2,040 each.....	6,120

Total Personal Services.....\$2,249,332

*Non-Personal Services*

477	451 K	Contingent Expense (Appropriation 42-B) . . . . .	\$9,000
478	451 Var	Maintenance (Appropriation 42-C) .	46,500
479	1092 "	Automobiles (Appropriation 42-D) .	15,000

Total Non-Personal Services. \$70,500

Total Police Department.... **\$2,319,832**

**DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH.****Central Office.**

480	476 A	Personal Services (Appropriation 43-A) . . . . .	\$247,803
-----	-------	---	-----------

481	—	Lighting, Health Department Buildings (Appropriation 43-B) . . . . .	2,500
482	—	Water for Health Department Buildings (Appropriation 43-C) . . . . .	25,000
483	—	Materials and Supplies (Appropriation 43-D) . . . . .	45,000
484	476	To carry on the work now being done by the San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Tuberculosis; conducting clinics, employing nurses, both field and clinic; physicians, car fare, food, medicines and incidental expenses (Appropriation 43-E) . . . . .	20,000

**Relief Home.**

485	552 A	Personal Services (Appropriation 44-A) . . . . .	104,475
486	552	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 44-B) . . . . .	215,000

**Isolation Hospital.**

487	554	Personal Services (Appropriation 45-A) . . . . .	39,002
-----	-----	--	--------

**San Francisco Hospital.**

488	554	Personal Services (Appropriation 46-A) . . . . .	319,644
489	5540	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 46-B) . . . . .	382,000

**Emergency Hospitals.**

490	5541 A	Personal Services (Appropriation 47-A) . . . . .	117,625
491	"	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 47-B) . . . . .	26,555
492	1092	Purchase of One White Ambulance (Appropriation 47-C) . . . . .	5,000

Total Health Department . . . . .	<b>\$1,549,604</b>
-----------------------------------	--------------------

**Playgrounds.**

493	612	Personal and Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 48) . . . . .	<b>\$134,000</b>
-----	-----	---	------------------

<b>TOTAL GENERAL FUND . . . . .</b>	<b>\$13,126,080</b>
-------------------------------------	---------------------

**SCHOOL APPROPRIATION FUND NO. 49.***Personal Services*

494	576 A	Commissioners . . . . .	\$5,000
495	"	Superintendent of Schools . . . . .	4,000
496	"	6 Deputy Superintendents at \$3,780 each . . . . .	22,680
497	"	Secretary and Clerk High School . . . . .	2,700
498	"	1 Clerk . . . . .	1,680
499	"	2 Stenographers at \$1,920 each . . . . .	3,840
500	"	2 Stenographers at \$1,380 each . . . . .	2,760
501	"	Telephone Operator and Filing Clerk . . . . .	1,680
502	"	Messenger-Clerk . . . . .	1,800

503	"	Chauffeur . . . . .	2,400
504	"	Clerk to Superintendent . . . . .	2,220
505	"	1 Clerk . . . . .	1,800
506	"	Superintendent of Building Repairs	3,000
507	"	Inspector of Water and Gas.....	1,800

**ACCOUNTING AND PAYROLLS.**

508	"	Bookkeeper and Accountant . . . . .	2,400
509	"	Clerical Help . . . . .	6,000
510	"	Assistant Bookkeeper . . . . .	1,800
511	"	Clerk-Typewriter . . . . .	1,680

**STORES AND SHOPS.**

512	"	Storekeeper . . . . .	2,400
513	"	2 Varnishers at \$8.50 per diem each	5,100
514	"	1 Cabinet maker at \$8.50 per diem.	2,550
515	"	10 Shop Mechanics at \$1,500 each..	15,000

**TABULATION BUREAU.**

516	"	Stenographer . . . . .	1,800
-----	---	------------------------	-------

**ATTENDANCE BUREAU.**

517	"	Supervisors . . . . .	2,400
518	"	2 Clerks at \$1,500 each.....	3,000
518a	"	Clerical Help . . . . .	2,100

**Elementary Schools and Department.**

519	"	1422 Teachers, Elementary, Day.....	\$2,679,790
520	"	77 Teachers, Junior High.....	168,000
521	"	39 Teachers, Elementary, Evening.	37,380
522	"	307 Teachers, High, Day . . . . .	724,970
523	"	74 Teachers, High, Evening.....	76,392
524	"	20 Teachers, Industrial Education.	38,980
525	"	5 Teachers, Drawing . . . . .	9,520
526	"	2 Teachers, Defective Speech Classes . . . . .	4,460
527	"	1 Supervisor, Primary Grade.....	2,580
528	"	Kindergartens . . . . .	7,000
529	"	30 Teachers, Home Economics.....	50,772
530	"	1 Teacher, Art Department . . . . .	3,600
531	"	9 Teachers, Physical Education..	16,160
532	"	3 Teachers, Music . . . . .	6,300
533	"	Allowance for Additional Ele- mentary and Junior High Teachers . . . . .	75,000
534	"	Allowance for Additional High School Teachers . . . . .	60,000
535	"	7 High School Clerks . . . . .	7,560
536	"	1 Stenographer, Physical Educa- tion Department . . . . .	1,200
537	"	Physical Education Assistants, part time . . . . .	5,500
538	"	Sick Leave Allowance.....	25,000
539	"	Allowance for Elementary, High, Kindergarten, Part-Time and other Teachers to be assigned...	125,000

**JANITORS AND ENGINEERS.**

540	"	Janitors, Elementary and Junior High Schools . . . . .	214,188
541	"	Janitors, High Schools.....	33,122
542	"	Engineers, High Schools.....	6,300

		Total Personal Services.....	\$4,482,364
543	576 C	Material and Supplies.....	297,450

MONDAY, MAY 15, 1922.

FIXED CHARGES.			
544	576	Rents . . . . .	18,000
545	"	Teachers' Institute . . . . .	1,750
Total School Fund . . . . .			<u>\$4,799,564</u>
546	601	Park Fund (Appropriation 50) . . . .	620,000
547	631/32	Bond Interest and Redemption (Appropriation 51) . . . . .	4,728,934
548	629	Firemen's Relief and Pension Fund (Appropriation 52) . . . . .	209,000
549	577	Library Fund (Appropriation 53) . . . .	228,000
550	440	Board of Administration Retirement System for City Employees, Immediate Retirement Pensions and Administration Expenses (Appropriation 54) . . . . .	50,000
551	—	Publicity and Advertising . . . . .	35,000
552	553	Maintenance of Blind . . . . .	15,000
TOTAL BUDGET . . . . .			<u>\$24,741,578</u>

## Public Hearing on Budget.

*Supervisor McLeran* moved that Wednesday, May 17, 1922, at 10 a. m., be fixed as the time for the public hearing on the Budget for the fiscal year 1922-1923.

*So ordered.*

## ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at the hour of 5:15 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors July 24, 1922.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, May 22, 1922.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



**THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY**  
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

JO

M

In  
isco.  
Th  
regul

Th  
ing S  
Sup  
Hayd  
McSh  
Robb  
Welc  
Que  
Hia

Th  
previ  
prova  
ROLL

Writes

Tb  
W  
in t  
claim

Co  
Char  
Estat  
than  
mob  
steps  
and  
Re

Th  
respe  
on va  
ports

Su  
Rossi  
Fi  
Deas  
Str  
Nulv  
Cor  
Com  
man.  
Ed  
Com  
chain

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MAY 22, 1922, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, May 22, 1922, 2 p. m. The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was *laid over* for approval until next meeting.

### ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Writs for Budget Appropriations to Cover Judgments.

The Clerk presented:

Writs to provide for appropriations in the Budget to cover judgment claims against the City.

### Peninsula Highway and Bridge.

*Communication* — From Peninsula Chambers of Commerce, National Real Estate Board, Mission Street Merchants' Association and State Automobile Association, favoring necessary steps and tax for peninsula highway and bridge.

Read by the Clerk.

### REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.

The following committees, by their respective chairmen, presented reports on various matters referred, which reports were read and ordered *filed*:

Supplies Committee, by Supervisor Rossi, chairman.

Fire Committee, by Supervisor Deasy, chairman.

Streets Committee, by Supervisor Mulvihill, chairman.

Commercial Development and Streets Committee, by Supervisor Welch, chairman.

Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee, by Supervisor Morgan, chairman.

### Action Deferred.

The following matter, heretofore presented by Supervisor McLeran and laid over from last meeting, was taken up and again *laid over one week*:

### Bond Issue for Schools.

Whereas, the school houses in several sections of the city are inadequate, old and unfit for occupancy by children, and it is impossible in the current tax rate to rehabilitate and put in sanitary and proper condition schools that have been built for more than twenty-five years, and to construct new schools, and to reconstruct others; and

Whereas, this condition is no fault of the Board of Education or other administrative bodies of the city, but is the result of the 1906 disaster, when many of the best school houses were destroyed; and

Whereas, the bond moneys and tax moneys heretofore provided have been insufficient to make the necessary replacements and keep pace with the growth of the school needs; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of the Board of Supervisors that the normal annual increase in school buildings and educational facilities, including additional teachers, can be provided out of current tax rate, but said current tax rate will not catch up with the more than two score schools needing replacement; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors has provided in the Budget for 1922-1923 all the money which, in its judgment, the Board of Education can properly expend in one year, and, also, all the money that is permitted under the law to be provided in a tax rate; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Education is urged by the Board of Supervisors, at its earliest possible convenience, to recommend a program for the rehabilitation of schools, construction of new schools, including the Mission High School, and to recommend to the Board of Supervisors a bond issue in a sufficient amount of money to purchase lands, and to construct school buildings on a program which will meet the urgent demands of the several sections of the city.

### 3 p. m.—Appeal from Street Assessment. *Ulloa Street.*

Hearing of appeal of property owners from the assessment issued for the improvement of Ulloa street from the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue to the westerly line of Thirty-fourth avenue, etc., fixed for 3 p. m. this day.

#### Communications.

The following were presented and read by the Clerk:

*Communication*—From Chas. Bliss, protesting alleged excessive cost of the work.

*Communication*—From Board of Public Works, in answer to alleged excessive cost of the work.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Chas. Bliss was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board in opposition to the cost of the work.

#### Appeal Denied.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented by Supervisor Mulvihill and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 19991 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the appeal of property owners from the assessment issued for the improvement of Ulloa street from the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue to the westerly line of Thirty-fourth avenue, etc., is hereby denied and the assessment confirmed.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

No—Supervisor Robb—1.

#### Fire Chiefs' Convention.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presented to the Board of Supervisors the members of the executive committee of the International Association of Fire Chiefs, now in the city arranging for the big convention to be held here next August.

Chief Wm. Bywater of Salt Lake spoke for his associates and said that this year's convention promises to be the greatest in the history of the association. Five trains, he said, were already chartered, coming from Chicago, Boston, New York, Atlanta and Kansas City.

He paid Fire Chief Thos. R. Murphy a high compliment in the way the cities throughout the United States and Canada have been reached by his publicity.

Supervisor Scott, who was called upon to respond, extended a hearty welcome to the visitors, declaring that San Francisco will take pride in entertaining the visiting chiefs and their families. "We have a fire department," he said, "that we are proud of. This Board of Supervisors has appropriated

money for the two-platoon system, for new equipment and for 'one day off in seven.'" He assured the visitors that with his Honor the Mayor, they were in good hands and would be accorded the heartiest welcome and the most generous hospitality.

#### Proposals for Burying Indigent Dead.

Sealed proposals were received for the burying of the indigent dead of the City and County of San Francisco on and after July 1, 1922, to and including June 30, 1923.

1. Wm. Hagen, \$9.50 and \$8; certified check for \$200.

2. Jos. Hagen & Sons, \$9 and \$4.96; certified check for \$200.

3. Wm. Dugan, \$7.50 and \$4.65; certified check for \$200.

*Referred to Health Committee.*

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

##### Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

##### Authorizations.

Resolution No. 19993 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

##### Library Fund.

(1) San Francisco News Co., library books (claim dated Apr. 30, 1922), \$1,860.16.

(2) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Apr. 30, 1922), \$4,474.17.

(3) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Apr. 30, 1922), \$4,600.54.

##### Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(4) Billie Nelson, settlement of claim and judgment by Superior Court against Municipal Railways (claim dated May 6, 1922), \$1,102.30.

##### School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(5) J. E. O'Mara, fourth payment, plumbing and heating in addition to McKinley School (claim dated May 10, 1922), \$1,526.25.

(6) O. Monson, third payment, general construction, Spring Valley School (claim dated May 10, 1922), \$4,882.50.

##### Special School Tax, 1921-1922.

(7) John Reid, Jr., second payment, architectural services, Andrew Jackson School (claim dated May 10, 1922), \$2,800.

##### Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(8) Haas Bros., groceries, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$542.90.

(9) Western Meat Co., meats (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$670.54.

(10) The White Co., White truck equipment (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$711.77.

(11) Pioneer Rubber Mills, belting (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$1,096.34.

(12) Ingersoll-Rand Co., machine parts (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$1,159.08.

(13) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Groveland Revolving Fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$1,233.12.

(14) Associated Oil Co., gasoline (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$1,336.40.

(15) Pacific Gas & Electric Co. mazda lamps, etc. (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$1,646.61.

(16) Wm. Cluff Co., groceries (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$1,622.48.

(17) West Side Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$2,370.63.

(18) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., electric current (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$2,565.20.

(19) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, miscellaneous expense, per vouchers (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$2,590.78.

(20) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$3,456.25.

(21) Baumgarten Bros., meats (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$3,466.78.

(22) Anglo-California Trust Co., rails, bars, etc. (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$5,664.01.

#### *Park Fund.*

(23) Geo. McHugh, clay furnished parks (claim dated May 12, 1922), \$925.08.

(24) Spring Valley Water Co., water for parks (claim dated May 12, 1922), \$1,247.99.

#### *General Fund. 1920-1921.*

(25) E. J. Treacy, fifth payment, widening of Divisadero street from Haight to Sacramento street (claim dated May 12, 1922), \$3,771.07.

(26) Boy's Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$978.72.

(27) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$2,750.38.

(28) Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$1,641.61.

(29) Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$758.59.

(30) St. Vincent's School maintenance of minors (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$1,540.

(31) St. Mary's Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$560.

(32) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$9,141.92.

(33) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$17,443.54.

(34) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$3,131.

(35) St. Catherine's Training Home, maintenance of inmates at Magdalen Asylum (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$664.76.

(36) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electricity, Fire Dept. (claim dated Apr. 29, 1922), \$1,318.61.

(37) Spring Valley Water Co., water, Fire Dept. (claim dated Apr. 29, 1922), \$1,822.69.

(38) Union Oil Co., gasoline, etc., Fire Dept. (claim dated Apr. 29, 1922), \$1,794.50.

(39) Union Oil Co., fuel oil, Fire Dept. (claim dated Apr. 29, 1922), \$1,006.21.

(40) California School for Girls, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$549.64.

(41) California School for Girls, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$532.26.

(42) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$581.92.

(43) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 11, 1922), \$599.32.

(44) California Baking Co., bread, County Jails (claim dated May 1, 1922), \$573.61.

(45) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated May 12, 1922), \$8,380.31.

(46) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated May 12, 1922), \$11,099.90.

(47) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated May 12, 1922), \$944.93.

(48) J. H. McCallum, lumber, Department of Public Works (claim dated May 5, 1922), \$641.32.

(49) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement, Department of Public Works (claim dated May 5, 1922), \$945.36.

(50) Spring Valley Water Co., water, public buildings (claim dated May 9, 1922), \$1,287.76.

(51) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated May 10, 1922), \$2,873.68.

(52) California Meat Co., meats, Relief Home (claim dated April 30, 1922), \$536.73.

(53) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs, Relief Home (claim dated April 30, 1922), \$530.58.

(54) C. Nauman Co., vegetables, Relief Home (claim dated April 30, 1922), \$507.22.

(55) Producers Hay Co., feed, Relief Home (claim dated April 30, 1922), \$1,132.36.

(56) Sherry Bros., butter, etc., Relief Home (claim dated April 30, 1922), \$593.21.

(57) Greenebaum, Weil & Michaels,

dry goods, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1922), \$709.20.

(58) Herbert F. Dugan, drugs, S. F. Hospital (claim dated April 30, 1922), \$1,847.69.

(59) Wm. Cluff Co., groceries, S. F. Hospital (claim dated April 29, 1922), \$997.54.

(60) Hooper & Jennings, groceries, S. F. Hospital (claim dated April 29, 1922), \$1,115.72.

(61) Haas Bros., groceries, S. F. Hospital (claim dated April 29, 1922), \$650.44.

(62) Miller & Lux, meats, S. F. Hospital (claim dated April 29, 1922), \$1,115.06.

(63) California Meat Co., meats, S. F. Hospital (claim dated April 29, 1922), \$520.46.

(64) Sherry Bros., butter, etc., S. F. Hospital (claim dated April 29, 1922), \$825.40.

(65) Oliva Bros., fruit, etc., S. F. Hospital (claim dated April 29, 1922), \$764.02.

(66) C. Nauman & Co., vegetables, S. F. Hospital (claim dated April 29, 1922), \$724.

(67) Zellerbach Paper Co., paper supplies, S. F. Hospital (claim dated April 29, 1922), \$510.90.

(68) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs, S. F. Hospital (claim dated April 29, 1922), \$1,120.73.

(69) S. F. Dairy Co., milk, S. F. Hospital (claim dated April 29, 1922), \$2,797.30.

(70) Associated Oil Co., fuel oil, S. F. Hospital (claim dated April 29, 1922), \$3,115.71.

(71) Spring Valley Water Co., water for hospitals (claim dated April 29, 1922), \$1,024.34.

(72) San Francisco Chronicle, official advertising (claim dated May 10, 1922), \$1,377.61.

(73) The Recorder Printing & Publishing Co., printing, etc., Law and Motion Calendars, etc. (claim dated May 15, 1922), \$665.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Appropriation, \$20,000, Repairs to School Buildings.

Resolution No. 19981 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$20,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1, fiscal year 1921-1922, for repairs to school buildings.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Appropriation, \$50,000, Land at Bay View.

Resolution No. 19982 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$50,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "For Purchase of Land at Bay View," etc., Budget Item No. 72, fiscal year 1921-1922, for payment for lands at Bay View upon which condemnation proceedings for the purchase of same have been authorized by Resolution No. 19920 (New Series).

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Appropriation, \$6,393, Hardware, Mission High School.

Resolution No. 19983 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$6,393 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918, for purchase of finish hardware for Mission High School addition (Palace Hardware Co. award).

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Garage, Boiler and Oil Permits.

Resolution No. 19984 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

*Public Garage.*  
Joseph Pasqualètti (2-story building), on north side of Union street, 150 feet east of Franklin street; also to store 600 gallons of gasoline on premises.

*Transfer Public Garage.*  
To G. W. Page, permit granted by Resolution No. 14476 (New Series) to M. O. Droit for premises situate 740 Valencia street.

To J. F. Ferriera, permit granted by Resolution No. 11795 (New Series) to W. N. McCaw for premises situate on west side of Third avenue, 100 feet south of Clement street (No. 327 Third avenue).

*Boiler.*  
Lee-Greefens Co., 4-horsepower, at 570 Folsom street.

*Oil Storage Tank.*  
M. A. Hunt, on west side of Taylor street, 50 feet south of Post street, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. H. Curtaz, on west side of Shannon street, 80 feet south of Geary street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. Scheeline, at 3553 Clay street, 600 gallons capacity.

Alfred L. Wiel, at 3535 Clay street, 600 gallons capacity.

Louis Blum, on north side of Sutter street, 137 feet east of Larkin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. A. Hunt, on east side of Hyde street, 40 feet north of Geary street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Dr. Harry I. Wiel, at 3511 Clay street, 600 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 6047, Ordinance No. 5639 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 11, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Mars street between the southerly curb line of Seventeenth street and a line at right angles with the westerly line of Mars street at a point 159.68 feet north-*

*erly from Corbett avenue*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of two brick manholes with cast iron frames and covers and galvanized wrought iron steps; by the construction of one brick catchbasin with cast iron frame, grating and traps and 10-inch vitrified, salt-glazed, iron-stone pipe culvert; by the construction of a reinforced concrete retaining wall, including stairway and railing appertaining thereto; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stoie sidewalks; by the construction of a 14-foot central strip of vertical fiber brick pavement from a line at right angles to the easterly line of Mars street at a point 52.78 feet southerly from the second angle southerly from Seventeenth street to the existing pavement at the southerly termination of this improvement; by the construction of basalt block conform pavement at the intersection of Seventeenth and Mars streets, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the remainder of the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 6048, Ordinance No. 5640 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 8, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County

of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Ingerson avenue between Griffith street and Gilroy street*, and the improvement of *Gilroy street between Ingerson avenue and Jamestown avenue*, by the construction of the following vitrified, salt-glazed, ironstone pipe sewers and appurtenances: a 12-inch with two brick manholes and seven Y branches along a line parallel with the easterly line of Ingerson avenue from the existing sewer on the center line of Griffith street at the northerly line of Ingerson avenue; thence southerly to a point on the center line of Ingerson avenue; a 12-inch with eight Y branches and one brick manhole from the last described point to the center line of Gilroy street produced; a 12-inch with ten Y branches and two lampholes along the center line of Gilroy street between the center line of Ingerson avenue and the center line of Ignacio street; an 8-inch with two brick manholes and six Y branches along the center line of Gilroy street from the last described point to a point 50 feet northerly from Jamestown avenue; an 8-inch along the center line of Ignacio street between the easterly and center lines of Gilroy street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 6049, Ordinance No. 5641 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 9, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is here-

by ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The method of assessment for said work and improvement determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No. 73048 (Second Series) is hereby confirmed.

The improvement of *Peru avenue between the easterly line of Lisbon street and the easterly line of Madrid street, including the crossing of Peru avenue and Madrid street*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of three brick catchbasins with cast iron frames, gratings and traps and 10-inch vitrified, salt-glazed, ironstone pipe culverts, one each on the southwest, southeast and northeast corners of Peru avenue and Madrid street; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners; by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway between the easterly line of Lisbon street and the westerly line of Madrid street, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadways of the crossings of Peru avenue and Madrid street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Fixing Sidewalk Widths.

Bill No. 6050, Ordinance No. 5642 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, en-

titled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto new sections, to be numbered seven hundred and ninety-one, and seven hundred and ninety-four to eight hundred and one, inclusive.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office May 4, 1922, by adding thereto new sections, to be numbered seven hundred and ninety-one, and seven hundred and ninety-four to eight hundred and one, inclusive, to read as follows:

Section 791. The width of sidewalks on Forest Side avenue between Taraval street and Vicente street shall be eleven (11) feet.

Section 794. The width of sidewalks on Madrone avenue between Taraval street and Vicente street shall be eleven (11) feet.

Section 795. The width of sidewalks on Lenox way between Ulloa street and Allston way shall be eight (8) feet.

Section 796. The width of sidewalks on Ulloa street between Funston street and West Portal avenue shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 797. The width of sidewalks on Wawona street between Fifteenth avenue and Taraval street shall be eleven (11) feet.

Section 798. The width of sidewalks on Vicente street between Fourteenth avenue and Portola drive shall be eleven (11) feet.

Section 799. The width of sidewalks on Fourteenth avenue between Vicente street and Portola drive shall be eleven (11) feet.

Section 800. The width of sidewalks on Fifteenth avenue between Wawona street and Portola drive shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 801. The width of sidewalks on West Portal avenue between Ulloa street and Portola drive shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Garage Permit.

The following matter, laid over from last meeting, was taken up:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Louis Wood to maintain a one-story garage on the south side of Fulton street, 500 gallons of gasoline on premises.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit becomes null and void.

#### Motions.

Supervisor McGregor moved to refer to the Fire Committee.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment that matter lay over two weeks.

Supervisor Deasy moved as an amendment to the amendment that the resolution lay over one week.

Amendment to the amendment carried.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy the Clerk was directed to notify all property owners.

#### REPORT OF FINANCE COMMITTEE.

Demands on the Treasury, amounting to \$204,135.86, were presented and approved by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### NEW BUSINESS.

##### Auditorium Rentals.

Resolution No. 19985 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following-named organizations are hereby granted permission to occupy the halls in the Auditorium; deposits having been paid to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to guarantee the rental fees:

Loyal Order of Moose, use of the Main and Polk halls, November 25, 1922, 6 p. m. to 1 a. m., for the purpose of holding a reception and dance.

Ladies' Auxiliary Congregation Keneth Israel, use of the Main Hall, December 17, 1922, 6 p. m. to 12 p. m., for the purpose of holding an entertainment and dance.

Auxiliary Children's Hospital, use of the Main, Polk and Larkin halls, February 12 and 13, 1923, for the purpose of holding the annual Mardi Gras.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

##### Amending Zoning Ordinance, Gough Street.

On motion of Supervisor McGregor: Bill No. 6052, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled, "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings and the location of buildings designed for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the use of property zone map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place both sides of Gough street between Fulton and Grove streets to the depth of the rear lot lines in the commercial district instead of in the second residential district.

Section 2 of the use of property zone map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northerly side of O'Farrell street between Scott and Divisadero streets to the depth of the rear lot lines in the commercial district instead of in the second residential district.

#### Recommended.

The following bill was presented by Supervisor McGregor and *recommended to the City Planning Committee.*

#### Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Changing Name of Union Square to Maiden Lane.

Bill No. 6053, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Changing the name of Union Square street to Maiden Lane, and changing the name of Maiden lane to Hls lane.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The name of Union Square street is hereby changed to Maiden lane, and the name of Maiden lane is hereby changed to Hls lane.

#### Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McLeran: Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(1) The Scott Co., first payment, plumbing, Mission High School addition (claim dated May 17, 1922), \$2,210.44.

(2) E. E. Etherton Co., fifth pay-

ment, general construction, McKinley School addition (claim dated May 17, 1922), \$2,213.42.

(3) E. E. Etherton Co., fourth payment, general construction, Adams School (claim dated May 17, 1922), \$2,671.67.

(4) Mahony Bros., fourth payment, construction Mission High School addition (claim dated May 17, 1922), \$19,327.68.

#### *Special School Tax, 1921-1922.*

(5) John Reid Jr., final payment, architectural services, Commodore Sloat School (claim dated May 17, 1922), \$887.52.

(6) Quinn & Reilly, fifth payment, general construction of Emerson School (claim dated May 17, 1922), \$9,349.50.

(7) Anderson & Ringrose, fourth payment, construction of Parkside School (claim dated May 17, 1922), \$18,892.50.

#### *Municipal Railway Fund.*

(8) Vera Mann, payment in satisfaction of judgment in Superior Court, arising out of accident on Municipal Railways (claim dated May 16, 1922), out of Depreciation Fund, \$1,548.20.

(9) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursement for April under agreement of December 12, 1918 (claim dated May 16, 1922), \$953.26.

(10) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished (claim dated May 16, 1922), \$2,030.81.

(11) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electric power for April (claim dated May 16, 1922), \$28,170.62.

(12) San Francisco City Employees Retirement Fund, pensions and gratuities of Municipal Railway employees for month of April (claim dated May 16, 1922), \$5,132.82.

#### *County Road Fund.*

(13) City Construction Co., first payment, construction of roadway on Geneva avenue and Walbridge street (claim dated May 19, 1922), \$3,000.

#### *Park Fund.*

(14) William Watson, services rendered account Lincoln Park and Lake Merced properties (claim dated May 18, 1922), \$1,000.

#### *Tearing Up Streets Fund.*

(15) J. H. McCallum, lumber, side-sewer construction (claim dated May 15, 1922), \$1,105.72.

(16) P. J. Gartland, repaving side-sewer trenches during April (claim dated May 15, 1922), \$1,008.67.

#### *Duplicate Tax Fund.*

(17) D. E. Moeker, refund of duplicate payment of taxes (claim dated May 12, 1922), \$565.10.

(18) Jos. H. Rucker Co., refund of duplicate payment of taxes (claim dated May 21, 1922), \$1,748.01.

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(19) Western Pipe & Steel Co., fourteenth payment, Hetch Hetchy air pipe (claim dated May 18, 1922), \$1,048.55.

(20) Goodyear Rubber Co., hose, etc (claim dated May 17, 1922), \$542.40.

(21) Crucible Steel Co., drill steel (claim dated May 17, 1922), \$561.34.

(22) Dodge Sweeny & Co., groceries (claim dated May 17, 1922), \$607.67.

(23) William Cluff Co., supplies (claim dated May 17, 1922), \$832.

(24) Myers-Whaley Co. Inc., steam shovel parts (claim dated May 17, 1922), \$1,304.92.

(25) M. Stulsaft Co., black pipe (claim dated May 17, 1922), \$2,352.78.

(26) The Utah Construction Co., Hetch Hetchy reservoir clearing (claim dated May 17, 1922), \$2,774.71.

(27) Chas. R. McCormick Lumber Co., cross ties (claim dated May 17, 1922), \$2,876.40.

(28) Blaw-Knox Co., tunnel forms and one traveler (claim dated May 17, 1922), \$16,266.67.

(29) U. S. Director of National Park Service, annual payment to U. S. Government under Hetch Hetchy grant (claim dated May 17, 1922), \$15,000.

*General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(30) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., April street lighting (claim dated May 22, 1922), \$44,906.21.

(31) D. J. O'Brien, Police contingent expense for June (claim dated May 1, 1922), \$750.

(32) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement, Dept. of Public Works (claim dated May 13, 1922), \$4,183.97.

(33) Bay Development Co., rock, Dept. of Public Works (claim dated May 16, 1922), \$778.50.

(34) Bay Development Co., rock, Dept. of Public Works (claim dated May 16, 1922), \$2,144.25.

(35) Bay Development Co., rock, Dept. of Public Works (claim dated May 16, 1922), \$582.50.

(36) Schultz Construction Co., final payment, fire cistern at Lakeview and Josiah avenues (claim dated May 17, 1922), \$2,495.81.

(37) Southern Pacific Co., freight on surface heaters (claim dated May 18, 1922), \$690.80.

(38) Coast Rock and Gravel Co., gravel and sand, Dept. of Public Works (claim dated May 17, 1922), \$1,597.51.

(39) Pacific Portland Cement Co. Con., limestone dust, Dept. of Public Works (claim dated May 18, 1922), \$1,704.42.

(40) Western Rock Products Co., sand, Dept. of Public Works (claim dated May 18, 1922), \$2,741.79.

(41) H. Harms & Co., coal, Fire Dept. (claim dated April 30, 1922), \$621.25.

(42) Wm. L. Hughson, three Ford roadsters, Fire Dept. (claim dated April 30, 1922), \$1,280.67.

Appropriation, \$6,000, Payment to P. M. Shoaf, Property for Everett School.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$6,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1, and authorized in payment to P. M. Shoaf; being payment for land and improvements required for the Edison School; said land is situate and commencing on the northerly line of Twenty-second street, 101 feet and 9 inches westerly from the westerly line of Church street, and being of dimensions 25 x 114 feet; in accordance with acceptance of offer per Resolution No. 19955 (New Series).

*Appropriations.*

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

*Special School Tax, 1921-1922.*

(1) For construction of the Pacific Heights School, north side of Jackson street between Webster & Fillmore streets, as per itemization hereinafter, \$267,738: General construction, C. L. Wold, \$178,777; electrical work, Butte Elec. Equipt. Co., \$8,430; heating & ventilating, P. J. Enright, \$13,810; plumbing, Jas. H. Pinkerton, \$16,921; metal furring, lathing, etc., Jas. F. Smith, \$44,800; extras and incidentals \$2,000; inspection, \$3,000.

(2) For general construction of temporary buildings for use of the Mission High School and Humboldt Evening High School, to be erected in Mission Park, as per itemization hereinafter, \$63,578: General construction, P. F. Reilly, \$58,800; architectural services, \$3,528; extras and incidentals, \$750; inspection, \$500.

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(3) For roofing of the addition to the Mission High School, Gladding McBean & Co., contract, \$6,135.

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(4) For furnishing and delivering four water wheel units for the Moccasin Creek Power Plant, under contract 79-A, Hetch Hetchy, additional to \$248,000 heretofore set aside, \$800.

*County Road Fund.*

(5) For the improvement of the westerly side of the Great Highway between Cabrillo & Fulton streets, as

per itemization hereinafter, \$14,000; Pacific States Construction Co., award, \$12,845.85; inspection and possible extras, \$1,154.15.

*Liberty Street, Budget Item No. 68.*

(6) For the improvement of Liberty street from Noe to Sanchez streets, and Sanchez street from Twentieth to Twenty-first streets, \$23,296.

*Fire Department Building, Budget Item No. 63.*

(7) For construction of Fire Department building, for Engine No. 39, south side of Portola drive opposite Kensington way, \$9,038.

#### Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

#### *Automobile Parking Station.*

W. W. Hopkins, on south side of Balboa street, 100 feet east of La Playa. The provisions of Ordinance No. 3108 (New Series) must be strictly complied with.

#### *Public Garage.*

Frank H. Clark, at the southeast corner of Divisadero and Grove streets; also to store 600 gallons of gasoline.

#### *Boiler.*

Michel & Pelton Co., at 639 Howard street. 8-horse power.

#### *Oil Storage Tank.*

(1500 gallons capacity.)

H. C. Keenan, at northwest corner of Buchanan street and Green street.

Mrs. Mary Ives Crocker, at northwest corner of Market and Guerrero streets.

W. P. Fuiler Co., at southwest corner of Mission and Beale streets.

The Emanu-El Sisterhood Inc., at northwest corner of Laguna and Page streets.

T. Anderson, on east side of Powell street, 100 feet south of Clay street.

Mercantile Trust Co., on south side of Sacramento street, 150 feet east of Montgomery street.

Pacific Embroidery Co., at gore of Mission and Otis streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

#### *Install Street Lights.*

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 19986 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company is hereby instructed to install, move and change street lights as follows:

#### *Install 250 M. R.*

Forty-second avenue between Geary and Anza streets.

Pico street and Ashton avenue.

Ashton avenue and Head street.

Parker avenue between Geary street and Rose avenue.

Twenty-first avenue between Cabrillo and Fulton streets.

Great Highway between Moraga and Noriega streets.

#### *Move 600 M. R.*

North side of Fulton street, west line of Leavenworth street to east line of Leavenworth at Fulton street.

#### *Change Gas Lamp.*

West side Scott street opposite 313 to south property line.

#### *Move Gas Lamp.*

212 Dorland street, north side, move 2 feet west.

957 Hampshire street to property line.

1074 Dolores street, 4 feet south of present location.

1440 Twelfth avenue, 4 feet to property line.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### *Extensions of Time.*

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 19987 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Frank J. McHugh is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after June 14, 1922, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Balboa street between Twenty-third and Twenty-fourth avenues.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that the work is well under way.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Resolution No. 19988 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. D. O'Hara is hereby granted an extension of thirty days time from and after May 24, 1922, within which to complete contract for the construction of sidewalks on Nineteenth avenue between Cabrillo and Fulton streets; Twenty-seventh avenue between Geary and Anza streets; Twenty-eighth avenue between Geary and Clement streets, and Thirty-fifth avenue between Balboa and Cabrillo streets.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Also, Resolution No. 19989 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Fay Improvement Company is hereby granted an extension of thirty days' time from and after June 3, 1922, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Army street between De Haro and Third streets, under public contract.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor was delayed owing to weather conditions. The work is completed with the exception of the asphalt covering on the north side of the street, and weather conditions permitting, the work will be completed within the time allowed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Masquerade Ball Permit.**

Supervisor Robb presented:

Resolution No. 19990 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted to Samuel M. Shortridge Non-Partisan League to give a masquerade ball at Majestic Hall, Geary and Fillmore streets, Tuesday evening, June 13, 1922, upon payment of the usual license fee.

*Supervisor McLeran:* I have been requested by the committee in charge of the Shriners' affairs to respectfully request, and it has been done in every instance, to allow the committee to pass upon applications for permits for activities held during Shriners' week.

The committee wrote a letter some time ago to the Mayor and Police Committee requesting that no special activities be permitted that week. They did that to prevent activities being held that might interfere with the program they had outlined, and also to safeguard the visitors from being imposed upon by some organizations that had been turned down by the Police Department.

*Supervisor Bath:* I might explain that Mr. Maas, who is at the head of the colored peoples' clubs, expects a large gathering of the colored people and he feels as though they should be entertained by themselves and that this is a proper way of doing it.

*Mr. Maas* was granted the privilege of the floor and declared that he represented the Samuel M. Shortridge Non-Partisan Colored Club, which was providing entertainment for a great number of colored porters who would be in town during Shriners' week.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution, subject to the approval of the Shriners' committee, was adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

**Amendment to Retirement Ordinance.**

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 24 of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series), entitled "Establishing a retirement system for employees of the City and County of San Francisco; providing for the payment of retirement allowances to aged and disabled employees and for the payment of death benefits; prescribing the conditions under which said allowances and benefits shall be paid; fixing rates of contribution and the amounts of retirement allowances and death benefits; and providing for the administration of said retirement system in accordance with Article XVII of the Charter."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 24 of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series), entitled "Establishing a retirement system for employees of the City and County of San Francisco; providing for the payment of allowances to aged and disabled employees and for the payment of death benefits; prescribing the conditions under which said allowances and benefits shall be paid; fixing rates of contribution and the amounts of retirement allowances and death benefits; and providing for the administration of said retirement system with Article XVII of the Charter," is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 24. No person who has been retired for service under the retirement system shall be paid for any service, except as a juror or election officer, rendered by him to the City after the date of his retirement, unless such beneficiary, at the time of his retirement has attained the age of seventy years, has completed ten years of continuous service as defined in this ordinance and is re-employed in the following manner:

The head of the office or department employing and desiring to re-employ such beneficiary shall file prior to the date of retirement of such beneficiary, an application with the Board of Administration setting forth such desire and stating that the re-employment of such beneficiary would be advantageous to the public service and that such re-employment would be for the per-

formance of duties requiring professional or technical skill.

If, after investigation, the Board of Administration be satisfied that the re-employment of such beneficiary would be advantageous to the public service by reason of his special training and ability resulting from his service with the City, and that such re-employment is for the performance of duties requiring professional or technical skill and qualifications peculiar to the employment, it may grant permission to the office or department to re-employ, immediately upon retirement and for the same service he was performing at the time of his retirement such beneficiary for one year. By similar action, such beneficiary may be re-employed from year to year thereafter.

The monthly installments falling due, during such re-employment, under the pension to which such beneficiary was entitled upon his retirement, shall not be paid to him but shall revert to the Retirement Fund. If such re-employment be terminated, the monthly installments under such pension falling due thereafter shall not revert to the Retirement Fund, but shall be paid to such beneficiary. Any settlement with such beneficiary or in connection with and as a result of his death shall be made in the same manner as if the monthly installments falling due during such re-employment had been paid to such beneficiary instead of reverting to the Retirement Fund.

No beneficiary so re-employed shall become a member of the Retirement System.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

*Referred to Committee on Civil Service, Standardization of Salaries and Retirement System.*

#### Diagonal Street on Scotch Hill.

*Supervisor Welch* presented the following resolution and moved its adoption:

Resolved, That this Board expresses its willingness to appropriate \$50,000 out of the moneys to be received during the year from the State Motor Vehicle License Tax for the purpose of constructing a diagonal street in the Potrero District between Twentieth and Twenty-second, Rhode Island and Carolina streets.

#### Amendment.

*Supervisor McLeran* moved to amend resolution by including streets mentioned in the Finance Committee report.

Discussion: Mayor Rolph, Supervisors Welch, McSheehy, Scott, Schmitz, Morgan, Hynes.

Amendment carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

#### Explanations of Votes.

*Supervisor McSheehy:* I am voting "No" for the reason that no specific amount is defined, and from information received verbally here in the Board this afternoon I am led to believe that these several projects will cost over the amount that we will receive from the Good Roads Fund; therefore the said extra amount would have to be made up by an increase in the tax rate.

*Supervisor Schmitz:* I am voting "Aye" because I have every confidence in the intention of the Board to include that along with the other projects, and I am not only interested in Scotch Hill, but also the Marina and the completion of the entire boulevard system. I believe that all the projects can be carried out with the \$300,000 we will get from the Good Roads Fund. I have that assurance, and therefore am voting "Aye."

*Supervisor Scott:* I am voting "Aye" consistently with my position the other night. I took that position on the assurance of Supervisor McGregor and the Finance Committee that on his resolution an estimate of the cost had been asked and the plan upon which it would be perfected. It is not necessary to state the amount at this time, because it would be imaginary and not based on fact, but I am satisfied there will be sufficient funds to carry out these various enterprises; therefore I am voting "Aye."

*Supervisor Welch:* The reason I fixed the amount at \$50,000 is because I supposed \$50,000 would be sufficient. In any event, it is impossible to fix the exact amount until a survey and report has been made from the Engineer's office, and I think the needs of Scotch Hill in the Potrero sufficiently strong and until such time as the Board will make a distribution of the highway fund which will be after we receive a report as to the exact cost, then will come the question as to whether there is sufficient money and as to whether they will give consideration to that section of the City as against some other part of the City. I would say, briefly, that this is a matter the Street Committee, the City Engineer and the Finance Committee could sit down together on and prorate the money as far as it will go. It is the same as they did on Sloat boulevard when they appropriated \$40,000 and then waited until they re-

ceived more money from Sacramento, and I think the Board should consider the wants and desires of those people over there and the necessity of these people having that road. I vote "Aye."

Thereupon, the foregoing resolution, amended as follows, was adopted by the following vote:

Resolution No. 19992 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That this Board hereby expresses its willingness to appropriate a sufficient sum out of the money to be received during the year from the State Vehicle License Tax for the purpose of constructing a diagonal street in the Potrero District, between Twentieth and Twenty-second, Rhode Island and Carolina streets; and also the completion of the link connecting Lincoln Park and the Great Highway; also the completion of the Marina, Telegraph Hill and Sloat boulevards.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

#### Operation of Elevators.

Supervisor Hynes presented:

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Relating to the regulation and operation of elevators and examinations for elevator operators.

*Referred to the Buildings Committee; copies to be sent to the members.*

#### Ku Klux Klan List.

*Supervisor Schmitz* requested that the Clerk be directed to communicate with the District Attorney and press his former motion that list of city employees in Ku Klux Klan be furnished Board of Supervisors.

General Committee, Peninsula Development, Granted Use of Chambers.

On motion of Supervisor Welch, the General Committee on Peninsula Development was granted use of the chambers for June 3, 1922.

Appropriation, \$500, Memorial Day.

*Supervisor McLeran* moved that the Clerk be authorized to advertise an appropriation of \$500 to defray expenses of Memorial Day celebration.

#### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board, at the hour of 5:45 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors July 24, 1922.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.



Monday, May 29, 1922.

Wednesday, May 31, 1922.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



**THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY**  
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

J

In  
cisc  
T  
reg

T  
ing  
S  
hill  
We  
N

W  
Sco  
the  
mee  
at :

S  
Rol  
Phi  
the  
ass

T  
ing  
S  
Hy  
Pow  
non

Q  
F  
P

F  
Ser

tric  
Sot

tric  
sen

be  
Wa

Pre  
ma

fu  
Isle

our  
-t

our  
cit

tha  
the

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

---

MONDAY, MAY 29, 1922, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, May 29, 1922, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Mulvihill, Robb, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—9.

No quorum.

### ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Scott, seconded by Supervisor Welch, the Board, at 2:05 p. m., adjourned to meet again Wednesday, May 31, 1922, at 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk.

### Reassembled.

Subsequently, his Honor Mayor Rolph appeared with a delegation of Philippine envoys and on his request the members of the Board were reassembled.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—13.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

### Presentation of Philippine Delegation.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presented Senator Pedro Quivera, Fourth District, Philippine Islands; Senator Otto Soberano, representing the Fifth District, Philippine Islands, and Representative Jesu Hermoso, a delegation, he explained, that is on its way to Washington, D. C., to confer with President Harding on June 16, 1922, on matters of vital importance to the future welfare of the Philippine Islands. I want them to feel—these, our fellow Americans in the Far East—that they are most welcome here in our city by the Golden Gate—in the city that they know best and the city that knows them best. In the name of the members of the Board and co-

operating with them, I bid you a very hearty welcome. (To Senator Quivera) I understand you to be the chairman of the delegation representing the people who will arrive on the 14th of June on the "Tenyo Maru." I take very great pleasure in introducing to you Senator Quivera.

Senator Pedro Quivera spoke, in part, as follows: "I find it very difficult to find words to express our gratitude for the warm welcome extended by the Honorable Mayor of this wonderful city. I don't think any human language can express what we feel today, and I know only one language that can express it very strongly, and that is the language of the heart. I extend the Honorable Mayor, the Board of Supervisors and the people of this beautiful city our deepest gratitude for this wonderful reception. It is inspiring to feel that after traveling 8000 miles from home you can meet people who are friendly to you and people who have sympathy for you. That encourages us to go on to Washington with confidence in the success of our mission.

"We wish to extend our invitation that you visit our country so we can show you how we love the American people—because we know that under the American flag we are living free. We are very proud of the progress we have achieved under the American flag."

Mayor Rolph: Senator Soberano asked to be excused from addressing you. Senator Quivera expressed himself in touching terms and I wish to remind him that he may carry it back to his fellow citizens in the Philippine Islands that we will never forget the participation of the Philippine Islands in our Panama-Pacific Exposition in 1915. We have always prized the exhibits of the Philippine Islands. We have all enjoyed the great days of 1915 during the Exposition, and wherever you travel, at home or abroad, the people of San Francisco remember the feeling that affects the people of the Philippine Islands.

You have a colony here of Filipino people. They never get into any trouble. We have a very friendly spirit towards the Philippine Islands. We

wish you well and godspeed in your visit to Washington, whatever you have in mind. I will call on Supervisor Shannon to respond.

*Supervisor Shannon:* Mr. Mayor and Members of the Board—It is a very great pleasure to be able to meet the representatives of the Philippine Government in San Francisco, and I sincerely regret that they did not herald their coming to San Francisco. I was one of the party who visited the Islands and must say you are a most hospitable people and entertained us most lavishly, and San Francisco wants to reciprocate your kindness.

I did not mention on my return that the Filipinos sent five members from their Chamber of Commerce to Hong Kong who traveled with us down to Manila and found out what we wanted. We were five days in Manila. I had four letters of introduction to present, but the people of Manila kept us going all the time and I did not have an opportunity to present my letters until the fifth day. I sincerely trust we shall always have sincere affiliation with the Filipino people. Anything that is raised in the Orient can be raised in the Philippine Islands, and we should try to encourage American capital to invest in them. Of course, we realize that until the American Government has some program no people will invest, but I think the time is coming when the people will get closer together.

I sincerely trust that in your trip to Washington you will realize your fondest hopes, and that during your stay in San Francisco we will be able to return in a measure the wonderful hospitality shown our people while in your wonderful city of Manila.

#### Writ of Mandate.

*Supervisor Schmitz:* presented a writ of mandate served on him for payment of judgment in matter of taxes adjudged to have been erroneously collected.

*Referred to City Attorney.*

#### Discussion.

Discussion with reference to previous adjournment of meeting by minority of the Board: Mayor Rolph, Supervisors Schmitz, McSheehy, Scott and Mulvihill.

#### Motion.

*Supervisor Mulvihill:* It was understood last Monday, and all approved, that there would not be a quorum and the Clerk notified me that there was not a quorum. I move you now that we adjourn until Wednesday at 2 p. m.

*Supervisor Bath:* I second that motion.

*The Chair:* A motion to adjourn is not debatable. Call the Roll.

#### ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the Roll was called and the meeting adjourned by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—11.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmitz—2.

Absent—Supervisors Hayden, McLeran, Morgan, Rossi, Welch—5.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk.

WEDNESDAY, MAY 31, 1922, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Wednesday, May 31, 1922, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

#### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors—Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Hayden was called to the chair.

#### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was *laid over for approval until next meeting.*

#### ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Relative to Appropriations for Rochambeau and Lafayette Schools.

*Communication*—From Park-Presidio Improvement Association, transmitting resolution asking Board of Supervisors to withhold from Board of Education approximately \$400,000 for the building of a new Rochambeau and a new Lafayette School.

Read by Clerk.

Relative to Bond Issue for Schools.

*Communication*—From the San Francisco Public Ownership Association, endorsing new schools for San Francisco, but urging that nothing be done at this meeting looking to a \$10,000,000 bond issue for new school buildings.

Read by the Clerk.

#### Independence Day Celebration.

*Communication*—From Peninsula Bureau of Chambers of Commerce, enclosing program for Community County Fair and Independence Day Celebration, July 4, 1922, to which Board of Supervisors are cordially invited.

Read and referred to Public Welfare and Publicity Committee.

Meeting of League of California Municipalities.

*Communication*—From League of

California Municipalities, giving notice of a conference of city officials of Northern California, at the Hotel Lincoln, Stockton, San Joaquin County, Saturday, June 3, 1922.

*Referred to Supervisor Shannon for attention.*

#### **Filbert Street Cleaning.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

*Communication* — From Chas. A. Simons, requesting that Filbert, Jones and Leavenworth streets be cleaned up during Shrine Convention.

*Clerk to acknowledge and refer to Board of Public Works for action.*

**Municipal Employees in the Ku Klux Klan.**

*Communication*—From District Attorney Matthew Brady, transmitting list of public officials appearing upon the list of the Ku Klux Klan, furnished by District Attorney Thos. L. Woolwine of Los Angeles.

*Read and ordered filed.*

**Wages for Laborers in the Fire Department.**

*Communication*—From Board of Fire Commissioners, transmitting resolution relative to wages for laborers in the Fire Department.

*Read and filed.*

**City Attorney's Opinion, Supplies Department Procedure.**

*Communication*—From City Attorney, in reply to Supervisor Rossi's resolution of March 6, designed to clarify alleged vague and ambiguous provision of Charter, advises that centralized purchasing power is not vested in the Board of Supervisors.

*Referred to Supplies Committee.*

#### **REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.**

The following committees, by their respective chairmen, presented reports on various matters referred, which reports were read and ordered *filed*:

Supplies Committee, by Supervisor Rossi, chairman.

Fire Committee, by Supervisor Deasy, chairman.

Joint Public Utilities and Lands and Tunnels Committee, by Supervisor Shannon, chairman.

**Engineer-Secretary for City Planning Commission.**

The following was presented, read and ordered *filed*:

San Francisco, May 29, 1922.

To the Board of Supervisors:

The City Planning Commission herewith presents an amendment to the ordinance establishing the Commission and requests its adoption.

The purpose of the amendment is to permit the Commission to appoint an engineer who will act as secretary.

For some time the Commission has employed an engineer assigned by the

Engineering Department, but he has not been on any authorized pay-roll. Under the retirement ordinance he is not eligible for membership and under existing conditions he is liable to forfeit his standing as an employee and lose all credits for prior service.

This is manifestly unjust.

Moreover, the adoption of the set-back-line ordinance will probably entail additional clerical duties. The present secretary feels that owing to the multiplicity of duties he will be unable to properly perform the necessary work and desires to be relieved.

Respectfully submitted,

MATT I. SULLIVAN,

President.

#### **UNFINISHED BUSINESS.**

##### **Final Passage.**

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

##### **Authorizations.**

Resolution No. 19994 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(1) The Scott Co., first payment, plumbing, Mission High School addition (claim dated May 17, 1922), \$2,210.44.

(2) E. E. Etherton Co., fifth payment, general construction, McKinley School addition (claim dated May 17, 1922), \$2,213.42.

(3) E. E. Etherton Co., fourth payment, general construction, Adams School (claim dated May 17, 1922), \$2,671.67.

(4) Mahony Bros., fourth payment, construction Mission High School addition (claim dated May 17, 1922), \$19,327.68.

*Special School Tax, 1921-1922.*

(5) John Reid Jr., final payment, architectural services, Commodore Sloat School (claim dated May 17, 1922), \$887.52.

(6) Quinn & Reilly, fifth payment, general construction of Emerson School (claim dated May 17, 1922), \$9,349.50.

(7) Anderson & Ringrose, fourth payment, construction of Parkside School (claim dated May 17, 1922), \$18,892.50.

*Municipal Railway Fund.*

(8) Vera Mann, payment in satisfaction of judgment in Superior Court, arising out of accident on Municipal Railways (claim dated May 16, 1922), out of Depreciation Fund, \$1,548.20.

(9) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursement for April under agreement of December 12, 1918 (claim dated May 16, 1922), \$953.26.

(10) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished (claim dated May 16, 1922), \$2,030.81.

(11) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electric power for April (claim dated May 16, 1922), \$28,170.62.

(12) San Francisco City Employees Retirement Fund, pensions and gratuities of Municipal Railway employees for month of April (claim dated May 16, 1922), \$5,132.82.

#### *County Road Fund.*

(13) City Construction Co., first payment, construction of roadway on Geneva avenue and Wallbridge street (claim dated May 19, 1922), \$3,000.

#### *Park Fund.*

(14) William Watson, services rendered account Lincoln Park and Lake Merced properties (claim dated May 18, 1922), \$1,000.

#### *Tearing Up Streets Fund.*

(15) J. H. McCallum, lumber, side-sewer construction (claim dated May 15, 1922), \$1,105.72.

(16) P. J. Gartland, repaving side-sewer trenches during April (claim dated May 15, 1922), \$1,008.67.

#### *Duplicate Tax Fund.*

(17) D. E. Mocker, refund of duplicate payment of taxes (claim dated May 12, 1922), \$565.10.

(18) Jos. H. Rucker Co., refund of duplicate payment of taxes (claim dated May 21, 1922), \$1,748.01.

#### *Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(19) Western Pipe & Steel Co., fourteenth payment, Hetch Hetchy air pipe (claim dated May 18, 1922), \$1,048.55.

(20) Goodyear Rubber Co., hose, etc (claim dated May 17, 1922), \$542.40.

(21) Crucible Steel Co., drill steel (claim dated May 17, 1922), \$561.34.

(22) Dodge Sweeny & Co., groceries (claim dated May 17, 1922), \$607.67.

(23) William Cluff Co., supplies (claim dated May 17, 1922), \$832.

(24) Myers-Whaley Co. Inc., steam shovel parts (claim dated May 17, 1922), \$1,304.92.

(25) The Utah Construction Co., Hetch Hetchy reservoir clearing (claim dated May 17, 1922), \$2,774.71.

(26) Chas. R. McCormick Lumber Co., cross ties (claim dated May 17, 1922), \$2,876.40.

(27) Blaw-Knox Co., tunnel forms and one traveler (claim dated May 17, 1922), \$16,266.67.

(28) U. S. Director of National Park Service, annual payment to U. S. Government under Hetch Hetchy grant (claim dated May 17, 1922), \$15,000.

#### *General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(30) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., April street lighting (claim dated May 22, 1922), \$44,906.21.

(31) D. J. O'Brien, Police contingent expense for June (claim dated May 1, 1922), \$750.

(32) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement, Dept. of Public Works. (claim dated May 13, 1922), \$4,183.97.

(33) Bay Development Co., rock, Dept. of Public Works (claim dated May 16, 1922), \$778.50.

(34) Bay Development Co., rock, Dept. of Public Works (claim dated May 16, 1922), \$2,144.25.

(35) Bay Development Co., rock, Dept. of Public Works (claim dated May 16, 1922), \$582.50.

(36) Schultz Construction Co., final payment, fire cistern at Lakeview and Josiah avenues (claim dated May 17, 1922), \$2,495.81.

(37) Southern Pacific Co., freight on surface heaters (claim dated May 18, 1922), \$690.80.

(38) Coast Rock and Gravel Co., gravel and sand, Dept. of Public Works (claim dated May 17, 1922), \$1,597.51.

(39) Pacific Portland Cement Co. Con., limestone dust, Dept. of Public Works (claim dated May 18, 1922), \$1,704.42.

(40) Western Rock Products Co., sand, Dept. of Public Works (claim dated May 18, 1922), \$2,741.79.

(41) H. Harms & Co., coal, Fire Dept. (claim dated April 30, 1922), \$621.25.

(42) Wm. L. Hughson, three Ford roadsters, Fire Dept. (claim dated April 30, 1922), \$1,280.67.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Appropriation, \$6,000, Payment to P. M. Shoaf, Property for Everett School.

Resolution No. 19995 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$6,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1, and authorized in payment to P. M. Shoaf; being payment for land and improvements required for the Edison School; said land is situate and commencing on the northerly line of Twenty-second street, 101 feet and 9 inches westerly from the westerly line of Church street, and being of dimensions 25 x 114 feet; in accordance with acceptance of offer per Resolution No. 19955 (New Series).

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill,

Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Appropriations.

Resolution No. 19996 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

#### *Special School Tax, 1921-1922.*

(1) For construction of the Pacific Heights School, north side of Jackson street between Webster & Fillmore streets, as per itemization hereinafter, \$267,738: General construction, C. L. Wold, \$178,777; electrical work, Butte Elec. Equipt. Co., \$8,430; heating & ventilating, P. J. Enright, \$13,810; plumbing, Jas. H. Pinkerton, \$16,921; metal furring, lathing, etc., Jas. F. Smith, \$44,800; extras and incidentals \$2,000; inspection, \$3,000.

(2) For general construction of temporary buildings for use of the Mission High School and Humboldt Evening High School, to be erected in Mission Park, as per itemization hereinafter, \$63,578: General construction, P. F. Reilly, \$58,800; architectural services, \$3,528; extras and incidentals, \$750; inspection, \$500.

#### *School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(3) For roofing of the addition to the Mission High School, Gladding McBean & Co., contract, \$6,135.

#### *Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(4) For furnishing and delivering four water wheel units for the Moccasin Creek Power Plant, under contract 79-A, Hetch Hetchy, additional to \$248,000 heretofore set aside, \$800.

#### *County Road Fund.*

(5) For the improvement of the westerly side of the Great Highway between Cabrillo & Fulton streets, as per itemization hereinafter, \$14,000; Pacific States Construction Co., award, \$12,845.85; inspection and possible extras, \$1,154.15.

#### *Liberty Street, Budget Item No. 68.*

(6) For the improvement of Liberty street from Noe to Sanchez streets, and Sanchez street from Twentieth to Twenty-first streets, \$23,296.

#### *Fire Department Building, Budget Item No. 63.*

(7) For construction of Fire Department building, for Engine No. 39, south side of Portola drive opposite Kensington way, \$9,038.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Permits.

Resolution No. 19997 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

#### *Automobile Parking Station.*

W. W. Hopkins, on south side of Balboa street, 100 feet east of La Playa. The provisions of Ordinance No. 3108 (New Series) must be strictly complied with.

#### *Public Garage.*

Frank H. Clark, at the southeast corner of Divisadero and Grove streets; also to store 600 gallons of gasoline.

#### *Boiler.*

Michel & Pelton Co., at 639 Howard street, 8-horse power.

#### *Oil Storage Tank.*

(1500 gallons capacity.)

H. C. Keenan, at northwest corner of Buchanan street and Green street.

Mrs. Mary Ives Crocker, at northwest corner of Market and Guerrero streets.

W. P. Fuller Co., at southwest corner of Mission and Beale streets.

The Emanu-El Sisterhood Inc., at northwest corner of Laguna and Page streets.

T. Anderson, on east side of Powell street, 100 feet south of Clay street.

Mercantile Trust Co., on south side of Sacramento street, 150 feet east of Montgomery street.

Pacific Embroidery Co., at gore of Mission and Otis streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 19998 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Louis Wood to maintain a one-story garage on the south side of Fulton street, 500 gallons of gasoline on premises.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit becomes null and void.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Miss Perine, property owner, was granted the privilege of the floor and protested against the foregoing permit.

#### COMMUNICATIONS.

The following were read by the Clerk:

*Petition*—Of property owners against granting permit.

*Communication*—From S. Waldo

Coleman, President Security Bank and Trust Co., stating that Mr. Davis of said institution supported protest against garage under misunderstanding of the facts, and that the institution was not concerned in the matter,

Also, from Geo. A. McCann, favoring garage.

Whereupon, the roll was called and the foregoing resolution was *finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Scott, Wetmore—12.

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, McSheehy, Rossi, Shannon, Welch—6.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Gough Street.

Bill No. 6052, Ordinance No. 5644 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled, "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings and the location of buildings designed for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the use of property zone map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place both sides of Gough street between Fulton and Grove streets to the depth of the rear lot lines in the commercial district instead of in the second residential district.

Section 2 of the use of property zone map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northerly side of O'Farrell street between Scott and Divisadero streets to the depth of the rear lot lines in the commercial district instead of in the second residential district.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### REPORT OF FINANCE COMMITTEE.

Demands on the Treasury amounting to \$259,486.76 were presented and *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### NEW BUSINESS.

Transfer of Municipal Railway Funds.

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 19999 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That transfers of funds are hereby ordered as follows:

From the Municipal Railway Fund to the Geary Street Railway Bond Interest Fund the sum of \$27,787.50 to meet interest charge on July 1, 1922;

From Municipal Railway Fund to the Market Street Railway Bond Interest Fund the sum of \$1,080 to meet interest charge on July 1, 1922;

From Municipal Railway Fund to Municipal Railway Bond Interest Fund the sum of \$77,500 to meet interest charge on June 1, 1922;

From Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund to the Geary Street Railway Bond Redemption Fund the sum of \$95,000 to meet principal on bonds due July 1, 1922.

The attention of the Auditor and Treasurer is directed to the provisions of this resolution.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

#### Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

#### Automobile Supply Station.

F. W. Bullock and M. Larrimore, at southwest corner of Fulton and Gough streets; also to store 600 gallons of gasoline on premises. The provisions of Ordinance No. 2659 (New Series) must be strictly complied with.

Standard Oil Co., southeast corner of Arguello boulevard and Geary street; also to store 1200 gallons of gasoline on premises. The provisions of Ordinance No. 2659 (New Series) must be strictly complied with.

#### Oil Storage Tank.

F. C. White, at northeast corner of California and Leavenworth streets; 1500 gallons capacity.

Fred Saunders, guardian etc., on north side of Geary street, 85 feet east of Polk street; 1500 gallons capacity.

A. W. Morton, at 775 Cole street; 1500 gallons capacity.

Fleischman Co., at 941 Mission street; 1500 gallons capacity.

Trustees of Shriners' Hospital for Crippled Children in block bounded by Nineteenth and Twentieth avenues, Lawton and Moraga streets; 2100 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this reso-

lution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

#### Amendment to Fireworks Ordinance.

The following matter heretofore referred to the Fire Committee and returned to the Board by said Committee without recommendation was taken up:

Bill No. ———, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Prohibiting the storage, manufacture, sale or discharge of agencies that create noise and fire, such as fireworks, firecrackers and similar agencies, in the City and County of San Francisco, and providing a penalty for violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person or persons, firm, company, corporation or association to store, manufacture, sell or discharge any agency that creates noise and fire, such as fireworks, firecrackers or any similar agency, within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco; provided, however, that public display of fireworks and similar agencies may be given with the joint written consent and under the supervision of the Fire Marshal and Chief of Police; and provided, further, that any agencies which are exploded by means of percussion only and which sell at retail at a price not to exceed one cent each shall not be deemed to be within the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 2. Fireworks or firecrackers imported from foreign countries for trans-shipment may be temporarily stored while in transit in such bonded warehouses as may be designated by the Fire Marshal.

Section 3. Any person or persons, firm, corporation or association who or which shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine not to exceed five hundred dollars or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period not to exceed six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 4. Ordinance No. 5443 (New Series), and all other ordinances or parts of ordinances, in so far as they conflict with this ordinance, are hereby repealed.

Section 5. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### Communications.

*Communication*—From Board of Fire Wardens, protesting against proposed amendment or modification of Ordinance No. 5443 prohibiting use of fireworks.

Also, *Communication*—From San Francisco Center of the California Civic League protesting proposed amendment.

Also, *Communication*—From Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety protesting the proposed amendment.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

*Fire Marshal C. J. Cullen, Capt. Spear* and others were granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board in opposition to the foregoing amendment.

#### Amendment Withdrawn.

Whereupon, Supervisor Powers, being permitted, *withdrew* the proposed amendment.

#### Clerk to Advertise for Lighting Proposals.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 20000 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board is hereby authorized and directed to advertise for proposals for lighting public buildings, streets and parks of the City and County for the year commencing July 1, 1922, in accordance with specifications prepared and under the direction of the Lighting, Water Service and Telephone Service Committee of the Board.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### City Attorney to Commence Condemnation Proceedings for Land Required for Terminal of Taraval Street Line.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 20001 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following properties situated in the City and County of San Francisco and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

#### Parcel 1.

Beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Forty-seventh avenue with the northerly line of Taraval street, and running thence northerly and along the westerly line of Forty-seventh avenue 25 feet; thence at right angles westerly 120 feet; thence at right angles southerly 25 feet to the northerly line of Taraval street; thence at right angles easterly along the northerly line of Taraval street 120 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Outside Lands Block No. 1149.

#### Parcel 2.

Beginning at a point on the westerly

line of Forty-seventh avenue, distant thereon 25 feet northerly from the northerly line of Taraval street, and running thence northerly along the westerly line of Forty-seventh avenue 25 feet; thence at right angles westerly 120 feet; thence at right angles southerly 25 feet; thence at right angles easterly 120 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Outside Lands Block No. 1149.

*Parcel 3.*

Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Forty-seventh avenue, distant thereon 50 feet northerly from the northerly line of Taraval street, and running thence northerly along the westerly line of Forty-seventh avenue 25 feet; thence at right angles westerly 120 feet; thence at right angles southerly 25 feet; thence at right angles easterly 120 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Outside Lands Block No. 1149.

*Parcel 4.*

Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Forty-eighth avenue with the northerly line of Taraval street, and running thence northerly along the easterly line of Forty-eighth avenue 25 feet; thence at right angles easterly 120 feet; thence at right angles southerly 25 feet to the northerly line of Taraval street; thence at right angles westerly along the northerly line of Taraval street 120 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Outside Lands Block No. 1149.

*Parcel 5.*

Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Forty-eighth avenue, distant thereon 25 feet northerly from the northerly line of Taraval street, and running thence northerly along the easterly line of Forty-eighth avenue 25 feet; thence at right angles easterly 120 feet; thence at right angles southerly 25 feet; thence at right angles westerly 120 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Outside Lands Block No. 1149.

*Parcel 6.*

Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Forty-eighth avenue, distant thereon 50 feet northerly from the northerly line of Taraval street, and running thence northerly along the easterly line of Forty-eighth avenue 25 feet; thence at right angles easterly 120 feet; thence at right angles southerly 25 feet; thence at right angles westerly 120 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Outside Lands Block No. 1149.

Be it further Resolved, That said properties are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: As a terminal for the Taraval street branch of the Municipal Railway of San Francisco. It is nec-

essary that a fee simple title be taken for such use. The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said tracts of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

*Clerk to Advertise for Printing Journals.*

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 20002 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk be directed to advertise that sealed proposals will be received by this Board at the hour of 3 o'clock p. m., on Monday, June 19, 1922, for printing the Journal of Proceedings and Calendars of the Board of Supervisors, Daily Trial and Law and Motion Calendars and decisions of the Supreme and Appellate Courts for the fiscal year 1922-1923.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

*Tunnel Construction Resolution of Intention.*

Supervisor Shannon presented, with the recommendation of the Joint Committee on Public Utilities and Lands and Tunnels:

Resolution No. 20003 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco deems that the public interest and convenience requires the construction for public use of the tunnel hereinafter described within the City and County of San Francisco.

That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to order the construction of a tunnel with appurtenances under the elevation in said City and County of San Francisco, State of California, whereon is situated Buena Vista Park, in the place and in the manner hereinafter specified and that the following is a general description of the construction contemplated, to-wit:

The center of the easterly portal of said tunnel shall be situated at a point approximately 92 feet easterly of the easterly line of Scott street measured along the northerly line of Duboce avenue and 1 foot northerly of the north line of Duboce avenue (measured at right angles thereto) and at such grade as to give convenient and necessary head room so that said tunnel

may pass beneath and under the surface of Scott street and Duboce avenue.

Access to said portal shall be obtained by means of an approach consisting of an open cut extending from a point on the northerly line of Duboce avenue, distant thereon approximately 392 feet easterly from the easterly line of Scott street, and extending by a curved and straight line to said portal, providing a grade from the street level at the easterly end of said cut or approach to the tunnel level at said portal.

The center of the westerly portal of said tunnel shall be situated within the block bounded by Frederick, Clayton, Carl and Cole streets at a point distant approximately 133 feet at right angles northerly from the northerly line of Carl street and distant approximately 190 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Clayton street.

Access to such portal shall be obtained by means of an approach consisting of an open cut extending from the northerly line of Carl street at a point 137 feet easterly of the easterly line of Cole street and extending by a straight and curved line to said portal, providing a grade from the street level at the westerly end of said cut or approach to the tunnel level at said portal.

The bore of said tunnel shall extend from the above mentioned easterly portal to the above mentioned westerly portal, the route of said tunnel following straight and curved lines, in, under and through lands, easements and rights of way therefor, to be acquired therefor, and hereinafter described as being necessary and convenient for the purpose of the said proposed tunnel construction; and in, under and through lands of the City and County and intersecting streets, avenues, lanes, alleys, places or courts in such manner as to connect the said two termini of said proposed construction by proper gradients between said two terminal points.

Generally the main bore of said tunnel shall be constructed to be approximately 25 feet wide in the clear and to be properly bored and properly and suitably lined with reinforced concrete, the sides of said approaches by means of open cuts to be properly supported with proper and suitable retaining walls or bulkheads with proper coping and balustrades constructed of reinforced concrete, and said portals or entrances to be properly constructed of reinforced concrete, said tunnel to have suitable provision for drainage and to be provided with all appurtenances necessary to make said tunnel fit and convenient for public use.

The points to be connected thereby are as hereibefore specified.

The districts to be connected by said tunnel are: First, the district or part of said City and County lying in a generally easterly direction from the easterly portal of said tunnel, and secondly, the district or part of said City and County lying in a generally westerly direction from the westerly portal of said tunnel; for the purpose of affording direct, ready and speedy means of access from each of said districts to the other; the object to be accomplished in making said tunnel being to provide a way for rapid transit between the two sections of said City and County aforementioned, for one or more street car lines, in accordance with and subject to the provisions of Chapter VIII of Article VI of the Charter of said City and County.

That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to order the acquisition in fee simple and to acquire and condemn the lands hereinafter described, which said lands are deemed by said Board of Supervisors necessary and convenient for the purpose of the said proposed tunnel construction, including its portals and approaches, to-wit:

All that real property situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Clayton street, distant thereon 100 feet northerly from the northerly line of Carl street, and running thence northerly along the westerly line of Clayton street 75 feet; thence at right angles westerly 125 feet; thence at right angles southerly 10.95 feet; thence deflecting 84 deg. 56 min. 58 sec. to the right and running westerly 67.701 feet; thence southwesterly on a curve to the left of 275-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, to a point distant 137 feet 6 inches at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street; thence westerly parallel with Frederick street 177.773 feet to a point distant 104 feet at right angles easterly from the easterly line of Cole street; thence at right angles southerly 137 feet 6 inches to a point on the northerly line of Carl street, distant thereon 104 feet easterly from the easterly line of Cole street; thence easterly along the northerly line of Carl street 150 feet 8 inches; thence at right angles northerly to a point distant 209.877 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street; thence northeasterly on a curve to the right of 225-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 53 deg. 41 min. 53 sec. to the right from the preceding course, to a

point distant 167.080 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street; thence easterly, tangent to the preceding curve 62.885 feet to a point distant 161.544 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street; thence southerly, parallel with Clayton street, to a point distant 100 feet at right angles northerly from the northerly line of Carl street; thence at right angles easterly 125 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Western Addition Block 678.

And that it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to order the acquisition of and to acquire and condemn an easement or right of way for, and as being necessary and convenient for, the purpose of the said proposed tunnel construction.

The lands deemed necessary and convenient to be taken therefor and for said purpose are described as follows:

Being an easement and right of way for a subterranean or sub-surface tunnel under, beneath and through that certain real property situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and described as follows, to-wit:

All lands lying between two parallel lines, situated respectively twenty-five (25) feet at right angles northerly, and twenty-five (25) feet at right angles southerly from the following described center line:

Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Scott street, if extended and produced southerly, distant thereon nineteen (19) feet southerly from the northerly line of Duboce avenue, and running thence on a straight line westerly to a point on the westerly line of Clayton street, distant thereon one hundred and twenty-five (125) feet southerly from the southerly line of Frederick street, saving, excepting and excluding therefrom all public streets, avenues, alleys, ways, public parks and all portions of Western Addition Block 441, therein included and contained.

The damages, costs and expenses of said work and tunnel construction and of said acquisitions, including all damages and compensation to be ascertained, awarded and paid to the owner or owners or persons interested in the lands and easements to be acquired as aforesaid, including damages to improvements thereon, shall be assessed upon private property.

The following is a description and specification of the exterior boundaries of the district of land to be benefited by such construction and acquisitions, and to be assessed to pay the damages, costs and expenses of said tunnel construction and of said acquisitions.

All that certain land situate in the

City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and described as follows:

Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Frederick street, distant thereon easterly from the easterly line of Clayton street 101 feet 3 inches; thence southerly and parallel to the westerly line of Downey street 980 feet  $8\frac{3}{4}$  inches; thence westerly and parallel to the southerly line of Parnassus avenue to a point 124 feet  $7\frac{1}{4}$  inches easterly from the easterly line of Belvedere street and 292 feet  $4\frac{3}{8}$  inches southerly from the southerly line of Parnassus avenue; thence southerly and parallel to the easterly line of Belvedere street to a point on the northerly line of Seventeenth street, distant thereon easterly from the easterly line of Belvedere street 124 feet 11  $9\text{--}16$  inches; thence southerly to a point on the southerly line of Seventeenth street, distant thereon easterly from the easterly line of Belvedere street 125 feet; thence southerly and parallel to the easterly line of Belvedere street to a point on the northerly line of Carmel street, distant thereon easterly from the easterly line of Belvedere street 125 feet; thence southerly to a point on the southerly line of Carmel street, distant thereon easterly from the easterly line of Cole street 508 feet  $10\frac{3}{4}$  inches; thence southerly and parallel to the easterly line of Cole street 131 feet 9 inches; thence westerly and parallel to the southerly line of Carmel street 289 feet  $3\frac{3}{4}$  inches; thence southwesterly 113 feet 0 inches to a point distant 125 feet  $0\frac{5}{8}$  inch easterly from the easterly line of Cole street (distance measured parallel to the southerly line of Carmel street), and 201 feet  $11\frac{3}{4}$  inches southerly from the southerly line of Carmel street, measured parallel to the easterly line of Cole street; thence southwesterly 35 feet 2 inches to a point 100 feet 0 inches easterly from the easterly line of Cole street (measured parallel to the southerly line of Carmel street) and 228 feet  $9\frac{3}{8}$  inches southerly from the southerly line of Carmel street, measured parallel to the easterly line of Cole street; thence westerly and parallel to the southerly line of Carmel street to a point on the northerly line of Estee street, distant thereon easterly from the easterly line of Stanyan street 136 feet 0 inches; thence southerly to a point on the southerly line of Estee street, distant thereon easterly from the easterly line of Stanyan street 133 feet 8 inches; thence southerly to a point on the northerly line of Belgrave avenue, distant thereon easterly from the easterly line of Stanyan street 125 feet  $0\frac{5}{8}$  inch; thence southerly to the southerly line of Bel-

grave avenue, distant thereon easterly from the easterly line of Stanyan street 121 feet 3 inches; thence southerly to a point on the northerly line of Clarendon avenue, distant thereon easterly from the easterly line of Stanyan street 105 feet 6 inches, measured along the northerly property line of Clarendon avenue; thence southwest-erly along the northerly line of Clarendon avenue to a point distant thereon westerly from the westerly line of Stanyan street 159 feet 4 inches; thence westerly and parallel to the southerly line of Belgrave avenue 400 feet 0 inches; thence northerly and parallel to the westerly line of Stanyan street 600 feet 0 inches; thence westerly to a point on the westerly line of Locksley avenue, distant thereon northerly from the northerly line of Lawton street 330.898 feet, measured along the westerly property line of Locksley avenue; thence southeasterly along the westerly line of Locksley avenue to the northerly line of Moraga street; thence southwest-erly to the intersection of the southerly line of Moraga street and the westerly line of Locksley avenue; thence southwest-erly along the westerly line of Locksley avenue to a point 100 feet 0 inches southerly from the southerly line of Moraga street, measured at right angles to the southerly line of Moraga street; thence westerly and parallel to the southerly line of Moraga street to a point 127 feet 6 inches westerly from the westerly line of Fifteenth avenue and 100 feet southerly from the southerly line of Moraga street; thence southerly and parallel to the westerly line of Fifteenth avenue to a point on the northerly line of Ortega street, distant thereon westerly from the westerly line of Fifteenth avenue 127 feet 6 inches; thence westerly along the northerly line of Ortega street to the easterly line of Great Highway; thence northwest-erly along the easterly line of Great Highway to the southerly line of Judah street; thence northwest-erly to the intersection of the northerly line of Judah street and the easterly line of Great Highway; thence northwest-erly along the easterly line of Great Highway to the southerly line of Lincoln way; thence easterly along the southerly line of Lincoln way to the easterly line of Second avenue; thence north-erly at right angles to the southerly line of Lincoln way to the southerly line of Golden Gate Park; thence easterly along the southerly line of Golden Gate Park to the westerly line of Stanyan street; thence northeast-erly to a point on the easterly line of Stanyan street, distant thereon north-erly from the northerly line of Beulah street 150 feet 0 inches; thence east-erly, parallel to the northerly line of

Beulah street to a point on the west-erly line of Cole street, distant thereon northerly from the northerly line of Beulah street 150 feet 0 inches; thence southeasterly to the easterly line of Cole street, distant thereon southerly from the southerly line of Waller street 133 feet 9 inches; thence east-erly and parallel to the southerly line of Waller street 125 feet 0 inches; thence southerly and parallel to the easterly line of Cole street 225 feet 0 inches; thence easterly and parallel to the northerly line of Frederick street to the westerly line of Clayton street and distant thereon northerly from the northerly line of Frederick street 260 feet 0 inches; thence south-erly to the easterly line of Clayton street, distant thereon northerly from the northerly line of Frederick street 253 feet 9 inches; thence easterly and parallel to the northerly line of Frederick street 106 feet 0 inches; thence southerly and parallel to the easterly line of Clayton street to the northerly line of Frederick street, distant thereon easterly from the easterly line of Clayton street 106 feet 0 inches; thence southwest-erly to the southerly line of Frederick street to the point of commencement.

Saving, excepting and excluding from said district all public streets,, avenues, alleys and ways therein included and contained.

The Board of Supervisors elects to proceed in said matter under and pursuant to the provisions of the Tunnel Procedure Ordinance of the City and County of San Francisco.

Reference is hereby made to the provisions of Section 4 of the Tunnel Procedure Ordinance of the City and County of San Francisco, which said Section 4 reads as follows:

Section 4. Upon the completion of the posting of the notices provided for in Section 3 the Board of Public Works shall cause a notice to be published for five days in the official newspaper reciting the fact of such posting. Any owner of property or persons interested therein, claiming that such property would sustain damage if the proposed tunnel construction be completed, may file with the Board of Public Works within thirty days after the expiration of the time of publication of the said notice in this section provided for, a petition showing the fact of such ownership, or interest therein, a description of the property which it is claimed would be damaged, its market value and the estimated amount of damages which the property would sustain by the proposed tunnel construction, if completed. Such petition shall be verified by the oath of the petitioner or his agent.

Reference is hereby made to the provisions of Section 43 of said ordinance, which said Section 43 reads as follows:

Section 43. Any owner or owners or persons interested in property, claiming that such property is affected by said proposed acquisition may file the petition mentioned in Section 4 of this ordinance within the time limited thereby claiming damages or compensation therefor.

The Board of Supervisors deems it expedient that the construction and the acquisition hereinbefore mentioned be initiated and completed in one proceeding and in this proceeding.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Explanations of Vote.

*Supervisor Hynes:* I want this made a part of the record, that my voting for this, which I understand is the first procedure to start the ball rolling, does not in any way commit me or bind me or hold me to vote definitely for the Sunset Assessment District.

*Supervisor McSheehy:* I simply want to insert in the record that if I find that hill plan is a better plan I will have the right to change my vote.

*Supervisor Robb:* I am voting "Aye" with the understanding that I can change my vote if the assessment district is unsatisfactory.

*Supervisor Schmitz:* I hardly think it is necessary to make a statement. I understand this resolution of intention simply declares it to be the policy of the Board of Supervisors to establish transportation in that district of San Francisco. I want to make a record to the effect that I will be perfectly free to vote on any proposition that comes before the Board as I may deem in the best interests of San Francisco, but it does not commit me to any particular plan.

#### Notice of Reconsideration.

Subsequently, during the meeting, Supervisor Hynes gave notice that he would move for reconsideration at the next meeting.

#### ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Extension of Time, James M. Smith.

Resolution No. 20009 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That James M. Smith is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after June 12, 1922, within which to complete contract for improvement of Key avenue between Railroad avenue and Jennings street, under public contract.

This extension of time is granted for

the reason that contractor has been delayed by devoting the greater part of his time (at the request of the Board of Public Works) to his contract with the City for the improvement of Point Lobos avenue between Forty-eighth avenue and the Great Highway.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Circus Permit.

Supervisor Robb presented:

Resolution No. 20004 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted to Ringling Brothers and Barnum-Bailey Combined Shows to hold exhibitions at Twelfth and Market streets September 1, 2 and 3, 1922, upon complying with the provisions of Section 34, Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), and all sanitary regulations of the Board of Health.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Lighting Service.

Supervisor Power presented:

Resolution No. 20005 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Pacific Gas and Electric Company be instructed to light eight electroliers in Union Square from June 7th to July 7th, inclusive; also to light arch on Mission and Twenty-fifth streets during the month of June.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Surface Route Into Sunset.

Supervisor Hynes presented:

Resolution No. 20006 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Engineer be requested to report to this Board whether it is possible to construct an extension of the Municipal Railway from Market street into the Sunset district by a surface route or routes; to furnish an estimate of the cost thereof, and in formulating such report to disregard any obstacles of a legal character.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill,

Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Finance Committee to Estimate Amount of Revenues From Other Sources Than Taxation.**

Supervisor Hynes presented:

Resolution No. 20007 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Finance Committee be requested to submit its estimate of the amount of revenue, other than for taxation, to be derived by the City during the next fiscal year, itemized as to the sources thereof.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**City Engineer to Advise as to Individual Assessment for Duboce Tunnel.**

Supervisor Hynes presented:

Resolution No. 20008 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Engineer be requested to furnish the Board the following information:

When will the Board receive the information as to the exact amount chargeable to and collectable from the individual owners of property included in the proposed Sunset Tunnel assessment district?

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Method of Presenting Ordinance Amendments.**

Supervisor Schmitz presented:

Resolution No. 20009 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That when an amendment to any present ordinance is to be considered by this Board of Supervisors that the original ordinance to be amended be printed on the calendar with the amended ordinance so that comparison may be readily made by the Supervisors.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Opening Cliff House Boulevard.**

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 20010 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors and City Engineer's office cooperate with the Civic League of Improvement Clubs in appropriate ceremonies in celebration of the opening

of the Cliff House boulevard on Sunday, June 11th.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Charter Amendment, Centralization of Supplies Department, Except School Department.**

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Proposed Charter amendment designed to centralize all purchasing except for School Department, under the Board of Supervisors.

*Referred to the Judiciary Committee.*  
**City Attorney to Furnish List of Differential Rates in Duboce Tunnel Assessment District.**

Supervisor Hynes presented the following resolution and moved its adoption under suspension of the rules:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Engineer be requested to furnish this Board, at the earliest moment and preliminary to incurring any expense of preparing plans and specifications for the Duboce avenue tunnel, a list of differential rates per square foot to be imposed upon the area of the assessment district, together with the approximate boundaries of the zones to be affected by such differential rates.

Laid on the Table.

Supervisor McLeran, seconded by Supervisor Scott, moved to lay resolution on the table.

Motion to lay on the table *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—10.

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hynes, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Welch—8.

**Ku Klux Klan Mask Ordinance.**

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Prohibiting the use of masks except by those attending carnivals conducted in accordance with city ordinances and under permission of proper authority of the city.

Whereas, an organization, called the Ku Klux Klan, in contravention of the Constitution of the United States of America, seeks to administer a law and justice of its own making, a condition tending to destroy the basic principles of American government, and its members seek to avoid responsibility for their crimes against organized society by masking their identity while

carrying on their depredations; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person in the City and County of San Francisco to appear in public in any mask, cap, cowl, hood or other thing concealing the identity of the wearer; provided, however, that the provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to persons attending or taking part in carnival conducted in accordance with the provisions of the ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco, or under the permission of the proper authorities of said City and County, nor to any person holding a written permit issued by the Chief of Police.

Section 2. Any person violating the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and, upon conviction thereof, shall be punished by a fine not exceeding five hundred dollars (\$500) or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period not exceeding six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

**Budget for Municipal Expenditures, City and County of San Francisco, California, for Fiscal Year 1922-1923.**

The following entitled bill, heretofore passed for printing, was *taken up on final passage*:

Bill No. 6051, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

An ordinance fixing and appropriating the aggregate sum of the items thereof allowed to each department, officer, board and commission for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1923, and making a budget of the same.

**Supervisor McSheehy's Budget Recommendations.**

Supervisor McSheehy presented the following, which was read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., May 29, 1922.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, California.

On May 18, 1922, your Honorable Board passed to print a budget for the fiscal year 1922-1923, the total of which was \$24,892,678. There were also passed a number of recommendations pertaining to the financial problems of our City, to-wit:

#### *School Problems.*

That \$930,000 be placed in this year's School Fund for construction purposes, and that a bond issue be authorized for approximately \$10,000,000 for further school construction work. This amount was not stated in the report,

but was so reported by one of the daily papers.

Now, school buildings are just as germane to the teaching of children as are the teachers, and should be considered as current expense, and so treated. A bond issue simply means a duplication of cost: First, election \$50,000. Interest on bonds for ten years will amount to the original issue and the same will be retired at the same ratio at which new schools could be erected; therefore, the taxpayers would actually pay \$20,000,000 for \$10,000,000 worth of new schools. The suggestion of a bond issue by our Board should not be considered.

#### *Water.*

An increase of approximately \$45,000 has been allowed in the budget for the 20 per cent increase of water rates granted by the Railroad Commission to the Spring Valley Water Company.

The City Attorney should be instructed to petition the Railroad Commission for an entire rehearing of the Spring Valley water-rates now enforced on both the taxpayers and the City of San Francisco. The City being interested in an increase of \$45,000 over last year, and the taxpayers are interested to the amount of \$800,000.

If an entire rehearing is gone into, and the facts properly presented to the Railroad Commission, I feel that the rates will be reduced.

*Item No. 26, 403B. Item No. 27, 401B.*

This should be changed to read that eighteen different committees of the Board of Supervisors be allowed \$556.10 each instead of the lump sum of \$10,000 which is allowed to the Finance Committee and the Supervisors for incidental expenses. A saving could be made because some of these committees would not spend the amount allotted and the same would revert back to the General Fund.

*Item 30 402K—Urgent Necessities.*

Cut this item to \$50,000. With the effort and co-operation of the different municipal departments, there is no need of an expenditure of over \$50,000 for "Urgent Necessities."

*Item No. 58, 402C—Gasoline, City Hall Garage.*

\$4,000 was allowed for this item last year; \$4,000 would be sufficient this year, which would mean a cut of \$1,000.

*Item No. 67, 1090.*

For purchase of land from Market Street Railway, \$8,000.

This item should be cut out entirely, as it means a deposit on the purchase price of a block of land opposite the Polytechnic High School, bordering on Golden Gate Park, and which will cost the City \$77,000 and will be used in conjunction with eight acres of the Park as a stadium. There is already

a stadium in the Park, which has proved very unsatisfactory from a climatic standpoint, and this particular lot and section of the Park will prove likewise. If encroachments of this kind are permitted to continue on the Park, there will be no Golden Gate Park; it will be nothing but a mass of buildings and other artificial contrivances—nature in its beauty will be lost sight of entirely.

*Item No. 69, 527; Item No. 97, 527 (Inclusive).*

Cut \$30,350. This is an average of 10 per cent over all these items, which will leave sufficient money for the street work designated, and this saving can be accomplished with the co-operation of the Board of Public Works.

*Item No. 310, 416A—Bureau of Architecture.*

Personal Service, \$12,300.

Mr. Reid, City Architect, has received in commissions from the City of San Francisco last year the sum of \$123,118, and this bureau is nothing but an adjunct to his office and should be maintained out of the commissions which he has received from the City.

*Department of Public Health.*

There has been an allowance of \$102,781 made to this department in excess of their allowance of last year. The San Francisco Hospital has received an increase of \$57,602 and the balance of the increases have been distributed among the various branches of the Health Department.

Item No. 488, 554, and Item No. 489, 5540, read simply: "Service Appropriation, \$319,644; Non-Personal Service Appropriation, \$382,000. Total, \$701,644."

As chairman of the Health Committee I find that there is a continual waiting list running all the way from fifteen to thirty, and sometimes more, unfortunate people who have been approved of by the Superintendent of the Indigents as being eligible for hospital care and attention. These people are kept waiting three and four days, and sometimes as much as a week, before they are admitted to the Hospital.

I also find that in the Health Department budget of the Finance Committee a request for \$12,000 for a psychopathic ward in the San Francisco Hospital. This amount was not allowed, but a blanket increase of \$57,602 was allowed.

I also find that the Hospital has capacity for 863 beds, with an average occupancy of 500; therefore, I recommend these two items should read:

523 patients per day at \$3.50	
per patient . . . . .	\$669,466
25 psychopathic patients per	
day at \$3.50 per patient . . .	32,000

Total . . . . . \$701,516

If these items were so changed, it would eliminate a waiting list and would establish a psychopathic ward—something much needed by our City.

*Item No. 546, 601—Park Appropriation, \$620,000.*

This item should be cut \$100,000. In 1920 the Park Commissioners were allowed \$395,000 for carrying on this work, which was seven cents of the assessed valuation of all properties. In 1920 a charter amendment was passed by the people allowing the Park Commissioners ten cents on all assessed valuation. This is the maximum amount that can be allowed, and the Board of Supervisors has jurisdiction as to whether the maximum amount should be allowed, and in this case it would amount to an increase of \$225,000 over 1920, when everything was at the peak. There is no other department of the City government that has been allowed the approximate increase allowed to the Park Commissioners.

I feel that this cut is justifiable.

The total of all cuts made amounts to \$189,350, and I feel that these cuts and recommendations can be made without impairing the efficiency of our City government in any manner or form.

**Motion.**

*Supervisor McSheehy* moved the adoption of his foregoing recommendations as an amendment to the Budget, seconded by Supervisor Hynes.

**Out of Order.**

*Supervisor Hayden* (in the chair): I am going to declare, at the outset, that the motion is out of order for the reason that it is impossible to amend the Budget at this time, because by the first Monday in June it must be passed to print. An amendment at this time would not allow the final passage required by the Charter.

*Supervisor Welch* is called to the chair.

*Supervisor Hayden* took the floor and declared that the proposed amendments were not offered in good faith; that before they were presented in the Board they had been given to a newspaper and published. "Anyone," he declared, "who will vote for these amendments at this time is simply proclaiming himself to the people as the champion of low taxes, which is all 'tommy rot.'"

*Supervisor Morgan*: I would like to ask Mr. McSheehy, "Is it a customary thing to have the Finance Committee come here at 2 o'clock in

the afternoon and stay until 3 o'clock the next morning considering the Budget and then have an amendment brought in here and ask us to pass it in blanket form without discussion?"

*Supervisor McSheehy* moved that his amendments be taken up serialim.

#### Bond Issue for Schools.

*Supervisor McSheehy* thereupon moved that the first matter relating to a bond issue for schools be considered at this time.

*Supervisor Scott* raised the point of order that the "bond issue for schools" is not before the Board today. That the suggestion of a bond issue was part of the report of the Finance Committee, but that now we are acting on an ordinance setting forth a Budget.

*Chair* ruled point of order well taken.

#### Water Rates Increase.

*Supervisor McSheehy* thereupon moved that his second item, eliminating \$45,000, covering 20 per cent increase in water rates allowed by Railroad Commission, be approved. He suggested that the language of his amendment be incorporated in the Budget and that the City Attorney be instructed to prepare a resolution asking for a decrease in water rates.

*Supervisor Scott* raised the point of order that the mover of the motion was endeavoring to make a blanket amendment, whereas it should be done item by item.

*Chair* ruled point of order well taken.

#### Finance Committee Incidentals.

*Supervisor McSheehy*: Item No. 26, 403B and Item No. 27, 401B. I move this be changed to read that 18 Committees of the Board be allowed \$553 each instead of allowing the Finance Committee a lump sum of \$10,000. Seconded by Supervisor Schmitz.

*Supervisor McLeran* moved to lay on the table; seconded by Supervisor Rossi.

*Supervisor McSheehy*: I rise to a question of personal privilege. The mover of the resolution has not made it in good faith.

I appeal to the fairness of the members not to let a motion of this kind prevail at this time.

*Supervisor Mulvihill*: As I understand the motion of Supervisor McSheehy, this is not a reduction.

*Chair*: You are out of order. The motion is to lay on the table.

Motion to lay on the table *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—13.

Noes—Supervisors Hynes, McSheehy, Powers, Schmitz, Welch—5.

#### Urgent Necessity.

*Supervisor McSheehy*: Item No. 30,

Urgent Necessities; cut this item to \$50,000. I so move to amend.

*Supervisor Mulvihill*: I move as an amendment to amendment that motion be referred to the Finance Committee. Seconded by Supervisor Scott.

Amendment to the amendment *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—13.

Noes—Supervisors Hynes, McSheehy, Powers, Schmitz, Welch—5.

#### Gasoline, City Hall Garage.

*Supervisor McSheehy*: Item No. 58, 402B, Gasoline, City Hall Garage; \$4,000 was enough last year. I move as an amendment to cut it \$1,000.

*Supervisor Mulvihill* moved as an amendment to the amendment to refer to the Finance Committee.

Amendment *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—13.

Noes—Supervisors Hynes, McSheehy, Powers, Schmitz, Welch—5.

#### Purchase of Land from Market Street Railway.

*Supervisor McSheehy*: Item 67, 1090, Purchase of Land from Market Street Railway, \$8,000. I move to strike out entirely.

*Supervisor McLeran* moved as an amendment to the amendment that motion be referred to the Finance Committee.

*Supervisor Schmitz*: I can't vote with Supervisor McSheehy on that. I am very much in favor of the purchase.

Whereupon, Supervisor McSheehy's motion to amend was referred to the Finance Committee by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—14.

Noes—Supervisors Hynes, McSheehy, Powers, Welch—4.

#### Street Repair, Etc.

*Supervisor McSheehy*: Commencing at Item 69,527, cut all street items 10 per cent, saving \$30,000.

*Supervisor Scott* raised point of order that "the Supervisor is making a general blanket amendment, instead of referring to a specific item."

*Supervisor Welch* declared that he was fearful that the Board of Public Works cannot reduce the cost of this street work. "We would have a 90 per cent job instead of a 100 per cent job. In nearly every previous case there has been a deficit rather than a surplus. If you take from some other

item sufficient to make up 10 per cent, I am with you."

*Supervisor Power* declared that there were only three items in the Budget that he wanted and \$50,000 for the completion of the Howard street to Ninth street was one of them. Because these were not allowed, he said, determined him in voting against the Budget.

*Supervisor Schmitz* moved as an amendment to the amendment that the items be reduced 15 per cent. He declared that he was not opposed to the work on these streets, but that it was a well-known fact that if the work was done by contract under competitive bids that it could be done 15 per cent cheaper.

Amendment to the amendment was defeated by the following vote:

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Ayes—Supervisors Hynes, McSheehy, Powers, Schmitz—4.

#### Explanation of Vote.

*Supervisor Welch*: In voting to refer this to the Finance Committee, it is based on the intention of the majority of this Board to have the work done by the Board of Public Works as against advertising for contracts, and if done by the Board of Public Works as intended a reduction of 10 or 15 per cent will mean that each piece of street work will be 10 or 15 per cent unfinished at the end of the year.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor McLeran, Supervisor McSheehy's motion to reduce by 10 per cent was referred to the Finance Committee by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Noes—Supervisors Hynes, McSheehy, Powers, Schmitz—4.

#### Bureau of Architecture.

*Supervisor McSheehy*: Strike out item 310, Bureau of Architecture, \$12,300.

*Supervisor Mulvihill* moved that proposed amendment be referred to the Finance Committee.

Motion to refer carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—13.

Noes—Supervisors Hynes, McSheehy, Powers, Schmitz, Welch—5.

#### Public Health.

*Supervisor McSheehy*: I am changing the designation of Public Health:

Service appropriation.....	\$319,644
Non-Personal Service.....	382,000
	<hr/> \$701,644

As chairman of the Health Committee I find that there is a continual waiting list, etc.

I recommend that this item read:  
523 patients at \$3.50 per day. \$669,460  
25 psychopethics at \$3.50 per  
day . . . . . 32,000

---

\$701,560

*Supervisor Scott* moved as an amendment to the amendment reference to the Judiciary Committee.

*Supervisor McLeran* moved as a substitute for the whole that recommended item be referred to the Finance Committee.

Substitute carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Noes—Supervisors Hynes, McSheehy, Powers, Schmitz—4.

#### Explanation of Vote.

*Supervisor Welch* explained his vote by saying: I am voting "Aye" because it will have no bearing on the question of tax rate.

Emergency Hospital—Park-Presidio District.

*Supervisor Welch* inquired as to Emergency Hospital service in the Park-Presidio District. He thought that something better than first aid service should be provided, and asked the Finance Committee to provide, through the Board of Health, for proper medical attendance for this district.

*Supervisor McLeran* declared that "there is a continuous service at the Park Emergency at the present time—there is a steward and a driver and a nurse. In the day time there is a doctor in attendance. . . . Whenever the Board of Health makes the request to furnish hospital service in the Park-Presidio District I am sure we will endeavor to meet the condition."

*Supervisor Schmitz* in this connection called attention to the necessity of female nurses at the Park Emergency Hospital.

#### Park Commission.

*Supervisor McSheehy*: Item 546-601, Park Fund, \$620,000—cut this item \$100,000. I make that motion to amend. Seconded by Supervisor Hynes.

*Supervisor McLeran* moved as an amendment to the amendment reference to the Finance Committee.

Motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman,

Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Hynes, McSheehy, Powers—3.

#### Explanation of Vote.

*Supervisor Schmitz* explained his vote by saying: If there is any department we should have absolute faith in it is the Park Commission. We have a park here that is a credit to the community and men who have put their hands in their pockets to take care of all the parks, and there is no amount of money you could give them that would be too much for them.

The people by a unanimous vote decided that the maximum should be raised to 10 cents.

There is another branch of the government I don't think we should be niggardly with—Playgrounds—and another—the Board of Education. We ought to give all we can, and I believe in cutting out a lot of these items and taking care of the parks and schools, and the other departments can get along on a little less than they have been receiving.

I think they (members of the Park Commission) are doing wonderful work and devoting their time and energies to taking care of certain things needed and it would be a poor recognition of service to reduce that amount.

*Supervisor Welch:* I am voting "Aye" to refer this matter to the Finance Committee. While I feel it would mean a possible reduction in the tax rate, it is carrying out the mandate of the people of San Francisco. The people are in favor of improving our parks and playgrounds. Otherwise they would never have voted the way they did.

#### Supervisor Schmitz's Proposed Amendments.

*Supervisor Schmitz* presented the following with the statement that these proposed reductions were offered in good faith; that a copy had not been furnished to the newspapers before presentation to the Board, and in keeping with his statement made at last meeting that he would bring in recommendations reducing the Budget to a \$3.37 basis.

The proposed reductions were read by the Clerk, to-wit:

To the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco:

I have proposed the following reductions to the Budget for 1922 and 1923:

#### Reorganization Proposals.

No. 107-119. Auditor—\$4,200. Proposed reduction in personal service to be effected by the mailing of warrants instead of the present system of telephoning to vendors when their warrants are ready, and maintaining a

counter force for delivery of such warrants.

No. 122-137. Tax Collector—\$11,500. Proposed reduction in personal service, to be effected by the mechanical writing of tax bills at the time of writing the assessment roll, the mailing of tax bills and the consequent stimulation of tax collection by mail.

No. 138-147. Treasurer—\$2,400. Proposed reduction in personal service to be effected by lessening demand for counter service if warrants are mailed and employees are paid in the field by the Auditor.

No. 149-157A. Assessor—\$30,000. Proposed reduction in personal service to be effected by the typing of the assessment roll instead of writing by hand; by the revision of the personal property assessment procedure along lines similar to the Federal Income Tax methods, and by the use of the mails rather than house-to-house delivery and collection of blanks by deputies.

No. 211. County Clerk—\$19,800. Proposed reduction in personal service by change in copying procedure along the lines proposed for and made partly effective in the Recorder's office.

No. 299. Coroner—\$16,120. Proposed reduction in personal service by the use of Health Department's Emergency Hospital ambulances, rather than separate equipment.

No. 311. Bureau of Building Repair—\$10,470. Proposed reduction in personal service by elimination of cement finisher foreman (1 cement finisher employed), foreman tinner (2 tinner employed), foreman electrician (1 electrician employed) and elevator starter, City Hall.

No. 312. Bureau of Building Repair—\$2,805. Proposed reduction in personal service by elimination of foreman painter (1 provided for in item No. 311).

No. 329. Bureau of Street Cleaning—\$16,800. Proposed net saving in personal service and team costs by auto pick-up in lieu of six can collection routes. This agreed to by Board of Public Works and provision made for equipment in 1920-21 Budget, but not put into effect.

No. 332. Bureau of Sewers—\$1,800. Proposed net saving in team costs by motorization of catchbasin cleaning collection service.

No. 336. Board of Public Works—General—\$960. Proposed reduction in auto rental from \$50 to \$40 per month (same as Health Department rate). It is stated that Health Department rate is not \$40. Sheet 10, lines 14 and 15, of Health Department estimate, show three machines at \$40 a month and three at \$20 a month.

#### Materials and Supplies.

No. 137. Tax Collector—\$3,000. Pro-

posed reduction by reason of \$80,000 allowance to Supplies Committee.

No. 213. County Clerk—\$1,500. Proposed reduction by reason of \$80,000 allowance to Supplies Committee.

No. 314. Building Repair—\$2,000. Fuel oil, janitorial supplies, etc.

Current expenses .....\$40,406

Requests ..... 52,080

Allowance ..... 50,000

Four per cent decrease in cost estimated.

Price decrease for these supplies averages 10.3 per cent.

No. 318. Board of Public Works' Yard—\$1,400. Proposed reduction on basis of current expenditures.

No. 335. Sewage Pumping—\$1,400.

Current expenditures.....\$700 to \$200

Request . . . . . \$2,200

Allowance . . . . . 2,000

Reduction proposed on basis of current expenditures.

No. 336. Board of Public Works—General—\$500. Reduction on proposed basis of current expenditures.

No. 363-4. Electricity—\$1,050. Reduction on proposed basis of current expenditures and decreased prices.

No. 486. Relief Home—\$7,000.

Current expenditures.....\$211,076

Request . . . . . 224,293

Allowance . . . . . 215,000

Decrease in prices averages 16 per cent. Proposed reduction applies an 8 per cent decrease.

No. 489. San Francisco Hospital—\$20,000.

Current expenditures.....\$350,795

Request . . . . . 455,820

Allowance . . . . . 382,000

This must be a pure estimate as to materials and supplies, by reason of the lump sum allowance, which includes materials, supplies, equipment and other items. Decrease in prices as compared with last year for materials and supplies averages  $4\frac{1}{2}$  per cent. Proposed reduction applies a  $2\frac{1}{2}$  per cent decrease. This takes account of slight increases in certain foodstuffs and considerable increase in textiles.

The foregoing amendments to the present Budget as submitted by the Finance Committee are recommendations made by the San Francisco Bureau of Governmental Research.

In addition thereto, I propose the following reductions:

No. 30. Urgent Necessity Fund—\$50,000. No matter what amount is appropriated for this fund, it will always be used up at the end of the year.

No. 44. Civic Center—\$100,000. This is for the purchase of 25 feet and could be postponed for another year.

No. 49-97-A \$45,520. This being a reduction of 15 per cent on the amount estimated for the repair of streets to

be done by the Board of Works. I have estimated that 15 per cent could be saved of this total amount by letting this work by contracts instead of having the work done by the Board of Works.

No. 99. Mayor's Office—Executive Secretary, \$4,200. Sometime ago a charter amendment was put before the people for an increase of the secretary's salary from \$2,400 to \$3,000 and the charter amendment received somewhere in the neighborhood of only 8000 votes. To create this position and pay this lucrative salary it would take a charter amendment and could not be accomplished as the attempt has been made to do by an ordinance.

No. 100. Assistant Executive Secretary—\$3,600. As the charter only allows one secretary at \$2,400 per year, I have been advised that this position is also illegal.

No. 106. Personal Services of the Mayor—\$5,000. As the charter provides a contingent fund of \$3,600 per year, there is no justification either in law or otherwise for the Mayor to receive \$5,000 in addition to his contingent fund of \$3,600.

Auditor's Office. Office Superintendent, \$3,000. It is very obvious that this change of title in the Auditor's office and other offices has simply been a subterfuge to pay a larger salary and avoid the provision of the charter.

Tax Collector. Adjuster of Licenses, \$2,400. Office Superintendent, \$3,000.

No. 137. District Attorney's Office—Detection and prosecution of criminals, \$7,500. This should be taken care of by the office force and the Police Department, as the detection of criminals is up to the Police Department and not to the District Attorney. That office, it seems to me, should be for the prosecution of criminals after detection and arrest by the Police Department.

No. 191. City Attorney—General Litigation, \$5,000 Rate Litigation, \$15,000.

This could, if found necessary, be taken from the Urgent Necessity Fund. I see no reason why we should appropriate this amount in advance.

No. 149. Civil Service Commission—This is an illegal position and not in accordance with the charter provision. This would make a saving of \$3,600.

Coroner's Office—There should be a reduction in the Chief Deputy's salary of \$600, as the charter provides a salary of \$2,400.

Autopsy Surgeon—The charter provides a salary of \$2,400. The Budget proposes a salary of \$3,000: \$600 should be taken from this amount.

No. 338. Department of Electricity

—Office Superintendent, \$3,900. This position is illegal.

No. 366. Fire Department—Office Superintendent and Secretary, \$3,600. This is an illegal position.

No. 439. Police Department—Office Superintendent, \$3,000. This position is illegal.

No. 440. Confidential Clerk, \$2,400. This position is illegal and contrary to charter provision.

No. 441. Stenographer. This salary of \$3,000 should be decreased at least \$600 to conform with the salaries paid other stenographers, making a saving of \$600.

A saving could be made in No. 449 and No. 450 by paying these officers the regular salary paid patrolmen.

Nos. 451 and 452. A saving could be made by taking clerks and bookkeepers from the Civil Service list and putting these patrolmen and a corporal on the street to do police duty.

No. 470. Reducing 800 patrolmen to 775, the same number allowed last year, or a reduction of \$51,600. If the patrolmen and officers of the Police Department were not detailed to do clerical work they could be put upon the street and their places filled by clerks and bookkeepers of the Civil Service list. This would meet the need, if there is any, of additional police for the patrolling of our streets.

I estimate that by these reductions a saving of \$451,325 could be made, reducing the tax rate by approximately 7½ cents without impairing the efficiency of any of the departments.

If, as has been stated, the charter provisions establishing salaries for certain positions are not fair or adequate to the needs of those receiving them at the present time, then the charter should be amended, which can only be done by the voters of San Francisco and not by ordinances passed by the Board of Supervisors.

For the foregoing reasons I want to record my vote against the Budget in its present form.

(Signed) E. E. SCHMITZ.

*Supervisor Schmitz:* All the foregoing changes have been recommended by the Bureau of Municipal Research and I have just incorporated them in my recommendations.

I have legal advice that the titles I have picked out are illegal. I have tried to get them all in, although I understand there are many more that are not of a legal nature.

Another change in the Mayor's office I want to make—No. 106—\$5,000, in addition to his contingent fund of \$3,600.

*Supervisor Welch:* May I ask Supervisor Schmitz if he advocates the adoption of a new charter to correct this situation?

*Supervisor Schmitz:* There has been a number of changes made in the charter on the reasoning that the salaries are not adequate by reason of war conditions. Now, you have either got to take the charter as the organic law of the city or wipe it out altogether, and if you can wipe out one of the conditions of the charter by ordinance you can wipe out the entire charter. I am not advocating a new charter. If, as has been stated, these salaries are not sufficient to meet the needs, instead of trying to change these salaries by ordinance it must and should be done by an amendment to the charter.

*Supervisor McLeran:* For several years some of us have been well aware of the conditions you speak of. Four years ago when some of the members voted for increases the Finance Committee was reliably informed that if that ordinance was adopted there would be court proceedings to prevent paying of these salaries, and we were to convey that information to the employees that they would not only be jeopardizing the increases they had received but would be placed back on the basis of twenty years ago.

I don't believe anyone doubts what you say, but the question has gone to the Superior Court, Judge Van Nostrand, seven years ago. It was decided that the Board of Supervisors had a legal right to do what they had done, and that decision has never been appealed from.

Mr. Chairman and Members of the Board, many constructive suggestions have been made by Supervisor Schmitz in his report. The Finance Committee has not had an opportunity to investigate the report of the Bureau of Municipal Research in the various departments, but we want to assure this Board that the suggestions that have been made along the lines of purchasing mechanical equipment and the mailing of warrants will be carefully gone into, and if after investigation we find it practical the Finance Committee will have a recommendation to make, whatever this means.

As to his suggestions for the purchase of supplies, he may be right, but we are not in a position to determine whether prices are going up or down. All we have allowed is a 15 per cent decrease below present prices. Last year we allowed a 12 per cent decrease.

Time has told us that our judgment was correct. The amount of money provided for supplies last year has worked out to within 8 per cent. We have made our recommendation down to 15 per cent.

It may be our recommendation is not correct, but we did not feel justified in making a recommendation to this

Board for the purchase of supplies for the Board of Health and public institutions lower than we have brought in.

I want to say for the Finance Committee that we are always willing to listen to constructive suggestions and we will give these suggestions careful consideration and see what can be done.

**Motion.**

*Supervisor McLeran* moved reference to the Finance Committee of the proposed amendments.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran,

McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—14.

Noes—Supervisors Hynes, Powers, Schmitz, Welch—4.

**Explanation of Vote.**

*Supervisor McSheehy:* I would vote "No" in referring this to the Finance Committee but owing to the fact that it is in blanket form. There are some items I agree with and some I cannot agree with. So I will have to vote "Aye."

**Final Passage.**

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the Budget as passed for printing and in words and figures following was *finally passed* by the following vote:

# BUDGET

## FOR MUNICIPAL EXPENDITURES

### City and County of San Francisco, California

### For Fiscal Year 1922-1923

#### BILL NO. 6051, ORDINANCE NO. 5643 (New Series).

An ordinance fixing and appropriating the aggregate sum of the items thereof allowed to each department, officer, board and commission for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1923, and making a budget of the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The aggregate sums and items thereof hereinafter set forth are hereby fixed, designated and appropriated for the respective purposes set opposite the same, to be expended during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1923, in accordance with the provisions of Article III, Chapter I, of the Charter of the City and County.

Section 2. No department, officer, board or commission shall expend, or agree to expend moneys, or to incur liabilities which, in total amount, exceed the total sum appropriated to such department, officer, board or commission by this ordinance, or which may subsequently be appropriated to such department, officer, board or commission.

Section 3. The amounts appropriated to the various departments, officers, boards and commissions shall be expended and administered by them subject to the following conditions:

A. No department, officer, board or commission shall expend moneys, or incur liabilities in excess of the total amount appropriated to such department, officer, board, or commission; provided further, that such expenditure shall conform to the provisions of Article III, Chapter I, Section 9, of the Charter.

B. When a specific appropriation is made to any bureau, division or institution of a department, board or commission such appropriation shall be known and administered as a main appropriation title, and the main division thereunder of an object of expenditure classification as theretofore adopted shall be known and administered as a specific sub-appropriation thereof.

C. Departments, officers, boards or commissions desiring to make transfers from one sub-appropriation to any other sub-appropriation embraced within the same main appropriation title shall file with the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors a statement in duplicate setting forth the reasons for requesting such transfers, the pur-

pose to which the money is to be devoted, the sub-appropriations from and to which such transfer is requested, the amounts of such transfers, and any other facts which may aid in determining the merits of such request. Whenever any such transfer is approved by the Finance Committee, the duplicate request shall be forwarded to the Auditor and a notice sent to the department making the request, setting forth the amounts and the sub-appropriation, or budget item numbers affected. In case of disapproval, notice to this effect shall be given to the department making the request.

D. Whenever any department, officer, board or commission desires to make a transfer from any sub-appropriation or appropriations embraced in one main appropriation title or titles, to any other or the same sub-appropriation or appropriations embraced in or desired to be embraced in any other main appropriation title or titles, said department, officer, board or commission shall file with the Board of Supervisors a statement in duplicate similar to the statement required in condition "C" above and containing, in addition, the main appropriation title or titles from and to which such transfer is requested. If the Board of Supervisors approves the request, notice thereof shall be forwarded to the originating department and the duplicate copy of the request forwarded to the Auditor. Said notice shall contain the main appropriation title or titles affected, the sub-appropriation or appropriations from and to which such transfer is to be made and the amounts thereof. If the Board of Supervisors denies the request, notice thereof shall be sent to the originating department with the reasons for such action.

Section 4. The amounts appropriated are as follows:

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
1	1090 E	(Appropriation 1) For plans and construction of new school buildings, additions and repairs to existing school buildings, furniture, the purchase of land for school purposes, a special tax on each one hundred dollars of assessed valuation to produce.....		\$930,000
	1093 E			

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

### Personal Services—(Appropriation 2-A)

2	401 A	18 Supervisors at \$2,400 each.....	\$43,200
3	402 A	Clerk . . . . .	4,800
4	"	1 Chief Assistant Clerk.....	3,600
5	"	1 Expert Accountant . . . . .	6,000
6	"	1 Bond and Ordinance Clerk.....	3,000
7	"	1 Superintendent of Supplies.....	3,000
8	"	1 Inspector of Supplies.....	2,700
9	"	1 Assistant Clerk, Stationery Dept.	2,700
10	"	1 Assistant Clerk, Stationery Dept.	2,400
11	"	1 Assistant Clerk . . . . .	3,000
12	"	4 Assistant Clerks at \$2,700.....	10,800
13	"	1 Assistant Clerk . . . . .	2,400
14	"	1 Stenographer to Finance Committee . . . . .	3,000
15	"	1 Stenographer . . . . .	2,400
16	"	1 Stenographer . . . . .	2,100
17	"	1 Telephone Operator and Filing Clerk . . . . .	1,800

18	401 A	1 Sergeant-at-Arms . . . . .	2,100
19	"	1 Chauffeur-Messenger . . . . .	2,400
20	529 A	1 Gas and Water Inspector . . . . .	2,100
21	464 A	1 Horticultural Commissioner . . . . .	2,400
22	"	1 Horticultural Inspector . . . . .	1,800

## TELEPHONE EXCHANGE.

23	433 A	Chief Operator . . . . .	1,980
24	"	4 Operators at \$1,680 . . . . .	6,720
25	"	Relief Operators . . . . .	600

Total Personal Services.....

**\$117,000**

## MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNTS UNDER CONTROL OF BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

(Appropriations 3-26 to 3-97A)

26	403 B	Finance Committee Expenses . . . . .	\$5,000
27	401 K	Supervisors' Incidental Expenses..	5,000
28	464 K	Horticultural Commissioner's Ex- penses . . . . .	1,800
29	402 B	Advertising Resolutions and Ordi- nances . . . . .	34,000
30	402 K	Urgent Necessity Fund . . . . .	100,000
31	402 B	Printing Public Documents . . . . .	4,000
32	"	Printing Law and Motion Calendar.	8,000
33	614 K	Celebration Fourth of July . . . . .	2,500
34	"	Memorial Day Observance . . . . .	500
35	613 K	Maintenance Municipal Band . . . . .	10,000
36	626 H	Interment U. S. Soldiers and Sail- ors . . . . .	5,000
37	425 A	Examination of Insane . . . . .	9,000
38	455 B	Maintenance of Insane Criminals..	5,000
39	1092 D	Furniture for Public Buildings . . . . .	10,000
40	529 B	Lighting Streets . . . . .	560,000
41	— H	Premiums on Official Bonds . . . . .	5,000
42	415 A-C	Block Books . . . . .	4,500
43	1093 E	Esplanade . . . . .	75,000
44	"	Civic Center . . . . .	100,000
45	527 E	Street Work in front of City Prop- erty . . . . .	15,000
46	1093 E	County Road Fund . . . . .	1,000
47	"	Extension of Main Sewers . . . . .	125,000
48	629 H	Police Relief Pension Fund Deficit.	75,000
49	"	Relief of Exempt Firemen . . . . .	5,000
50	678 K	Auditorium . . . . .	10,000
51	467 H	Public Pound . . . . .	12,000
52	553 B	Feeble-Minded Home . . . . .	90,000
53	553 H	Maintenance of Minors . . . . .	480,000
54	"	Widows' Pensions . . . . .	270,000
55	"	Magdalen Asylum . . . . .	8,000
56	"	State Schools . . . . .	18,000
57	419	City Planning Commission . . . . .	5,000
58	402 C	City Hall Garage, Gasoline and Supplies . . . . .	5,000
59	1093 E	Fire Department Building . . . . .	33,000
60	432 K	Miscellaneous Repairs to and Main- tenance of Buildings . . . . .	15,000
60a	—	Stationery, Printing, Books and Postage . . . . .	80,000
61	1090	Funston Playground . . . . .	20,000
62	"	University Mound Playground . . . . .	20,000

63	"	Glen Park Playground.....	10,000
64	"	Ocean View Playground.....	20,000
65	1092	Margaret Hayward Playground, equipment . . . . .	15,000
66	1093	Telegraph Hill Improvement.....	25,000
66a	1090	Purchase Additional Land James Lick School Yard.....	25,000
67	"	For Purchase of Land from Market Street Railway . . . . .	8,000
68	"	For Purchase of Land from Spring Valley Water Company.....	30,000
Total . . . . .			<b>\$2,364,300</b>

**Reconstruction and Repair of the Fol-  
lowing Streets, as Designated:**

69	527	Jones street, Golden Gate avenue to Post street . . . . .	\$32,000
70	"	Battery street, Jackson to Pacific, Pacific to Broadway, Broadway to Vallejo, Vallejo to Green....	27,000
71	"	Broadway, Sansome to the Embar- cadero . . . . .	16,000
72	"	Clay street, Battery to Sansome..	4,250
73	"	Merchant street, Embarcadero to Drumm . . . . .	2,500
74	"	Ceylon street, Clay to Washington	3,200
75	"	Mason street, North Point to Beach street . . . . .	5,000
76	"	Broadway, Stockton to Powell street	10,500
77	"	Filbert street, Columbus avenue to Jones street . . . . .	6,000
78	"	Clay street, Kearny to Grant avenue	5,000
79	"	Commercial street, Grant avenue to Kearny . . . . .	4,000
80	"	Vallejo street, Scott to Divisadero.	8,000
81	"	Broadway, Fillmore to Steiner....	10,000
82	"	Steiner street, Broadway to Pacific	6,500
83	"	Powell street, O'Farrell to Geary streets . . . . .	6,000
84	"	Eighth street, Folsom to Bryant streets . . . . .	20,000
85	"	Folsom street, from Third street west . . . . .	40,000
86	"	Bryant street, Second to Third streets . . . . .	11,000
87	"	Capp street, Sixteenth to Seven- teenth streets . . . . .	6,500
88	"	Dolores street. Twenty-third to Twenty-fourth . . . . .	4,000
89	"	Post street, Polk to Van Ness ave..	3,500
90	"	Bush street, Kearny to Grant ave..	6,000
91	"	McAllister street, from Franklin west . . . . .	25,000
92	"	Rolph street . . . . .	9,000
93	"	Laguna street, Turk to Eddy streets	6,000
94	"	Divisadero street, Vallejo to Green streets . . . . .	6,000
95	"	Filbert street, Polk to Larkin streets . . . . .	6,250
96	"	Filbert street, Jones to Leaven- worth streets . . . . .	7,500

97	"	Grant avenue, Bush to Pine.....	4,800
97a	"	Fillmore street, Duboce to Waller..	9,000

Total Special Street Work...	<u>\$310,500</u>
------------------------------	------------------

**EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENTS.****MAYOR.***Personal Services—(Appropriation 4-A)*

98	404 A	Mayor . . . . .	6,000
99	"	Executive Secretary . . . . .	4,200
100	"	Assistant Secretary . . . . .	3,600
101	"	4 Stenographer - Typewriters at \$1,800 each . . . . .	7,200
102	"	Telephone Operator . . . . .	1,680
103	"	Messenger . . . . .	1,500
104	"	Chauffeur . . . . .	2,400

Total Personal Services.....	<u>\$26,580</u>
------------------------------	-----------------

*Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 4-B)*

105	404 K	Contingent Expenses (Charter)...	3,600
106	"	Personal Services and other than Personal Services . . . . .	5,000

Total Non-Personal Services.	<u>\$8,600</u>
------------------------------	----------------

Total Mayor . . . . .	<u>\$35,180</u>
-----------------------	-----------------

**AUDITOR.***Personal Services—(Appropriation 5-A)*

107	405 A	Auditor . . . . .	\$4,000
108	"	Office Superintendent . . . . .	3,000
109	"	Chief Clerk . . . . .	3,000
110	"	2 Deputies at \$3,000 each.....	6,000
111	"	6 Deputies at \$2,400 each.....	14,400
112	"	1 Deputy . . . . .	2,280
113	"	4 Deputies at \$2,100 each.....	8,400
114	"	2 Deputies at \$1,800 each.....	3,600
115	"	1 Stenographer-Bond Clerk.....	2,280
116	"	Expert (State Law), Section 4099A	2,400
117	"	Telephone Operator and Filing Clerk . . . . .	1,800
118	"	Attorney . . . . .	1,800
119	"	Service: Assessment Roll, State and local; compiling statistics for State Board and Controller, and settlements with City and State . . . . .	8,000

Total Personal Services.....	<u>\$60,960</u>
------------------------------	-----------------

*Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 5-B)*

120	405 B	Contingents . . . . .	500
121	"	License Tags and Blanks.....	3,000

Total Non-Personal Services.	<u>\$3,500</u>
------------------------------	----------------

Total Auditor . . . . .	<u>\$64,460</u>
-------------------------	-----------------

**TAX COLLECTOR.***Personal Services—(Appropriation 6-A)*

122	408 A	Tax Collector . . . . .	\$4,000
123	"	Office Superintendent . . . . .	3,000
124	"	Cashier . . . . .	3,000
125	"	Accountant . . . . .	2,700
126	"	6 Special Deputies at \$2,400 each..	14,400
127	"	1 Assistant Cashier . . . . .	2,400
128	"	2 Expert Searchers at \$2,400 each..	4,800
129	"	26 Deputies at \$2,100 each.....	54,600
130	"	1 Stenographer . . . . .	1,800
131	"	Extra Clerical Help . . . . .	9,000
132	"	Twin Peaks Tunnel Accountant...	1,140
133	"	Twin Peaks Tunnel Clerk.....	2,100
134	"	Adjuster of Licenses . . . . .	2,400

Total Personal Services..... \$105,340

*Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 6-B)*

135	408 B	Printing Delinquent Tax List.....	\$3,000
136	"	Advertising Tax Notices and Con- tingents . . . . .	1,000
137	1092	Equipment . . . . .	3,000

Total Non-Personal Services. \$7,000

Total Tax Collector.....

**\$112,340**

**TREASURER.***Personal Services—(Appropriation 7-A)*

138	406 A	Treasurer . . . . .	\$4,000
139	"	Chief Deputy . . . . .	2,700
140	"	Cashier . . . . .	4,500
141	"	Bank and Bond Deputy.....	3,300
141a	"	1 Deputy . . . . .	3,300
142	"	Coupon Clerk . . . . .	2,400
143	"	1 Deputy . . . . .	3,000
144	"	Bookkeeper . . . . .	3,000
145	"	Assistant Bookkeeper . . . . .	2,100
146	"	2 Clerks at \$2,400 each.....	4,800
147	"	1 Clerk . . . . .	1,800

Total Personal Services..... \$34,900

*Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 7-B)*

148	406 K	Total Non-Personal Services.....	\$150
-----	-------	----------------------------------	-------

Total Treasurer .....

**\$35,050**

**ASSESSOR.***Personal Services—(Appropriation 8-A)*

149	407 A	Assessor . . . . .	\$8,000
150	"	1 Chief Deputy.....	3,000
151	"	Cashier . . . . .	2,400
152	"	4 Assistant Deputies at \$3,000 each	12,000
153	"	8 Assistant Deputies at \$2,400 each	19,200
154	"	18 Deputies at \$2,100 each.....	37,800
155	"	1 Cartographer . . . . .	2,400
156	"	3 Appraisers of Personal Property at \$2,100 each.....	6,300

157	"	Extra Clerks .....	76,834
157a	"	1 Confidential Deputy.....	2,100
			<hr/>
Total Personal Services.....			\$170,034
158	407 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 8-B) .....	5,000
			<hr/>
Total Assessor .....			<b>\$175,034</b>

**DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS.***Personal Services—(Appropriation 9-A)*

159	431 A	5 Commissioners at \$1,000 each...	\$5,000
160	"	Registrar . . . . .	4,800
161	"	2 Deputy Registrars at \$3,000 each.	6,000
162	"	6 Deputy Registrars at \$2,400 each.	14,400
163	"	10 Deputy Registrars at \$2,100 each	21,000
164	"	1 Typograph Operator-Mechanic...	2,100
165	"	1 Stenographer Typewriter.....	1,800
166	"	1 Watchman . . . . .	2,100
			<hr/>

Total Personal Services..... \$57,200

*Personal and Non-Personal Service—(Appropriation 9-B)*

167	431 K	Election Expenses .....	\$259,450
			<hr/>

Total Department of Elections. **\$316,650**

**DISTRICT ATTORNEY.***Personal Services—(Appropriation 10-A)*

168	412 A	District Attorney .....	\$5,000
169	"	5 Assistants at \$4,500 each.....	22,500
170	"	1 Assistant . . . . .	3,000
171	"	6 Assistants at \$2,400 each.....	14,400
172	"	1 Assistant . . . . .	2,400
173	"	Warrant and Bond Clerk.....	3,000
174	"	1 Assistant Warrant and Bond Clerk . . . . .	2,400
175	"	6 Assistant Warrant and Bond Clerks at \$1,800 each.....	10,800
176	"	Chief Clerk . . . . .	2,400
177	"	Assistant Chief Clerk.....	1,800
178	"	Bookkeeper . . . . .	1,500
179	"	1 Stenographer . . . . .	2,400
180	"	1 Stenographer . . . . .	1,800
181	"	Messenger . . . . .	1,800
182	"	1 Juvenile Court Investigator.....	1,800
			<hr/>

Total Personal Services..... \$77,000

*Personal and Non-Personal Service—(Appropriation 10-B)*

183	412 K	Detection and Prosecution of Criminals . . . . .	\$7,500
			<hr/>

Total District Attorney..... **\$84,500**

**PUBLIC DEFENDER.***Personal Services—(Appropriation 10-C)*

183 A	411	Public Defender .....	\$5,000
-------	-----	-----------------------	---------

WEDNESDAY, MAY 31, 1922.

*Personal and Non-Personal Services—Appropriation —)*

183 B 411 Deputies and Expenses ..... \$7,800

Total Public Defender.....

---

\$12,800**CITY ATTORNEY.***Personal Services—(Appropriation 11-A)*

184 410 A City Attorney ..... \$5,000

185 " 3 Assistant City Attorneys at \$4,200  
each ..... 12,600186 " 3 Assistant City Attorneys at \$3,000  
each ..... 9,000187 " 2 Assistant City Attorneys at \$2,400  
each ..... 4,800

188 " 1 Assistant City Attorney..... 1,800

189 " 2 Stenographers at \$1,800 each.... 3,600

190 " 1 Bookkeeper File Clerk..... 2,100

Total Personal Services..... \$38,900

*Personal and Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 11-B)*

191 410 K General Litigation ..... \$5,000

192 " Rate Litigation ..... 5,000

Total Non-Personal Services. \$10,000

Total City Attorney.....

---

\$48,900**CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION.***Personal Services—(Appropriation 12-A)*

193 417 A 3 Commissioners at \$1,200 each.... \$3,600

194 " Deputy Commissioner and Chief Ex-  
aminer . . . . . 3,600

195 " 1 Chief Inspector ..... 2,700

196 " 1 Inspector . . . . . 2,700

197 " Assistant Inspector ..... 2,100

198 " 1 Assistant Secretary ..... 2,100

199 " 1 General Clerk ..... 2,100

200 " 1 General Clerk ..... 2,100

201 " 1 Clerk-Stenographer . . . . . 1,800

202 " Special Examiners and Extra Clerks 1,200

Total Personal Services..... \$24,000

203 417 K Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 12-B) ..... 1,250

Total Civil Service Commission

---

\$25,250**COUNTY CLERK.***Personal Services—(Appropriation 13-A)*

204 426 A County Clerk ..... \$4,000

205 " Chief Registry Clerk..... 3,000

206 " Cashier . . . . . 2,400

207 " 5 Registry Clerks at \$2,400 each... 12,000

208 " 10 Assistant Registry Clerks at  
\$2,100 each ..... 21,000209 " 16 Superior Court Clerks at \$2,100  
each . . . . . 33,600

210	"	4 Police Court Clerks at \$2,100 each	8,400
211	"	38 Copyists at \$1,980 each.....	75,240
			<hr/>
Total Personal Services.....			\$159,640
212	426 K	Non-Personal Services .....	150
213	1092 D	Equipment—Typewriters . . . . .	1,500
			<hr/>
Total Non-Personal .....			\$1,650
			<hr/>
Total County Clerk.....			<b>\$161,290</b>

**SHERIFF.***Personal Services—(Appropriation 14-A)*

214	430 A	Sheriff . . . . .	\$8,000
215	"	Cashier . . . . .	3,000
216	"	Deputy, Grade Two.....	2,700
217	"	Attorney . . . . .	1,800
218	"	Secretary and Chief Bookkeeper..	2,700
219	"	2 Bookkeepers, Grade One, at \$2,100 each . . . . .	4,200
220	"	12 Deputies, Grade One, at \$2,100 each . . . . .	25,200
221	557 A	17 Jailers, Grade Three, at \$1,920 each . . . . .	32,640
222	430 A	Stenographer . . . . .	1,800
223	557 A	Chauffeur-Machinist . . . . .	2,100
224	"	Under-Sheriff . . . . .	3,000
225	"	Superintendent of Jails.....	2,340
226	"	Assistant Superintendent .....	2,220
227	"	Chief Jailer .....	2,340
228	"	27 Jailers, Grade One, at \$1,920 each . . . . .	51,840
229	"	9 Jailers, Grade Two, at \$1,920 each . . . . .	17,280
230	"	Commisary Storekeeper .....	2,400
231	"	1 Bookkeeper, Grade One.....	2,100
232	"	1 Matron . . . . .	2,100
233	"	3 Matrons, at \$1,800 each.....	5,400
234	"	2 Drivers, at \$1,980 each.....	3,960
235	"	2 Cooks, at \$1,800 each.....	3,600
236	"	1 Jailer, Grade Four.....	2,220
			<hr/>
Total Personal Services.....			\$184,940

*Other Than Personal Services—(Appropriation 14-B)*

237	557 Var	Maintenance, Subsistence and Equip- ment . . . . .	60,000
-----	---------	---	--------

Total Sheriff .....	<b>\$244,940</b>
---------------------	------------------

**RECORDER.***Personal Services—(Appropriation 15-A)*

238	454 A	Recorder . . . . .	\$4,000
239	"	Chief Deputy .....	3,000
240	"	5 Deputies, at \$2,400 each.....	12,000
241	"	1 Deputy . . . . .	2,160
242	"	8 Clerks, at \$2,100 each.....	16,800
243	"	1 Machinist . . . . .	2,400

244	"	26 Copyists, at \$1,980 each.....	51,480
		Total Personal Services.....	\$91,840
245	454 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 15-B) .....	500
		Total Recorder .....	<b>\$92,340</b>

### SUPERIOR COURTS.

#### *Personal Services—(Appropriation 16-A)*

246	425 A	16 Judges .....	\$56,000
247	"	Secretary . . . . .	5,400
248	"	Messenger-Clerk . . . . .	1,800
249	"	8 Translators, at \$1,800 each.....	14,400
250	"	Jury and Witness Fees.....	27,800
251	428 A	Grand Jury Expenses.....	5,000
252	425 A	Stenographers (Reporters' Fees) ..	20,000
253	"	Telephone Operator and Filing Clerk . . . . .	1,800
		Total Personal Services.....	\$132,200

#### *Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 16-B)*

254	425 K	Court Orders .....	\$4,000
		Total Superior Courts.....	<b>\$136,200</b>

### JUSTICES' COURTS.

#### *Personal Services—(Appropriation 17-A)*

255	424 A	5 Justices of the Peace at \$4,200 each . . . . .	\$21,000
256	"	Justices' Clerk .....	3,900
257	"	Chief Deputy .....	2,700
258	"	Cashier . . . . .	2,700
259	"	3 Deputy Clerks, at \$2,280 each....	6,840
260	"	Messenger . . . . .	2,280

Total Justices' Courts..... **\$39,420**

### JUVENILE DETENTION HOME.

#### *Personal Services—(Appropriation 18-A)*

261	558 A	Superintendent . . . . .	\$1,800
262	"	Matron . . . . .	1,500
263	"	Assistant Superintendent .....	1,500
264	"	Night Superintendent .....	1,500
265	"	Clinic Nurse .....	1,080
266	"	7 Nurses, at \$840 each.....	5,880
267	"	Cook . . . . .	900
268	"	1 Orderly . . . . .	1,380
269	"	1 Orderly .....	1,080

Total Personal Services..... **\$16,620**

#### *Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 18-B)*

270	558 Var	Maintenance and Subsistence.....	13,000
-----	---------	----------------------------------	--------

Total Juvenile Detention Home **\$29,620**

**JUVENILE COURT.***Personal Services—(Appropriation 19-A)*

271	5591 A	Chief Probation Officer.....	\$3,600
272	"	Assistant Chief Probation Officer..	2,400
273	"	8 Assistant Probation Officers, at \$1,800 each .....	14,400
274	"	3 Deputy Probation Officers, at \$1,800 each .....	5,400
275	"	3 Clerk-Stenographers, at \$1,800 each .....	5,400
276	"	1 Collector .....	2,400
277	"	1 Cashier-Bookkeeper . . . . .	1,800
278	"	1 File Clerk .....	1,500
279	"	2 Stenographers, at \$1,800 each...	3,600

---

Total Personal Services..... \$40,500

280	5591 K	Non-Personal Services, including Ford Auto (Appropriation 19-B).	3,500
-----	--------	---	-------

---

Total Juvenile Court..... **\$44,000**

**ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT.***Personal Services—(Appropriation 20-A)*

281	5590 A	Chief Probation Officer .....	\$3,600
282	"	Assistant Chief Probation Officer..	2,400
283	"	7 Assistant Probation Officers at \$1,800 each .....	12,600
284	"	1 Stenographer . . . . .	1,500

---

Total Personal Services..... \$20,100

285	5590 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 20-B) .....	600
-----	--------	--	-----

---

Total Adult Probation Dept.. **\$20,700**

**WIDOWS' PENSION BUREAU.***Personal Services—(Appropriation 21-A)*

286	553 A	Director . . . . .	\$2,400
287	"	Assistant Director .....	1,800
288	"	3 Social Service Visitors at \$1,680 each .....	5,040

---

Total Personal Services..... \$9,240

289	553 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 21-B) .....	600
-----	-------	--	-----

---

Total Widows' Pension Bureau . . . . . **\$9,840**

**LAW LIBRARY.***Personal Services—(Appropriation 22-A)*

290	427 A	Librarian . . . . .	\$3,600
291	"	Assistant Librarian .....	2,100

---

Total Law Library..... **\$5,700**

**POLICE COURTS.***Personal Services*—(Appropriation 23-A)

292	423 A	4 Police Judges at \$3,600 each....	\$14,400
293	"	4 Stenographers at \$2,400 each....	9,600
Total Police Court.....			<b>\$24,000</b>

**CORONER.***Personal Services*—(Appropriation 24-A)

294	429 A	Coroner .....	\$4,000
295	"	Chief Deputy .....	3,000
296	"	Autopsy Surgeon .....	3,000
297	"	3 Deputies at \$2,100 each.....	6,300
298	"	3 Assistant Deputies (female) at \$1,800 each .....	5,400
299	"	3 Assistant Deputy Drivers at \$2,040 each .....	6,120
300	"	1 Stenographer .....	2,100
301	"	1 Assistant Stenographer .....	1,980
302	"	Toxicologist .....	1,500
Total Personal Services.....			\$33,400
303	429 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 24-B) .....	2,500
303a	1092	White Ambulance .....	5,000
Total Non-Personal Services.			\$7,500
Total Coroner .....			<b>\$40,900</b>

**SEALER OF WEIGHTS AND MEASURES.***Personal Services*—(Appropriation 25-A)

304	460 A	Sealer .....	\$3,600
305	"	Chief Deputy .....	2,700
306	"	6 Deputy Sealers at \$2,100 each...	12,600
Total Personal Services.....			\$18,900
307	460 K	Non-Personal Services and Equip- ment (Appropriation 25-B) .....	2,700
Total Sealer of Weights and Measures .....			<b>\$21,600</b>

**DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS.****Commissioners and General Office.**

308	414 A	Personal Services (Appropriation 26-A) .....	<b>\$32,580</b>
-----	-------	---	-----------------

**Bureau of Accounting.**

309	414 A	Personal Services (Appropriation 27-A) .....	<b>\$58,440</b>
-----	-------	---	-----------------

**Bureau of Architecture.**

310	416 A	Personal Services (Appropriation 28-A) .....	<b>\$12,300</b>
-----	-------	---	-----------------

**Bureau of Building Repair, Maintenance and Operation.**

311	432 A	Personal Services (Appropriation 29-A) . . . . .	\$191,280
312	— A	Repairs to Public Buildings, other than school buildings, personal services, employments (Appropriation 29½-A) . . . . .	\$79,350
313	— B	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 29-B) . . . . .	\$27,040
314	— B	Materials and Supplies (Appropriation 29-C) . . . . .	\$50,000
315	— B	Lighting Public Buildings (Appropriation 29-D) . . . . .	\$32,000
316	— B	Water, Public Buildings (Appropriation 29-E) . . . . .	\$20,000

**Bureau of Stores and Yards.**

317	4142 A	Personal Services (Appropriation 30-A) . . . . .	\$50,322
318	" B	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 30-B) . . . . .	\$4,000

**Bureau of Building Inspection.**

319	455 A	Personal Services (Appropriation 31-A) . . . . .	\$35,700
-----	-------	--	----------

**Bureau of Engineering.**

320	415 A	Personal Services (Appropriation 32-A) . . . . .	\$156,440
321	414 —	Personal Services Pipe Yard (Appropriation 32-A1) . . . . .	\$6,350
322	414 B	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 32-B) . . . . .	\$7,800
323	527 —	Non-Personal Services Street Signs (Appropriation 32-B1) . . . . .	\$7,500

**Bureau of Street Repairs.**

324	527 A	Personal Services (Appropriation 33-A) . . . . .	\$12,900
325	527 A and 1092	Repair of the Roadways of Accepted Streets, Personal and other than Personal Services and Material, Supplies and Equipment (Appropriation 33-B) . . . . .	\$294,224

**Bureau of Bridge Operations and Maintenance.**

326	527 A	Personal Services (Appropriation 34-A) . . . . .	\$47,745
327	"	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 34-B) . . . . .	\$3,500

**Bureau of Street Cleaning.**

328	502 A	Personal Services (Appropriation 35-A) . . . . .	\$21,040
329	502 A	Employments (Appropriation 35-A 1) . . . . .	\$413,025
330	502 <hr/> 1092	Non-Personal Services, Materials Supplies and Equipment (Appropriation 35-B) . . . . .	\$41,700

**Bureau of Sewer Repair.**

331	501 A	Personal Services (Appropriation 36-A) . . . . .	\$6,000
332	501 A	Employments (Appropriation 36-A 1) . . . . .	\$205,855
333	501 —	Non-Personal Services, Material Supplies and Equipment (Appropriation 36-B) . . . . .	\$23,000

**Sewage Pumping Stations.**

334	501 A	Personal Services (Appropriation 37-A) . . . . .	\$2,340
335	501 —	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 37-B) . . . . .	\$2,200

**Board of Public Works, Miscellaneous.**

336	— K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 38) . . . . .	\$16,300
337	679 —	Municipal Water Works (Appropriation 39) . . . . .	\$3,900

Total Board of Public Works			<u>\$1,864,831</u>
-----------------------------	--	--	--------------------

**DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICITY.***Personal Services—(Appropriation 40-A)*

338	465 A	Office Superintendent . . . . .	\$3,900
339	"	Secretary . . . . .	2,700
340	"	1 Clerk . . . . .	2,100
341	"	1 Stenographer-Typewriter . . . . .	1,920
342	"	1 Helper-Messenger . . . . .	1,500
343	461 A	Chief Inspector . . . . .	2,700
344	"	8 Inspectors at \$2,400 each . . . . .	19,200
345	465 A	1 Foreman Lineman . . . . .	2,340
346	"	Chief Operator . . . . .	2,580
347	"	7 Fire Alarm Operators at \$2,280 each . . . . .	15,960
348	"	4 Telephone Operators at \$1,680 each . . . . .	6,720
349	"	Relief Telephone Operator . . . . .	500
350	"	Superintendent of Plant . . . . .	3,000
351	"	Cable Splicer at \$8 per diem . . . . .	2,400
352	"	Batterymen . . . . .	2,220
353	"	Foreman Instrument Maker . . . . .	2,580
354	"	4 Instrument Makers at \$2,400 each . . . . .	9,600
355	"	Foreman Laborer at \$6.50 per diem . . . . .	650
356	"	2 Laborers at \$5 per diem . . . . .	1,000
357	"	1 Machinist at \$8 per diem . . . . .	2,400
358	"	2 Foremen Linemen at \$2,340 each . . . . .	4,680
359	"	12 Linemen at \$2,160 each . . . . .	25,920
360	"	Commissary . . . . .	1,800
361	"	Repairer . . . . .	2,220
362	"	1 Painter at \$8 per diem . . . . .	2,400
362a	"	1 Clerk . . . . .	1,500

Total Personal Services . . . . .			\$124,490
-----------------------------------	--	--	-----------

*Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 40-B)*

363	465	Material, Supplies and Equipment	\$15,000
-----	-----	----------------------------------	----------

*Personal and Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 40-E)*

364	1093	Underground Conduit System.....	\$15,000
-----	------	---------------------------------	----------

Total Non-Personal Services.			\$30,000
------------------------------	--	--	----------

Total Department of Electricity			\$154,490
---------------------------------	--	--	-----------

**FIRE DEPARTMENT.***Personal Services—(Appropriation 41-A)*

365	452 A	4 Commissioners at \$1,200 each.....	\$4,800
366	"	Office Superintendent and Secretary	3,600
367	"	Physician . . . . .	2,400
368	"	Stenographer . . . . .	2,400
369	"	Chief Engineer . . . . .	5,360
370	"	First Assistant Chief . . . . .	3,960
371	"	Second Assistant Chief.....	3,360
372	"	16 Battalion Chiefs at \$3,060 each.	48,960
373	"	18 Operators at \$2,160 each.....	38,880
			<hr/>
			\$113,720

**ENGINE COMPANIES.**

374	452 A	49 Captains at \$2,460 each.....	\$120,540
375	"	72 Lieutenants at \$2,310 each.....	166,320
376	"	38 Engineers at \$2,280 each.....	86,640
377	"	40 Drivers at \$2,040 each.....	81,600
378	"	19 Drivers at \$1,920 each.....	36,480
379	"	4 Drivers at \$1,800 each.....	7,200
380	"	51 Stokers at \$2,040 each.....	104,040
381	"	10 Stokers at \$1,920 each.....	19,200
382	"	2 Stokers at \$1,800 each.....	3,600
383	"	269 Hosemen at \$2,040 each.....	548,760
384	"	88 Hosemen at \$1,920 each.....	168,960
385	"	72 Hosemen at \$1,800 each.....	129,600
385a	"	Automatic Increase of Salaries....	21,470
			<hr/>
			\$1,494,410

**CHEMICAL COMPANIES.**

386	452 A	13 Captains at \$2,460 each.....	\$31,980
387	"	13 Lieutenants at \$2,310 each....	30,030
388	"	11 Drivers at \$2,040 each.....	22,440
389	"	2 Drivers at \$1,920 each.....	3,840
390	"	13 Hosemen at \$2,040 each.....	26,520
391	"	Automatic Increase in Salaries	176
			<hr/>
			\$114,986

**TRUCK COMPANIES.**

392	452 A	13 Captains at \$2,460 each.....	\$31,980
393	"	13 Lieutenants at \$2,310 each....	30,030
394	"	11 Drivers at \$2,040 each.....	22,440
395	"	2 Drivers at \$1,920 each.....	3,840
396	"	13 Tillermen at \$2,040 each.....	26,520
397	"	100 Truckmen at \$2,040 each.....	204,000
398	"	3 Truckmen at \$1,920 each.....	5,760
399	"	1 Truckman . . . . .	1,800
400	"	Automatic Increase in Salaries....	605
			<hr/>
			\$326,975

WEDNESDAY, MAY 31, 1922.

## WATER TOWER COMPANIES.

401	452 A	1 Driver . . . . .	\$2,040
402	"	1 Hoseman . . . . .	2,040
			<hr/>
			\$4,080

## FIRE BOAT.

403	452 A	For Fire Boat Crew and Maintenance, all revenues received from State of California to be credited to this item.....	\$60,000
-----	-------	---	----------

## PUMPING STATIONS.

404	452 A	2 Chief Engineers at \$3,000 each..	\$6,000
405	"	5 Assistant Engineers at \$2,400 each . . . . .	12,000
406	"	7 Firemen at \$1,980 each.....	13,860
407	"	Vacation Engineers . . . . .	700
408	"	Vacation Firemen . . . . .	577
			<hr/>
			\$33,137

## DISTRIBUTING SYSTEM.

409	452 A	Superintendent . . . . .	\$3,420
410	"	Foreman Gateman . . . . .	2,520
411	"	Assistant Foreman Gateman.....	2,370
412	"	10 Gatemen-Hydrantmen at \$2,220 each . . . . .	22,200
413	"	1 Calker at \$6.75 per diem.....	2,025
414	"	3 Laborers . . . . .	4,500
			<hr/>
			\$37,035

## CORPORATION YARD.

415	452 A	Superintendent . . . . .	\$3,600
416	"	Clerk and Commissary.....	2,400
417	"	1 Bookkeeper . . . . .	1,800
418	"	3 Watchmen, Second Grade, at \$1,620 each . . . . .	4,860
419	"	1 Drayman . . . . .	1,920
420	"	1 Clerk . . . . .	1,500
421	"	1 Batteryman . . . . .	1,800
422	"	General Foreman . . . . .	2,700
423	"	Superintendent of Assignments....	1,440
424	"	12 Machinists at \$8 per diem each	28,800
425	"	3 Machinists' Helpers at \$6 per diem each . . . . .	5,400
426	"	3 Blacksmiths at \$8 per diem each.	7,200
427	"	3 Blacksmiths' Helpers at \$6.08 per diem each.....	5,472
428	"	2 Woodworkers at \$8 per diem....	4,800
429	"	1 Brass Finisher at \$8 per diem..	2,400
430	"	1 Boilermaker at \$8 per diem....	2,400
431	"	1 Boilermaker's Helper at \$6.08 per diem . . . . .	1,824
432	"	Foreman Carriage Painter at \$8.50 per diem . . . . .	2,550
433	"	2 Carriage Painters at \$8 per diem	4,800
434	"	Leatherworker at \$8 per diem....	2,400
			<hr/>
			\$90,066

Total Personal Services.....

**\$2,274,409***Non-Personal Services*

435	452 Var	Maintenance, Material and Supplies (Appropriation 41-B).....	\$100,000
-----	---------	--	-----------

436	452 B	Hydrant Service Spring Valley Rental, Water for Buildings and High Pressure Service (Appropriation 41-C) .....	180,000
437	1092 E	Equipment (Appropriation 41-D) ..	37,500

Total Non-Personal Services. \$317,500

Total Fire Department.....

**\$2,591,909**

## POLICE DEPARTMENT.

### GENERAL OFFICE AND ADMINISTRATION.

#### *Personal Services—(Appropriation 42-A)*

438	451 A	4 Commissioners at \$1,200 each....	\$4,800
439	"	Office Superintendent .....	3,000
440	"	Confidential Clerk .....	2,400
441	"	Stenographer .....	3,000
442	"	Chief of Police.....	6,000
443	"	Clerk to Chief.....	3,000
444	"	Office Assistant (Detective Sergeant) .....	2,400
445	"	Office Assistant (Corporal).....	2,160
446	"	Surgeon and Physician.....	1,860
447	"	4 Telephone Operators at \$1,680 each .....	6,720
448	"	2 Telephone Operators at \$1,500 each .....	3,000
449	"	Inspector of Motor Vehicles.....	2,400
450	"	Inspector of Horses and Equipment	2,400

### BOOKKEEPING AND ACCOUNTING.

#### *Personal Services*

451	451 A	Bookkeeper (Corporal) .....	2,160
452	"	4 Bookkeepers (Patrolmen) at \$2,064 each .....	8,256

### PROPERTY CLERK'S BUREAU.

453	451 A	Property Clerk (Captain).....	\$3,000
454	"	Assistant Property Clerk (Corporal) .....	2,160
455	"	4 Assistant Property Clerks (Patrolmen) at \$2,064 each.....	8,256

### BUREAU OF PERMITS AND REGISTRATION.

456	451 A	Lieutenant .....	2,520
457	"	3 Patrolmen at \$2,064 each.....	6,192

### COMPLAINT BUREAU.

458	451 A	Lieutenant .....	2,520
459	"	3 Patrolmen at \$2,064 each.....	6,192

### DETECTIVE BUREAU.

460	451 A	Captain .....	4,000
461	"	Lieutenant .....	2,520
462	"	24 Detective Sergeants at \$2,400 each .....	57,600
463	"	1 Stenographer .....	2,400
464	"	Photographer .....	2,424
465	"	3 Women Protective Officers at \$2,064 each .....	6,192

### UNIFORM FORCE AND OTHER EMPLOYEES.

466	451 A	10 Captains at \$3,000 each.....	30,000
467	"	19 Lieutenants at \$2,520 each.....	47,880

WEDNESDAY, MAY 31, 1922.

468	"	75 Sergeants at \$2,280 each.....	171,000
469	"	50 Corporals at \$2,160 each.....	108,000
470	"	800 Patrolmen at \$2,064 each.....	1,651,200
471	"	25 Patrol Drivers at \$2,040 each...	51,000
472	"	8 Hostlers at \$1,800 each.....	14,400
473	"	4 Matrons at \$1,800 each.....	7,200
474	"	Matrons' Relief .....	1,200
475	"	Cook .....	1,800
476	"	3 Engineers at \$2,040 each.....	6,120

---

Total Personal Services.....\$2,249,332

*Non-Personal Services*

477	451 K	Contingent Expense (Appropriation 42-B) . . . . .	\$9,000
478	451 Var	Maintenance (Appropriation 42-C) .	46,500
479	1092 "	Automobiles (Appropriation 42-D) .	15,000

---

Total Non-Personal Services. \$70,500

---

Total Police Department.... **\$2,319,832**

**DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH.****Central Office.**

480	476 A	Personal Services (Appropriation 43-A) . . . . .	\$247,803
481	—	Lighting, Health Department Buildings (Appropriation 43-B).....	2,500
482	—	Water for Health Department Buildings (Appropriation 43-C).....	25,000
483	—	Materials and Supplies (Appropriation 43-D) . . . . .	45,000
484	476	To carry on the work now being done by the San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Tuberculosis; conducting clinics, employing nurses, both field and clinic; physicians, car fare, food, medicines and incidental expenses (Appropriation 43-E) . . . . .	20,000

**Relief Home.**

485	552 A	Personal Services (Appropriation 44-A) . . . . .	104,475
486	552	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 44-B) . . . . .	215,000

**Isolation Hospital.**

487	554	Personal Services (Appropriation 45-A) . . . . .	39,002
-----	-----	--	--------

**San Francisco Hospital.**

488	554	Personal Services (Appropriation 46-A) . . . . .	319,644
489	5540	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 46-B) . . . . .	382,000

**Emergency Hospitals.**

490	5541 A	Personal Services (Appropriation 47-A) . . . . .	117,625
-----	--------	--	---------

491	"	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 47-B) . . . . .	26,555
492	1092	Purchase of One White Ambulance (Appropriation 47-C) . . . . .	5,000
Total Health Department...			<b>\$1,549,604</b>

**Playgrounds.**

493	612	Personal and Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 48) . . . . .	<b>\$134,000</b>
-----	-----	---	------------------

**TOTAL GENERAL FUND..** **\$13,187,180**

**SCHOOL APPROPRIATION FUND NO. 49.***Personal Services*

494	576 A	Commissioners . . . . .	\$5,000
495	"	Superintendent of Schools.....	4,000
496	"	6 Deputy Superintendents at \$3,780 each . . . . .	22,680
497	"	Secretary and Clerk High School..	2,700
498	"	1 Clerk . . . . .	1,680
499	"	2 Stenographers at \$1,920 each....	3,840
500	"	2 Stenographers at \$1,380 each....	2,760
501	"	Telephone Operator and Filing Clerk . . . . .	1,680
502	"	Messenger-Clerk . . . . .	1,800
503	"	Chauffeur . . . . .	2,400
504	"	Clerk to Superintendent . . . . .	2,220
505	"	1 Clerk . . . . .	1,800
506	"	Superintendent of Building Repairs	3,000
507	"	Inspector of Water and Gas.....	1,800

**ACCOUNTING AND PAYROLLS.**

508	"	Bookkeeper and Accountant . . . . .	2,400
509	"	Clerical Help . . . . .	6,000
510	"	Assistant Bookkeeper . . . . .	1,800
511	"	Clerk-Typewriter . . . . .	1,680

**STORES AND SHOPS.**

512	"	Storekeeper . . . . .	2,400
513	"	2 Varnishers at \$8.50 per diem each	5,100
514	"	1 Cabinet maker at \$8.50 per diem.	2,550
515	"	10 Shop Mechanics at \$1,500 each..	15,000

**TABULATION BUREAU.**

516	"	Stenographer . . . . .	1,800
-----	---	------------------------	-------

**ATTENDANCE BUREAU.**

517	"	Supervisors . . . . .	2,400
518	"	2 Clerks at \$1,500 each.....	3,000
518a	"	Clerical Help . . . . .	2,100

**Elementary Schools and Department.**

519	"	1422 Teachers, Elementary, Day.....	\$2,679,790
520	"	77 Teachers, Junior High.....	168,000
521	"	39 Teachers, Elementary, Evening.	37,380
522	"	307 Teachers, High, Day . . . . .	724,970
523	"	74 Teachers, High, Evening.....	76,392
524	"	20 Teachers, Industrial Education.	38,980
525	"	5 Teachers, Drawing . . . . .	9,520
526	"	2 Teachers, Defective Speech Classes . . . . .	4,460

527	"	1 Supervisor, Primary Grade.....	2,580
528	"	Kindergartens . . . . .	7,000
529	"	30 Teachers, Home Economics.....	50,772
530	"	1 Teacher, Art Department . . . . .	3,600
531	"	9 Teachers, Physical Education..	16,160
532	"	3 Teachers, Music . . . . .	6,300
533	"	Allowance for Additional Elementary and Junior High Teachers . . . . .	75,000
534	"	Allowance for Additional High School Teachers . . . . .	60,000
535	"	7 High School Clerks . . . . .	7,560
536	"	1 Stenographer, Physical Education Department . . . . .	1,200
537	"	Physical Education Assistants, part time . . . . .	5,500
538	"	Sick Leave Allowance.....	25,000
539	"	Allowance for Elementary, High, Kindergarten, Part-Time and other Teachers to be assigned...	125,000
<b>JANITORS AND ENGINEERS.</b>			
540	"	Janitors, Elementary and Junior High Schools . . . . .	214,188
541	"	Janitors, High Schools.....	33,122
542	"	Engineers, High Schools.....	6,300
Total Personal Services.....			\$4,482,364
543	576 C	Material and Supplies.....	297,450
<b>FIXED CHARGES.</b>			
544	576	Rents . . . . .	18,000
545	"	Teachers' Institute . . . . .	1,750
Total School Fund.....			<b>\$4,799,564</b>
<hr/>			
546	601	Park Fund (Appropriation 50)....	<b>620,000</b>
547	631/32	Bond Interest and Redemption (Appropriation 51) . . . . .	<b>4,728,934</b>
548	629	Firemen's Relief and Pension Fund (Appropriation 52) . . . . .	<b>209,000</b>
549	577	Library Fund (Appropriation 53) .	<b>228,000</b>
550	440	Board of Administration Retirement System for City Employees, Immediate Retirement Pensions and Administration Expenses (Appropriation 54) . . . . .	<b>125,000</b>
551	403	Publicity and Advertising.....	<b>50,000</b>
552	553	Maintenance of Blind.....	<b>15,000</b>
<b>TOTAL BUDGET . . . . .</b>			<b>\$24,892,678</b>

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—13.

Noes—Supervisors Hynes, McSheehy, Powers, Schmitz, Welch—5.

**Explanations of Vote.**

*Supervisor Hynes:* I am going to

vote "No" and want my explanation made a part of the record to a degree answering Supervisor Morgan's question as to why discuss the merits of past budgets.

I stated that this Budget was presented to the Board unofficially on the 15th of May—on Monday.

(Chair: It was filed officially.)

*Supervisor Hynes:* I asked you if it was possible for me to secure data and you said it would not be officially before the Board until next Wednesday, so I concluded it was not officially before the Board until Wednesday—that was on the 15th. On the 17th we had a public hearing; then on the 18th it was before the Board properly. We continued the hearing until the morning of the 19th and then it was passed to print. Allowing five days for publication, we commenced on the 20th (the 21st was a Sunday), 22, 23, 24 and the 25th would be the final day of publication. Now, if the last day we had to amend the Budget was on the 25th, as the Clerk says, I think Supervisor Morgan can readily see there was no opportunity for this Board to make any amendment and we can only lay that to the action of the Finance Committee in presenting that Budget so late to this Board. On the 26th and 27th, which was Friday and Saturday, there was no meeting. Sunday being a holiday, there was no meeting. On Monday, the 29th, there was nobody here, so the meeting was adjourned by the Clerk of this Board. The 30th was a holiday, and today the postponed meeting is held, so, Supervisor Morgan, you can see there was no opportunity to analyze the Budget, to scrutinize it so that any intelligent person could vote on the Budget. I am further voting against this Budget for I consider there is an obligation on the part of this Board of Supervisors to provide in the Budget for the retirement system, and when this tax rate is before the Board of Supervisors in September some effort will be made by me to include in that Budget and in that tax rate enough money to provide for the retirement system as promised and pledged and voted for according to the ordinance of this Board of Supervisors. I vote "No" on final passage of the Budget.

*Supervisor Powers:* I want this explanation made a part of the record. I want my vote recorded "No" for the reason that any recommendation asked for by any member of the Board outside of the Finance Committee was referred back to the Finance Committee, to be held back another year. Many times the suggestions were good ones and out of the whole Budget there are only a few items I wanted to see changed. I think the Finance Committee ought to have allowed some changes to be made.

*Supervisor McSheehy:* I am voting "No" and I wish to have incorporated in the record the exact written amended statement I brought in here this afternoon.

*Supervisor Welch* explained his vote as follows:

In voting "No" on the Budget I reserve the right to explain my reasons and have them become a part of the record of the proceedings of this Board.

The Finance Committee filed its annual Budget report with the Board on May 15th, based on a \$3.42 tax rate. This rate, if permitted to stand, would mean a saving of 5 cents on each \$100 for the taxpayers and in keeping with a substantial reduction which they had reason to expect.

It developed upon considering the Budget by the Board of Supervisors that ample provision had not been made for main sewer extensions. The committee recommended \$25,000, a sum inadequate to even in part meet a great public necessity. The sewage of a large portion of the Mission District flows for upwards of two miles through an open ditch and is deposited in the Islais Creek and India Basin district and is a menace to the health of the residents of that district. It also makes impossible the development of approximately 400 acres of land in the only section of the city where large industrial and maritime developments are possible. To meet this demand and at the same time keep the proposed tax rate at or near the amount recommended in the Budget I proposed the transfer of \$100,000 as recommended by the committee for the further improvement of the Civic Center and other sums for similar purposes be transferred to the item on main sewer extensions. My motion was defeated, but in lieu of which an additional \$100,000 was added to the Budget, thus increasing the tax rate approximately 2 cents.

While conceding the desirability of Civic Center and other improvements along the same lines, when forced to make a choice in the interest of economy between the aesthetic and the constructive, I readily chose the latter. Commerce, trade and industry, like time and tide, will not wait for men nor communities, but will go where people have the foresight and vision to provide therefor.

No possible harm could come to a man, woman or child in this community by deferring for a short period the artistic development of this city. Great harm is sure to come to us unless we provide for the health and the commercial and industrial development of our city.

Every road in this city leads directly or indirectly to the Civic Center. On the other hand, there is but one road of ingress to and egress from this city. On that road lives and limbs are sacrificed almost daily. The necessity of another highway along the bay shore and a bridge at or near Dumbarton Point is obvious. San Francisco to

hold her own as a great commercial center must have closer communication with those great hinterlands of the San Joaquin, Sacramento and Livermore valleys. That can only be done by a highway and bridge which will permit uninterrupted travel via a bridge and highway into the San Francisco peninsula. The greater part of the expense of this necessary development must be borne by the City and County of San Francisco, which the legislative body of the city and his Honor the Mayor should keep in mind in fixing a tax rate.

Had the proposed tax rate been kept at \$3.42 or less, which was possible, the cost of the proposed bridge and highway would have been cheerfully met by the taxpayers of this city and county.

*Supervisor Schmitz* asked that his statement of proposed amendments (printed above) be made a part of the record as embodying his reasons for voting "No."

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board at 6:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors Monday, August 14, 1922.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN.

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, June 5, 1922.

Monday, June 12, 1922.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



**THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY**  
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

U

dis

re-

Mc

the

a

put

his

19

Pr

to

84

on

mu

to

ci

re

bi

F

J

F

V

s

C

1

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JUNE 5, 1922, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, June 5, 1922, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and Supervisor Morgan was noted present.

Whereupon, the Clerk announced that the majority members were on a trip of inspection to Hetch Hetchy.

Bid Received.

In accordance with notice heretofore published a bid for printing and publishing the Municipal Record for 1922-1923 was received from The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company, together with a certified check for \$475, which bid and check were laid over for consideration until the next meeting.

### ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, there being no quorum, the meeting was adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN.  
Clerk.

MONDAY, JUNE 12, 1922, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, June 12, 1922, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Morgan was called to the chair.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of March 27, 1922, was *approved*.

### ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Mayor Approves Budget.

The following was presented:

Mayor's Office.

San Francisco, June 12, 1922.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, Cal.

Gentlemen:

I have returned to your Honorable Board, with my approval, Ordinance No. 5643, constituting the City and County Budget for the fiscal year 1922-1923.

In approving this ordinance, I wish to say that I have gone over the budget item by item and I cannot see where a single thing can be eliminated without interfering needlessly with the progressive program that the budget embodies.

To the Finance Committee, for its weeks of intensive study and for its final report, and to the majority members of the Board of Supervisors for their support of the committee and for their policy of building up and advancing San Francisco as a city, I wish to pay my compliments and to extend my congratulations. This is one of the finest budgets San Francisco ever had—and our tax rate is still one of the lowest in the country.

Again congratulating the Finance Committee and the majority members of the Board for their splendid work on this budget, I am

Very sincerely yours,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,  
Mayor.

Read and *filed*.

Appointment of Director of Joint Highway District.

Redwood City, Cal., June 12, 1922.

Clerk, Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, Cal.

At a meeting of the Board of Supervisors, held on June 4, Supervisor John McBain was appointed director of the Joint Highway Commission from San Mateo County.

ELIZABETH M. NASH,  
Clerk of the Board.

Read and ordered *spread in Journal*.

Deaths of John R. Hanify and E. A. Christenson.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

May 31, 1922.

To the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

My Dear Sirs:

I have the honor to acknowledge, in behalf of the board of directors of the Olympic Club, your letter of May 9th, which, in substance, is a resolution of condolence incidental to the demise of our beloved and greatly-lamented directors, John R. Hanify and E. A. Christenson. Your resolution has been spread over the minutes of the board of directors' meeting of this date and the members shall be given due notice thereof through the medium of our official organ "The Olympian."

May I express to your Honorable Board the thanks and appreciation of the president, the board of directors and members of the Olympic Club for your kind letter and message of condolence.

Yours very sincerely,

FRANK J. FORAN,

Secretary Olympic Club.

Masonic Extension of the Municipal Railway.

*Communication*—From Haight and Ashbury Improvement Association, requesting that the Board of Public Works be instructed to immediately proceed to call for bids, let contracts and construct Municipal Railway from Turk street and Masonic avenue to Waller street, and Waller to Cole and on Cole to Seventeenth street, as previously ordered by the Board.

Presented by Clerk and subject-matter continued.

Sale of Mission High School Building.

*Communication*—From the Board of Education, requesting that Mission High School Building, partly destroyed by fire, be offered for sale.

Read and resolution *adopted*.

Letter of Appreciation, Seventh Day Adventists.

The following was presented and read:

*Communication*—From Mayor, transmitting letter of appreciation from secretary of General Conference, Seventh Day Adventists, for courtesies extended during their recent convention in San Francisco.

Read and *filed*.

Leave of Absence, Supervisor Ralph McLeran.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., June 12, 1922.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City

and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application having been made to me by Hon. Ralph McLeran, member of the Board of Supervisors, for leave of absence with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of sixty days, commencing June 16, 1922, I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,

Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 20029 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Ralph McLeran, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing June 16, 1922, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., June 1, 1922.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, Cal.

Gentlemen:

Leave of Absence, Alfred Ehrman, Fire Commissioner.

Application has been made to me by Hon. Alfred Ehrman, Fire Commissioner, for a leave of absence with permission to leave the State of California for a period of fifteen days, commencing June 4, 1922.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Very respectfully yours,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,

Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 20030 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Alfred Ehrman, Fire Commissioner, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of fifteen days, commencing June 4, 1922, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Leave of Absence, Thomas Boyle, Auditor.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., June 7, 1922.  
To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, Cal. Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Hon. Thos. F. Boyle, Auditor, City and County of San Francisco, for a leave of absence with permission to leave the State of California for a period of sixty days, commencing June 15, 1922.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Very respectfully yours,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,  
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and adopted:

Resolution No. 20031 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Thomas F. Boyle, Auditor, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing June 15, 1922, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Relative to Construction of Rochambeau and Lafayette Schools.**

*Communication*—From Board of Education, acknowledging receipt of communication from Board of Supervisors requesting that arrangements be made for the immediate construction of the Rochambeau and Lafayette schools.

Read and filed.

**Sacramento's '49 Celebration.**

*Communication*—From Mayor, transmitting letter of thanks from City Clerk of Sacramento for San Francisco's participation in recent "Days of '49" celebration. Also, similar letter from A. S. Dudley, Secretary-Manager of Sacramento Chamber of Commerce.

Read and filed.

**Rincon Hill Regrade.**

*Supervisor Mulvihill*: I move that the subject be continued until the first week in August, on account of July being vacation month.

*So ordered.*

**Action Deferred.**

**Award of Contract for Drugs.**

Reports were presented by the Supplies Committee and by Supervisor McSheehy, minority of said Committee, on award of contract for drugs for the ensuing fiscal year, which reports were

made a Special Order of Business for 3 p. m. next Monday. Copies of both reports to be sent to the members.

**Action Deferred.**

**Bulboa Street.**

The hearing of the appeal of property owners on Balboa street between Thirty-third and Forty-second avenues against assessment for street work was laid over and made a Special Order of Business for 3 p. m. next Monday.

#### REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.

The following committees, by their respective chairmen, presented reports on various matters referred, which reports were read and ordered filed:

Supplies Committee, by Supervisor Rossi, chairman.

Fire Committee, by Supervisor Deasy, chairman.

Public Buildings Committee, by Supervisor Scott, chairman.

Public Health Committee, by Supervisor McSheehy, chairman.

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

**Final Passage.**

The following resolution heretofore passed for printing was taken up and finally passed by the following vote:

**Permits.**

Resolution No. 20012 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

*Automobile Supply Station.*

F. W. Bullock and M. Larrimore, at southwest corner of Fulton and Gough streets; also to store 600 gallons of gasoline on premises. The provisions of Ordinance No. 2659 (New Series) must be strictly complied with.

Standard Oil Co., at southeast corner of Arguello boulevard and Geary street; also to store 1200 gallons of gasoline on premises. The provisions of Ordinance No. 2659 (New Series) must be strictly complied with.

*Oil Storage Tank.*

F. C. White, at northeast corner of California and Leavenworth streets; 1500 gallons capacity.

Fred Saunders, guardian, etc., on north side of Geary street, 85 feet east of Polk street; 1500 gallons capacity.

A. W. Morton, at 775 Cole street; 1500 gallons capacity.

Fleischman Co., at 941 Mission street; 1500 gallons capacity.

Trustees of Shriners' Hospital for Crippled Children in block bounded by Nineteenth and Twentieth avenues, Lawton and Moraga streets; 2100 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### REPORT OF FINANCE COMMITTEE

Demands on the Treasury, amounting to \$67,227.35, were presented and approved by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### *Urgent Necessity.*

Spring Valley Water Co., water, public troughs, \$94.94.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### NEW BUSINESS.

##### Auditorium Rental.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 20013 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Official Committee, French Colony, be granted permission to occupy the Main and Polk halls, Auditorium, July 14, 1922, 8 a. m. to 12 p. m., for the purpose of holding literary exercises and dance; deposit having been paid to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to guarantee the rental fee.

Adopted under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Passed for Printing.

The following matters were passed for printing:

##### Authorizations.

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

##### *Special School Tax, 1920-1921.*

(1) John Reid, Jr., 6th payment, architectural service, Parkside School (claim dated June 1, 1922), \$545.23.

##### *Special School Tax, 1921-1922.*

(2) Nat T. Messer, commission on auction sale of buildings on school lands (claim dated May 22, 1922), \$560.75.

(3) John Reid, Jr., second payment, architectural service, Yerba Buena School Annex (claim dated May 25, 1922), \$1,636.

##### *School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(4) G. P. W. Jensen, fourth payment, general construction, Crocker-Amazon School (claim dated June 1, 1922), \$8,955.

##### *Park Fund.*

(5) Wm. L. Hughson, tractor for parks (claim dated May 26, 1922), \$576.72.

(6) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream, Park, month of March (claim dated May 26, 1922), \$936.35.

(7) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream, Park, month of April (claim dated May 26, 1922), \$1,809.05.

(8) A. J. Raisch Co., construction of tennis court, Balboa Park (claim dated May 26, 1922), \$820.80.

##### *Municipal Railway Fund.*

(9) More-Jones Brass & Metal Co., trolley wheels, Municipal Railways (claim dated May 22, 1922), \$800.

(10) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., brake shoes, Municipal Railways (claim dated May 22, 1922), \$1,169.02.

##### *Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.*

(11) United States Steel Products Co., first payment, steel rail joint plates, Municipal Railways (claim dated June 2, 1922), \$64,665.

##### *Olsen Bequest Fund.*

(12) John E. McDougald, for purchase of one Water Bond, issue 1910, 4½ per cent (claim dated May 24, 1922), \$1,000.

##### *Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(13) J. F. Mitchell, machine fittings, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated May 23, 1922), \$503.15.

(14) Southern Pacific Co., car fittings (claim dated May 23, 1922), \$504.86.

(15) W. E. Musher Co., valves (claim dated May 23, 1922), \$533.31.

(16) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., hardware (claim dated May 24, 1922), \$541.63.

(17) The Austin-Western Road Machinery Co., steel road grader (claim dated May 23, 1922), \$542.76.

(18) Associated Oil Co., fuel oil, etc. (claim dated May 23, 1922), \$594.75.

(19) The Gutta Percha & Rubber Mfg. Co., air drill hose (claim dated May 23, 1922), \$631.22.

(20) J. H. McCallum, lumber, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated May 23, 1922), \$893.15.

(21) W. H. Worden Co. Inc., machine parts (claim dated May 23, 1922), \$901.52.

(22) Ingersoll-Rand Co., machine parts (claim dated May 23, 1922), \$971.98.

(23) Sherry Bros. Inc., food supplies (claim dated May 23, 1922), \$1,037.17.

(24) Norman B. Livermore & Co., locomotive parts (claim dated May 24, 1922), \$1,137.23.

(25) Baumgarten Bros., meats, (claim dated May 23, 1922), \$1,140.38.

(26) Pacific Tank & Pipe Co., iron pipe (claim dated May 23, 1922), \$1,352.07.

(27) Standard Oil Co., prepaid freight charges, fuel oil, etc., to Hetch Hetchy (claim dated May 23, 1922), \$1,565.48.

(28) W. D. Avers Co., food supplies (claim dated May 23, 1922), \$1,614.16.

(29) Western Butchers' Supply Co., refrigerator, etc. (claim dated May 23, 1922), \$1,615.12.

(30) Harron, Rickard & McCone Inc., one pressure blower (claim dated May 23, 1922), \$2,056.85.

(31) Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson Inc., black pipe (claim dated May 23, 1922), \$2,210.04.

(32) Standard Oil Co., fuel oil, etc. (claim dated May 24, 1922), \$2,893.81.

(33) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated May 23, 1922), \$3,456.25.

(34) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated May 23, 1922), \$3,456.25.

(35) Standard Oil Co., fuel oil, etc. (claim dated May 24, 1922), \$3,603.44.

(36) Standard Oil Co., fuel oil (claim dated May 23, 1922), \$4,442.82.

(37) Standard Oil Co., fuel oil (claim dated May 24, 1922), \$4,586.09.

(38) Giant Powder Co., Co., powder, etc. (claim dated May 23, 1922), \$4,616.55.

(39) State Compensation Insurance Fund, February and March premiums, Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated May 23, 1922), \$15,395.97.

(40) Fairbanks, Morse & Co., Inc., motor repairs, etc. (claim dated May 26, 1922), \$551.36.

(41) P. H. Reardon, suction hose (claim dated May 26, 1922), \$554.13.

(42) Standard Oil Co., fuel oil, etc. (claim dated May 26, 1922), \$576.12.

(43) Associated Oil Co., fuel oil (claim dated May 26, 1922), \$594.75.

(44) Montague Pipe & Steel Co., steel pipe (claim dated May 26, 1922), \$608.96.

(45) Goodyear Rubber Co., coats and hose (claim dated May 26, 1922), \$652.75.

(46) Pacific Mill & Mine Supply Co., belting (claim dated May 26, 1922), \$664.80.

(47) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, payment to United States Government for timber, per vouchers (claim dated May 26, 1922), \$675.21.

(48) Western Meat Co., meats (claim dated May 26, 1922), \$784.16.

(49) Firestone Tire & Rubber Co., tires and tubes (claim dated May 26, 1922), \$827.02.

(50) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Groveland Revolving Fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated May 26, 1922), \$1,639.12.

(51) The Safety Insulated Wire & Cable Co., cable (claim dated May 26, 1922), \$1,786.66.

(52) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, freight payments, per vouchers (claim dated May 26, 1922), \$1,921.84.

(53) Edward R. Bacon Co., Inc., tee rail (claim dated May 26, 1922), \$4,842.

(54) The White Co., one motor truck (claim dated May 26, 1922), \$6,104.35.

(55) Pacific Tank & Pipe Co., redwood pipe (claim dated May 26, 1922), \$7,488.37.

(56) The Utah Construction Co., extra work, clearing Hetch Hetchy reservoir, month of April (claim dated May 26, 1922), \$8,382.17.

#### *General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(57) Shell Co. of California, fuel oil, Dept. of Public Works (claim dated May 29, 1922), \$1,071.

(58) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., rental of four Lutz surface heaters, Dept. of Public Works (claim dated May 29, 1922), \$2,500.

(59) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement, Dept. of Public Works (claim dated May 29, 1922), \$4,444.61.

(60) Union Oil Co. of Cal., asphalt, etc., Dept. of Public Works (claim dated May 29, 1922), \$4,614.96.

(61) California Brick Co., brick, street repair (claim dated May 29, 1922), \$3,325.

(62) California Brick Co., brick, street repair (claim dated May 29, 1922), \$1,425.

(63) Geo. Anderson, 200 street cans (claim dated May 29, 1922), \$1,400.

(64) Union Oil Co. of California, fuel oil, Dept. of Public Works (claim dated May 29, 1922), \$1,776.23.

(65) Sperry Flour Co., flour, Relief Home (claim dated May 20, 1922), \$904.80.

(66) N. & S. E. Kalischer, dry goods, Relief Home (claim dated May 20, 1922), \$551.60.

(67) Wm. Cluff Co., groceries, Relief Home (claim dated May 19, 1922), \$651.20.

(68) Standard Oil Co., fuel oil, Relief Home (claim dated April 30, 1922), \$1,939.89.

(69) Miller & Lux, meats, Relief Home (claim dated April 30, 1922), \$517.52.

(70) Baumgarten Bros. meats, Relief Home (claim dated April 30, 1922), \$2,870.48.

(71) Spring Valley Water Co., water, Fire Dept. hydrants (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$10,910.58.

(72) R. Brandlein & Co., millwork, Dept. of Elections (claim dated May 25, 1922), \$1,500.

(73) San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated June 5, 1922), \$1,000.

(74) I. M. Sommer, first payment, general construction, Fire Dept. drill

tower (claim dated June 1, 1922), \$3,382.88.

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(75) P. H. Reardon, suction hose (claim dated June 1, 1922), \$522.

(76) California Pottery Co., sewer pipe (claim dated June 1, 1922), \$537.88.

(77) Associated Oil Co., fuel oil (claim dated June 1, 1922), \$538.11.

(78) Hart-Wood Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated June 1, 1922), \$643.90.

(79) Haas Bros., groceries (claim dated June 1, 1922), \$658.14.

(80) H. E. Teller Co., coffee (claim dated June 1, 1922), \$729.09.

(81) Fred L. Hilmer Co., eggs (claim dated June 1, 1922), \$1,006.45.

(82) Insley Mfg. Co., three dump cars, etc. (claim dated June 1, 1922), \$1,143.73.

(83) Keystone Lubricating Co., grease (claim dated May 29, 1922), \$841.35.

*General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(84) A. W. Norris, Sacramento, Cal., payment for rental of advertising space, City and County of San Francisco, Sacramento's celebration (claim dated June 5, 1922), \$2,485.38.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(1) Butte Electrical Equipment Co., second payment, electric work, Adams School (claim dated June 7, 1922), \$534.70.

(2) The Scott Co., first payment, heating and ventilating, Adams School (claim dated June 7, 1922), \$678.

(3) The Scott Co., first payment, heating and ventilating, Spring Valley School (claim dated June 7, 1922), \$795.

(4) Butte Electrical Equipment Co., second payment, electric work, North Beach (Galileo) High School (claim dated June 7, 1922), \$885.52.

(5) Standard Electric Construction Co., third payment, electric work, Mission High School addition (claim dated June 7, 1922), \$2,971.88.

(7) Robert Frost, fourth payment, heating and ventilating, Mission High School addition (claim dated June 7, 1922), \$4,153.88.

(7) Aobert Frost, fourth payment, general construction, North Beach (Galileo) High School (claim dated June 7, 1922), \$29,325.

*Special School Tax, 1921-1922.*

(8) Butte Electric & Mfg. Co., first payment, electric wiring, Emerson

School (claim dated June 7, 1922), \$750.

(9) A. Lettich, third payment, plumbing, Parkside School (claim dated June 7, 1922), \$2,188.24.

(10) John Morton, first payment, general construction, Oral Deaf School (claim dated June 7, 1922), \$1,439.44.

(11) John Reid, Jr., first payment, architectural service, temporary buildings for Mission High and Humboldt Evening High schools (claim dated June 7, 1922), \$2,115.

(12) C. F. Weber & Co., equipment furnished Commodore Sloat School (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$2,000.

*Municipal Railway Fund.*

(13) Standard Oil Co. Inc., gasoline, April (claim dated June 5, 1922), \$878.43.

(14) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., car brakes (claim dated June 5, 1922), \$1,170.69.

*Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.*

(15) Grace E. Cook, settlement of claim for damages, Superior Court judgment, Action No. 107920 (claim dated June 5, 1922), \$6,663.06.

(16) Faye M. Hall, settlement of claim for damages, Superior Court judgment, Action No. 107919 (claim dated June 5, 1922), \$9,085.14.

(17) Continental Construction Co., first payment, concrete poles and conductors, Taraval street line, Thirty-third to Forty-eighth avenues, per contract 131 (claim dated June 7, 1922), \$3,375.

(18) Eaton & Smith, first payment, construction of Taraval street line of Municipal Railway, Thirty-third to Forty-eighth avenues (claim dated June 7, 1922), \$9,000.

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(19) Producers Hay Co., barley, Hetch Hetchy water construction (claim dated June 7, 1922), \$702.19.

(20) John A. Roebling's Sons Co., wire fence, etc. (claim dated June 7, 1922), \$913.45.

(21) Baumgarten Bros., meats (claim dated June 2, 1922), \$1,480.46.

(22) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated June 7, 1922), \$3,456.25.

(23) Hercules Powder Co., blasting caps (claim dated June 7, 1922), \$3,635.62.

(24) Utah Construction Co., 31st payment, construction of Hetch Hetchy dam and appurtenances (claim dated June 7, 1922), \$234,263.43.

*County Road Fund.*

(25) Raisch Improvement Co., second payment, improvement of San Jose avenue, Ottawa to Sickles avenue (claim dated June 9, 1922), \$8,309.25.

(26) Frank J. McHugh, first payment, improvement of Balboa street,

Twenty-third to Twenty-fourth avenues (claim dated June 9, 1922), \$1,125.

*Park Fund.*

(27) Owen I. McHugh, clay furnished parks (claim dated June 9, 1922), \$823.18.

(28) Rathjens-Eggers Co., supplies furnished Children's Playground (claim dated June 9, 1922), \$1,091.80.

(29) The White Co., one motor truck with dump body, for parks (claim dated June 9, 1922), \$5,838.

*Talbot Bequest (Park) Fund.*

(30) Pietro Cattadori, New York, tapestries for Museum (claim dated June 2, 1922), \$5,000.

*General Fund, 1920-1921.*

(31) Louis J. Cohn, first payment, general construction of exhibition structure in nave of Ferry Building (claim dated June 7, 1922), \$2,779.39.

*General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(32) Clinton Construction Co., fifth payment, Ocean Beach Esplanade (claim dated June 7, 1922), \$32,575.50.

(33) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., asphalt resurfacing during April (claim dated June 7, 1922), \$1,074.85.

(34) Standard Oil Co., asphalt, Dept. of Public Works (claim dated June 5, 1922), \$2,095.26.

(35) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement, Dept. of Public Works (claim dated June 5, 1922), \$3,972.33.

(36) Shell Co. of Cal., fuel oil, Dept. of Public Works (claim dated June 7, 1922), \$765.

(37) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated June 9, 1922), \$11,041.87.

(38) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated June 9, 1922), \$8,583.40.

(39) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated June 9, 1922), \$943.45.

(40) Baumgarten Bros., meats, Relief Home (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$3,305.67.

(41) California Meat Co., meats, Relief Home (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$724.91.

(42) L. Dinkelspiel Co., dry goods, Relief Home (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$718.27.

(43) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs, Relief Home (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$576.24.

(44) C. Nauman & Co., vegetables, Relief Home (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$586.40.

(45) Sherry Bros., butter, etc., Relief Home (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$671.10.

(46) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., street lighting for May (claim dated June 12, 1922), \$46,642.71.

(47) San Francisco Chronicle, official advertising, Board of Supervis-

ors, month of May (claim dated June 9, 1922), \$2,361.16.

(48) Fay Improvement Co., Leavenworth extension through to Fulton street, final payment (claim dated June 9, 1922), \$3,913.55.

(49) Healy-Tibbitts Construction Co., first payment, construction of Third street sewer outlet (claim dated June 9, 1922), \$5,500.

(50) Schultz Construction Co., first payment, improvement of Liberty street, Church to Noe streets (claim dated June 9, 1922), \$2,200.

**Property Erroneously Assessed Withdrawn From Sale.**

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 20014 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with a communication from the Assessor, dated May 25, 1922, the following property, being erroneously assessed in 1921, be withdrawn from sale and reassessed in 1922 in accordance with Section 3806, Political Code, and the Tax Collector is hereby directed not to offer same for sale:

Vol.	Page	Lot	Block
5	131	6	869
5	157	15	942
18	28	1	2859
18	164	29	2988a
20	143	10	3555
22	13	8	3637
23	102	51	3775
28	87	1	5211
35	11	20	6259
35	157	52	6464
38	63	37	6769
38	68	38	6769

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Accepting Statement of City Percentage Gross Receipts of Street Railways.**

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 20015 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the statements heretofore filed by the Market Street Railway Company showing gross receipts from passenger fares for the months of March and April, 1922, upon which percentages in the following amounts are due the City and County under the terms of franchises, be and the same are hereby accepted, to-wit:

Parnassus and Ninth Avenue..	\$266.59
Parkside Transit Co.....	336.49
Gough Street Ry. Co.....	41.82
Parnassus and Ninth Avenue..	257.82
Parkside Transit Co.....	341.60
Gough Street Ry. Co.....	42.08

Further Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company is hereby directed to deposit with the Treasurer of the City and County the hereinabove

mentioned sums, the same to be placed to the credit of the General Fund.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

*Passed for Printing.*

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

**Garage, Laundry, Boiler and Oil Permits.**

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

*Public Garage.*

Florence M. Chapman, on the north side of Geary street, 30 feet west of Third avenue.

*Laundry.*

Joseph Betcabe, at 1735 Geary street, *Boiler.*

D. H. Farrelly & Co., 8-horsepower, at northeast corner of Twenty-fifth and York streets.

Joseph Betcabe, 20-horsepower, at 1735 Geary street.

*Oil Storage Tank.*

Anderson Bros., at southwest corner of Powell and North Point streets; 1500 gallons capacity.

Joseph Betcabe, at 1735 Geary street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Benjamin J. Baum, at 414 Clay street; 800 gallons capacity.

Vincent Fassio, on east side Stockton street, 100 feet north of California street; 1500 gallons capacity.

Hans Gawellek, at 500 Haight street; 1500 gallons capacity.

John Spargo, at southeast corner Geary and Larkin streets; 1500 gallons capacity.

Steinhart Aquarium, in Golden Gate Park; 1800 gallons capacity.

W. A. Savage, at northeast corner of Seventeenth avenue and California street; 1500 gallons capacity.

E. K. Nelson, at northwest corner Buchanan and Oak streets; 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

**Garage Permit Denied.**

Supervisor Deasy presented:

Resolution No. 20016 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied Bothin Realty Co. to maintain a public garage on the east side of Guerrero street, 100 feet south of Fifteenth street.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, Mc-

Leran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Award of Contract, Burial of Indigent Dead.**

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 20017 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Joseph Hagan & Sons are hereby awarded the contract for burying the indigent dead of the City and County of San Francisco from and after July 1, 1922, to and including June 30, 1923, in strict accordance with the specifications therefor, and at the price set forth in the bid submitted by them on May 22, 1922, viz.:

For burying bodies of all indigents, \$496 per month.

Further Resolved, That said Joseph Hagan & Sons shall furnish a bond in the sum of \$200 for the faithful performance of the contract, the sufficiency of the sureties thereon to be subject to the approval of the Mayor.

All other bids for performing said services are hereby rejected.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Condemnation of Land for School Purposes.**

Supervisor Scott presented:

Resolution No. 20018 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity and use require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco of the following described lands, and any and all rights and claims thereto, for school purposes, to-wit: All the following lots, pieces or parcels of land described as follows:

Commencing at a point formed by the intersection of the northerly line of Broadway with the easterly line of Bartol place; running thence easterly along said northerly line of Broadway 62 feet 11 inches, more or less; thence at a right angle northerly 137 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 62 feet 11 inches, more or less, to the easterly line of Bartol place; thence southerly along said easterly line of Bartol place 137 feet 6 inches to the northerly line of Broadway and point of commencement; being a portion of 50 Vara Block No. ....

Also: Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Grove street, distant thereon 100 feet easterly from Cole street, running thence easterly along said southerly line of Grove street 25 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 275 feet to the northerly line of Hayes street; thence westerly along said northerly line of Hayes street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly

275 feet to the southerly line of Grove street and point of commencement; being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 685.

That all the lands, rights and claims to lots, pieces or parcels within land above described are hereby declared to be suitable, adaptable and necessary for a public use by the City and County of San Francisco.

The City Attorney is hereby instructed to commence proceedings against the owners of said lots, pieces or parcels of land as herein described, and any and all rights and claims thereto, and interest thereon, and for the condemnation thereof for the use of the City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid, and to prosecute such proceedings to a speedy termination.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Mayor to Sell Improvements on School Land.**

Supervisor Scott presented:

Resolution No. 20019 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby authorized and requested to sell at public auction, in accordance with provisions of the Charter, the certain frame building belonging to the City and County, and situate on the southerly line of Grove street, distant 125 feet easterly from Cole street, and known as No. 2067 Grove street.

Also, the certain seven frame buildings situate on the northerly line of Waller street, distant 125 feet easterly from Masonic avenue, immediately to rear of the Dudley Stone School property.

The Board of Public Works is hereby requested to prepare specifications for the removal of the buildings by the purchaser.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Accepting Offer to Sell Land and Improvements on Property Required for School Purpose.**

Supervisor Scott presented:

Resolution No. 20020 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from H. C. Christen to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements situate on the southwest corner of Twenty-third and Bartlett streets, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said land and improvements is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land and improvements, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of \$8,500 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Twenty-third street with the westerly line of Bartlett street; running thence westerly along said southerly line of Twenty-third street 35 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 80 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 35 feet to the westerly line of Bartlett street; thence northerly along said westerly line of Bartlett street 80 feet to the southerly line of 23rd street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Mission Block No. 155.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County of upon the payment of the agreed purchase price, as aforesaid; and the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Removal of Gas Lamp.**

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 20021 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas & Electric Company is hereby instructed to move gas lamp in front of 1472 Pacific avenue four feet west of property line.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Passed for Printing.**

The following mataters were *passed for printing*:

**License Ordinance Amendment.**

On motion of Supervisor Bath:

Bill No. 6053, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled, "Amending Section 74 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), 'Imposing license taxes on certain businesses, callings, trades or employments within the City and County of San Francisco.'"

This is a re-enactment of the section and does not change the existing provisions.

In amending the ordinance recently with reference to "Music Teachers" this section erroneously included "Public Stenographers" and "Manicures" among the licensed professions.

#### Spur Track Permit.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Bill No. 6054, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to D. McKillop to construct, maintain and operate a spur track from the tracks of the Southern Pacific Railway Company in Harrison street between Eighteenth and Nineteenth streets; thence in a southerly direction along Harrison street, crossing Nineteenth street; thence along Harrison street from Nineteenth street a distance of two hundred feet, more or less, southerly, paralleling the easterly curb line and a distance sufficiently westerly therefrom to permit the ties to be laid flush with the curb.

Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to D. McKillop to construct, maintain and operate a spur track as follows:

Beginning at a point on the main track of the Southern Pacific Company in Harrison street, distant northerly thirty feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Nineteenth street; thence in a southerly direction along Harrison street, crossing Nineteenth street; thence along Harrison street from Nineteenth street a distance of two hundred feet, more or less, southerly, paralleling the easterly curb line, and a distance sufficiently westerly therefrom to permit the ties to be laid flush with the curb.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as complete as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional re-

quirements for the surface drainage be paid for by D. McKillop.

Provided, that D. McKillop shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps, to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### Resolution Approving Method of Assessment for Improvement of Collingwood Street Repealed.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20022 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Resolution No. 19925 (New Series), approving method of assessment for the improvement of Collingwood street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets; Twenty-first street between Castro street and the westerly line of Diamond street, etc., is hereby repealed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was passed for printing:

#### Ordering Improvement of Collingwood Street.

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill:

Bill No. 6055, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 5633 (New Series), approved May 10, 1922, ordering the improvement of Collingwood street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets; Twenty-first street between Castro street and the westerly line of Diamond street; Twenty-second street between Castro street and the westerly line of Diamond street, including the crossings of Diamond and Twenty-first streets, Diamond and Twenty-second streets, Collingwood and Twenty-first streets and the intersection of Collingwood and Twenty-second streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5633 (New Series), approved May 10, 1922, ordering the improvement of Collingwood street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets; Twenty-first street between Castro street and the westerly line of Diamond street; Twenty-second street between Castro street and the westerly line of Diamond street, including the crossings of Diamond and Twenty-first streets, Diamond and Twenty-second streets, Collingwood and Twenty-first streets and the intersection of Collingwood and Twenty-second streets, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**Accepting Deed to Land for Street Purposes.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20023 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the deed dated 9th day of May, 1922, from W. L. Hemminga and Ruth E. Hemminga to the City and County of San Francisco to lands for street purposes, bounded and particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Fulton street, distant thereon 418 feet 11 inches westerly from the westerly line of Masonic avenue; running thence westerly and along said line of Fulton street 45 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 275 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 45 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 275 feet to the point of commencement, upon conditions therein contained, be and the same is hereby accepted, and the land described therein is hereby declared to be an open public street of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Drasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Passed for Printing.**

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

**Repealing Ordinance Providing for Improvement of Grant Avenue.**

Bill No. 6056, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 5628 (New Series), approved May 10, 1922, ordering the improvement of Grant avenue between Bay street and The Embarcadero:

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5628 (New Series), approved May 10, 1922, ordering the improvement of Grant avenue between Bay street and The Embarcadero is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**Wooden Loading Platform Permit.**

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That M. Friedman & Company is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to construct a wooden loading platform on the northerly sidewalk area of Fifteenth street, commencing at the easterly line of Florida street and extending eighty-two feet easterly therefrom, said platform to be two feet high and fourteen feet in width.

**Southern Pacific Permitted to Fence Newcomb Street.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20024 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Southern Pacific Company since 1907 has maintained gates and a watchman at its crossing with Newcomb avenue (formerly Fourteenth avenue South) between Quint street and Phelps street; and

Whereas, since Oakdale avenue, the adjacent and parallel street was improved, travel has been diverted from Newcomb avenue and it is at present rarely used; and

Whereas, the Southern Pacific Company intends to build stairs leading from Oakdale avenue down to its station between Oakdale and Newcomb avenues; and

Whereas, the Railroad Commission of the State of California has recommended to the Southern Pacific Company that that portion of Newcomb avenue under consideration be closed; be it

Resolved, That the Southern Pacific Company be granted a revocable permit to construct and maintain fences across Newcomb avenue at points 75 feet southeast of Quint street and 300 feet northwest of Phelps street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit becomes null and void.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Drasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Passed for Printing.**

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

**Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Douglass Street.**

Bill No. 6057, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061 entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, amending Section Three Hundred and Forty-four thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office May 25, 1922, by amending Section Three Hundred and Forty-four hereof, to read as follows:

Section 344. The width of sidewalks on Douglass street between Twentieth street and Twenty-first street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled

"Map of Douglass street between Twentieth and Twenty-first streets showing the locations of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks."

The width of sidewalks on Douglass street between Twenty-first street and Twenty-sixth street shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### Approving Map, Hemway Terrace.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20025 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works Series), approve a map of the W. L. Hemminga subdivision of a portion of did, by Resolution No. 73310 (Second Assessor's Block 1173, City and County of San Francisco, showing Hemway Terrace; therefore be it

Resolved, That the map of the W. L. Hemminga subdivision of a portion of Assessor's Block 1173, showing Hemway Terrace, is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Extensions of Time.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20026 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That John Brady is hereby granted an extension of sixty days' time from and after June 16, 1922, within which to complete contract for improvement of Eliza place from Folsome street to its southerly termination, under public contract.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor was delayed on account of provision for proper drainage not being made.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Also, Resolution No. 20027 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That City Construction Company is hereby granted the following extensions of time to complete street work, viz.:

Sixty days from and after June 10, 1922, within which to complete contract for the improvement of the crossing of Roscoe and Benton streets, under public contract.

Sixty days from and after June 10, 1922, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Bennington street between Ellert and Newman

streets, including the crossing of Bennington and Ellert streets.

These extensions of time are granted for the reason that the work has been completed with the exception of the asphalt covering, and the contractor is waiting until such time as it has enough work to operate its asphalt plant.

Ninety days from and after June 3, 1922, within which to complete contract for widening of Castro street between Seventeenth and Nineteenth streets.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that contractor has been delayed by building operations and traffic conditions.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Closing Portions of Norman, Orleans and Mazzini Streets.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20028 (New Series), entitled, "Closing and abandoning portions of Norman street, Orleans street and Mazzini street in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California."

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Passed for Printing.

The following bill was passed for printing:

#### Conditional Acceptance, Certain Streets.

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill:

Bill No. 6058, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled, "Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Anza street between Forty-first and Forty-second avenues, Claremont boulevard between Dorchester way and Portola drive, including the crossing of Claremont boulevard and Ulloa street; Dorchester way between Ulloa street and Portola drive, and Granville way between Ulloa street and Portola drive, Eleventh avenue between Lake street and its northerly termination, Eighth avenue between Lake street and its northerly termination, Forty-first avenue between Geary and Anza streets, Jules avenue between Holloway and Grafton avenues, Lippard avenue between Chenery and Surrey streets, Ninth avenue between Lake street and its northerly termination, Niagara avenue between Howth and Louisburg streets, Twenty-first avenue between Irving and Judah streets, Tenth avenue between Lake street and its northerly termination, Twelfth avenue be-

tween Lake street and its northerly termination, Tara street between Geneva and Niagara avenues and the intersection of Tara street and Geneva avenue, and the crossings of Tara street and Niagara avenue and Tara street and Mount Vernon avenue; Tara street between Niagara and Mount Vernon avenues, Tara street between Ocean and Geneva avenues, Ulloa street between Claremont Boulevard and the northeasterly line of Granville way, including the crossings of Ulloa street and Dorchester way, and Ulloa street and Granville way and the intersection of Ulloa street and Alston way; crossing of Baden street and Hearst avenue."

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Mayor to Sell Old Mission High School Building.**

Resolution No. 20032 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with a communication from the Board of Education, filed June 6, 1922, his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby authorized and requested to sell at public auction in accordance with Charter provisions the old Mission High School building.

The Board of Public Works is hereby requested to prepare specifications for the razing and removal of the building from the premises, and to exact and fix therein a sufficient bond to be executed satisfactorily to the Mayor for the strict fulfillment of the conditions exacted from the successful purchaser.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Death of Thomas Mellersch.**

Supervisor Bath presented:

Resolution No. 20033 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the death is reported of Thomas Mellersch, an old and respected citizen of this City and for many years general manager of the San Francisco and Northwestern Railway; therefore

Resolved, That in the event we recognize a loss to the community and express our sympathy to the relatives who mourn his taking away; that when the Board adjourns it do so as a mark of respect to his memory.

Supervisor Hayden joined with Supervisor Bath in paying a tribute to the worth and excellent character of the deceased.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution

was unanimously adopted by rising vote.

**National Education Association Convention in San Francisco.**

Supervisor Morgan presented:

Resolution No. 20035 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the National Education Association holds its annual meeting on July 2-9 of this year at Boston, and as it is the purpose of the citizens of the City of San Francisco to do all in their power to bring before all people the great superiority of our City, not only as a place of business and residence, but also as an ideal location and climate for convention purposes, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors authorizes his Honor the Mayor to extend an invitation to the National Education Association to hold its next annual meeting in San Francisco.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Supervisor Welch Appointed Director of

**Joint Highway District.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Resolution No. 20036 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to proceedings heretofore taken by the Board of Supervisors of the County of San Mateo and the City and County of San Francisco in the matter of the creation of a Joint Highway District comprising said counties, the Board of Supervisors of San Mateo County on the 5th day of June, 1922, appointed one of its members, John MacBain to act as one of the Directors of said proposed district; therefore

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby name and appoint Richard J. Welch one of its members, to serve as an additional Director of said proposed Joint Highway District.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Congress to Grant Yerba Buena Island to San Francisco.**

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 20034 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Yerba Buena Island generally known as "Goat Island" is situated within the boundaries of the

City and County of San Francisco and is under the ownership and control of the Government of the United States, and

Whereas, reports are current that it is the intention of said Government to abandon said Island as a site for any Government institution and is ready to cede its jurisdiction to some other agency, and

Whereas, in the event that the government is willing to transfer its interest in said Island the City and County of San Francisco is logically and physically the proper agency to succeed to the same; therefore

Resolved, That the Congress of the United States be memorialized to grant to the City and County of San Francisco the territory embraced in Yerba Buena Island and that in the event of such grant the City and County will undertake its development in a manner that will best serve the public interest; that a copy of this resolution be transmitted to the Senators and Representatives in Congress from this City.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors McLeran, Mulvihill—2.

#### Reconsideration—Duboce Tunnel.

*Supervisor Hynes*, in accordance with notice given at last meeting, moved a reconsideration of the vote whereby the resolution declaring it to be the intention to construct the Duboce tunnel connecting the Sunset District and the Downtown District.

Seconded by Supervisors Mulvihill and Schmitz.

*Motion carried.*

#### Privilege of the Floor.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, Attorney Theodore Savage was granted the privilege of the floor.

*Supervisor Schmitz* thereupon asked Attorney Savage, "does this resolution (reads resolution) pledge the Board of Supervisors to construct the tunnel as outlined in this resolution of intention?"

He declared that the question could be answered yes or no, "and I will answer it *no*." He then proceeded and explained his understanding of the scope and purport of the resolution of intention adopted at the last meeting of the Board.

*Hon. Geo. A. Sturtevant*, Judge of the Appellate Court, was granted the privilege of the floor. He made a statement in opposition to the pro-

posed tunnel and alleged that resolution of intention did not provide for a proper hearing of the property owners affected as to the question of "tunnel or no tunnel." In concluding, he declared that if the City Attorney will map out his procedure so that the protestants' time of protest could be extended for ninety days, it would be satisfactory to himself and those accompanying him.

*Attorney Theodore Savage* suggested that it be understood that the City Engineer's report be not presented for ninety days, and so after protests have been heard.

*Supervisor McSheehy* raised the question of a tunnel plan submitted by Engineer Hill and was advised by City Engineer O'Shaughnessy that he had already investigated Mr. Hill's plan. "If you will recall, as a member of the Public Utilities Committee, we had four separate routes in the Sunset District—one on Grove street, one on Duboce avenue and the other Eureka Valley—almost identical with this route. If you will recall, all the property owners of the Sunset District who appeared at this meeting desired the Duboce avenue route as the best route. I concurred that it was the best route for solving the transportation problem for the Sunset District. The other route proposed by Mr. Hill was three-quarters of a mile longer. What they wanted was the shorter and most direct route to the Sunset District."

*Supervisor Hynes* declared that if it was satisfactory to Judge Sturtevant and the protesting property owners and if those favoring a surface route are given an opportunity to be heard, that he would be willing to withdraw his notice of reconsideration.

*Supervisor McSheehy* asked, in that event, that Mr. Hill's plan be placed in the same position and the same consideration be given to Eureka Valley as is given to the Sunset property owners.

#### Action Deferred.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Welch, the City Engineer was requested to file his plan of the district on September 18, 1922.

*Motion carried.*

(*Stenographic report of foregoing discussion on file in Clerk's office.*)

#### Shriners' Decorations in Auditorium.

*Supervisor Hayden* moved that the Clerk of the Board be directed to communicate with Shriners' Committee, requesting them to leave decorations in Auditorium until after Disabled Veterans' Convention.

*Motion carried.*

**Municipal Camping Ground at Hetch Hetchy.**

*Supervisor Schmitz* moved that Clerk prepare resolution appropriating \$2,500 for the establishment of a municipal camping ground at Hetch Hetchy.

*Motion carried.*

*Supervisor Welch* requested an appropriation for a camping site along the Skyline boulevard in Santa Cruz

mountains; City Engineer to furnish estimate of cost. He suggested Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee give matter consideration.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 5:45 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors Monday, August 14, 1922.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.



Monday, June 19, 1922.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



**THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY**

**77 Sutter Street, S. F.**

M

In  
cisco.  
The  
regul

Th  
ing S  
Sun  
Hayd  
Morg  
Russi  
Wetr  
Ab  
Qu  
Hi  
sent  
the c

Th  
meet  
were  
ROL

North  
Th  
tion  
Th  
read  
Co  
tral  
ing t  
for s  
cial  
impr  
Re

Sp  
from  
Mar  
the  
avin

C  
Prot  
etic  
hve  
Mer  
Kin  
Re

C

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

---

MONDAY, JUNE 19, 1922, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, June 19, 1922, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent Supervisor Morgan was called to the chair.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of April 3 and April 10, 1922, were considered read and *approved*.

### ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

North Central Improvement Association  
Thanks Board for Budget Appropriation.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

*Communication*—From North Central Improvement Association, thanking the Board for appropriations made for street work in the downtown financial district and for appropriation for improvement of Telegraph Hill.

Read and *filed*.

### Opening of Castro Theatre.

Supervisor McSheehy presented an invitation to the Board of Supervisors from the Castro Theater, Castro and Market streets, to attend grand opening on the 4th of next month, which invitation was read and *accepted*.

### Improvement of Howard Street.

*Communication*—From the Southern Promotion Association, condemning action of Board in not providing sufficient funds in budget for the completion of Howard street, Fifth to Ninth streets.

Read and *filed*.

### Letter of Appreciation.

*Communication*—From North Cen-

tral Improvement Association, thanking the Board for budget appropriations for improvements in the downtown financial district.

Read and *filed*.

### Street Light Request.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

*Communication*—From Jerry C. O'Connor, Jr., for installation of light on pole in front of premises No. 455 Valencia street.

Read and *referred to Lighting Committee*.

### Mayor Approves Revised Additional Positions Ordinance.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., June 17, 1922.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, Cal.

Gentlemen:

I am in receipt of a copy of the revised ordinance known as the "Ordinance of Additional Positions," as revised by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and presented for the approval of your Honorable body.

I have made investigation as to the necessity for the positions mentioned in this ordinance and find them to be necessary.

Therefore, in accordance with Section 35, Article XVI, of the Charter, I recommend that your Honorable Board adopt the revised ordinance of additional positions, with the approval of the Civil Service Commission as to the proper designation of the various items.

Very sincerely yours,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,

Mayor.

### Leave of Absence.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., June 19, 1922.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application having been made to me by Miss Alice Rose Power, School Director of this City and County, for leave of absence with permission to absent herself from the State of California for a period of sixty days, commencing June 23, 1922, I request that

you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,  
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,  
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 20053 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Alice Rose Power, School Director, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing June 23, 1922, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

#### Action Deferred.

The following matter, laid over from a previous meeting, was taken up and on motion again *laid over one week*:

Appeal From Street Assessment, 3 p. m. Balboa Street, Thirty-third Avenue to Forty-Second Avenue, etc.

Hearing of appeal of property owners from the assessment issued for the improvement of Balboa street from westerly line of Thirty-third avenue to the westerly line of Forty-second avenue, including the crossings of Thirty-seventh, Thirty-eighth, Fortieth, Forty-first and Forty-second avenues with Balboa street, and excepting crossings of Thirty-fourth, Thirty-fifth, Thirty-sixth and Thirty-ninth avenues with Balboa street, and Balboa street between Thirty-ninth and Fortieth avenues, etc.

#### PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

##### Journals and Calendars.

A sealed proposal was received at 3 p. m. for printing the Journal of Proceedings and Calendars of the Board of Supervisors, Daily Trial and Law and Motion Calendars and Decisions of the Supreme and Appellate Courts for the fiscal year 1922-1923 from The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company, certified check, Mercantile Trust Company, \$1,041.

*Referred to Committee on Public Welfare and Publicity.*

##### Lighting Streets and Public Buildings.

Bids for lighting public streets, public buildings and parks with gas and electricity, and for furnishing heat, light and power to the City and County of San Francisco for year ending June 30, 1923, were received between the hours of 2 and 3 p. m. this day, as follows:

Pacific Gas and Electric Company, certified check, Mercantile Trust Co., \$50,000; certified check, Mercantile Trust Co., \$600.

#### Action Deferred.

The following matter, laid over from last meeting, was again *laid over three weeks*:

##### Bond Issue for Schools.

Presented by Supervisor McLeran on May 19, 1922, and laid over until his return:

Whereas, the school houses in several sections of the city are inadequate, old and unfit for occupancy by children, and it is impossible in the current tax rate to rehabilitate and put in sanitary and proper condition schools that have been built for more than twenty-five years, and to construct new schools, and to reconstruct others; and

Whereas, this condition is no fault of the Board of Education or other administrative bodies of the city, but is the result of the 1906 disaster, when many of the best school houses were destroyed; and

Whereas, bond moneys and tax moneys heretofore provided have been insufficient to make the necessary replacements and keep pace with the growth of the school needs; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of the Board of Supervisors that the normal annual increase in school buildings and educational facilities, including additional teachers, can be provided out of current tax rate, but said current tax rate will not catch up with the more than two score schools needing replacement; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors has provided in the Budget for 1922-1923 all the money which, in its judgment, the Board of Education can properly expend in one year, and, also, all the money that is permitted under the law to be provided in a tax rate; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Education is urged by the Board of Supervisors, at its earliest possible convenience, to recommend a program for the rehabilitation of schools, construction of new schools, including the Mission High School, and to recommend to the Board of Supervisors a bond issue in a sufficient amount of money to purchase lands, and to construct school buildings on a program which will meet the urgent demands of the several sections of the city.

#### REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.

The following committees, by their respective chairmen, presented reports on various matters referred, which reports were read and ordered *filed*:

Supplies Committee, by Supervisor Rossi, chairman.

Fire Committee, by Supervisor Deasy, chairman.

Public Buildings Committee, by Supervisor Scott, chairman.

Public Welfare and Publicity Committee, by Supervisor Colman, chairman.

Civil Service and Standardization of Salaries Committee, by Supervisor Schmitz, chairman.

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

##### Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and finally passed by the following vote:

##### Authorizations.

Resolution No. 20037 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

##### *Special School Tax, 1920-1921.*

(1) John Reid, Jr., 6th payment, architectural service, Parkside School (claim dated June 1, 1922), \$545.23.

##### *Special School Tax, 1921-1922.*

(2) Nat T. Messer, commission on auction sale of buildings on school lands (claim dated May 22, 1922), \$560.75.

(3) John Reid, Jr., second payment, architectural service, Yerba Buena School Annex (claim dated May 25, 1922), \$1,636.

##### *School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(4) G. P. W. Jensen, fourth payment, general construction, Crocker-Amazon School (claim dated June 1, 1922), \$8,955.

##### *Park Fund.*

(5) Wm. L. Hughson, tractor for parks (claim dated May 26, 1922), \$576.72.

(6) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream, Park, month of March (claim dated May 26, 1922), \$936.35.

(7) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream, Park, month of April (claim dated May 26, 1922), \$1,809.05.

(8) A. J. Raisch Co., construction of tennis court, Balboa Park (claim dated May 26, 1922), \$820.80.

##### *Municipal Railway Fund.*

(9) More-Jones Brass & Metal Co., trolley wheels, Municipal Railways (claim dated May 22, 1922), \$800.

(10) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., brake shoes, Municipal Railways (claim dated May 22, 1922), \$1,169.02.

##### *Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.*

(11) United States Steel Products Co., first payment, steel rail joint plates, Municipal Railways (claim dated June 2, 1922), \$64,665.

##### *Olsen Bequest Fund.*

(12) John E. McDougald, for purchase of one Water Bond, issue 1910, 4½ per cent (claim dated May 24, 1922), \$1,000.

##### *Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(13) J. F. Mitchell, machine fittings, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated May 23, 1922), \$503.15.

(14) Southern Pacific Co., car fittings (claim dated May 23, 1922), \$504.86.

(15) W. E. Mushet Co., valves (claim dated May 23, 1922), \$533.31.

(16) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., hardware (claim dated May 24, 1922), \$541.63.

(17) The Austin-Western Road Machinery Co., steel road grader (claim dated May 23, 1922), \$542.76.

(18) Associated Oil Co., fuel oil, etc. (claim dated May 23, 1922), \$594.75.

(19) The Gutta Percha & Rubber Mfg. Co., air drill hose (claim dated May 23, 1922), \$631.22.

(20) J. H. McCallum, lumber, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated May 23, 1922), \$893.15.

(21) W. H. Worden Co. Inc., machine parts (claim dated May 23, 1922), \$901.52.

(22) Ingersoll-Rand Co., machine parts (claim dated May 23, 1922), \$971.98.

(23) Sherry Bros. Inc., food supplies (claim dated May 23, 1922), \$1,037.17.

(24) Norman B. Livermore & Co., locomotive parts (claim dated May 24, 1922), \$1,137.23.

(25) Baumgarten Bros., meats, (claim dated May 23, 1922), \$1,140.38.

(26) Pacific Tank & Pipe Co., fir pipe (claim dated May 23, 1922), \$1,352.07.

(27) Standard Oil Co., prepaid freight charges, fuel oil, etc., to Hetch Hetchy (claim dated May 23, 1922), \$1,565.48.

(28) W. D. Ayers Co., food supplies (claim dated May 23, 1922), \$1,614.16.

(29) Western Butchers' Supply Co., refrigerator, etc. (claim dated May 23, 1922), \$1,615.12.

(30) Harron, Rickard & McCone Inc., one pressure blower (claim dated May 23, 1922), \$2,056.85.

(31) Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson Inc., black pipe (claim dated May 23, 1922), \$2,210.04.

(32) Standard Oil Co., fuel oil, etc. (claim dated May 24, 1922), \$2,893.81.

(33) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated May 23, 1922), \$3,456.25.

(34) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated May 23, 1922), \$3,456.25.

(35) Standard Oil Co., fuel oil, etc. (claim dated May 24, 1922), \$3,603.44.

(36) Standard Oil Co., fuel oil (claim dated May 23, 1922), \$4,442.82.

(37) Standard Oil Co., fuel oil (claim dated May 24, 1922), \$4,586.09.

(38) Giant Powder Co., Con., powder, etc. (claim dated May 23, 1922), \$4,616.55.

(39) State Compensation Insurance Fund, February and March premiums

Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated May 23, 1922), \$15,395.97.

(40) Fairbanks, Morse & Co., Inc., motor repairs, etc. (claim dated May 26, 1922), \$551.36.

(41) P. H. Reardon, suction hose (claim dated May 26, 1922), \$554.13.

(42) Standard Oil Co., fuel oil, etc. (claim dated May 26, 1922), \$576.12.

(43) Associated Oil Co., fuel oil (claim dated May 26, 1922), \$594.75.

(44) Montague Pipe & Steel Co., steel pipe (claim dated May 26, 1922), \$608.96.

(45) Goodyear Rubber Co., coats and hose (claim dated May 26, 1922), \$652.75.

(46) Pacific Mill & Mine Supply Co., belting (claim dated May 26, 1922), \$664.80.

(47) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, payment to United States Government for timber, per vouchers (claim dated May 26, 1922), \$675.21.

(48) Western Meat Co., meats (claim dated May 26, 1922), \$784.16.

(49) Firestone Tire & Rubber Co., tires and tubes (claim dated May 26, 1922), \$827.02.

(50) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Groveland Revolving Fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated May 26, 1922), \$1,639.12.

(51) The Safety Insulated Wire & Cable Co., cable (claim dated May 26, 1922), \$1,786.66.

(52) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, freight payments, per vouchers (claim dated May 26, 1922), \$1,921.84.

(53) Edward R. Bacon Co., Inc., tee rail (claim dated May 26, 1922), \$4,842.

(54) The White Co., one motor truck (claim dated May 26, 1922), \$6,104.35.

(55) Pacific Tank & Pipe Co., redwood pipe (claim dated May 26, 1922), \$7,488.37.

(56) The Utah Construction Co., extra work, clearing Hetch Hetchy reservoir, month of April (claim dated May 26, 1922), \$8,382.17.

#### *General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(57) Shell Co. of California, fuel oil, Dept. of Public Works (claim dated May 29, 1922), \$1,071.

(58) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., rental of four Lutz surface heaters, Dept. of Public Works (claim dated May 29, 1922), \$2,500.

(59) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement, Dept. of Public Works (claim dated May 29, 1922), \$4,444.61.

(60) Union Oil Co. of Cal., asphalt, etc., Dept. of Public Works (claim dated May 29, 1922), \$4,614.96.

(61) California Brick Co., brick, street repair (claim dated May 29, 1922), \$3,325.

(62) California Brick Co., brick, street repair (claim dated May 29, 1922), \$1,425.

(63) Geo. Anderson, 200 street cans (claim dated May 29, 1922), \$1,400.

(64) Union Oil Co. of California, fuel oil, Dept. of Public Works (claim dated May 29, 1922), \$1,776.23.

(65) Sperry Flour Co., flour, Relief Home (claim dated May 20, 1922), \$904.80.

(66) N. & S. E. Kalischer, dry goods, Relief Home (claim dated May 20, 1922), \$551.60.

(67) Wm. Cluff Co., groceries, Relief Home (claim dated May 19, 1922), \$651.20.

(68) Standard Oil Co., fuel oil, Relief Home (claim dated April 30, 1922), \$1,939.89.

(69) Miller & Lux, meats, Relief Home (claim dated April 30, 1922), \$517.52.

(70) Baumgarten Bros. meats, Relief Home (claim dated April 30, 1922), \$2,870.48.

(71) Spring Valley Water Co., water, Fire Dept. hydrants (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$10,910.58.

(72) R. Brandlein & Co., millwork, Dept. of Elections (claim dated May 25, 1922), \$1,500.

(73) San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated June 5, 1922), \$1,000.

(74) I. M. Sommer, first payment, general construction, Fire Dept. drill tower (claim dated June 1, 1922), \$3,382.88.

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(75) P. H. Reardon, suction hose (claim dated June 1, 1922), \$522.

(76) California Pottery Co., sewer pipe (claim dated June 1, 1922), \$537.88.

(77) Associated Oil Co., fuel oil (claim dated June 1, 1922), \$538.11.

(78) Hart-Wood Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated June 1, 1922), \$643.90.

(79) Haas Bros., groceries (claim dated June 1, 1922), \$658.14.

(80) H. E. Teller Co., coffee (claim dated June 1, 1922), \$729.09.

(81) Fred L. Hilmer Co., eggs (claim dated June 1, 1922), \$1,006.45.

(82) Insley Mfg. Co., three dump cars, etc. (claim dated June 1, 1922), \$1,143.73.

(83) Keystone Lubricating Co., grease (claim dated May 29, 1922), \$841.35.

#### *General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(84) A. W. Norris, Sacramento, Cal., payment for rental of advertising space, City and County of San Francisco, Sacramento's celebration (claim dated June 5, 1922), \$2,485.38.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.  
Resolution No. 20038 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(1) Butte Electrical Equipment Co., second payment, electric work, Adams School (claim dated June 7, 1922), \$534.70.

(2) The Scott Co., first payment, heating and ventilating, Adams School (claim dated June 7, 1922), \$678.

(3) The Scott Co., first payment, heating and ventilating, Spring Valley School (claim dated June 7, 1922), \$795.

(4) Butte Electrical Equipment Co., second payment, electric work, North Beach (Galileo) High School (claim dated June 7, 1922), \$885.52.

(5) Standard Electric Construction Co., third payment, electric work, Mission High School addition (claim dated June 7, 1922), \$2,971.88.

(7) Robert Trost, fourth payment, heating and ventilating, Mission High School addition (claim dated June 7, 1922), \$4,153.88.

(7) Aobert Trost, fourth payment, general construction, North Beach (Galileo) High School (claim dated June 7, 1922), \$29,325.

*Special School Tax, 1921-1922.*

(8) Butte Electric & Mfg. Co., first payment, electric wiring, Emerson School (claim dated June 7, 1922), \$750.

(9) A. Lettich, third payment, plumbing, Parkside School (claim dated June 7, 1922), \$2,188.24.

(10) John Morton, first payment, general construction, Oral Deaf School (claim dated June 7, 1922), \$1,439.44.

(11) John Reid, Jr., first payment, architectural service, temporary buildings for Mission High and Humboldt Evening High schools (claim dated June 7, 1922), \$2,115.

(12) C. F. Weber & Co., equipment furnished Commodore Sloat School (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$2,000.

*Municipal Railway Fund.*

(13) Standard Oil Co. Inc., gasoline, April (claim dated June 5, 1922), \$878.43.

(14) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., car brakes (claim dated June 5, 1922), \$1,170.69.

*Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.*

(15) Grace E. Cook, settlement of claim for damages, Superior Court judgment, Action No. 107920 (claim dated June 5, 1922), \$6,663.06.

(16) Faye M. Hall, settlement of claim for damages, Superior Court judgment, Action No. 107919 (claim dated June 5, 1922), \$9,085.14.

(17) Continental Construction Co.,

first payment, concrete poles and conductors, Taraval street line, Thirty-third to Forty-eighth avenues, per contract 131 (claim dated June 7, 1922), \$3,375.

(18) Eaton & Smith, first payment, construction of Taraval street line of Municipal Railway, Thirty-third to Forty-eighth avenues (claim dated June 7, 1922), \$9,000.

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(19) Producers Hay Co., barley, Hetch Hetchy water construction (claim dated June 7, 1922), \$702.19.

(20) John A. Roebling's Sons Co., wire fence, etc. (claim dated June 7, 1922), \$913.45.

(21) Baumgarten Bros., meats (claim dated June 2, 1922), \$1,480.46.

(22) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated June 7, 1922), \$3,456.25.

(23) Hercules Powder Co., blasting caps (claim dated June 7, 1922), \$3,635.62.

(24) Utah Construction Co., 31st payment, construction of Hetch Hetchy dam and appurtenances (claim dated June 7, 1922), \$234,263.43.

*County Road Fund.*

(25) Raish Improvement Co., second payment, improvement of San Jose avenue, Ottawa to Sickles avenue (claim dated June 9, 1922), \$8,309.25.

(26) Frank J. McHugh, first payment, improvement of Balboa street, Twenty-third to Twenty-fourth avenues (claim dated June 9, 1922), \$1,125.

*Park Fund.*

(27) Owen I. McHugh, clay furnished parks (claim dated June 9, 1922), \$823.18.

(28) Rathjens-Eggers Co., supplies furnished Children's Playground (claim dated June 9, 1922), \$1,091.80.

(29) The White Co., one motor truck with dump body, for parks (claim dated June 9, 1922), \$5,838.

*Talbot Bequest (Park) Fund.*

(30) Pietro Cattadori, New York, tapestries for Museum (claim dated June 2, 1922), \$5,000.

*General Fund, 1920-1921.*

(31) Louis J. Cohn, first payment, general construction of exhibition structure in nave of Ferry Building (claim dated June 7, 1922), \$2,779.39.

*General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(32) Clinton Construction Co., fifth payment, Ocean Beach Esplanade (claim dated June 7, 1922), \$32,575.50.

(33) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., asphalt resurfacing during April (claim dated June 7, 1922), \$1,074.85.

(34) Standard Oil Co., asphalt, Dept. of Public Works (claim dated June 5, 1922), \$2,095.26.

(35) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement, Dept. of Public Works

(claim dated June 5, 1922), \$3,972.33.

(36) Shell Co. of Cal., fuel oil, Dept. of Public Works (claim dated June 7, 1922), \$765.

(37) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated June 9, 1922), \$11,041.87.

(38) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated June 9, 1922), \$8,583.40.

(39) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated June 9, 1922), \$943.45.

(40) Baumgarten Bros., meats, Relief Home (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$3,305.67.

(41) California Meat Co., meats, Relief Home (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$724.91.

(42) L. Dinkelspiel Co., dry goods, Relief Home (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$718.27.

(43) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs, Relief Home (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$576.24.

(44) C. Nauman & Co., vegetables, Relief Home (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$586.40.

(45) Sherry Bros., butter, etc., Relief Home (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$671.10.

(46) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., street lighting for May (claim dated June 12, 1922), \$46,642.71.

(47) San Francisco Chronicle, official advertising, Board of Supervisors, month of May (claim dated June 9, 1922), \$2,361.16.

(48) Fay Improvement Co., Leavenworth extension through to Fulton street, final payment (claim dated June 9, 1922), \$3,913.55.

(49) Healy-Tibbitts Construction Co., first payment, construction of Third street sewer outlet (claim dated June 9, 1922), \$5,500.

(50) Schultz Construction Co., first payment, improvement of Liberty street, Church to Noe streets (claim dated June 9, 1922), \$2,200.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

Garage, Laundry, Boiler and Oil Permits. Resolution No. 20039 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

*Public Garage.*

Florence M. Chapman, on the north side of Geary street, 30 feet west of Third avenue.

*Laundry.*

Joseph Betcabe, at 1735 Geary street,

*Boiler.*

D. H. Farrelly & Co., 8-horsepower, at northeast corner of Twenty-fifth and York streets.

Joseph Betcabe, 20-horsepower, at 1735 Geary street.

*Oil Storage Tank.*

Anderson Bros., at southwest corner of Powell and North Point streets; 1500 gallons capacity.

Joseph Betcabe, at 1735 Geary street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Benjamin J. Baum, at 414 Clay street; 800 gallons capacity.

Vincent Fassio, on east side Stockton street, 100 feet north of California street; 1500 gallons capacity.

Hans Gawellek, at 500 Haight street; 1500 gallons capacity.

John Spargo, at southeast corner Geary and Larkin streets; 1500 gallons capacity.

Steinhart Aquarium, in Golden Gate Park; 1800 gallons capacity.

W. A. Savage, at northeast corner of Seventeenth avenue and California street; 1500 gallons capacity.

E. K. Nelson, at northwest corner Buchanan and Oak streets; 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

*Wooden Loading Platform Permit.*

Resolution No. 20040 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That M. Friedman & Company is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to construct a wooden loading platform on the northerly sidewalk area of Fifteenth street, commencing at the easterly line of Florida street and extending eighty-two feet easterly therefrom, said platform to be two feet high and fourteen feet in width.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

*License Ordinance Amendment.*

Bill No. 6053, Ordinance No. 5645 (New Series), entitled, "Amending Section 74 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), 'Imposing license taxes on certain businesses, callings, trades or employments within the City and County of San Francisco.'"

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

**Spur Track Permit.**

Bill No. 6054, Ordinance No. 5646 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to D. McKillop to construct, maintain and operate a spur track from the tracks of the Southern Pacific Railway Company in Harrison street between Eighteenth and Nineteenth streets; thence in a southerly direction along Harrison street, crossing Nineteenth street; thence along Harrison street from Nineteenth street a distance of two hundred feet, more or less, southerly, paralleling the easterly curb line and a distance sufficiently westerly therefrom to permit the ties to be laid flush with the curb.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to D. McKillop to construct, maintain and operate a spur track as follows:

Beginning at a point on the main track of the Southern Pacific Company in Harrison street, distant northerly thirty feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Nineteenth street; thence in a southerly direction along Harrison street, crossing Nineteenth street; thence along Harrison street from Nineteenth street a distance of two hundred feet, more or less, southerly, paralleling the easterly curb line, and a distance sufficiently westerly therefrom to permit the ties to be laid flush with the curb.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as complete as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by D. McKillop.

Provided, that D. McKillop shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps, to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers,

Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

**Ordering Improvement of Collingwood Street.**

Bill No. 6055, Ordinance No. 5647 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 5633 (New Series), approved May 10, 1922, ordering the improvement of Collingwood street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets; Twenty-first street between Castro street and the westerly line of Diamond street; Twenty-second street between Castro street and the westerly line of Diamond street, including the crossings of Diamond and Twenty-first streets, Diamond and Twenty-second streets, Collingwood and Twenty-first streets and the intersection of Collingwood and Twenty-second streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5633 (New Series), approved May 10, 1922, ordering the improvement of Collingwood street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets; Twenty-first street between Castro street and the westerly line of Diamond street; Twenty-second street between Castro street and the westerly line of Diamond street, including the crossings of Diamond and Twenty-first streets, Diamond and Twenty-second streets, Collingwood and Twenty-first streets and the intersection of Collingwood and Twenty-second streets, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

**Repealing Ordinance Providing for Improvement of Grant Avenue.**

Bill No. 6056, Ordinance No. 5648 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 5628 (New Series), approved May 10, 1922, ordering the improvement of Grant avenue between Bay street and The Embarcadero:

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5628 (New Series), approved May 10, 1922, ordering the improvement of Grant avenue between Bay street and The Embarcadero is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers,

Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

#### Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Douglass Street.

Bill No. 6057, Ordinance No. 5649 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061 entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, amending Section Three Hundred and Forty-four thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office May 25, 1922, by amending Section Three Hundred and Forty-four hereof, to read as follows:

Section 344. The width of sidewalks on Douglass street between Twentieth street and Twenty-first street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Douglass street between Twentieth and Twenty-first streets showing the locations of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks."

The width of sidewalks on Douglass street between Twenty-first street and Twenty-sixth street shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

#### Conditional Acceptance, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 6058, Ordinance No. 5650 (New Series), entitled, "Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Anza street between Forty-first and Forty-second avenues, Claremont boulevard between Dorchester way and Portola drive, including the crossing of Claremont boulevard and Ulloa street; Dorchester way between Ulloa street and Portola drive, and Granville way between Ulloa street and Portola drive, Eleventh avenue between Lake street and its northerly termination, Eighth avenue between Lake street and its northerly termination, Forty-first avenue between Geary and Anza streets, Jules avenue between Holloway and Grafton avenues, Lippard avenue between Chenery and Surrey streets, Ninth avenue between Lake street and its northerly termination, Niagara avenue between Howth and Louisburg streets, Twenty-first avenue between

Irving and Judah streets, Tenth avenue between Lake street and its northerly termination, Twelfth avenue between Lake street and its northerly termination, Tara street between Geneva and Niagara avenues and the intersection of Tara street and Geneva avenue, and the crossings of Tara street and Niagara avenue and Tara street and Mount Vernon avenue; Tara street between Niagara and Mount Vernon avenues, Tara street between Ocean and Geneva avenues, Ulloa street between Claremont Boulevard and the northeasterly line of Granville way, including the crossings of Ulloa street and Dorchester way, and Ulloa street and Granville way and the intersection of Ulloa street and Allston way; crossing of Baden street and Hearst avenue."

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

#### Action Deferred.

The following bill, heretofore passed for printing, was taken up and on motion laid over one week:

#### Amendment of Building Law, Fireproofing Boiler Heating and Furnace Rooms.

Bill No. 5876, Ordinance No. — (New Series) amending Section No. 253 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as "The Building Law," approved December 22, 1909, relating to fireproofing boiler heating and furnace rooms.

#### REPORT OF FINANCE COMMITTEE.

Demands on the Treasury amounting to \$778,471.76, including the following Urgent Necessity, were presented and approved by the following vote:

#### Urgent Necessity.

Sabina M. Churchill, compensation insurance, \$89.27.

Western Union Tel. Co., official telegrams, \$4.18.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

#### NEW BUSINESS.

#### Passed for Printing.

The following matters were passed for printing:

#### Appropriations.

On motion of Supervisor Rossi:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the Auditorium Fund, for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For installation of toilet facilities to the Polk Hall, Exposition Auditorium, including conversion of two rooms in connection with same (A. Lettich, contract No. 3074), \$3,374.

(2) For payment to W. A. Plummer Mfg. Co., for canopy and rigging, now installed in Exposition Auditorium, including frames, and rigging changed from running to standing, \$3,500.

#### Authorizations.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

#### *Special School Tax, 1920-1921.*

(1) Kohiwey Smith Electric Co., second payment, electric work, Parkside School (claim dated June 14, 1922), \$855.

#### *School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(2) E. E. Etherton Co., sixth payment, general construction, McKinley School (claim dated June 14, 1922), \$1,819.68.

(3) E. E. Etherton Co., fifth payment, general construction, Adams School Addition (claim dated June 14, 1922), \$2,927.40.

(4) Mahony Bros., fifth payment, general construction, addition to Mission High School (claim dated June 14, 1922), \$11,728.80.

#### *Tearing Up Streets Fund.*

(5) P. J. Gartland Co., repaving over sidesewer trenches (claim dated June 16, 1922), \$975.28.

#### *Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(6) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, for reimbursing Groveland Revolving Fund, per vouchers attached (claim dated June 12, 1922), \$867.72.

(7) Geo. H. Rice Abstract Co., reports on Hetch Hetchy right-of-way titles (claim dated June 12, 1922), \$1,280.

(8) Sierra Railway Co. of Cal., transportation service (claim dated June 12, 1922), \$2,073.56.

(9) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, for reimbursing Groveland Revolving Fund, per vouchers attached (claim dated June 12, 1922), \$2,567.44.

#### *General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(10) California Meat Co., meats, County Jails (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$644.84.

(11) Recorder Printing & Publishing Co., printing and publishing Law-motion-Trial Calendar, etc. (claim dated June 19, 1922), \$655.

(12) American La France Fire Engine Co., Fire Dept. apparatus parts (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$732.62.

(13) H. Harms & Co., fuel, Fire Dept. (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$546.

(14) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electricity, etc., Fire Dept. (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$1,607.85.

(15) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished Fire Dept. hydrants, etc. (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$2,316.64.

(16) Union Oil Co., fuel oil, Fire Dept. (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$2,135.17.

(17) Union Oil Co., gasoline etc., Fire Dept. (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$1,551.56.

(18) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 13, 1922), \$1,042.79.

(19) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 13, 1922), \$2,781.45.

(20) Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 13, 1922), \$1,698.34.

(21) St. Mary's Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 13, 1922), \$602.24.

(22) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 13, 1922), \$1,501.31.

(23) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 13, 1922), \$17,766.02.

(24) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 13, 1922), \$9,187.11.

(25) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 13, 1922), \$3,211.62.

(26) St. Catherine's Home, maintenance of minors at Magdalen Asylum (claim dated June 13, 1922), \$659.92.

(26a) Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 13, 1922), \$689.42.

(27) Herbert F. Dugan, drugs, etc., San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$1,647.76.

(28) Hooper & Jennings, groceries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$1,135.18.

(29) Wm. Cluff Co., groceries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$829.01.

(30) Chas. Brown & Sons, crockery, etc., San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$540.12.

(31) Associated Oil Co., fuel oil, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$3,268.76.

(32) Haas Bros., groceries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$540.70.

(33) California Meat Co., meats, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$524.33.

(34) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$1,214.10.

(35) Oliva Bros., vegetables, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$711.35.

(36) South S. F. Packing & Provision Co., meats, S. F. Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$590.87.

(37) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, S. F. Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$2,953.67.

(38) Sherry Bros., butter, etc., S. F. Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$943.91.

(39) C. Nauman & Co., vegetables, S. F. Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$877.89.

(40) Miler & Lux Inc., meats, Relief Home (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$541.42.

(41) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished hospitals (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$1,232.36.

(42) Union Oil Co. of Cal., asphalt, street repair (claim dated June 8, 1922), \$3,219.60.

(43) California Brick Co., paving brick, street repair (claim dated June 8, 1922), \$3,372.50.

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(44) Dodge, Sweeney Co., foodstuffs (claim dated June 15, 1922), \$645.01.

(45) J. H. Newbauer & Co., groceries (claim dated June 15, 1922), \$690.17.

(46) William Cluff Co., groceries (claim dated June 15, 1922), \$1,015.91.

(47) American Manganese Steel Co., chain links (claim dated June 15, 1922), \$1,093.35.

(48) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., electric motor, etc. (claim dated June 15, 1922), \$1,303.56.

(49) Associated Oil Co., gasoline (claim dated June 15, 1922), \$1,323.07.

(50) Engineering Products Co., machine parts (claim dated June 15, 1922), \$1,727.96.

(51) Dennis & Wilson, tunnel, etc., construction (claim dated June 15, 1922), \$1,838.53.

(52) Baumgarten Bros., meats (claim dated June 15, 1922), \$3,430.18.

(53) Montague Pipe & Steel Co., pipe and fittings (claim dated June 15, 1922), \$3,874.68.

(54) E. I. Dupont de Nemours & Co., powder (claim dated June 15, 1922), \$6,544.09.

*Municipal Railway Fund.*

(55) Otis Elevator Co., armature for Laguna Honda elevator (claim dated June 12, 1922), \$552.

(56) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursements for May, under agreement (claim dated June 14, 1922), \$986.32.

(57) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., steel brake shoes (claim dated June 8, 1922), \$1,756.03.

(58) Market Street Railway Co., electric power for May (claim dated June 14, 1922), \$2,089.39.

(59) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement Fund, pensions and gratuities (claim dated June 14, 1922), \$5,334.68.

(60) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., electric power (claim dated June 14, 1922), \$30,466.22.

**Appropriations, Schools.**

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(1) For the construction of the Andrew Jackson School building, to be erected on south side of Grove street between Clayton and Cole streets, as per itemization following, \$150,979.25.

General construction (O.

Monson) . . . . . \$125,330.00

Electric work (Standard

Elec. Con. Co.) . . . . . 4,870.00

Heating and ventilating

(Scott Co.) . . . . . 6,760.00

Plumbing (A. Lettich) . . . . . 7,077.00

Additional architect's fee.. 1,642.25

Inspection . . . . . 2,800.00

Extras and incidentals . . . . . 2,500.00

(2) For construction of annex and alterations to the Yerba Buena School, to be erected on north side of Greenwich street between Webster and Fillmore streets, as per itemization following, \$94,100.

General construction (Anderson & Ringrose) . . . . . \$73,291

Electric work (The Turner Co.) 1,972

Heating and ventilating (P. J.

Enright) . . . . . 4,900

Plumbing work (A. Lettich) . . . . . 7,752

Additional architect's fee . . . . . 1,185

Inspection . . . . . 2,000

Extras and incidentals . . . . . 3,000

*Special School Tax, 1921-1922.*

(3) For electrical work in temporary buildings for use of Mission High School and Humboldt Evening High School, in Mission Park (S. M. Radelfinger contract), \$1,345.

(4) For repairs to school buildings, \$17,000.

**Appropriations.**

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

*Work in Front of City Property—Budget Item No. 45.*

(1) Street work on Key avenue from Railroad avenue to Jennings street, \$6,360.

(2) Street work on Hearst avenue from Edna to Foerster street, \$3,150.

(3) Folsom street from Tompkins to Ogden avenue, street work, \$420.

(4) Street work on Twenty-fifth

avenue from Irving to Judah street, \$1,600.

(5) Street improvement, etc., at Thirty-first avenue and Judah street, \$294.

(6) For contingent expense in connection with above work, \$470.

*Collingwood Street—Budget Item No. 67.*

(7) For the improvement of Collingwood street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets, Twenty-first street between Castro and Diamond streets, and Twenty-second street between Castro and Diamond streets, including crossings of Diamond street at Twenty-first and Twenty-second streets, and Collingwood street at Twenty-first and Twenty-second streets, \$22,500.

*Extension of Main Sewers.*

(8) For extension of main sewer in the Great Highway from Noriega street southerly, \$14,967.

*General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(9) For improvement of playground adjoining the James Lick School at Clipper and Noe streets, including extras, plans, inspection, etc., \$6,500.

*Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.*

(10) For furnishing steel rails, etc., Sections A and B, Contract No. 127, Municipal Railways; additional to appropriation heretofore (U. S. Steel Products contract), \$1,886.24.

Appropriation, \$8,500, Payment to H. C. Christen, Land, etc., Horace Mann School.

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$8,500 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax, Fiscal Year 1921-1922, and authorized in payment to H. C. Christen for lands and improvements required for the Horace Mann School; being situate and commencing at point of intersection of southerly line of Twenty-third street with westerly line of Bartlett street, running thence westerly along said southerly line of Twenty-third street 35 feet, and being of uniform dimensions 35 x 80 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 20020 (New Series).

*Appropriations.*

Resolution No. 20041 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

*Street Work in Front of City Property—Budget Item No. 45.*

(1) For construction of artificial stone sidewalk on westerly line of

Fifteenth avenue between Fulton and Cabrillo streets, \$160.

(2) For improvement of Laidley street between Roanoke and Mateo streets, \$100.

*County Road Fund.*

(3) For construction of roadway along Geneva avenue from Prague street along Walbridge street to county line; additional to \$3,000 heretofore appropriated, \$210.89.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

*Auditor to Cancel Duplicate Assessments.*  
Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 20042 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Tax Collector has reported that the following assessment is a duplicate, and recommends its cancellation; therefore,

Resolved, That the Auditor be and is directed to cancel the following assessment for the year 1921-1922, to-wit:

Vol. 18, page 168, Block 2989, Lot 55, Bill No. 2031, assessed to Alfred L. Meyerstein in the sum of \$200. For duplicate see Vol. 18, page 168, Block 2989, Lot 55, Bill No. 2030; total tax, \$6.94.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

*Appropriation for Convention of Disabled War Veterans.*

Resolution No. 20043 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Disabled Veterans of the World's War will hold their National Convention in the City of San Francisco June 26th to June 30, 1922; and it is the intention and desire of the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to do everything possible in appreciation of the service of these veterans, and to make memorable their visit to San Francisco; therefor,

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors will appropriate to the San Francisco Convention League from the Publicity Fund of 1922-1923 the sum of five thousand dollars to defray a portion of the expense of the Convention of the Disabled War Veterans.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

**Explanation of Vote.**

Supervisor McSheehy explained his vote by saying:

"I am voting *aye* on this resolution, but I want it made a matter of record that I am not committed to this resolution until such time as the tax rate is fixed."

**Action Deferred.**

The following matter was presented and on motion *laid over one week*:

**Salary Ordinance.**

Bill No. 6659, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled "Creating positions, fixing the compensation thereof and authorizing the appointment thereof in accordance with the provisions of Section 35, Article XVI of the Charter, of additional deputies, clerks and employees in the various offices, boards and departments of the City and County of San Francisco, re-enacting in modified form the several ordinances authorizing such appointments and repealing such ordinances in so far as they create positions and fix salaries."

Property Erroneously Assessed Withdrawn from Sale.

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 20044 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of the Assessor, dated June 12, 1920, the following property erroneously assessed in 1921 be withdrawn from sale and reassessed in 1922, in accordance with Section 3806, Political Code, and the Tax Collector is hereby directed not to offer same for sale:

Vol. 18, page 2, Lot 8c, Block 2827.

Vol. 29, page 161, Lots 6 and 7, Block 5420.

Vol. 29, page 165, Lots 11 and 13, Block 5420.

Vol. 30, page 6, Lot 10, Block 5430.

Vol. 30, page 6, Lot 14, Block 5430.

Vol. 30, page 6, Lots 15 and 16, Block 5430.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Retirement Ordinance Amendment.

On motion of Supervisor Schmitz:

Bill No. 6660, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Adding a new paragraph to be designated (11) to Subdivision (b) of Section 1 of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series), entitled "Establishing a Retirement System for Employees of the City and County of San Francisco, pro-

viding for the payment of retirement allowance to aged and disabled employees and for the payment of death benefits; prescribing the conditions under which said allowances and benefits shall be paid; fixing rates of contribution and the amounts of retirement allowances and death benefits, and providing for the administration of said retirement system in accordance with Article XVII of the Charter.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A new paragraph to be designated (11) is hereby added to Subdivision (b) of Section 4 of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, said paragraph to read as follows:

(11) Persons in the employ of the City at the time of the taking effect of this amendment who have not at that time affirmatively exercised the option of becoming members of the Retirement System as provided in paragraph (1), Subdivision (a) of this section and whose compensation equals or exceeds five hundred (500) dollars per month.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Thereupon, the following resolution was presented by Supervisor Schmitz and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 20045 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, by the passage of the amendment to the Retirement Ordinance John McLaren, Superintendent of the Park, will be excluded from the benefits of the pension system; and

Whereas, his continued employment by the Park Commission in the City's service is deemed indispensable and valuable, and as a mark of San Francisco's appreciation of over thirty-five years of faithful service and brilliant achievement that changed Golden Gate Park from a waste of sand dunes to the greatest municipal park and playground in the world; therefore

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors recommends to the Park Commission that the salary of the Superintendent of the Park be fixed at an amount that will compensate him for the loss suffered by such exclusion from the Retirement System, the same to be paid him for the balance of his life.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

Remove Street Lights.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 20046 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company is hereby instructed to move gas lamps as follows:

North side of Nineteenth street, 100 feet west of Guerrero street, 15 feet east.

East side of Shotwell street, first south of Fourteenth street, to north property line.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

#### Oil and Boiler Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:  
Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

#### *Oil Storage Tank.*

Kelly Bros., at 3005 Steiner street; 600 gallons capacity.

John Siebe, on south side of Sacramento street, 140 feet west of Laguna street; 1500 gallons capacity.

Golden Nugget Sweets, at 1965 Market street; 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Johnson, at southwest corner of Eighteenth avenue and Clement street; 1500 gallons capacity.

#### *Boiler.*

Golden Nugget Sweets, 20-horsepower at 1965 Market street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

#### Award of Contract, Municipal Record.

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 20047 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the contract for printing and furnishing the Municipal Record for the fiscal year 1922-1923 to the City and County of San Francisco, in accordance with specifications and notice inviting proposals therefor, be and is hereby awarded to The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company for the price stated in its bid therefor; provided the sureties on the bond of said Recorder Printing and Publishing Company, which is hereby fixed in the sum of one thousand dollars, are satisfactory to his Honor the Mayor, who is hereby authorized to enter into such contract at said prices.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

#### Extensions of Time.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20048 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Clarence B. Eaton is hereby granted an extension of ninety day's time from and after July 18, 1922, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Folsom street between Tompkins and Ogden avenues.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that the work on Folsom street is well under way, the greater part of the grading having been completed.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

Also, Resolution No. 20049 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Fay Improvement Company is hereby granted an extension of sixty days' time from and after June 15, 1922, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Hanover street between Gartenberg and Lowell streets, under public contract.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that contractor has been delayed by corporations installing mains and service pipes.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

Also, Resolution No. 20050 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That James M. Smith is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after July 19, 1922, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Seventeenth avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that the grading has been practically completed.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

#### City to Take Over Cost of Downtown Street Lighting.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, business men in what is known as the Triangle and Path of Gold District have asked that the cost of special lighting in this district be taken over by the City; and

Whereas, for many years the merchants of the Triangle and Path of Gold District have assessed themselves for part of the cost of this lighting, which special lighting is in the nature of "advertising" for all San Francisco, and now feel that they should be relieved of this expense; therefore

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors take over and assume responsibility for the entire cost of the lighting in the Triangle and Path of Gold District heretofore paid for in part by the merchants.

*Referred to Finance and Lighting Committees.*

#### Street Lights.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 20051 (New Series), as follows:

##### *Install 400 M. R.*

Jefferson and Hyde streets.

Northeast corner of Haight and Steiner streets.

Northwest corner of Haight and Webster streets.

North side of Haight street, between Buchanan and Webster streets.

South side of Haight street, between Buchanan and Webster streets.

##### *Disconnect 400 M. R.*

Northwest corner of Haight and Steiner streets.

Northeast corner of Haight and Webster streets.

##### *Disconnect 250 M. R.*

South side of Haight street between Buchanan and Webster streets.

##### *Install 250 M. R.*

West side of Point Lobos avenue opposite Cliff House.

##### *Remove Gas Lamps.*

Southeast corner of Portola drive and Terrace drive.

North side of Terrace drive, 200 feet west of Santa Clara avenue.

Southeast corner of Terrace drive and Santa Clara avenue.

West side of Santa Clara avenue, 110 feet north of Terrace drive.

Northeast corner of Santa Clara avenue and Santa Monica way.

West side of San Lorenzo way, 50 feet north of Santa Monica way.

East side of San Lorenzo way, 255 feet south of Portola drive.

South side of Santa Monica way, opposite San Lorenzo way.

North side of Yerba Buena avenue, 318 feet east of Santa Clara avenue.

Southeast corner of Santa Monica way and Santa Paula avenue.

East side of Santa Paula avenue, 175 feet north of Santa Monica way.

North side of Santa Monica way, 140 feet east of Santa Paula avenue.

East side of San Pablo avenue, opposite Santa Monica way.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, Mc-

Sheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

#### Mayor to Appoint Committee for Annual Music Week.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 20052 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be respectfully requested to appoint a committee of citizens to make the necessary arrangements for the annual Music Week, to be held in the first week of October, 1922.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

#### Harmonious Development of Civic Center.

Supervisor Robb presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, it is most desirable that the architectural plan of the Civic Center should not be impaired by the erection of structures in its immediate vicinity out of harmony with its distinctive features; therefore,

Resolved, That the owners of land bordering and in close proximity to the Civic Center be earnestly requested to so plan future improvements thereon that they will harmonize with those now existing and thereby conserve the beauty of San Francisco's most notable possession besides enhancing its value for all time to come.

*Referred to City Planning and Finance Committee.*

#### Fixing June 26, 1922, Hearing Appeal, Tara Street.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20054 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Monday, June 26, 1922, at 3 p. m., is hereby fixed as the time for hearing the appeal of property owners from the assessment issued for the improvement of Tara street between the southerly curb line of Ocean avenue and the southerly line of Mount Vernon avenue, including the crossings of Tara street and Geneva avenue and Mount Vernon avenue and the intersection of Tara street and Ocean avenue, except that portion required by law to be paved by the railroad company having tracks thereon.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

### Summer Camping Ground at Hetch Hetchy.

Supervisor Schmitz presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$3,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, for the establishing of a summer camping ground in the vicinity of Hetch Hetchy for the use and convenience of San Franciscans.

*Referred to Finance and Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committees.*

**Miss M. Maroney's Employment.**

Supervisor Powers raised the question as to why the Finance Committee had dispensed with the services of Miss Maroney, who, he declared, was doing important work for the Lighting Committee, checking up the bills of the lighting company, keeping track of outages and mapping new lighting service which, he declared, resulted in a saving to the city in its lighting fund.

Supervisor Rossi declared that it had been called to the attention of the Finance Committee that the position was illegal. If it is a legal position it should come from the civil service list.

Supervisor McSheehy: I want to ask the Clerk several questions and I want the answers and questions made a part of the record.

Mr. Dunnigan, how long have you been Clerk of the Board?

A. Twelve years and over.

Q. As Clerk of this Board you have always helped to file the Finance Committee's report?

A. Yes.

Q. Last year, did you have any sums set aside for the employment of this particular person?

A. The employment, as I understand, was paid out of the Lighting Committee funds. This work was previously done by our light and water inspector and Mr. Williams.

Q. Is the light and water inspector still employed?

A. Yes.

Q. How many clerks have we for this Board?

A. Operators and clerks are 31; everyone in the office of the Board of Supervisors.

Q. How many have you in the Clerk's office and how many in the stationery clerk's office?

A. In the neighborhood of 20, 21 or 22.

Q. You made the statement right here several times that you could carry out the same work with six clerks.

A. No. Twice on the direction of the Finance Committee in former

years I said I did not require so many employees as I had.

Q. Have you ever suggested to the Finance Committee that the clerks could be reduced?

A. No, sir. I have never asked for the employment or dismissal of anybody in the City Government.

\* \* \* \*

Supervisor Hynes moved that the Lighting Committee be authorized to retain the services of Miss Maroney just as long as they deem it necessary to this committee and the funds of the Lighting Committee permit. Seconded by Supervisor Mulvihill.

Motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon—11.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, McGregor, Morgan, Rossi, Welch, Wetmore—6.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

### SPECIAL ORDER, 3 P. M.

**Consideration of Majority and Minority Reports on Drug Award of Contract.**

The following reports, laid over from last meeting, were taken up and read by the Clerk:

Majority Report, Supplies Committee.

Hearing of protest against H. F. Dugan Co. for changes made in its annual bid.

San Francisco, June 5, 1922.  
Board of Supervisors.  
Gentlemen:

Your Supplies Committee begs to report the hearing of protest against H. F. Dugan Co., held June 2, 1922, at 10 o'clock a. m.

It appears that certain alterations and erasures were made in the prices bid by H. F. Dugan Co., the issue between the interested parties being whether such erasures and alterations were made *before* or *after* the bid was submitted.

Messrs. Stein and Thomas of the firm of Johnson & Johnson presented the protest and Mr. Dugan and his sales manager, Mr. Boxer, presented their defense.

The interested parties were represented by counsel and after a hearing lasting three hours, during which the principals as well as their witnesses gave testimony, the same was given full consideration by the members of your Committee.

The majority of the Committee feels satisfied that the erasures and alterations were made by the bidders themselves after the bids had been received and opened. We believe that such action merits rejection of their entire bid.

Supervisor Wetmore moved, and Supervisor Shannon seconded a motion: "That the entire bid of H. F. Dugan

Co. be rejected on account of changes made."

Vote: Ayes—Supervisors Rossi, Robb, Shannon, Wetmore. No—Supervisor McSheehy.

Respectfully submitted,

ANGELO J. ROSSI.  
WARREN SHANNON.  
JNO. G. WETMORE.  
FRANK ROBB.

**Minority Report, Supplies Committee.**

San Francisco, Cal., June 12, 1922.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Cal.  
Gentlemen:

As a member of the Supplies Committee I herewith file a minority report in reference to the rejection of the *entire* bid of H. F. Dugan Company to furnish drugs and hospital supplies to the city for the fiscal year of 1922-1923.

1. The Dugan Company bid on over two hundred (200) items.

2. They changed three of these items: On two the price was lowered and on one was raised.

3. The change was made and initialed in their office previous to the opening of bids.

4. The changing of a few items is very common, especially where the bidder bids on a large number of items—which Dugan & Company did in this case.

5. The Charter is very clear, and it has been held by the late Hon. Franklin K. Lane that whenever there is an alteration or erasure in a bid the same shall be rejected, but it does not contemplate that two hundred (200) bids shall be rejected, and that is what the majority membership of the Supplies Committee are doing in this case entirely upon the testimony of two male employees of Johnson & Johnson, competitors and bidders against Dugan Company.

6. Three of our clerks in charge of the bids made the direct statement that they did not see Mr. Dugan or any one connected with his firm change or alter any bids.

7. Their statements should have great weight, as they are trusted employees and are not interested in either party, and should be so considered.

8. Johnson & Johnson are wholesale druggists. Dugan Company has been buying drugs from them for years and for some reason unknown to me has not bought any drugs for the past six months, so they had their manager, a Mr. Detrick, bid for them, and they also put in a bid with one altered item, showing that they are interested in two bids, which the Charter strictly forbids.

9. I do not think it is within the province of any committee of this Board to act as judge and jury in a

case of this kind, where the good name and reputation of a firm is at stake, and especially one that has been serving the city for twenty-eight years, as Dugan Company has, and find them guilty of an offense that is actually criminal and could be so judged, and will be by the citizens of this city if the report of the majority membership of the Supplies Committee is concurred in by this Board.

Therefore, I recommend that the three altered bids of H. F. Dugan Company be rejected and the remainder of its bids be allowed to stand, subject to rejection or acceptance by the Board.

Respectfully submitted,

JAS. B. MCSHEEHY.

Supervisor McSheehy moved the adoption of the minority report; seconded by Supervisor Mulvihill.

Thereupon, on motion of Supervisor Rossi, Attorney A. Pringle was granted the privilege of the floor urging the adoption of the majority report.

Also, J. Devoto, attorney, representing H. F. Dugan Company.

The following witnesses appeared, were duly sworn, examined and testified in the investigation: Wm. Thomas, O. M. Stein, Miss M. Maroney, Marius Kast, Superintendent of Supplies.

The stenographic notes of the testimony are on file in the Clerk's office.

**Motions.**

Supervisor Schmitz: I move to adjourn until Wednesday morning at 10 a. m.

Supervisor Bath: I move as an amendment that the matter be put over until next Monday, at 3 p. m.

Motion carried.

**Amendment to Retirement Ordinance.**

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Amend Section 13a to read as follows:

(a) Each member in the city service who has attained the age of 70 years at the time of his entrance into the retirement system shall be retired forthwith, and each member in city service who attains the age of 70 years shall be retired on the first day of the calendar month next succeeding that in which the said member shall have attained the age of 70 years; provided, that any officer, board or commission may retain any deputy, clerk or employee holding confidential relations or performing specific duties requiring professional or high technical skill or expert knowledge for an indefinite period after having attained the age of 70 years.

*Referred to Committee on Civil Service, Standardization of Salaries and Retirement System.*

**ADJOURNMENT.**

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 6:45 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors August 21, 1922.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol.

J

T

Monday, June 26, 1922.

Tuesday, June 27, 1922.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



**THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY**  
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

JO

M

In  
disc  
Th  
regu

Th  
ing  
St  
Hay  
Mor  
Ross  
Wet  
A  
Q  
H  
sent  
the

Th  
mee  
and  
read  
ROL

Rep

St  
C  
gine  
15  
and  
tun  
R

T  
resp  
on  
port  
P  
per  
F  
Dea  
S  
Mul  
C  
Con  
tha  
E  
Con  
tha  
P  
wit  
mar

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JUNE 26, 1922, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, June 26, 1922, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Morgan was called to the chair.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of March 27, April 3, April 10 and April 17, 1922, were considered read and approved.

### ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Request for Appropriation, \$1,500, Test Boring, Duboce Tunnel.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

*Communication*—From City Engineer, requesting an appropriation of \$1,500 to make test borings at east and west portals of proposed Duboce tunnel.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

### REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.

The following committees, by their respective chairmen, presented reports on various matters referred, which reports were read and ordered filed:

Public Buildings Committee, by Supervisor Scott, chairman.

Fire Committee, by Supervisors Deasy, chairman.

Streets Committee, by Supervisors Mulvihill, chairman.

Commercial Development and Streets Committee, by Supervisor Welch, chairman.

Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee, by Supervisor Morgan, chairman.

Public Welfare and Publicity Committee, by Supervisor Colman, chairman.

Appeal From Street Assessment, 3 P. M.  
*Balboa Street, Thirty-third Avenue to Forty-second Avenue, etc.*

Hearing of appeal of property owners from the assessment issued for the improvement of Balboa street from westerly line of Thirty-third avenue to the westerly line of Forty-second avenue, including the crossings of Thirty-seventh, Thirty-eighth, Fortieth, Forty-first and Forty-second avenues with Balboa street, and excepting crossings of Thirty-fourth, Thirty-fifth, Thirty-sixth and Thirty-ninth avenues with Balboa street, and Balboa street between Thirty-ninth and Fortieth avenues, etc.

The Clerk called the names of the protesting property owners and there was no response.

### Appeal Denied.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and adopted by the following vote:

Resolution No. 20055 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the appeal of property owners from the assessment issued for the improvement of Balboa street from the westerly line of Thirty-third avenue to the westerly line of Forty-second avenue, including the crossings of Thirty-seventh, Thirty-eighth, Fortieth, Forty-first and Forty-second avenues with Balboa street, excepting the crossings of Thirty-fourth, Thirty-fifth, Thirty-sixth and Thirty-ninth avenues with Balboa street, and Balboa street between Thirty-ninth and Fortieth avenues, etc., be denied and assessment confirmed.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

Appeal From Street Assessment, 3 P. M.  
*Tara Street.*

Hearing the appeal of property owners from the assessment issued for the improvement of Tara street between the southerly curb line of Ocean avenue and the southerly line of Mount Vernon avenue, including the crossings of Tara street and Geneva avenue and Mount Vernon avenue and the intersection of Tara street and

Ocean avenue, except that portion required by law to be paved by the railroad company having tracks thereon. Hearing fixed for 3 p. m. today.

#### Appeal Sustained.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented by Supervisor Mulvihill and adopted by the following vote:

Resolution No. 20056 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the appeal of property owners from the assessment issued for the improvement of Tara street between the southerly curb line of Ocean avenue and the southerly line of Mount Vernon avenue, including the crossings of Tara street and Geneva avenue and Mount Vernon avenue and the intersection of Tara street and Ocean avenue, except that portion required by law to be paved by the railroad company having tracks thereon, be sustained and the Board of Public Works directed to issue a new assessment.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

#### Disabled Veterans.

*Lieut. Miss Vanderbilt*, police woman of Washington, D. C., *Mr. Aked*, disabled veteran and member of the City Council of Cincinnati, attending Disabled Veterans' Convention in San Francisco, and *Mr. Marr*, Judge of the Superior Court of Cincinnati were presented to the Board. They expressed their delight with San Francisco and their appreciation for the generous hospitality extended to them.

*Supervisors Colman* and *Morgan* responded on behalf of the Board and citizenship of San Francisco, extending welcome and pleasure in greeting our visitors.

*Lieut.-Colonel Wm. J. Coyle*, president of the 91st Division Veterans of the Argonne, who has been decorated with distinguished service cross, was also presented to the Board.

He declared that he was in San Francisco as a representative of the 91st Division and brings a message from the newly elected mayor of the city of Seattle to the Honorable Mayor Rolph of San Francisco.

*Capt. De Witt M. Evans* of Tacoma, Washington, was also presented to the Board.

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

##### Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and finally passed by the following vote:

##### Appropriations.

Resolution No. 20057 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the Auditorium Fund, for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For installation of toilet facilities to the Polk Hall, Exposition Auditorium, including conversion of two rooms in connection with same (A. Lettich, contract No. 3074), \$3,374.

(2) For payment to W. A. Plummer Mfg. Co., for canopy and rigging, now installed in Exposition Auditorium, including frames, and rigging changed from running to standing, \$3,500.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

#### Authorizations.

Resolution No. 20058 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

##### Special School Tax, 1920-1921.

(1) Kohlwey Smith Electric Co., second payment, electric work, Parkside School (claim dated June 14, 1922), \$855.

##### School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(2) E. E. Etherton Co., sixth payment, general construction, McKinley School (claim dated June 14, 1922), \$1,819.68.

(3) E. E. Etherton Co., fifth payment, general construction, Adams School Addition (claim dated June 14, 1922), \$2,927.40.

(4) Mahony Bros., fifth payment, general construction, addition to Mission High School (claim dated June 14, 1922), \$11,728.80.

##### Tearing Up Streets Fund.

(5) P. J. Gartland Co., repaving over sidesewer trenches (claim dated June 16, 1922), \$975.28.

##### Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(6) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, for reimbursing Groveland Revolving Fund, per vouchers attached (claim dated June 12, 1922), \$867.72.

(7) Geo. H. Rice Abstract Co., reports on Hetch Hetchy right-of-way titles (claim dated June 12, 1922), \$1,280.

(8) Sierra Railway Co. of Cal., transportation service (claim dated June 12, 1922), \$2,073.56.

(9) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, for reimbursing Groveland Revolving Fund, per vouchers attached (claim dated June 12, 1922), \$2,567.44.

*General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(10) California Meat Co., meats, County Jails (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$644.84.

(11) Recorder Printing & Publishing Co., printing and publishing Law-motion-Trial Calendar, etc. (claim dated June 19, 1922), \$655.

(12) American La France Fire Engine Co., Fire Dept. apparatus parts (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$732.62.

(13) H. Harms & Co., fuel, Fire Dept. (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$546.

(14) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electricity, etc., Fire Dept. (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$1,607.85.

(15) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished Fire Dept. hydrants, etc. (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$2,316.64.

(16) Union Oil Co., fuel oil, Fire Dept. (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$2,135.17.

(17) Union Oil Co., gasoline etc., Fire Dept. (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$1,551.56.

(18) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 13, 1922), \$1,042.79.

(19) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 13, 1922), \$2,781.45.

(20) Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 13, 1922), \$1,698.34.

(21) St. Mary's Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 13, 1922), \$602.24.

(22) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 13, 1922), \$1,501.31.

(23) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 13, 1922), \$17,766.02.

(24) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 13, 1922), \$9,187.11.

(25) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 13, 1922), \$3,211.62.

(26) St. Catherine's Home, maintenance of minors at Magdalen Asylum (claim dated June 13, 1922), \$659.92.

(26a) Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 13, 1922), \$689.42.

(27) Herbert F. Dugan, drugs, etc., San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$1,647.76.

(28) Hooper & Jennings, groceries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$1,135.18.

(29) Wm. Cluff Co., groceries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$829.01.

(30) Chas. Brown & Sons, crockery, etc., San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$540.12.

(31) Associated Oil Co., fuel oil, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$3,268.76.

(32) Haas Bros., groceries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$540.70.

(33) California Meat Co., meats, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$524.33.

(34) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$1,214.10.

(35) Oliva Bros., vegetables, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$711.35.

(36) South S. F. Packing & Provision Co., meats, S. F. Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$590.87.

(37) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, S. F. Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$2,953.67.

(38) Sherry Bros., butter, etc., S. F. Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$943.91.

(39) C. Nauman & Co., vegetables, S. F. Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$877.89.

(40) Miler & Lux Inc., meats, Relief Home (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$541.42.

(41) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished hospitals (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$1,232.36.

(42) Union Oil Co. of Cal., asphalt, street repair (claim dated June 8, 1922), \$3,219.60.

(43) California Brick Co., paving brick, street repair (claim dated June 8, 1922), \$3,372.50.

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(44) Dodge, Sweeney Co., foodstuffs (claim dated June 15, 1922), \$645.01.

(45) J. H. Newbauer & Co., groceries (claim dated June 15, 1922), \$690.17.

(46) William Cluff Co., groceries (claim dated June 15, 1922), \$1,015.91.

(47) American Manganese Steel Co., chain links (claim dated June 15, 1922), \$1,093.35.

(48) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., electric motor, etc. (claim dated June 15, 1922), \$1,303.56.

(49) Associated Oil Co., gasoline (claim dated June 15, 1922), \$1,323.07.

(50) Engineering Products Co., machine parts (claim dated June 15, 1922), \$1,727.96.

(51) Dennis & Wilson, tunnel, etc., construction (claim dated June 15, 1922), \$1,838.53.

(52) Baumgarten Bros., meats (claim dated June 15, 1922), \$3,430.18.

(53) Montague Pipe & Steel Co., pipe and fittings (claim dated June 15, 1922), \$3,874.68.

(54) E. I. Dupont de Nemours & Co., powder (claim dated June 15, 1922), \$6,544.09.

*Municipal Railway Fund.*

(55) Otis Elevator Co., armature for Laguna Honda elevator (claim dated June 12, 1922), \$552.

(56) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursements for May, under agreement (claim dated June 14, 1922), \$986.32.

(57) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., steel brake shoes (claim dated June 8, 1922), \$1,756.03.

(58) Market Street Railway Co., electric power for May (claim dated June 14, 1922), \$2,089.39.

(59) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement Fund, pensions and gratuities (claim dated June 14, 1922), \$5,334.68.

(60) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., electric power (claim dated June 14, 1922), \$30,466.22.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

#### Appropriations, Schools.

Resolution No. 20059 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

#### *School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(1) For the construction of the Andrew Jackson School building, to be erected on south side of Grove street between Clayton and Cole streets, as per itemization following, \$150,979.25.

General construction (O. Monson) .....	\$125,330.00
Electric work (Standard Elec. Con. Co.) .....	4,870.00
Heating and ventilating (Scott Co.) .....	6,760.00
Plumbing (A. Lettich) ....	7,077.00
Additional architect's fee..	1,642.25
Inspection .....	2,800.00
Extras and incidentals.....	2,500.00

(2) For construction of annex and alterations to the Yerba Buena School, to be erected on north side of Greenwich street between Webster and Fillmore streets, as per itemization following, \$94,100.

General construction (Anderson & Ringrose) .....	\$73,291
Electric work (The Turner Co.)	1,972
Heating and ventilating (P. J. Enright) .....	4,900
Plumbing work (A. Lettich) ..	7,752
Additional architect's fee.....	1,185
Inspection .....	2,000
Extras and incidentals.....	3,000

#### *Special School Tax, 1921-1922.*

(3) For electrical work in temporary buildings for use of Mission High School and Humboldt Evening High School, in Mission Park (S. M. Radelfinger contract), \$1,345.

(4) For repairs to school buildings, \$17,000.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

#### Appropriations.

Resolution No. 20060 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

#### *Work in Front of City Property—Budget Item No. 45.*

(1) Street work on Key avenue from Railroad avenue to Jennings street, \$6,360.

(2) Street work on Hearst avenue from Edna to Foerster street, \$3,150.

(3) Folsom street from Tompkins to Ogden avenue, street work, \$420.

(4) Street work on Twenty-fifth avenue from Irving to Judah street, \$1,600.

(5) Street improvement, etc., at Thirty-first avenue and Judah street, \$294.

(6) For contingent expense in connection with above work, \$470.

#### *Collingwood Street—Budget Item No. 67.*

(7) For the improvement of Collingwood street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets, Twenty-first street between Castro and Diamond streets, and Twenty-second street between Castro and Diamond streets, including crossings of Diamond street at Twenty-first and Twenty-second streets, and Collingwood street at Twenty-first and Twenty-second streets, \$22,500.

#### *Extension of Main Sewers.*

(8) For extension of main sewer in the Great Highway from Noriega street southerly, \$14,967.

#### *General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(9) For improvement of playground adjoining the James Lick School at Clipper and Noe streets, including extras, plans, inspection, etc., \$6,500.

#### *Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.*

(10) For furnishing steel rails, etc., Sections A and B, Contract No. 127, Municipal Railways; additional to appropriation heretofore (U. S. Steel Products contract), \$1,886.24.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

**Appropriation, \$8,500, Payment to H. C. Christen, Land, etc., Horace Mann School.**

**Resolution No. 20061 (New Series), as follows:**

Resolved, That the sum of \$8,500 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax, Fiscal Year 1921-1922, and authorized in payment to H. C. Christen for lands and improvements required for the Horace Mann School; being situate and commencing at point of intersection of southerly line of Twenty-third street with westerly line of Bartlett street, running thence westerly along said southerly line of Twenty-third street 35 feet, and being of uniform dimensions 35 x 80 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 20020 (New Series).

**Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.**

**Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.**

**Oil and Boiler Permits.**

**Resolution No. 20062 (New Series), as follows:**

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

*Oil Storage Tank.*

Kelly Bros., at 3005 Steiner street; 600 gallons capacity.

John Siebe, on south side of Sacramento street, 140 feet west of Laguna street; 1500 gallons capacity.

Golden Nugget Sweets, at 1965 Market street; 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Johnson, at southwest corner of Eighteenth avenue and Clement street; 1500 gallons capacity.

*Boiler.*

Golden Nugget Sweets, 20-horsepower at 1965 Market street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

**Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.**

**Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.**

**Retirement Ordinance Amendment.**

**Bill No. 6060, Ordinance No. 5651 (New Series), as follows:**

Adding a new paragraph to be designated (11) to Subdivision (b) of Section 4 of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series), entitled "Establishing a Retirement System for Employees of the City and County of San Francisco, providing for the payment of retirement allowance to aged and disabled employees and for the payment of death benefits; prescribing the conditions under which said allowances and benefits

shall be paid; fixing rates of contribution and the amounts of retirement allowances and death benefits, and providing for the administration of said retirement system in accordance with Article XVII of the Charter.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A new paragraph to be designated (11) is hereby added to Subdivision (b) of Section 4 of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, said paragraph to read as follows:

(11) Persons in the employ of the City at the time of the taking effect of this amendment who have not at that time affirmatively exercised the option of becoming members of the Retirement System as provided in paragraph (1), Subdivision (a) of this section and whose compensation equals or exceeds five hundred (500) dollars per month.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.**

**Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.**

**REPORT OF FINANCE COMMITTEE.**

Demands on the Treasury, amounting to \$222,689.45, were presented and approved by the following vote:

**Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon.**

**Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.**

**NEW BUSINESS.**

**Passed for Printing.**

The following matters were passed for printing:

**Authorizations.**

On motion of Supervisor Rossi:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

*Water Construction Fund. Bond Issue 1910.*

(1) West Side Lumber Co., lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 16, 1922), \$538.05.

(2) E. H. Wilson & Co., first payment, passenger and baggage car (claim dated June 16, 1922), \$795.

(3) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., hardware (claim dated June 16, 1922), \$988.29.

(4) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Groveland revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers attached (claim dated June 16, 1922), \$1,004.81.

(5) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Groveland revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers attached (claim dated June 16, 1922), \$1,153.59.

(6) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Groveland revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers attached (claim dated June 16, 1922), \$1,741.39.

(7) Western Pipe & Steel Co., fifteenth, sixteenth, seventeenth and eighteenth payments, furnishing air pipe, contract 84 (claim dated June 20, 1922), \$4,151.23.

(8) W. A. Plummer Mfg. Co., tents, etc. (claim dated June 21, 1922), \$514.20.

(9) George H. Tay Co., pipe and fittings (claim dated June 21, 1922), \$541.62.

(10) California Boiler Works, air receiver (claim dated June 21, 1922), \$547.84.

(11) Sherry Bros. Inc., foodstuffs (claim dated June 21, 1922), \$549.90.

(12) Eccles & Smith Co. Inc., brass locks etc. (claim dated June 21, 1922), \$684.88.

(13) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., steel, etc. (claim dated June 22, 1922), \$785.14.

(14) Joseph J. Phillips, inspection expenses (claim dated June 20, 1922), \$830.71.

(15) A. Levy & J. Zentner, foodstuffs (claim dated June 21, 1922), \$886.42.

(16) Atascadero Geographical Institute, Hetch Hetchy model, etc. (claim dated June 20, 1922), \$1,087.

(17) Virden Packing Co., foodstuffs (claim dated June 21, 1922), \$1,088.35.

(18) Associated Oil Co., fuel oil (claim dated June 21, 1922), \$1,614.33.

(19) J. H. McCallum, lumber (claim dated June 20, 1922), \$1,642.34.

(20) W. D. Ayers Co., foodstuffs (claim dated June 22, 1922), \$1,699.57.

(21) United Commercial Co., tie plates, etc. (claim dated June 20, 1922), \$1,717.42.

(22) Hickok & Hickok, drill steel (claim dated June 22, 1922), \$1,851.46.

(23) Baumgarten Bros., meats (claim dated June 21, 1922), \$2,025.28.

(24) Simpson-Gray Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated June 21, 1922), \$2,309.75.

(25) Ingersoll-Rand Co., steel, hardware, etc. (claim dated June 21, 1922), \$9,540.95.

(26) Allis-Chalmers Mfg. Co., payment for land for Las Pulgas tunnel (claim dated June 23, 1922), \$9,250.

#### *Library Fund.*

(27) San Francisco News Co., library books (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$917.90.

(28) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$5,531.92.

(29) George A. Mullin, public library

freight and other expenses (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$563.56.

#### *Special School Tax, 1921-1922.*

(30) Quinn & Reilly, sixth payment, general construction, Emerson School (claim dated June 21, 1922), \$8673.75.

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(31) The Turner Co., first payment, electric work, Spring Valley School (claim dated June 21, 1922), \$570.

(32) Emil Hogberg second payment, brick and hollow tile work, Mission High School addition (claim dated June 21, 1922), \$4,273.50.

#### *Park Fund.*

(33) California Wire Cloth Co., wire cloth and netting (claim dated June 23, 1922), \$522.58.

(34) G. W. McNear Inc., grass seed (claim dated June 23, 1922), \$6,099.60.

(35) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream, children's quarters (claim dated June 23, 1922), \$2,280.38.

(36) Pacific Tank & Pipe Co., park benches (claim dated June 23, 1922), \$2,975.

(37) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., May electric service (claim dated June 23, 1922), \$1,078.72.

(38) Spring Valley Water Co., May water service (claim dated June 23, 1922), \$2,965.54.

#### *County Road Fund.*

(39) Clarence B. Eaton, seventh payment, improvement of Point Lobos avenue, Forty-eighth to Great Highway (claim dated June 23, 1922), \$31,798.05.

#### *Municipal Railway Fund.*

(40) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., mazda lamps (claim dated June 16, 1922), \$555.49.

(41) Frank A. Busse Sales Co., auto tubes and casings (claim dated June 22, 1922), \$1,078.70.

(42) Frank F. Bodler, fare boxes for cars (claim dated June 20, 1922), \$1,950.

#### *Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.*

(43) Mrs. E. Clarke, settlement of Municipal Railway damage claim (claim dated June 21, 1922), \$2,690.

#### *General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(44) Coast Rock & Gravel Co., sand and gravel, street repair (claim dated June 19, 1922), \$1,760.78.

(45) Pacific Portland Cement Co., lime dust, street repair (claim dated June 21, 1922), \$1,843.91.

(46) Western Rock Products Co., sand, street repair (claim dated June 21, 1922), \$3,520.80.

(47) California Brick Co., brick, street repair (claim dated June 21, 1922), \$3,325.

(48) California Brick Co., brick, street repair (claim dated June 21, 1922), \$2,185.

(49) Union Oil Co. of Cal., fuel oil,

Dept. Public Works, (claim dated June 21, 1922), \$1,349.77.

(50) Michael V. Duddy, compensation, Dept. Public Works (claim dated June 14, 1922), \$1,062.33.

(51) Spring Valley Water Co., water, public buildings (claim dated June 19, 1922), \$1,521.59.

(52) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., lighting public buildings, etc. (claim dated June 19, 1922), \$2,866.31.

(53) L. Dinkelspiel Co. Inc., dry goods, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$799.06.

(54) Miller & Lux, meats, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$1,018.16.

(55) Spring Valley Water Co., water, Relief Home (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$638.18.

(56) L. Dinkelspiel Co., dry goods, Relief Home (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$714.05.

(57) Standard Oil Co., fuel oil, etc., Relief Home (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$2,015.17.

(58) William Cluff Co., groceries, Relief Home (claim dated June 20, 1922), \$992.45.

(59) Hooper & Jennings, groceries, Relief Home (claim dated June 20, 1922), \$676.30.

(60) Sperry Flour Co., flour, Relief Home (claim dated June 20, 1922), \$1,423.38.

(61) Halpin Lithograph Co., lithographed maps, Dept. of Elections (claim dated June 22, 1922), \$3,000.

Appropriation, \$21,000, Payment to C. Branagan, Lands and Improvements, Washington Irving School.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$21,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax, and authorized in payment to C. Branagan for lands and improvements required for the Washington Irving School; said lands and improvements being situate and commencing at the intersection of the northerly line of Broadway with the easterly line of Bartol street, running thence easterly along said northerly line of Broadway 40 feet, and being of uniform dimensions of 40 x 92 feet.

Appropriation, \$14,500, Payment to M. C. McGrath, Property Required for Andrew Jackson School.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$14,500 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918, and authorized in payment to M. C. McGrath for land and improvements required for the Andrew Jackson School; said land and improvements being situate and commencing at a point on

the northerly line of Hayes street distant thereon 100 feet easterly from the easterly line of Cole street, running thence easterly along said northerly line of Hayes street 25 feet and being of uniform dimensions 25 x 137½ feet.

Appropriation, \$1,500, Test Borings, Duboce Tunnel.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$1,500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of General Fund, 1921-1922, for expense of making test borings for the so-called Duboce Tunnel, and necessary for the preparation of plans and specifications therefor; said sum of \$1,500 to be returned to the City when the assessment against the district is levied and collected.

Appropriation, \$2,000, Payment to Floyd W. Hanchett for Leasehold Interest, Property Required for Horace Mann School.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$2,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax, and paid to Floyd W. Hanchett; being payment for leasehold interest in property known as No. 1207 Valencia street, and recently acquired by the City for use of the Horace Mann School. Subject to approval by the City Attorney.

#### Action Deferred.

The following bill was on motion *laid over one week*:

#### Additional Positions Ordinance.

Bill No. 6061, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled "Creating positions, fixing the compensation thereof and authorizing the appointment thereof in accordance with the provisions of Section 35, Article XVI of the Charter, of additional deputies, clerks and employees in the various offices, boards and departments of the City and County of San Francisco, re-enacting in modified form the several ordinances authorizing such appointments and repealing such ordinances in so far as they create positions and fix salaries."

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Appointment of Secretary, City Planning Commission.

On motion of Supervisor McGregor:

Bill No. 6062, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 6 of Ordinance No. 4383 (New Series), entitled "An Ordinance establishing a City Planning Commission, prescribing the number and qualifications of its members, and

the duties to be performed by the Commission."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 6 of Ordinance No. 4383 (New Series), the title of which is above recited is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Section 6. The Commission may appoint a secretary and engineer, prescribe the duties to be performed and fix the compensation therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately and furthermore, for the purpose of meeting the requirements of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series), the person performing the duties of engineer for said Commission, on April 1, 1922, shall be deemed an employee of the City and County on and from the date of his employment by the Commission and shall be entitled to such credit for prior service as his record of service may establish.

(*Supervisor Schmitz requested to be recorded "no" until he has more information.*)

#### Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

#### *Transfer Public Garage.*

To Peter McKeand & Son, permit granted to James Hutton by Resolution No. 17602 (New Series), for premises situate 3657 Sacramento street.

#### *Transfer Automobile Supply Station.*

To C. R. Frost and F. Eichler, permit granted to Schoen & Bode, by Resolution No. 19429 (New Series) for premises situate Ocean and Jules avenues.

#### *Public Garage.*

Sam Schiller, in two-story building, situate 2020 Van Ness avenue, also to store 300 gallons of gasoline.

#### *Oil Storage Tank.*

P. Crowley, at southwest corner of Larkin and Washington street; 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. Caroline A. Koster, at 1635 Clay street; 1500 gallons capacity.

Richard Quarg, at southeast corner Palm avenue and California street; 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

Accepting Offer of M. C. McGrath to Sell Land and Improvements on Hayes Street, Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Scott presented:

Resolution No. 20063 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from M. C. McGrath to convey to the City and County of San Francisco cer-

tain land and improvements situate on the north line of Hayes street distant 100 feet easterly from Cole street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land with improvements thereon is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of \$14,500 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Hayes street distant thereon 100 feet easterly from the easterly line of Cole street, running thence easterly along said northerly line of Hayes street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 137 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 137 feet 6 inches to the northerly line of Hayes street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 685.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and improvements, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerny title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon the payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid; and the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

Accepting Offer of C. Branagan to Sell Property at Broadway and Bartol Streets Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 20064 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from C. Branagan to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements situate on the northeast corner of Broadway and Bartol streets, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land and improvements is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said

owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of \$21,000 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at the intersection of the northerly line of Broadway with the easterly line of Bartol street, running thence easterly along said northerly line of Broadway 40 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 92 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 40 feet to the easterly line of Bartol street; thence southerly along said easterly line of Bartol street 92 feet to the northerly line of Broadway. Being a portion of 50 Vara Block No. 47.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land with improvements thereon, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon the payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

*Passed for Printing.*

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

#### **Fixing Sidewalk Widths.**

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill: Bill No. 6063, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eight hundred and two.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office June 7, 1922, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered eight hundred and two, to read as follows:

Section 802. The width of sidewalks

on Clay street between Buchanan street and Webster street shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 6064, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending sections two hundred and ninety-nine, three hundred and seventy-two and three hundred and seventy-three thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office June 22, 1922, by amending sections two hundred and ninety-nine, three hundred and seventy-two and three hundred and seventy-three thereof, to read as follows:

Section 299. The width of sidewalks on Blake street between Geary street and its northerly termination shall be fifteen (15) feet.

Section 372. The width of sidewalks on Edgar place between Bruce avenue and its southerly termination shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 373. The width of sidewalks on Bruce avenue between Harold avenue and its easterly termination shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Sections 372 and 373 of Ordinance 1098, approved March 9, 1910, are hereby repealed.

Section 3. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### **Changing Grades.**

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill: Bill No. 6065, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled, "Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Seventh avenue between Lawton street and Moraga street."

Also, Bill No. 6066, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled, "Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Holyoke street between Felton and Burrows streets."

Also, Bill No. 6067, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled, "Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Fifteenth street between the westerly line of Bryant street and Florida street, and on Florida street between Alameda and Sixteenth streets."

**Intention to Change Grades.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20065 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points herein-after specified and at the elevations above City base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 73618 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works adopted June 19, 1922, and written recommendation of said Board, filed June 20, 1922, to-wit:

*Mill Street.*

Harkness avenue, 241 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Fifty feet northerly from Harkness avenue, 246.50 feet.

One hundred feet northerly from Harkness avenue, 253.62 feet.

One hundred and fifty feet northerly from Harkness avenue, 264 feet.

Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.

Two hundred and fifty feet northerly from Harkness avenue, 288 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Mill street between Harkness avenue and a line parallel with and 250 feet northerly therefrom be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this Resolution of Intention.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

**Extension of Time.**

Resolution No. 20066 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That W. J. Tobin is hereby granted an extension of thirty days from and after June 23, 1922, within which to complete contract for improvement of Augusta street and tributary streets by the construction of sewers, under public contract.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that contractor has been delayed on account of encountering rock.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman,

Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

**Passed for Printing.**

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

**Ordering Street Work.**

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill: Bill No. 6068, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 7, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Hollister avenue between Hawes and Griffith streets* by the construction of a 12-inch iron-stone pipe sewer with 48 Y branches and one brick manhole with appurtenances along the center line of Hollister avenue between Hawes and Griffith streets.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 6069, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain

street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, June 7, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Mt. Vernon avenue between Howth street and the easterly line of Louisburg street, including the crossing of Mt. Vernon avenue and Louisburg street*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks for the full official width on the angular corners of Mt. Vernon avenue and Louisburg street; by the construction of three catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, one of each on the northwesterly, southwesterly and southeasterly angular corners of Mt. Vernon avenue and Louisburg street, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 6070, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving

and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 14, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Taraval street between Thirty-seventh and Forty-eighth avenues*, by the construction of the following ironstone pipe sewers:

An eight (8) inch along the center line of Thirty-eighth avenue between the center and northerly lines of Taraval street; an eight (8) inch along the center line of Thirty-ninth avenue between the center and northerly lines of Taraval street; an eight (8) inch along the center line of Fortieth avenue between the southerly and northerly lines of Taraval street; an eight (8) inch along the center line of Forty-first avenue between the southerly and northerly lines of Taraval street; an eight (8) inch along the center line of Forty-second avenue between the southerly and northerly lines of Taraval street; an eight (8) inch along the center line of Forty-fourth avenue between the center and southerly lines of Taraval street; an eight (8) inch along the center line of Forty-fifth avenue between the center and northerly lines of Taraval street; a twelve (12) inch along the center

line of Forty-seventh avenue between the center and northerly lines of Taraval street; an eight (8) inch with 18 Y branches and one manhole along the center line of Taraval street between the center line of Forty-seventh avenue and a point 20 feet westerly from the westerly line of Forty-sixth avenue; a twelve (12) inch and 9 Y branches and one manhole along the center line of Taraval street between the center line of Forty-seventh avenue and the easterly line of Forty-eighth avenue, including the placing of broken rock at the easterly line of Forty-eighth avenue at the center line of Taraval street to form a seepage basin for this sewer; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of the following brick catch-basins with accompanying ten (10) inch ironstone pipe culverts:

Three in the crossing of Thirty-eighth avenue and Taraval street; 3 in the crossing of Thirty-ninth avenue and Taraval street; 4 in the crossing of Fortieth avenue and Taraval street; 4 in the crossing of Forty-first avenue and Taraval street; 4 in the crossing of Forty-second avenue and Taraval street; 4 in the crossing of Forty-third avenue and Taraval street; 4 in the crossing of Forty-fourth avenue and Taraval street; 3 in the crossing of Forty-fifth avenue and Taraval street; 3 in the crossing of Forty-sixth avenue and Taraval street; 3 in the crossing of Forty-seventh avenue and Taraval street; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on all of the angular corners, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### **Award of Contract, Journals and Calendars.**

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 20067 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the contract for printing and furnishing the Journal of Proceedings and Calendars of the Board of Supervisors, Daily Trial and Law and Motion Calendars and Decisions of the Supreme and Appellate Courts during the fiscal year 1922-1923 is hereby awarded to The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company at its bid price of \$665 per month for printing and publishing said publications; provided the sureties on the bond of said Recorder Printing and Publishing Company, which is hereby fixed in the sum of one thousand dollars, are satisfactory to his Honor the Mayor, who is hereby authorized to enter into such contract.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman,

Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

#### **Charter Amendment, Official Advertising in Municipal Record.**

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 20068 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors be and he is hereby directed to prepare the necessary Charter amendment for submission to the people at the next election authorizing the publication of official advertising in the Municipal Record.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

#### **Bids Rejected, Engineering and Plumbing Supplies.**

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 20069 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That all bids received May 1, 1922, for Class No. 6, Engineering and Plumbing Supplies (except Belt-ing, Belt Lacing, H. T. Cement, Fire Clay, Belt Dressing, Fire Extinguishers, Fire Brick, Gaskets, Packing), be and the same are hereby rejected and the Clerk directed to readvertise for proposals therefor for a 3-months' period, as per specifications prepared by the Supplies Committee.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

#### **Bids Rejected, Coal.**

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 20070 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That all bids on coal received May 1, 1922, be and the same are hereby rejected and clerk directed to readvertise for proposals as per specifications prepared by the Supplies Committee.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

#### **Accepting Offer, Las Pulgas Tunnel, Hetch Hetchy.**

Resolution No. 20071 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco from the

Allis-Chalmers Manufacturing Company, a corporation, of the following described tracts of land, situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, and required as a right of way for the Las Pulgas tunnel and aqueduct on the Hetch Hetchy water supply project, viz.:

Parcel No. 1, 0.83 acres; parcel No. 2, 3.43 acres; parcel No. 3, 58.56 acres; parcel No. 4, subsurface tunnel easement, 2308.42 feet in length, for the sum of \$9,250, and

Whereas, said purchase price is in accordance with the appraisalment of said lands and severance damages to the remaining property of the company made by Joseph J. Phillips, right of way agent for the City and County; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer, the offer of the Allis-Chalmers Manufacturing Company, a corporation, to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the three said tracts of land and tunnel easement, for the sum of \$9,250 be and the same is hereby accepted.

The Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy water supply is hereby authorized and directed to prepare the necessary papers and deeds to close the transaction and to arrange for payment of the purchase price to the seller upon receipt of deed conveying said property to the City and County of San Francisco, clear of all encumbrances.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

Award of Contract, Street Lighting.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 20072 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the contract to light the streets and the outlying districts and all public buildings (except school buildings of the City and County of San Francisco with gas and electricity and for supplying power for all purposes for the term of one year, commencing July 1, 1922, and ending June 30, 1923, in strict accordance with the specifications and advertisement inviting proposals thereon, be and is hereby awarded to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, a corporation, at the hereinafter designated prices, said company being the lowest responsible bidder, to-wit:

For each single burner gas lamp per night, six and one-half (6½) cents.

For each double inverted gas lamp, per lamp per night, ten (10) cents.

For each triple top gas lamp, per lamp per night, fifteen (15) cents.

For each double globe gasolier (two mantles to each globe), per gasolier per night, fifteen (15) cents.

For each single globe gasolier (two mantles), per gasolier per night, ten (10) cents.

For each single globe gasolier (three mantles), per gasolier per night, twelve (12) cents.

For each electric 600 C. P. Mazda unit lamp, with band or bowl reflector, per lamp per night, seventeen (17) cents.

For each electric 400 C. P. Mazda unit lamp, with band or bowl reflector, per lamp per night, fifteen (15) cents.

For each electric 250 C. P. Mazda unit lamp, with band or bowl reflector, per lamp per night, twelve (12) cents.

For each five-globe electrolier, per electrolier per night, lighted all night, sixteen cents; lighted until midnight, thirteen and one-half (13½) cents.

For each single globe electrolier (200 watts each), per electrolier per night, lighted all night, fifteen (15) cents; lighted until midnight, thirteen and one-half (13½) cents.

For each 100-watt tungsten bracket lamp, lighted all night, per lamp per night, nine (9) cents.

For electric current furnished for street lighting purposes on metered service, per kilowatt hour, three (3) cents.

For furnishing gas to all public buildings, offices, yards and public places, per 1000 cubic feet, the sum of seventy-five (75) cents.

For furnishing electric current for lighting purposes for all public buildings, offices, yards and public places, per kilowatt hour, two and three-quarter (2¾) cents.

For furnishing electric current for power purposes for all public buildings, offices, yards or places, per kilowatt hour, two (2) cents.

Provided that the sureties on the bond of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, which bond is hereby fixed at \$50,000, shall be satisfactory to his Honor the Mayor, who is hereby authorized to enter into said contract, subject to the following conditions, to-wit:

The said Pacific Gas and Electric Company shall during said term of one year from July 1, 1922, to June 30, 1923, light the public streets and outlying districts of the City and County with not less than five thousand gas lamps, and not less than twenty-five hundred electric lamps during the said term.

The time of lighting and extinguishing shall be as follows:

Electric lamps shall be lighted three-quarters of an hour after sunset and shall be extinguished not earlier than three-quarters of an hour before sun-

rise, except that from November 15 to March 1 of each year, the lamps shall be lighted one-half hour after sunset until one-half hour before sunrise.

In the case of gas lamps the last lamp shall be lighted not later than one hour after sunset, and the first gas lamp shall be extinguished not earlier than one hour and a quarter before sunrise, provided that the last lamp shall not be extinguished earlier than fifteen minutes before sunrise.

Deductions for lamps not burning shall be computed at the same rate for each class of lamp as above specified for lamps burning per light per night, the term "lamp" to include all kinds of lamps, gas or electric, above specified.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

Estimate of Cost, Safety Station, Entrance Twin Peaks Tunnel.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 20073 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works be requested to prepare plans and specifications and estimate of cost for the installation of a public safety station at the entrance of the easterly portal of the Twin Peaks tunnel.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

City to Take Over Lighting Cost, "Path of Gold" and "Triangle" District.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 20074 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City take over the lighting known as the "Path of Gold" and "Triangle District" lighting, paid for at the present time by the Down Town Association; provided, however, that the Down Town Association contribute the sum of ten thousand dollars toward the lighting for the fiscal year 1922-1923; and be it further

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be instructed to light the "Path of Gold" and "Triangle District" that were formerly lighted by the Down Town Association.

**Motion.**

Supervisor Hynes moved reference to the Lighting Committee, that a general meeting be held and that he and all other members be invited to attend.

Ayes—Supervisor Hynes—1.

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was *adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

No—Supervisor Hynes—1.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

**Amendment of Building Law, Thickness of Brick Walls in Dwellings.**

Supervisor Scott presented:

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 133 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), approved December 22, 1909, and known as the Building Law of the City and County of San Francisco, relating to thickness of brick walls in buildings used for dwellings.

*Referred to Public Buildings Committee.*

**Narcotics Permit.**

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That William C. Hassler, Health Officer of the Department of Public Health, is hereby appointed with full authority to purchase narcotics for the institutions of the Department of Public Health, namely, the Relief Home for Aged and Infirm, the San Francisco Hospital, the Emergency Hospitals, and the Central Office Department of Public Health, in compliance with the rules and regulations of the Narcotic Division of the Treasury Department, Internal Revenue, San Francisco, California.

*Referred to Health and Supplies Committees.*

**Street Cleaning Department Commended.**

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 20075 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, during the recent Shrine celebration held in our city June 15 to 22, 1922, there were held many parades and as a consequence thereof tons of wooden boxes were used by spectators lining the route of parades, making a mass of rubbish never experienced before in the history of our City; therefore be it

Resolved, That the Department of Street Cleaning be highly commended

for the rapid and efficient manner in which the streets were cleaned following the various parades, thereby making the streets presentable and the sidewalks cleaned so as not to interfere with the business men of our city.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

Consideration of Majority and Minority Reports on Award of Contract for Drugs.

The following reports laid over from last meeting and made a Special Order for 3 p. m. were taken up:

Majority Report, Supplies Committee.

San Francisco, June 5, 1922.

Board of Supervisors.  
Gentlemen:

Your Supplies Committee begs to report the hearing of protest against H. F. Dugan Co., held June 2, 1922, at 10 o'clock a. m.

It appears that certain alterations and erasures were made in the prices bid by H. F. Dugan Co., the issue between the interested parties being whether such erasures and alterations were made *before* or *after* the bid was submitted.

Messrs. Stein and Thomas of the firm of Johnson & Johnson presented the protest and Mr. Dugan and his sales manager, Mr. Boxer, presented their defense.

The interested parties were represented by counsel and after a hearing lasting three hours, during which the principals as well as their witnesses gave testimony, the same was given full consideration by the members of your Committee.

The majority of the Committee feels satisfied that the erasures and alterations were made by the bidders themselves after the bids had been received and opened. We believe that such action merits rejection of their entire bid.

Supervisor Wetmore moved, and Supervisor Shannon seconded a motion: "That the entire bid of H. F. Dugan Co. be rejected on account of changes made."

Vote: Ayes—Supervisors Rossi, Robb, Shannon, Wetmore. No—Supervisor McSheehy.

Respectfully submitted,

ANGELO J. ROSSI.  
WARREN SHANNON.  
JNO. G. WETMORE.  
FRANK ROBB.

Minority Report, Supplies Committee.

San Francisco, Cal., June 12, 1922.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Cal.

Gentlemen:

As a member of the Supplies Committee I herewith file a minority report in reference to the rejection of the *entire* bid of H. F. Dugan Company to furnish drugs and hospital supplies to the city for the fiscal year of 1922-1923.

1. The Dugan Company bid on over two hundred (200) items.

2. They changed three of these items: On two the price was lowered and on one was raised.

3. The change was made and initialed in their office previous to the opening of bids.

4. The changing of a few items is very common, especially where the bidder bids on a large number of items—which Dugan & Company did in this case.

5. The Charter is very clear, and it has been held by the late Hon. Franklin K. Lane that whenever there is an alteration or erasure in a bid the same shall be rejected, but it does not contemplate that two hundred (200) bids shall be rejected, and that is what the majority membership of the Supplies Committee are doing in this case entirely upon the testimony of two male employees of Johnson & Johnson, competitors and bidders against Dugan Company.

6. Three of our clerks in charge of the bids made the direct statement that they did not see Mr. Dugan or any one connected with his firm change or alter any bids.

7. Their statements should have great weight, as they are trusted employees and are not interested in either party, and should be so considered.

8. Johnson & Johnson are wholesale druggists. Dugan Company has been buying drugs from them for years and for some reason unknown to me has not bought any drugs for the past six months, so they had their manager, a Mr. Detrick, bid for them, and they also put in a bid with one altered item, showing that they are interested in two bids, which the Charter strictly forbids.

9. I do not think it is within the province of any committee of this Board to act as judge and jury in a case of this kind, where the good name and reputation of a firm is at stake, and especially one that has been serving the city for twenty-eight years, as Dugan Company has, and find them guilty of an offense that is actually criminal and could be so judged, and will be by the citizens of this city if the report of the majority membership of the Supplies Committee is concurred in by this Board.

Therefore, I recommend that the three altered bids of H. F. Dugan Company be rejected and the remainder of its bids be allowed to stand, subject to rejection or acceptance by the Board.

Respectfully submitted,

JAS. B. McSHEEHY.

A. E. Look, former employee of Johnson & Johnson under Manager H. D. Dietrich, was duly sworn and testified in the pending investigation.

Examined and cross-examined by Jas. Devoto, attorney for H. F. Dugan, and by Attorney A. Pringle.

#### RECESS.

At the hour of 6:20 p. m. the Board took a recess until 8 p. m.

#### REASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 8:20 p. m. and the following members were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

The following witnesses were duly sworn and testified in the pending investigation:

A. E. Look, former employee Johnson & Johnson and of Seabury & Johnson;

Chas. W. Boxer, manager H. F. Dugan;

O. N. Steirs and Wm. M. Thomas, employees Johnson & Johnson;

H. D. Dietrich, manager of Johnson & Johnson;

H. F. Dugan, representing H. F. Dugan Co.;

Marcus Kast, Superintendent of Supplies, employee Board of Supervisors;

Miss M. Maroney, employee of the Lighting Committee, Board of Supervisors;

Walter F. Terry, treasurer of Langley Michels Co.;

M. Gabriel of the Gabriel Printing Co.

A communication from D. Blaine Morgan testifying to the character of H. F. Dugan was presented by Attorney Devoto and read by the Clerk.

Attorney Devoto also declared that several of his character witnesses who were here this afternoon were unable to be present this evening. He mentioned Mr. Bogart, business manager of The Examiner; Dr. McConnell, a physician of this city and director of the Olympic Club; Dr. O'Brien, member of the Board of Health.

(*Stenographic report of the testimony and discussion on file in the Clerk's office.*)

#### Minority Report Defeated.

Thereupon, the Roll was called on the adoption of the minority report

and the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Hynes, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Powers, Schmitz, Scott—7.

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, McGregor, Morgan, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—10.  
Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

#### Majority Report Approved.

Whereupon, the Roll was called on the adoption of the majority report and the same *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, McGregor, Morgan, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—10.

Noes—Supervisors Deasy, Hynes, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Powers, Schmitz, Scott—7.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

#### Consideration of Proposed Amendments to the Retirement Ordinance.

The question being raised by Supervisor Shannon as to the result of the Retirement Ordinance going into effect on July 1st and the creation of vacancies in certain important positions, the matter was taken up for discussion.

#### COMMUNICATIONS.

The following matters were read and ordered *filed*:

*Communication*—From San Francisco Labor Council, expressing opposition to proposal to amend Retirement Ordinance permitting evasion of compulsory retirement of city employees at the age of 70 years; also suggesting that any radical changes in Retirement Ordinance be submitted to the people by way of amendment to the Charter.

*Communication*—From Bureau of Governmental Research, opposing any legislation permitting evasion of compulsory retirement of municipal employees at the age of 70, as provided by the Charter.

*Communication*—From Board of Administration, San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, declaring that it is unalterably opposed to amendment of Ordinance No. 5561 permitting evasion of charter provision requiring compulsory retirement of city employees when they reach the age of 70 years.

#### Amendment.

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Powers, moved the suspension of the rules for the immediate consideration of the following:

#### Pension Ordinance.

Amend Section 13(a) to read as follows:

(a) Each member in the City-Service who has attained the age of seventy years at the time of his entrance into the Retirement System shall be re-

tired forthwith, and each member in City-Service who attains the age of seventy years shall be retired on the first day of the calendar month next succeeding that in which the said member shall have attained the age of seventy years; provided, that any officer, board or commission may retain any chief deputy, or chief executive officer of a department or bureau who holds his position through the appointive power of the officer, board or commission in the department in which the said chief deputy, or chief executive officer of a department or bureau is employed; provided, that such chief deputy, or chief executive officer does not receive a salary greater than six thousand dollars per annum; provided, that such chief deputy or chief executive officer during the time that such chief deputy, or chief executive officer is so employed, such chief deputy, or chief executive officer shall not receive his pension, but such pension shall revert to the Retirement Fund.

*Supervisor Colman* moved as an amendment reference to the Civil Service, Standardization of Salaries and Retirement System Committee.

*Supervisor Scott* raised point of order that the amendment automatically comes to the Committee on the question as to suspension of the rules.

#### **Suspension of the Rules Defeated.**

Whereupon, the Roll being called on suspension of the rules, the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Mulvihill, Powers, Scott, Shannon, Welch—8.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Wetmore—9.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

*Supervisor Shannon* thereupon moved that this proposed amendment and the one presented by Supervisor Hayden at the last meeting be taken up for consideration in Committee of the Whole after the Special Order for the day is disposed of.

*So ordered.*

TUESDAY, JUNE 27, 1922, 12:30 A. M.

#### **Committee of the Whole.**

Subsequently, during the meeting, the Board of Supervisors, on motion of Supervisor Hynes, resolved itself into Committee of the Whole for the purpose of considering the proposed amendments to the Retirement Ordinance.

#### **ROLL CALL.**

The following members were noted present:

Supervisors—Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb,

Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

Quorum present.

#### **Privilege of the Floor.**

The following were granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board in opposition to the proposed amendments:

Jno. W. Rogers, president of the Board of Administration of the Retirement System;

Wm. Nannery, director of the Bureau of Municipal Research;

T. Riley, member of the Board of Administration, Retirement System;

A. S. Holman, member of the Board of Administration of Retirement System;

Chas. A. McCalm, representing the Per Diem Men's Association.

(*Stenographic notes of the discussion on file in the Clerk's office.*)

**Supervisor Shannon's Amendment Defeated.**

Whereupon, the Roll being called, Supervisor Shannon's proposed amendment was again *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Powers, Shannon, Welch—8.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Hynes, McGregor, Morgan, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Wetmore—9.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

**Supervisor Hynes' Amendment Defeated.**

Whereupon, the following amendment was *defeated* by the following vote:

#### **Pension Ordinance.**

Amend Section 13(a) to read as follows:

(a) Each member in the City-Service who has attained the age of seventy years at the time of his entrance into the Retirement System shall be retired forthwith, and each member in City-Service who attains the age of seventy years shall be retired on the first day of the calendar month next succeeding that in which the said member shall have attained the age of seventy years; provided, that any officer, board or commission may retain any deputy, clerk or employee holding confidential relations or performing specific duties requiring professional or high technical skill or expert knowledge for an indefinite period after **having attained** the age of seventy years.

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Powers, Shannon, Welch—7.

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hynes, McGregor, Morgan, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Wetmore—10.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

#### **Explanation of Vote.**

*Supervisor Mulvihill* explained his

vote by saying: "I am very proud to be able to vote for a man who is in possession of his faculties at seventy years and doing a fair days' work for a fair days' pay."

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Schmitz, the Committee of the Whole arose and reported to the Board.

Street Cleaning Department Commended.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 20075 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, during the recent Shrine celebration held in our city June 15 to 22, 1922, there were held many parades and as a consequence thereof tons of wooden boxes were used by spectators lining the route of parades, making a mass of rubbish never experienced before in the history of our city; therefore be it

Resolved, That the Department of

Street Cleaning be highly commended for the rapid and efficient manner in which the streets were cleaned following the various parades, thereby making the streets presentable and the sidewalks cleaned so as not to interfere with the business men of our city.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor McLeran—1.

#### ADJOURNMENT.

Thereupon, there being no further business, the Board at the hour of 1:55 a. m. Tuesday, June 27, 1922, adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors August 21, 1922.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, July 3, 1922.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



**THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY**  
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

JO

M

In  
disco  
Th  
regu

Th  
Sup  
Su  
Hyn  
Scot  
Al  
Greg  
Ross

Q  
H  
sent  
the

Th  
mee  
side  
ROL

Lett  
T  
and

To  
B  
C  
C  
ci  
Gen

In  
Nat  
be  
stre  
to  
men  
Clu

Pal  
192  
une

F  
Pr  
Su  
Sa  
cor  
of  
cis

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

---

MONDAY, JULY 3, 1922, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, July 3, 1922, 2 p. m.  
The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:  
Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, Hynes, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—11.

Absent — Supervisors Deasy, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Rossi, Shannon—7.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Hynes was called to the chair.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of April 24, 1922, was considered read and *approved*.

### ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Letter of Appreciation for Street Work.

The following was presented, read and ordered *spread in the Journal*:

June 30, 1922.

To Hon. James Rolph, Jr., and Hon. Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

It has come to the notice of the National Progress Club that you have been developing and improving the streets of this City in such a way as to gain the appreciation of all of the members of the National Progress Club.

At the meeting of the club at the Palace Hotel, held Tuesday, June 27, 1922, the following resolution was unanimously passed and adopted:

Be it Resolved, That the National Progress Club commends the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco for their splendid accomplishment in improving the streets of the City and County of San Francisco, and we extend to you our special

appreciation for improving upper Market street and Bush street hill.

Very truly yours,

E. De LOS MAGEE,

President.

H. W. B. SMITH,

Secretary.

### Fourth of July Celebrations.

*Communication*—From his Honor the Mayor, transmitting invitation of Executive Committee of the Fairfax Fourth of July Festival to officials of San Francisco to visit Fairfax during the coming Fourth of July Festival, to be held July 2, 3 and 4.

*Invitation accepted.*

*Communication*—From Playground Commission, inviting members of the Board to be present at the track meet, arranged for the Fourth of July, at Jackson Playground, Seventeenth and Carolina streets, 2 p. m.

*Read and accepted.*

Park at Twenty-sixth and Douglas Streets.

Supervisor Scott presented:

*Communication*—From West of Castro Street Improvement Club, for purchase of quarry at Twenty-sixth and Douglas streets for park purposes.

*Referred to Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee. Clerk to notify Organization of reference and meeting.*

Leave of Absence, Chief of Police D. J. O'Brien.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., June 30, 1922.

Honorable Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application having been made to me by Chief D. J. O'Brien of the Police Department of this City and County, for a leave of absence with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of thirty days, commencing July 6, 1922, I beg to request that you concur with me in granting such leave of absence.

Yours very truly,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,

Mayor.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.  
Gentlemen:

In compliance with Section 3 of Article XVI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, I respectfully request that you grant me permission to absent myself from the State of California for a period of not exceeding thirty days; said period to commence July 6, 1922.

Thanking you for your early consideration in this matter, I have the honor to be,

Very respectfully,  
D. J. O'BRIEN,  
Chief of Police.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 20090 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, D. J. O'Brien, Chief of Police of the City and County of San Francisco, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing July 6, 1922, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, Hynes, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—11.

Absent — Supervisors Deasy, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Rossi, Shannon—7.

Leave of Absence, Police Commissioner Andrew F. Mahony.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., June 29, 1922.  
Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.  
Gentlemen:

Application having been made to me by the Hon. Andrew F. Mahony, Police Commissioner of this City and County, for leave of absence with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of thirty days, commencing July 6, 1922, I ask that you kindly concur with me in granting such leave of absence.

Yours very truly,  
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,  
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 20091 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Andrew F. Mahony, Police Commissioner, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing July 6, 1922, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, Hynes, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—11.

Absent — Supervisors Deasy, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Rossi, Shannon—7.

#### Action Deferred.

#### Bond Issue for Schools.

Supervisor McLeran's resolution relating to bond issue for new schools was again *laid over one week*.

#### REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.

The following committees, by their respective chairmen, presented reports on various matters referred, which reports were read and ordered *filed*:

Supplies Committee, by Supervisor Rossi, chairman.

Fire Committee, by Supervisor Deasy, chairman.

Streets Committee, by Supervisor Mulvihill, chairman.

Commercial Development and Streets Committee, by Supervisor Welch, chairman.

Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee, by Supervisor Morgan, chairman.

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

#### Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

#### Authorizations.

Resolution No. 20076 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(1) West Side Lumber Co., lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 16, 1922), \$538.05.

(2) E. H. Wilson & Co., first payment, passenger and baggage car (claim dated June 16, 1922), \$795.

(3) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., hardware (claim dated June 16, 1922), \$988.29.

(4) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Groveland revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers attached (claim dated June 16, 1922), \$1,004.81.

(5) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Groveland revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers attached (claim dated June 16, 1922), \$1,153.59.

(6) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Groveland revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers attached (claim dated June 16, 1922), \$1,711.39.

(7) Western Pipe & Steel Co., fifteenth, sixteenth, seventeenth and eighteenth payments, furnishing air pipe, contract 81 (claim dated June 20, 1922), \$4,151.23.

(8) W. A. Plummer Mfg. Co., tents, etc. (claim dated June 21, 1922), \$514.20.

(9) George H. Tay Co., pipe and fittings (claim dated June 21, 1922), \$541.62.

(10) California Boiler Works, air receiver (claim dated June 21, 1922), \$547.84.

(11) Sherry Bros. Inc., foodstuffs (claim dated June 21, 1922), \$549.90.

(12) Eccles & Smith Co. Inc., brass locks etc. (claim dated June 21, 1922), \$684.88.

(13) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., steel, etc. (claim dated June 22, 1922), \$785.14.

(14) Joseph J. Phillips, inspection expenses (claim dated June 20, 1922), \$830.71.

(15) A. Levy & J. Zentner, foodstuffs (claim dated June 21, 1922), \$886.42.

(16) Atascadero Geographical Institute, Hetch Hetchy model, etc. (claim dated June 20, 1922), \$1,087.

(17) Virden Packing Co., foodstuffs (claim dated June 21, 1922), \$1,088.35.

(18) Associated Oil Co., fuel oil (claim dated June 21, 1922), \$1,614.33.

(19) J. H. McCallum, lumber (claim dated June 20, 1922), \$1,642.34.

(20) W. D. Ayers Co., foodstuffs (claim dated June 22, 1922), \$1,699.57.

(21) United Commercial Co., tie plates, etc. (claim dated June 20, 1922), \$1,717.42.

(22) Hickok & Hickok, drill steel (claim dated June 22, 1922), \$1,851.46.

(23) Baumgarten Bros., meats (claim dated June 21, 1922), \$2,025.28.

(24) Simpson-Gray Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated June 21, 1922), \$2,309.75.

(25) Ingersoll-Rand Co., steel, hardware, etc. (claim dated June 21, 1922), \$9,540.95.

(26) Allis-Chalmers Mfg. Co., payment for land for Las Pulgas tunnel (claim dated June 23, 1922), \$9,250.

#### *Library Fund.*

(27) San Francisco News Co., library books (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$917.90.

(28) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$5,531.92.

(29) George A. Mullin, public library freight and other expenses (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$563.56.

#### *Special School Tax, 1921-1922.*

(30) Quinn & Reilly, sixth payment, general construction, Emerson School (claim dated June 21, 1922), \$8673.75.

#### *School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(31) The Turner Co., first payment, electric work, Spring Valley School (claim dated June 21, 1922), \$570.

(32) Emil Hogberg second payment, brick and hollow tile work, Mission

High School addition (claim dated June 21, 1922), \$4,273.50.

#### *Park Fund.*

(33) California Wire Cloth Co., wire cloth and netting (claim dated June 23, 1922), \$522.58.

(34) G. W. McNear Inc., grass seed (claim dated June 23, 1922), \$6,099.60.

(35) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream, children's quarters (claim dated June 23, 1922), \$2,280.38.

(36) Pacific Tank & Pipe Co., park benches (claim dated June 23, 1922), \$2,975.

(37) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., May electric service (claim dated June 23, 1922), \$1,078.72.

(38) Spring Valley Water Co., May water service (claim dated June 23, 1922), \$2,965.54.

#### *County Road Fund.*

(39) Clarence B. Eaton, seventh payment, improvement of Point Lobos avenue, Forty-eighth to Great Highway (claim dated June 23, 1922), \$31,798.05.

#### *Municipal Railway Fund.*

(40) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., mazda lamps (claim dated June 16, 1922), \$555.49.

(41) Frank A. Busse Sales Co., auto tubes and casings (claim dated June 23, 1922), \$1,078.70.

(42) Frank F. Bodler, fare boxes for cars (claim dated June 20, 1922), \$1,950.

#### *Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.*

(43) Mrs. E. Clarke, settlement of Municipal Railway damage claim (claim dated June 21, 1922), \$2,690.

#### *General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(44) Coast Rock & Gravel Co., sand and gravel, street repair (claim dated June 19, 1922), \$1,760.78.

(45) Pacific Portland Cement Co., lime dust, street repair (claim dated June 21, 1922), \$1,843.91.

(46) Western Rock Products Co., sand, street repair (claim dated June 21, 1922), \$3,520.80.

(47) California Brick Co., brick, street repair (claim dated June 21, 1922), \$3,325.

(48) California Brick Co., brick, street repair (claim dated June 21, 1922), \$2,185.

(49) Union Oil Co. of Cal., fuel oil, Dept. Public Works, (claim dated June 21, 1922), \$1,349.77.

(50) Michael V. Duddy, compensation, Dept. Public Works (claim dated June 14, 1922), \$1,062.33.

(51) Spring Valley Water Co., water, public buildings (claim dated June 19, 1922), \$1,521.59.

(52) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., lighting public buildings, etc. (claim dated June 19, 1922), \$2,866.31.

(53) L. Dinkelspiel Co. Inc., dry

goods, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$799.06.

(54) Miller & Lux, meats, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$1,018.16.

(55) Spring Valley Water Co., water, Relief Home (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$638.18.

(56) L. Dinkelspiel Co., dry goods, Relief Home (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$714.05.

(57) Standard Oil Co., fuel oil, etc., Relief Home (claim dated May 31, 1922), \$2,015.17.

(58) William Cluff Co., groceries, Relief Home (claim dated June 20, 1922), \$992.45.

(59) Hooper & Jennings, groceries, Relief Home (claim dated June 20, 1922), \$676.30.

(60) Sperry Flour Co., flour, Relief Home (claim dated June 20, 1922), \$1,423.38.

(61) Halpin Lithograph Co., lithographed maps, Dept. of Elections (claim dated June 22, 1922), \$3,000.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, Hynes, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—11.

Absent — Supervisors Deasy, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Rossi, Shannon—7.

**Appropriation, \$21,000, Payment to C. Branagan, Lands and Improvements, Washington Irving School.**

Resolution No. 20077 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$21,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax, and authorized in payment to C. Branagan for lands and improvements required for the Washington Irving School; said lands and improvements being situate and commencing at the intersection of the northerly line of Broadway with the easterly line of Bartol street, running thence easterly along said northerly line of Broadway 40 feet, and being of uniform dimensions of 40 x 92 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, Hynes, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—11.

Absent — Supervisors Deasy, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Rossi, Shannon—7.

**Appropriation, \$1,500, Test Borings, Duboce Tunnel.**

Resolution No. 20078 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$1,500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of General Fund, 1921-1922, for expense of making test borings for the so-called Duboce Tunnel, and necessary for the preparation of plans and specifications therefor; said sum of \$1,500 to be returned to the City when

the assessment against the district is levied and collected.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, Hynes, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—11.

Absent — Supervisors Deasy, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Rossi, Shannon—7.

**Appropriation, \$2,000, Payment to Floyd W. Hanchett for Leasehold Interest, Property Required for Horace Mann School.**

Resolution No. 20079 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$2,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax, and paid to Floyd W. Hanchett; being payment for leasehold interest in property known as No. 1207 Valencia street, and recently acquired by the City for use of the Horace Mann School. Subject to approval by the City Attorney.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, Hynes, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—11.

Absent — Supervisors Deasy, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Rossi, Shannon—7.

#### Permits.

Resolution No. 20080 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

#### *Transfer Public Garage.*

To Peter McKeand & Son, permit granted to James Hutton by Resolution No. 17602 (New Series), for premises situate 3657 Sacramento street.

#### *Transfer Automobile Supply Station.*

To C. R. Frost and F. Eichler, permit granted to Schoen & Bode, by Resolution No. 19129 (New Series) for premises situate Ocean and Jules avenues.

#### *Public Garage.*

Sam Schiller, in two-story building, situate 2020 Van Ness avenue, also to store 900 gallons of gasoline.

#### *Oil Storage Tank.*

P. Crowley, at southwest corner of Larkin and Washington street; 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. Caroline A. Koster, at 1635 Clay street; 1500 gallons capacity.

Richard Quarg, at southeast corner Palm avenue and California street; 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, Hynes, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—11.

Absent — Supervisors Deasy, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Rossi, Shannon—7.

**Appointment of Secretary, City Planning Commission.**

Bill No. 6062, Ordinance No. 5652 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 6 of Ordinance No. 4383 (New Series), entitled "An Ordinance establishing a City Planning Commission, prescribing the number and qualifications of its members, and the duties to be performed by the Commission."

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, Hynes, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—10.

No Supervisor Schmitz—1.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Rossi, Shannon—7.

**Fixing Sidewalk Widths.**

Bill No. 6063, Ordinance No. 5653 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eight hundred and two.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office June 7, 1922, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered eight hundred and two, to read as follows:

Section 802. The width of sidewalks on Clay street between Buchanan street and Webster street shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, Hynes, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—11.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Rossi, Shannon—7.

Bill No. 6064, Ordinance No. 5654 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending sections two hundred and ninety-nine, three hundred and seventy-two and three hundred and seventy-three thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office June

22, 1922, by amending sections two hundred and ninety-nine, three hundred and seventy-two and three hundred and seventy-three thereof, to read as follows:

Section 299. The width of sidewalks on Blake street between Geary street and its northerly termination shall be fifteen (15) feet.

Section 372. The width of sidewalks on Edgar place between Bruce avenue and its southerly termination shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 373. The width of sidewalks on Bruce avenue between Harold avenue and its easterly termination shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Sections 372 and 373 of Ordinance 1098, approved March 9, 1910, are hereby repealed.

Section 3. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, Hynes, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—11.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Rossi, Shannon—7.

**Changing Grades.**

Bill No. 6065, Ordinance No. 5655 (New Series), entitled, "Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Seventh avenue between Lawton street and Moraga street."

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, Hynes, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—11.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Rossi, Shannon—7.

Bill No. 6066, Ordinance No. 5656 (New Series), entitled, "Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Holyoke street between Felton and Burrows streets."

Bill No. 6067, Ordinance No. 5657 (New Series), entitled, "Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Fifteenth street between the westerly line of Bryant street and Florida street, and on Florida street between Alameda and Sixteenth streets."

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, Hynes, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—11.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Rossi, Shannon—7.

**Ordering Street Work.**

Bill No. 6068, Ordinance No. 5658 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 7, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Hollister avenue between Hawes and Griffith streets* by the construction of a 12-inch iron-stone pipe sewer with 48 Y branches and one brick manhole with appurtenances along the center line of Hollister avenue between Hawes and Griffith streets.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, Hynes, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—11.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Rossi, Shannon—7.

Bill No. 6069, Ordinance No. 5659 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, June 7, 1922, having

recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Mt. Vernon avenue between Hawth street and the easterly line of Louisburg street, including the crossing of Mt. Vernon avenue and Louisburg street*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks for the full official width on the angular corners of Mt. Vernon avenue and Louisburg street; by the construction of three catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, one of each on the northwesterly, southwesterly and southeasterly angular corners of Mt. Vernon avenue and Louisburg street, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, Hynes, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—11.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Rossi, Shannon—7.

Bill No. 6070, Ordinance No. 5660 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 14, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Taraval street between Thirty-seventh and Forty-eighth avenues*, by the construction of the following ironstone pipe sewers:

An eight (8) inch along the center line of Thirty-eighth avenue between the center and northerly lines of Taraval street; an eight (8) inch along the center line of Thirty-ninth avenue between the center and northerly lines of Taraval street; an eight (8) inch along the center line of Fortieth avenue between the southerly and northerly lines of Taraval street; an eight (8) inch along the center line of Forty-first avenue between the southerly and northerly lines of Taraval street; an eight (8) inch along the center line of Forty-second avenue between the southerly and northerly lines of Taraval street; an eight (8) inch along the center line of Forty-fourth avenue between the center and southerly lines of Taraval street; an eight (8) inch along the center line of Forty-fifth avenue between the center and northerly lines of Taraval street; a twelve (12) inch along the center line of Forty-seventh avenue between the center and northerly lines of Taraval street; an eight (8) inch with 18 branches and one manhole along the center line of Taraval street between the center line of Forty-seventh avenue and a point 20 feet westerly from

the westerly line of Forty-sixth avenue; a twelve (12) inch and 9 Y branches and one manhole along the center line of Taraval street between the center line of Forty-seventh avenue and the easterly line of Forty-eighth avenue, including the placing of broken rock at the easterly line of Forty-eighth avenue at the center line of Taraval street to form a seepage basin for this sewer; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of the following brick catch-basins with accompanying ten (10) inch ironstone pipe culverts:

Three in the crossing of Thirty-eighth avenue and Taraval street; 3 in the crossing of Thirty-ninth avenue and Taraval street; 4 in the crossing of Fortieth avenue and Taraval street; 4 in the crossing of Forty-first avenue and Taraval street; 4 in the crossing of Forty-second avenue and Taraval street; 4 in the crossing of Forty-third avenue and Taraval street; 4 in the crossing of Forty-fourth avenue and Taraval street; 3 in the crossing of Forty-fifth avenue and Taraval street; 3 in the crossing of Forty-sixth avenue and Taraval street; 3 in the crossing of Forty-seventh avenue and Taraval street; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on all of the angular corners, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, Hynes, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—11.

Absent — Supervisors Deasy, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Rossi, Shannon—7.

#### REPORT OF FINANCE COMMITTEE.

Demands on the Treasury, amounting to \$221,302.43, were presented and *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, Hynes, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—11.

Absent — Supervisors Deasy, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Rossi, Shannon—7.

#### Action Deferred.

The following demand was *laid over one week*:

#### Urgent Necessity.

Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Co., official outside phones, \$6.72.

#### NEW BUSINESS.

#### Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

#### Authorizations.

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized

to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(1) Hercules Powder Co., wire and exploders, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated June 27, 1922), \$513.20.

(2) Joshua Hendy Iron Works, ore cars (claim dated June 27, 1922), \$574.57.

(3) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., hardware (claim dated June 26, 1922), \$583.02.

(4) The White Co., automobile parts (claim dated June 27, 1922), \$585.52.

(5) Parrott & Co., safety fuse (claim dated June 27, 1922), \$609.01.

(6) Standard Oil Co., oils, etc. (claim dated June 27, 1922), \$637.76.

(7) Union Oil Co., fuel oil (claim dated June 27, 1922), \$1,747.71.

(8) Pacific Electric Mfg. Co., circuit breakers and switch (claim dated June 27, 1922), \$2,012.80.

(9) George H. Tay Co., black pipe and casing (claim dated June 27, 1922), \$2,678.32.

(10) The Utah Construction Co., extra work and merchandise furnished, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated June 26, 1922), \$4,653.29.

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(11) Helen S. Becker, second payment, heating and ventilating, Mission High School addition (claim dated June 28, 1922), \$3,836.24.

*Special School Tax, 1921-1922.*

(12) P. F. Reilly, first payment, construction of temporary buildings for Mission High and Humboldt Evening schools (claim dated June 28, 1922), \$30,757.50.

*Auditorium Fund.*

(13) San Francisco Real Estate Board, refund of deposit as bond for occupancy of Auditorium May 31-June 3, 1922 (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,000.

*Park Fund.*

(14) Montague Pipe & Steel Co., galvanized casing for parks (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$505.20.

(15) Levin-Vincent Co., grass seed (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$845.

(16) Spring Valley Water Co., furnishing and installing water system for Municipal Golf Links (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$3,752.98.

*General Fund, 1922-1923.*

(17) Thomas F. Boyle, as Treasurer Fourth of July Celebration Committee, for expenses of celebration of July 4, 1922 (claim dated July 3, 1922), \$2,500.

*General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(18) Chase & Rae, printing delinquent tax list (claim dated June 28, 1922), \$2,243.46.

(19) Remington Typewriter Co., 15 typewriters for Recorder's office (claim dated June 26, 1922), \$1,306.20.

(20) Napa State Hospital, maintenance of criminal insane for quarter ending June 30, 1922 (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$530.66.

*Appropriation, \$700,000, Construction of Pulgas Tunnel, Peninsular Division, Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct.*

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of seven hundred thousand dollars (\$700,000) be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910, to cover cost of construction of the Pulgas tunnel in the peninsular division of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct, Hetch Hetchy water supply; as per contract No. 85, awarded to Grant Smith & Co. at \$683,050; and including possible bonus and contingencies at \$16,950.

*Appropriations.*

Resolution No. 20081 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated from and to the credit of Municipal Railway Funds as follows, to-wit:

From Municipal Railway Operative Fund to the credit of Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund, \$1,775.

From Municipal Railway Accident Insurance Fund to the credit of Municipal Railway Operative Fund, \$6,172.57.

Being in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works, and for the purpose of reconciling its bookkeeping accounts with those of the Treasurer.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, Hynes, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—11.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Rossi, Shannon—7.

*City Attorney to Commence Condemnation Proceedings for Land on Fourteenth Avenue.*

Resolution No. 20082 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Fourteenth avenue, distant thereon 132 feet 5 inches northerly from the northerly line of Lawton street, and running thence northerly

along the westerly line of Fourteenth avenue a distance of 87.920 feet; thence northwesterly on a curve to the left, the tangent of which deflects 52 deg. 29 min. 12 sec. to the left from the last-described course at the last-described point, radius 550 feet, central angle 15 deg. 21 min. 26 sec., a distance of 147.419 feet; thence southerly parallel to the westerly line of Fourteenth avenue 166.625 feet; thence deflecting to the left 87 deg. 58 min. 45 sec. and running easterly 66.500 feet; thence deflecting to the left 92 deg. 01 min. 15 sec. and running northerly parallel to the westerly line of Fourteenth avenue a distance of 12.333 feet; thence deflecting to the right 94 deg. 07 min. 39 sec. and running easterly 61.200 feet to the westerly line of Fourteenth avenue and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Lands Block No. 782. Be it further

Resolved, That said property is suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: As the land required for a public street and highway of said City and County. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use. The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owner of said tract of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid. Be it further

Resolved, That Resolution No. 19868 (New Series), be and the same is hereby repealed.

#### Action Deferred.

The following bill, laid over from last meeting, was taken up and on motion again *laid over one week*:

#### Salary Ordinance.

Bill No. 6061, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Creating positions, fixing the compensation thereof and authorizing the appointment thereto in accordance with the provisions of Section 35, Article XVI of the Charter, of additional deputies, clerks and employees in the various offices, boards and departments of the City and County of San Francisco, re-enacting in modified form the several ordinances authorizing such appointments and repealing such ordinances in so far as they create positions and fix salaries.

#### Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed* for printing:

#### Amendment to Zoning Ordinance.

On motion of Supervisor McGregor: Bill No. 6071, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings and the location of buildings designed for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the use of property zone map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the block bounded by Turk street, Eddy street, Pierce street and Steiner street in the light industrial district instead of the commercial and second residential districts as now zoned.

#### Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

#### Public Garage.

Joseph Paasqualetti, two-story building on south side of O'Farrell street, 137½ feet east of Steiner street; also to store 600 gallons of gasoline on premises.

#### Automobile Supply Station.

Union Oil Co. of California, at the southwest corner of Mission and Army streets; also to store 1200 gallons of gasoline on premises.

#### Oil Storage Tank.

Leighton's Dairy Lunch, at 724 Howard street; 600 gallons capacity.

Meyer Wood, at northwest corner of Jackson and Franklin streets; 1500 gallons capacity.

Purity Candy Co., at 601 Grove street; 1500 gallons capacity.

T. D. Harter, on north side of Geary street, 150 feet west of Parker avenue; 1500 gallons capacity.

L. Devincenzi, at northwest corner of Hyde and Vallejo streets; 1500 gallons capacity.

#### Boiler.

Purity Candy Co., at 601 Grove street; 40 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

#### Blasting Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Carlin Grading Company is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property situate on the west side of Grant avenue, 62 feet 6 inches south of Pine

street; provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of \$15,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction and under the supervision of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by the said Carlin Grading Company then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

This permit shall expire July 21, 1922.

**Dr. Hassler Authorized to Purchase Narcotics for Institutions.**

Resolution No. 20083 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That William C. Hassler, Health Officer of the Department of Public Health, is hereby appointed with full authority to purchase narcotics for the institutions of the Department of Public Health, namely, the Relief Home for Aged and Infirm, the San Francisco Hospital, the Emergency Hospitals and the Central Office, Department of Public Health, and Hetch Hetchy Hospital, in compliance with the rules and regulations of the Narcotic Division of the Treasury Department, Internal Revenue, San Francisco, California.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, Hynes, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—11.

Absent — Supervisors Deasy, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Rossi, Shannon—7.

**Extension of Time.**

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 20084 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That an extension of ninety days from July 27, 1922, be granted to the Utah Construction Company within which to complete contract for the construction of the Hetch Hetchy dam and appurtenant works, Contract No. 61.

This *third* extension is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason of delays due to Early Intake power plant being shut down, high water cutting off access to their sand pit; also on account of cement shortage and a breakdown of the rock-crushing plant.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, Hynes, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—11.

Absent — Supervisors Deasy, Mc-

Gregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Rossi, Shannon—7.

**Condemnation of Land for Street Purposes.**

Resolution No. 20085 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Fourteenth avenue, distant thereon 132 feet 5 inches northerly from the northerly line of Lawton street, and running thence northerly along the westerly line of Fourteenth avenue a distance of 87.920 feet; thence northwesterly on a curve to the left, the tangent of which deflects 52 deg. 29 min. 12 sec. to the left from the last described course at the last described point, radius 550 feet, central angle 15 deg. 21 min. 26 sec. a distance of 147.119 feet; thence southerly parallel to the westerly line of Fourteenth avenue 166.625 feet; thence deflecting to the left 87 deg. 58 min. 45 sec. and running easterly 66.500 feet; thence deflecting to the left 92 deg. 01 min. 15 sec. and running northerly parallel to the westerly line of Fourteenth avenue a distance of 12.333 feet; thence deflecting to the right 94 deg. 07 min. 39 sec. and running easterly 61.200 feet to the westerly line of Fourteenth avenue and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Lands Block No. 782.

Be it further Resolved, That said property is suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: As the land required for a public street and highway of said City and County. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use. The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owner of said tract of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid:

Be it further Resolved, That Resolution No. 19868 (New Series) be and the same is hereby repealed.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, Hynes, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—11.

Absent — Supervisors Deasy, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Rossi, Shannon—7.

**DELIVERY OF ASSESSMENT ROLL.**

The Clerk announced that the Assessor, John Ginty, had this day delivered to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors the Assessment Roll for the fiscal year 1922-1923; also that the Assessor had filed on the 1st day of July, 1922, the list of arbitrary assessments, separate from the assessment book, as required by the Political Code of the State of California.

**Assessor Files Assessment Roll.**

The following was presented, read and ordered *spread in the Journal*:

San Francisco, July 3, 1922.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall—Gentlemen:

I have this day delivered to the Clerk of your Board the Assessment Rolls for 1922:

The following comparison shows the gain and loss over 1921:

<i>Non-Operative Roll.</i>				
	1922	1921		
Land . . . . .	\$297,494,670	\$297,625,295	\$ 130,625	Decrease
Improvements . . . . .	212,486,700	204,403,497	8,083,203	Increase
Personal . . . . .	103,407,708	107,855,832	4,448,124	Decrease
	\$613,389,078	\$609,884,624	\$3,504,454	Net Increase
<i>Operative Roll.</i>				
	1922	1921		
Land . . . . .	\$ 8,973,100	\$ 8,945,620	\$ 27,480	Increase
Improvements . . . . .	5,375,870	5,492,220	116,350	Decrease
Personal . . . . .	227,401,057	244,383,873	16,982,816	Decrease
	\$241,750,027	\$258,821,713	\$17,071,686	Net Decrease
Grand total . . . . .	\$855,139,105	\$869,230,869	\$14,091,764	Net Decrease

There were 6628 deductions for soldiers' exemptions, amounting to \$3,780,283, an increase over 1921 of 2750 claims, amounting to \$1,319,403.

The reduced values of merchandise and reduction in solvent credits accounts for loss in personal property and losses in franchise assessments account for most of the losses in the operative roll.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN GINTY,  
Assessor.

Whereupon, the following resolutions were presented and *adopted*:

**Session of the Board of Equalization.**

Resolution No. 20086 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors, having met on this Monday afternoon, July 3, 1922, and examined the assessment books of real and personal property for the year 1922, will thereafter be in session as a Board of Equalization from time to time until Monday, July 17, 1922, at 12 o'clock noon, for the purpose of hearing applications, verified by oath, for the correction of assessment books.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, Hynes, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—11.

Absent — Supervisors Deasy, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Rossi, Shannon—7.

**Hearing Applicants for Reduction of Assessments.**

Resolution No. 20087 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That this Board shall meet on Tuesday, July 11, 1922, at 2 o'clock p. m., as a Board of Equalization, to

examine applicants for reduction of assessments who have filed sworn applications as required by law, and to perform such other duties as may come before said Board.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, Hynes, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—11.

Absent — Supervisors Deasy, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Rossi, Shannon—7.

**Adoption of Rule of Notification.**

Resolution No. 20088 (New Series), as follows:

Adopting a rule as to the manner in which persons, firms and corporations are to be notified why their assessment for the fiscal year 1922-1923 should not be increased by the Board of Supervisors, sitting as a Board of Equalization.

Resolved, That it is hereby adopted as a rule of this Board that the notice required to be given under the provisions of Section 3673 of the Political Code to corporations or persons to show cause why their assessments on the assessment book of real and personal property for the fiscal year 1922-1923 should not be increased, will be as follows:

To corporations: A written or printed notice addressed to the president, secretary or managing agent of each corporation and delivered by the sergeant-at-arms of this Board, at the office of such corporation in this city.

To persons, firms or companies: A written or printed notice, postage prepaid and mailed to their address.

The Clerk is hereby directed to cause to be delivered or mailed to the several

corporations, persons, firms or companies, when designated by this Board, the said notice at least twelve (12) hours prior to the time set for hearing said parties as aforesaid.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, Hynes, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—11.

Absent — Supervisors Deasy, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Rossi, Shannon—7.

*Out-door Park Permit.*

Supervisor Robb presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted to the Federated Italian Societies to conduct an outdoor park celebration at Washington Square and streets in the vicinity thereof, from September 18 to September 24, 1922, both dates inclusive.

*Referred to Police Committee.*

Northern California Development Campaign Endorsed.

Resolution No. 20089 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the leading newspapers of Northern California are now leading a campaign to arouse in the people of Northern California a realization of the opportunity that presents itself for the upbuilding, commercial and industrially, of this portion of our State by united community effort of all our people; and

Whereas, too long have our people

been indifferent to the great advantages that come and only can come from such united community effort, with the result that we are being closely pressed in the struggle for commercial and industrial supremacy by other sections of the Pacific Coast; and

Whereas, particularly has San Francisco suffered from the lack of community unselfish effort to improve and build up the most favored part of our State, and take advantage of the wonderful natural resources that lie at our very doors; therefore be it

Resolved, That San Francisco, through its Board of Supervisors, heartily endorses said movement; and be it further

Resolved, That the Board does hereby pledge itself to lend its co-operation and give its support to anything that can further said movement and bring about the desired result.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, Hynes, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—11.

Absent — Supervisors Deasy, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Rossi, Shannon—7.

Clerk instructed to have copies of the foregoing resolution printed and sent to all the country newspapers in Northern California.

#### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 3:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors August 21, 1922.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN.

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Monday, July 10, 1922.

Tuesday, July 11, 1922.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY

77 Sutter Street, S. F.

J

ch

re

m

Q

M

Sh

Q

se

st

m

W

RC

m

Q

Q

st

st

F

M

th

pe

p

re

T

re

ti

n

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JULY 10, 1922, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, July 10, 1922, 2 p. m.  
The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Shannon, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Rossi, Scott, Welch—5.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor McLeran was called to the chair.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of April 24 and May 1, 1922, were considered read and *approved*.

### ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

#### Garage Protest.

*Communication*—From West of Fillmore Street Improvement Association, protesting application of Joseph Pasqualletti for permission to erect building and maintain garage on O'Farrell street between Fillmore and Steiner streets.

"Pay-As-You-Go" Policy for School Construction, Favored.

*Communication*—From Louis Sloss, Mark L. Gerstle, H. R. Newman and John Daniel, expressing their belief in the "Pay-As-You-Go" policy for school construction, and declaring that expense of a bond issue is unwarranted.

#### Reconstruction of Seventh Street.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

*Communication*—From Southern Promotion Association, requesting the reconstruction of Seventh street from Townsend to Sixteenth street by railroad companies holding franchises thereon.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

#### Improvement of Hoff Avenue.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

*Communication*—From Louise Haussler, requesting that curbs be

trimmed and street repaired on Hoff avenue, running one block from Sixteenth street to Seventeenth street, between Mission and Valencia streets.

#### Grove Street Improvement.

Supervisor Colman presented:

*Communication*—From the Jewish Community Center, for the improvement of Grove street between Fillmore and Steiner streets.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

Purchase of Quarry at Twenty-sixth and Douglass Streets for Park.

*Communication*—From West of Castro Street Improvement Club, for the acquisition of quarry property at Twenty-sixth and Douglass streets for park purposes.

*Referred to Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee.*

Bond Issue for School Construction Favored.

*Communication*—From Geo. Bevel, expressing his opinion in favor of a bond issue for school construction as against the pay-as-you-go policy.

"Pay-As-You-Go" Plan for School Construction Favored.

*Communication*—From C. R. Hunt, protesting \$10,000,000 bond issue for school construction, and declaring that it seems far more suitable and economical to adopt the pay-as-you-go plan.

Leave of Absence, Jesse B. Cook, Police Commissioner.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., July 8, 1922.

Hon. Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application having been made to me by Hon. Jesse B. Cook, Police Commissioner, for leave of absence with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of thirty days, commencing July 15, 1922, I beg to request that you concur with me in granting such leave of absence.

Yours very truly,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,

Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 20101 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Jesse B. Cook, Police

Commissioner, is hereby granted thirty days' leave of absence from July 15, 1922, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Shannon, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Rossi, Scott, Welch—5.

#### Mayor's Veto.

His Honor Mayor Rolph returned without his approval and for readjustment Resolution No. 20963 (New Series), providing for the acceptance of the offer of M. C. McGrath to sell certain land on Hayes street required for school purposes.

*Referred to Public Buildings Committee.*

Minority Report of Supplies Committee on Award of Drug Contract, Johnson & Johnson and H. D. Dietrich.

The following was presented by Supervisor McSheehy, read by the Clerk, copies ordered printed for the members and subject to be considered at next meeting of the Board:

San Francisco, Cal., July 5, 1922.  
To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, California.  
Gentlemen:

As a member of the Supplies Committee, I herewith file a minority report in reference to the acceptance of the proposals of Johnson & Johnson and H. D. Dietrich or either of them to furnish drugs and hospital supplies for the City and County for the fiscal years of 1922 and 1923. I respectfully recommend that the entire bids of Johnson & Johnson and H. D. Dietrich, respectively, be rejected for the following reasons:

1. The proposals of Johnson & Johnson and H. D. Dietrich, respectively, are one and the same. Johnson & Johnson is a manufacturer of surgical dressings located at New Brunswick, State of New Jersey, and H. D. Dietrich is its Pacific Coast Branch Manager, with offices located at 576 Mission street, City. According to Mr. Dietrich's statements before the Supplies Committee, Johnson & Johnson's proposal was prepared in the East and forwarded to him, as Branch Manager, for filing with the Board. Upon its arrival here on May 1, 1922, he noticed some erasures and alterations in it. With full knowledge that such erasures and alterations invalidated the proposals, and, in order to secure for Johnson & Johnson the drug contract, directly or indirectly, Mr. Dietrich not only filed with the Board Johnson & Johnson's proposal with its erasures and alterations, but also a proposal in his own name upon the same articles and for the same prices, with one exception, and ac-

companied each proposal with a certified check drawn by him here in San Francisco on the same fund belonging to Johnson & Johnson. He also admitted before the Committee that whether Johnson & Johnson or he obtained the drug contract, he would be paid brokerage just the same, and that, if he was awarded the contract, Johnson & Johnson would furnish the surgical dressings.

2. Awarding the drug contract, in whole or in part, to Johnson & Johnson or H. D. Dietrich, or both, under such circumstances, is not only contrary to State and Federal Statutes, but also to the provisions of the City Charter. No branch of government, State, Federal, or Municipal, would permit even a consideration of such proposals.

Article VI, Chapter I, Section 16 of the Charter expressly provides that "No person, corporation, or firm shall be allowed to make, file or be interested in more than one bid for the same work. If, on the opening of said bid, more than one bid appears in which the same person, corporation, or firm is interested, all such bids shall be rejected."

The framers of the Charter, in their wisdom, passed this section to protect bidders against just such a situation as is presented here by Johnson & Johnson and H. D. Dietrich. Surely they never intended one rule for the Board of Public Works and another for the Board of Supervisors, upon the same subject. Public policy and justice would tell us, as legislators, that it is not right; it is not fair; it is not square; it is not just. Just one illustration is sufficient to show its unfairness. Take the case of tie bids. If Johnson & Johnson and H. D. Dietrich's proposals are considered, they would have two chances to their competitors' one chance of obtaining the drug contract. Other druggists, in San Francisco and elsewhere, are not only entitled to protection against such fraud or collusion, but also to a "fair and square" deal. If this Honorable Board awards the drug contract, in whole or in part, to Johnson & Johnson or H. D. Dietrich, or both, in view of the relations existing between them, it will be denying other druggists the "fair and square" treatment to which they are justly entitled, and violating the fundamental principles of competitive bidding, and placing a premium upon collusive or fraudulent proposals.

3. If there be one committee on this Board that requires readjusting, it is the Supplies Committee. Last Monday it was stated that business confidence should be established, and that all business men should be treated alike, and that business

methods should be strictly adhered to. Now I want to ask any member of this Board, in closing, are we adhering to business methods in allowing a loose condition at this time to prevail, in which one man is allowed to bid twice on the same articles?

For the foregoing reasons, I therefore recommend that the entire bids of Johnson & Johnson and H. D. Dietrich be rejected, and that the next lowest responsible bidder be awarded the drug contract.

Respectfully submitted,  
(Signed) JAMES B. McSHEEHY.

#### REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.

The following committees, by their respective chairmen, presented reports on various matters referred, which reports were read and ordered *filed*:

Fire Committee, by Supervisor Deasy, chairman.

Supplies Committee, by Supervisor Rossi, chairman.

Public Buildings Committee, by Supervisor Scott, chairman.

Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee, by Supervisor Morgan, chairman.

Streets Committee, by Supervisor Mulvihill, chairman.

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

None.

#### REPORT OF FINANCE COMMITTEE.

Demands on the Treasury amounting to \$31,211.63 were presented and *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Shannon, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Rossi, Scott, Welch—5.

#### Action Deferred.

The following demands were *laid over until next meeting*:

#### Urgent Necessity.

Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company, official phone calls, \$6.72.

Spring Valley Water Company, water, water troughs, \$93.95.

#### Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

#### Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McLeran: Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved. That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

#### Special School Tax, 1921-1922.

(1) Anderson & Ringrose, 5th payment, general construction of Park-

side School (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$10,497.75.

(2) John Reid, Jr., 3rd payment, architectural services, Andrew Jackson School (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$985.33.

#### School Bonds, 1918.

(3) Andrew Holle, 3rd payment, plumbing on Crocker-Amazon School (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$2,981.25.

(4) G. P. W. Jensen, 5th payment, general construction of Crocker-Amazon School (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$5,049.75.

#### Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(5) Western Pipe & Steel Co., 19th payment, Hetch Hetchy air pipe (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$910.60.

(6) William Cluff Co., food supplies (claim dated June 29, 1922), \$507.

(7) Western Meat Co., meats (claim dated June 29, 1922), \$535.40.

(8) L. Dinkelspiel Co. Inc., dry goods (claim dated June 29, 1922), \$547.74.

(9) J. H. Newbauer & Co., food-stuffs (claim dated June 29, 1922), \$675.91.

(10) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., hardware (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$718.63.

(11) Standard Oil Co., oil, etc. (claim dated June 29, 1922), \$730.66.

(12) Standard Oil Co., oil, etc. (claim dated June 29, 1922), \$800.35.

(13) Sperry Flour Co., flour (claim dated June 29, 1922), \$1,062.60.

(14) H. E. Teller Co., coffee (claim dated June 29, 1922), \$1,093.64.

(15) W. D. Ayers Co., butter (claim dated June 29, 1922), \$1,180.80.

(16) Hercules Powder Co., explosives (claim dated June 29, 1922), \$1,467.02.

(17) Baumgarten Bros., meats (claim dated June 29, 1922), \$1,864.87.

(18) Haas Brothers, groceries (claim dated June 29, 1922), \$2,084.78.

(19) Utah Construction Co., Hetch Hetchy reservoir site clearings (claim dated June 29, 1922), \$2,519.41.

#### General Fund, 1921-1922.

(20) Neal, Stratford & Kerr, city printing (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,011.98.

(21) A. Carlisle & Co., binders furnished Recorder (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$892.50.

(22) Buckley & Curtin, departmental fee books (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,040.49.

(23) The San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,000.

(24) Spring Valley Water Co., water through hydrants, Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$10,900.

(25) Frederick A. Hamilton, sterilizer, Emergency Hospital (claim dated June 26, 1922), \$870.

(26) California Meat Co., meats, Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$719.85.

(27) John G. Iis & Co., steam kettles, Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$700.

(28) C. Nauman & Co., fruits, etc., Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$727.61.

(29) Producers Hay Co., alfalfa, Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$675.96.

(30) Sperry Flour Co., flour, Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,138.50.

(31) E. J. Treacy, final payment, widening of Divisadero street from Haight to Sacramento streets (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$681.97.

(32) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., royalties, Lutz Surface Heater Machines (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,281.60.

(33) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement, street repair (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$3,853.79.

(34) Standard Oil Co., asphalt, street repair (claim dated June 24, 1922), \$593.09.

Appropriation, \$3,000. Plumbing, Carpentry, etc., Fire Department Boat No. 2.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$3,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Miscellaneous Repairs, etc., to Public Buildings," Budget Item No. 60, Fiscal Year 1922-1923, for installation of plumbing, fixtures, carpentry work, painting, etc., in new quarters for Fire Department Boat No. 2.

The following matters were presented and on motion *laid over one week*:

Additional Copyists for Recorder.

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the Recorder to appoint five additional copyists, grade three, for six months ending December 31, 1922, at a monthly salary of \$165 each.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, the Recorder of the City and County is hereby authorized to appoint five additional copyists, grade three, for a period of six months, ending December 31, 1922, at a salary of \$165 per month each.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect as of June 1, 1922.

Safety Ordinance.

Also, Bill No. —, Ordinance No. —

entitled "Creating positions, fixing the compensation thereof and authorizing the appointment thereto in accordance with the provisions of Section 35, Article XVI of the Charter, of additional deputies, clerks and employees in the various offices, boards and departments of the City and County of San Francisco, re-enacting in modified form the several ordinances authorizing such appointments, and repealing such ordinances in so far as they create positions and fix salaries."

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy: Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

*Automobile Supply Station.*

Standard Oil Company, at the southwest corner of Forty-ninth avenue and Lincoln way; also to store 1200 gallons of gasoline on premises.

*Oil Storage Tank.*

(1500 gallons capacity.)

T. J. Strand, on east side of Sixteenth avenue, 50 feet north of Clement street.

Miss G. Jacobs, at 1375 California street.

L. B. Ham, on south side of Sutler street, 100 feet west of Leavenworth street.

George W. Burnett, on south side of California street, 40 feet east of Octavia street.

San Francisco News Co., on west side of Hawthorne street, 75 feet south of Howard street.

P. J. Phelan, on east side of Twenty-fourth avenue, 50 feet south of Lake street.

J. H. Newbauer, at 170 West Clay Park, 600 gallons' capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

Denying Blasting Permit.

Supervisor Deasy presented:

Resolution No. 20092 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied J. A. Costello, Jr., to explode blasts on property situate on the east side of Twelfth avenue between Quintara and Rivera streets (Assessor's Blocks Nos. 2205 and 2206).

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Shannon, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Rossi, Scott, Welch—5.

**Passed for Printing.**

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

**Conditional Acceptance, Certain Streets.**

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill: Bill No. 6072, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled "Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Craut street between Ney and Maynard streets; Cabrillo street between Thirty-fourth and Thirty-fifth avenues; Cabrillo street between the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue and Thirty-fourth avenue, including the crossing of Cabrillo and Thirty-third avenue; Forty-second avenue between Cabrillo and Fulton streets; Forty-eighth avenue between Cabrillo and Fulton streets; Flood avenue between Foerster and Genesee streets; Hodges alley between Vallejo street and its northerly termination; Louisburg street between Niagara and Geneva avenues; Lawton street between Nineteenth and Twentieth avenues; Orange alley between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth streets; Twenty-second street between Mississippi and Texas streets; Texas street between Twenty-second and Sierra streets, and the intersection of Texas and Sierra streets."

**Closing Railroad Avenue.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20093 (New Series), as follows:

Closing a portion of Railroad avenue running southerly from Rodeo avenue 380 feet, more or less.

Whereas, this Board has by Resolution No. 19971 (New Series) declared its intention to close and abandon a portion of Railroad avenue running southerly from Rodeo avenue 380 feet, more or less, a public street in the City and County of San Francisco, hereinafter more particularly described; and

Whereas, proper notice of said resolution and of said proposal closing and abandoning said portion of Railroad avenue was duly given by the Board of Public Works of said City and County by publication and posting in the manner provided by Section 2, Chapter III, Article VI and the sections of said chapter and article following said Section 2 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, more than ten days have elapsed after the expiration of the time of publication of said notice; and

Whereas, the said work is for the closing up of said portion of Railroad avenue, and it appears to the Board of Supervisors that no assessment is necessary; therefore,

Resolved, That the said closing up and abandonment of said portion of Railroad avenue running southerly

from Rodeo avenue 380 feet, more or less, is hereby ordered, and the said portion of Railroad avenue is hereby closed and abandoned as a public street. Be it further

Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Board of Public Works and that the Board of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law and the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and the Clerk of the Board is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the San Francisco Chronicle as required by law.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Shannon, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Rossi, Scott, Welch—5.

**Extension of Time, A. J. Raisch.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20094 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. J. Raisch, assignee Raisch Improvement Company, is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after July 18, 1922, within which to complete contract for the improvement of San Jose avenue between Ottawa and Sickels avenues.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor has been delayed on account of railroad company installing new tracks along the line of the work.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Shannon, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Rossi, Scott, Welch—5.

**Extension of Time, J. O'Hara.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20095 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. O'Hara is hereby granted an extension of thirty days' time from and after June 23, 1922, within which to completed contract for the construction of sidewalks on Nineteenth avenue from Cabrillo to Fulton streets; Twenty-seventh avenue from Geary to Anza streets; Twenty-eighth avenue from Geary to Clement streets, and Thirty-fifth avenue from Balboa to Cabrillo streets.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that the work is practically completed.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Shannon, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Rossi, Scott, Welch—5.

**Award of Contract, Stationery.**

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 20096 (New Series), as follows:

Awarding contracts for the furnishing and delivering of the stationery for the various offices and departments of the City and County for the fiscal year 1922-1923 to the various persons, firms and corporations, in strict accordance with the proposal notice inviting bids thereon, and in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor.

**Amendment.**

Supervisor Deasy moved as an amendment that the award for "Type-writer Ribbons" be laid over for one week.

*So ordered.*

**Adopted.**

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Shannon, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Rossi, Scott, Welch—5.

**Award of Contract, Printing and Blanks**

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 20097 (New Series), as follows:

Awarding contracts for furnishing, and delivering printing and blanks for use of the various offices and departments of the City and County for the fiscal year 1922-1923 to the various persons, firms and corporations, in strict accordance with the proposal notice inviting bids thereon, and in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Shannon, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Rossi, Scott, Welch—5.

**Award of Contract, Books.**

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 20098 (New Series), as follows:

Awarding contracts for furnishing and delivering books for use of the various offices and departments of the City and County for the fiscal year 1922-1923 to the various persons, firms and corporations, in strict accordance with the proposal notice inviting bids thereon, and in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Shannon, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Rossi, Scott, Welch—5.

**Action Deferred.**

The resolution awarding contracts for general supplies was, on motion, *aid over one week.*

**Accepting Offer of Peninsula Syndicate to Sell Land in San Mateo for Right of Way.**

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 20099 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco from The Peninsula Syndicate, a corporation, of the following described parcels of land situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, and required as a right of way for the aqueduct on the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply project, viz.:

North Fair Oaks Tract, and known as Lot 36, in Block 1; Lots 12, 13, 15, 30, 31 in Block 10; Lot 47 in Block 9; and Lots 5 and 6 in Block 7, for the sum of nine hundred dollars (\$900); and

Whereas, said purchase price is in accordance with the appraisement of said lands made by Joseph J. Phillips, right of way agent for the City and County; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, that in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer, the offer of The Peninsula Syndicate, a corporation, to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the above mentioned parcels of land for the sum of \$900 be and the same is hereby accepted.

The special counsel for the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply is hereby authorized and directed to prepare the necessary papers and deeds to close the transaction and to arrange for the payment of the purchase price to the seller, upon receipt of deed conveying said property to the City and County of San Francisco, clear of all incumbrances.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Shannon, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Coleman, Hayden, Rossi, Scott, Welch—5.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.****Street Lights.**

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 20100 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be instructed to move, remove and install street lights as follows:

*Move Gas Lamp.*

South side California street, 90 feet west of Larkin street, 6 feet west.

*Remove Gas Lamp.*

East side Harrison street, first south Twenty-first street.

*Install Gas Lamp.*

Front of church at 1048 Howard street.

Adopted under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Shannon, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Coleman, Hayden, Rossi, Scott, Welch—5.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board at 3:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk.

TUESDAY, JULY 11, 1922, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, July 11, 1922, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to adjournment to sit as a Board

of Equalization for the purpose of hearing all applicants who had filed sworn applications for the correction or reduction of assessments on the assessment book of real and personal property for the fiscal year 1922-1923, or those who after notification of arbitrary assessment made against them had filed sworn statements of the personal property owned by them or in their possession on the first Monday of March, 1922.

**CALLING THE ROLL.**

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Scott, Wetmore—10.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Rossi, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch—8.

Quorum present.

Consideration of Applications for Reduction of Assessments.

Applications for reduction of assessments were taken up, the applicants or their agents called, duly sworn, examined and after consideration said applications were disposed of as follows:

No.	Name	Prop.	Vol.	Page	Sub.	Block	Ass't	Action
1.	Harry Corbello et al....	R. E.	7	41	1	1193	2,100	Un. Adv't.
2.	Wm. H. Woodfield, Jr....	R. E.	5	110	2	855	85,370 to 63,000	
3.	Dennis O'Shea .....	P. P.	16	143	17	2627	485	Un. Adv't.
4.	J. H. Thrane .....	Imp.	19	221	69	3502	7,000 to 6,300	
5.	M. Gotelli .....	R. E.	27	57	10-12	4909	560	Un. Adv't.
6.	H. P. Moore et al.....	Imp.	7	9	14	1174	1,800	Un. Adv't.
7.	Felix F. Desmond.....	Imp.	8	96	11	1371	2,300	Un. Adv't.
8.	Robert W. Bennett.....	R. E.	4	..	16	666	2,560	Un. Adv't.
9.	H. C. Haffin .....	P. P.	..	..	..	..	320	Un. Adv't.
10.	Margaretha Drewes ...	Imp.	36	29-31	4	6522	3,800	Un. Adv't.
11.	Nathan and Harriet Ellison .....	Imp.	17	85	30	2696	1,300	Un. Adv't.
12.	Matilda Steiner .....	Imp.	8	73	41	1362	3,300	Un. Adv't.
13.	Catherine Keating .....	Imp.	2	83	18	222	800	Un. Adv't.
14.	Catherine Keating ....	R. E.	2	83	18	222	2,320	Un. Adv't.
15.	L. Heynemann .....	R. E.	1	36	14	55	1,500 to 1,000	
16.	F. M. Phipps.....	R. E.	35	..	23	6405	Soldier's Exemp.	
17.	Joseph P. McCarthy....	R. E.	35	..	4	6405	Soldier's Exemp.	
18.	Dora Hahn .....	Imp.	22	190	70	3732	2,200	Un. Adv't.
19.	Dora Hahn .....	R. E.	22	190	70	3732	960	Un. Adv't.
20.	Bettie Lundbeck .....	R. E.	11	189	37	1774	980	Un. Adv't.
21.	Edward Oscar Kraft....	P. P.	..	..	..	..	100	Nil
22.	Anna Anderson .....	Imp.	38	41	4-5	6754	600 to 450	
23.	B. Bierbaum .....	Imp.	5	88	3	841	15,000	Un. Adv't.
24.	Daniel McPeak .....	P. P.	..	..	..	..	515	Un. Adv't.
25.	Elizabeth Leopas .....	Imp.	2	220	10	322	18,400 to 16,000	
26.	Mrs. A. Castagnetto....	Imp.	38	82	1	6779	1,600	Un. Adv't.
27.	D. H. Herlihy.....	Imp.	6	145	4	1081	1,600	Un. Adv't.
28.	Sarah Brown .....	Imp.	2	20	18	184	1,000	Un. Adv't.
29.	Cath. Felvey .....	Imp.	25	..	7	4268	6,000 to 5,400	
30.	Alia Angelich .....	Imp.	2	78	26	220	3,400 to 2,800	
31.	Blanche R. Perriguet..	Imp.	3	188	12	572	1,700	Un. Adv't.
32.	Geo. F. Hooper.....	P. P.	..	..	..	..	100	Nil
33.	C. F. Dickenson.....	R. E.	9	..	38	1524	1,070	} to 72
		R. E.	32	..	15	5729	60	
		R. E.	32	..	15	5729	50	

No.	Name	Prop.	Vol.	Page	Sub.	Block	Ass't	Action
34.	Anne B. Lorence.....	Imp.	1	210	22	156	9,200	Un. Adv't.
35.	Farita M. Fernando....	Imp.	6	2	7	990	2,000	Un. Adv't.
36.	Marie Rivelli .....	Imp.	36	133	1	6570	7,500	Un. Adv't.
37.	Katherine M. Buneman.	Imp.	3	173	7	562	6,000 to 5,000	
38.	L. E. Duncan.....	Imp.	4	25	14	633	1,000 to 750	
39.	Goldie J. Eidenmuller..	Imp.	6	107	23	1055	450	Un. Adv't.
40.	Dacia B. Reay.....	R. E.	8	129	41	1382	1,980	Un. Adv't.
41.	Margaret Ferren .....	Imp.	35	17	18	6272	1,250	Un. Adv't.
42.	Gerlando J. Terranova.	R. E.	33	..	35	6085	Soldier's Exemp.	
43.	Marie Winkler .....	R. E.	36	118	32	6563	500	Un. Adv't.
44.	Henry M. Colety et al...	Imp.	6	41	19	1012	7,500	Un. Adv't.
44a.	George Skaller .....	R. E.	22	10	10	3636	27,000	F'd 17,000

**Motion.**

*Supervisor Hynes* moved that Application No. 44a—Geo. Skaller, R. E., Vol. 22, Blk. 3636, Lot 10, \$27,000, be reduced to \$17,000.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott,

Shannon, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, McSheehy, Morgan, Welch—5.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board on motion of Supervisor McLeran adjourned to meet Monday, July 17, 1922, at 10 a. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors August 21, 1922.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN.  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, July 17, 1922.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY

77 Sutter Street, S. F.

M  
In  
cisco  
Th  
sard  
Equ

Th  
ing  
St  
Hyn

No  
46  
46  
44  
48  
46  
50  
53  
54  
56  
55  
56  
55  
55  
55

5  
5  
6  
6  
6  
6  
6  
6  
6  
6  
6  
6

6  
6  
6  
6  
6  
6  
6  
6  
6  
6  
6  
6

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JULY 17, 1922, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, July 17, 1922, 10 a. m.  
The Board of Supervisors met, pursuant to adjournment, as a Board of Equalization.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors — Colman, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Mulvihill,

Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, McSheehy, Morgan, Welch—5.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Schmitz in the chair.

### Consideration of Applications for Reduction of Assessments.

Applications for reduction of assessments were taken up, the applicants or their agents called, duly sworn, examined and after due consideration said applications were disposed of as follows:

No.	Name	Prop.	Vol.	Page	Sub.	Block	Ass't	Action
45.	Hugh Keenan	.....Imp.	7	113	22	1233	3,600	Denied
46.	Hugh Keenan	.....Imp.	5	89	16	841	3,000	Denied
47.	Hugh Keenan	.....Imp.	5	89	17	841	2,400	Denied
48.	Hugh Keenan	.....Imp.	7	113	21	1233	3,600	Denied
49.	Mrs. J. E. Hasty	.....R. E.	18	14	8	2838	1,200 to 870	
50.	David Hiner	.....Imp.	23	29	41	3753	2,100	Denied
51.	Adelbert Strassburger	.....R. E.	18	20	9-10	2847	1,620	Denied
52.	Fannie B. Matheas	.....Imp.	2	78	27	220	3,200 to 2,700	
53.	Daniel J. O'Connor	.....R. E.	38	..	21	6763	290	Nil
54.	Louis Flechia	.....R. E.	4	53	37	653	3,190	Denied
55.	I. H. Goldmeyer	.....R. E.	40	189	1-5	7174	1,200	Denied
56.	I. H. Goldmeyer	.....R. E.	4	151	15	720	7,290	Denied
57.	Thos. and Amelia Seha- biague	.....Imp.	2	72	20	216	11,500	Denied
58.	Ray Bacchi	.....R. E.	3	95	19	516	6,690	Denied
59.	W. J. Dowling	.....Imp.	7	76	16	1212	16,100	Denied
60.	Benjamin Fireman	.....Imp.	5	54	17	819	10,380	Denied
61.	Benj. & Helen Fireman	.....Imp.	5	36	20-21	805	8,950	Denied
62.	Lottie G. Woods	.....Imp.	1	78	8	90	11,500	Denied
63.	M. Stentz	.....R. E.	24	63	47	4094-4137	300	Denied
64.	Tillie Carpenter	.....R. E.	23	64	7	3760	2,690	Denied
65.	Bertha Dehne	.....R. E.	24	63	46	4094-4137	300	Denied
66.	Annie Means	.....R. E.	40	79	35	7106	1,000	Denied
67.	Union Trust Co. of S. F.	.....R. E.	22	209	15	3735	62,500	Denied
68.	Harry Otten	.....R. E.	4	..	1	698	1,260	at 1,260
69.	Mabel L. Radke	.....Imp.						
Householder's claim of \$100 was asked for but not deducted at time of payment.								
70.	Wm. J. Garren	.....R. E.	4	181	6	741	26,690	Fxd \$500
71.	D. J. A. O'Keeffe	.....Imp.	4	9	4	620	6,900 to 6,000	
72.	Olympic Club	.....						
73.	Natoma Rice Mill. Co.	.....Imp.	23	149	3	3833	62,000 to 47,000	
74.	Delia Conway	.....Imp.	3	179	2	566	2,000	Denied
75.	C. E. Sheets, Secy of Kinsey Estate Co.	.....R. E.	3	30	2	406	40,000	
	Ditto	.....R. E.	3	33	1	427	40,000 to 48,000	
76.	Mrs. Nellie Sawyer	.....Imp.	21	78	57	3596	2,600	Denied
		{ R. E.	11	186	15	1772	750	Denied
77.	Mary J. McKay	.....{ R. E.	12	2	20	1788	340	Denied
		{ R. E.	12	62	12	1825	400	Denied
78.	C. F. Wagner Co.	.....Imp.	23	55	36-37	3758	200	Denied
79.	John McGrath	.....Imp.	22	197	43	3733	1,700	Denied

No.	Name	Prop.	Vol.	Page	Sub.	Block	Ass't	Action
80.	Mary C. Ambrose.....	Imp.	5	43	19	808	3,000 to 2,500	
81.	Oscar Heyman & Bro....	R. E.	10	..	40	1616	760	Nil
82.	Edna M. Robrecht.....	Imp.	5	105	8	850	2,500	Denied
83.	S. V. Favilla.....	Imp.	3	60	20	493	1,200 to 1,000	
84.	S. V. Favilla.....	Imp.	3	60	21	493	1,000 to 800	
85.	S. V. Favilla.....	R. E.	3	60	19a	493	1,240	Denied
86.	S. V. Favilla.....	R. E.	3	60	20	493	460	Denied
87.	S. V. Favilla.....	R. E.	3	60	21	493	800	Denied
88.	John C. Graham.....	Imp.	23	38	57	3754	8,000 to 5,000	
89.	John C. Graham.....	R. E.	23	38	57	3754	6,760	Denied
90.	Mission Realty Co.....	Imp.	21	128	1	3608	15,000	Denied
91.	Freda Schumacher.....	Imp.	21	169	74	3617	125	Denied
						4110		
			24	98	4-12	4121	10,000	
						4110		
92.	Arnold Foster.....	Imp.	24	98	18-21	4121	6,000	to \$60
						4110		
			24	98	23-25	4121	6,000	
93.	Vincent De Palma.....	R. E.	2	7	4	180	4,000	Denied
94.	Paul F. De Martini....	R. E.	1	..	25a	158	3,120	Denied
95.	E. H. Mozart.....	Imp.	4	197	5	754	7,000 to 6,000	
96.	Ella Allen.....	Imp.	2	76	8	219	11,500	Denied
		R. E.	19	100	16	3118	270	Denied
97.	Anne Collins.....	R. E.	19	100	17	3118	270	Denied
		R. E.	19	100	18	3118	270	Denied
98.	William Bruce.....	Imp.	3	21	7	347	28,700	Denied
99.	Wm. G. Triebel.....	R. E.	11	..	39	1765	4,200 to 1,200	
		R. E.	23	175	16	3985	880	Denied
100.	Robert Cranna.....	R. E.	23	175	17	3985	1,160	Denied
		R. E.	23	175	18	3985	880	Denied
101.	Mrs. Ida Kahler.....	R. E.	11	99	1	1732	1,700	Denied
102.	I. Goldberg.....	Imp.	22	182	80	3731	2,100	Denied
103.	J. S. Boeddiker.....	R. E.	38	..	3	6930	3,200 to 800	
104.	John A. Gilmour.....	R. E.	23	..	25	3759	1,010	
	Ditto.....	R. E.	22	..	23	3638	2,520 to 400	
105.	Mrs. S. Giuliani.....	Imp.	20	70	60	3532	6,800	Denied
106.	Zita Cocciari.....	Imp.	20	125	50	3548	2,000	Denied
107.	M. J. Savage.....	Imp.	2	106	6-7	234	111,500	Denied
108.	Lucie Marchebout.....	R. E.	2	40	35	193	740 to 500	
109.	Lucie Marchebout.....	Imp.	2	40	35	193	500 to 300	
110.	Grace M. Jackson.....	Imp.	4	..	13	743	4,100 to 3,800	
111.	John J. Donovan.....	Imp.	22	190	105	3732	1,500	
	Ditto.....	Imp.	22	193	140	3732	1,500 to 1,500	
112.	Axel and Ida Samson..	Imp.	24	134	50	4158-4201	700	Denied
113.	A. W. Morton.....	Imp.	2	156	9	275	40,000	Denied
114.	Charles C. Doyle.....	R. E.	9	..	48	1544	Soldier's Exemp.	
115.	John C. Burke.....	R. E.	5	2	28	776	3,300	Denied
116.	John C. Burke.....	Imp.	5	2	28	776	3,300	Denied
117.	Bridget Crawford.....	R. E.	..	..	17-18	1079	3,300	Denied
118.	Charles W. Lynch.....	Imp.	22	121	40	3722	17,200 to 15,000	
119.	John E. McVey.....	Imp.	23	175	29	3985	1,050 to 800	
120.	M. J. McDonough.....	Imp.	24	177	12a	4211	2,100	Denied
121.	Henry Kloehn.....	Imp.	23	184	12	4003	2,750	Denied
122.	James J. Finnegan....	R. E.	17	111	7	2714	630	Denied
123.	James J. Finnegan....	Imp.	17	111	7	2714	1,100 to 800	
124.	Geo. Thompson.....	Imp.	6	200	15	1154	4,200	Denied
125.	Maria Brecca.....	Imp.	1	103	9	101	2,700	Denied
126.	Mrs. K. Aschen.....	Imp.	3	137	13	540	5,800	Denied
127.	Daniel J. O'Neill.....	Imp.	17	35	39	2650	2,000 to 1,800	
128.	John Hagarty.....	Imp.	23	14	24	3751	1,700	Denied
129.	Stanley Michaels.....	R. E.	7	..	5	1273	1,600 to 300	
130.	Charles Evans.....	Imp.	20	205	2	3574	4,900	Denied
131.	W. J. Dowling.....	Imp.	10	..	5	1636	500 to 10	
132.	Jos. I. Manson.....	R. E.	4	85	13	655	5,000	Denied
133.	Carl O. Carlsen.....	R. E.	30	..	47	5517	530 to 50	

**Motion.**

*Supervisor Scott* moved that:

70—Wm. J. Garren, R. E., Vol. 4, page 181, Subd. 6, Blk. 741, Ass't \$26,690, be reduced to \$500.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

*Mr. W. J. Garren* was granted the privilege of the floor and explained that property had been purchased for building to be erected by Golden Gate Post No. 40, American Legion, and was not to be used for commercial purposes.

**Motion Carried.**

Whereupon, Supervisor Scott's motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, McSheehy, Morgan, Welch—5.

**Motions.**

*Supervisor McLeran* moved that all matters heretofore taken under advisement be *denied*.

*Motion carried.*

*Supervisor McLeran* moved that the Assessor's report on matters referred to him be approved.

*Motion carried.*

*Supervisor McLeran* moved that the Clerical Error List be *adopted*.

*Motion carried.*

**Arbitrary List.**

The following list of taxpayers who have neglected to make a return of their taxable personal property, together with the amounts arbitrarily assessed against each, to-wit:

No.	Vol.	Page	Blk.	Sub.	Name	Address	Value
1	1	16	....	3	Adams, L. D.	1020 Amer. Natl. Bank Building	\$ 1,250
2	1	15	....	46	Adams, J. W.	901 California St.	4,170
3	1	24	....	2	Aitken & Aitken	220 Montgomery St., R. 481	750
4	1	32	....	42	Alexander, Wallace	N. E. Cor. Mason and California Sts.	1,000
5	1	42	....	34	Almarel Apartments	1025 Sutter St.	1,050
6	1	51	....	43	American Barrel Co.	532-34 Sixth St.	1,000
7	1	54	....	32	Amer. Marble & Mosaic Co.	25 Columbus Square	750
8	1	56	....	44	Ames, Alden	3028 Clay St.	1,500
9	1	68	....	21	Anderson, M.	398 Eighth St.	1,750
10	1	73	....	33	Andrews, W. S.	260 California St., R. 612	700
11	1	74	....	9	Andros & Hengstler	719 Kohl Bldg.	1,000
12	1	90	....	34	Arizona Oil Co.	620 Market St.	750
13	1	90	....	45	Arlett-Roach Co.	83 Clay St.	1,500
14	1	109	....	32	Atascadero Doll & Toy Fact'y.	323 Geary St., R. 515	1,000
15	1	119	....	2	Autographic Register Co.	38 Clementina St.	1,200
16	24	18	4064	21	Bacchine, A.	451-57 Mississippi St.	700
17	3	209	589	3	Bach, J. C.	2719 Pacific Ave. and 235 Montgomery St.	400
18	2	155	274	21	Bailey, M. D.	955 Pine St., Apt. 11	500
19	2	17	....	4	Bakewell & Brown	251 Kearny St., R. 804	3,000
20	8	46	1336	31	Barthold, Robert	51 West Clay	1,550
21	2	47	....	29	Basch & Solomon	29 Kearny St.	720
22	2	56	....	26	Baxter, Mrs. J. H.	Palace Hotel	1,000
23	2	58	....	10	Bayless, W. S.	39 Florence St. and 620 Market, R. 407.	1,000
24	2	59	....	26	Beacon, Mrs. Minnie B.	2018 Franklin St.	2,000
25	2	67	....	11	Beckman-Linder Eng. Corp'n.	604 Mission St. and 41 Minna St.	1,000
26	2	95	....	24	Berkeley Transtpn. Co.	Pier No. 9.	650
27	2	108	....	22	Beverly, O. G.	180 Oak St.	750
28	21	143	3611	7	Billar, John	2430 Folsom St. and 460 Montgomery St.	1,550
29	2	122	....	20	Blackstone Apts.	81 Ninth St.	1,450
30	2	136	....	9	Blumenthal, A. C.	Palace Hotel	1,000
31	2	137	....	10	Blyth, Chas.	1920 Clay St.	1,000
32	2	158	....	49	Bosley, Wm. B.	351 Calif. St., R. 910 and 1978 Vallejo St.	2,000
33	18	27	2858	23	Bovey, J. C.	1050 Stanyan St.	400
34	2	165	....	33	Bowles, Geo. M.	2312 Washington St.	2,000
35	2	166	....	50	Boyd Estate, Alexander	216 Pine St., R. 609	3,150
36	2	171	....	21	Bradley, F. W.	2716 Pacific Ave.	212,000
37	9	25	1446	5	Branch, F. M.	319 Fourteenth Ave.	500
38	2	231	....	9	Bush, Annie M.	2732 Green St.	1,450

No.	Vol.	Page	Blk.	Sub.	Name	Address	Value
39	4	141	711	17	Castle Hill Apts.	1409 Geary St.	1,800
40	20	134	3552	11/12	Center Apts.	1990 Folsom St.	800
41	3	68	....	7	Center & Spader.	660 Market St.	1,200
42	3	71	....	11	Chalmers, George	475 Fourteenth Ave.	700
43	6	15	997	22	Chambers, Edw.	3349 Washington St.	3,100
44	3	74	....	9	Chapman, Edgar	220 Montgomery St., R. 624.	750
45	3	83	....	21	Chickering & Gregory.	1219 Merchants Exchg. Bldg.	12,500
46	3	114	....	19	Cluff, Alfred	220 Montgomery St., R. 835.	1,250
47	3	115	....	14	Coast Constrn. Co.	620 Market St.	1,500
48	3	116	....	34	Cobbledick-Kibbe Glass Co.	175 Jessie St.	2,400
49	19	73	3101	3	Coldwell, Colbert	120 Santa Ana Ave.	1,200
50	3	142	....	3	Commercial Credit Co.	701 Insurance Exchg. Bldg.	1,000
51	3	160	....	35	Corcoran, Soule & Co.	25 Kearny St., R. 402.	750
52	5	110	854	4	Cornwall, Bruce	207 Cherry St.	1,800
53	3	183	....	35	Cross, R. H.	220 Montgomery St., R. 638.	1,250
54	3	195	....	44	Cushing, O. K.	37 Seventh Ave.	1,400
55	4	14	....	48	Daur, F. H.	821 Market St.	750
56	3	214	593	3	De Ligne, A. A.	220 Montgomery St., R. 667.	550
57	4	47	....	37	Denman, Wm.	3399 Pacific Ave.	2,500
57 1/2	4	47	....	41	Denman, W.	818 Merchants Exchg Bldg.	950
58	4	50	....	42	Derby, S. Hasket.	1707 Gough St.	2,000
59	4	54	....	33	Detsch & Co.	555 Turk St.	2,000
60	21	144	3611	27	Dixon, Catherine	2413 Howard St.	400
61	4	76	....	2	Doherty, J. W.	121 Hugo St. and 2008 Mission St.	500
62	4	83	....	17	Donohoe, J. A.	2018 Franklin St.	2,500
63	4	94	....	3	Dozier, Thos. B.	1 Montgomery St.	2,500
64	4	97	....	7	Dresser, John O.	2535 Green St.	1,200
65	4	97	....	26	Drew, Frank L.	Palace Hotel	2,500
66	4	111	....	9	Dunn, R. L.	1369 Hyde St., Apt. 40.	1,250
67	5	3	....	30	Eames, Alfred	2190 Vallejo St.	1,000
68	5	80	....	17	Esberg, A. I.	2211 Buchanan St.	3,100
69	5	67	827	29	Everett Apts.	829 Fell St.	800
70	5	121	....	37	Farish, Jno. B.	901 California St.	1,500
71	5	131	....	21	Fay, Chas.	2447 Buchanan St.	1,100
72	5	147	....	18	Ferry Bake-Rite Co.	Ferry Bldg.	2,500
73	5	172	....	36	Flagg Scenic Co., Edwin H.	1873 Mission St.	600
74	5	183	....	12	Flume Dredge Co.	220 Montgomery St., Rs. 1090-2.	3,750
75	5	188	....	7	Folsom, M. A.	620 Market St.	2,000

No.	Vol.	Page	Blk.	Sub.	Name	Address	Value
76	5	192	....	24	Ford, George	3294 Clay St.	600
77	5	222	....	1	Frederick Apts.	901 Stanyan St.	1,250
78	5	227	....	35	Fuller, Mrs. Ora H.	840 Powell St., Apt. 12	1,500
79	6	1	....	13	Gabilan, A.	245 Fillmore St.	300
80	6	6	....	49	Gallagher, H. R.	2590 Green St.	3,450
81	6	14	....	43	Gardella & Furdella	681 Market St., Rs. 317-19	1,250
82	6	34	....	48	George Bros.	314 Washington St.	1,950
83	6	37	....	18	Germain Co. of Pittsburgh	1 Montgomery, R. 400	1,500
84	6	38	....	39	Gervais, Henry	1727 Mission St.	2,500
85	6	39	....	22	Getz, Lew J.	145 Euclid Ave.	800
86	6	62	....	28	Globe Electric Works	1959 Mission St.	1,500
87	6	85	....	13	Goodfellow, A. W.	3204 Washington St.	600
88	6	105	....	42	Graney, Edward	630 Leavenworth St.	1,550
89	6	113	....	17	Gray, Walter B.	942 Market St.	6,500
90	6	115	....	7	Green, A. L.	1151-53 Mission St.	3,000
91	6	115	....	31	Green, Clay	2121 Hyde St.	750
92	6	124	....	8	Gregg, Wellington	2164 Hyde St.	1,000
93	6	139	....	27	Guaranty Co. of New York	508 Kohl Bldg.	900
94	6	144	....	36	Gunning, Wid, Inc.	284 Turk St.	1,000
95	6	146	....	33	Gute & Co., H. C.	150 Post St., R. 206	940
96	7	19	....	34	Halstead Specialties Co.	503 Market St.	700
97	7	41	....	6	Hardy & Co., F. W.	1154-58-60 Phelan Bldg.	3,150
98	18	64	2897	24	Harrison, Richard C.	220 Montgomery St., R. 687	400
99	7	70	....	25	Hawkins, Chas. A.	2850 Nineteenth Ave.	600
100	7	90	....	12	Heller, Walter S.	145 Laurel St.	1,500
101	8	35	1330	3	Henderson, Edw. Jos.	185 Twenty-eighth Ave.	3,500
102	7	95	....	9	Henderson's Securities Corpn.	220 Montgomery St., Rs. 408-9	6,125
103	7	103	....	40	Ilerman, F. A. & Co.	3004 Sixteenth St.	3,750
104	7	117	....	31	Highway Transport Co.	226 Fremont St.	4,400
105	7	122	....	22	Heller, F.	2735 Green St.	1,000
106	3	114	527	9	Ilandes, Stetson	2519 Broadway	10,000
107	23	11	3750	50	Hinton, Guy	651 Folsom St.; 821 Market St.	500
108	7	129	....	1	Hipkins, Bayly	12 Commonwealth Ave., R. 5	800
110	7	133	....	20	Hobbs, Wall & Co.	1 Drumm St., R. 1200	18,750
111	6	167	1098	13	Holsum Baking Co.	2140 O'Farrell St.	10,000
112	7	154	....	20	Honig, Louis	215 Cherry St.	1,000
113	7	168	....	50	Howard, John Galen	1 Montgomery St., R. 500	4,000
114	8	3	....	30	Ideal Novelty Co.	24 Twelfth St.	1,000

No.	Vol.	Page	Blk.	Sub.	Name	Address	Value
115	8	10	....	27	Indep. Wireless Tel. Co.....	167 Steuart St.	7,200
116	8	19	....	47	Ireland, B. C.....	24 California St., R. 702.	3,160
117	8	39	....	38	Jackson, J. M.....	320 Market St., R. 62.	950
118	8	43	....	39	Jacobs, Leslie J. ....	2421 Lake St.	1,250
119	5	203	977	19	Jacobi, J. J.....	2855 Pacific Ave.	4,000
120	8	49	....	8	Jaffe, Mrs. H. L.....	Palace Hotel	750
121	8	87	....	4	Jones, Mrs. H. C.....	N. E. Cor. Mason and California Sts.	1,000
123	8	102	....	37	Judge, J. W.....	72-6 New Montgomery St.	750
124	8	103	....	27	Judson, C. W.....	2105 Buchanan St., Apt. 3.	600
125	8	153	....	7	Kent, Thos. E.....	2552 Baker St. and 25 Kearny St., R. 503.	6,520
126	8	173	....	24	Kenzie, R. A. ....	198 Thirty-second Ave. & 1 Mtgy. St....	1,700
127	8	176	....	16	Kirschman, H. ....	1231 Market St.	1,000
128	8	181	....	26	Kleinclauss, C. ....	1421 Irving St.	900
129	8	188	....	14	Knight, Boland, Hutchinson and Christin	351 California St., R. 303.	1,875
130	8	220	....	18	Kyle, W. B. ....	72-76 New Montgomery St.	4,500
131	8	220	....	28	Kynes' Restaurant	149 Ellis St.	1,000
132	9	5	....	34	La Du Barry.....	233 Post St.	1,875
133	9	13	....	16	Lamberton, C. ....	495 Geary St., R. 1010.	750
134	2	30	189	6	Landsberger, Wilhelmina	1228 Washington St.	1,500
135	9	18	....	14	Lane, Mrs. Anne G.....	650 Bush St., R. 405.	800
136	9	44	....	4	Lawson, Andrew	2617 Union St.	1,000
137	9	56	....	4	Leet, R. M.....	901 California St.	2,500
138	9	60	....	12	Leis & Co., F. W.....	105 Montgomery St.	1,000
139	38	119	6908	16	Leonard, Joseph	90 Cedro Ave.	750
140	9	90	....	34	Liberman, M. ....	222 Market St.	1,250
141	9	97	....	11	Lillick, I. S.....	907 Kohl Bldg.	2,500
142	9	108	....	24	Lippman, G. M.....	463 Twelfth Ave.	800
143	32	149	5881	1/22	Lecari, E. ....	2350 San Bruno Ave.	200
144	9	120	....	17	Lomas, H. B. ....	37 Junipero Blvd.	800
145	9	150	....	22	Lyon & Hoag.....	660 Market St.	1,250
146	9	168	....	19	McCarthy, J. A.....	Palace Hotel	2,500
147	3	175	562	25	McCormick, Chas. ....	2419 Vallejo St.	5,000
148	9	174	....	41	McCormick & Co., Chas. R....	Ninth Floor of Fife Bldg.	187,500
149	2	140	260	6	McCreery Estate Co.....	114 Sansome St.	5,920
150	9	180	....	33	McDonald, Mrs. Mary J.....	2801 Green St.	1,000
151	9	185	....	18	McDuffie, D. ....	N. E. Cor. Mason and California Sts.	1,000

No.	Vol.	Page	Blk.	Sub.	Name	Address	Value
152	9	190	....	32	McGowan, M. B.	717 Ninth Ave., 185 Stevenson and 398 Eighth St.	1,900
153	9	207	....	6	McNeil Bros.	928 Fillmore St.	3,600
154	9	208	....	7	McPeak, Daniel	125 Nineteenth Ave.	800
155	10	3	....	18	MacBride, Mrs.	212 Spruce St.	750
156	10	8	....	42	Mackenzie, J. A.	582 Market St., R. 1908.	950
157	10	8	....	43	Mackenzie, J. H.	2164 Hyde St. and 620 Market St.	2,000
158	5	181	955	14	Maddux, Edith	2868 Vallejo St.	600
159	2	74	218	3	Manhattan Apts.	1251 Hyde St.	500
160	10	38	....	14	Mannix, John	727 Twelfth Ave.	750
161	10	56	....	33	Marsh & McLennon	114 Sansome St.	5,000
162	10	87	....	4	May's Grill	1197 Market St.	750
163	10	132	....	22	Milady Mfg. Co.	88 First St.	2,500
164	10	174	....	26	Monroe Calculating Mach. Co.	417 Market St., R. 220.	2,000
165	10	181	....	7	Moore, Duval	2957 Divisadero St.	3,000
166	10	181	....	39	Moore & Co., Geo. A.	212 California St.	12,500
167	10	185	....	47	Moorhead Laboratories Inc.	638 Mission St.	15,000
168	9	152	1522	22	Mulhern, John	417 Stockton St., R. 315.	500
169	9	157	1524	7	Mulhern Co., John	182 Second St.	6,000
170	10	233	....	43	Mutual Bedding Mfg. Co.	218 Utah St.	1,000
171	10	29	1562	17a	Neal, Geo. H.	579 Nineteenth Ave.	500
172	22	66	3655	21	Newsom, A. C.	566 Elizabeth St.	400
173	11	54	....	42	Neylan, John Francis	130 San Fernando W. & 1 Mtgy, R. 519.	6,500
174	3	182	568	11a	Nixon, Mrs. B.	1840 Broadway St.	750
175	11	82	....	9	Norcross, David	2765 Union St.	6,000
176	11	136	....	48	Oliver, James M.	625 Market St., R. 701.	750
177	11	119	....	33	O'Neill, Dr. A. A.	100 Dolores St., Apt. 2, & 350 Post St.	1,150
178	11	165	....	11	Overseas Mercantile Corp.	22 Battery St., R. 314.	800
179	11	169	....	27	Oxnard, Miss Marie D.	N. E. Cor. Mason and Calif. Sts.	1,000
180	12	2	....	46	Pacific Body Works	333 Grove St.	3,500
181	12	6	....	31	Pacific Electric Cons. Co.	1496 Mission St.	1,600
182	12	8	....	34	Pacific Machine Shop	360 Eleventh St.	1,000.
183	12	38	....	41	Parsons, Arthur	3560 Washington St.	1,250
184	12	44	....	40	Patterson Parts Inc.	296 Golden Gate Ave.	3,500
185	4	148	718	5	Peck, Jas. F.	620 Market St.	300
186	20	79	3535	5	Peralta Apts.	2059 Market St.	750
187	12	67.	....	19	Periodical Press Room	509 Sansome St.	1,000
188	12	87	....	29	Phillip, H. Bruce	593 Market St., R. 201.	1,000

NO.	VOL.	PAGE	DIR.	SUB.	NAME	ADDRESS	VALUE
189	12	95	....	26	Pierce, C. A.	461 Market St., R. 207.	1,000
190	12	98	....	30	Pietsch, P.	98 Broad St.	900
191	12	100	....	49	Pinckard, Shaughnessy, Anderson	345 Montgomery St.	1,500
192	12	107	....	31	Plant, C. F.	840 Powell St.	1,200
193	19	171	3174	9	Poole, J. E.	61 Westwood Drive	500
194	12	123	....	20	Porter, Hugh B.	3090 Clay St.	1,800
195	12	124	....	20	Porter, W. S.	2070 Jackson St.	1,500
196	12	125	....	28	Posener & Friedman	139 Ellis St.	850
197	12	126	....	7	Post Co., The Frederick.	75 New Montgomery St.	1,500
198	3	210	589	18	Posner, Max	495 Geary St., R. 324.	200
199	12	134	....	31	Pratt & Israel	49 Taylor St.	1,000
200	12	138	....	40	Price & Co, W. C.	106 Clay St.	750
201	3	183	569	3	Pringle, E. J.	2323 Franklin St.	500
202	13	30	....	12	Red River Lumber Co.	681 Market St.	10,000
203	13	43	....	27	Reid, Mrs. James W.	N. E. Cor. Mason and Calif. Sts.	1,000
204	13	54	....	33	Republic Financing Co.	5 Third St., Rs. 718-19.	1,000
205	13	55	....	41	Retailers Credit Assn.	112 Kearny St.	875
206	13	83	....	26	Rioseco Waist Mfg. Co.	305 Grant Ave., R. 501.	750
207	13	95	....	13	Roberts, L.	115 Wool St.	1,380
208	13	105	....	19	Rodgers Addressing Bureau.	365 Market St.	1,200
209	13	139	....	27	Roth & Sons, H.	942-46 Mission St.	25,000
210	13	155	....	42	Runyon, M.	1055 California St.	1,500
211	14	11	....	25	Samuels, Louis T.	101 Sutter St.	1,500
212	6	15	998	1	San Raymon Apts.	3201 Washington St.	750
213	14	93	....	39	Shaw, W. A.	150 Post St.	950
214	12	132	1857	27	Shea, W. D.	1624 Sacramento St.	400
215	14	173	....	22	Stahl, W. G.	4494 Mission St.	1,000
216	14	168	....	50	St. Francis Nursery.	Santa Clara Ave.	2,000
217	14	12	....	22	S. F. Assn. of Credit Men.	85 Second St., R. 608.	750
218	14	15	....	49	S. F. Securities Corp.	558 Sacramento St.	2,000
219	14	16	....	18	S. F. Tanning Co.	77 Clay St.	3,300
220	14	17	....	17	Sanborn & Roehl & De Lancy C. Smith.	351 California St., R. 1120.	1,250
221	14	24	....	5	Sapiro, Aaron	175 Commonwealth Ave.	800
222	14	24	....	6	Sapiro, Milton	204 Eighteenth Ave.	1,000
223	14	29	....	17	Sawyer, Harold M.	220 Montgomery St., R. 835.	1,250
224	14	59	....	47	Schultz Constrn. Co.	46 Kearny St.	1,900

No.	Vol.	Page	Blk.	Sub.	Name	Address	Value
225	14	69	....	22	Scott, Mrs. A. W.	Fairmont Hotel	4,000
226	14	72	....	3	Scovel & Sons Co.	Eddy St.	5,000
227	14	79	....	45	Selby, W. J.	1155 Greenwich St.	700
228	14	85	....	27	Seventh Ave. Riding School	701 Seventh Ave.	1,250
229	14	101	....	39	Shields, H.	2430 Jackson St.	1,500
230	14	110	....	22	Sieroty, H. & A.	Palace Hotel	1,000
231	14	126	....	10	Skewes-Cox, Vernon	2020 Broadway St.	800
232	14	131	....	50	Smissaert, Harry	125 Sutter St.	2,500
233	14	138	....	14	Smith, Harry H.	318 Arguello Blvd. & 519 Calif. St.	1,200
234	14	144	....	46	Smith Co., Wm.	Channel, bet. Third and Fourth Sts.	35,000
235	14	153	....	38	Sooy, C. H.	190 Edgewood & 220 Mtgy. St., R. 702	800
236	14	165	....	37	Sprague, Miss L. V.	Fairmont Hotel	2,000
237	3	194	576	16	Spring, G. H.	2340 Gough St.	500
238	14	...	2193	23	Stafford, Harry	1140 Filbert St. & 870 Market St., R. 788.	1,925
239	14	176	....	27	Standard Metal P. Co.	558 Bryant St.	2,200
240	14	176	....	37	Standard Pharmacy	2598 San Bruno Ave.	800
241	14	185	....	21	Steele, H. M.	Palace Hotel	1,000
242	14	204	....	22	Stockholm & Allyn	1367-71-73 Post St.	600
243	5	220	988	9/11	Sudden, Mrs. M. E.	3730 Washington St.	15,500
244	14	219	....	31	Sudden & Christenson	230 California St., 6th Floor	125,000
245	5	8	....	29	Tarpey, M. F.	2185 Pacific Ave.	1,500
246	15	12	....	43	Taylor, Geo. I.	498 Carl St. & 24 Calif. St., R. 708.	2,500
247	15	26	....	43	Thane, B. L.	620 Market St.	3,000
248	15	47	....	17	Thornton, H. A.	504 Royal Ins. Bldg.	1,535
249	15	47	....	36	Thorpe & Sons, J. T.	461 Market St. & 398 Eighth St.	2,250
250	15	69	....	26	Tosi, D.	449 Fifteenth Ave.	900
251	15	86	....	17	Trouillet, Mme. J.	2131-33 Fillmore St.	1,000
253	15	92	....	17	Tufts, C. O.	135 Stockton St., Rs. 911-32.	1,500
254	15	94	....	18	Turner, A. H.	Fairmont Hotel	1,000
255	15	97	....	13	Turner Co.	272 Natoma St.	3,000
256	22	9	3635	4/7	Union Inv. Co.	1124-24a-28-30 38a-40-1132 Valencia St.	1,500
257	15	129	....	35	Union Music Co.	2704 Mission St.	1,000
258	15	132	....	6	Union Tailor Shop	582 California St.	1,000
259	15	135	....	49	United Shoe Machine Corp.	859 Mission St.	12,500
260	15	137	....	35	U. S. Machinery & Steel Co.	15 First St.	3,000
261	15	169	....	29	Vanucci & Marinal	396 Broadway St.	900
262	12	100	....	12	Pillsbury & Curtis	351 California St.	1,250
263	16	50	....	21	Weed, H. A.	996 Haight St.	750

No.	Vol.	Page	Dist.	Sub.	Name	Address	Value
264	16	64	....	13	Wellington Hotel	610 Geary St.	1,500
265	16	77	....	18	Western Horse Market	297 Valencia St.	1,000
266	16	83	....	21	Weymouth, C. B.	Fairmont Hotel	2,000
267	5	107	852	4	Wheaton, J. M.	134 Haight St.	300
268	16	90	....	37	White, Prost & Evans	620 Market St.	1,720
269	16	98	....	1	Wickercraft Co.	180 New Montgomery St.	1,000
270	16	110	....	19	Williard's	139-153 Geary St.	79,350
271	16	109	....	37	Willard, C. W.	864 Francisco St.	1,000
272	16	110	....	21	Willat Prod. Co.	243 Kearny St.	950
273	16	113	....	19	Williams, Dora	2 Spruce St.	1,500
274	16	116	....	28	Williams, Jno.	308 Laurel St.	1,250
275	16	117	....	28	Williams, Mrs. P.	Fairmont Hotel	1,000
276	16	123	....	36	Wilson, Edgar M.	220 Montgomery St., R. 1027.	3,750
277	16	127	....	16	Wilson & Neff	311 Harriett St.	1,200
278	16	141	....	14	Witter, Dean	3900 Washington St.	1,500
279	16	150	....	8	Women's Athletic Club	640 Sutter St.	1,500
280	7	89	....	17	Heller, E. S.	2020 Jackson St.	20,000
					<i>Estates in Probate Arbitrarily Assessed.</i>		
281	21	137	3609	81	Morrison, David, Extr.	Estate of Adelaide R. Howard, deceased., W. E. Dorn, Atty., Hearst Bldg.	6,000
282	5	188	962	1	Welch, A. P., Extr.	Estate of Bertha Welch, deceased, Lent & Humphrey, Attys., Mills Bldg.	500,000

## Arbitrary List Increased.

*Supervisor McLeran* moved that the arbitrary list be increased 25 per cent.  
Motion carried.

## List of Personal Property Deducted from the Rolls, 1922.

Vol.	Page	Block	Sub.		Deduct- tions
7	130	....	16	Hirschfeld Co., A. C., erroneously as- sessed for \$2,500, which should be can- celled .....	\$2,500
5	89	841	16/17	Keenan, Hugh, erroneously assessed for \$1,065; should be \$795.....	275
3	164	557	3	Tryon, E. deceased, Addie L. Tryon, ex- ecutor, erroneously assessed for \$52,925; should be \$48,925.....	4,000

## Personal Property Added to the Rolls.

Vol.	Page	Block	Sub.		Addi- tions
1	2	13	1	Esposito, Chas. ....	\$ 500
1	143	121	9	Wright, Mrs. M. ....	440
1	235	172	4	Nathan, J. and N. (leasehold interest)...	2,250
2	45	196	13	Pierce, Inc., H. and W. (leasehold inter- est) .....	3,150
2	77	220	6	La Model Apts. ....	500
2	98	228	6	Barry Co., Edward .....	185
2	193	302	29	Pacific Gas and Electric Co. ....	11,145
3	176	563	13	Mctson, W. H. ....	9,250
3	193	576	7	De Leon, Carmen .....	1,500
5	197	973	19/21	Dohrmann Co., A. B. C. ....	1,300
6	81	1038	40/41	Keenan, Hugh C. ....	135
8	145	1388	33	Hemrich, W. ....	500
9	18	1442	21	Tebbutt, Lloyd E. ....	220
10	146	1624	13	McLeod, C. S. ....	1,160
17	5	2629	17	Fletcher, Thos. ....	228
17	176	2778	12	O'Neill, H. ....	100
19	163	3163	27	McNamara, J. L. ....	210
19	175	3176	18	Barnes, F. C. ....	115
21	126	3607	37	McCallum, J. H. ....	6,171
21	157	3614	44	Lyons, David .....	400
21	172	3618	41/42	O'Toole, J. J. ....	600
22	85	3704	68	Meyer, H. G., deceased, H. Meyer et al., executrix .....	30,000
22	201	3734	18	Levy, Theo. M. ....	340
24	11	4039	1	Adams, C. F. ....	100
24	127	4153	1	Sanders & Scheib.....	700
31	29	5613	27	Evans Co. ....	450
40	91	7112	6	Iekler, H. ....	3,970
41	25	6423	1A	Mission Quarry Co. ....	2,400
4	21	630	31	Staschen, F. G. ....	525
7	216	1275A	26	Christiansen, Elinora T. ....	500
17	169	2773	3	Allbright, R. F. ....	280
35	9	6258	13/16	Whitelaw Wrecking Co. ....	15,200
35	131	6428	5	Sommers, Geo. ....	325
6	40	1012	5	Engelcke, E. ....	2,000
4	71	663	2	Countryman, R. H. ....	1,875

Assessor's List of Clerical Errors, 1922-1923.  
Improvements.

Vol.	Lot	Blk.	Name—	Assessment on Roll	Assessment After Correction
4	22	686	Protestant Episcopal Bishop of California .....	\$ 1,100	nil
6	14	1052	Mathilda Hirleman .....	3,100	2,800
9	24	1441	Union Oil Company .....	nil	1,000
15	24	2405	Parkside Realty Co. ....	nil	1,300
17	12	2648	John W. McCormick.....	750	1,600
22	9	3640	Maude C. Stande.....	800	nil
22	9-A	3640	George S. Crim.....	nil	800
23	24	3974	Union Oil Company .....	nil	1,000
31	3	5611	A. B. K. Warner.....	900	650
35	17	6259	Margt. A. Robinson.....	150	nil
36	1	6614	Alberto Rosa .....	9,700	8,500
15	24	2405	Parkside Realty Co. (E. A. Tay- lor S. E.) .....	1,300	1,100

## Real Estate.

Vol.	Lot	Blk.	Name—	Assessment on Roll	Assessment After Correction
2	7	282	Geo. Biber et al. ....	\$ 25,950	\$24,410
2	9	282	C. A. Meussdorffer.....	3,700	5,240
3	1	422	George E. Bates.....	4,870	3,450
3	2	422	Bates & Hanify.....	8,500	6,720
3	3	422	Bates, Geo. E. ....	5,380	3,750
3	4	422	Bates, Geo. E. (also assessed in Vol. 42 to Sierra & Power. Co.).	nil	3,750
4	22	686	Protestant Episcopal Bishop of California .....	2,250	nil
6	26	1023	Alfred Ingram .....	1,580	1,380
22	43	3636	Mission Lodge Masonic Hall Assn.	nil	4,420
33	39	6018	Bethany Presbyterian Church....	nil	530
33	40	6018	Bethany Presbyterian Church....	nil	400
38	11	6740	Presbytery of S. F. ....	nil	450
40	13	7173	Spring Valley Water Company...	300	230
40	14	7173	A. J. Ingraham.....	200	150
40	15/16	7173	Nannie E. Shoup.....	200 each	150 each
40	17	7173	John Johanson .....	200	150
40	18	7173	Fred S. Gladding et al. ....	200	150
40	19/20	7173	Addie Ghiradelli .....	200 each	150 each
40	21	7173	E. F. Joyse Fischer.....	200 each	150 each
40	23/24	7173	Richard Sachse .....	200 each	150 each
40	25	7173	Frank McGuire .....	200	150
40	26, 27	7173	Union Tr. Co., executor.....	200 each	150 each
40	28	7173	Edward Zeiph .....	200	150
40	29	7173	Atherton B. Snyder.....	200	150
40	30	7173	Edward P. Duffy .....	200	150
40	31	7173	Sarah Blumenau.....	350	260
40	1	7174	I. H. Goldmeyer.....	400	300
40	2 5	7174	I. H. Goldmeyer.....	200 each	150 each
40	6	7174	Louise Kendal .....	200	150
40	7	7174	Arthur J. Ingraham.....	200	150
40	8 9	7174	Anna E. Newcomb.....	200 each	150 each
40	10/11	7174	Bruno Sherock .....	200 each	150 each
40	12	7174	F. W. Mahan.....	200	150
40	13	7174	Bernard S. and Lina Feyling.....	200	150
40	14/16	7174	Margt. J. Gilmartin.....	200 each	150 each
40	17 18	7174	Adelina A. McIntyre.....	200 each	150 each
40	19	7174	Spring Valley Water Company...	400	350
41	3	7202	Spring Valley Water Company...	129,780	122,740
41	4	7201	Spring Valley Water Company...	74,500	70,600
41	5	7201	Spring Valley Water Company...	690,520	537,520
41	5-A	7201	Spring Valley Water Company...	nil	850
41	6	7201	Spring Valley Water Company...	34,170	27,380
41	7	7201	Spring Valley Water Company...	46,560	8,960
41	7-A	7201	Spring Valley Water Company...	nil	660
41	18	7201	Spring Valley Water Company...	701,500	549,810
41	18-E	7201	Spring Valley Water Company...	nil	850
42	4	422	Sierra and S. F. Power Company (also assessed in Vol. 3-422, Lot 4) .....	nil	3,750
10	5	1571	Cecelia McGlynn .....	7,120	6,400

## Report on Matters Referred.

## ASSESSOR'S OFFICE.

San Francisco, July 18, 1922.

Honorable Board of Supervisors—Gentlemen:

After consideration of the requests for reduction of assessed values that you referred to the Assessor, I recommend the following changes, to-wit:

No.	Name of Complainant—	Recommendation of Assessor
2	William H. Woodfield, Jr. .... R. E.	Red. \$85,370 to \$63,000
4	J. H. Thrane..... Imps.	Red. 7,000 to 6,300
15	L. Heynemann .....	Red. 1,500 to 1,000
16	Crocker Estate Company (S. E.)..... Imps.	Red. 1,100 to 395
17	Crocker Estate Company (S. E.)..... Imps.	Red. 900 to 75
22	Anna Anderson .....	Red. 600 to 450
25	Eliz. A. Leopas..... Imps.	Red. 18,400 to 16,000
29	Cathe. Falvey .....	Red. 6,000 to 5,400

No.	Name of Complainant—		Recommendation of Assessor
30	Ilya Angelich .....	Imps. Red.	3,400 to 2,800
33	C. F. Dickerson (S. E.) .....	R. E. Red.	1,070 to 70
37	Kathe. M. Buneman .....	Imps. Red.	6,000 to 5,000
38	L. E. Duncan .....	Imps. Red.	1,000 to 750
42	G. J. Terranova (S. E.) .....	Imps. Red.	2,000 to 1,000
49	E. J. Hasty .....	R. E. Red.	1,200 to 870
52	Fannie B. Matthews .....	Imps. Red.	3,200 to 2,700
53	Dan'l O'Connor (S. E.) .....	R. E. & Imps. Red.	290 to nil
55	I. H. Goldmeyer .....	R. E. See C. E. List	
68	Harry Otten, 1/3 int. (S. E.) .....	R. E. & Imps. Red.	2,260 to 1,260
71	D. G. A. O'Keefe .....	Imps. Red.	6,900 to 6,000
72	Olympic Club .....	R. E. Red.	86,940 to 30,180
73	Natoma Rice Milling Co. ....	Imps. Red.	62,000 to 47,000
75	Kinsey Estate Company .....	R. E. Red.	80,000 to 48,000
80	Mary C. Ambrose .....	Imps. Red.	3,000 to 2,500
81	Heyman & Bro. (S. E.) .....	R. E. Red.	760 to nil
83	S. V. Favilla .....	Imps. Red.	1,200 to 1,000
84	S. V. Favilla .....	Imps. Red.	1,000 to 800
88	John C. Graham .....	Imps. Red.	8,000 to 5,000
92	Union Iron Works .....	Imps. Red.	22,000 to 60
95	E. H. Mozart .....	Imps. Red.	7,000 to 6,000
99	W. G. Triebel (S. E.) .....	Imps. Red.	2,200 to 1,200
103	J. S. Boeddecker (S. E.) .....	Imps. Red.	1,800 to 800
104	John A. Gilmour (S. E.) .....	Imps. Red.	1,400 to 400
108	Lucie Marchebout .....	R. E. Red.	740 to 500
109	Lucie Marchebout .....	Imps. Red.	500 to 300
110	Grace Jackson .....	Imps. Red.	4,100 to 3,800
111	John J. Donovan .....	Imps. Red.	3,000 to 1,500
114	Chas. C. Doyle .....	R. E. & Imps. Red.	1,450 to 450
118	Chas. W. Lynch .....	Imps. Red.	17,200 to 15,000
119	John E. McVey .....	Imps. Red.	1,050 to 800
123	James J. Finnegan .....	Imps. Red.	1,100 to 800
127	Daniel J. O'Neil .....	Imps. Red.	2,000 to 1,800
129	Stanley Michaels (S. E.) .....	Imps. Red.	1,300 to 300
131	W. J. Dowling .....	Imps. Red.	500 to 10
133	Carl O. Carlsen (S. E.) .....	Imps. Red.	1,050 to 50

I recommend that the assessments of all other property, requests for the reduction of which were referred to the Assessor, be unchanged.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN GINTY, Assessor.

#### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board of Equalization at the hour of 12 o'clock noon adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

MONDAY, JULY 17, 1922, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, July 17, 1922, 2 p. m.  
The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

#### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Powers, Welch—2.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Shannon explained that his Honor the Mayor was busy with the Shipping Board and would not be able to attend.

Whereupon, Supervisor McLeran was called to the chair.

#### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of May 8, 1922, was considered read and approved.

#### ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

##### Letter of Thanks.

*Communication*—From Jesse C. Colman, chairman Citizens' Committee, Second Annual National Convention, Disabled American Veterans of the World War, expressing sincere appreciation for San Francisco's active participation in their recent convention.

Read and ordered filed.

##### Greater Northern California Campaign.

*Communication*—From Napa Chamber of Commerce, expressing appreciation for resolution pledging co-operation and support to the campaign initiated by the League of Newspapers

of Northern California for the development of this part of the State.

Also, from the Clerk, Trinity County, acknowledging receipt of resolution pledging support for Northern California Development Campaign.

Also, from Chico Chamber of Commerce, Sacramento Chamber of Commerce and Orland Chamber of Commerce, acknowledging receipt and appreciation of resolution pledging support to Greater Northern California Development Campaign.

#### REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.

The following committees, by their respective chairmen, presented reports on various matters referred, which reports were read and ordered *filed*:

Fire Committee, by Supervisor Deasy, chairman.

Supplies Committee, by Supervisor Rossi, chairman.

Relative to Subletting Contracts by Construction Company of North America.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, in hearings before the California Industrial Accident Commission in Groveland Friday and Saturday, July eighth and ninth, 1922, it was testified that certain state mining and tunneling rules and regulations have been consistently and knowingly violated by the Construction Company of North America, and

Whereas, at these hearings it was testified that the eight-hour law for miners of California had been violated by the Construction Company of North America, and

Whereas, J. F. Moffitt, special employment agent of the Hetch Hetchy water and power project, has admitted that men have been secured for work through private employment agencies and that no use will be made of the California Free Employment Office, thus compelling workers to pay fees for jobs, and

Whereas, it has been alleged that an unusually big labor turnover at Hetch Hetchy is due to inefficient hiring of men, coupled with poor working conditions, and

Whereas, the Construction Company of North America has been subletting almost all of its Hetch Hetchy work and receiving commissions thereon to subcontractors, some of whom are not even citizens of the United States, and

Whereas, the Treasurer's report stated that \$21,826,000 of four and one-half water bonds were sold for \$18,937,463, a direct loss of \$2,888,537 to the taxpayers of this city; therefore, be it

Resolved, That if the Construction Company of North America continues to violate state laws and regulations,

in the performance of their contract, this Board will advocate the abrogation of said contract, and

Resolved, That this Board is unalterably opposed to the subletting of Hetch Hetchy work, especially on a commission basis under a cost-plus contract and to men not citizens of the United States, and

Resolved, That a clearer explanation be given this Board by the Finance Committee than has been given relative to the alleged loss of \$2,888,537 in connection with the sale of \$21,826,000 water bonds, and

Resolved, That a financial statement be furnished to this Board of all expenditures of all money in connection with the Hetch Hetchy project to date, and that an estimate be furnished of the amount of money necessary over and above the \$45,000,000 already voted by the people, to bring water from the Hetch Hetchy to the boundaries of San Francisco.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

#### Motion.

*Supervisor McSheehy* moved that legible copies of the foregoing be sent to all members.

*So ordered.*

#### Report of Special Committee on Market Street Railway Purchase.

The following report was presented and read by the Clerk:

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco:

San Francisco, July 17, 1922.

We, the Committee appointed by the resolution of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to consider and negotiate concerning a purchase of the properties of the Market Street Railway Company by the City and County of San Francisco, beg leave to report as follows:

On December 6, 1921, this Board of Supervisors sat as a Committee of the Whole, and directed his Honor Mayor Jas. Rolph, Jr., City Engineer O'Shaughnessy, Supervisor Ralph McLeran and the Public Utilities Committee of this Board to negotiate with the representatives of the Market Street Railway Company for the possible purchase of the properties.

On December 6, 1921, the date of the first meeting, Hon. Edward I. Wolfe, an honored member of this Board, was chairman of the Public Utilities Committee and the ex-officio chairman of the Committee on proposed purchase.

Since that date God, in His infinite wisdom, has seen fit to remove from our midst our honored and esteemed friend and the negotiations from that date have proceeded without his able guidance and directing hand.

Pursuant to instructions, the following letter was sent to the Market Street Railway Company on December 13, 1921:

"December 13, 1921.

Market Street Railway Co., 58 Sutter street, San Francisco, Calif.

Gentlemen:

The Board of Supervisors has had under consideration petitions requesting negotiations looking to the possibility of purchase of your properties by the City and County. The Board adopted a report of Committee of the Whole, which directs that the following communication be sent to you:

'Is the Market Street Railway Company willing to sell its properties to the City and County, at what price and under what terms, consistent with Charter Amendment No. 30 (purchase payment to be made from revenue of the utility)?'

Sincerely yours,  
Clerk."

On December 17, 1921, the following answer was sent by the Market Street Railway Company to the City:

"December 17, 1921.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

We acknowledge receipt of your letter of December 13, 1921, respecting negotiations looking to the purchase of our properties by the City and County of San Francisco.

The question of the sale of these properties to the municipality has never been formally laid before the stockholders, and it is unnecessary to say that such a step cannot be taken until a concrete and detailed plan has been worked out.

Our stockholders, of course, are generally familiar with the long-maintained policy of the municipality respecting the acquisition and operation of public utilities, and many of them have discussed amongst themselves from time to time the application of this policy to our properties; and we know from these discussions that, if the municipality desires to buy the properties, it is the sentiment of the stockholders that it should have an opportunity to do so.

We suggest to your Honorable Board that representatives of the municipality be appointed by you to meet with representatives of this Company to discuss values and details for the possible acquisition of the properties and to formulate a plan to be first submitted to your Honorable Board and, if approved by you, submitted to the stockholders of the Company and, if

approved by them, in turn submitted to the voters.

Respectfully,

MARKET STREET RAILWAY COMPANY,

By WM. VON PHUL, President.

GEO. D. WILLCUTT, Secretary."

At the next meeting, which was in the form of a conference, the entire membership of the Board of Supervisors having been invited, as well as the members of the Committee and Mr. Wm. von Phul, representing the Market Street Railway Company, it was carried, on motion of Supervisor E. E. Schmitz, that City Attorney George Lull and the attorneys for the Market Street Railway Company get together and formulate a legal plan of purchase for presentation to the Committee.

On March 11, 1922, at a conference to which all the members of the Board were invited and which was attended by Mr. Wm. von Phul and Mr. Wm. M. Abbott, representing the Market Street Railway Company, the following plan was presented and briefly discussed:

"City Attorney, San Francisco.

March 11, 1922.

Warren Shannon, Esq., Chairman,  
Special Committee of Proposed Purchase Market Street Railway Company's Properties.

Dear Sir:

At the last meeting of the Special Committee on the Proposed Purchase of the Market Street Railway Properties a resolution was adopted directing me to take up with the attorneys for the Company any legal questions involved and the proposing of a plan which might form the basis of an agreement for the purchase of the properties.

I have had a number of meetings with the attorney for the Company and I now submit to you the following:

At the outset I will say it is my understanding that the Special Committee desires to proceed, so far as practicable, under the provisions of Amendment No. 30 to the Charter, adopted at the last municipal election. Two questions immediately presented themselves to us in our consideration of this matter.

First: The Company operates the San Mateo line, which extends beyond the limits of the City and County. Could this part of the system be purchased and operated by the City without a charter amendment authorizing the City to acquire and operate properties extending beyond the City limits?

Second: There is an outstanding bonded indebtedness on the properties of the Company amounting to approximately \$14,000,000, which must be re-

tired in 1924. How can the payment of these bonds be provided for?

Section 19 of Article XI of the Constitution of the State authorizes municipalities to operate certain public utilities, including transportation systems, and to furnish such service to persons outside of the limits of municipalities, but we have no charter authority for the operation of street railway lines beyond the City limits to make this constitutional provision effective. It would, therefore, be necessary for the City to adopt a charter amendment clearly giving to the City the right to operate the San Mateo line.

The matter of taking care of the outstanding bonded indebtedness of the Company presents a more complicated problem. The City must be assured that these bonds will be paid when due. It is apparent that the gross receipts would not be sufficient to retire these bonds in 1924, under a so-called pay-as-you-go plan or the payment of stipulated sums at fixed periods out of the gross income from the roads. After giving this matter very careful consideration we have concluded that the only practical plan would be for the City to vote a general bond issue of at least \$14,000,000 to take up the outstanding bonds of the Company when they fall due in 1924. The payment of the remainder of the purchase price can be provided by contract to be paid in installments out of the gross earnings of the roads. Under this plan a charter amendment might be framed which would permit the operation of the San Mateo line, authorize the issuance of \$14,000,000 of bonds required to retire the outstanding bonds, and also provide for the payment of the balance of the purchase price in installments from the receipts of the roads. Such an amendment would require a two-thirds vote, owing to the fact that the question of the issuance of bonds would be involved.

This—what might be called a split plan—is practicable and elastic and will enable the City to arrange its payments for the road advantageously and secure the lowest possible interest rates.

I am advised by the Company's legal representative that if the City will issue \$14,000,000 worth of bonds necessary to retire the outstanding bonds against the system in 1924 the Company will be willing to agree that the remainder of the purchase price be paid out of the income from the roads.

I have not attempted to work out the details of such an agreement for the reason that the price to be paid for the properties, the terms of payment, interest rates, etc., should be

determined before the draft in detail is prepared.

Respectfully submitted,

GEORGE LULL,  
City Attorney."

At the meeting March 15, 1922, the proposed plan was discussed at greater length, as were values as well, and it was decided to have this Committee set a price that it was willing to submit to the Market Street Railway Company.

Numerous meetings were held and several proposals for submission to the Company were discussed, but no definite figure was at any time agreed to by a majority of the Committee.

At the last meeting of the Committee, held June 2, 1922, it was moved by Supervisor McLeran, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, that we write another letter to the Market Street Railway Company asking it to submit a figure that it would take for the properties, and further asking how the figure is arrived at. Accordingly, on June 14, 1922, the following letter was sent:

"June 14, 1922.  
Market Street Railway Company, 58  
Sutter street, San Francisco, Calif.  
Gentlemen:

The Committee authorized by the Board of Supervisors to consider and negotiate concerning the possible purchase of the Market Street Railway Company properties has had several conferences without arriving at an agreement as to the value of said properties.

Therefore, at the last meeting, a motion was adopted requesting the Market Street Railway Company to submit a definite proposition as to the price at which it is willing to sell its properties to the City and County.

If possible, the Committee would like your company to also submit a basis upon which you arrive at valuation.

Inasmuch as this matter has been under consideration for some time and the Committee, of which Supervisor Shannon is chairman, desires to bring it to a conclusion, you are earnestly and respectfully requested to present your proposition by July 1st.

Very truly yours,

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk."

On July 7, 1922, the following answer was sent to the Committee by the Market Street Railway Company:

"July 7, 1922.  
Hon. Warren Shannon, Chairman, and  
Members of the Committee appointed by the Board of Supervisors to consider and negotiate concerning Possible Purchase of Market Street Railway Company Properties, San Francisco.  
Gentlemen:

We beg to acknowledge receipt of

your letter of June 14th, and in reply we would respectfully call your attention to the fact that this movement to purchase the properties of the Market Street Railway Company was not inaugurated by us but by the people of San Francisco, who have repeatedly expressed themselves as strongly favoring the acquirement of the public utilities of the City.

It is practically impossible to make a categorical reply to your letter of the 14th, as without first a discussion of terms and conditions a definite price could not be named.

In our letter of December 17, 1921, we informed the Board of Supervisors that if the municipality desired to buy the properties it was the sentiment of our stockholders that it should have an opportunity to do so; and therein we suggested a conference of representatives to discuss values and formulate a plan of purchase to be first submitted to the Board of Supervisors and, if approved by it, then to our stockholders. Nothing substantial has happened in line with these suggestions. Indeed, we learn through the public prints that there is a divergence of sentiment respecting the purchase and a wide range of estimates of value amongst the members of the Committee which renders it doubtful whether the plan of purchase is now to be pursued or deferred.

In view of the absence of a definite determination to buy and a settlement by conference respecting plans and values, we feel that no progress can be made, although in that connection we desire to assure you that the disposition of the Company and of its stockholders expressed in our letter of December 17, 1921, remains unchanged, and the officers of the Market Street Railway Company are prepared to meet with your Committee at any time for a discussion.

Respectfully,

MARKET STREET RAILWAY  
COMPANY,

By CHAS. N. BLACK, President.  
GEO. P. WILLCUTT, Secretary."

It is plain to be seen that the letter of July 7, 1922, is in detail a duplication of the letter of December 17, 1921, and the Company insists that we make the offer, and it diplomatically refuses.

Inasmuch as we are unable to agree upon a price and as the Company declines to make an offering price, the Committee feels that further negotiations or meetings are useless and respectfully requests that further consideration of this matter be postponed indefinitely.

Respectfully submitted,

WARREN SHANNON, Chairman.  
R. McLERAN.  
E. E. SCHMITZ.  
MARGARET MARY MORGAN.

M. M. O'SHAUGHNESSY.

GEORGE LULL.

JOSEPH MULVIHILL.

JAS. B. MCSHEEHY.

JAMES ROLPH, JR.

(P. S. Stenographic reports, containing all details of meetings held, are in possession of the Clerk of this Board.)

#### Motion.

*Supervisor Shannon* moved adoption of the Committee's report.

*Supervisor Hayden* moved as an amendment that report be laid over and made a Special Order of Business for 3 p. m. two weeks from today.

Amendment *carried*.

#### Mayor's Veto.

The following matter, laid over from a previous meeting, was taken up:

Resolution No. 20063 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from M. C. McGrath to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements situate on the north line of Hayes street, distant 100 feet easterly from Cole street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land with improvements thereon is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved. That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of \$14,500 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Hayes street, distant thereon 100 feet easterly from the easterly line of Cole street, running thence easterly along said northerly line of Hayes street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 137 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 137 feet 6 inches to the northerly line of Hayes street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 685.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and improvements, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon the payment of the agreed purchase price as

aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

**Veto Sustained.**

The question being taken on the foregoing resolution "Shall the resolution pass notwithstanding the veto of his Honor the Mayor, the Roll was called and the veto sustained by the following vote:

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Powers, Welch—2.

**Board Resents Criticism of A. J. Gallagher, Southern Promotion Association.**

Supervisors Schmitz, Hayden, Hynes and McLeran, upon the appearance of A. J. Gallagher, Industrial Director of the Southern Promotion Association in the Chambers, asked who was responsible for a letter signed by A. Rhinehardt, president of the Southern Promotion Association, criticizing the Board of Supervisors for not appropriating larger amounts of money for improvements in the South of Market District and accusing members of the Board as having violated their pledges made at the time of the election.

Mr. Gallagher declared that he alone was responsible for the criticism and assumed full responsibility for every statement made therein.

Whereupon, Supervisors Schmitz, Hayden, Hynes, McLeran and Morgan denounced the statements in the communication as unwarranted and unjust, declaring that there yet remained three of the four years of the lives of their election to carry out any promises they may have made in this respect.

**UNFINISHED BUSINESS.**

**Final Passage.**

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and finally passed by the following vote:

**Authorizations.**

Resolution No. 20103 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

*Special School Tax, 1921-1922.*

(1) Anderson & Ringrose, 5th payment, general construction of Parkside School (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$10,497.75.

(2) John Reid, Jr., 3rd payment, architectural services, Andrew Jackson School (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$985.33.

*School Bonds, 1918.*

(3) Andrew Holle, 3rd payment, plumbing on Crocker-Amazon School (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$2,981.25.

(4) G. P. W. Jensen, 5th payment, general construction of Crocker-Amazon School (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$5,049.75.

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(5) Western Pipe & Steel Co., 19th payment, Hetch Hetchy air pipe (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$910.60.

(6) William Cluff Co., food supplies (claim dated June 29, 1922), \$507.

(7) Western Meat Co., meats (claim dated June 29, 1922), \$535.40.

(8) L. Dinkelspiel Co. Inc., dry goods (claim dated June 29, 1922), \$547.74.

(9) J. H. Newbauer & Co., food-stuffs (claim dated June 29, 1922), \$675.91.

(10) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., hardware (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$718.63.

(11) Standard Oil Co., oil, etc. (claim dated June 29, 1922), \$730.66.

(12) Standard Oil Co., oil, etc. (claim dated June 29, 1922), \$800.35.

(13) Sperry Flour Co., flour (claim dated June 29, 1922), \$1,062.60.

(14) H. E. Teller Co., coffee (claim dated June 29, 1922), \$1,093.64.

(15) W. D. Ayers Co., butter (claim dated June 29, 1922), \$1,180.80.

(16) Hercules Powder Co., explosives (claim dated June 29, 1922), \$1,467.02.

(17) Baumgarten Bros., meats (claim dated June 29, 1922), \$1,864.87.

(18) Haas Brothers, groceries (claim dated June 29, 1922), \$2,084.78.

(19) Utah Construction Co., Hetch Hetchy reservoir site clearings (claim dated June 29, 1922), \$2,519.41.

*General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(20) Neal, Stratford & Kerr, city printing (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,011.98.

(21) A. Carlisle & Co., binders furnished Recorder (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$892.50.

(22) Buckley & Curtin, departmental fee books (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,040.49.

(23) The San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,000.

(24) Spring Valley Water Co., water through hydrants, Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$10,900.

(25) Frederick A. Hamilton, sterilizer, Emergency Hospital (claim dated June 26, 1922), \$870.

(26) California Meat Co., meats, Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$719.85.

(27) John G. Ils & Co., steam ket-

bles, Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$700.

(28) C. Nauman & Co., fruits, etc., Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$727.61.

(29) Producers Hay Co., alfalfa, Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$675.96.

(30) Sperry Flour Co., flour, Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,138.50.

(31) E. J. Treacy, final payment, widening of Divisadero street from Haight to Sacramento streets (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$681.97.

(32) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., royalties, Lutz Surface Heater Machines (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,281.60.

(33) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement, street repair (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$3,853.79.

(34) Standard Oil Co., asphalt, street repair (claim dated June 24, 1922), \$593.09.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Powers, Welch—2.

#### Authorizations.

Resolution No. 20104 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(1) Hercules Powder Co., wire and exploders, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated June 27, 1922), \$513.20.

(2) Joshua Hendy Iron Works, ore cars (claim dated June 27, 1922), \$571.57.

(3) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., hardware (claim dated June 26, 1922), \$583.02.

(4) The White Co., automobile parts (claim dated June 27, 1922), \$585.52.

(5) Parrott & Co., safety fuse (claim dated June 27, 1922), \$609.01.

(6) Standard Oil Co., oils, etc. (claim dated June 27, 1922), \$637.76.

(7) Union Oil Co., fuel oil (claim dated June 27, 1922), \$1,747.71.

(8) Pacific Electric Mfg. Co., circuit breakers and switch (claim dated June 27, 1922), \$2,012.80.

(9) George H. Tay Co., black pipe and casing (claim dated June 27, 1922), \$2,678.32.

(10) The Utah Construction Co., extra work and merchandise furnished, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated June 26, 1922), \$4,653.29.

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(11) Helen S. Becker, second payment, heating and ventilating, Mission High School addition (claim dated June 28, 1922), \$3,836.24.

*Special School Tax, 1921-1922.*

(12) P. F. Reilly, first payment, construction of temporary buildings for Mission High and Humboldt Evening schools (claim dated June 28, 1922), \$30,757.50.

*Auditorium Fund.*

(13) San Francisco Real Estate Board, refund of deposit as bond for occupancy of Auditorium May 31-June 3, 1922 (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,000.

*Park Fund.*

(14) Montague Pipe & Steel Co., galvanized casing for parks (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$505.20.

(15) Levin-Vincent Co., grass seed (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$845.

(16) Spring Valley Water Co., furnishing and installing water system for Municipal Golf Links (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$3,752.98.

*General Fund, 1922-1923.*

(17) Thomas F. Boyle, as Treasurer Fourth of July Celebration Committee, for expenses of celebration of July 4, 1922 (claim dated July 3, 1922), \$2,500.

*General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(18) Chase & Rae, printing delinquent tax list (claim dated June 28, 1922), \$2,243.46.

(19) Remington Typewriter Co., 15 typewriters for Recorder's office (claim dated June 26, 1922), \$1,306.20.

(20) Napa State Hospital, maintenance of criminal insane for quarter ending June 30, 1922 (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$530.66.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Powers, Welch—2.

*Appropriation, \$700,000, Construction of Pulgas Tunnel, Peninsular Division, Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct.*

Resolution No. 20105 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of seven hundred thousand dollars (\$700,000) be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910, to cover cost of construction of the Pulgas tunnel in the peninsular division of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct, Hetch Hetchy water supply; as per contract No. 85, awarded to Grant Smith & Co. at \$683,050; and including possible bonus and contingencies at \$16,950.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman,

Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Powers, Welch—2.

Appropriation, \$3,000, Plumbing, Carpentry, etc., Fire Department Boat No. 2.

Resolution No. 20106 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$3,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Miscellaneous Repairs, etc., to Public Buildings," Budget Item No. 60, Fiscal Year 1922-1923, for installation of plumbing, fixtures, carpentry work, painting, etc., in new quarters for Fire Department Boat No. 2.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Powers, Welch—2.

#### Permits.

Resolution No. 20107 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

#### *Automobile Supply Station.*

Union Oil Co. of California, at the southwest corner of Mission and Army streets; also to store 1200 gallons of gasoline on premises.

#### *Oil Storage Tank.*

Leighton's Dairy Lunch, at 724 Howard street; 600 gallons capacity.

Meyer Wood, at northwest corner of Jackson and Franklin streets; 1500 gallons capacity.

Purity Candy Co., at 601 Grove street; 1500 gallons capacity.

T. D. Harter, on north side of Geary street, 150 feet west of Parker avenue; 1500 gallons capacity.

L. Devincenzi, at northwest corner of Hyde and Vallejo streets; 1500 gallons capacity.

#### *Boiler.*

Purity Candy Co., at 601 Grove street; 40 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Powers, Welch—2.

(Pasqualetti garage permit laid over two weeks.)

#### Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 20108 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Carlin Grading Com-

pany is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property situate on the west side of Grant avenue, 62 feet 6 inches south of Pine street; provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of \$15,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction and under the supervision of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by the said Carlin Grading Company then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

This permit shall expire July 21, 1922.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Powers, Welch—2.

#### Permits.

Resolution No. 20109 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

#### *Automobile Supply Station.*

Standard Oil Company, at the southwest corner of Forty-ninth avenue and Lincoln way; also to store 1200 gallons of gasoline on premises.

#### *Oil Storage Tank.*

(1500 gallons capacity.)

T. I. Strand, on east side of Sixteenth avenue, 50 feet north of Clement street.

Miss G. Jacobs, at 1375 California street.

L. B. Ham, on south side of Sutter street, 100 feet west of Leavenworth street.

George W. Burnett, on south side of California street, 40 feet east of Octavia street.

San Francisco News Co., on west side of Hawthorne street, 75 feet south of Howard street.

P. J. Phelan, on east side of Twenty-fourth avenue, 50 feet south of Lake street.

J. H. Newbauer, at 170 West Clay Park, 600 gallons' capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill,

Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Powers, Welch—2.

#### Amendment to Zoning Ordinance.

Bill No. 6671, Ordinance No. 5661 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings and the location of buildings designed for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the use of property zone map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the block bounded by Turk street, Eddy street, Pierce street and Steiner street in the light industrial district instead of the commercial and second residential districts as now zoned.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Powers, Welch—2.

#### Conditional Acceptance, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 6672, Ordinance No. 5662 (New Series), entitled "Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Crant street between Ney and Maynard streets; Cabrillo street between Thirty-fourth and Thirty-fifth avenues; Cabrillo street between the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue and Thirty-fourth avenue, including the crossing of Cabrillo and Thirty-third avenue; Forty-second avenue between Cabrillo and Fulton streets; Forty-eighth avenue between Cabrillo and Fulton streets; Flood avenue between Foerster and Genesee streets; Hodges alley between Vallejo street and its northerly termination; Louisburg street between Niagara and Geneva avenues; Lawton street between Nineteenth and Twentieth avenues; Orange alley between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth streets; Twenty-second street between Mississippi and Texas streets; Texas street between Twenty-second and Sierra streets, and the intersection of Texas and Sierra streets."

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Powers, Welch—2.

#### REPORT OF FINANCE COMMITTEE.

Demands on the Treasury amounting to \$167,159.39, including the following Urgent Necessity, were presented and approved by the following vote:

##### *Urgent Necessity.*

Pac. Telephone and Telegraph Company, official 'phone calls, \$6.72.

Spring Valley Water Co., water, public troughs, \$93.95.

Sabina M. Churchill, compensation insurance, \$92.25.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Powers, Welch—2.

#### NEW BUSINESS.

##### Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

##### Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McLeran: Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

##### *Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.*

(1) Continental Construction Co., second payment, installation of concrete poles and electric conductors, Taraval street line of Municipal Railways (claim dated July 10, 1922), \$975.

(2) Eaton & Smith, second payment, construction of Taraval street line of Municipal Railways (claim dated July 10, 1922), \$11,400.

##### *School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(3) A. Lettich, third payment, plumbing, Columbus School (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$507.61.

(4) J. E. O'Mara, final payment, plumbing and heating, addition to McKinley School (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$2,337.50.

(5) E. E. Etherton Co., sixth payment, general construction, Adams School (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$4,632.19.

(6) E. E. Etherton Co., seventh payment, general construction, McKinley School (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$12,985.43.

##### *Municipal Railway Fund.*

(7) Hancock Bros., printing transfers (claim dated July 13, 1922), \$732.

(8) Frank F. Bodler, bronze motor bearings (claim dated July 13, 1922), \$1,570.

(9) Market Street Railway Co., re-

pairs to Municipal Railway tracks (claim dated July 13, 1922), \$2,462.44.

(10) American Brake Shoe Foundry Co., car brake shoes (claim dated July 13, 1922), \$4,790.43.

*Park Fund, 1922-1923.*

(11) Hertz & Robertson, services rendered in connection with parks and playgrounds (claim dated July 14, 1922), \$2,000.

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(12) Utah Construction Co., thirty-second payment, construction of Hetch Hetchy dam and appurtenances (claim dated July 12, 1922), \$233,019.74.

*General Fund, 1920-1921.*

(13) Louis J. Cohn, second payment, construction of exhibition structure, nave of Ferry Building (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$2,807.85.

*General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(14) James M. Smith, first payment, City's portion of improvement of Key avenue, Railroad avenue to Jennings street (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$3,750.

(15) I. M. Sommer & Co., second payment, construction Fire Department drill tower (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$2,601.52.

(16) Shell Co. of Cal., gasoline, etc., Board of Public Works (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,723.95.

(17) Standard Oil Co., asphalt, etc., Board of Public Works (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$2,514.76.

(18) Western Rock Products Co., sand, Board of Public Works (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$3,208.03.

(19) Spring Valley Water Co., water, public buildings (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,446.30.

(20) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$2,897.42.

(21) Baumgarten Bros., meats, Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$2,850.91.

(22) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs, Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$516.24.

(23) Miller & Lux, meat, Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$753.36.

(24) Sherry Bros., butter, etc., Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$594.94.

(25) Standard Oil Co., fuel oil, Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,820.99.

(26) Herbert F. Dugan, drugs, etc., San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,312.35.

(27) Hooper & Jennings, groceries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,238.73.

(28) Wm. Cluff Co., groceries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,008.21.

(29) Miller & Lux Inc., meats, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,153.63.

(30) California meat Co., meat, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$650.66.

(31) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$3,049.84.

(32) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,198.90.

(33) C. Nauman & Co., vegetables, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,666.28.

(34) Bockmann-Rusch Hardware Co., hardware supplies, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$520.02.

(35) Associated Oil Co., fuel oil, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$2,897.74.

(36) Spring Valley Water Co., water for hospitals (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,262.06.

(37) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$578.96.

(38) Schultz Construction Co., second payment, Liberty and Sanchez streets improvement (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$15,000.

(39) The Recorder Printing & Publishing Co., printing Law and Motion Calendar, etc. (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$665.

(40) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., June street lighting (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$45,113.

(41) Roman Catholic Orphan Asylum, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 11, 1922), \$2,705.64.

(42) Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 11, 1922), \$1,721.03.

(43) St. Mary's Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 11, 1922), \$600.18.

(44) Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 11, 1922), \$740.85.

(45) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 11, 1922), \$1,084.63.

(46) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 11, 1922), \$1,425.70.

(47) St. Catherine's Training Home, maintenance of minors at Magdalen Asylum (claim dated June 11, 1922), \$645.05.

(48) A. Carlisle & Co., printing, Department of Elections (claim dated June 13, 1922), \$839.04.

(49) R. Brandlein & Co., mill work, Department of Elections (claim dated June 13, 1922), \$5,644.

*General Fund, 1922-1923.*

(50) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated July 11, 1922), \$17,112.19.

(51) Little Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated July 11, 1922), \$9,105.

(52) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated July 11, 1922), \$3,585.76.

(53) The Fay Improvement Co., street improvement at crossing of Army and Third streets (claim dated July 13, 1922), \$1,341.01.

(54) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated July 14, 1922), \$940.99.

(55) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated July 14, 1922), \$10,477.22.

(56) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated July 14, 1922), \$8,394.50.

(57) J. E. French Co., one Dodge touring car, Department of Public Health (claim dated July 10, 1922), \$1,077.

Appropriation, \$5000. Plans, etc., Additional Unit, Arroyo Sanatorium.

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$5,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of General Fund, 1921-1922, and authorized in payment to the County of Alameda, State of California, for expense of preparation of plans and specifications, including architect's fee, for and on account of construction of additional unit to the Arroyo Sanatorium, Alameda County, State of California, in accordance with agreement entered into between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda, the 11th day of March, 1922; and further

Resolved, That Resolution No. 19791 (New Series) be and the same is hereby repealed.

Appropriations, Taraval Street Line.

On motion of Supervisor McLeran:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund for extra work in construction of the Taraval street line of Municipal Railways, under contract No. 130, by Eaton & Smith, to-wit:

For certain grading work, as directed by the City Engineer, on Taraval street between Thirty-seventh and Forty-eighth avenues, approximately \$6,300.

For installation of header blocks along tracks being constructed at unit price, \$11,600. (Additional to appropriations heretofore made.)

Appropriations.

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 20110 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved. That the following amounts

be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

*Extension of Main Sewers, Budget Item No. 47.*

(1) For City's portion of construction of sewer in Taraval street between Thirty-eighth and Thirty-ninth avenues, \$495.

*Street Work in Front of City Property, Budget Item No. 45.*

(2) For City's portion of improvement of intersection of Hodges alley and Vallejo street, \$150.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmiztz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Powers, Welch—2.

Action Deferred.

The following bill was on motion laid over two weeks:

Additional Positions Ordinance.

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Creating position, fixing the compensation thereof and authorizing the appointment thereto in accordance with the provisions of Section 35, Article XVI of the Charter, of additional deputies, clerks and employees in the various offices, boards and departments of the City and County of San Francisco, re-enacting in modified form the several ordinances authorizing such appointments, and repealing such ordinances in so far as they create positions and fix salaries.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were passed for printing:

Additional Copyists, Recorder.

On motion of Supervisor McLeran: Bill No. 6073, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled, "Authorizing the Recorder to appoint five additional copyists, grade three, for six months ending December 31, 1922, at a monthly salary of \$165 each."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor the Recorder of the City and County is hereby authorized to appoint five additional copyists, grade three, for a period of six months, ending December 31, 1922, at a salary of \$165 per month each.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect July 1, 1922.

Changing Name of Union Square Avenue to Maiden Lane.

Also, Bill No. 6074, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled, "Changing

name of Union Square avenue to Maiden lane and Maiden lane to Hs street."

**Passed for Printing.**

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

**Permits.**

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:  
Resolution No. — (New Series),  
as follows:

Resolved, That the following **revocable permits** are hereby granted:

*Transfer Public Garage.*

To O. F. Werner, permit granted by Resolution No. 18340 (New Series) to Gus Jansen, for premises situate 2080 Market street.

*Oil Storage Tank.*

T. Hammill, at northwest corner of Geary street and Twenty-seventh avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

George A. Williams, on south side of Bush street, 150 feet west of Steiner street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Edward Dial, at southwest corner of Yerba Buena and San Pablo avenues, 600 gallons capacity.

*Boiler.*

C. J. Tucker (Keystone Apartments), at 1369 Hyde street, 75 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

**Install Street Lights.**

Supervisor Power presented:  
Resolution No. 20111 (New Series),  
as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company is hereby instructed to move lamp post in front of 1920 Broderick street a distance of 10 feet.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Powers, Welch—2.

**Extension of Time.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:  
Resolution No. 20112 (New Series),  
as follows:

Resolved, That City Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after July 14, 1922, within which to complete contract for improvement of Hearst avenue between Edna and Foerster streets, under public contract.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that contractor has been delayed by a shortage of cement.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Powers, Welch—2.

**Award of Contract, Typewriter Ribbons.**

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 20113 (New Series),  
as follows:

Resolved, That the contract for furnishing and delivering typewriter ribbons for use of the various departments of the City and County for the fiscal year 1922-1923 is hereby awarded to Ink Ribbon Manufacturing Company at the following prices, viz.:

Items Nos. 198 and 199 at 25 cents each; and the bond is fixed as set forth in Resolution No. 20096 (New Series), which bond in this case shall stand and cover the contract hereby awarded.

All other bids for the foregoing are hereby rejected.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Powers, Welch—2.

**Mayor to Auction Fire Department Property.**

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 20114 (New Series),  
as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor is hereby authorized and requested, pursuant to petition filed by the Board of Fire Commissioners, to sell at public auction the following personal property unfit and unnecessary for the use of the City and County of San Francisco, viz.:

Horse-drawn steam engines Nos. 270, 276, 341, 371, 387, 399, 557, 2211, 2268, 2309, 2310, 2399, 2624, 2675, 2676, 3068, 3213 and 3251.

Horse-drawn hose wagons Nos. 1, 3, 13, 14, 18, 27, 28, 30, 34, 35, 39, 40, 42, 47 and 55.

Buggies Nos. 2, 38, 39 and 41.

Horse supply wagon (wrecking) No. 1.

Supply wagon (single) No. 1.

Work wagon (single) No. 4.

Old running gear, junk, etc., at the Corporation Yard.

Old harness and harness supplies as follows: 17 sets harness less reins, 18 sets buggy harness, 223 collars and harness, 350 traces, 190 breechings, 153 back-strap pads, 120 parts of bridles, 12 old team collars, 13 pairs Concord hames, 22 parts of halters, 12 whole sweat-pads, 12 halves sweat-pads, 8 doz. celluloid rings, 14 doz. 2-inch lock snaps, 14 doz. rosettes, 11 old crupper-decks, 5 pairs  $\frac{3}{4}$  winkers, 8 doz. 2-in. Yankee hold-back snaps, 13 doz. 2-in. Japan buckles, 14 only saddle-trees, 8 only check bits, 4 only wire bits, 1 collar block, 63 old bits, 37 wagon wheels, 91 wagon springs, 24 heaters (barrel),

5 galvanized domestic hot-water boilers, 10 radiators (sectional), 1000 lbs. old drive chains (steel), 2 tons old pneumatic auto tires and tubes, 10 old heating stoves, 12 iron beds, 5 sacks old rubber valves, 1 old gas range, 8 22-ft. extension ladders, 1 24-ft. trussed extension ladder, 1 old ship range, 500 lbs. cotton hose jackets, 4000 ft. 2½-in. cotton hose without couplings, 6000 ft. 2¾-in. cotton hose without couplings, 2550 ft. 3-in. cotton hose without couplings.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon—16.

Absent—Supervisors Powers, Welch—2.

#### Award of Contract, General Supplies.

The following resolution was presented by Supervisor Rossi:

Resolution No. 20115 (New Series), as follows:

Awarding contracts for furnishing supplies for use of the public institutions and departments of the City and County of San Francisco for which the Board of Supervisors is required to make contracts required during the fiscal year commencing July 1, 1922, and ending June 30, 1923, to certain persons, firms or corporations in strict conformity with their bids submitted May 1, 1922.

#### Motion.

*Supervisor Rossi* moved that we rescind previous action postponing for two weeks consideration of matters about which there is a difference of opinion among the members with particular reference to the foregoing resolution.

*Motion carried by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—15.

No—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Absent—Supervisors Powers, Welch—2.

#### Adopted.

Whereupon, *Supervisor Deasy* moved that the foregoing resolution be adopted with the "drug items" eliminated.

*Motion carried by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Powers, Welch—2.

#### Award of Contract for Drugs.

Whereupon, the following resolution

awarding contracts for drugs was presented:

Resolution No. 20116 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That contracts for furnishing supplies for use of the public institutions and departments of the City and County of San Francisco for which the Board of Supervisors is required to make contracts required during the fiscal year commencing July 1, 1922, and ending June 30, 1923, be and the same are hereby awarded to the following persons, firms or corporations, in strict conformity with their bids submitted May 1, 1922; that the amounts of the surety bonds required for the faithful performance of said contracts are hereby fixed at the amounts set below their respective names; that said contracts are hereby awarded as per the item number and article enumerated and appearing in their respective bids, viz.:

3—H. D. Dietrich, Manager.

(Bond Fixed at \$500.)

15124 roll . . . . .	\$ .76
15167 box . . . . .	5.50

5—Johnson & Johnson.

(Bond fixed at \$1,000.)

15125 No. 1, doz. . . . .	\$2.66
15143 Lee, pound . . . . .	.33
15150 (a) roll . . . . .	3.70
15150 (b) roll . . . . .	3.00

15152 J. & J. list No. 65 with wholesale discounts of sheet dated Nov. 19, 1921, with a guarantee against any advance in price and in the event of a decline the city shall receive the benefit thereof.	
---	--

15153 J. & J., or Van Horn, doz., tubes . . . . .	1.75
15154 pound . . . . .	.75
15157 roll . . . . .	3.83
15163 roll . . . . .	1.00
15164 (b) yard . . . . .	.93
15164 (c) yard . . . . .	1.40
15168 dozen . . . . .	1.33

Further Resolved, That the sufficiency of the sureties on the above enumerated bonds shall be subject to the approval of his Honor the Mayor.

Further Resolved, That all other bids submitted for said articles are hereby rejected.

#### Minority Report.

*Supervisor McSheehy* moved the adoption of the following report:

San Francisco, Cal., July 5, 1922.  
To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, California.  
Gentlemen:

As a member of the Supplies Committee, I herewith file a minority report in reference to the acceptance of the proposals of Johnson & Johnson and H. D. Dietrich or either of them to furnish drugs and hospital supplies for the City and County for the fiscal

years of 1922 and 1923. I respectfully recommend that the entire bids of Johnson & Johnson and H. D. Dietrich, respectively, be rejected for the following reasons:

1. The proposals of Johnson & Johnson and H. D. Dietrich, respectively, are one and the same. Johnson & Johnson is a manufacturer of surgical dressings located at New Brunswick, State of New Jersey, and H. D. Dietrich is its Pacific Coast Branch Manager, with offices located at 576 Mission street, City. According to Mr. Dietrich's statements before the Supplies Committee, Johnson & Johnson's proposal was prepared in the East and forwarded to him, as Branch Manager, for filing with the Board. Upon its arrival here on May 1, 1922, he noticed some erasures and alterations in it. With full knowledge that such erasures and alterations invalidated the proposals, and, in order to secure for Johnson & Johnson the drug contract, directly or indirectly, Mr. Dietrich not only filed with the Board Johnson & Johnson's proposal with its erasures and alterations, but also a proposal in his own name upon the same articles and for the same prices, with one exception, and accompanied each proposal with a certified check *drawn by him* here in San Francisco on the *same fund belonging to Johnson & Johnson*. He also admitted before the Committee that whether Johnson & Johnson or he obtained the drug contract, he would be paid brokerage just the same, and that, if he was awarded the contract, Johnson & Johnson would furnish the surgical dressings.

2. Awarding the drug contract, in whole or in part, to Johnson & Johnson or H. D. Dietrich, or both, under such circumstances, is not only contrary to State and Federal Statutes, but also to the provisions of the City Charter. No branch of government, State, Federal, or Municipal, would permit even a consideration of such proposals.

Article VI, Chapter I, Section 16 of the Charter expressly provides that "No person, corporation, or firm shall be allowed to make, file or be interested in more than one bid for the same work. If, on the opening of said bid, more than one bid appears in which the same person, corporation, or firm is interested, all such bids shall be rejected."

The framers of the Charter, in their wisdom, passed this section to protect bidders against just such a situation as is presented here by Johnson & Johnson and H. D. Dietrich. Surely they never intended one rule for the Board of Public Works and another for the Board of Supervisors, upon the same subject. Public policy and

justice would tell us, as legislators, that it is not right; it is not fair; it is not square; it is not just. Just one illustration is sufficient to show its unfairness. Take the case of tie bids. If Johnson & Johnson and H. D. Dietrich's proposals are considered, they would have two chances to their competitors' one chance of obtaining the drug contract. Other druggists, in San Francisco and elsewhere, are not only entitled to protection against such fraud or collusion, but also to a "fair and square" deal. If this Honorable Board awards the drug contract, in whole or in part, to Johnson & Johnson or H. D. Dietrich, or both, in view of the relations existing between them, it will be denying other druggists the "fair and square" treatment to which they are justly entitled, and violating the fundamental principles of competitive bidding, and placing a premium upon collusive or fraudulent proposals.

3. If there be one committee on this Board that requires readjusting, it is the Supplies Committee. Last Monday it was stated that business confidence should be established, and that all business men should be treated alike, and that business methods should be strictly adhered to. Now I want to ask any member of this Board, in closing, are we adhering to business methods in allowing a loose condition at this time to prevail, in which one man is allowed to bid twice on the same articles?

For the foregoing reasons, I therefore recommend that the entire bids of Johnson & Johnson and H. D. Dietrich be rejected, and that the next lowest responsible bidder be awarded the drug contract.

Respectfully submitted,  
(Signed) JAMES B. MCSHEEHY.

Supervisor Rossi asked Assistant City Attorney Daly as to the application of charter provisions relating to purchase of supplies referred to in the minority report.

Assistant City Attorney Daly pointed out the difference between the two provisions in the charter referred to in the minority report. One section, he said, pertains to the purchase of supplies by the Board of Works and the other applies to supplies purchased through the Board of Supervisors. He gave it as his opinion that the provision relating to the purchase of supplies for the Board of Public Works did not apply in the present instance. If, he said, the Board of Supervisors find collusion among bidders it is within its power to reject the bids.

Supervisor Scott, seconded by Supervisor Deasy, moved as an amendment to Supervisor McSheehy's motion that only the last paragraph of the minority report rejecting the bids of

Johnson & Johnson and H. D. Dietrich be considered. He explained that he did not want to join in any criticism of the Supplies Committee.

Whereupon, Supervisor McSheehy withdrew that portion of his minority report criticizing the Committee.

#### Minority Report Defeated.

The Roll then being called on the minority report as amended, the same was defeated by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Schmitz, Scott—5.

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Wetmore—11.

Absent—Supervisors Powers, Welch—2.

#### Adopted.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution awarding contract for drugs was adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Wetmore—11.

Noes—Supervisors Deasy, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Schmitz, Scott—5.

Absent—Supervisors Powers, Welch—2.

Accepting Offer of F. and P. Francesconi to Sell Land and Improvements on Bartol Street Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 20122 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Filomena and Palmira Francesconi to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements situate on the east line of Bartol street, distant 92 feet north from Broadway, required for school purposes, and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land and improvements is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owners to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land (with improvements) free of all encumbrances for the sum of \$6,850, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Bartol street, distant thereon 92 feet northerly from the northerly line of Broadway, running thence northerly along said easterly line of Bartol street 22 feet 9 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 62 feet 11 inches; thence at a right angle southerly 22 feet 9 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 62 feet 11 inches to the easterly line of Bartol street and point of commencement. Being a portion of 50 Vara Block No. 47.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owners, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the results of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid; and the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

#### Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Powers, Welch—2.

#### ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Co-operation in Construction of Peninsula Highway.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Boards of Supervisors of San Mateo and San Francisco counties have initiated the proceedings for the construction of a much needed highway from this City southerly, and also a bridge across the bay; and

Whereas, the construction of such highway and bridge is a vital public necessity to provide an avenue for traffic to and from this City and the adjoining counties and interior valleys of California; therefore,

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors reiterates its desire and intention to co-operate in the early completion of the new peninsular highway as it will be recommended by the engineers of the State Highway Commission; and further

Resolved, That such pro rata of the expense of the construction of the proposed highway as is properly chargeable to the City and County of San Francisco shall be provided and appropriated from time to time as needed from the revenues accruing in the County Roads Fund, provided that the counties and communities to be benefited by the peninsular highway shall contribute their just pro rata of the expense.

Referred to the Streets and Finance Committees.

**Improvement of San Mateo Line Service of Market Street Railway.**

Supervisor Scott presented:

Resolution No. 20402 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the comfort, convenience and business welfare of the people of San Francisco require speedier street car facilities up and down the peninsula; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Public Utilities Committee of the Board of Supervisors be and it hereby is respectfully requested to confer at once with the management of the Market Street Railway Company with the object of agreeing upon a plan whereby all San Mateo cars may be routed in and out of San Francisco with the least possible delay by omitting all unnecessary stops and by routing these cars over Howard street, if possible.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Powers, Welch—2.

**Auditorium Rental, Wrestling Bout.**

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 20117 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby given to the Dreamland Club to occupy Main Hall, Exposition Auditorium, July 25, 1922, from 6 p. m. to 12 p. m., for wrestling exhibition, deposit on rent having been paid to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Powers, Welch—2.

**Citizens' Committee on Entertainment, Citizens' Military Training Camp.**

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 20118 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be respectfully requested to appoint a committee of citizens to make the necessary arrangements to entertain the 1000 young men now in the Citizens' Military Training Camp in the Presidio, held under the auspices of the United States Army.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill,

Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Powers, Welch—2.

**Pipe for Water Service in Lake Merced Playground.**

Supervisor Morgan presented:

Resolution No. 20119 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works be and it is hereby directed to turn over to the Park Commission a quantity of unused pipe for the purpose of affording water service in the playgrounds in the Spring Valley property at junction of Sloat boulevard and the Great Highway.

A quantity of this pipe is now on hand in the corporation yard of the Board of Public Works and is not required for any other purpose.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Powers, Welch—2.

**Circus Permit.**

Supervisor Robb presented:

Resolution No. 20120 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted to Sells Floto and Buffalo Bill's Wild West Shows, combined, to hold exhibitions at Twelfth and Market streets, August 24th, 25th, 26th, and 27th, 1922, upon complying with the provisions of Section 34, Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), and all sanitary regulations of the Board of Health.

Further Resolved, That Resolution No. 19870 (New Series), approved April 17, 1922, is hereby repealed.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Power, Welch—2.

**Extension of Time.**

Resolution No. 20121 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That City Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety days from and after July 20, 1922, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Staples avenue and Foerster street, under public contract.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that contractor was delayed by the shortage of cement.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, Mc-

MONDAY, JULY 17, 1922.

Leran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill,  
Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon,  
Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Powers, Welch  
—2.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the  
Board, at the hour of 5:30 p. m. ad-  
journed.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors August 28, 1922.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, July 24, 1922.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



**THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY**

**77 Sutter Street, S. F.**

J

In  
disc  
T  
regre

T  
ing  
S  
McL  
Ros  
A  
McL  
Sh  
S  
We  
the  
Asc  
cus

S  
per  
ene  
def  
ate  
N  
C  
F  
gen  
the

T  
me  
re  
RO

C  
dit  
se  
av  
st

to  
M

A  
a  
th  
al  
C

C

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JULY 24, 1922, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, July 24, 1922, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Hynes, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Welch, Wetmore—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, McGregor, Mulvihill, Powers, Scott, Shannon—7.

Supervisors Mulvihill, Shannon, Welch and Scott are in attendance at the annual convention of the State Association of Supervisors and were excused.

### Motion.

Supervisor Hayden moved that matters on which there may be a difference of opinion among the members be deferred until all members may be in attendance.

*So ordered.*

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent Supervisor McLeran was called to the chair.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of May 15 and May 22, 1922, were considered read and approved.

### ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Set-back Line, Tenth Avenue.

*Communication*—From the City Planning Commission, recommending that set-back line be established on Tenth avenue between Anza and Balboa streets.

*Referred to City Planning Committee.*

Market Street Railway Purchase Favored.

*Communication*—From Down Town Association, advising that it has passed a resolution favoring the purchase of the Market Street Railway properties at a reasonable price.

Commercial District, Steiner and Waller Streets.

*Communication*—From City Planning Commission, recommending that peti-

tion of O'Brien Bros., architects for the Hotaling Estate, that northeast corner of Stanyan and Waller streets be zoned as "Commercial District" instead of "Second Residential" as at present.

*Referred to City Planning Committee.*

Restoration of Switching Service on Twelfth Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

*Petition*—Of C. A. Day and other citizens and merchants, representing 762,000 square feet, for restoration of electric switching service on Twelfth street between Mission and Harrison streets.

*Referred to Commercial Development, Streets, Finance and Public Utilities Committees.*

Northern California Campaign.

Resolution of Redwood City Chamber of Commerce indorsing and pledging support and co-operation in Northern California campaign.

*Read and filed.*

Land for Hetch Hetchy Viaduct in San Mateo County.

*Communication* — From City Engineer, transmitting resolution authorizing purchase from John H. White of land situated in San Mateo County, required as part of right-of-way for Hetch Hetchy viaduct, and recommending adoption of same.

*Read by Clerk and resolution adopted.*

Tax Refund.

Supervisor Schmitz presented writ of mandate judgments for tax refunds.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

Leave of Absence.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., July 18, 1922.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, Cal.  
Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me for an extension of the leave of absence of Hon. John Hermann, Election Commissioner, with permission to remain out of the State of California, for a period of sixty days, commencing August 1, 1922.

I hereby request that you concur

with me in granting this extension of leave of absence.

Very respectfully yours,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,

Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 20123 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. John Hermann, Election Commissioner, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing August 1, 1922, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Hynes, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Welch, Wetmore—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, McGregor, Mulvihill, Powers, Scott, Shannon—7.

#### Leave of Absence.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal, July 24, 1922.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, Cal.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Hon. W. J. Hynes, Public Administrator, for a leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California, for a period of sixty days, commencing July 25, 1922.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Very respectfully yours,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,

Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 20135 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. W. J. Hynes, Public Administrator, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing July 25, 1922, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Hynes, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Welch, Wetmore—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, McGregor, Mulvihill, Powers, Scott, Shannon—7.

#### PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

##### Coal.

Sealed proposals were received from the following for furnishing coal for the use of institutions of the City and County of San Francisco, and were opened between the hours of 2 and 3 p. m. this day:

1. H. Harmes, certified check for \$195.

2. Ginocchio & Son, certified check for \$620.

3. Central Coal Co., no check.

*Referred to Supplies Committee.*

*Drinking Fountains, Hall of Justice.*

*Supervisor McSheehy* requested that the Building Committee furnish an estimate of cost for installing three drinking fountains in the Hall of Justice.

#### REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.

The following committees, by their respective chairmen, presented reports on various matters referred, which reports were read and ordered *filed*:

Supplies Committee, by Supervisor Rossi, chairman.

Streets and Sewers Committee, by Supervisor Mulvihill, chairman.

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

##### Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

##### Authorizations.

Resolution No. 20124 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved. That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

*Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.*

(1) Continental Construction Co., second payment, installation of concrete poles and electric conductors, Taraval street line of Municipal Railways (claim dated July 10, 1922), \$975.

(2) Eaton & Smith, second payment, construction of Taraval street line of Municipal Railways (claim dated July 10, 1922), \$11,400.

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(3) A. Lettich, third payment, plumbing, Columbus School (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$507.61.

(4) J. E. O'Mara, final payment, plumbing and heating, addition to McKinley School (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$2,337.50.

(5) E. E. Etherton Co., sixth payment, general construction, Adams School (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$4,632.19.

(6) E. E. Etherton Co., seventh payment, general construction, McKinley School (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$12,985.43.

*Municipal Railway Fund.*

(7) Hancock Bros., printing transfers (claim dated July 13, 1922), \$732.

(8) Frank F. Bodler, bronze motor bearings (claim dated July 13, 1922), \$1,570.

(9) Market Street Railway Co., repairs to Municipal Railway tracks (claim dated July 13, 1922), \$2,462.44.

(10) American Brake Shoe Foundry Co., car brake shoes (claim dated July 13, 1922), \$4,790.43.

*Park Fund, 1922-1923.*

(11) Hertz & Robertson, services rendered in connection with parks and playgrounds (claim dated July 14, 1922), \$2,000.

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(12) Utah Construction Co., thirty-second payment, construction of Hetch Hetchy dam and appurtenances (claim dated July 12, 1922), \$233,019.74.

*General Fund, 1920-1921.*

(13) Louis J. Cohn, second payment, construction of exhibition structure, nave of Ferry Building (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$2,807.85.

*General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(14) James M. Smith, first payment, City's portion of improvement of Key avenue, Railroad avenue to Jennings street (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$3,750.

(15) I. M. Sommer & Co., second payment, construction Fire Department drill tower (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$2,601.52.

(16) Shell Co. of Cal., gasoline, etc., Board of Public Works (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,723.95.

(17) Standard Oil Co., asphalt, etc., Board of Public Works (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$2,514.76.

(18) Western Rock Products Co., sand, Board of Public Works (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$3,208.03.

(19) Spring Valley Water Co., water, public buildings (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,446.30.

(20) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$2,897.42.

(21) Baumgarten Bros., meats, Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$2,850.91.

(22) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs, Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$516.24.

(23) Miller & Lux, meat, Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$753.36.

(24) Sherry Bros., butter, etc., Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$594.94.

(25) Standard Oil Co., fuel oil, Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,820.99.

(26) Herbert F. Dugan, drugs, etc., San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,312.35.

(27) Hooper & Jennings, groceries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,238.73.

(28) Wm. Cluff Co., groceries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,008.21.

(29) Miller & Lux Inc., meats, San

Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,153.63.

(30) California meat Co., meat, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$650.66.

(31) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$3,049.84.

(32) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,198.90.

(33) C. Nauman & Co., vegetables, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,666.28.

(34) Bockmann-Rusch Hardware Co., hardware supplies, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$520.02.

(35) Associated Oil Co., fuel oil, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$2,897.74.

(36) Spring Valley Water Co., water for hospitals (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,262.06.

(37) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$578.96.

(38) Schultz Construction Co., second payment, Liberty and Sanchez streets improvement (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$15,000.

(39) The Recorder Printing & Publishing Co., printing Law and Motion Calendar, etc. (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$665.

(40) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., June street lighting (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$45,113.

(41) Roman Catholic Orphan Asylum, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 11, 1922), \$2,705.64.

(42) Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 11, 1922), \$1,721.03.

(43) St. Mary's Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 11, 1922), \$600.18.

(44) Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 11, 1922), \$740.85.

(45) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 11, 1922), \$1,084.63.

(46) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 11, 1922), \$1,425.70.

(47) St. Catherine's Training Home, maintenance of minors at Magdalen Asylum (claim dated June 11, 1922), \$645.05.

(48) A. Carlisle & Co., printing, Department of Elections (claim dated June 13, 1922), \$839.04.

(49) R. Brandlein & Co., mill work, Department of Elections (claim dated June 13, 1922), \$5,644.

*General Fund, 1922-1923.*

(50) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated July 11, 1922), \$17,112.19.

(51) Little Children's Agency, main-

tenance of minors (claim dated July 11, 1922), \$9,105.

(52) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated July 11, 1922), \$3,585.76.

(53) The Fay Improvement Co., street improvement at crossing of Army and Third streets (claim dated July 13, 1922), \$1,341.01.

(54) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated July 14, 1922), \$940.99.

(55) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated July 14, 1922), \$10,477.22.

(56) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated July 14, 1922), \$8,394.50.

(57) J. E. French Co., one Dodge touring car, Department of Public Health (claim dated July 10, 1922), \$1,077.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Hynes, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Welch, Wetmore—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, McGregor, Mulvihill, Powers, Scott, Shannon—7.

**Appropriation, \$5000, Plans, etc., Additional Unit, Arroyo Sanatorium.**

Resolution No. 20125 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$5,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of General Fund, 1921-1922, and authorized in payment to the County of Alameda, State of California, for expense of preparation of plans and specifications, including architect's fee, for and on account of construction of additional unit to the Arroyo Sanatorium, Alameda County, State of California, in accordance with agreement entered into between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda, the 11th day of March, 1922; and further

Resolved, That Resolution No. 19791 (New Series) be and the same is hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Hynes, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Welch, Wetmore—11.

Absent Supervisors Bath, Deasy, McGregor, Mulvihill, Powers, Scott, Shannon—7.

**Appropriations, Taraval Street Line.**

Resolution No. 20126 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund for extra work in construction of the Taraval street line of Municipal Railways, under contract No. 130, by Eaton & Smith, to-wit:

For certain grading work, as di-

rected by the City Engineer, on Taraval street between Thirty-seventh and Forty-eighth avenues, approximately \$6,300.

For installation of header blocks along tracks being constructed at unit price, \$11,600.

(Additional to appropriations heretofore made.)

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Hynes, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Welch, Wetmore—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, McGregor, Mulvihill, Powers, Scott, Shannon—7.

#### Permits.

Resolution No. 20127 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

#### *Transfer Public Garage.*

To O. F. Werner, permit granted by Resolution No. 18340 (New Series) to Gus Jansen, for premises situate 2080 Market street.

#### *Oil Storage Tank.*

T. Hammill, at northwest corner of Geary street and Twenty-seventh avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

George A. Williams, on south side of Bush street, 150 feet west of Steiner street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Edward Dial, at southwest corner of Yerba Buena and San Pablo avenues, 600 gallons capacity.

#### *Boiler.*

C. J. Tucker (Keystone Apartments), at 1369 Hyde street, 75 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Hynes, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Welch, Wetmore—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, McGregor, Mulvihill, Powers, Scott, Shannon—7.

**Changing Name of Union Square Avenue to Maiden Lane.**

Bill No. 6074, Ordinance No. 5663 (New Series), entitled "Changing the name of Union Square street to Maiden lane, and the name of Maiden lane to its street."

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Hynes, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Welch, Wetmore—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, McGregor, Mulvihill, Powers, Scott, Shannon—7.

#### **Action Deferred.**

The following bill, heretofore passed for printing, was taken up and on motion laid over one week:

**Recorder to Appoint Copyists.**

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled, "Authorizing the Recorder to appoint five additional copyists, grade three, for six months ending December 31, 1922, at a monthly salary of \$165 each."

**REPORT OF FINANCE COMMITTEE.**

Demands on the Treasury, amounting to \$501,486.03, were presented and approved by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Hynes, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Welch, Wetmore—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, McGregor, Mulvihill, Powers, Scott, Shannon—7.

**Action Deferred.**

The following item was *laid over until next meeting*:

**Urgent Necessity.**

California School for Deaf and Blind, clothing, etc., for inmates, \$23.49.

**NEW BUSINESS.****Auditorium Rentals.**

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 20128 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following organizations be granted permission to occupy the halls in the Auditorium for convention purposes only:

National League of Postmasters of the United States, use of Polk Hall, September 18, 19 and 20, 1922, from 8 a. m. to 11 p. m. of each day.

National Education Association, use of entire lower floor, committee rooms on second floor, Veterans' Halls on third floor and such other rooms as may be available on the fourth floor for convention purposes only, July 2, 3, 5, 6 and 7, 1923, inclusive.

American Medical Association, use of entire lower floor, committee rooms on the second floor, Veterans' Halls on the third floor and such halls as may be available on the fourth floor, to be used for convention purposes only, from June 22d to 30th, inclusive, 1923.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Hynes, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Welch, Wetmore—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, McGregor, Mulvihill, Powers, Scott, Shannon—7.

Also, Resolution No. 20129 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following organizations be granted permission to occupy halls in the Auditorium, deposits having been paid to guarantee the rental fees:

Golden Gate Post No. 40, American Legion, use of Main Hall, August 5,

1922, 6 p. m. to 12 p. m., for the purpose of holding a dance.

San Francisco Pyramid Scouts, use of Main Hall, August 26, 1922, 6 p. m. to 12 p. m., for the purpose of holding a ceremonial.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Hynes, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Welch, Wetmore—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, McGregor, Mulvihill, Powers, Scott, Shannon—7.

**Passed for Printing.**

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

**Authorizations.**

On motion of Supervisor McLeran:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

**Special School Tar. 1921-1922.**

(1) Wiley B. Allen & Co., one piano, Commodore Sloat School (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$658.75.

(2) A. Lettich, fourth payment, plumbing, Parkside School (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,965.72.

(3) John Morton, second payment, construction of Oral-Deaf School (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,304.40.

(4) Quinn & Reilly, seventh payment, general construction Emerson School (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$5,314.50.

**School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.**

(5) F. E. Newberry Electric Co., second payment, electric work, Crocker-Amazon School (claim dated July 19, 1922), \$1,494.

(6) A. Lettich, first payment, plumbing, Yerba Buena School (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,211.37.

**Municipal Railway Fund.**

(7) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., mazda lamps, etc. (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$517.20.

(8) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., June electric power (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$31,175.39.

(9) Edgewater Steel Co., steel car wheels (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$2,749.50.

(10) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursement per agreement of December 12, 1918 (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,180.53.

(11) Market Street Railway Co., June Electric Power (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$2,099.95.

(12) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement Fund, contribution for June (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$5,367.20.

**Auditorium Fund.**

(13) A. Lettich, first payment, con-

version of two rooms into toilets, per contract (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$993.94.

(14) San Francisco 1922 Shrine Committee, refund of deposit for occupancy of Auditorium, June 3-18 (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,000.

*Park Fund.*

(15) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream furnished Park (claim dated July 21, 1922), \$675.25.

(16) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electric service (claim dated July 21, 1922), \$1,433.60.

(17) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished (claim dated July 21, 1922), \$3,252.95.

*Library Fund.*

(18) Foster & Futernick Co., binding library books (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$2,806.

(19) F. W. Wentworth & Co., library cabinets (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$538.75.

*General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(20) Union Oil Co., gasoline, etc., Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,585.85.

(21) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electric service, Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,306.41.

(22) Spring Valley Water Co., water, etc., furnished Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$2,252.23.

(23) St. Vincent's School, Marin County, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,134.32.

(24) Shell Oil Co., gasoline, Police Department (claim dated June 26, 1922), \$693.

(25) Producers Hay Co., hay, etc., Police Department (claim dated June 26, 1922), \$617.64.

(26) Producers Hay Co., hay, etc., Police Department (claim dated June 26, 1922), \$538.91.

(27) Standard Oil Co., gasoline, Police Department (claim dated May 29, 1922), \$699.30.

(28) Producers Hay Co., hay, etc., Police Department (claim dated May 29, 1922), \$593.10.

(29) Clinton Construction Co., sixth payment, construction of Section "C", Ocean Beach Esplanade (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$40,766.28.

(30) San Francisco Chronicle, official advertising, Board of Supervisors (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,531.40.

(31) Sherry Bros. Inc., footstuffs, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$958.35.

*Appropriations.*

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

*Miscellaneous Repairs to Buildings, Budget Item No. 60.*

(1) For expense of installation of toilets in Superior Courts, Hall of Justice, for use of jurors, \$1,560.

(2) For expense of installation of toilets and washbasin in jury room, fourth floor, City Hall, including oak partition, \$675.

*County Road Fund.*

(3) For City's liability for improvement of Army street fronting property of F. W. Minkel at northwest corner of Army street and Connecticut street, \$1,582.60.

(4) For reconstruction of Seventh avenue southerly from Lawton street, \$1,136.85.

Appropriation, \$6,850. Land Required for Washington Irving School.

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$6,850 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax, 1922-1923, and authorized in payment to Filomena and Palmira Francesconi; being payment for land and improvements required for Washington Irving School; said lands commencing at a point on the easterly line of Bartol street, distant thereon 92 feet northerly from northerly line of Broadway, running thence northerly along said easterly line of Bartol street 22 feet 9 inches; being of uniform dimensions 22 feet 9 inches by 62 feet 11 inches; and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 20122 (New Series).

Authorizing and Ordering Reconstruction and Repairs of Certain Accepted Streets.

Bill No. 6075, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and ordering the reconstruction and repair of certain accepted streets in the City and County of San Francisco under the supervision of the Board of Public Works of said City and County during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1923, and appropriating funds for the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and ordered to reconstruct and repair under its supervision those certain accepted streets in said City and County, particularly designated and described in Ordinance No. 5643 (New Series), approved June 10, 1922, fixing and appropriating the aggregate sum of the items thereof allowed to each department, officer, board and commission for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1923, and making a budget of the same and in and by said ordinance specified as

Budget Items Nos. 69, 70, 80, 81, 82, 93 and 94.

There is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended by said Board of Public Works for each said item of such work of reconstruction and repair the specific amount provided therefor, respectively, in and by said Ordinance No. 5643 (New Series), to-wit, \$95,500.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### Ordering Construction of Section D, Esplanade.

Bill No. 6076, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of Section "D" of the Ocean Beach Esplanade; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction, approving plans and specifications therefor, and permitting progressive payments to be made during the progress of construction.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the construction of Section "D" of the Ocean Beach Esplanade in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor by the Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

Section 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for said construction of Section "D" of Ocean Beach Esplanade conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications, and as provided by Section 21, Chapter I, Article VI, of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### Action Deferred.

The "Additional Positions Ordinance," laid over from last meeting, was again *laid over one week*.

#### Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

#### Supply Station and Oil Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy: Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

#### Automobile Supply Station.

B. A. Schmidt, at south corner of Folsom and Twelfth streets; also to store 600 gallons of gasoline on premises.

#### Oil Storage Tank. (1500 gallons capacity.)

D. A. Hodghead, at 3435 Sacramento street.

City and County of San Francisco (Andrew Jackson School), on south side of Grove street between Clayton and Cole streets.

M. Cohn, on south side of Geary street, 80 feet west of Leavenworth street.

S. Ronda, on south side of Geary street, 100 feet west of Leavenworth street.

Ulmer & Peace, at 215 Church street; 600 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

#### Blasting Permit.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Carlin Grading Company is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property situate at northwest corner of Battery and Vallejo streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of \$10,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction and under the supervision of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by the said Carlin Grading Company, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

#### Action Deferred.

The following resolution was presented and *laid over one week*:

#### Circus Permit.

Supervisor Robb presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted to Ringling Bros. and Barnum-Bailey Combined Shows to hold exhibitions at Twelfth and Market streets, September 1, 2, 3 and 4, 1922, upon complying with the provisions of Section 34, Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), and all sanitary regulations of the Board of Health.

Further Resolved, That Resolution No. 20004 (New Series), approved June 3, 1922, is hereby repealed.

#### Retirement Board Headquarters.

Supervisor Scott presented:

Resolution No. 20130 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Room No. 215, situ-

ate second floor, City Hall, be and is hereby set aside and assigned for the use and purposes of the Board of Administration Retirement System for City Employees.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Hynes, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Welch, Wetmore—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, McGregor, Mulvihill, Powers, Scott, Shannon—7.

#### Extension of Time.

Supervisor Scott presented:

Resolution No. 20131 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following persons and firms are hereby granted extensions of time on their contracts for public work in accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Public Works, to-wit:

Turner Co., first extension, heating and ventilating, Parkside School, 90 days from and after April 28, 1922.

Kohlwey-Smith Electric Co., first extension, electrical work, Parkside School, 90 days from and after April 11, 1922.

A. Lettich, first extension, plumbing work, Parkside School, 90 days from and after April 18, 1922.

Anderson & Ringrose, first extension, general construction, Parkside School, 90 days from and after April 27, 1922.

Delays in general construction delayed the above contractors.

Quinn & Riley, first extension, 90 days from and after July 24, 1922, general construction, Emerson School.

Thomas Skelly, first extension, 90 days from and after July 24, 1922, plumbing work, Emerson School.

Butte Electric and Manufacturing Co., first extension, 90 days from and after August 8, 1922, electrical work, Emerson School.

J. Greenback, first extension, 90 days from and after July 31, 1922, lathing and plastering work, Emerson School.

These delays were caused by the use of the old building by the School Department and it is recommended that the advertising charges be remitted in these instances.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Hynes, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Welch, Wetmore—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, McGregor, Mulvihill, Powers, Scott, Shannon—7.

#### Action Deferred.

The following matter was presented and on motion *laid over one week:*

Utah Construction Company's Bondsmen Released.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon:

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the Board of Public Works to release the Utah Construction Company and its bondsmen from further liability under the performance bond and labor and material bonds furnished in connection with Contract No. 61 for the construction of the Hetch Hetchy dam and appurtenant works.

Whereas, it appears to the satisfaction of the Board of Supervisors from the report of the City Engineer that the 25 per cent withheld from progress payments under Contract No. 61 with the Utah Construction Company for the construction of the Hetch Hetchy dam, will amount by July 31, 1922, to over \$1,000,000, and that said sum is more than sufficient as a cash bond to protect the City against any loss, damage or expense which may possibly arise in connection with the completion of said contract, and

Whereas, it further appears from the said report of the City Engineer that the said contractor has faithfully performed its agreement to date, that the dam is completed to a height of 90 feet above the stream bed, and that the period has passed when the work could be damaged by floods or other natural hazards, and that to require the contractor to continue its bond in effect would result only in the imposition of an unnecessary cost upon the contractor for premium on the bond without any material increase in the City's security or benefit, and

Whereas, it further appears from said report that the next annual premium on said bond will amount to over \$40,000 and that no portion thereof was, as a matter of fact, included in the contractor's estimate upon which its bid was based, and the payment of the same would represent a direct loss to the contractor, and

Whereas, under the foregoing circumstances the City Engineer has recommended to this Board that the Board of Public Works be authorized to release the contractor and its sureties from further liability under such performance and labor and material bonds.

Now, therefore, be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized in its discretion to release the Utah Construction Company and its bondsmen from further liability under the performance and labor and material bonds furnished by said Utah Construction Company in connection with the execution of Contract No. 61, for the construction of the Hetch Hetchy dam and appurtenant works, and to return the said bonds to the surety companies executing the same.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

*City Engineer M. M. O'Shaughnessy* explained:

"The company will complete the dam before the end of the year. It has already done \$4,000,000 worth of work and the City is holding back approximately \$1,000,000, which is considered ample security. Release from the necessity of providing a bond would save the contractors \$40,000.

"The City pays for the work as it is done, but always holds back one-fourth of the amount due the construction company, so that while the Utah Construction Company has completed \$4,000,000 worth of work, it has received in payment but \$3,000,000 and San Francisco owes the company \$1,000,000 cash, and will owe the company \$1,250,000 cash when the work is completed. The amount due will be paid within thirty days after the work is accepted by city officials. The company asked that the cash being held serve in lieu of a bond for the next few months."

**Motion.**

*Supervisor McLeran* moved that the City Attorney's opinion be obtained on the legal questions involved in the foregoing bill as to the City being properly protected.

*So ordered.*

**Agreement for Use of Municipal Street Railway Right of Way on Masonic Avenue.**

The following was presented:

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and directing the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco to enter into an agreement with the Market Street Railway Company for the use by the City and County of San Francisco for its Municipal Railroad cars of the track, overhead trolley system and street railroad appurtenances on Masonic avenue from Oak street to Waller street and on Waller street from Clayton street to Cole street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed by and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco to enter into an agreement with the Market Street Railway Company, a corporation, substantially as follows, to-wit:

This Agreement, made and entered into on the — day of —, 1922, between the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, hereinafter designated as the City, and

the Market Street Railway Company, a corporation, hereinafter designated as the Company; Witnesseth:

Whereas, under authority of Orders Numbers 1890, 2312, 2978, 2311, 1514, 3070 and 2723, and others pertinent thereto, the Company has constructed, owns and operates a single track electric railroad on Oak street crossing Masonic avenue, a double track electric railroad on Page street crossing Masonic avenue, a double track electric railroad on Haight street crossing Masonic avenue, a double track electric railroad on Carl street crossing Cole street, a single track electric railroad on Masonic avenue from Oak street to Page street, a double track electric railroad on Masonic avenue from Page street to and beyond Waller street and a double track electric railroad on Waller street from Clayton street to and beyond Cole street with suitable curves, crossings and connections including the necessary tracks, trolley wires and their supports with their proper electrical connections; and

Whereas, the City owns and operates in the City of San Francisco a system of electric railways, known as the Municipal Railway, and now desires and intends to construct an extension of such railways southerly along Masonic avenue from the present termination of its construction near Turk street to Waller street, thence along Waller street to Cole street, thence southerly along Cole street; crossing Carl street, and

Whereas, it is convenient and advisable in such extension to utilize the presently existing tracks and electrical construction in so far as they may be available;

Now, therefore, it is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between the parties hereto as follows, to-wit:

The City shall have the right to use for the operation of the cars of the Municipal Railway the tracks of the Company now existing on Masonic avenue from Oak street to Waller street, and on Waller street from Clayton street to Cole street and to cross the tracks on Oak, Page and Haight streets at their intersections with Masonic avenue and on Carl street at its intersection with Cole street and for this purpose the City shall have the right to connect its tracks and trolley wires to be constructed with the existing tracks and wires of the Company and to install such crossings, switches and other connections as may be necessary, wherever necessary substituting for existing portions of the tracks such new parts as will serve jointly the purpose of both the City and the Company, all changes in tracks and overhead construction to be made according to plans and in

a manner satisfactory to both the City and the Company.

It is further agreed that the Company will retain ownership in the connections installed by the City in such proportions as will equal the estimated cost to reproduce the construction of the Company removed in making the connections.

That the Company will at its own expense maintain in good order the tracks and overhead construction now existing, making such renewals as may become necessary and will furnish on its trolley wires the necessary electric power for the operation of the cars of the Municipal Railway on such tracks.

It is further agreed that the City will at its own expense make all connections and all changes in existing tracks and overhead construction that may be necessary for the operation of the cars of the Municipal Railway to enter on and leave the existing tracks of the Company and will at its own cost maintain such construction in good order.

That the City, before making connections to the tracks of the Company, will pay to the Company the sum of twenty thousand (\$20,000) dollars and will thereafter furnish to the Company monthly statements of the number of car miles operated by the cars of the Municipal Railway on the tracks of the Company and will, during the succeeding month pay to the Company ten (10c) cents for each car mile so operated.

It is further agreed that should the City acquire by purchase all of the street railway property of the Company, the twenty thousand (\$20,000) dollars payment shall be applied on the purchase price, less fourteen hundred (\$1400) dollars for each year that shall have elapsed after such payment to the date of such purchase.

It is further agreed that the City, without other payment than provided above, shall have the right to use the existing poles of the Company on Masonic avenue from Oak street to Waller street and on Waller street from Clayton street to Cole street for the support of such feed wires, not exceeding two in number, as may be necessary for the operation of other portions of the Masonic avenue extension of the Municipal Railway, such wires to be supported on such poles by means of such fixtures and in such manner as will be satisfactory to both the City and the Company at the expense of the City.

It is further mutually understood and agreed that this agreement shall continue in force and effect as to each section of street separately during the term for which the Company shall have the right to use each such section of street, respectively. At the expiration of such term with respect to each sec-

tion of street the City shall purchase from the Company the property of the Company located in such section of street used by the City at a price equal to the estimated cost to reproduce such property at that time, less a reasonable amount for depreciation, such price to be mutually agreed to or determined by arbitration and to be promptly paid by the City to the Company.

It is further mutually understood and agreed that nothing in this agreement contained shall be construed to be or taken as a waiver of any of the rights of either of the parties under any litigation now pending or hereafter commenced involving the use by the City and County of San Francisco of Market street or any portion thereof for the operation of its Municipal Railway.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has, by ordinance of its Board of Supervisors, caused its name to be hereunto subscribed by its Mayor and its seal to be hereunto attached by the Clerk of its Board of Supervisors, and the Market Street Railway Company has by resolution of its Board of Directors caused its name to be subscribed hereto by its officers subscribing their names hereto, and its corporate seal to be hereunto attached by its secretary, the day and year first above written.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

*George Skaller*, president of the Civic League, was granted the privilege of the floor and urged that there be no delay in constructing the Masonic avenue extension.

*Supervisor Hynes* declared that "with these expensive extensions being built to be operated for many years before they will net a cent in revenue the time is not far distant when the taxpayers will be called upon to make up the deficit to keep the Municipal Street Railway in operation." He moved that the foregoing bill be laid over one week and that the City Engineer and Mr. Boeken be invited to attend.

*City Engineer M. M. O'Shaughnessy* corroborated the opinion of Supervisor Hynes by declaring that the present program of extensions, if carried to completion, would result in a heavy operating loss to the Municipal Railway annually.

Asked regarding the revenue to be derived through the construction of the Masonic avenue line as planned, *City Engineer M. M. O'Shaughnessy* said in his opinion the line would run at an annual loss to the City of \$150,000. The Taraval extension, which will be completed within a month, *O'Shaughnessy* estimated, will run at a loss of more than \$60,000 a year, while the proposed Ocean View extension he has also estimated at a heavy

operating loss to the city. The City was pledged to the Taraval extension, he said, as the property owners paid the tax levy for the construction of the Twin Peaks tunnel with the understanding that the extension would be built.

Paul Ost, Assistant City Engineer, and Fred Boeken, superintendent of the railways, opposed the Masonic line on the ground that it would bring passengers from the Sunset District to the Geary street lines for transportation down town, while the Geary cars are already operating to capacity. No more cars could be operated on the Geary street tracks, they declared, without impairing the service now given.

#### Action Deferred.

Whereupon Supervisor Hynes' motion to defer action one week was carried.

#### Extension of Time.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 20132 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That an extension of ninety days from July 27, 1922, be granted to the Utah Construction Company within which to complete contract for construction of Hetch Hetchy dam and appurtenant works, Contract No. 61, Hetch Hetchy Water System.

This third extension of time is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works and for the reason that the contractor was unable to prosecute the work fully during the period when the Early Intake Power Plant was shut down, and their operations were further interfered with by high water cutting off access to the sand pit; also on account of cement shortage and a breakdown of the rock-crushing plant.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Hynes, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Welch, Shannon—11.

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, McGregor, Mulvihill, Powers, Scott, Shannon—7.

Accepting Offer of John H. White to Sell Land Required for Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct Right of Way.

Resolution No. 20133 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco from John H. White of the following described parcels of land situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, and required as a right of way for the aqueduct on the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply project, viz.:

Parcel 1: Lots Nos. 3 and 4, in Block No. 3, as shown and designated on a map entitled "North Fair Oaks, situated in San Mateo County," which

was filed in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County August 8, 1907, in Book 5 of Maps at page 21.

Parcel 2: All that portion of Lot No. 5 in Block No. 3, as shown on map entitled "North Fair Oaks," and recorded in San Mateo County records, Map Book No. 5, page 21, described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the southeast line of Third avenue, said point being a common corner of Lots numbered 4 and 5 of said Block No. 3; thence along the dividing line between Lots numbered 4 and 5 of said Block 27.85 feet; thence in a westerly direction 33.63 feet to the southeast line of Third avenue; thence northeasterly along said line 22.70 feet to the point of beginning,

for the sum of three hundred dollars (\$300.), and the further consideration mentioned below; and

Whereas, said purchase price is in accordance with the appraisal of said lands made by Joseph J. Phillips, right of way agent for the City and County; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer, the offer of John H. White to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the above mentioned parcels of land for the sum of \$300 be and the same is hereby accepted.

As a further consideration for said conveyance, it is hereby agreed that the deed to Parcel 2 shall contain a reservation allowing the party of the first part to cross over said parcel and plant grass upon the same, provided that there shall be no interference with the construction, operation or maintenance of the Hetch Hetchy pipe line or lines constructed beneath the surface of said parcel.

The Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply is hereby authorized and directed to prepare the necessary papers and deeds to close the transaction and to arrange for the payment of the purchase price to the seller, upon receipt of deed conveying said property to the City and County of San Francisco, clear of all incumbrances.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Hynes, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Welch, Wetmore—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, McGregor, Mulvihill, Powers, Scott, Shannon—7.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were passed for printing:

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Jones Street.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Bill No. 6078, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Side-

walks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eight hundred and three.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office July 12, 1922, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eight hundred and three, to read as follows:

Section 803. The width of sidewalks on Jones street between McAllister street and Post street shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

#### Ordering Street Work.

Also, Bill No. 6079, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 10, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time

of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Vernon street from Holloway avenue to Garfield street*, by the construction of concrete curbs, by the construction of a concrete pavement from the northerly line of Garfield street to a line 250 feet northerly therefrom and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the remainder of the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 6080, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 7, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Forty-second avenue between Balboa and Cabrillo streets* by the construction of concrete curbs and by the construction of an

asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**Clerk to Advertise for Bids, Transferring Ambulance Body.**

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 20134 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk be hereby directed to advertise for proposals for transferring body of Winton Ambulance No. 2 to a new chassis as per specifications furnished by the Supplies Committee.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Hynes, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Welch, Wetmore—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, McGregor, Mulvihill, Powers, Scott, Shannon—7.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

Citizens' Committee, Observance of Labor Day.

Resolution No. 20136 (New Series), as follows:

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be requested to appoint a committee of citizens to arrange for the observance of Labor Day, September 4, 1922.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Hynes, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Welch, Wetmore—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, McGregor, Mulvihill, Powers, Scott, Shannon—7.

**Amendment to Retirement Ordinance.**

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A new paragraph to be designated (11) is hereby added to Subdivision (b) of Section 4 of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, said paragraph to read as follows:

(11) Persons in the employ of the City at the time of the taking effect of this amendment who have not at that time affirmatively exercised the option of becoming members of the Retirement System as provided in paragraph (1), Subdivision (a) of this Section, and whose compensation equals or exceeds five hundred (500) dollars per month, and such deputy or deputies who are authorized to sign the name of his or their principal

upon demands or warrants allowed and directly authorizing the Treasurer to pay moneys out of the City and County treasury, provided that all such employees waive all rights they may be otherwise entitled to under the City Employees Retirement System.

This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

*Referred to Civil Service, Standardization of Salaries and Retirement System Committee.*

**Lease of Merced Lands at Sloat Boulevard and Great Highway.**

Supervisor Morgan presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor, with the approval of the City Attorney, is hereby authorized to enter into a contract of lease with the Spring Valley Water Company wherein and whereby the City and County of San Francisco will lease from said Spring Valley Water Company a tract of forty acres, more or less, constituting a part of the Lake Merced Rancho and situated at the present terminus of the Great Highway and between Sloat Boulevard and the United States Military Reservation.

*Referred to Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee.*

**Deputy Public Defenders.**

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 20137 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Public Defender be and is hereby authorized and permitted to employ two Deputy Public Defenders; and further

Resolved, That the salaries of said two Deputies be and the same are hereby fixed at \$3,000 each per year.

**Eureka Valley Waiting Station.**

Mr. Hess, resident of Eureka Valley, was granted the privilege of the floor, and called attention to the dilapidated condition of the wooden waiting station at the Eureka Valley entrance of the Twin Peaks tunnel, and urged that something be done to reconstruct it before winter sets in.

Supervisor McSheehy also urged reconstruction of station.

Supervisor McLeran concurred in the statement of Mr. Hess and moved that the Board of Public Works be directed to remove the present structure and prepare plans, specifications and contract for a new station.

*Motion carried.*

**Salinas Rodeo.**

Supervisor Welch called attention to the coming Rodeo at Salinas, July 26-30, 1922. He urged the importance of the appointment of a large official delegation from San Francisco to accompany his Honor the Mayor and attend

MONDAY, JULY 24, 1922.

the celebration. He moved the appointment of a committee of five.

*Chair* appointed Supervisor Wetmore chairman, he to select as many members as may agree to go.

## ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 6 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors September 5, 1922.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

**Vol. 17—New Series**

**No. 31**

**Monday, July 31, 1922.**

# **Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors**

**City and County of San Francisco**



**THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY**

**77 Sutter Street, S. F.**

JO

M

In  
isco.  
The  
regula

Th  
re Se  
Sup  
Rayd  
McSh  
bobb  
Tele  
Qu  
Ha  
sut  
with

To  
pree  
gpre  
coll

Spin

To  
mad  
Co  
per  
Mad  
Vand  
ush  
Cour  
with  
Ro

Ass  
Ti  
pad  
Se  
Hon  
S  
Gen  
A  
Hut  
Oro  
zab  
zab  
me  
I  
tst  
per

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JULY 31, 1922, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, July 31, 1922, 2 p. m. The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor McLeran was called to the chair.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the preceding meeting was *laid over for approval until next meeting.*

### ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Opinion of City Attorney, Release of Bond, Utah Construction Company.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

*Communication*—From City Attorney Lull, respecting release of bond of Utah Construction Company and advising that he approves opinion furnished by Robert Searles, Special Counsel for Hetch Hetchy, a copy of which opinion he inclosed.

Read and ordered *filed*.

Leave of Absence, Judge S. J. Lazarus.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., July 31, 1922.  
Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall,  
San Francisco, Cal.  
Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Hon. S. J. Lazarus, judge, Police Court, for a leave of absence with permission to leave the State of California for a period of 30 days, commencing August 5, 1922.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Very respectfully yours,  
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,  
Mayor.

Resolution No. 20149 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Sylvain J. Lazarus, Police Judge, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of three weeks, commencing August 5, 1922, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Purchase of Properties of Market Street Railway Company.

*Communications*—From Clara Waltermeyer, Down Town Association and San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, urging continuance of negotiations for the purchase of the properties of the Market Street Railway Company.

Read and ordered *filed*.

Consideration of Public Utilities Committee's report on purchase of properties of the Market Street Railway Company was had and *laid over for further consideration until Special Session, August 15, at 2 p. m.*

(*Transcript of discussion on file in Clerk's office.*)

Action Deferred, Bond Issue for Schools.

The following resolution, presented by Supervisor McLeran on May 19, 1922, and laid over from previous meetings, was again *laid over for two weeks*:

### Bond Issue for Schools.

Whereas, the school houses in several sections of the city are inadequate, old and unfit for occupancy by children, and it is impossible in the current tax rate to rehabilitate and put in sanitary and proper condition schools that have been built for more than twenty-five years, and to construct new schools, and to reconstruct others; and

Whereas, this condition is no fault of the Board of Education or other administrative bodies of the city, but is the result of the 1906 disaster, when many of the best school houses were destroyed; and

Whereas, the bond moneys and tax

moneys heretofore provided have been insufficient to make the necessary replacements and keep pace with the growth of the school needs; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of the Board of Supervisors that the normal annual increase in school buildings and educational facilities, including additional teachers, can be provided out of current tax rate, but said current tax rate will not catch up with the more than two score schools needing replacement; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors has provided in the Budget for 1922-1923 all the money which, in its judgment, the Board of Education can properly expend in one year, and, also, all the money that is permitted under the law to be provided in a tax rate; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Education is urged by the Board of Supervisors, at its earliest possible convenience, to recommend a program for the rehabilitation of schools, construction of new schools, including the Mission High School, and to recommend to the Board of Supervisors a bond issue in a sufficient amount of money to purchase lands, and to construct school buildings on a program which will meet the urgent demands of the several sections of the city.

Mayor Approves Additional Positions Ordinance.

*Communication*—From Mayor, recommending Additional Positions Ordinance.

Read and filed.

Engineer's Certificate for Work.

*Communication*—From City Attorney, as to necessity of certificate from City Engineer as to necessity of work in connection with construction of public utilities.

Read and filed.

#### REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.

The following committees, by their respective chairmen, presented reports on various matters referred, which reports were read and ordered filed:

Supplies Committee, by Supervisor Rossi, chairman.

Fire Committee, by Supervisor Deasy, chairman.

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

##### Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and finally passed by the following vote:

##### Authorizations.

Resolution No. 20138 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in pay-

ment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

##### *Special School Tax, 1921-1922.*

(1) Wiley B. Allen & Co., one piano, Commodore Sloat School (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$658.75.

(2) A. Lettich, fourth payment, plumbing, Parkside School (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,965.72.

(3) John Morton, second payment, construction Oral Deaf School (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,304.40.

(4) Quinn & Reilly, seventh payment, general construction Emerson School (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$5,314.50.

##### *School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(5) F. E. Newberry Electric Company, second payment, electric work, Crocker-Amazon School (claim dated July 19, 1922), \$1,494.

(6) A. Lettich, first payment, plumbing, Yerba Buena School (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,211.37.

##### *Municipal Railway Fund.*

(7) Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Mazda lamps, etc. (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$517.20.

(8) Pacific Gas and Electric Company, June electric power (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$31,175.39.

(9) Edgewater Steel Company, steel car wheels (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$2,749.50.

(10) Market Street Railway Company, reimbursement per agreement, December 12, 1919 (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,180.53.

(11) Market Street Railway Company, June electric power (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$2,099.95.

(12) San Francisco city employees retirement fund, contribution for June (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$5,367.20.

##### *Auditorium Fund.*

(13) A. Lettich, first payment, conversion of two rooms into toilets, per contract (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$993.91.

(14) San Francisco 1922 Shrine Committee, refund of deposit for occupancy of Auditorium, June 3-18 (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,000.

##### *Park Fund.*

(15) National Ice Cream Company, ice cream furnished Park (claim dated July 21, 1922), \$675.25.

(16) Pacific Gas and Electric Company, gas and electric service (claim dated July 21, 1922), \$1,433.60.

(17) Spring Valley Water Company, water furnished (claim dated July 21, 1922), \$3,252.95.

##### *Library Fund.*

(18) Foster & Feuternick Company, binding library books (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$2,806.

(19) F. W. Wentworth & Co., library cabinets (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$538.75.

*General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(20) Union Oil Company, gasoline, etc., Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,585.85.

(21) Pacific Gas and Electric Company, gas and electric service, Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,306.41.

(22) Spring Valley Water Company, water, etc., furnished Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$2,252.23.

(23) St. Vincent's School, Marin County, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,134.32.

(24) Shell Oil Company, gasoline, Police Department (claim dated June 26, 1922), \$693.

(25) Producers' Hay Company, hay, etc., Police Department (claim dated June 26, 1922), \$617.64.

(26) Producers' Hay Company, hay, etc., Police Department (claim dated June 26, 1922), \$538.91.

(27) Standard Oil Company, gasoline, Police Department (claim dated May 29, 1922), \$699.30.

(28) Producers' Hay Company, hay, etc., Police Department (claim dated May 29, 1922), \$593.10.

(29) Clinton Construction Company, sixth payment, construction Section C, Ocean Beach Esplanade (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$40,766.28.

(30) San Francisco Chronicle, official advertising, Board of Supervisors (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,531.40.

(31) Sherry Brothers, Inc., food-stuffs, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$958.35.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

*Appropriations.*

Resolution No. 20139 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

*Miscellaneous Repairs to Buildings—Budget Item No. 60.*

(1) For expense of installation of toilets in Superior Courts, Hall of Justice, for use of jurors, \$1,560.

(2) For expense of installation of toilets and wash-basin in jury room, fourth floor, City Hall, including oak partition, \$675.

*County Road Fund.*

(3) For City's liability for improvement of Army street fronting property of F. W. Minkel at northwest corner of Army and Connecticut streets, \$1,826.60.

(4) For reconstruction of Seventh avenue southerly from Lawton street, \$1,136.85.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Appropriation, \$6,850, Land Required for Washington Irving School.

Resolution No. 20140 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$6,850 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax, 1922-1923, and authorized in payment to Filomena and Palmira Francesconi, being payment for land and improvements required for Washington Irving School, said lands commencing at a point on the easterly line of Bartol street, distant thereon 92 feet northerly from northerly line of Broadway; running thence northerly along said easterly line of Bartol street 22 feet 9 inches; being of uniform dimensions 22 feet 9 inches by 62 feet 11 inches; and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 20122 (New Series).

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

*Supply Station and Oil Permits.*

Resolution No. 20141 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

*Automobile Supply Station.*

B. A. Schmidt, at south corner of Folsom and Twelfth streets; also to store 600 gallons of gasoline on premises.

*Oil Storage Tank.*

(1500 gallons capacity.)

D. A. Hodghead, at 3435 Sacramento street.

City and County of San Francisco (Andrew Jackson School), on south side of Grove street between Clayton and Cole streets.

M. Cohn, on south side of Geary street 80 feet west of Leavenworth street.

S. Rouda, on south side of Geary street 100 feet west of Leavenworth street.

Ulmer & Peace, at 215 Church street; 600 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Blasting Permit.**

Resolution No. 20142 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Carlin Grading Company is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property situate at northwest corner of Battery and Vallejo streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of \$10,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction and under the supervision of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by the said Carlin Grading Company, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Garage Permit.**

Resolution No. 20143 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted Joseph Pasqualetti to maintain a public garage (two-story building) on south side of O'Farrell street, 137½ feet east of Steiner street; also to store 600 gallons of gasoline on premises.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit becomes null and void.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

H. K. Wolf was granted the privilege of the floor and protested against the granting of the permit.

Hugh McKerritt, representing the applicant, urged the final passage of the resolution.

**Motion.**

Supervisor Mulvihill moved to lay over one week.

Motion lost by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Hynes, Mulvihill, Robb—4.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—11.

**Final Passage.**

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was finally passed by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, Mc-

Leran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Authorizing and Ordering Reconstruction and Repairs of Certain Accepted Streets.**

Bill No. 6075, Ordinance No. 5664 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and ordering the reconstruction and repair of certain accepted streets in the City and County of San Francisco under the supervision of the Board of Public Works of said City and County during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1923, and appropriating funds for the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and ordered to reconstruct and repair under its supervision those certain accepted streets in said City and County particularly designated and described in Ordinance No. 5643 (New Series), approved June 10, 1922, fixing and appropriating the aggregate sum of the items thereof allowed to each department, officer, board and commission for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1923, and making a budget of the same and in and by said ordinance specified as Budget Items Nos. 69, 70, 80, 81, 82, 93 and 94.

There is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended by said Board of Public Works for each of said items of such work of reconstruction and repair the specific amount provided therefor, respectively, in and by said Ordinance No. 5643 (New Series), to-wit, \$95,500.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Ordering Construction of Section D, Esplanade.**

Bill No. 6076, Ordinance No. 5665 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of Section D of the Ocean Beach Esplanade; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction, approving plans and specifications therefor, and permitting progressive payments to be made during the progress of construction.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the construction of Section D of

The Ocean Beach Esplanade, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor by the Board of Public Works and on file in its office, which plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

Section 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for said construction of Section D of Ocean Beach Esplanade conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications, and as provided by Section 21, Chapter I, Article VI of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Recorder to Appoint Copyists.

Bill No. 6073, Ordinance No. 5666 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the Recorder to appoint five additional copyists, grade three, for six months, ending December 31, 1922, at a monthly salary of \$165 each.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, the Recorder of the City and County is hereby authorized to appoint five additional copyists, grade three, for a period of six months, ending December 31, 1922, at a salary of \$165 per month each.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect July 1, 1922.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Jones Street.

Bill No. 6078, Ordinance No. 5667 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eight hundred and three.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office July 12, 1922, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eight hundred and three, to read as follows:

Section 803. The width of sidewalks on Jones street between McAllister and Post streets shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the

above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 6079, Ordinance No. 5668 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, July 10, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Vernon street from Holloway avenue to Garfield street* by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of a concrete pavement from the northerly line of Garfield street to a line 250 feet northerly therefrom, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the remainder of the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Bill No. 6080, Ordinance No. 5669 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 7, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Forty-second avenue between Balboa and Cabrillo streets* by the construction of concrete curbs and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes— Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### REPORT OF FINANCE COMMITTEE.

Demands on the Treasury, amounting to \$161,012.18, were presented and approved by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

#### Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McLeran: Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

#### *Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(1) W. D. Ayers Co., foodstuffs, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$2,390.40.

(2) Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co., hardware (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$628.89.

(3) E. I. DuPont de Nemours & Co. Inc., gelatin (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$20,218.40.

(4) Firestone Tire & Rubber Co., tires, etc. (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$667.50.

(5) Foppiano, Solari & Co., foodstuffs (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$549.17.

(6) The Giant Powder Co. Con., gelatin (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$23,330.18.

(7) Goodyear Rubber Co., rubber goods (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$1,315.94.

(8) Krogh Pump & Machinery Co., pump bearings (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$510.99.

(9) A. Levy & J. Zentner Co., foodstuffs (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$1,205.48.

(10) Moloney Electric Co., transformer repairs (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$1,465.32.

(11) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers attached (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$681.87.

(12) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers attached (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$721.60.

(13) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers attached (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$940.10.

(14) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers attached (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$1,292.45.

(15) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers attached (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$1,351.75.

(16) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers attached (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$1,497.07.

(17) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers attached (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$2,331.78.

(18) The Peninsula Syndicate, payment for lands in San Mateo County (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$900.

(19) John A. Roebling's Sons Co., wire, etc. (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$572.72.

(20) Standard Oil Co., oil, etc. (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$1,349.67.

(21) Standard Oil Co., fuel oil (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$3,069.50.

(22) Standard Oil Co., fuel oil (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$4,607.90.

(23) Sherry Bros. Inc., foodstuffs (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$1,316.06.

(24) South San Francisco Packing Provision Co., foodstuffs (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$638.78.

(25) George H. Tay Co., gate valves, etc. (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$771.64.

(26) United States Rubber Co., rubber goods (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$536.72.

(27) Western Electric Co., poles and supplies (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$523.61.

(28) Associated Oil Co., oil, etc. (claim dated July 25, 1922), \$3,192.45.

(29) Wm. Cluff Co., foodstuffs (claim dated July 25, 1922), \$2,898.07.

(30) Haas Bros., foodstuffs (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$581.87.

(31) Fred L. Hilmer Co., foodstuffs (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$643.41.

(32) Ingersoll-Rand Co., machine parts (claim dated July 25, 1922), \$750.05.

(33) Ingersoll-Rand Co., machine parts (claim dated July 25, 1922), \$2,754.35.

(34) Ingersoll-Rand Co., machine parts (claim dated July 25, 1922), \$2,764.24.

(35) Ingersoll-Rand Co., drills and parts (claim dated July 25, 1922), \$5,761.

(36) Ingersoll-Rand Co., gas engine, drills, hollow drill steel, etc. (claim dated July 25, 1922), \$18,057.82.

(37) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated July 25, 1922), \$6,867.87.

(38) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated July 25, 1922), \$6,912.50.

(39) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated July 25, 1922), \$6,912.50.

(40) Pacific States Electric Co., insulators and copper wire (claim dated July 25, 1922), \$3,029.92.

(41) Standard Oil Co., fuel oil (claim dated July 25, 1922), \$1,822.94.

(42) Standard Oil Co., gasoline and

oils (claim dated July 25, 1922), \$2,181.31.

(43) Edw. L. Soule Co., steel and iron (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$1,036.99.

(44) Sperry Flour Co., foodstuffs (claim dated July 25, 1922), \$1,220.67.

(45) Virden Packing Co., foodstuffs (claim dated July 25, 1922), \$1,508.89.

*Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.*

(46) Westinghouse Traction Brake Co., first payment for air brake equipment, Municipal Railways (claim dated July 28, 1922), \$8,557.54.

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(47) J. S. Hannah, fourth payment, construction of Columbus School (claim dated July 26, 1922), \$1,869.11.

(48) Mahony Bros., sixth payment, construction of Mission High School addition (claim dated July 26, 1922), \$10,964.29.

(49) C. Peterson Co., third payment, heating and ventilating North Beach (Galileo) High School (claim dated July 26, 1922), \$4,455.15.

*General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(50) H. F. Dugan, drug supplies, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,268.88.

(51) Schwabacher-Frey Stationery Co., Manila envelopes and postage, Dept. of Elections (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$3,086.36.

(52) George Anderson, street cans (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,400.

(53) Pacific Portland Cement Co., lime dust (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,544.48.

(54) Shell Co., fuel oil for asphalt plant (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$765.

(56) Niles Sand, Gravel and Rock Co., sand and gravel (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,571.82.

*General Fund, 1922-1923.*

(57) Phillips & Van Orden Co., ballot paper, Dept. of Elections (claim dated July 27, 1922), \$2,346.25.

(58) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished playgrounds (claim dated July 26, 1922), \$707.27.

(59) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished playgrounds (claim dated July 26, 1922), \$781.79.

(60) United Materials Co., sand for playgrounds (claim dated July 26, 1922), \$664.47.

*Special School Tax, 1921-1922.*

(61) P. F. Reilly, second payment, general construction, temporary buildings, Mission High and Humboldt Evening schools (claim dated July 26, 1922), \$10,020.

*Salary Ordinance Amendments.*

The following bill, presented by Supervisor McLeran and laid over from a previous meeting, was taken up:

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. —  
(New Series), as follows:

Amending paragraphs (c) and (d) of Section 6, paragraph (e) of Section 10, Sections 8, 9, 21, 27 and 28 of Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), entitled "Creating positions, fixing the compensation therefor, and authorizing the appointment thereto in accordance with the provisions of Section 35, Article XVI of the Charter, of additional deputies, clerks and employees in the various offices, boards and departments of the City and County of San Francisco, re-enacting in modified form the several ordinances authorizing such appointments and repealing such ordinances in so far as they create positions and fix salaries," and adding a new paragraph, (m), to Section 11.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Paragraphs (c) and (d) of Section 6, paragraph (e) of Section 10, Sections 8, 9, 21, 27 and 28 of Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, are hereby amended and a new paragraph thereto is hereby added to Section 11 thereof, to be designated paragraph (m), said paragraphs and sections amended and paragraphs added to read as follows:

Sec. 6. (c) Six deputies, Grade 5, each at a salary of \$2,400 a year.

Sec. 6. (d) One deputy, Grade 5, at a salary of \$2,280 a year.

Sec. 10. (e) One stenographer-type-writer, Grade 3, at a salary of \$1,800 a year.

Sec. 11. (m) One Juvenile Court investigator at a salary of \$1,800 a year.

#### *Treasurer.*

Section 8. The Treasurer is hereby authorized to appoint the following:

(a) One office superintendent at a salary of \$2,700 a year.

(b) One cashier at a salary of \$4,500 a year.

(c) One bank and bond deputy at a salary of \$3,300 a year, which position has been declared by the Civil Service Commission to be confidential and exempt from examination.

(d) One deputy at a salary of \$3,300 a year.

(e) One bond deputy at a salary of \$2,400 a year.

(f) One deputy, Grade 7, at a salary of \$3,000 a year.

(g) One bookkeeper at a salary of \$3,000 a year.

(h) One bookkeeper at a salary of \$2,100 a year.

(i) Two deputies, Grade 5, each at a salary of \$2,100 a year.

(j) One clerk, Grade 3, at a salary of \$1,800 a year.

#### *Assessor.*

Section 9. The Assessor is hereby authorized to appoint the following:

(a) One office superintendent, at a salary of \$3,000 a year.

(b) One cashier, Grade 5, at a salary of \$2,400 a year.

(c) Four deputies, Grade 7, each at a salary of \$3,000 a year.

(d) Eight deputies, Grade 5, each at a salary of \$2,400 a year.

(e) Eighteen deputies, Grade 4, each at a salary of \$2,100 a year.

(f) One cartographer at a salary of \$2,400 a year.

(g) Three appraisers of personal property, each at a salary of \$2,100 a year; said appraisers shall have all the authority given to deputy assessors by law under the provisions of Sections 3629-3632 of the Political Code.

(h) One confidential deputy at a salary of \$2,100 a year.

(i) Temporary clerks, Grade 2, each at a salary of \$125 a month during the time of their employment.

#### *Juvenile Court.*

Section 21. Under the so-called Juvenile Court Law:

(a) One chief probation officer at a salary of \$3,600 a year.

(b) One assistant probation officer at a salary of \$2,400 a year.

(c) Eight assistant probation officers, each at a salary of \$1,800 a year.

(d) Three deputy probation officers, each at a salary of \$1,800 a year.

(e) Three clerk-stenographers, each at a salary of \$1,800 a year.

(f) Two stenographers, each at a salary of \$1,800 a year.

(g) One collector at a salary of \$2,400 a year.

(h) One bookkeeper at a salary of \$1,800 a year.

(i) One file clerk at a salary of \$1,500 a year.

(j) One orderly at a salary of \$1,380 a year.

(k) One orderly at a salary of \$1,080 a year.

(l) One superintendent of the Detention Home at a salary of \$1,800 a year.

(m) One assistant superintendent at a salary of \$1,500 a year.

(n) One night assistant at a salary of \$1,500 a year.

(o) One matron at a salary of \$1,500 a year.

(p) Seven nurses, each at a salary of \$840 a year.

(q) One clinic nurse at a salary of \$1,080 a year.

(r) One cook at a salary of \$840 a year.

*Department of Electricity.*

Section 27. The Department of Electricity is hereby authorized to appoint the following:

(a) One office superintendent at a salary of \$3,900 a year, which position has been declared by the Civil Service Commission to be confidential and exempt from examination.

(b) One secretary at a salary of \$2,700 a year.

(c) One clerk at a salary of \$2,100 a year.

(d) One stenographer-typewriter at a salary of \$1,920 a year.

(e) One helper-messenger at a salary of \$1,500 a year.

(f) One chief inspector at a salary of \$2,700 a year.

(g) Eight inspectors, each at a salary of \$2,400 a year.

(h) One foreman lineman, at a salary of \$2,340 a year.

(i) One chief operator at a salary of \$2,580 a year.

(j) Seven fire alarm operators, each at a salary of \$2,280 a year.

(k) Four telephone operators, each at a salary of \$1,680 a year.

(l) One superintendent of plant, at a salary of \$3,000 a year.

(m) One batteryman at a salary of \$2,220 a year.

(n) One foreman instrument maker at a salary of \$2,580 a year.

(o) Four instrument makers, each at a salary of \$2,400 a year.

(p) Two foreman linemen, each at a salary of \$2,340 a year.

(q) Twelve linemen, each at a salary of \$2,160 a year.

(r) One commissary at a salary of \$1,800 a year.

(s) One repairer at a salary of \$2,220 a year.

(t) One cable splicer at a per diem of \$8.

(u) One foreman laborer at a per diem of \$6.50.

(v) Two laborers, each at a per diem of \$5.

(w) One machinist at a per diem of \$8.

(x) One painter at a per diem of \$8.

(y) One clerk at a salary of \$1,500 a year.

*Fire Commission.*

Section 28. The Board of Fire Commissioners is hereby authorized to appoint the following:

(a) One office superintendent and secretary at a salary of \$3,600 a year, which position has been declared by the Civil Service Commission to be confidential and exempt from examination.

(b) One superintendent of corporation yard at a salary of \$3,600 a year.

(c) One physician-surgeon at a salary of \$2,400 a year.

(d) One stenographer-typewriter, Grade 5, at a salary of \$2,400 a year.

(e) One superintendent of assignments at a salary of \$1,440 a year.

(f) One clerk and commissary, Grade 5, at a salary of \$2,400 a year.

(h) One batteryman and electrician at a salary of \$2,400 a year.

(i) One general foreman at a salary of \$2,700 a year.

(j) Two chief engineers of stationary steam engines, high-pressure water system, each at a salary of \$3,000 a year.

(k) Five assistant engineers of stationary steam engines, high-pressure water system, each at a salary of \$2,400 a year.

(l) Seven firemen of stationary steam engines, high-pressure water system, each at a salary of \$1,980 a year.

(m) One superintendent of distributing system, high-pressure water system, at a salary of \$3,420 a year.

(n) One foreman gateman, high-pressure water system, at a salary of \$2,520 a year.

(o) One assistant foreman gateman, high-pressure water system, at a salary of \$2,370 a year.

(p) Ten gatemen-hydrantmen, high-pressure water system, each at a salary of \$2,200 a year.

(q) One bookkeeper at a salary of \$1,800 a year.

(r) One clerk at a salary of \$1,500 a year.

(s) Three watchmen, Grade 3, each at a salary of \$1,620 a year.

(t) One drayman, Grade 4, at a salary of \$1,920 a year.

(u) One calker, high-pressure water system, at a per diem of \$6.75.

(v) Three laborers, high-pressure water system, each at a per diem of \$5.

(w) Twelve machinists, each at a per diem of \$8.

(x) Three blacksmiths, each at a per diem of \$8.

(y) Three blacksmiths' helpers, each at a per diem of \$6.08.

(z) One brass finisher at a per diem of \$8.

(aa) One boilermaker at a per diem of \$8.

(bb) One boilermaker's helper at a per diem of \$6.08.

(cc) One foreman carriage and wagon painter at a per diem of \$8.50.

(dd) Two carriage and wagon painters, each at a per diem of \$8.

(ee) Two carriage, wagon and automobile wood-workers, at a per diem of \$8.

(ff) One leather worker at a per diem of \$8.

(gg) Three machinists' helpers, each at a per diem of \$6.

(hh) Four pilots for fireboats, each at a salary of \$2,700 a year.

(ii) Eight marine engineers for fireboats, each at a salary of \$2,700 a year.

(jj) Eight marine stokers for fireboats, each at a salary of \$1,680.

#### Amendments.

##### Department of Elections.

*Supervisor Scott*, seconded by Supervisor Mulvihill, moved to amend Section 10, subdivision (e) by increasing from \$1,800 per annum to \$2,100 per annum.

*Motion carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Scott, Shannon—11.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, McGregor, McLeran, Rossi, Schmitz, Welch, Wetmore—7.

*Supervisor McSheehy*, seconded by Supervisor Bath, moved to amend as follows:

##### Juvenile Court.

Chief Assistant Probation Officer, \$200 to \$225.

Eight Assistant Probation Officers, \$150 to \$175.

Three Deputy Probation Officers \$150 to \$175.

One field investigator, \$150 to \$175.

Secretary to Probation Officer, \$150 to \$175.

Cashier-bookkeeper, \$150 to \$175.

File clerk and Italian interpreter, \$125 to \$150.

*Supervisor Bath* moved to include in the foregoing motion, Adult Probation Department, Sec. 21, (b) and (c), \$2,700 and \$2,100 respectively.

Amendment accepted by Supervisor McSheehy as part of his motion.

*Miss Moynihan*, of the Juvenile Detention Home, spoke for the increase.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hynes, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Powers, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon—9.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Robb, Rossi, Welch, Wetmore—9.

##### Explanation of Vote.

*Supervisor Welch*: I voted for this increase in the budget. The increase should have been granted without adding to the burden of the taxpayers of this city and county, which it will now do if it is adopted.

##### Department of Electricity.

*Supervisor Deasy*, seconded by Supervisor Hynes, moved as an amendment that Section 27, subdivision (c), chief clerk, Department of Electricity, be increased from \$2,100 to \$2,400.

*Amendment carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy,

Hayden, Hynes, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—12.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Rossi, Welch—6.

##### Explanation of Vote.

*Supervisor Welch*: I vote *no*. I am voting consistently with the position taken by me when making up the budget that I would vote for no increase over and above the original recommendations of the Finance Committee. I think he is entitled to the increase, but at this time it will increase the tax rate.

##### Per Diem Men.

*Supervisor Hynes*, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved that per diem men's wages be fixed at \$6 per day instead of \$5, to-wit:

Sec. 27, sub. (v), \$5 to \$6 per day.

Sec. 28, sub. (v), \$5 to \$6 per day.

*Motion lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hynes, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Powers, Scott—7.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—11.

##### Explanation of Vote.

*Supervisor Schmitz* explained his vote by saying: "I am voting *no* because I think it will place the department in a very peculiar position. If outside contractors and the Board of Public Works and other departments are paying \$5 per day and this is the prevailing wage, to raise it to \$6 per day in this case would be inconsistent and unfair to the other 200 or 300 men who are getting \$5 per day.

*Supervisor Shannon*: I am voting *no* with the same explanation offered by Supervisor Schmitz. I am a member of the Committee on Standardization of Salaries and we are now trying to correct these irregularities.

*Supervisor Welch*: I vote *no* and offer the same explanation previously made on Supervisor McSheehy's motion.

##### Auditor's Office.

Wm. F. Bonsor was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board with reference to an increase in salary for two women deputies in the Auditor's office, who, he declared, are now being paid less than the men deputies in the same office doing similar work.

*Supervisor McLeran*, chairman of the Finance Committee, declared that it was the intention of the Finance Committee to make this recommendation at the time the Budget was presented, but through an oversight was not put in the Budget.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Morgan, Section 6 (f) two deputies, Grade four, each at a salary of \$1,800 a year, was increased to "\$2,100 a year" by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Asphalt Workers.

John O'Connell, Secretary of the San Francisco Labor Council, was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board requesting that the wages of the asphalt workers which had been reduced \$1 per day be restored.

Supervisor Welch moved reference to the Finance Committee for investigation and report.

Motion carried.

Whereupon, the foregoing bill amended as follows was passed for printing by the following vote:

Bill No. 6082, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending paragraphs (c), (d) and (e) of Section 6, paragraph (e) of Section 10, Sections 8, 9, 21, 27 and 28 of Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), entitled, "Creating positions, fixing the compensations therefor, and authorizing the appointment thereto in accordance with the provisions of Section 35, Article XVI of the Charter, of additional deputies, clerks and employees in the various offices, boards and departments of the City and County of San Francisco, re-enacting in modified form the several ordinances authorizing such appointments and repealing such ordinances in so far as they create positions and fix salaries," and adding a new paragraph (m) to Section 11.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Paragraphs (c), (d) and (f) of Section 6, paragraph (e) of Section 10, Sections 8, 9, 21, 27 and 28 of Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, are hereby amended and a new paragraph thereto is hereby added to Section 11 thereof, to be designated paragraph (m), said paragraphs and sections amended and paragraphs added to read as follows:

Section 6. (c) Six deputies, Grade 5, each at a salary of \$2,400 a year.

Section 6. (d) One deputy, Grade 5, at a salary of \$2,280 a year.

Section 6. (f) Two deputies, Grade 4, each at a salary of \$2,100 a year.

Section 10. (e) One stenographer-typewriter, Grade 4, at a salary of \$2,100 a year.

Section 11. (m) One Juvenile Court

investigator at a salary of \$1,800 a year.

#### Treasurer.

Section 8. The Treasurer is hereby authorized to appoint the following:

(a) One office superintendent, at a salary of \$2,700 a year.

(b) One cashier, at a salary of \$1,500 a year.

(c) One bank and bond deputy, at a salary of \$3,300 a year, which position has been declared by the Civil Service Commission to be confidential and exempt from examination.

(d) One deputy, at a salary of \$3,300 a year.

(e) One bond deputy, at a salary of \$2,400 a year.

(f) One deputy, Grade 7, at a salary of \$3,000 a year.

(g) One bookkeeper, at a salary of \$3,000 a year.

(h) One bookkeeper, at a salary of \$2,100 a year.

(i) Two deputies, Grade 5, each at a salary of \$2,400 a year.

(j) One clerk, Grade 3, at a salary of \$1,800 a year.

#### Assessor.

Section 9. The Assessor is hereby authorized to appoint the following:

(a) One office superintendent, at a salary of \$3,000 a year.

(b) One cashier, Grade 5, at a salary of \$2,400 a year.

(c) Four deputies, Grade 7, each at a salary of \$3,000 a year.

(d) Eight deputies, Grade 5, each at a salary of \$2,400 a year.

(e) Eighteen deputies, Grade 4, each at a salary of \$2,100 a year.

(f) One cartographer, at a salary of \$2,400 a year.

(g) Three appraisers of personal property, each at a salary of \$2,100 a year; said appraisers shall have all the authority given to deputy assessors by law under the provisions of Sections 3629-3632 of the Political Code.

(h) One confidential deputy, at a salary of \$2,100 a year.

(i) Temporary clerks, Grade 2, each at a salary of \$125 a month during the time of their employment.

#### Juvenile Court.

Section 21. Under the so-called Juvenile Court Law:

(a) One chief probation officer, at a salary of \$3,600 a year.

(b) One assistant probation officer, at a salary of \$2,400 a year.

(c) Eight assistant probation officers, each at a salary of \$1,800 a year.

(d) Three deputy probation officers, each at a salary of \$1,800 a year.

(e) Three clerk-stenographers, each at a salary of \$1,800 a year.

(f) Two stenographers, each at a salary of \$1,800 a year.

(g) One collector, at a salary of \$2,400 a year.

(h) One bookkeeper, at a salary of \$1,800 a year.

(i) One file clerk, at a salary of \$1,500 a year.

(j) One orderly, at a salary of \$1,380 a year.

(k) One orderly, at a salary of \$1,080 a year.

(l) One superintendent of the Detention Home, at a salary of \$1,800 a year.

(m) One assistant superintendent, at a salary of \$1,500 a year.

(n) One night assistant, at a salary of \$1,500 a year.

(o) One matron, at a salary of \$1,500 a year.

(p) Seven nurses, each at a salary of \$840 a year.

(q) One clinic nurse, at a salary of \$1,080 a year.

(r) One cook, at a salary of \$840 a year.

#### *Department of Electricity.*

Section 27. The Department of Electricity is hereby authorized to appoint the following:

(a) One office superintendent, at a salary of \$3,900 a year, which position has been declared by the Civil Service Commission to be confidential and exempt from examination.

(b) One secretary, at a salary of \$2,700 a year.

(c) One clerk, at a salary of \$2,400 a year.

(d) One stenographer-typewriter, at a salary of \$1,920 a year.

(e) One helper-messenger, at a salary of \$1,500 a year.

(f) One chief inspector, at a salary of \$2,700 a year.

(g) Eight inspectors, each at a salary of \$2,400 a year.

(h) One foreman lineman, at a salary of \$2,340 a year.

(i) One chief operator, at a salary of \$2,580 a year.

(j) Seven fire alarm operators, each at a salary of \$2,280 a year.

(k) Four telephone operators, each at a salary of \$1,680 a year.

(l) One superintendent of plant, at a salary of \$3,000 a year.

(m) One batteryman, at a salary of \$2,200 a year.

(n) One foreman instrument maker, at a salary of \$2,580 a year.

(o) Four instrument makers, each at a salary of \$2,400 a year.

(p) Two foremen linemen, each at a salary of \$2,340 a year.

(q) Twelve linemen, each at a salary of \$2,160 a year.

(r) One commissary, at a salary of \$1,800 a year.

(s) One repairer, at a salary of \$2,200 a year.

(t) One cable splicer, at a per diem of \$8.

(u) One foreman laborer, at a per diem of \$6.50.

(v) Two laborers, each at a per diem of \$5.

(w) One machinist, at a per diem of \$8.

(x) One painter, at a per diem of \$8.

(y) One clerk, at a salary of \$1,600 a year.

#### *Fire Commission.*

Section 28. The Board of Fire Commissioners is hereby authorized to appoint the following:

(a) One office superintendent and secretary at a salary of \$3,600 a year, which position has been declared by the Civil Service Commission to be confidential and exempt from examination.

(b) One superintendent of Corporation Yard, at a salary of \$3,600 a year.

(c) One physician-surgeon, at a salary of \$2,400 a year.

(d) One stenographer-typewriter, Grade 5, at a salary of \$2,400 a year.

(e) One superintendent of assignments, at a salary of \$1,440 a year.

(f) One clerk and commissary, Grade 5, at a salary of \$2,400 a year.

(h) One batteryman and electrician, at a salary of \$2,400 a year.

(i) One general foreman, at a salary of \$2,700 a year.

(j) Two chief engineers of stationary steam engines, high-pressure water system, each at a salary of \$3,000 a year.

(k) Five assistant engineers of stationary steam engines, high-pressure water system, each at a salary of \$2,400 a year.

(l) Seven firemen of stationary steam engines, high-pressure water system, each at a salary of \$1,980 a year.

(m) One superintendent of distributing system, high-pressure water system, at a salary of \$3,420 a year.

(n) One foreman gateman, high-pressure water system, at a salary of \$2,520 a year.

(o) One assistant foreman gateman, high-pressure water system, at a salary of \$2,370 a year.

(p) Ten gatemen-hydrantmen, high-pressure water system, each at a salary of \$2,200 a year.

(q) One bookkeeper, at a salary of \$1,800 a year.

(r) One clerk, at a salary of \$1,500 a year.

(s) Three watchmen, Grade 3, each at a salary of \$1,620 a year.

(t) One drayman, Grade 4, at a salary of \$1,920 a year.

(u) One calker, high-pressure water system, at a per diem of \$6.75.

(v) Three laborers, high-pressure water system, each at a per diem of \$5.

(w) Twelve machinists, each at a per diem of \$8.

(x) Three blacksmiths, each at a per diem of \$8.

(y) Three blacksmiths' helpers, each at a per diem of \$6.08.

(z) One brass finisher, at a per diem of \$8.

(aa) One boilermaker, at a per diem of \$8.

(bb) One boilermaker's helper, at a per diem of \$6.08.

(cc) One foreman carriage and wagon painter, at a per diem of \$8.50.

(dd) Two carriage and wagon painters, each at a per diem of \$8.

(ee) Two carriage, wagon and automobile wood workers, each at a per diem of \$8.

(ff) One leather worker, at a per diem of \$8.

(gg) Three machinists' helpers, each at a per diem of \$6.

(hh) Four pilots for fireboats, each at a salary of \$2,700 a year.

(ii) Eight marine engineers for fireboats, each at a salary of \$2,700 a year.

(jj) Eight marine stokers for fireboats, each at a salary of \$1,680 a year.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Explanations of Vote.

*Supervisor Hynes:* I am voting for the ordinance because I don't want to hold up the salaries of the others; nor do I wish to be on record as reducing any salaries, particularly those of the "per diem" men.

*Supervisor McSheehy* offered the same explanation as Supervisor Hynes.

*Supervisor Mulvihill:* I vote *aye* with the same explanation.

*Supervisor Powers* requested that he be recorded *aye* with the same explanation as that offered by Supervisor Hynes.

*Supervisor Schmitz* explained by saying that he voted *aye* for the ordinance, but wanted to be recorded as *no* on "office deputies and superintendents," which he considered illegal positions.

*Supervisor Scott* voted *aye* with no reservations.

*Supervisor Welch* asked that the same explanation made as on the previous motion.

#### Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

#### Supply Station and Oil Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:  
Resolution No. — (New Series),  
as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

#### Automobile Supply Station.

Union Oil Co. of California, at southwest corner of Van Ness avenue and Fell street; also to store 1200 gallons of gasoline.

#### Oil Storage Tank.

(1500 gallons' capacity.)

S. and G. Gump, at northeast corner of Geary and Jones streets.

Emanuel Sisterhood, at northwest corner of Laguna and Page streets.

Henry Tillman, at northeast corner of Sacramento street and Leroy place.

City and County of San Francisco, on south side of Washington street, 175 feet east of Gough street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

#### Hand Laundry Permit Denied.

Supervisor Deasy presented:  
Resolution No. 20144 (New Series),  
as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied New Way Hand Laundry (M. J. O'Reilly, president) to maintain and operate a laundry at 730 Larkin street.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

#### Blasting Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:  
Resolution No. — (New Series),  
as follows:

Resolved, That Pacific Gas and Electric Company is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property situate on the south side of Army street near De Haro street; provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of \$20,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction and under the supervision of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by the said Pacific Gas and Electric Company, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

**Indefinite Postponement.**

The following resolution was, on motion of Supervisor Flynnes, *indefinitely postponed*:

**Circus Permit.**

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission to Ringling Bros. and Barnum-Bailey Combined Shows to hold exhibitions at Twelfth and Market streets September 1, 2, 3 and 4, 1922, upon complying with the provisions of Section 34, Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), and all sanitary regulations of the Board of Health.

Resolution No. 20004 (New Series), approved June 3, 1922, is hereby repealed.

**Action Deferred.**

The following entitled bill was, on motion, *laid over one week*:

Joint Use of Railway Construction on

**Masonic Avenue.**

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled "Authorizing and directing the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco to enter into an agreement with the Market Street Railway Company for the use by the City and County of San Francisco for its Municipal Railroad cars of the track, overhead trolley system and street railroad appurtenances on Masonic avenue from Oak street to Waller street and on Waller street from Clayton street to Cole street"

**Passed for Printing.**

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Release of the Utah Construction Company.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon:

Bill No. 6083, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the Board of Public Works to release the Utah Construction Company and its bondsmen from further liability under the performance bond and labor and material bonds furnished in connection with Contract No. 61 for the construction of the Hetch Hetchy dam and appurtenant works.

Whereas, it appears to the satisfaction of the Board of Supervisors from the report of the City Engineer that the 25 per cent withheld from progress payments under Contract No. 61 with the Utah Construction Company for the construction of the Hetch Hetchy dam will amount by July 31, 1922, to over \$1,000,000, and that said sum is more than sufficient as a cash bond to protect the City against any loss, damage or expense which may possibly arise in connection with the completion of said contract; and

Whereas, it further appears from the said report of the City Engineer that

the said contractor has faithfully performed its agreement to date, that the dam is completed to a height of 90 feet above stream bed, and that the period has passed when the work could be damaged by floods or other natural hazards, and that to require the contractor to continue its bond in effect would result only in the imposition of an unnecessary cost upon the contractor for premium on the bond, without any material increase in the City's security or benefit; and

Whereas, it further appears from said report that the next annual premium on said bond will amount to over \$40,000 and that no portion thereof was, as a matter of fact, included in the contractor's estimate upon which its bid was based, and the payment of the same would represent a direct loss to the contractor; and

Whereas, the Utah Construction Company has tendered to this Board an agreement to waive any claim for the sums of money heretofore withheld or hereafter to be withheld on account of its progress payments under said contract until the work under said contract shall have been fully completed and final acceptance made by the City, and has also tendered personal surety bonds executed by individual sureties justifying under oath in the amount of said bonds, in lieu of the corporate surety bonds above mentioned; and

Whereas, under the foregoing circumstances the City Engineer has recommended to this Board that the Board of Public Works be authorized to release the corporate sureties of the contractor from further liability under the performance and labor and material bonds furnished at the time of executing the contract; now, therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized in its discretion to release the corporate sureties of the Utah Construction Company from further liability under the performance and labor and material bonds furnished by said Utah Construction Company at the time of executing Contract No. 61, for the construction of the Hetch Hetchy dam and appurtenant works, to return the said bonds to the surety companies executing the same, and to accept the agreement of waiver and personal surety bonds tendered by the Utah Construction Company in lieu thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**Passed for Printing.**

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

**Repealing Ordinance Providing for the Improvement of Diamond Street.**

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill: Bill No. 6085, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 5517 (New Series), approved December 17, 1921, ordering the improvement of Diamond street between Chenery and Bosworth streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5517 (New Series), approved December 17, 1921, ordering the improvement of Diamond street between Chenery and Bosworth streets is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**Extension of Time.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20145 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Pacific States Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of sixty days' time from and after July 27, 1922, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Paris street between France and Italy avenues, under public contract.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that contractor has been delayed by public service corporations laying new mains and services in the streets.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Award of Contract.**

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 20146 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk be hereby directed to advertise for proposals for furnishing the following supplies as per specifications prepared by the Supplies Committee, viz.:

Item No.

- 2065a Uniforms for nurses.
- 15030b Chloroform, Squibb's, only.
- 15041a Ether, Squibb's, only.
- 15061a and b Nitrous oxide.
- 15071 Pharmaceutical preparations.
- 15100f Tablets, theobromine and sodium salicylate.
- 15114a, b and c Oxygen gas.
- 15120 Chemicals and reagents.
- 15152 Hospital equipment and surgical instruments.
- 15153 Ligatures, Jaeger, Bigelow, only.
- 15160b Tarboard.
- 15166 Shut-offs.
- 15177a and b Tubes, culture.

15184a, b, c, d, e, f, g and i Laboratory equipment and supplies.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Award of Contract, Coal.**

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 20147 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That contracts for furnishing coal for use of the public institutions and departments of the City and County of San Francisco, for which the Board of Supervisors is required to make contracts, required during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1923, be and the same are awarded to the following individuals, firms or corporations in strict conformity with their bids submitted July 24, 1922; that the amounts of the surety bonds required for the faithful performance of said contracts are hereby fixed at the amounts set below their respective names; that said contracts are hereby awarded as per the item number and article enumerated and appearing in their respective bids, viz.:

Central Coal Company.  
(Bond fixed at \$1,000.)

Item No.

- 5002 Coal, Anthracite—
  - (a) In bulk, per ton.....\$23.25
  - (b) In sacks, per ton..... 23.75
- 5003 Coal, Bituminous—
  - (a) In bulk, per ton.....\$12.10
- 5004 Coal, blacksmith's, per ton.\$19.75  
A. Ginocchio & Son.  
(Bond fixed at \$200.)

Item No.

- 5003 Coal, Bituminous—
    - (b) In sacks, per ton.....\$12.40
- Further Resolved, That the sufficiency of the sureties on the above enumerated bonds shall be subject to the approval of his Honor the Mayor.
- Further Resolved, That all other bids submitted for said articles are hereby rejected.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch Wetmore—18.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Charter Amendment, Purchase of Street Railways Outside County.**

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco,

State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding a new section to Article XII to be designated as Section 18, relating to the acquisition of existing street railways.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County at the general election to be held on the 7th day of November, 1922, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County as follows:

That Article XII of said Charter is hereby amended by adding a new section thereto, to be known as Section 18 and to read as follows:

"Section 18. The City and County of San Francisco shall have power to purchase the whole or any part of the street railways, street railway properties, equipment, franchises or other property of the Market Street Railway Company operated, owned or situated wholly within or partly within and partly without the City and County of San Francisco, and to own, operate, lease or sell the same, and any indebtedness incurred by such purchase shall be no part of the debt limited by Section 9 of this article.

"The registered voters of the City and County of San Francisco shall also have power to propose the purchase and acquisition of such property and the mode of procedure thereof by petition, and to adopt or reject the same at the polls pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 3 of Article XI of the Charter, which are hereby made applicable thereto. Such purchase or acquisition shall not, however, be consummated or become effective in the event such purchase shall involve the incurring of indebtedness or liability exceeding in any year the income or revenue provided for such year, unless the same shall be approved by two-thirds of the qualified electors voting thereon, as specified in Section 18 of Article XI of the Constitution of the State of California, and it shall be the duty of the Board of Supervisors in such case to submit such question to the voters pursuant to such provisions of the Constitution and of Article XII of the Charter."

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

Supervisor Bath announced a meeting of the Committee for next Thursday.

**Death of Hetch Hetchy Nurse Ethel E. Moyer.**

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 20148 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Ethel Earl Moyer, a nurse employed in the hospital of the City and County of San Francisco at Groveland, California, during the fire which

destroyed said hospital on the 27th of July, 1922, performed deeds of unparalleled heroism in rescuing helpless patients from the burning building; and

Whereas, as a result of her heroism, self-sacrifice and unflinching fidelity to the highest ideals of her profession, Ethel Earl Moyer received during said conflagration terrible burns and other injuries resulting in her untimely decease in the flower of her youth,

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, in behalf of all the people of this City and County does hereby express profound sorrow and regret that this brave, faithful woman has passed away and deep and lasting appreciation of her splendid, heroic work in saving the lives of others even at the sacrifice of her own; and be it further

Resolved, That two copies of this resolution be suitably engrossed and sent to Roland W. Moyer, husband of the decedent, and Mrs. Grace Moore, her mother, respectively, as evidence of such appreciation and sympathy in their bereavement.

*Adopted by rising vote.*

**Lighting Pacific Street.**

Supervisor Schmitz presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Pacific street has been paved with red brick, and is in such fine condition that large numbers of automobilists daily use this thoroughfare; and

Whereas, this street is very poorly lighted; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Lighting Committee of this Board be and is hereby requested to give the proper lighting of this street immediate consideration.

*Referred to the Lighting Committee.*

**Confirming Action of Trustees, Denman Medal Fund.**

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 20150 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the trustees of the Denman Silver Medal Fund, consisting of the Mayor, Auditor and Treasurer of the City and County, be and they are hereby authorized to draw a demand and warrant on said Denman Silver Medal Fund in the sum of \$1,292.92, accumulated interest, and on deposit in the City Treasury, in favor of the regents of the University of California; and the Auditor and the Treasurer are hereby authorized to audit and to pay to the regents of the University of California the said sum of \$1,292.92 upon demand and warrant so drawn; being in accordance with the provisions of said trust.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman,

Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Appropriations, Bridge at Sixbit Gulch.**

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910, for Hetch Hetchy water construction, as follows:

(1) For erection of concrete piers at Sixbit Gulch, Contract No. 87, including possible bonus and extras (Contract awarded to Schultz Con. Co. at \$32,940), \$35,000.

(2) For furnishing, delivering and erecting steel bridge across Sixbit Gulch, Contract No. 86, including possible bonus and extras (Contract awarded to Union Con. Co. at \$26,132), \$30,000.

(3) For grading roadway for relocation of Hetch Hetchy Railroad at Sixbit Gulch, including possible bonus and extras, Contract No. 88 (Contract awarded to Martin J. Lyons at \$7,900), \$10,000.

*Passed for printing by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**California Industrial Exposition.**

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the various departments of the City Government be requested to participate in the coming of the Second California Industries Exposition at Exposition Auditorium, beginning October 7 and ending October 30, 1922; and further

Resolved, That the Finance Committee render such financial assistance as will be necessary under these circumstances.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

**Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required for Viaduct Right of Way.**

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 20151 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of Ellen Behnke to sell to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum of eight hundred and 00/100 dollars (\$800.00) all of that certain lot, piece or parcel of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Lot 1 in Block 20, Crocker-Amazon Tract, as per map filed October 23, 1912, in Map Book "G", pages 84 and

85, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California,

be and the same is hereby accepted.

Said land is required for the Amazon Reservoir, in connection with the Hetch Hetchy project.

The Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply is hereby authorized and directed to notify said Ellen Behnke of the acceptance of her said offer, and to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition to accept deed therefor in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price of \$800.

*Adopted under suspension of the rules by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Announcement.

Supervisor Bath announced a meeting of the Judiciary Committee for Thursday, at 10 a. m., to consider Supervisor Hayden's proposed charter amendment. Clerk requested to get City Attorney's opinion as to legal points involved.

#### Blasting Permit.

Supervisor Deasy presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. J. Reeder is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property situate at the northeast corner of Post and Powell streets, while grading lot and removing old concrete foundations, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of \$20,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works, and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction and under the supervision of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by the said A. J. Reeder, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

*Passed for printing by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

MONDAY, JULY 31, 1922.

**Death of John Reid.**

*Supervisor Welch* called attention to the death of John Reid, father of Mrs. James Rolph, Jr., and moved that a committee of three be appointed to draw up suitable resolutions of condolence, and that when the Board adjourns today it do so out of respect to the memory of the deceased.

*Motion carried by rising vote.*

Chair (Supervisor McLeran) appointed Supervisors Welch, Hayden and Mulvihill.

**Death of Samuel D. Mayer.**

*Supervisor Schmitz* called attention to the deplorable death of Samuel D. Mayer, pioneer citizen, physician and organist, who was killed by an automobile recently. He moved that when the Board adjourn it do so out of respect to his memory.

*Motion carried by rising vote.*

**ADJOURNMENT.**

Thereupon, the Board at the hour of 6 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors September 11, 1922.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 17—New Series

No. 32

Monday, August 7, 1922.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY

77 Sutter Street, S. F.

VO

2

200

TD

200

TD

200

200

200

200

200

200

200

200

200

200

200

200

200

200

200

200

200

200

200

200

200

200

200

200

200

200

200

200

200

200

200

200

200

200

200

200

200

200

200

200

200

200

200

200

200

200

200

200

200

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, AUGUST 7, 1922, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, August 7, 1922, 2 p. m.  
The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Morgan, Mulvihill—2.

Supervisor Mulvihill excused on account of illness.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over for approval until next meeting.

### ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

M. Marshal, representing the Mission Merchants' Improvement Association, was granted the privilege of the floor. He called attention to a proposed widening of Mission street between Twenty-sixth and Army streets, stating that property owners have consented to deed necessary land. Cost at this time will be about \$3,000. A few years hence it will be three or four times as much.

On motion of Supervisor Welch, *referred to the Streets and Finance Committees.*

### REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.

The following committees, by their respective chairmen, presented reports on various matters referred, which reports were read and ordered *filed*:

Supplies Committee, by Supervisor Hilmer, chairman.

Fire Committee, by Supervisor Deasy, chairman.

Streets and Commercial Development Committee, by Supervisor Mulvihill, chairman.

### PROPOSAL NOTICE.

For Transferring Body of Ambulance.  
Sealed proposals were received by

the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco for transferring body of Winton Ambulance No. 2 to a new chassis, to-wit:

1. W. A. Murasky.....\$660
2. H. E. Holmes..... 894
3. Thompson & Co. .... 724
4. D. D. Lowney..... 698

*Referred to Supplies Committee.*

### SPECIAL ORDER, 3 P. M.

Rincon Hill Regrade.

Supervisor Hayden moved that the hearing for the opponents of Rincon Hill regrade be fixed for seven weeks from tomorrow, September 26th, 1922, at 2 p. m.; that the Clerk be directed to notify every property owner in the assessment district of the amount of his or her assessment, and request them to notify the Board whether or not they are in favor.

*Motion carried.*

### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

#### Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

#### Authorizations.

Resolution No. 20152 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(1) W. D. Ayers Co., foodstuffs, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$2,390.40.

(2) Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co., hardware (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$628.89.

(3) E. I. DuPont De Nemours & Co. Inc., gelatin (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$20,218.40.

(4) Firestone Tire and Rubber Co., tires, etc. (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$667.50.

(5) Foppiano, Solari & Co., foodstuffs (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$549.17.

(6) The Giant Powder Co., Con., gelatin (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$23,330.18.

(7) Goodyear Rubber Co., rubber

goods (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$1,315.94.

(8) Krogh Pump and Machinery Co., pump bearings (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$510.99.

(9) A. Levy and J. Zentner Co., foodstuffs (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$1,205.48.

(10) Moloney Electric Co., transformer repairs (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$1,465.32.

(11) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers attached (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$681.87.

(12) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers attached (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$721.60.

(13) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers attached (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$940.10.

(14) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers attached (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$1,292.45.

(15) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers attached (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$1,351.75.

(16) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers attached (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$1,197.07.

(17) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers attached (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$2,331.78.

(18) The Peninsula Syndicate, payment for lands in San Mateo County (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$900.

(19) John A. Roebling's Sons Co., wire, etc. (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$572.72.

(20) Standard Oil Co., oil, etc. (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$1,349.67.

(21) Standard Oil Co., fuel oil (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$3,069.50.

(22) Standard Oil Co., fuel oil (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$1,607.90.

(23) Sherry Bros. Inc., foodstuffs (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$1,316.06.

(24) South San Francisco Packing and Provision Co., foodstuffs (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$638.78.

(25) George H. Tay Co., gate valves, etc. (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$771.64.

(26) U. S. Rubber Co., rubber goods (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$536.72.

(27) Western Electric Co., poles and supplies (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$523.61.

(28) Associated Oil Co., oil, etc. (claim dated July 25, 1922), \$3,192.45.

(29) William Cluff Co., foodstuffs (claim dated July 25, 1922), \$2,898.07.

(30) Haas Bros., foodstuffs (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$581.87.

(31) Fred L. Hilmer Co., foodstuffs (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$643.41.

(32) Ingersoll-Rand Co., machine parts (claim dated July 25, 1922), \$750.05.

(33) Ingersoll-Rand Co., machine parts (claim dated July 25, 1922), \$2,754.35.

(34) Ingersoll-Rand Co., machine parts (claim dated July 25, 1922), \$2,864.24.

(35) Ingersoll-Rand Co., drills and parts (claim dated July 25, 1922), \$5,961.

(36) Ingersoll-Rand Co., gas engine, drills, hollow drill steel, etc. (claim dated July 25, 1922), \$18,057.82.

(37) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated July 25, 1922), \$6,867.87.

(38) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated July 25, 1922), \$6,912.50.

(39) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated July 25, 1922), \$6,912.50.

(40) Pacific States Electric Co., insulators and copper wire (claim dated July 25, 1922), \$3,029.92.

(41) Standard Oil Co., fuel oil (claim dated July 25, 1922), \$1,822.94.

(42) Standard Oil Co., gasoline and oils (claim dated July 25, 1922), \$2,181.31.

(43) Edward L. Soule Co., steel and iron (claim dated July 24, 1922), \$1,036.99.

(44) Sperry Flour Co., foodstuffs (claim dated July 25, 1922), \$1,220.67.

(45) Virden Packing Co., foodstuffs (claim dated July 25, 1922), \$1,508.89. *Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.*

(46) Westinghouse Traction Brake Co., first payment for air brake equipment, Municipal Railways (claim dated July 28, 1922), \$8,557.54.

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(47) J. S. Hannah, fourth payment, construction of Columbus School (claim dated July 26, 1922), \$1,869.11.

(48) Mahony Bros., sixth payment, construction of Mission High School addition (claim dated July 26, 1922), \$10,961.29.

(49) C. Peterson Co., third payment, heating and ventilating North Beach (Galileo) High School (claim dated July 26, 1922), \$4,445.15.

*General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(50) H. F. Dugan, drug supplies, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,268.88.

(51) Schwabacher-Frey Stationery Co., manila envelopes and postage, Department of Elections (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$3,086.36.

(52) George Anderson, street cans (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,400.

(53) Pacific Portland Cement Co.,

Con., lime dust (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,544.18.

(54) Shell Co., fuel oil for asphalt plant (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$765.

(56) Niles Sand, Gravel and Rock Co., sand and gravel (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,571.82.

*General Fund, 1922-1923.*

(57) Phillips & Van Orden Co., ballot paper, Dept. of Elections (claim dated July 27, 1922), \$2,346.25.

(58) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished playgrounds (claim dated July 26, 1922), \$707.27.

(59) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished playgrounds (claim dated July 26, 1922), \$781.79.

(60) United Materials Co., sand for playgrounds (claim dated July 26, 1922), \$664.47.

*Special School Tax, 1921-1922.*

(61) P. F. Reilly, second payment, general construction, temporary buildings, Mission High and Humboldt Evening Schools (claim dated July 26, 1922), \$10,020.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Morgan, Mulvihill—2.

**Appropriations. Bridge at Sixbit Gulch.**  
Resolution No. 20153 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910, for Hetch Hetchy water construction, as follows:

(1) For erection of concrete piers at Sixbit Gulch, Contract No. 87, including possible bonus and extras (contract award to Schultz Con. Co. at \$32,940), \$35,000.

(2) For furnishing, delivering and erecting steel bridge across Sixbit Gulch, Contract No. 86, including possible bonus and extras (contract award to Union Con. Co. at \$26,132), \$30,000.

(3) For grading roadway for relocation of Hetch Hetchy Railroad at Sixbit Gulch, including possible bonus and extras, Contract No. 88 (contract award to Martin J. Lyons at \$7,900), \$10,000.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Morgan, Mulvihill—2.

**Supply Station and Oil Permits.**

Resolution No. 20154 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

*Oil Storage Tank.*

(1500 gallons capacity.)

S. and G. Gump, at northeast corner of Geary and Jones streets.

Emanuel Sisterhood, at northwest corner of Laguna and Page streets.

Henry Tillman, at northeast corner of Sacramento street and Leroy place.

City and County of San Francisco, on south side of Washington street, 175 feet east of Gough street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Morgan, Mulvihill—2.

**Blasting Permits.**

Resolution No. 20155 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Pacific Gas and Electric Company is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property situate on the south side of Army street, near De Haro street; provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of \$10,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction and under the supervision of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by the said Pacific Gas and Electric Company, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Morgan, Mulvihill—2.

Resolution No. 20156 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. J. Reeder is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property situate at the northeast corner of Post and Powell streets while grading lot and removing old concrete foundations, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of \$20,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said

blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction and under the supervision of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by the said A. J. Reeder then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Morgan, Mulvihill—2.

**Repealing Ordinance for the Improvement of Diamond Street.**

Bill No. 6085, Ordinance No. 5672 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 5517 (New Series), approved December 17, 1921, ordering the improvement of Diamond street between Chenery and Bosworth streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5517 (New Series), approved December 17, 1921, ordering the improvement of Diamond street between Chenery and Bosworth streets is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Morgan, Mulvihill—2.

**Release of Utah Construction Company.**

Bill No. 6083, Ordinance No. 5671 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the Board of Public Works to release the Utah Construction Company and its bondsmen from further liability under the performance bond and labor and material bonds furnished in connection with Contract No. 61 for the construction of the Hetch Hetchy dam and appurtenant works.

Whereas, it appears to the satisfaction of the Board of Supervisors from the report of the City Engineer that the 25 per cent withheld from progress payments under Contract No. 61 with the Utah Construction Company for the construction of the Hetch Hetchy dam, will amount by July 31, 1922, to over \$1,000,000 and that said sum is more than sufficient as a cash bond to protect the City against any loss, damage or expense which may possibly arise in connection with the completion of said contract; and

Whereas, it further appears from the said report of the City Engineer

that the said contractor has faithfully performed its agreement to date; that the dam is completed to a height of 90 feet above the stream bed, and that the period has passed when the work could be damaged by floods or other natural hazards, and that to require the contractor to continue its bond in effect would result only in the imposition of an unnecessary cost upon the contractor for premium on the bond without any material increase in the City's security or benefit; and

Whereas, it further appears from said report that the next annual premium on said bond will amount to over \$40,000 and that no portion thereof was, as a matter of fact, included in the contractor's estimate upon which its bid was based, and the payment of the same would represent a direct loss to the contractor; and

Whereas, the Utah Construction Company has tendered to this Board an agreement to waive any claim for the sums of money heretofore withheld or hereafter to be withheld on account of its progress payments under said contract until the work under said contract shall have been fully completed and final acceptance made by the City, and has also tendered personal surety bonds executed by individual sureties justifying under oath in the amount of said bonds, in lieu of the corporate surety bonds above mentioned; and

Whereas, under the foregoing circumstances the City Engineer has recommended to this Board that the Board of Public Works be authorized to release the corporate sureties of the contractor from further liability under the performance and labor and material bonds furnished at the time of executing the contract;

Now, therefore, be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized in its discretion to release the corporate sureties of the Utah Construction Company from further liability under the performance and labor and material bonds furnished by said Utah Construction Company at the time of executing Contract No. 61 for the construction of the Hetch Hetchy dam and appurtenant works, to return the said bonds to the surety companies executing the same and to accept the agreement of waiver and personal surety bonds tendered by the Utah Construction Company in lieu thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi.

Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Morgan, Mulvihill—2.

**Salary Ordinance Amendments.**

Bill No. 6082, Ordinance No. 5670 (New Series), as follows:

Amending paragraphs (c), (d) and (f) of Section 6, paragraph (e) of Section 10, Sections 8, 9, 21, 27 and 28 of Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), entitled, "Creating positions, fixing the compensation therefor, and authorizing the appointment thereto in accordance with the provisions of Section 35, Article XVI of the Charter, of additional deputies, clerks and employees in the various offices, boards and departments of the City and County of San Francisco, re-enacting in modified form the several ordinances authorizing such appointments and repealing such ordinances in so far as they create positions and fix salaries," and adding a new paragraph (m) to Section 11.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Paragraphs (c), (d) and (f) of Section 6, paragraph (e) of Section 10, Sections 8, 9, 21, 27 and 28 of Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, are hereby amended and a new paragraph thereto is added to Section 11 thereof, to be designated paragraph (m), said paragraphs and sections amended and paragraphs added to read as follows:

Section 6. (c) Six deputies, Grade 5, each at a salary of \$2,400 a year.

Section 6. (d) One deputy, Grade 5, at a salary of \$2,280 a year.

Section 6. (f) Two deputies, Grade 4, each at a salary of \$2,100 a year.

Section 10. (e) One stenographer-typewriter, Grade 4, at a salary of \$2,100 a year.

Section 11. (m) One Juvenile Court investigator at a salary of \$1,800 a year.

*Treasurer.*

Section 8. The Treasurer is hereby authorized to appoint the following:

(a) One office superintendent, at a salary of \$2,700 a year.

(b) One cashier, at a salary of \$4,500 a year.

(c) One bank and bond deputy, at a salary of \$3,300 a year, which position has been declared by the Civil Service Commission to be confidential and exempt from examination.

(d) One deputy, at a salary of \$3,300 a year.

(e) One bond deputy, at a salary of \$2,400 a year.

(f) One deputy, Grade 7, at a salary of \$3,000 a year.

(g) One bookkeeper, at a salary of \$3,000 a year.

(h) One bookkeeper, at a salary of \$2,100 a year.

(i) Two deputies, Grade 5, each at a salary of \$2,400 a year.

(j) One clerk, Grade 3, at a salary of \$1,800 a year.

*Assessor.*

Section 9. The Assessor is hereby authorized to appoint the following:

(a) One office superintendent, at a salary of \$3,000 a year.

(b) One casner, Grade 5, at a salary of \$2,400 a year.

(c) Four deputies, Grade 7, each at a salary of \$3,000 a year.

(d) Eight deputies, Grade 5, each at a salary of \$2,400 a year.

(e) Eighteen deputies, Grade 4, each at a salary of \$2,100 a year.

(f) One cartographer, at a salary of \$2,400 a year.

(g) Three appraisers of personal property, each at a salary of \$2,100 a year; said appraisers shall have all the authority given to deputy assessors by law under the provisions of Sections 3629-3632 of the Political Code.

(h) One confidential deputy, at a salary of \$2,100 a year.

(i) Temporary clerks, Grade 2, each at a salary of \$125 a month, during the time of their employment.

*Juvenile Court.*

Section 21. Under the so-called Juvenile Court Law:

(a) One chief probation officer, at a salary of \$3,600 a year.

(b) One assistant probation officer, at a salary of \$2,400 a year.

(c) Eight assistant probation officers, each at a salary of \$1,800 a year.

(d) Three deputy probation officers, each at a salary of \$1,800 a year.

(e) Three clerk-stenographers, each at a salary of \$1,800 a year.

(f) Two stenographers, each at a salary of \$1,800 a year.

(g) One collector, at a salary of \$2,400 a year.

(h) One bookkeeper, at a salary of \$1,800 a year.

(i) One file clerk, at a salary of \$1,500 a year.

(j) One orderly, at a salary of \$1,380 a year.

(k) One orderly, at a salary of \$1,080 a year.

(l) One superintendent of the Detention Home, at a salary of \$1,800 a year.

(m) One assistant superintendent, at a salary of \$1,500 a year.

(n) One night assistant, at a salary of \$1,500 a year.

(o) One matron, at a salary of \$1,500 a year.

(p) Seven nurses, at a salary of \$840 a year.

(q) One clinic nurse, at a salary of \$1,080 a year.

(r) One cook, at a salary of \$840 a year.

*Department of Electricity.*

Section 27. The Department of Electricity is hereby authorized to appoint the following:

(a) One office superintendent, at a salary of \$3,900 a year, which position has been declared by the Civil Service Commission to be confidential and exempt from examination.

(b) One secretary, at a salary of \$2,700 a year.

(c) One clerk, at a salary of \$2,400 a year.

(d) One stenographer-typewriter, at a salary of \$1,920 a year.

(e) One helper-messenger, at a salary of \$1,500 a year.

(f) One chief inspector, at a salary of \$2,700 a year.

(g) Eight inspectors, each at a salary of \$2,400 a year.

(h) One foreman lineman, at a salary of \$2,340 a year.

(i) One chief operator, at a salary of \$2,580 a year.

(j) Seven fire alarm operators, each at a salary of \$2,280 a year.

(k) Four telephone operators, each at a salary of \$1,680 a year.

(l) One superintendent of plant, at a salary of \$3,000 a year.

(m) One batteryman, at a salary of \$2,200 a year.

(n) One foreman instrument maker, at a salary of \$2,580 a year.

(o) Four instrument makers, each at a salary of \$2,400 a year.

(p) Two foreman linemen, each at a salary of \$2,340 a year.

(q) Twelve linemen, each at a salary of \$2,160 a year.

(r) One commissary, at a salary of \$1,800 a year.

(s) One repairer, at a salary of \$2,220 a year.

(t) One cable splicer, at a per diem of \$8.

(u) One foreman laborer, at a per diem of \$6.50.

(v) Two laborers, each at a per diem of \$5.

(w) One machinist, at a per diem of \$8.

(x) One painter, at a per diem of \$8.

(y) One clerk, at a salary of \$1,500 a year.

*Fire Commission.*

Section 28. The Board of Fire Commissioners is hereby authorized to appoint the following:

(a) One office superintendent and secretary at a salary of \$3,600 a year, which position has been declared by the Civil Service Commission to be confidential and exempt from examination.

(b) One superintendent of corporation yard, at a salary of \$3,600 a year.

(c) One physician-surgeon, at a salary of \$2,400 a year.

(d) One stenographer-typewriter, Grade 5, at a salary of \$2,400 a year.

(e) One superintendent of assignments, at a salary of \$1,440 a year.

(f) One clerk and commissary, Grade 5, at a salary of \$2,400 a year.

(h) One batteryman and electrician, at a salary of \$2,400 a year.

(i) One general foreman, at a salary of \$2,700 a year.

(j) Two chief engineers of stationary steam engines, high-pressure water system, each at a salary of \$3,000 a year.

(k) Five assistant engineers of stationary steam engines, high-pressure water system, each at a salary of \$2,400 a year.

(l) Seven firemen of stationary steam engines, high-pressure water system, each at a salary of \$1,980 a year.

(m) One superintendent of distributing system, high-pressure water system, at a salary of \$3,420 a year.

(n) One foreman gateman, high-pressure water system, at a salary of \$2,520 a year.

(o) One assistant foreman gateman, high-pressure water system, at a salary of \$2,370 a year.

(p) Ten gatemen-hydrantmen, high-pressure water system, each at a salary of \$2,200 a year.

(q) One bookkeeper, at a salary of \$1,800 a year.

(r) One clerk, at a salary of \$1,500 a year.

(s) Three watchmen, Grade 3, each at a salary of \$1,620 a year.

(t) One drayman, Grade 4, at a salary of \$1,920 a year.

(u) One calker, high-pressure water system, at a per diem of \$6.75.

(v) Three laborers, high-pressure water system, each at a per diem of \$5.

(w) Twelve machinists, each at a per diem of \$8.

(x) Three blacksmiths, each at a per diem of \$8.

(y) Three blacksmiths' helpers, each at a per diem of \$6.08.

(z) One brass finisher, at a per diem of \$8.

(aa) One boilermaker, at a per diem of \$8.

(bb) One boilermaker's helper, at a per diem of \$6.08.

(cc) One foreman carriage and wagon painter, at a per diem of \$8.50.

(dd) Two carriage and wagon painters, each at a per diem of \$8.

(ee) Two carriage, wagon and automobile wood workers, each at a per diem of \$8.

(ff) One leather worker, at a per diem of \$8.

(gg) Three machinists' helpers, each at a per diem of \$6.

(hh) Four pilots for fireboats, each at a salary of \$2,700 a year.

(ii) Eight marine engineers for fire-

boats, each at a salary of \$2,700 a year.

(jj) Eight marine stokers for fire-boats, each at a salary of \$1,680 a year.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

No—Supervisor Schmitz voted "No" on all office superintendents, deputy commissioners and executive secretaries.

Absent—Supervisors Morgan, Mulvihill—2.

#### REPORT OF FINANCE COMMITTEE.

Demands on the Treasury amounting to \$233,563.68 were presented and approved by the following vote:

##### *Urgent Necessity.*

Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company, official phoning, \$3.65.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Morgan, Mulvihill—2.

#### NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

##### *Authorizations.*

On motion of Supervisor McLeran: Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

##### *Auditorium Fund.*

(1) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electricity furnished Auditorium (claimed dated June 30, 1922), \$613.37.

##### *Tearing-Up Streets Fund.*

(2) Sewer Repair Fund, Dept. Public Works, reimbursement for use of auto truck on side sewer construction (claim dated July 26, 1922), \$543.

(3) T. M. Gallagher, repaving side sewer trenches (claim dated July 26, 1922), \$597.40.

##### *Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(4) American Manganese Steel Co., conveyor chains, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated July 28, 1922), \$1,093.27.

(5) Associated Oil Co., gasoline (claim dated July 28, 1922), \$1,471.19.

(6) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., hardware (claim dated July 28, 1922), \$620.72.

(7) Crane Company, machine parts (claim dated July 28, 1922), \$547.52.

(8) W. P. Fuller & Co., paints and

oils, etc. (claim dated July 28, 1922), \$500.82.

(9) Goodyear Rubber Co., rubber coats (claim dated July 28, 1922), \$703.82.

(10) J. F. Mitchell, machine parts (claim dated July 28, 1922), \$722.31.

(11) Myers-Whaley Co., Inc., machine parts (claim dated July 28, 1922), \$509.40.

(12) Ingersoll-Rand Co., machine parts (claim dated July 28, 1922), \$2,009.72.

(13) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Groveland, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers attached (claim dated July 27, 1922), \$1,944.45.

(14) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., mazda lamps (claim dated July 28, 1922), \$1,511.06.

(15) Pacific Metal Works, bearing castings and bushings (claim dated July 28, 1922), \$1,959.21.

(16) Pacific States Electric Co., electric supplies (claim dated July 28, 1922), \$604.

(17) Sierra Railway Co. of Cal., car service and transportation (claim dated July 27, 1922), \$1,834.21.

(18) M. Stulsatt Co., black pipe (claim dated July 28, 1922), \$1,011.03.

(19) George H. Tay Co., hardware (claim dated July 28, 1922), \$615.42.

(20) Union Petroleum Co., motor oil (claim dated July 28, 1922), \$1,139.41.

(21) The Utah Construction Co., extra work and material furnished (claim dated July 27, 1922), \$743.68.

(22) Waterbury Co., rope and cable (claim dated July 28, 1922), \$586.82.

(23) Tansey-Crowe Co., tires and tubes (claim dated July 28, 1922), \$724.23.

(24) Western Pipe & Steel Co., 20th and final payment for air pipe, Contract 84 (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$2,055.72.

(25) Construction Company of North America, 8th payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels, Contract 77-C (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$24,545.45.

##### *County Road Fund.*

(26) The Fay Improvement Co., pavement of 7th avenue southerly from Lawton street (claim dated Aug. 3, 1922), \$1,136.85.

(27) The Fay Improvement Co., improvement of Army street (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$1,582.60.

(28) F. J. McHugh, final payment, improvement of Balboa street from 23d to 24th avenues (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$3,875.

(29) Raisch Improvement Co., final payment, improvement of San Jose avenue between Ottawa street and Sickles avenue (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$16,485.25.

##### *Municipal Railway Fund.*

(30) Shell Company of California, gasoline, month of May (claim dated July 27, 1922), \$994.35.

(31) Union Oil Co. of Cal., gasoline, month of June (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$915.60.

(32) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., electric supplies (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$532.04.

(33) Westinghouse Pacific Coast Brake Co., air compressor, etc. (claim dated July 27, 1922), \$2,207.50.

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(34) Standard Electrical Construction Co., 4th payment, electric work, Mission High School addition (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$1,303.12.

(35) The Scott Co., 2d payment, plumbing, Mission High School Addition (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$3,176.70.

(36) Robert Trost, 5th payment, general construction, North Beach (Galileo) High School (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$46,275.37.

*Special School Tax, 1921-1922.*

(37) Butte Electrical & Mfg. Co., 2d payment, electrical work, Emerson School (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$1,194.75.

(38) Joseph Greenback, 1st payment, plastering, etc., Emerson School (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$4,066.73.

(39) John Reid, Jr., 3d payment, architectural service, Yerba Buena School (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$710.94.

(40) John Reid, Jr., 2d payment, architectural service, temporary buildings, Mission High and Humboldt Evening High Schools (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$738.18.

*Park Fund.*

(41) Taylor & Jackson, 1st payment, construction of shelter house at Lincoln Park (claim dated Aug. 4, 1922), \$1,574.80.

*General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(42) C. B. Cowden, 1st payment, sewer construction in Great Highway from Noriega street southerly (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$2,550.

(43) California School for Girls, maintenance of inmates in State Schools (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$505.81.

(44) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of inmates (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$586.44.

(45) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$625.34.

*General Fund, 1922-1923.*

(46) Haas Brothers, groceries, Relief Home (claim dated July 19, 1922), \$579.03.

(47) Hooper & Jennings, sugar, Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$639.

(48) Makins Produce Co., eggs, Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$765.90.

(49) C. Nauman & Co., fruit and

vegetables, Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$544.45.

(50) The San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated Aug. 7, 1922), \$1,000.

#### Appropriations.

Also Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

*Special School Tax—Budget Item No. 1, 1922-1923.*

For furnishings for new school buildings, as follows:

(1) For Parkside School, \$7,500.

(2) For McKinley School Annex, \$3,000.

(3) For Guadalupe School, \$9,000.

*Fire Department Building, Budget Item No. 59.*

(4) For construction of Engine House No. 39, south side of Portola Drive, opposite Kensington Way, \$33,000.

*Miscellaneous Repairs to Buildings—Budget Item No. 60.*

(5) For construction of sun porch enclosure and steel runway adjacent to the children's wing of the San Francisco Hospital, \$1,985.

*County Road Fund.*

(6) For the improvement of Army street between Third and DeHaro streets; being in accordance with Resolution No. 17484 (New Series), \$10,000.

Ordering Plans, etc., Correcting Acoustics, Auditorium.

Also, Bill No. 6086, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for, and the correction of, acoustic defects in the Exposition Auditorium; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said correction of acoustic defects in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for and to enter into contract for the correction of acoustic defects in the Exposition Auditorium in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Additional Positions Ordinance Amended, Coroner's Office.

On motion of Supervisor McLeran:

Bill No. 6087, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Subdivision (c) of Section 25 of Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), known as the "Ordinance of Additional Positions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Subdivision (c) of Section 25 of Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), known as the "Ordinance of Additional Positions," is hereby amended to read as follows:

(c) Three assistant deputies, grade three, at a salary of \$2,040 a year.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect August 1, 1922.

Appropriation, \$349.38. Work in Front of City Property, Diamond Street.

Supervisor McLeran presented:  
Resolution No. 20157 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$349.38 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Street Work in Front of City Property, Budget Item No. 45, for work in front of City property, Diamond street between Chenery and Bosworth streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Morgan, Mulvihill—2.

Accepting Statements Market Street Railway Company.

Also, Resolution No. 20158 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the statements heretofore filed by the Market Street Railway Company showing gross receipts from passenger fares for the months of May and June, 1922, upon which percentages in the following amounts are due the City and County, be and the same are hereby accepted, to-wit:

Month of May—

Parnassus and Ninth Ave. Line.	\$259.37
Parkside Transit Co.	348.55
Gough St. Railroad Co.	42.38

Month of June—

Parnassus and Ninth Ave. Line.	\$262.80
Parkside Transit Co.	336.48
Gough St. Railroad Co.	41.77

Further Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company is hereby directed to deposit with the Treasurer of the City and County the hereinabove mentioned sums, the same to be placed to the credit of the General Fund.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi,

Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Morgan, Mulvihill—2.

Clerk to Advertise for Bids for Library Bonds.

Also, Resolution No. 20159 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk be and is directed to advertise that sealed proposals will be received by the Board of Supervisors on Monday, August 28, at 3 o'clock p. m., for the purchase of Library Bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, issue of 1904, to the amount of \$84,000, comprising \$14,000 bonds maturing each year from 1935 to 1940, inclusive. The Finance Committee shall fix the terms and conditions of sale.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Morgan, Mulvihill—2.

Advertising Donation, Northern California.

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 20160 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City and County, out of its publicity and advertising fund, donated the sum of \$10,000 towards the expenses of the late Shrine Convention held in San Francisco; and

Whereas, the Shrine Convention Committee advises of a cash surplus over all expenses and is about to return to subscribers a pro rata of their donations; and

Whereas, the amount to be returned to the City and County is estimated at approximately \$3,000; and

Whereas, moneys are being collected for publicity and the advertising of Northern California, including San Francisco; therefore,

Resolved, That any and all moneys to be returned to the City and County by the Shrine Convention Committee be and the same is authorized as the City's donation towards advertising Northern California; and be it further Resolved, That the Shrine Convention Committee be and is hereby authorized and directed, in the name of the City and County, to pay into the Northern California Advertising Fund any and all moneys pro rated by it for refund to the City and County.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Morgan, Mulvihill—2.

**Auditorium Rentals.**

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 20161 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following organizations be granted permission to occupy the halls in the Auditorium, deposits having been paid to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to guarantee the rental fees:

Widows' and Orphans' Aid Association, San Francisco Fire Department, use of Main and Polk halls November 4, 1922, 6 p. m. to 2 a. m., for the purpose of holding a reception and ball.

Scavengers' Protective Union, use of Main and Polk halls August 19, 1922, 6 p. m. to 12 p. m., for the purpose of holding a dance.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Morgan, Mulvihill—2.

Resolution No. 20162 (Sew Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Women's Benefit Association be granted permission to occupy the Main Hall, Auditorium, June 1, 1923, 8 a. m. to 12 p. m., for the purpose of holding a convention.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Morgan, Mulvihill—2.

*Passed for Printing.*

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

**Oil Permits.**

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

**Oil Storage Tank.**

Associated Charities, at 1500 Jackson street; 600 gallons capacity.

Walton N. Moore Dry Goods Co., Inc., at southwest corner of Fremont and Mission streets; 2000 gallons capacity.

City and County of San Francisco (Mission High School), on north side of Eighteenth street between Dolores and Church streets; 2500 gallons capacity.

A. Ramazotti, on south side of Green street, 125 feet east of Polk street; 1500 gallons capacity.

Milton Latham, on north side of Sacramento street, 161 feet west of Franklin street; 1500 gallons capacity.

A. M. Hardy, on east side of Hyde street, 200 feet south of Vallejo street; 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

**Denying Stable Permit.**

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 20163 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied to Rice Hayes to maintain a stable for thirty horses at 35 Dore street.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Morgan, Mulvihill—2.

*Passed for Printing.*

The follow resolution was *passed for printing*:

**Stable Permit.**

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Barnett Kurtz to maintain a stable for one horse at 119 Fulton street, upon conforming to the requirements of the Board of Health in relation thereto.

This permit must be exercised within six months, otherwise it will become null and void.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-Back Lines, No. 1.

Supervisor McGregor presented:

Resolution No. 20164 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the street hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon a map accompanying said recommendation, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars, therefore

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, along both sides of Tenth avenue, between the southerly line of Anza street and the northerly line of Balboa street, which said lines shall be twelve feet distant and parallel to the line of Tenth avenue, as shown on the map filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, and which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 28th day of August, 1922, at the hour of 2 o'clock p. m., at the Chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objection to the establishment of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said proposed set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Morgan, Mulvihill—2.

**Acquisition of Land in Redwood City for Hetch Hetchy Rights of Way.**

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 20175 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has reported to this Board that in the acquisition of the right of way for the Bay Division of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct in the vicinity of Redwood City, it will be necessary for the City to pay the full value of town lots which are cut into triangular portions by the lines of the right of way, and that it will be advantageous to the City to have the small triangular fragments lying outside of the right of way conveyed to the Geo. H. Rice Abstract Company, the corporation which is handling the title work for the City in San Mateo County, such title to be subject to such further disposition as the City Engineer may direct, and

Whereas, it further appears from said recommendation of the City Engineer that this procedure will result in a saving in the cost of the right of way, and will assist the City's right of way agent in acquiring parcels of right of way adjacent to these triangular tracts which lie outside the right of way; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That wherever it is necessary, by reason of the severance damage, for the City to pay for entire lots which are cut by the said aqueduct right of way, authority is given to the City's attorneys and right of way agents to have title to fragmentary portions of lots which have been cut by the right of way, as may lie outside the limits of the right of way, taken in the name of the Geo. H. Rice Abstract Company, and thereafter conveyed to such persons as the City Engineer and the Public Utilities Committee of the Board of Supervisors may direct, and for such consideration as he may approve; be it further

Resolved, That the City Engineer

be and he is hereby directed to keep a record of all such transactions, the same to be available to this Board upon request.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Morgan, Mulvihill—2.

**Accepting Offer of W. H. Dudley to Sell Timber Land in Tuolumne County, Hetch Hetchy Water Supply.**

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 20165 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, W. H. Dudley has offered in writing to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described timber land situated in the County of Tuolumne, State of California, viz.:

The south  $\frac{1}{2}$  of the southeast  $\frac{1}{4}$  of Section 1, and the north  $\frac{1}{2}$  of the northeast  $\frac{1}{4}$  of Section 12, T. 1 S., R. 19 E., M. D. B. and M., comprising 160 acres, more or less, for the sum of seven thousand dollars (\$7,000); and

Whereas, the City Engineer has advised this Board in writing that the acquisition of said land is necessary in order to obtain the timber thereon for use in connection with the Hetch Hetchy project, and that the price specified in said offer does not exceed the reasonable value of said land; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of W. H. Dudley be and it is hereby accepted, and the Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy water supply is hereby authorized and directed to prepare the necessary conveyances and supervise the payment of the purchase price thereon, upon receipt of a deed from W. H. Dudley conveying clear title to said property to the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Morgan, Mulvihill—2.

**Accepting Offer of C. H. Crane, Land for Hetch Hetchy Right of Way.**

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 20166 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco from Charles H. Crane of the following described parcels of land situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, and required as a right of way

for the aqueduct on the Hetch Hetchy water supply project, viz.:

Lots numbered one (1) and two (2) in block numbered three (3), as shown and designated on a map entitled "North Fair Oaks, situated in San Mateo County", which was filed in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County, August 8, 1907, in Book 5 of Maps, at page 21, for the sum of two hundred dollars (\$200); and

Whereas, said purchase price is in accordance with the appraisalment of said lands made by Joseph J. Phillips, right of way agent for the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer the offer of Charles H. Crane to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the above-mentioned parcels of land for the sum of \$200 be and the same is hereby accepted.

The Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy water supply is hereby authorized and directed to prepare the necessary papers and deeds to close the transaction and to arrange for the payment of the purchase price to the seller upon receipt of deed conveying said property to the City and County of San Francisco clear of all incumbrances.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Morgan, Mulvihill—2.

#### Action Deferred.

The following bill was taken up and, on motion, *laid over until November 13, 1922.*

#### Joint Agreement, Masonic Avenue Extension.

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled "Authorizing and directing the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco to enter into an agreement with the Market street Railway Company for the use by the City and County of San Francisco for its Municipal Railroad cars of the track, overhead trolley system and street railroad appurtenances on Masonic avenue from Oak street to Waller street and on Waller street from Clayton street to Cole street."

#### Award of Contract, Foodstuffs.

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 20167 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk be and hereby is directed to advertise for proposals for furnishing foodstuffs required by the various public institutions and departments during the quarterly term commencing October 1, 1922, and ending December 31, 1922,

as per specifications prepared by the Supplies Committee.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Morgan, Mulvihill—2.

#### Mayor to Sell Scrap at Municipal Car Barn, Geary Street and Presidio Avenue.

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 20168 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and hereby is authorized and requested, pursuant to petition filed by the Board of Public Works, to sell at public auction the following personal property unfit and unnecessary for the use of the City and County, viz.: Approximately, 4 tons scrap copper, 3 tons scrap brass, 2 tons scrap steel, 400 scrap rolled steel car wheels, 80 scrap chilled cast iron car wheels, 1 lot scrapped automobile tire casings.

Said property now on hand at car-barn, Municipal Railway, Geary street and Presidio avenue.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Morgan, Mulvihill—2.

#### Clerk to Advertise for Proposals—Field Books for Assessor.

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 20169 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk be and hereby is directed to advertise for proposals for furnishing 150 Field Books for the Assessor, as per specifications prepared by the Supplies Committee.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Morgan, Mulvihill—2.

#### Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing:*

#### Full Acceptance of Streets.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Bill No. 6088, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled "Providing for full acceptance of the roadway of Army street between De Haro and Third streets, including the crossings of Army street and Connecticut street; Army street and Iowa street; Army street and Indiana street; Army street and Minnesota street; and Army street

and Tennessee street, and the intersection of Army street and Carolina street; Army street and Wisconsin street; Army street and Arkansas street; Army street and Evans avenue; Army street and Missouri street; Army street and Texas street; Army street and Mississippi street, and Army street and Pennsylvania avenue. Acadia street between Monterey boulevard and Joost avenue; Andover street between Crescent avenue and Benton street. San Jose avenue between Ottawa avenue and Siekles avenue, including the intersections of San Jose avenue and Foote avenue, Lakeview avenue, Naglee avenue, Whipple avenue, Farallones street, Farragut avenue, Broad street, Lawrence avenue, Sadowa street and Sagamore street."

#### Ordering Street Work.

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill: Bill No. 6089, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 11, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Pomona street* between the southerly line of *Bay View*

*street* and the northerly line of *Thornton avenue*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway between the northerly line of *Thornton avenue* and a line three hundred eighty (380) feet northerly therefrom and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the remainder of the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### Extension of Time.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20170 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That The Fay Improvement Company is hereby granted an extension of sixty days' time from and after September 1, 1922, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Mission Terrace.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that they were unable to complete the work on account of railroad conditions interfering with the supply of materials required. The work is well under way, all the curbing having been completed and about 75 per cent of the grading. A large amount of concrete foundation has also been constructed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Morgan, Mulvihill—2.

Also, Resolution No. 20171 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That State Improvement Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after August 15, 1922, within which to complete the improvement of Judah street between Forty-eighth avenue and La Playa.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that the grading, curbs and sidewalks are completed, and in order to allow the fill to settle.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Morgan, Mulvihill—2.

(G. Barker, was granted the privilege of the floor on the foregoing resolution and protested any further extension of time.)

Accepting Deed to Land Required for Street Purposes.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20172 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the deed dated the 5th day of July, 1921, from Charles W.

Sutro to the City and County of San Francisco to lands for the widening of Point Lobos avenue (as shown on map approved by Resolution No. 73947 [Second Series] of the Board of Public Works, July 19, 1922), upon the conditions therein contained be and the same is hereby accepted and the land described therein is hereby declared to be an open public street of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Morgan, Mulvihill—2.

Approving Map, Widening Point Lobos Avenue.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20173 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did by Resolution No. 73947 (Second Series), adopted July 19, 1922, approve a map showing the widening of Point Lobos avenue southerly from a point 105.062 feet southeasterly from the sixth angle point southwesterly from the westerly line of Forty-eighth avenue; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said map showing the widening of Point Lobos avenue southerly from a point 105.062 feet southeasterly from the sixth angle point southwesterly from the westerly line of Forty-eighth avenue is hereby approved.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Morgan, Mulvihill—2.

Intention to Close Portion of Judson Avenue.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20174 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that a portion of Judson avenue between Detroit street and Circular avenue be closed; be it further

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close a portion of Judson avenue between Detroit street and Circular avenue, situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

*Judson Avenue.*

Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Detroit street and the northerly line of Judson avenue and running thence southerly along the easterly line of Detroit street, if extended and produced south-

erly, a distance of 50 feet; thence southerly and easterly on a curve to the left of 20-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 90 deg., a distance of 31.416 feet to a point on the southerly line of Judson avenue; thence easterly along the southerly line of Judson avenue 55.117 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the left of 20-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 43 deg. 01 min. 30 sec., a distance of 15.019 feet to a point on the northwesterly line of Circular avenue, if extended and produced; thence north-easterly along the northwesterly line of Circular avenue, if extended and produced, a distance of 94.713 feet to a point on the northerly line of Judson avenue, distant thereon 158 feet easterly from the easterly line of Detroit street; thence westerly along the northerly line of Judson avenue 158 feet to the easterly line of Detroit street and the point of beginning.

Said closing of said portion of Judson avenue between Detroit street and Circular avenue shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with provisions of Section 2 of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, as amended, and the sections of said Chapter and Article following said Section 2. And be it further

Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing up of said portion of Judson avenue be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Morgan, Mulvihill—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

**Spur Track Permit.**

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill:

Bill No. 6090, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Ralph McLeran to construct, maintain and operate a spur track on the east side of Harrison street between Mariposa street and a distance of 180 feet southerly therefrom.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Ralph McLeran to construct, maintain and operate a spur track on the east side of Harrison street between Mariposa street and a

distance 180 feet southerly therefrom, as shown on blue print attached to the petition.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted, and shall be construed as a part hereof as complete as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office; and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement, and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by Ralph McLeran.

Provided that Ralph McLeran shall erect and maintain all night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Morgan, Mulvihill—2.

Excused from Voting—Supervisor McLeran—1.

#### Spur Track Permit.

Bill No. 6091, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to M. Stulsaft Company et al. to construct, maintain and operate a spur track on Ritch street from a point 275 feet north of Townsend street to the southerly line of Brannan street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to M. Stulsaft Company et al. to construct, maintain and operate a spur track on Ritch street from a point 275 feet north of Townsend street, to the southerly line of Brannan street, as shown on blue print attached to the petition.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as complete as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided that said spur track shall

be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement, and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by M. Stulsaft Company et al.

Provided that girder rails be used in construction; that the sidewalks on the easterly side of this portion of Ritch street be abolished; that the center line of the track on the tangent be 8½ feet west of the easterly line of Ritch street; that reinforcing be placed over the brick sewer in the center line of Ritch street where the tracks cross; that two brick catch-basins be constructed to the north of the track where it crosses Ritch street connecting same with the sewer to facilitate drainage; that all pavement disturbed be reconstructed to conform, and the tracks laid so as not to form an obstacle to traffic; that satisfactory arrangements be made with the corporations having poles carrying electric wires to take care of those that will be disturbed by the construction of the proposed spur track.

Provided, that M. Stulsaft Company et al. shall erect and maintain all night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

##### Street Lights.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 20176 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company is hereby requested to install the following street lights:

##### Install 250 M. R.

Flood avenue between Genessee and Foerster streets.

Capitol avenue between De Montford street and Capitol avenue.

Vallejo street between Pierce and Scott streets.

Chenery street between Diamond and Castro streets.

Hyde street between North Point and Beach streets.

Hyde street between Beach and Jefferson streets.

Thirty-fifth avenue between Anza and Geary streets.

##### Install 400 M. R.

Corner Twenty-fourth avenue and Vicente street.

Corner Twenty-fifth avenue and Vicente street.

Corner Forty-fifth avenue and Moraga street.

Corner Franklin and Francisco streets.

Adopted under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Morgan, Mulvihill—2.

#### Additional Positions Ordinance Amended.

Supervisor Schmitz presented:

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), entitled, "Creating Positions, fixing the compensation thereof, and authorizing the appointment thereto, in accordance with the provisions of Section 35, Article XII, of the Charter, of additional deputies, clerks and employees in the various offices, boards and departments of the City and County of San Francisco, re-enacting in modified form the several ordinances authorizing such appointments, and repealing such ordinances in so far as they create positions and fix salaries," by adding two new sections to be numbered 28 a and 28 b, relating to minimum entrance salary and filling of vacancies at a lower compensation.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. There are hereby added to Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series) two new sections to be known as Sections 28a and 28b, to read as follows:

Section 28a. The salaries on entrance into the City's service for appointees to positions classified by the Civil Service as general clerks is hereby fixed at \$1,500 per annum, which salary shall not be increased within one year after appointment. After .....no general clerk shall be eligible for salary advancement from grade 2 to grade 3 of Civil Service Rule 38 unless at least two years' service has been had in the class, and no general clerk shall be eligible for salary advancement from grade 3 to grade 4 of said rule unless at least three years of such service has been had.

Section 28b. In the event of a vacancy occurring in any position named in this ordinance and subject to Article XIII of the Charter, the appointing power may, with the consent of the Civil Service Commission, make an appointment to such vacancy at a salary less than that fixed for such position in this ordinance. This section is intended to obviate the necessity of paying to a new and inexperienced employee the same salary that previously

had been paid to an old and experienced employee in the same position.

*Referred to Committee on Civil Service, Standardization of Salaries and Retirement System.*

#### Charter Amendment, Acquisition of Existing Street Railways Outside City and County.

The following proposed Charter amendment, heretofore proposed by Supervisor Hayden and referred to the Judiciary Committee, was returned by said committee with favorable recommendation:

##### *Charter Amendment No. ...*

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding a new section to Article XII, to be designated as Section 18, relating to the acquisition of existing street railways.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County at the general election to be held on the 7th day of November, 1922, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County as follows:

That Article XII of said Charter is hereby amended by adding a new section thereto, to be known as Section 18, and to read as follows:

##### *Acquisition of Existing Street Railways.*

Section 18. The City and County of San Francisco shall have power to purchase the whole or any part of the street railways, street railway system, street railway properties, equipment, franchises or other property operated, owned or controlled by the Market Street Railway Company and situated within the City and County of San Francisco and the County of San Mateo, and to own, operate, improve or extend the same, and any indebtedness incurred for such purchase shall not be subject to the limitation of Section 9 of Article XII of the Charter.

Proceedings for such purchase may be taken pursuant to the provisions of this Article XII of the Charter, or by initiative of the registered voters as provided in Chapter III of Article XI of this Charter, which is hereby declared to be applicable in the matter of the purchase provided for in this section. Such purchase or acquisition shall not, however, be consummated or become effective in the event such purchase shall involve the incurring of indebtedness or liability exceeding in any year the income or revenue provided for such year, unless the same shall be approved by two-thirds of the qualified electors voting thereon, as specified in Section 18 of Article XI

of the Constitution of the State of California.

*Limit of Indebtedness.*

(Present Charter Provision). Section 9, Article XII:

No indebtedness shall be incurred for the acquisition of any public utilities under the provisions of this article which, together with the existing bonded indebtedness of the City and County, shall exceed at any one time 15 per centum of the assessed value of all real and personal property in the City and County; provided, that any bonded indebtedness which may be incurred under the provisions of Section 29a of Article XVI of the Charter, in aid of an exposition to celebrate the completion of the Panama Canal, shall be exclusive of the bonded indebtedness of the City and County limited by this section.—As amended November 15, 1910; approved by the Legislature February 17, 1911 (Statutes 1911, page 1661).

Discussion: Supervisors Bath, Shannon, McSheehy, Scott, Schmitz.

Supervisor McSheehy moved to lay over one week.

*Withdrawn.*

Ordered Submitted.

Whereupon, the foregoing proposed Charter Amendment was *ordered submitted* to the electors by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisors Morgan, Mulvihill—2.

*Notice of Reconsideration.*

Whereupon, Supervisor McSheehy changed his vote from *no* to *aye* and gave notice of reconsideration for next meeting.

*Regulating Sale of Lubricating Oil.*

Supervisor Deasy presented:

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

An ordinance regulating the sale of any oil represented as lubricating oil for the cylinders of internal combustion engines.

*Referred to the Fire Committee.*

Death of Former Park Commissioner Edward Kelly.

Supervisor Welch called attention to the death of former Park Commissioner Edward Kelly and moved that a committee be appointed to draw up resolutions of condolence and sympathy to be sent to the family of the deceased and that when the Board adjourns it do so out respect to the memory of the deceased.

Supervisors Hayden and Schmitz joined with Supervisor Welch in pay-

ing a high tribute to the character of the deceased.

The motion was *adopted* by a rising vote.

*Automobile Supply Station Permit.*

Supervisor Deasy presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Standard Oil Company to maintain an automobile supply station at the northeast corner of Ocean avenue and Plymouth avenue.

The rights granted under this permit shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit becomes null and void.

*Passed for printing* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Morgan, Mulvihill—2.

Fixing August 14, 1922, 3 P. M., for Hearing to Objections to Landers Street Widening and Extension.

Supervisor Scott presented:

Resolution No. 20177 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Monday, August 14, 1922, at 3 p. m., in the Chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, is hereby fixed as the time and place for hearing objections filed by George F. Daveggio et al., 134 Landers street, against the proposed widening and extension of Landers street between Sixteenth street and Fifteenth street.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Morgan, Mulvihill—2.

Northern California Development Campaign Appropriation.

Supervisor Colman called attention to the importance of the Northern California Development Campaign and declared that the Public Welfare and Publicity Committee recommends that \$20,000 be taken from the Publicity Fund and donated to the Northern California Development Campaign Fund.

Supervisor McLeran declared that the Finance Committee concurs in the recommendation of the Public Welfare Committee and requested the Clerk to prepare resolution making the appropriation.

*Passed for Printing.*

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *passed for printing*:

Donation, \$20,000. Northern California Development Campaign Publicity Fund.

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of twenty thousand dollars be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Publicity and Advertising," Budget Item No. 551, and authorized paid to the California Publicity Fund; being the City's donation toward the expense of publicity and advertising Northern California.

Plans, etc., Municipal Railway Extension, Cole and Carl Streets to Great Highway.

Supervisor Hynes presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works be instructed to prepare plans and specifications and enter into a contract to construct an extension of the Municipal Railway from Cole and Carl streets to the Great Highway.

#### Motion.

Supervisor Hynes moved suspension of the rules and adoption of the resolution.

Supervisor Shannon moved, as an amendment, that resolution be referred to the Public Utilities Committee.

Supervisor Hynes raised a point of order against the amendment that it could not properly be made on a motion to suspend the rules.

Supervisor Welch (in the chair) ruled that Supervisor Hynes' motion carried with it not only suspension of the rules but also the adoption of the resolution. Unless, he said, the motion is segregated he would rule against the point of order.

Whereupon, the motion was segregated and a vote taken on the suspension of the rules with the following result:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hynes, McSheehy, Powers, Robb—6.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—10.

Absent—Supervisors Morgan, Mulvihill—2.

Motion to suspend rules lost.

Municipal Railway Extension, Cole and Carl Streets.

Supervisor Hynes presented:

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled, "Directing the Board of Public Works to prepare plans and specifications and enter into contract for construction of an extension of the Municipal Railway from Cole and Carl streets to the Great Highway."

#### City Engineer's Report.

Supervisor Hynes moved that the City Engineer's report be now read and copies furnished the members.

Supervisor Scott, seconded by Supervisor Rossi, moved, as an amendment, that in lieu of reading the report copies be sent to each member and the press and published in the Municipal Record.

After considerable discussion foregoing motion was *withdrawn*.

Whereupon, the City Engineer's report, as follows, was read by the Clerk: Report of City Engineer on Street Railway Extensions.

April 4, 1922.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco—

Gentlemen:

I have before me resolution introduced by Supervisor Hynes of date April 3, 1922, directing attention to Charter provisions providing jurisdiction of the Board of Public Works and City Engineer in connection with the construction and maintenance or operation of publicly-owned utilities, and calling for certain recommendations in connection with the proposed municipal street railway extensions which have heretofore been approved, since last October, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Nearly all the data called for has heretofore been furnished in a report covering railway extensions transmitted to your Board October 3, 1921, and published in the Municipal Record October 6, 1921. The accompanying table, under the head of Estimated Costs, gives you reply to paragraphs (a) and (b):

Estimated Costs.				
	Track Construction		Additional Equipment	Carbarns
Taraval Street . . . . .	\$120,000	2	Cars \$30,000	
Masonic Avenue . . . . .	225,000	8	Cars 120,000	
Ocean View . . . . .	175,000	6	Cars 90,000	
Sunset . . . . .	567,000	17	Cars 255,000	\$400,000
General System . . . . .		7	Cars 105,000	
New Union St. cars . . . . .			206,000	
	<hr/>		<hr/>	<hr/>
	\$1,087,000	40	Cars \$806,000	\$400,000
Total . . . . .				<hr/>
				\$2,293,000

The accompanying tables show the operating conditions on the "L" line in Parkside and the proposed Masonic avenue line, which have been compiled from careful data showing past receipts. This covers the data requested in paragraphs (c) and (d):

*Municipal Railway.*

Result of operation of "L" line, Parkside, Monday, January 2, 1922, to Wednesday, March 29, 1922, inclusive, except Sundays:

Total receipts,	\$2,419.15.
Total number of days,	75.
Average receipts per day,	\$32.26.
Average receipts per day (doubled),	\$64.52.
Total car hours,	3493.
Average car hours per day,	46.6.
Operation cost, 46.6 car hours,	
at \$2.62 .....	\$122.09
Fixed charges 46.6 car hours,	
at \$0.94 .....	43.80

Total .....	\$165.89
Receipts doubled (as above),	\$64.52.
Daily loss, present service,	\$101.37.
News service, 24 car hours,	\$85.44.
Daily predicted loss,	\$186.81.
Yearly predicted loss,	\$68,185.65.
Rush hour service, 6 minutes.	
Day and night service, 8 and 10 minutes.	

Now two cars in use—two more cars required; total, four cars.

Proposed Masonic avenue line from Geary street and Masonic avenue to Seventeenth and Stanyan streets, 105 car hours daily:

Operation cost, $105 \times \$2.62$ .....	\$275.10
Fixed charges, $105 \times \$0.94$ .....	98.70

Total daily cost .....	\$373.80
Estimated revenue .....	200.00

Loss .....	\$173.80
Loss per year,	\$63,437.

Eight cars will be required to give a service of three minutes in the rush hours and five minutes during the balance of the day.

*The Ocean View Extension* would cause monetary losses, in the judgment of Mr. Boeken and myself, greater than that of the Taraval street line, as the latter line has a possibility of developing future business from Saturday and Sunday traffic to the ocean beach and the Great Highway.

*The Sunset Line* through the Duboce avenue tunnel will be a trunk artery into the Sunset District from the congested section downtown and should prove a profitable line when completed and in operating condition.

The relative merits of the various extensions were thoroughly discussed in my report of October 3, 1921.

*Parkside Line.* I might repeat that the City is obligated to the Parkside District, the lot owners of which paid an assessment of \$75 a lot on an aver-

age to get transportation through the Twin Peaks tunnel. The extension of this line from Thirty-third avenue to the beach would give this district the needed service and an opportunity for development of a growing section of the City. As they cheerfully paid their pro rata to the Twin Peaks tunnel the City might well reciprocate by building this extension and even operating at a loss for some years to come.

*Masonic Avenue Extension.* This line as outlined in my previous report of October 3, 1921, will be used exclusively as a local line between its terminals, transferring at Masonic avenue and Geary street to the Richmond cars "A," "B" and "C," and in the future to the Duboce route at Cole and Carl streets when that line is completed. As a through route downtown this line cannot be considered as the rapid growth in the Richmond District will throw more travel on Geary street, which is now congested and prohibits its use for carrying other traffic than that of the Richmond District. As a local line it will render service and convenience to the public at a serious loss each year.

*Ocean View Line.* This section of the City made no contribution toward the Twin Peaks tunnel through which it now desires service. The San Jose avenue line of the Market Street Railway Company passes through Ocean View and on it is operated the old line of the San Mateo Railway with 60-pound rails. This line is now being reconstructed by the Market Street Railway Company with heavy 106-pound rails. San Jose avenue is being widened and improved at the expense of the City to make it as good a traffic route as Mission street, as it will lead in through the Bernal cut on easy grades. The principal complaint of the residents of Ocean View is the character of the schedule operated by the Market Street Railway Company. If the conditions warrant the Supervisors have police powers which may order the improvement of this schedule and grant the residents of this district the necessary relief. The route via San Jose avenue furnishes a more direct route downtown than the route running northerly to Ocean avenue, westerly one mile to St. Francis circle and thence northeasterly through the tunnel.

I consider there is no need for the construction of this line at the present time.

*Sunset District.* Full consideration was given to this district at open public meetings of the Public Utilities Committee, all of which I attended, on Wednesday, November 16th and 30th, December 14th, 1921, and January 11th, 25th, February 1st, 1922, and expressions of sentiment were obtained from

77 different property owners inside this district. The relative merits of various proposed means of reaching this section were considered in an exhaustive manner. A petition representing about 2500 property owners endorsed the recommendation of the City Engineer for suggested plans for permanently solving, in a comprehensive manner, transportation to this section. The Duboce avenue route was recommended by this large body of citizens who reside in this section and was endorsed by the Public Utilities Committee of your Board, and I was instructed to prepare plans and specifications for this tunnel, to be paid for by an assessment district of property owners interested, including property owners interested in the new improvement. This tunnel will be 4200 feet in length on a less than 3 per cent grade and make practically a direct route from Duboce avenue and Market street to the ocean. The estimated cost of the tunnel to the property owners will be \$1,900,000, with the necessary portals at each end and the acquisition of private property necessary for same.

In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Committee, unanimously adopted by your Board, I am now preparing plans and specifications for this project and hope inside of sixty days to have them available for your consideration.

The Sunset District was promised railway transportation by your Board in 1915. I made plans for same and the necessary rail and equipment were purchased for building the track, which was afterwards abandoned, due to the objections of the Park Commission to the Municipal Railway crossing Golden Gate Park between Tenth avenue and Fourteenth avenue.

The residents of the Sunset District have a just grievance for tardiness of the municipal authorities in giving them service, but I believe the Duboce avenue route, which they have been patiently waiting for, will give them the desired relief.

*Finances.* The Municipal Railway to date is unique in being the only utility of its kind operated in the United States by a city on a sound basis. Extensions have been made from the earnings and \$1,000,000 of the original \$5,500,000 bonds retired. It has always been my recommendation, and it has been acted upon by the previous boards, that a safe reserve be kept in the treasury from the earnings to take care of contingencies which are liable to occur. The earthquake and fire of 1906 disabled the then existing street railways of San Francisco and compelled an expenditure of over \$6,000,000 in restoration. In the last two months both Boston and Chi-

cago had disastrous fires. The loss in the Boston case was over \$2,000,000 worth of street cars, and in Chicago the loss was also very great. It would be very poor financial policy for this city to exhaust its depreciation fund for construction purposes, and I believe in the policy of maintaining at least \$1,000,000 in reserve available for catastrophes which may occur.

Under the foregoing conditions it would be my recommendation that if your Board deem the extensions you have voted for worthy of construction and operation, a bond issue be provided for at the first available election for \$1,500,000 to finance the projects.

The foregoing report was given consideration by the Joint Committee on Finance and Public Utilities on Wednesday afternoon in conjunction with the communication of the Bureau of Governmental Research calling attention to the possible depletion of the depreciation reserve of the Municipal Railway. After the members of the committee had expressed themselves on the subject it was determined that no interference would be had with the plans for the construction of the Taraval street and Masonic avenue extensions; that the Ocean View extension would maintain its present status pending the determination of the City Engineer as to the precise route; that action on the Sunset line would be postponed until the report of the City Engineer on the Duboce avenue tunnel had been made, and that the proposition of a bond issue would be made contingent upon the outcome of the tunnel project.

A further conference of city officials was had Wednesday evening at the Mayor's office on the proposition of purchasing the Market Street Railway Company's street railway system. The City Engineer presented data showing the financial results that would come were the purchase price fixed at \$10,000,000 and at \$35,000,000. No definite action was taken pending a further conference to be held later.

Very respectfully yours,

M. M. O'SHAUGHNESSY,

City Engineer.

Referred.

Thereupon, the foregoing bill was referred to the Public Utilities Committee.

Citizens' Committee, Discovery Day.

Supervisor Hayden moved that his Honor the Mayor be requested to appoint a citizens' committee to arrange for the due and proper observance of Discovery Day, Thursday, October 12, 1922.

Motion carried.

Salary Warrant, Miss M. Moroney.

Supervisor Power presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series),  
as follows:

Resolved, That the Auditor and the Treasurer of the City and County be and are authorized and directed to pay warrant in sum of \$150 in favor of M. Maroney; being for services rendered the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors during the month of July, 1922.

**Motion.**

*Supervisor Powers* moved the suspension of the rules for the consideration of the foregoing resolution.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Scott—9.

Noes—Supervisors McLeran, Rossi, Welch, Wetmore—4.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, McGregor, Morgan, Mulvihill, Shannon—5.

**Relative to Market Street Railway Purchase.**

*Supervisor McSheehy* moved that the Clerk be directed to send a copy of the report regarding Market Street Railway purchase to each member of the Board; also, copies of the sections of the Charter therein referred to.

Motion *carried*.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 5 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors September 11, 1922.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

**JOHN S. DUNNIGAN.**

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.



Monday, August 14, 1922.

Tuesday, August 15, 1922.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



**THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY**  
77 Sutter Street, S. F.



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, AUGUST 14, 1922, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, August 14, 1922, 2 p. m. The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present: Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Iynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of May 29, 31 and June 5, 1922, were approved.

### ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

#### Amendment to the Charter.

*Communication*—From the San Francisco Labor Council, requesting submission to the people of a Charter Amendment requiring all meetings of boards or commissions in the transaction of any business to be open to the public, and of giving notice of such meetings when not fixed by law or ordinance by publication in the official newspaper for not less than three consecutive days.

Read and referred to the Judiciary Committee.

#### Freight Transportation by Water.

Supervisor Welch presented:

*Communication* — From John T. Flynn, referring to shipment of freight to the coast by water, favoring steamship transportation, and suggesting a motto for business letterheads to read, "Ship by water via San Francisco."

Read and ordered placed on file.

#### Street Railway Into Ocean View.

Supervisor Schmitz presented:

*Petition*—Of property owners, for the extension of the Municipal Railroad into the Ocean View District with a terminal at Orizaba and Randolph streets.

Referred to Public Utilities Committee.

#### Invitation Extended.

*Communication*—From Thomas R. Murphy, Chief Engineer San Francisco Fire Department, extending an invitation to the Board to attend the opening session of the International Association of Fire Engineers in the Exposition Auditorium, at 10 o'clock a. m., Tuesday, August 15, 1922.

Read. Invitation accepted.

#### Amendment to Charter, Detective Bureau.

*Communication* — From Theo. J. Roche, president San Francisco Board of Police Commissioners, transmitting proposed amendment to the Charter, relating to the Detective Bureau, and referring to the limitation of twenty-five men enjoying the rank and pay of detective sergeants, whilst a great number of members of the Police Department are detailed to the same identical work, and claiming such procedure to be unjust, the work being identical. In the judgment of the Commission it is expressed that the Charter provision be languaged so as to enable Detective Sergeants to be automatically appointed as the police force is enlarged and as the exigencies requiring such appointments exist.

Read and referred to the Judiciary Committee.

#### Bond Issue for Schools.

Supervisor McLeran presented:

*Communication*—From the Board of Education, transmitting its endorsement of the project to call for an election October 31, 1922, to vote upon the issuance of twelve million dollars' worth of bonds to be devoted to the "catching up" rebuilding and rehabilitating program for schools.

Considered with action on No. 19 on calendar.

#### Drifting Sand Nuisance.

Supervisor Rossi presented:

*Communication* — From property owners, "Bungalow Terrace," asking relief from a public nuisance caused by the drifting sands from property situated at the southwest corner of Sixteenth and Judah streets.

Read and ordered transmitted to the Board of Public Works for attention.

#### REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.

The following committees, by their

respective chairmen, presented reports on various matters referred, which reports were read and ordered *filed*:

Supplies Committee, by Supervisor Rossi, chairman.

Fire Committee, by Supervisor Deasy, chairman.

#### PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Sealed proposals were received for furnishing plumbing and engineering supplies for a three months' period, as follows:

Bockmann-Rush Hardware Co., with certified check, in amount \$100.

M. Stulsaft Co., with certified check, in sum of \$181.85.

Geo. H. Tay Co., certified check in the sum of \$200.

Dalziel-Moller Co., certified check in the sum of \$175.

Bids were ordered to be opened and referred to *Supplies Committee*.

#### SPECIAL ORDER, 3 P. M.

Hearing of objections to the widening and extending of Landers street between Fifteenth and Sixteenth streets was *laid over one week*.

#### Bond Issue for Schools.

The pending resolution, presented by Supervisor McLeran May 19, 1922, urging the Board of Education to recommend a program for the rehabilitation of schools, construction of new schools, including the Mission High School, and to recommend a bond issue in sufficient amount to purchase lands and to construct school buildings to meet the urgent demands of the several sections of the City, and made a Special Order for 3 p. m., was ordered *stricken from the calendar*.

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

##### Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

##### Authorizations.

Resolution No. 20179 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

##### Auditorium Fund.

(1) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electricity furnished Auditorium (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$613.37.

##### Tearing Up Streets Fund.

(2) Sewer Repair Fund, Department Public Works, reimbursement for use of auto truck on side sewer construction (claim dated July 26, 1922), \$543.

(3) T. M. Gallagher, repaving side sewer trenches (claim dated July 26, 1922), \$597.40.

##### Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(4) American Manganese Steel Co., conveyor chains, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated July 28, 1922), \$1093.27.

(5) Associated Oil Co., gasoline (claim dated July 28, 1922), \$1471.19.

(6) Baker, Hamilton and Pacific Co., hardware (claim dated July 28, 1922), \$620.72.

(7) Crane Co., machine parts (claim dated July 28, 1922), \$547.52.

(8) W. P. Fuller & Co., paints and oils, etc. (claim dated July 28, 1922), \$500.82.

(9) Goodyear Rubber Co., rubber coats (claim dated July 28, 1922), \$703.82.

(10) J. F. Mitchell, machine parts (claim dated July 28, 1922), \$722.31.

(11) Myers-Whaley Co., Inc., machine parts (claim dated July 28, 1922), \$509.40.

(12) Ingersoll-Rand Co., machine parts (claim dated July 28, 1922), \$2009.72.

(13) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Groveland revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers attached (claim dated July 27, 1922), \$1944.45.

(14) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., Mazda lamps (claim dated July 28, 1922), \$1511.06.

(15) Pacific Metal Works, bearing castings and bushings (claim dated July 28, 1922), \$1959.21.

(16) Pacific States Electric Co., electric supplies (claim dated July 28, 1922), \$604.

(17) Sierra Railway Co. of Cal., car service and transportation (claim dated July 27, 1922), \$1,834.21.

(18) M. Stulsaft Co., black pipe (claim dated July 28, 1922), \$1,011.03.

(19) George H. Tay Co., hardware (claim dated July 28, 1922), \$615.42.

(20) Union Petroleum Co., motor oil (claim dated July 28, 1922), \$1,139.41.

(21) The Utah Construction Co., extra work and material furnished (claim dated July 27, 1922), \$743.68.

(22) Waterbury Co., rope and cable (claim dated July 28, 1922), \$586.82.

(23) Tansey-Crowe Co., tires and tubes (claim dated July 28, 1922), \$724.23.

(24) Western Pipe and Steel Co., twentieth and final payment for air pipe, Contract 84 (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$2,055.72.

(25) Construction Company of North America, eighth payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels, Contract 77-C (claim dated August 2, 1922), \$24,545.45.

##### County Road Fund.

(26) The Fay Improvement Co., pavement of Seventh avenue southerly from Lawton street (claim dated August 3, 1922), \$1,136.85.

(27) The Fay Improvement Co., im-

provement of Army street (claim dated August 2, 1922), \$1,582.60.

(28) F. J. McHugh, final payment, improvement of Balboa street from Twenty-third to Twenty-fourth avenues (claim dated August 2, 1922), \$3,875.

(29) Raisch Improvement Co., final payment, improvement of San Jose avenue between Ottawa street and Sickles avenue (claim dated August 2, 1922), \$16,485.25.

*Municipal Railway Fund.*

(30) Shell Company of California, gasoline, month of May (claim dated July 27, 1922), \$994.35.

(31) Union Oil Company of California, gasoline, month of June (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$915.60.

(32) Westinghouse Electric and Manufacturing Co., electric supplies (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$532.04.

(33) Westinghouse Pacific Coast Brake Co., air compressor, etc. (claim dated July 27, 1922), \$2,207.50.

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(34) Standard Electrical Construction Co., fourth payment, electric work, Mission High School Addition (claim dated August 2, 1922), \$1,303.12.

(35) The Scott Co., second payment, plumbing, Mission High School Addition (claim dated August 2, 1922), \$3,176.70.

(36) Robert Trost, fifth payment, general construction, North Beach (Galileo) High School (claim dated August 2, 1922), \$46,275.37.

*Special School Tax, 1921-1922.*

(37) Butte Electrical and Manufacturing Co., second payment, electrical work, Emerson School (claim dated August 2, 1922), \$1,194.75.

(38) Joseph Greenback, first payment, plastering, etc., Emerson School (claim dated August 2, 1922), \$4,066.73.

(39) John Reid Jr., third payment, architectural service, Yerba Buena School (claim dated August 2, 1922), \$710.94.

(40) John Reid Jr., second payment, architectural service, temporary buildings, Mission High and Humboldt Evening High Schools (claim dated August 2, 1922), \$738.18.

*Park Fund.*

(41) Taylor & Jackson, first payment, construction of shelter house at Lincoln Park (claim dated August 4, 1922), \$1,574.80.

*General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(42) C. B. Cowden, first payment, sewer construction in Great Highway from Noriega street southerly (claim dated August 2, 1922), \$2,550.

(43) California School for Girls, maintenance of inmates in State

Schools (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$505.81.

(44) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of inmates (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$586.44.

(45) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$625.34.

*General Fund, 1922-1923.*

(46) Haas Brothers, groceries, Relief Home (claim dated July 19, 1922), \$579.03.

(47) Hooper & Jennings, sugar, Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$639.

(48) Makins Produce Co., eggs, Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$765.90.

(49) C. Nauman & Co., fruit and vegetables, Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$544.45.

(50) The San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated August 7, 1922), \$1,000.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Morgan, Mulvihill, Shannon—4.

*Appropriations.*

Resolution No. 20180 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

*Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1, 1922-1923.*

For furnishings for new school buildings, as follows:

(1) For Parkside School, \$7,500.

(2) For McKinley School Annex, \$3,000.

(3) For Guadalupe School, \$9,000.

*Fire Department Building, Budget Item No. 59.*

(4) For the construction of Engine House No. 39, south side of Portola drive, opposite Kensington way, \$33,000.

*Miscellaneous Repairs to Buildings, Budget Item No. 60.*

(5) For construction of sun porch enclosure and steel runway adjacent to the children's wing of the San Francisco Hospital, \$1,985.

*County Road Fund.*

(6) For the improvement of Army street, between Third and De Haro streets, being in accordance with Resolution No. 17484 (New Series), \$10,000.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Morgan, Mulvihill, Shannon—4.

Ordering Plans, etc., Correcting Acoustics, Auditorium.

Bill No. 6086, Ordinance No. 5673 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for, and the correction of acoustic defects in the Exposition Auditorium; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said correction of acoustic defects in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for and to enter into contract for the correction of acoustic defects in the Exposition Auditorium in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Morgan, Mulvihill, Shannon—4.

Additional Positions Ordinance Amended, Coroner's Office.

Bill No. 6087, Ordinance No. 5674 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Subdivision (c) of Section 25 of Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), known as the "Ordinance of Additional Positions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Subdivision (c) of Section 25 of Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), known as the "Ordinance of Additional Positions," is hereby amended to read as follows:

(c) Three assistant deputies, Grade 3, at a salary of \$2,040 a year.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect August 1, 1922.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Morgan, Mulvihill, Shannon—4.

Appropriations.

Resolution No. 20181 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of twenty thousand dollars be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Publicity and Advertising," Budget Item No. 551, and authorized paid to the California Publicity Fund, being the city's donation toward the expense

of publicity and advertising northern California.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Morgan, Mulvihill, Shannon—4.

Oil Tank Permits.

Resolution No. 20182 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

*Oil Storage Tank.*

Associated Charities, at 1500 Jackson street; 600 gallons capacity.

Walton N. Moore Dry Goods Co., Inc., at southwest corner of Fremont and Mission streets; 2000 gallons capacity.

City and County of San Francisco (Mission High School), on north side of Eighteenth street between Dolores and Church streets; 2500 gallons capacity.

A. Ramazotti, on south side of Green street, 125 feet east of Polk street; 1500 gallons capacity.

Milton Latham, on north side of Sacramento street, 161 feet west of Franklin street; 1500 gallons capacity.

A. M. Hardy, on east side of Hyde street, 200 feet south of Vallejo street; 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Morgan, Mulvihill, Shannon—4.

Supply Station.

Resolution No. 20183 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to the Standard Oil Company to maintain an automobile supply station at the northeast corner of Ocean avenue and Plymouth avenue.

The rights granted under this permit shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit becomes null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Morgan, Mulvihill, Shannon—4.

Stable Permit.

Resolution No. 20184 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Barnett Kurtz to maintain a stable for one horse at 119 Fulton street upon conforming to the

requirements of the Board of Health in relation thereto.

This permit must be exercised within six months, otherwise it will become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Morgan, Mulvihill, Shannon—4.

#### Full Acceptance, Streets.

Bill No. 6088, Ordinance No. 5675 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for full acceptance of the roadway of Army street, between De Haro street and Third street, including the crossings of Army street and Connecticut street, Army street and Iowa street, Army street and Indiana street, Army street and Minnesota street, and Army street and Tennessee street, and intersection of Army street and Carolina street, Army street and Wisconsin street, Army street and Arkansas street, Army street and Evans avenue, Army street and Missouri street, Army street and Texas street, Army street and Mississippi street, and Army street and Pennsylvania avenue; Acadia street, between Monterey boulevard and Joost avenue; Andover street, between Crescent avenue and Benton street; San Jose avenue, between Ottawa avenue and Sickles avenue, including the intersections of San Jose avenue and Foote avenue, Lakeview avenue, Naglee avenue, Whipple avenue, Farallones street, Farragut avenue, Broad street, Lawrence avenue, Sadowa street and Sagamore street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby fully accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphalt and concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water mains laid therein, to-wit:

Army street between De Haro street and Third street, including the crossings of Army street and Connecticut street, and Third street, including the crossings of Army street and Connecticut street, Army street and Iowa street, Army street and Indiana street, Army street and Minnesota street and Army street and Tennessee street, and the inter-

section of Army street and Carolina street, Army street and Wisconsin street, Army street and Arkansas street, Army street and Evans avenue, Army street and Missouri street, Army street and Texas street, Army street and Mississippi street, and Army street and Pennsylvania avenue paved with asphalt and concrete curbs. Acadia street between Monterey boulevard and Joost avenue paved with concrete and concrete curbs. Andover street between Crescent avenue and Benton street paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs. San Jose avenue between Ottawa avenue and Sickles avenue, including the intersection of San Jose avenue and Foote avenue, Lakeview avenue, Naglee avenue, Whipple avenue, Farallones street, Farragut avenue, Broad street, Lawrence avenue, Sadowa street and Sagamore street paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Morgan, Mulvihill, Shannon—4.

#### Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 6089, Ordinance No. 5676 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, April 11, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the

period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Pomona street between the southerly line of Bay View street and the northerly line of Thornton avenue*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway between the northerly line of Thornton avenue and a line three hundred eighty (380) feet northerly therefrom, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the remainder of the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Morgan, Mulvihill, Shannon—4.

#### Spur Track Permit.

Bill No. 6090, Ordinance No. 5677 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Ralph McLeran to construct, maintain and operate a spur track on the east side of Harrison street between Mariposa and a distance 180 feet southerly therefrom.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Ralph McLeran to construct, maintain and operate a spur track on the east side of Harrison street between Mariposa street and a distance 180 feet southerly therefrom, as shown on blue print attached to the petition.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted, and shall be construed as a part hereof as complete as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by Ralph McLeran.

Provided that Ralph McLeran shall

erect and maintain all night ligated arc lamps, to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Morgan, Mulvihill, Shannon—4.

Excused from voting—Supervisor McLeran—1.

#### Spur Track Permit.

Bill No. 6091, Ordinance No. 5678 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to M. Stulsaft Company et al. to construct, maintain and operate a spur track on Ritch street from a point 275 feet north of Townsend street to the southerly line of Brannan street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to M. Stulsaft Company et al. to construct, maintain and operate a spur track on Ritch street from a point 275 feet north of Townsend street to the southerly line of Brannan street, as shown on blue print attached to the petition.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as complete as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office; and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement, and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by M. Stulsaft Company et al.

Provided that girder rails be used in construction; that the sidewalks on the easterly side of this portion of Ritch street be abolished; that the center line of the track on the tangent be 8½ feet west of the easterly line of Ritch street; that reinforcing be placed over the brick sewer in the center line of Ritch street where the tracks cross; that two brick catch-basins be constructed to the north of the track where it crosses Ritch street connecting same with the sewer to facilitate drainage; that all pavement disturbed be reconstructed to conform and the tracks laid so as not to form

an obstacle to traffic; that satisfactory arrangements be made with the corporations having poles carrying electric wires to take care of those that will be disturbed by the construction of the proposed spur track.

Provided that M. Stulsaft Company et al shall erect and maintain all night lighted are lamps, to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Morgan, Mulvihill, Shannon—4.

#### REPORT OF FINANCE COMMITTEE.

Demands on the Treasury, amounting to \$214,919.50, were presented and *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Morgan, Mulvihill, Shannon—4.

#### *Urgent Necessity.*

Demands, amounting to \$195.13, chargeable to the Urgent Necessity Fund, were, on account of insufficient votes, *laid over one week*.

#### NEW BUSINESS.

##### *Adopted.*

The following matters were *adopted*:

##### Auditorium Rental.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 20185 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following organizations be granted permission to occupy the halls in the Auditorium, rental fees having been paid to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors:

David Scannell Club, use of Main Hall, August 25, 1922, 6 p. m. to 12 p. m., for the purpose of holding an entertainment and mass meeting.

Charles C. Moore Campaign Committee, use of Main Hall, August 22, 1922, 6 p. m. to 12 p. m., for the purpose of holding a mass meeting.

American Irish Liberty League, use of Main Hall, September 13, 1922, 6 p. m. to 12 p. m., for the purpose of holding a reception and mass meeting.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Morgan, Mulvihill, Shannon—4.

Also, Resolution No. 20186 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the National Tuberculosis Association be granted permission to occupy the Main, Polk and Lar-

kin Halls, and such other halls as are available, for the purpose of holding a national convention June 15 to 21, 1923, inclusive.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Morgan, Mulvihill, Shannon—4.

Also, Resolution No. 20187 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Dreamland Athletic Club be granted permission to occupy the Main Hall, Auditorium, August 29, 1922, and September 5, 1922, 6 p. m. to 12 p. m. of each date, for the purpose of holding wrestling contests; deposits having been paid to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to guarantee the rental fees.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Morgan, Mulvihill, Shannon—4.

##### Extensions of Time.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20188 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That an extension of 20 days from August 4, 1922, is hereby granted to Continental Construction Company within which to complete contract for installing reinforced concrete trolley poles and electric conductors on Taraval street line, Municipal Railway.

This first extension is granted on the recommendation of the Board of Public Works and for the reason of contractor being delayed by being unable to line up wire until tracks were laid.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Morgan, Mulvihill, Shannon—4.

Also, Resolution No. 20189 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. J. Raisch is hereby granted an extension of 90 days' time from and after August 20, 1922, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Marston avenue, between Edna street and Balboa street.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor was unable to obtain cement.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Morgan, Mulvihill, Shannon—4.

Also, Resolution No. 20190 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. J. Raisch is hereby granted an extension of 90 days'

time from and after August 20, 1922, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Edna street between Havelock street and Marston avenue.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor was unable to obtain cement to complete the work. The sewer, curbs and grading have been completed.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Morgan, Mulvihill, Shannon—4.

#### Award of Contract.

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 20191 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That W. F. Murasky be and hereby is awarded a contract for transferring body of Winton ambulance No. 2 to a new chassis for the sum of \$660, in strict accordance with his bid submitted August 7, 1922; further

Resolved, That all other bids therefor are hereby rejected.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Morgan, Mulvihill, Shannon—4.

#### Purchase of Land for Roosevelt Way.

A communication was received from George Lull, City Attorney, transmitting a resolution authorizing the acceptance of an offer received from certain persons for sale to the City of property required for the opening and widening of Roosevelt Way, and recommending its adoption, as follows:

Resolution No. 20193 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land, sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening and widening of Roosevelt Way, have offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Mary Ellen Fininger and Henry L. Fininger, \$1.

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Pluto street, distant thereon 245.42 feet southerly from the southerly line of Masonic avenue, and running thence southerly along the westerly line of Pluto street on a curve to the left of 165-foot radius, central angle 2 deg. 19 min. 07 sec., a distance of 6.68 feet; thence deflecting 116 deg. 41 min. 25 sec. to the right from the tangent to the preceding curve at the last-described point and running westerly a distance of 0.15 (fifteen one-hundredths) of a foot;

thence deflecting 65 deg. 34 min. 42 sec. to the right and running northerly 6.62 feet to the point of commencement; being a portion of Lot 44 of Ashbury Terrace Subdivision No. 1.

And it is hereby understood and agreed that the City and County of San Francisco is to restore, if necessary, the concrete retaining wall supporting the ground of the parcel adjoining the above-mentioned parcel, and also is to restore the sidewalk in front of the lot remaining in the possession of Mary Ellen Fininger and Henry L. Fininger. Such restoration of concrete wall and concrete sidewalk is to be done at the time the new street work is constructed.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept a deed therefor in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Morgan, Mulvihill, Shannon—4.

#### Action Deferred.

Action on the following bills and ordinances were upon motion *laid over one week*:

#### Tax Levy, 1922-1923.

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled "Providing revenue and levying taxes for City and County purposes for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1923."

#### Amendment to Additional Positions Ordinance.

Also, Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled "Amending Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), known as the 'Ordinance of Additional Positions,' by adding a new subdivision to Section 18 thereof, to be designated (f), affecting two deputy clerks."

#### Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

#### Amending Zone Ordinance.

Supervisor McGregor presented:

Bill No. 6092, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New

Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 6 of the use of property zone map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the easterly side of Stanyan street for a distance of 137 feet 6 inches northerly from the northerly line of Waller street and to the depth of 137 feet 6 inches in the commercial district instead of in the second residential district.

Section 2 of the use of property zone map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southerly side of Birch street for a distance of 202 feet 3 inches easterly from the easterly line of Buchanan street and to the depth of the rear lot lines in the commercial district instead of in the second residential district.

#### SPECIAL ORDER.

##### Bond Issue for School and Relief Home Buildings.

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Bill No. 6093, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that the public interest requires the acquisition, construction and completion of certain municipal improvements and acquisition of lands as follows: (1) The construction, completion and equipment by the City and County of San Francisco of permanent buildings to be used for public schools and the acquisition of necessary lands therefor; (2) the construction, completion and equipment of permanent buildings by the City and County of San Francisco to be used as a Relief Home; declaring that the cost thereof, in addition to the other expenses of the City and County will exceed the income and revenue provided for the City and County for any one year, and directing the Board of Public Works to procure through the City Engineer and file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost of the original construction, completion and equipment of such permanent buildings.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest requires the acquisition,

construction and completion of certain municipal improvements and acquisition of lands, as follows:

(1) The construction, completion and equipment by the City and County of San Francisco of permanent buildings to be used for public schools and the acquisition of necessary lands therefor.

(2) The construction, completion and equipment of permanent buildings by the City and County of San Francisco to be used as a Relief Home.

Section 2. It is further determined and declared that the cost of the acquisition, construction, completion and equipment of such buildings and improvements and the acquisition of the necessary lands therefor, in addition to the other expenses of the City and County, will exceed the income and revenue of said City and County for any one year and will render it necessary to incur a bonded indebtedness therefor.

Section 3. The Board of Public Work is hereby directed to procure, through the City Engineer, and to place on file with the Board of Supervisors, plans and estimates of the cost of the original construction, completion and equipment of the buildings and improvements and the acquisition of the lands mentioned in Section 1 of this ordinance.

Section 4. This ordinance shall be published in the official newspaper for fourteen days after its approval.

Section 5. This ordinance is the first of a series of ordinances which will be adopted under and by virtue of which it is proposed that a bonded indebtedness shall be incurred for the purposes herein stated.

Section 6. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Supervisor McLeran asked that the privilege of the floor be granted to all persons desiring to be heard in presenting their objections to the passage of the ordinance.

##### City Attorney's Opinion, Tax Limit for School Building Purposes.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

July 28, 1922.

Gentlemen:

In response to your communication of July 11th, asking for an opinion from this office on the legal limit for taxes to be levied for school building purposes, I beg leave to advise you as follows:

##### Opinion.

Certain provisions of our Charter relative to limitation of the tax rate for school purposes are in conflict with provisions of the State law on the same subject.

Article IX of the Constitution makes education and the management and

control of the public schools a matter of state care and supervision. Our public school system is, therefore, by the provisions of the Constitution, placed under the control of the Legislature and is a system designed to be statewide. Our State Supreme Court has repeatedly held that our school system is a matter of general concern and not a municipal affair. See *Kennedy v. Miller*, 97 Cal. 431; *Hancock v. Board of Education*, 140 Cal. 561; *Mitchell v. Board of Education*, 137 Cal. 374; *Los Angeles School District v. Longden*, 148 Cal. 383; *McKenzie v. Board of Education*, 82 Pac. 392.

In the *Mitchell* case, cited above, the court said:

"It must be remembered, as was said in *Hancock v. Board of Education*, 140 Cal. 554, that the school system of the State is a matter of general concern, and not a municipal affair. \* \* \*

"Moreover, it should be finally emphasized that the power of a municipality in this regard can only run current with, and never counter to, the general laws of the State touching the common-school system. To such general laws, if conflict arises, all municipal charters must be subservient."

In *McKenzie v. Board of Education*, cited above, at page 393 of the report, the court says:

"The Legislature, under the Constitution, has provided a general system in regard to the common schools, and prescribed the duties of boards of education in counties and cities and counties of the State. The general laws passed by the Legislature are paramount, and, in case any provision of the Charter of any city conflicts with the general laws as to matters pertaining to the public schools, the Charter must give way to the general law. But the Charter of a city, or city and county, may make additional provisions, or provide for matters not enumerated in the general law, provided such provisions are not in conflict with the general law."

We must, then, turn to the provisions of our State school law to determine the question you submitted to me.

Section 1838 of the Political Code provides for a special tax for "purchasing school lots for building or purchasing one or more school buildings, for repairing, restoring or rebuilding any school building damaged, injured or destroyed by fire or other public calamity, for insuring school buildings, for supply school buildings with furniture or necessary apparatus, or for improving school grounds in their several school districts, for the ensuing school year." The Board of Trustees or Board of Education, under the provisions of this section, pre-

pares an estimate of the funds necessary for the purposes above set out and the County Superintendent submits such estimates with his approval or disapproval endorsed thereon to the Auditor. If the Superintendent approves the estimate, the Board of Supervisors *may*, at the time and in the manner of levying other taxes, levy a tax to cover the amount so estimated, the funds so levied and collected to be known as "The Building Fund of . . . . . School District (as the case may be) and shall be available for any or all of the purposes hereinbefore enumerated. The maximum rate of taxation which may be levied under this section must not exceed 15 cents on the hundred dollars. This section, 1838, was adopted by the Legislature at the 1919 session.

Section 1755 of the Political Code, found in Article XV of the Chapter treating of our educational system, provides for *high school* taxes and funds. By the terms of this section the high school board furnishes an estimate to the Board of Supervisors of the amount of money necessary to cover the "cost of purchasing a suitable lot, of procuring plans and specifications, and of erecting a suitable building, of supplying the same with furniture and necessary apparatus and of fencing and ornamenting the grounds for the accommodation of the school or for making additions or improvements to such buildings when once erected, or for buying any or additional furniture, or for the purchase of school grounds, or for providing any other school facilities, unless bonds shall have been voted for said purpose; \* \* \* *provided that the total tax for high school purposes shall not exceed 75 cents on each hundred dollars assessed valuation, exclusive of bond and interest rate.*"

A new section, 1612a, was added to the Political Code at the 1921 session of the Legislature. This section provides for the preparation and submission to the Supervisors of a budget for school purposes. It provides that each board of school trustees, city board of education and high school board *shall have power and it shall be its duty* annually, in the month of June, to make a school budget showing all purposes for which the school district will need money and the amount of money that will be needed for each of said purposes for the next ensuing school year. The section further provides that the supervisors of each county or city and county *must* annually at the time and in the manner of levying county or city and county taxes levy and cause to be collected a district tax for each school district whose budget shows a district tax to be necessary,

and to fix such a rate for such district tax as will produce at least the amount of district tax money requested by the particular district. If the supervisors refuse or neglect to make the levy provided for in this section then the levy shall be made by the county auditor. Section 2 of the act provides:

"All acts and parts of acts not in harmony with this section are hereby repealed."

This section does not specifically repeal the limitation of 15 cents for building purposes, etc., found in Section 1838 pertaining to the elementary schools or the limitation of 75 cents for all high school purposes, found in Section 1755. I do not believe it can be said that the limitations contained in these two sections are not in harmony with Section 1612a, and I believe the school boards, in making up their respective budgets should be controlled by the provisions of Sections 1838 and 1755, respectively, in regard to the limitations for the purposes therein specified.

The question now presents itself whether the limitation in Section 1755 of the Political Code relative to taxes for high school purposes applies in the City and County of San Francisco. Section 1725 and certain sections following provide for the formation and organization of high schools and high school boards in cities. It is true that the procedure for the formation of high school districts found in Section 1725 were never followed out in San Francisco. Section 1721 of the Political Code provides in part as follows:

"Any city school district which has been for a period of one year preceding the taking of effect of this section established and maintained as a high school within such district, shall be deemed to be and constitute a city high school district."

(This section was enacted at the 1913 session of the Legislature.)

Section 1724 provides in part as follows:

"All proceedings for the formation and organization of high school districts and the establishment of county, city, city and county, union, joint union and district high schools, had prior to the taking effect of this section, are hereby validated and declared legal and said high school districts and high schools, and *any other high school districts which have been acting as such for more than one year previous to the taking effect of this section*, are hereby declared to be legally formed, organized and established."

(This section was enacted at the 1909 session of the Legislature.)

Sections 1721 and 1724, above quoted, are in the nature of curative acts for the purpose of validating high schools

and high school districts where the proceedings taken for the formation of such schools or school districts were defective, and also for the purpose of validating as regular high school districts those which have been acting as such for more than one year previous to the taking effect of the sections. The effect of these validating acts is discussed and their legality upheld in the following cases:

Board of Education v. Hyatt, 152 Cal. 515; Wood v. County of Calaveras, 164 Cal. 399-405; People v. Pacific Grove etc. District, 11 Cal. App. 213.

It is, of course, true that San Francisco maintained high schools and acted as a high school district for more than one year prior to the taking effect of the sections just enumerated, and has regularly been receiving state funds in support of its high schools. It must follow, therefore, that the general laws of the State relative to appropriations and taxes for high school purposes and high school buildings control in San Francisco the same as in other portions of the State of California.

I therefore advise you that the limitation of 15 cents on the hundred dollars specified in Section 1838 of the Political Code applies to school sites, buildings, repairs and furnishings for buildings for the *elementary schools*, and further, that the tax limit for *high school* buildings is to be found in Section 1755 of the Political Code, which provides that the total tax for high school purposes, including sites, buildings, repairs to buildings, furnishings and equipment, etc., shall not exceed 75 cents on each one hundred dollars of assessed valuation.

Respectfully,

GEORGE LULL,

City Attorney.

*Supervisor McSheehy* stated that he favored a tax levy instead of a bond issue, and moved that hearing in the matter be postponed for a period of one week.

*Supervisor McLeran* thereupon stated that the subject-matter had been on the calendar for hearing for some length of time that the Finance Committee had requested an opinion from the City Attorney in writing as to the legal limit for the levying of taxes for school building purposes; that the opinion has been furnished, and he is now prepared to proceed in the matter and desires to hear from officials of departments and other interested citizens present, and moved that all interested persons be allowed to speak. Motion carried.

*Assistant City Attorney Daly* explained the 15-cent limit of taxation for school purposes.

W. H. Nancery, director of the San

Francisco Bureau of Governmental Research, was heard in support of the bureau's communication of May 29, 1922, heretofore filed, recommending that the financial policy be withheld until the Board of Education's report is received, and in consideration of the saving under the pay-as-you-go plan and the hazards of a bond election, and that consideration be given to continuing pay-as-you-go plan at the time of fixing the tax rate. That the bureau is not opposed to the school program, but interested as to how the money may be acquired.

*Mr. G. Tonne*, representing the South of Army Merchants' Association, and *Mr. Saul* were heard and presented objections to a bond issue.

*Mr. John O'Connell*, representing the Labor Council, was heard in favor of the recommendation of the Finance Committee for a bond election to acquire sufficient money for new schools and Relief Home building.

*Ex-Mayor P. H. McCarthy* and *Mrs. Russell Ward* were also heard in favor

*Mr. H. H. Somers*, representing the Public School Defense League, was heard at length in support of acquiring necessary funds for school buildings.

*Supervisor McLeran* presented the following communication from the Board of Education, addressed to him as chairman of the Finance Committee:

Department of Education, City Hall,  
San Francisco, Cal.

August 14, 1922.

Hon. Ralph McLeran, Chairman Finance Committee, Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

My Dear Mr. McLeran:

Based on the matters brought out at the recent informal conference between the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors and the Finance Committee of the Board of Education, the subject of a bond issue for school purposes was thoroughly discussed at a special meeting of the Board of Education held this day, with the following result:

Our Board feels that, inasmuch as the Supervisors cannot provide from annual tax income sufficient funds to accomplish the rehabilitation, reconstruction and replacement of present obsolete schools, and also for the acquirement of lands for enlargements and additions of school sites (all of which were caused by the fire of 1906 and the delayed construction during the late war), the Board of Supervisors and the Board of Education are compelled to ask the public for a bond issue in the sum of twelve million dol-

lars, under the expressed conditions that:

Inasmuch as your Finance Committee unanimously agreed at the said conference that there shall continue to be provided from the annual tax levy, for the purpose of taking care of the normal expansion of the School Department, a sum not less than one million two hundred and fifty thousand (\$1,250,000) dollars, annually to be expended as follows:

Two hundred and fifty thousand dollars minimum for maintenance, repair and upkeep of existing school properties, based on two and one-half per cent of a ten million dollar investment.

One million dollars minimum, to be expended annually in the construction of new school buildings, additional school rooms and the acquirement of additional lands, to provide for the annual normal increase of enrollment.

That the twelve million dollars of the bond issue shall be expended and devoted exclusively to the rehabilitation and replacement of obsolete, worn-out schools, and to the enlargement of present school sites and the acquirement of additional lands.

The Board of Education will formulate at the earliest moment a definite, comprehensive, intelligent program for the expenditure of this bond money, based upon the requirements of the School Department of the city at large, and will obligate itself to carry out, consistently and conscientiously, this program, having in mind only the benefits to be derived therefrom by the entire city.

The Board of Education would be opposed to a bond issue for school purposes if the money derived therefrom is to be used for any purpose other than a "catching-up" program. By the combination enumerated herein, of a bond issue for the "catching-up" and an annual tax to take care of the normal increase in enrollment, this Board feels confident that the material conditions of our schools will soon be advanced to a parity with those of any other school system of the United States, and that unless the funds, as outlined above, are made available, the Board of Education will not find itself in a position to accomplish this end.

Our Board, therefore, respectfully submits, through your committee to the Board of Supervisors, its hearty endorsement of the project to call for an election October 31, 1922, to vote upon the issuance of twelve million dollars (\$12,000,000) worth of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to be devoted to the "catching-

up," rebuilding and rehabilitating program.

Very respectfully yours,

F. DOHRMANN, JR.,

President Board of Education, City and County of San Francisco.

*Fred Dohrmann, Jr.*, president of the Board of Education, addressed the Board at length upon the necessity for new schools and urged a bond issue in the sum of \$12,000,000 to be expended for the purpose.

*Supervisor Schmitz* spoke in favor of the building of proper and much-needed schools. That there appears to be a difference of opinion as to how to raise the necessary money. He favored a direct tax for the purpose, but as the City Attorney's opinion is that it cannot be done that way, he favored submitting a bond issue to the people.

The subject-matter was discussed at length by Supervisors McGregor, Hayden, Schmitz, Hynes, Rossi and Welch.

His Honor Mayor Rolph spoke on the progress which has been made in the rehabilitation of the schools, and called attention to the fine condition and character of the new school buildings. He made further reference to the recommendation of the Board of Education and urged unanimous action in presenting the matter of a bond issue to the people for decision.

*Supervisor McSheehy* renewed his motion, that action in the matter be deferred one week and that the opinions of the City Attorney and Attorney-General of the State be considered.

Seconded by Supervisor Hynes.

The motion was *defeated* by the following vote:

Aye—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Morgan, Mulvihill, Shannon—4.

The question being called on the passage of the ordinance, the ordinance was *passed for printing* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—12.

Noes—Supervisors Hynes, McSheehy—2.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Morgan, Mulvihill, Shannon—4.

*Passed for Printing.*

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

#### Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McLeran: Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized

to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

#### *Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(1) Associated Oil Co., fuel, oil, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$2,444.30.

(2) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., hardware, etc. (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$522.48.

(3) W. A. Bechtel, six side dump cars (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$2,149.20.

(4) Beronio Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$513.02.

(5) William Cluff Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$3,174.83.

(6) W. H. Dudley, payment 160 acres of land in Tuolumne County (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$7,000.

(7) Engineering Products Co., steel rails, etc. (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$9,440.91.

(8) Haas Brothers, foodstuffs (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$572.60.

(9) Hercules Powder Co., gelatin, etc. (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$12,949.54.

(10) Fred L. Hilmer, foodstuffs (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$1,346.15.

(11) Ingersoll-Rand Co., machine parts (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$2,785.93.

(12) Joost Bros., Inc., roofing paper (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$580.02.

(13) Martin-Camm Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$1,160.68.

(14) Miller & Lux, foodstuffs (claims dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$1,121.30.

(15) Moloney Electric Co., transformers (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$955.50.

(16) Pacific Tank & Pipe Co., fir pipe (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$2,714.88.

(17) Union Oil Co. of Cal., fuel oil (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$939.80.

(18) Union Petroleum Co., oils (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$611.32.

(19) Virden Packing Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$2,193.78.

(20) Western Electric Co., Inc., electric supplies (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$7,812.33.

(21) Western Meat Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$579.62.

(22) Utah Construction Co., 33d payment, construction of Hetch Hetchy dam and appurtenances (claim dated Aug. 9, 1922), \$334,588.41.

#### *Duplicate Tar Fund.*

(23) J. Jackson, refund of duplicate payments of taxes (claim dated Aug. 11, 1922), \$1,306.10.

#### *School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(24) E. E. Etherton, 7th payment, general construction of Adams School Addition (claim dated Aug. 9, 1922), \$3,998.03.

(25) Emil Hogberg, 3d payment,

brick and hollow tile work, Mission High School Addition (claim dated Aug. 9, 1922), \$5,817.75.

(26) G. P. W. Jensen, 6th payment, construction Crocker Amazon School (claim dated Aug. 9, 1922), \$19,630.25.

(27) A. Lettich, 2d payment, plumbing work, Yerba Buena School (claim dated Aug. 9, 1922), \$1,111.38.

*Special School Tax, 1922-1923.*

(28) Anderson & Ringrose, 6th payment, general construction of Parkside School (claim dated Aug. 9, 1922), \$30,756.

(29) Kohlwey-Smith Electric Co., 3d payment, electric work on Parkside School (claim dated Aug. 8, 1922), \$2,526.94.

(30) John Norton, 3d payment, general construction of Oral Deaf School (claim dated Aug. 9, 1922), \$5,660.66.

*Municipal Railway Fund.*

(31) Hancock Bros., printing Municipal Railway transfers (claim dated Aug. 5, 1922), \$1,440.

(32) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., railway brake shoes (claim dated Aug. 5, 1922), \$1,074.14.

*Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.*

(33) Continental Construction Co., third payment, installing concrete poles and conductors on Taraval street extension (claim dated Aug. 9, 1922), \$956.25.

(34) Eaton & Smith, third payment, construction of Taraval street extension (claim dated Aug. 9, 1922), \$15,825.

*General Fund, 1920-1921.*

(35) Louis J. Cohn, third payment, general construction of exhibition structure, Ferry Building (claim dated Aug. 9, 1922), \$2,042.25.

(36) I. M. Sommer, third payment, general construction of Fire Dept. drill tower (claim dated Aug. 9, 1922), \$3,083.07.

*General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(37) Union Oil Co., fuel oil, Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,286.99.

(38) J. E. French Co., one Dodge truck, Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,040.

(39) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., royalties on street surface heaters (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$531.95.

(40) Shell Company, fuel oil, asphalt plant (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,020.

(41) Standard Oil Co., Inc., asphalt for street repair (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$3,638.08.

(42) Shell Company, fuel oil, street repair (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$663.

(43) Shell Company, fuel oil, street repair (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$714.

*General Fund, 1922-1923.*

(44) St. Mary's Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Aug. 9, 1922), \$592.24.

(45) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Aug. 9, 1922), \$1,569.62.

(46) Boy's Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Aug. 9, 1922), \$1,191.34.

(47) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Aug. 9, 1922), \$2,446.40.

(48) Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Aug. 9, 1922), \$1,520.50.

(49) Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Aug. 9, 1922), \$700.

(50) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated Aug. 9, 1922), \$18,059.51.

(51) Little Children's Aid maintenance of minors (claim dated Aug. 9, 1922), \$9,479.84.

(52) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Aug. 9, 1922), \$3,312.60.

(53) St. Catherine's Training Home, maintenance of minors at Magdalen Asylum (claim dated Aug. 9, 1922), \$652.36.

(54) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated Aug. 11, 1922), \$10,651.91.

(55) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated Aug. 11, 1922), \$8,558.62.

(56) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated Aug. 11, 1922), \$922.50.

(57) D. J. O'Brien, police contingent expense for July (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$750.

(58) Engineering Products Co., one Haiss loading machine, Dept. Public Works (claim dated Aug. 8, 1922), \$4,796.27.

(59) Western Lime & Cement Co., cement, street repair (claim dated Aug. 7, 1922), \$3,860.22.

(60) California Brick Co., paving brick (claim dated Aug. 3, 1922), \$1,380.

(61) California Brick Co., paving brick (claim dated Aug. 1, 1922), \$1,330.10.

(62) California Brick Co., paving brick (claim dated Aug. 7, 1922), \$2,470.

(63) California Brick Co., paving brick (claim dated Aug. 8, 1922), \$2,232.50.

(64) California Brick Co., paving brick (claim dated Aug. 8, 1922), \$855.

(65) California Brick Co., paving brick (claim dated Aug. 8, 1922), \$1,187.50.

(66) Spring Valley Water Co., water for public buildings (claim dated Aug. 9, 1922), \$1,796.11.

(67) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated Aug. 9, 1922), \$2,310.32.

(68) Spring Valley Water Co., water

for playgrounds (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$1,047.97.

(69) City Construction Co., first payment, widening Castro street from Seventeenth to Eighteenth streets (claim dated Aug. 11, 1922), \$3,000.

(70) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$687.19.

(71) California Meat Co., meats, Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$1,529.53.

(72) Miller & Lux, meats, Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$640.55.

(73) Walton N. Moore Dry Goods Co., sheeting, Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$1,010.05.

(74) Producers Hay Co., fodder, Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$844.34.

(75) Sherry Bros., foodstuffs, Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$776.82.

(76) Sunta D. Egeberg, Industrial Accident Compensation Award account of death of Dr. Julius C. Egeberg, Assistant Emergency Hospital employee (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$1,458.10.

(77) Spring Valley Water Co., water service, Fire Dept. hydrants (claim dated July 7, 1922), \$13,087.03.

(78) San Francisco Chronicle, official advertising, month of July (claim dated Aug. 14, 1922), \$1,215.90.

(79) The Recorder Printing & Publishing Co., printing Law and Motion Calendar, Journal, etc., for July (claim dated Aug. 14, 1922), \$665.

(80) Baumgarten Bros., meats, Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$742.57.

#### Appropriations.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

*Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1, 1922-1923.*

(1) For equipment of the Mission High School by the Board of Education, \$20,000.

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(2) For expense of preparation of plans and specifications for High School of Commerce to be erected on the north side of Fell street between Franklin street and Van Ness avenue, \$8,182.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$5,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Publicity and Advertising, and authorized in payment to the San Francisco Convention and Tourist League; being for payment of

portion of expenses of Disabled War Veterans' Convention.

Sun Porch, San Francisco Hospital.

Also, Bill No. 6094, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of a sun porch enclosure and steel runway adjacent to the children's wing of the San Francisco Hospital; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the construction of a sun porch enclosure and steel runway adjacent to the children's wing of the San Francisco Hospital in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor and on file in the office of the Board of Public Works.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### Ordering Street Work.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Bill No. 6095, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and ordering the reconstruction and repair of certain accepted streets in the City and County of San Francisco under the supervision of the Board of Public Works of said City and County during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1923, and appropriating funds for the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and ordered to reconstruct and repair under its supervision those certain accepted streets in said City and County particularly designated and described in Ordinance No. 5643 (New Series), approved June 10, 1922, fixing and appropriating the aggregate sum of the items thereof allowed to each department, officer, board and commission for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1923, and making a budget of the same and in and by said ordinance specified as budget items Nos. 84 and 85.

There is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended by said Board of Public Works for each said item of such work of reconstruction and repair the specific amounts provided therefor, respectively, in and by said Ordinance No. 5643 (New Series), to-wit, \$60,000.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**Permits.**

Supervisor Deasy presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

*Automobile Supply Station.*

Curtis & O'Brien, at corner of Mission and Nye streets; also to store 600 gallons of gasoline.

*Oil Storage Tank.*

(1500 gallons capacity.)

Magnolia Metal Company, at the northeast corner of Sterling and Bryant streets.

Wizard Carpet Cleaning Company, at 275 Clary street.

*Transfers of Garage Permits.*

To Hugo Kemp and Charles Haase, permit granted by Resolution No. 17517 (New Series) to Joseph Pasquatette at premises on north side of Washington street, 65 feet 9 inches west of Jones street.

To Edward Materne and Able Ortez, permit granted by Resolution No. 19861 (New Series) to Clary Sloan, at 1723-1727 Divisadero street.

To Anatole Robbins, permit granted by Resolution No. 19754 to Garret J. Tompkins, at 2030 Sutter street, on condition that requirements of the Fire Marshal as to rehabilitation of premises be complied with within ninety days.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

**Notice of Reconsideration.**

The following proposed Charter Amendment, recommended by the Judiciary Committee and adopted by the Board August 7, 1922, subject to a notice of reconsideration given my Supervisor McSheehy, was taken up on notice of reconsideration:

*Charter Amendment No. . .*

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding a new section to Article XII, to be designated as Section 18, relating to the acquisition of existing street railways.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County at the general election to be held on the 7th day of November, 1922, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County as follows:

That Article XII of said Charter is hereby amended by adding a new section thereto, to be known as Section 18, and to read as follows:

*Acquisition of Existing Street Railways.*

Section 18. The City and County of San Francisco shall have power to purchase the whole or any part of the street railways, street railway system, street railway properties, equipment, franchises or other property operated, owned or controlled by the Market Street Railway Company and situated within the City and County of San Francisco and the County of San Mateo, and to own, operate, improve or extend the same, and any indebtedness incurred for such purchase shall not be subject to the limitation of Section 9 of Article XII of the Charter.

Proceedings for such purchase may be taken pursuant to the provisions of this Article XII of the Charter, or by initiative of the registered voters as provided in Chapter III of Article XI of this Charter, which is hereby declared to be applicable in the matter of the purchase provided for in this section. Such purchase or acquisition shall not, however, be consummated or become effective in the event such purchase shall involve the incurring of indebtedness or liability exceeding in any year the income or revenue provided for such year, unless the same shall be approved by two-thirds of the qualified electors voting thereon, as specified in Section 18 of Article XI of the Constitution of the State of California.

*Limit of Indebtedness.*

(Present Charter Provision). Section 9, Article XII:

No indebtedness shall be incurred for the acquisition of any public utilities under the provisions of this article which, together with the existing bonded indebtedness of the City and County shall exceed at any one time 15 per centum of the assessed value of all real and personal property in the City and County; provided, that any bonded indebtedness which may be incurred under the provisions of Section 29a of Article XVI of the Charter, in aid of an exposition to celebrate the completion of the Panama Canal, shall be exclusive of the bonded indebtedness of the City and County limited by this section.—As amended November 15, 1910; approved by the Legislature February 17, 1911 (Statutes 1911, page 1661).

Supervisor McSheehy offered the following amendment to the foregoing proposed Charter Amendment:

"and the purchase price of said street railways must be agreed upon by at least fifteen Supervisors and approved by the Mayor before submitting to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California."

Supervisor Hayden was heard at length, and asked that it be inserted in the record, that he introduced the

proposed Charter Amendment at the request of civic organizations, the Civic League, the Chamber of Commerce and the Down Town Association. As the amendment was not introduced by the Board of Supervisors, but by civic organizations, he requested Supervisor McSheehy not to offer his amendment.

*R. M. J. Armstrong*, vice-president of the Civic League of Improvement Clubs, was heard in behalf of his organization and urged that the proposed Charter Amendment be submitted to the people.

The question was called on the amendment submitted by Supervisor McSheehy and *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmitz—2.

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—12.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Morgan, Mulvihill, Shannon—4.

The question was then called on submission to the people of the proposed amendment to the Charter and was *carried* by the following vote:

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Morgan, Mulvihill, Shannon—4.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

Masquerade Ball.

Supervisor Robb presented:  
Resolution No. 20192 (New Series),  
as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted to the Hiram Johnson Colored Non-Partisan League, to give a masquerade ball at California Hall, corner of Turk and Polk streets, on Wednesday, August 23, 1922, upon payment of the usual license fee.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Morgan, Mulvihill, Shannon—4.

Rental, Auditorium.

Supervisor Hayden presented, out of order:

Resolution No. 20178 (New Series),  
as follows:

Resolved, That the Senator Hiram W. Johnson Campaign Committee be granted permission to occupy the Main Hall, Auditorium, August 24, 1922, 6 p.

m. to 12 p. m., for the purpose of holding a mass meeting, the rental fee having been paid to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

On motion *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Morgan, Mulvihill, Shannon—4.

Boiler Permit.

Supervisor Deasy presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series),  
as follows:

Resolved, That permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Stanley Hiller, Inc., to erect and maintain an engine and boiler of 10-horsepower at premises situate 239 Jefferson street, to be used for furnishing power for chicken feed plant.

The rights granted under this permit shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Under suspension of the rules ordered *passed for printing*.

**Charter Amendment, Options to Purchase Bonds or Property.**

*Supervisor McSheehy* presented a proposed amendment to the Charter, as follows:

Article XVI of the Charter is hereby amended by adding a new section thereto, to be known as Section 44, to read as follows:

*Options to Purchase Bonds or Property.*

Section 44. No option to purchase bonds of any kind or character of the City and County of San Francisco at a future time, for a price determined upon or agreed to in advance of the actual sale thereof, shall be granted to any person, firm or corporation, nor shall any such option be granted for the purchase of real or personal property belonging to the City and County.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

**Charter Amendment, Purchasing Supplies.**

*Supervisor Rossi* presented a proposed amendment to the Charter amending Chapter II of Article II of the Charter by adding a new section, to be known as Section 4<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>, to provide for centralization of purchasing and to enable the City and County to use modern purchasing methods.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business the Board at 7:05 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk.

TUESDAY, AUGUST 15, 1922, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, August 15, 1922, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in special session to consider the report of Special Committee on Market Street Railway purchase.

#### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Morgan—3.

Chairman.

In the absence of his Honor the Mayor Supervisor Shannon was called to the chair.

The report of the Special Committee on Market Street Railway Purchase, recommending that further consideration of the purchase of the properties of the Market Street Railway Company be postponed indefinitely for the reason that the committee was unable to agree upon a price, was presented and read by Supervisor Shannon, chairman of the committee.

The privilege of the floor was granted to the following persons: Dr. John Gallwey, R. M. J. Armstrong, vice-president Civic League; Ex-Mayor P. H. McCarthy, Mrs. Friedman, Dr. M. Bertola, Mrs. D. E. F. Eastman, Mrs. W. B. Hamilton, Mrs. Godfrey, George Skaller, T. P. Robinson, Edgar D. Peixotto and other interested citizens were heard at length.

The subject-matter was discussed by Supervisors McLeran, Scott, Schmitz, Shannon, Hynes, McSheehy, McGregor, Welch and Powers.

Supervisor Scott moved that the matter be re-referred to the committee.

Supervisor McLeran, in speaking to the question, explained the action of the committee in rendering its report, and in his judgment, re-reference to the committee would be an idle act, and would, therefore, favor the appointment of a new committee.

Supervisor Scott, by consent, withdrew his motion.

Supervisors McSheehy and Mulvihill desired to read their written reports. On a point of order, raised by Supervisor Hayden and sustained by the chair, reading was deferred and the reports *put*.

Supervisor McSheehy asked that his report be entered in the Journal and made a part of the record as follows:

Supervisor McSheehy's Report on Purchase of Properties of Market Street Railway Company.

San Francisco, Cal., June 2, 1922.

After carefully examining the report

submitted by City Engineer M. M. O'Shaughnessy, for which an appropriation of seventeen thousand dollars (\$17,000) was allowed, I herewith submit my report in reference to an offer by the City and County of San Francisco to the Market Street Railway Company for the purchase of its properties, to-wit:

The financial report of the Market Street Railway Company, dated April 19, 1922, shows that the net income, less interest on bonds, for the months of January, February and March, 1922, was four hundred twenty-four thousand and seventy-two dollars (\$424,072), and for a year would be one million six hundred ninety-six thousand two hundred eighty-eight dollars (\$1,696,288).

The company has outstanding indebtedness of fourteen million dollars (\$14,000,000) in bonds, which would have to be taken up by the City at five and one-half (5½) per cent, for that is our maximum standing rate. These bonds will be retired in forty (40) years at the rate of three hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$350,000) per year.

The retirement and pension act for all City employees, which is mandatory by the Charter, would require three hundred thousand dollars (\$300,000) annually for the two thousand eight hundred (2800) employees for the company, who would become municipal employees through the proposed purchase. These employees would also have to be paid the same wage as the city employees in the Municipal Railway. This increase in compensation would amount to one million seven hundred eighteen thousand dollars (\$1,718,000).

The company's financial report allows nothing for depreciation. The City allows nine (9) per cent. I am allowing five (5) per cent on the annual gross receipts—four hundred forty-nine thousand five hundred fifty-one dollars (\$449,551), and the following:

Repairs on the streets that would have to be made...	\$ 1,000,000
Bond election .....	50,000
Five and one-half (5½) per cent on 14 million dollars .....	770,000
One-fortieth (1-40) payment on 14 million dollars.....	350,000
Retirement and pension.....	300,000
Increase in wages.....	1,718,000
Depreciation .....	449,551
Five and one-half (5½) per cent on 3 million dollars..	165,000
<b>Total .....</b>	<b>\$ 3,752,551</b>
Market Street Railway, net one year .....	1,696,288

*Economics by Municipal Ownership.*  
(Claimed by the City Engineer.)

Yearly saving taxes.....\$	551,000
Damages on operations reduced by auto and jitney regulations . . . . .	50,000
Adjustment of Market Street Railway power contract..	350,000
Adjustment of car schedules with non-competitive conditions . . . . .	500,000
Combination of work and shops, etc. . . . .	70,000
Elimination of president, directors and legal expenses	275,000
Increase in receipts from elimination of competitive jitney operations . . . . .	500,000

Total . . . . .	\$ 3,992,288
City management . . . . .	3,752,551
Net . . . . .	239,737
\$239,737 net profits will pay interest at the rate of 5½ per cent on . . . . .	
Bonds, 14 million dollars; balance of property \$4,358,855—total . . . . .	18,358,855
Cost of street repairs and bond election . . . . .	1,050,000

Total . . . . . \$17,358,855

Seventeen million three hundred fifty-eight thousand eight hundred fifty-five dollars (\$17,358,855) is the figure that I recommend to the City to pay for the properties of the Market street Railway Company.

In doing so I feel that I have been very liberal. I allowed the entire amount the City Engineer allowed as a saving by the City acquiring these properties. All his items are problematical, and if taken up and carefully analyzed I am very doubtful that the total would reach anywhere near two million two hundred ninety-six thousand dollars (\$2,296,000) given as his figure.

The physical properties owned by the Market Street Railway Company, Gough Street Railway Company and the South San Francisco Railway and Power Company, consists of two hundred twenty-five (225) miles of electric main line track, nineteen (19) miles of electric connecting track, yard track car house track and spurs; fifteen (15) miles of cable track, together with one (1) mile of cable track in car house, and so forth, all in San Francisco County; San Mateo County, thirty-three (33) miles of main line track and one (1) mile of other track—a total of two hundred and ninety-four (294) miles of track in the system.

The company's lands consist of fourteen (14) acres of right-of-way and fifty-seven (57) acres of other lands used for carbarns, yards, substations

and other purposes; in San Francisco County there are sixty (60) acres of right-of-way, and there is one acre of other land used for substation purposes in San Mateo County.

There are thirty-one (31) locations in San Mateo County where stations and waiting rooms are located and nineteen (19) in San Francisco County. There are seventeen (17) locations in San Francisco used for shops and carbarns, and twenty-two (22) locations where miscellaneous buildings are located.

There are six (6) substations for power supply, five (5) located in San Francisco County and one (1) in San Mateo County. The cable lines are driven from three (3) power houses.

The rolling stock consists of seven hundred ninety-six (796) passenger cars and eighty-one (81) miscellaneous service equipments.

In my examination of these properties I find that the City Engineer allowed the sum of twenty-six million seven hundred thousand dollars (\$26,700,000) as a bare bone value, six million three hundred thousand dollars (\$6,300,000) as an increase in cost between the years 1913, 1917 and 1922, and seven million dollars (\$7,000,000) as a going concern, making a total of forty million dollars (\$40,000,000).

In the bare bone value of twenty-six million seven hundred thousand dollars (\$26,700,000), two hundred thirty-five thousand eighty-eight dollars (\$235,088), is allowed by the City Engineer for the Pacific Avenue road; three thousand and seven hundred ninety-eight dollars (\$3,798) for five (5) cars and nine hundred twenty-five dollars (\$925) for two trailers. This is a cable road and was erected about fifty years ago. It is obsolete and cannot be used and so acknowledged.

There are a number of other roads that are in the same condition.

The sum of three million dollars (\$3,000,000) is allowed for passenger cars, or about four thousand dollars (\$4,000) each. Nearly all of these cars will have to be repaired on account of their condition and age.

This same condition exists in almost all of the property owned by the Market Street Railway Company. I feel, therefore, that physical valuation of these properties should not be dealt with.

This entire question is not an engineering problem. I am not considering it as such, but just simply a condition in which the City of San Francisco has a railway company whose franchise will expire in seven (7) years, and a Charter that will not allow the continuation of its franchise.

The company wants to sell and the

City is willing to buy. Therefore, there is but one thing to do; take over these properties at a fair price to both parties and one that will assure the people a five-cent car fare.

I recommend that the sum of seventeen million three hundred fifty-eight thousand eight hundred fifty-five dollars (\$17,358,855) be tendered the Market Street Railway Company for their properties.

(Signed) JAMES B. McSHEEHY,  
Member of the Public Utilities Committee, Board of Supervisors, San Francisco.

August 15, 1922.

**Special Committee Discharged.**

*Supervisor Schmitz* moved that the report of the special committee be received, placed on file and the committee be discharged.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Morgan—4.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors September 18, 1922.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

**New Committee on Purchase of Market Street Railway Company Properties.**

*Supervisor McGregor* moved that the Mayor be authorized to appoint a committee to continue negotiations with the Market Street Railway Company, said committee to consist of not less than five members of the Board and his Honor the Mayor, City Attorney, City Engineer and the Chairman of the Finance Committee.

The motion was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Morgan—4.

(Complete transcript of foregoing discussion and proceedings on file in Clerk's office.)

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business the Board at the hour of 5 o'clock p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN.

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Monday, August 21, 1922.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY  
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

J

W

H

the

T

the

T

the

S

the

the

the

A

the

S

the

H

the

the

T

the

and

the

the

ask

C

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, AUGUST 21, 1922, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco Monday, August 21, 1922, 2 p. m.  
The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Coleman, Mulvihill—2.

Supervisor Mulvihill was absent by reason of illness.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Morgan was called to the chair.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of June 19, 26, 27, July 3, 10 and 11, 1922, were considered read and approved.

### ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Acknowledging Expression of Sympathy.

*Communication*—From Annie M. Rolph, acknowledging with appreciation the action of the Board in adjourning out of respect and memory upon the demise of her beloved father, and thanking the Board for kind expression of sympathy.

Read by Clerk and ordered placed on file.

Appointment of New Committee on Purchase of Properties of the Market Street Railroad Company.

*Communication*—From his Honor the Mayor, in response to a letter from the Board of August 19, 1922, advising him that at a special meeting held on Tuesday, August 15, 1922, the Board adopted a motion requesting that he be authorized to appoint a committee to continue negotiations with the Market Street Railroad, to consist of five members of the Board, his Honor the Mayor, City Attorney, City Engineer and chairman of the Finance Committee, and transmitting the following name to constitute the five members of the committee, to-wit:

Supervisors McGregor, Rossi, Hayden, Mulvihill and Morgan.

Read by the Clerk and ordered placed on file.

### Highway Down the Peninsula.

*Communication*—From the Down Town Association, setting forth that the board of directors of the Down Town Association heartily approved the plan to obtain a second highway down the peninsula and are ready to lend all possible aid to the project, and are in favor of building the project from the proceeds of a 5-cent tax or by any other method that the Board of Supervisors may determine.

Read by Clerk and ordered placed on file.

### Reconstruction of Eighth Street.

*Communication*—From the Eighth Street Improvement Association, expressing appreciation of the report of the Board of Supervisors appropriating moneys for the reconstruction of Eighth street between Bryant and Folsom streets, and requesting that the work be started as soon as possible, commencing on Bryant street at Eighth street.

Compulsory Retirement Provision of the Charter.

*Communication*—From the San Francisco Bureau of Governmental Research, in reference to proposed amendment to the Retirement Ordinance recently introduced and referred to committee, which seeks to exempt employees from the 70-year compulsory retirement provision of the Charter. Expressing an opinion that the proposed amendment should be rejected on the grounds of probable illegality, and its probable effect of establishing a small preferred class of exemption, and its effect on members of the retirement system as a whole because of its seeming discrimination.

On motion, referred to the Civil Service and Retirement System Committee.

Also, *Communication*—From the Office Employees' Association No. 13188, A. F. of L., by its secretary, Wm. F. Bonsor, stating that he has been directed to inform the Board that the Association is opposed to the pending amendment to the Retirement Act.

On motion, referred to the Civil

### *Service and Retirement System Committee.*

#### **Mayor's Veto.**

His Honor Mayor Rolph returned without his approval item in Resolution No. 20154 (New Series), granting permission to the Union Oil Company of California to maintain an automobile supply station at the southwest corner of Van Ness avenue and Fell street and to store 1200 gallons of gasoline on the premises.

On motion, action thereon was laid over.

#### **Presentation of Proposals.**

S aled proposals were received at 3 p. m. from the following for furnishing books for the Assessor:

John Kitchen, Jr., certified check for \$75 enclosed.

Levison Printing Co., certified check for \$100 enclosed.

F. Malloye Co., certified check for \$85 enclosed.

H. S. Crocker Co., Inc., certified check for \$75.50 enclosed.

California Printing Co., certified check for \$63.50 enclosed.

Also, from the following firms for furnishing hospital supplies:

Zellerbach Paper Co., no check enclosed.

Doctors' and Nurses' Outfitting Co., certified check for \$15 enclosed.

Western Nitrous Oxide Co., certified check for \$162.10 enclosed.

Collin-Redington Co., certified check for \$175 enclosed.

Fred L. Lackenback, certified check for \$100 enclosed.

Percy Meyer & Co., certified check for \$100 enclosed.

H. K. Mulford Co., certified check for \$100 enclosed.

H. F. Dugan Co., certified check for \$700 enclosed.

A. P. Hotaling Co., certified check for \$1368 enclosed.

Braun, Knecht & Heilmann Co., certified check for \$200 enclosed.

Walters Surgical Co., certified check for \$187 enclosed.

J. Theo. Erlin Co., certified check for \$150 enclosed.

The Will Corporation, certified check for \$135 enclosed.

Reid Bros., certified check for \$379.16 enclosed.

Travers Surgical Co., certified check for \$350 enclosed.

The foregoing proposals were referred to Supplies Committee.

#### **REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.**

The following committees, by their respective chairmen, presented reports on various matters referred, which reports were read and ordered *filed*.

Supplies Committee, by Supervisor Rossi, chairman.

Fir Committee, by Supervisor Deasy, chairman.

Public Buildings Committee, by Supervisor Scott, chairman.

#### **UNFINISHED BUSINESS.**

##### **Final Passage.**

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

##### **Amending the Zone Ordinance.**

Bill No. 6092, Ordinance No. 5679 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 6 of the use of property zone map, constituting a part of said ordinance is hereby ordered changed so as to place the easterly side of Stanyan street for a distance of 137 feet 6 inches northerly from the northerly line of Waller street and to the depth of 137 feet 6 inches in the commercial district instead of in the second residential district.

Section 2 of the use of property zone map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southerly side of Birch street for a distance of 202 feet 3 inches easterly from the easterly line of Buchanan street and to the depth of the rear lot lines in the commercial district instead of in the second residential district.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mulvihill—2.

Bond Issue for Schools and Relief Home.

Bill No. 6093, Ordinance No. 5680 (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that the public interest requires the acquisition, construction and completion of certain municipal improvements and acquisition of lands as follows: (1) The construction, completion and equipment by the City and County of San Francisco of permanent buildings to be used for public schools and the acquisition of necessary lands therefor; (2) the construction, completion and equipment of permanent buildings by the City and County of San Francisco to be used as a Relief Home; declaring that the cost thereof in ad-

dition to the other expenses of the City and County will exceed the income and revenue provided for the City and County for any one year, and directing the Board of Public Works to procure through the City Engineer and file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost of the original construction, completion and equipment of such permanent buildings.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest requires the acquisition, construction and completion of certain municipal improvements and acquisition of lands as follows:

(1) The construction, completion and equipment by the City and County of San Francisco of permanent buildings to be used for public schools and the acquisition of necessary lands therefor.

(2) The construction, completion and equipment of permanent buildings by the City and County of San Francisco to be used as a Relief Home.

Section 2. It is further determined and declared that the cost of the acquisition, construction, completion and equipment of such buildings and improvements and the acquisition of the necessary lands therefor, in addition to the other expenses of the City and County, will exceed the income and revenue of said City and County for any one year and will render it necessary to incur a bonded indebtedness therefor.

Section 3. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure through the City Engineer, and to place on file with the Board of Supervisors, plans and estimates of the cost of the original construction, completion and equipment of the buildings and improvements and the acquisition of the lands mentioned in Section 1 of this ordinance.

Section 4. This ordinance shall be published in the official newspaper for fourteen days after its approval.

Section 5. This ordinance is the first of a series of ordinances which will be adopted under and by virtue of which it is proposed that a bonded indebtedness shall be incurred for the purposes herein stated.

Section 6. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

The matter was discussed by Supervisors Hynes, McSheehy and Schmitz.

Supervisor Schmitz stated that it was a matter for the people to decide, upon submission, as to whether they want a bond issue or not, and that the

arguments should be made to the people for their decision.

Remarks of Supervisor Hynes.

Supervisor Hynes was heard and presented the following statement:

Madam Chairman, and Members of the Board: At last week's meeting I voted against the policy of a bond issue for securing money for the construction of schools. Fundamentally I am in favor of the construction of schools. I want to emphasize that. My only difference of opinion is as to how we should proceed to raise this money. During the week I have had occasion, during the little time that I have been in town—I had to go to Sacramento to see about an exhibit of this city for the State Fair—to make several inquiries, and I find that the interest and the redemption has been increasing year by year. In 1921, there was interest on bonds of \$2,063,973. There was redemption of bonds of \$2,352,400. In 1920 there was an interest charge to the taxpayers in the budget, in the tax rate, of \$1,960,918, and a redemption of \$2,352,400. And I can go right back for years and show that the interest has been constantly increasing, and I want to emphasize that, outside of deferring the payment to the taxpayers, San Francisco has never received anything at all in the way of improvements for this immense amount of interest that has been paid on the bonds that have been sold. We have, as I understand it, if my figures are correct, and they are based on the best information that I have, some \$71,117,200 of outstanding bonds on which the taxpayers are paying interest.

\$71,117,200! There are yet unsold bonds amounting to \$5,505,000. If this bond issue carries, \$14,000,000, that will make \$19,505,000 of unsold bonds on which the people will have to pay interest for years, which will be added to the tax rate, and for which they will receive absolutely nothing to compensate them for that.

I objected last Monday, and I object again today, because I think we can find another way of securing the money to take care of these school houses without overburdening the already overburdened taxpayers. And I say this, Madam Chairman and members of the Board, as friendly as I can say it: San Francisco's tax rate is mounting, will continue to mount, will continue to increase, according to my way of thinking and in my judgment, and I take this stand, that some other means than saddling onto the people another bond issue should be found to take care of this money to build these school houses. The interest and redemption money paid by the taxpayers

In 1921 was 18.05 per cent of the total of the budget.

*Supervisor Shannon:* What is that again?

*Supervisor Hynes:* The interest and redemption. And last year or the year before we had a budget of \$24,466,580 paid by the taxpayers, and 18.05 per cent of that was for interest and redemption. In 1922 it was 18.997 per cent of the total amount of the budget. Next year I think it will increase some more. From the information I get, we have not yet reached the peak. If the \$5,000,000 of unsold bonds are sold, that will increase the peak. If the \$14,000,000 of bonds are sold, that will increase the peak.

Now, there is the proposition that I spoke of last Monday, to-wit, a special tax rate. Notwithstanding there was some claim that it is illegal—and I say this generously, too—if the same pressure was brought upon the City Attorney to submit a plan to this Board of Supervisors whereby it could be included in the tax rate as a special tax levy, I feel, in my judgment, that he could render an opinion that in some way would permit us to include that. With a constant and increasing assessed valuation of our property, real estate, improvements and personal property, if this Board of Supervisors were to put a twenty-cent special tax we could by that tax secure approximately \$1,000,000, and from the moment we decided to put that in the tax rate, the taxes for school houses would decrease from year to year.

I have some memoranda here showing how the assessed valuation of our property has increased from year to year. In 1920 the assessed valuation of our property was \$566,800,000-odd. 1920-1921, it was \$585,000,000 plus some odd dollars. 1921-1922 it was \$609,900,000, almost \$610,000,000. In 1922, this year, the exact assessed valuation, according to the Auditor's figures, will be \$615,320,576. Now, I contend that with a 20-cent special tax rate this year on \$615,000,000 we can secure \$900,000 plus, and that money could be used by the School Department to build schools. And if we wanted to secure the same amount next year and the next year following and the next year following, by virtue of the assessed valuation of our property gradually rising, the rate to raise a specified amount would be constantly decreased. I will give you that in a quick example so that you can catch it rapidly. If we decided that we wanted to have \$100 a year, and our income this year was \$1,000, we would take 10 per cent of our income. Next year, if our income was \$2,000, and we still wanted to make that \$100, we would take 5 per cent, just one-half of what

it took the preceding year. In the next year, if our income was \$4,000 and we wanted to raise \$100, we would take 2½ per cent, and if on the following year our income was \$5,000 and we wanted to raise the specified \$100, we would take 2 per cent. So under my method and under the proposal that I talked of last Monday, if this Board of Supervisors would go on record as favoring a proposition of placing a special tax for the School Department, instead of our tax rate mounting each year, as it will, first for the interest on the bonds that are sold, and then thereafter for the redemption of those bonds, San Francisco's taxpayers would have a constantly decreasing tax rate instead of a constantly increasing tax rate.

And I think it behooves this Board of Supervisors to seriously consider the taxpayer. I know it is going to be very hard for those members who desire to be re-elected to go out and face a multitude when they find the tax rate is increasing. As members of the Board, I think that we ought to be courageous enough, if we are honest and sincere in our purpose—and if we are honest we will see that there is no other way to do this—we ought to be courageous enough to go to the people of San Francisco and tell them which is the best and most logical way for the Board of Supervisors to assess you people now so that later on your tax rate will be considerably reduced instead of increased. And I have prepared today two Charter Amendments that I am going to introduce in the event that the Board decides on the special tax rate and not the bond election. I will say that if you decide to have this bond election, I shall not in any possible way attempt to interfere with it. I am giving you the best that I have in me as a solution, a permanent, definite solution, not a temporary solution, and if the majority of the members of this Board decide they are going to submit to the people a proposal for a bond issue for the schools, I assure you that no voice of mine will be heard in opposition to that in any possible way. I am going to submit this Charter Amendment today:

"Notwithstanding any limitations contained in this Charter or State law, it shall be competent for the Board of Supervisors to levy taxes, not to exceed thirty cents, upon each \$100 of assessed valuation, for the purpose of constructing municipal buildings to be used for schools."

I understand there has been considered, by our Committee on Charter Amendments, a proposal to have a sales tax not to exceed one-tenth of one per cent on all the sales of busi-

ness houses. I am surprised to know how few people in San Francisco know that not one cent of taxes is paid by any business house in San Francisco at a fixed place of business that sells wares, goods and merchandise, not a single house, wholesale or retail, whether it be dry goods or any other line of trade, the City of Paris, the White House, O'Connor & Moffatt, the Emporium, none of those people pay one cent of taxes to the City and County of San Francisco for doing business except the tax on real estate and improvements and on the goods that they have in their stores and in their warehouses. And I have added an amendment to this Charter Amendment that has already been submitted, and which I understand has been heard, but not favorably acted on, or unfavorably either, to impose a tax for the purpose of regulation and revenue, which provides a tax "from all persons, firms, or corporations manufacturing or selling goods, wares, or merchandise at a fixed place of business, which shall not exceed in the aggregate, however levied or based, one-tenth of one per cent of the annual sales of such person, firm, or corporation; and it is further provided that license taxes may be levied to cover periods of less than one year." To that I have added: "All revenue derived from taxes imposed by the authority of this subdivision shall, for a period of ten years, be used exclusively for the construction of school buildings."

And I venture to say that, if the people of San Francisco have this presented to them on the ballot this year, that all of these large houses shall pay not to exceed one-tenth of one per cent—that one-tenth of one per cent is a very small tax—and that this tax shall go for the building of schools, to take the place of a bond election, I am willing to venture today that it would be carried by practically a unanimous vote. And that tax of one-tenth of one per cent, imposed upon the business houses for the goods that are sold to the consuming public, I don't know how much revenue it will produce, but I am sure it will produce \$100,000, and the San Francisco taxpayer will not have his taxes increased. It will produce a revenue that, I think, will take care of all the possible buildings that may be needed by the School Department for the next ten years.

**Supervisor Bath:** I would like to ask the Supervisor if he intends one of these to be the alternative of the other, or if he proposes presenting both of these to the people at the same time?

**Supervisor Hynes:** No, I offer that as an alternative for this Board of Su-

pervisors to decide whether they care to have a Charter Amendment that will permit them, in case there is any discussion or difference of opinion as to the legality of a special tax levy by this Board of Supervisors, and their right and power to levy a thirty-cent tax rate, or not to exceed thirty cents, in lieu of that I suggest this sales tax, so as to give them money to build the schools.

#### Proposed Charter Amendment.

The following proposed amendments were presented by Supervisor Hynes, and upon motion of Supervisor Bath ordered *referred to the Judiciary Committee and to the City Attorney* for his opinion as to its legality, to-wit:

Add a new section, to be numbered Section 18, to Chapter I of Article III, to read as follows:

Section 13. Notwithstanding any limitation contained in this Charter or State law, it shall be competent for the Board of Supervisors to levy a tax, not to exceed thirty cents upon each one hundred dollars of assessed valuation, for the purpose of constructing municipal buildings to be used for schools.

#### Amendment.

That Subdivision 15 of Section 1 of Chapter II of Article II is hereby amended to read as follows:

To impose license taxes for purposes of regulation and revenue and to provide for the collection thereof; provided, however, that license taxes levied on any person, firm or corporation selling or manufacturing goods, wares or merchandise at a fixed place of business shall not exceed, in the aggregate, however levied or based, one-tenth of one per cent of the annual sales of such person, firm or corporation; and further provided, that license taxes may be levied to cover periods of less than one year.

All revenue derived from taxes imposed by the provisions of this subdivision shall, for a period of ten years, be used exclusively for the construction of school buildings.

#### Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor McSheehy presented the following in explanation of his vote and asked that the same be made a part of the record, to-wit:

On August 14, 1922, as a member of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco I voted "No" on Ordinance No. 5680 (New Series), and on final passage of this ordinance on this 21st day of August, 1922, I wish to qualify my vote of "No" and have the same printed and inserted in the records as follows, to-wit:

1. A \$12,000,000 bond issue at 5½% interest in twelve years will double;

or, in other words, the taxpayers of San Francisco will have to pay \$24,000,000 for \$12,000,000.

2. They will also have to pay about \$60,000 for an election, printing of bonds, clerical hire, etc.

3. The following sum was in the City Treasury for school construction work on June 30, 1922:

Bond Issue of 1918—	
Contracts outstanding.....	\$ 860,944
Unincumbered balance.....	800,538
Budget of 1921-1922—	
Contracts outstanding.....	971,139
Unincumbered balance .....	8,259
Budget of 1922-1923.....	930,000

\$3,570,880

The bond issue for 1918 was for \$3,500,000. We have spent \$1,838,518 in four years for school construction work—a little over one-half of the issue. At this rate it would require twenty-seven years to spend \$12,000,000 if these bonds were sold on even a 4½ basis. According to the progression of the work the cost would be to the taxpayers about \$28,000,000 for \$12,000,000 of new schools.

5. Last year we agreed on a given program and allowed the sum of \$1,500,000 for new schools. Why change this program because our City Attorney makes the statement that we have not the power, while on the other hand our Attorney-General says we have the power? Why take the chance of putting this matter before the people under such conditions? We cannot expect the people to pay \$28,000,000 for \$12,000,000 when they already owe about \$75,000,000 and are paying \$4,700,000 annually on this amount for redemption and interest.

6. If this bond issue should pass we would be within \$2,000,000 of our bonded limit and find ourselves owing about \$90,000,000. Would that improve the credit of the City of San Francisco?

7. School buildings are just as necessary as are the teachers, and should be so considered. The program of last year should be continued. Eastern cities follow a similar program and carry their school construction work as they do any other expense. We should do likewise and not burden our city with bonds for which we have to pay two dollars for one dollar.

For these reasons I voted "No" on August 14, 1922, and for these same reasons I am voting "No" today.

JAS. B. MCSHEEHY.

August 21, 1922.

On August 14th, 1922, as a member of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I voted "No" on Charter Amendment No. —. I wish to qualify my vote of "No" and have same printed and inserted in the records as follows, to-wit:

1. This resolution is in the form of a Charter Amendment, and will permit the breaking down of the only real safeguard that the Charter prescribed for the conservation of our credit.

2. Section 9, Article XII of the Charter specifically states that we shall not load our city for over fifteen per cent (15%) of the assessed valuation on all of our real and personal property.

We owe at the present time about \$75,000,000—within about \$16,000,000 of our bonded limit.

3. If this amendment is carried, it will allow ten members of the Board of Supervisors to agree on any price for the properties of the Market Street Railroad Company, and present said price to the electors for their ratification.

4. I offered an amendment to this resolution which would throw the same safeguard around it as is provided in all sections of the Charter calling for the expenditure of money. The author of the resolution would not consent to the crossing of a "i" or the dotting of an "i", and my amendment was voted down.

I think that the Board made a great mistake in not permitting a safeguard to be placed on such an important change in the Charter.

For these reasons I voted "No."

JAMES B. MCSHEEHY.

August 21, 1922.

Thereupon, the foregoing ordinance was *finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Nocs—Supervisors Hynes, McSheehy—2.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mulvihill—2.

#### Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

#### Authorizations.

Resolution No. 20194 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(1) Associated Oil Co., fuel oil, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$2,444.30.

(2) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., hardware, etc. (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$522.48.

(3) W. A. Bechtel, six side dump cars (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$2,149.20.

(4) Beronio Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$513.02.

(5) William Cluff Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$3,174.83.

(6) W. H. Dudley, payment 160 acres of land in Tuolumne county (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$7,000.

(7) Engineering Products Co., steel rail, etc. (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$9,440.91.

(8) Haas Brothers, foodstuffs (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$572.60.

(9) Hercules Powder Co., gelatin, etc. (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$12,949.54.

(10) Fred L. Hilmer, foodstuffs (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$1,346.15.

(11) Ingersoll-Rand Co., machine parts (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$2,785.93.

(12) Joost Bros., Inc., roofing paper (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$580.02.

(13) Martin-Camm Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$1,160.68.

(14) Miller & Lux, foodstuffs (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$1,121.30.

(15) Moloney Electric Co., transformers (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$956.50.

(16) Pacific Tank & Pipe Co., fir pipe (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$2,714.88.

(17) Union Oil Co. of Cal., fuel oil (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$939.80.

(18) Union Petroleum Co., oils (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$611.32.

(19) Virden Packing Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$2,193.78.

(20) Western Electric Co., Inc., electric supplies (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$7,812.33.

(21) Western Meat Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$579.62.

(22) Utah Construction Co., thirty-third payment, construction of Hetch Hetchy dam and appurtenances (claim dated Aug. 9, 1922), \$334,588.41.

*Duplicate Tax Fund.*

(23) J. Jackson, refund of duplicate payments of taxes (claim dated Aug. 11, 1922), \$1,306.10.

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(24) E. E. Etherton, seventh payment, general construction of Adams School addition (claim dated Aug. 9, 1922), \$3,998.03.

(25) Emil Hogberg, third payment, brick and hollow tile work, Mission High School addition (claim dated Aug. 9, 1922), \$5,817.75.

(26) G. P. W. Jensen, sixth payment, construction Crocker Amazon School (claim dated Aug. 9, 1922), \$19,630.25.

(27) A. Lettich, second payment, plumbing work, Yerba Buena School (claim dated Aug. 9, 1922), \$1,111.38.

*Special School Tax, 1922-1923.*

(28) Anderson & Ringrose, sixth payment, general construction of Park-

side School (claim dated Aug. 9, 1922), \$30,756.

(29) Kohlwey-Smith Electric Co., third payment, electric work on Park-side School (claim dated Aug. 8, 1922), \$2,526.91.

(30) John Norton, third payment, general construction of Oral Deaf School (claim dated Aug. 9, 1922), \$5,660.66.

*Municipal Railway Fund.*

(31) Hancock Bros., printing Municipal Railway transfers (claim dated Aug. 5, 1922), \$1,440.

(32) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., railway brake shoes (claim dated Aug. 5, 1922), \$1,074.14.

*Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.*

(33) Continental Construction Co., third payment, installing concrete poles and conductors on Taraval street extension (claim dated Aug. 9, 1922), \$956.25.

(34) Eaton & Smith, third payment, construction of Taraval street extension (claim dated Aug. 9, 1922), \$15,825.

*General Fund, 1920-1921.*

(35) Louis J. Cohn, third payment, general construction of exhibition structure, Ferry Building (claim dated Aug. 9, 1922), \$2,042.25.

(36) I. M. Sommer, third payment, general construction of Fire Dept. drill tower (claim dated Aug. 9, 1922), \$3,083.07.

*General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(37) Union Oil Co., fuel oil, Fire Dept. (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,286.99.

(38) J. E. French Co., one Dodge truck, Fire Dept. (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,040.

(39) Fonitabile Asphalt Maintenance Co., royalties on street surface heaters (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$531.85.

(40) Shell Company, fuel oil, asphalt plant (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,020.

(41) Standard Oil Co., Inc., asphalt for street repair (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$3,638.08.

(42) Shell Company, fuel oil, street repair (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$663.

(43) Shell Company, fuel oil, street repair (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$714.

*General Fund, 1922-1923.*

(44) St. Mary's Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Aug. 9, 1922), \$592.24.

(45) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Aug. 9, 1922), \$1,569.62.

(46) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Aug. 9, 1922), \$1,191.34.

(47) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Aug. 9, 1922), \$2,446.40.

(48) Albertinum Orphanage, main-

tenance of minors (claim dated Aug. 9, 1922), \$1,520.50.

(49) Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Aug. 9, 1922), \$700.

(50) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated Aug. 9, 1922), \$18,059.51.

(51) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated Aug. 9, 1922), \$9,179.84.

(52) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Aug. 9, 1922), \$3,312.60.

(53) St. Catherine's Training Home, maintenance of minors at Magdalen Asylum (claim dated Aug. 9, 1922), \$652.36.

(54) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated Aug. 11, 1922), \$10,651.91.

(55) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated Aug. 11, 1922), \$8,558.62.

(56) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated Aug. 11, 1922), \$922.50.

(57) D. J. O'Brien, police contingent expenses for July (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$750.

(58) Engineering Products Co., one Haiss loading machine, Dept. Public Works (claim dated Aug. 8, 1922), \$4,796.27.

(59) Western Lime & Cement Co., cement, street repair (claim dated Aug. 7, 1922), \$3,860.22.

(60) California Brick Co., paving brick (claim dated Aug. 3, 1922), \$1,330.

(61) California Brick Co., paving brick (claim dated Aug. 1, 1922), \$1,330.10.

(62) California Brick Co., paving brick (claim dated Aug. 7, 1922), \$2,470.

(63) California Brick Co., paving brick (claim dated Aug. 8, 1922), \$2,232.50.

(64) California Brick Co., paving brick (claim dated Aug. 8, 1922), \$855.

(65) California Brick Co., paving brick (claim dated Aug. 8, 1922), \$1,187.50.

(66) Spring Valley Water Co., water for public buildings (claim dated Aug. 9, 1922), \$1,796.11.

(67) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated Aug. 9, 1922), \$2,310.32.

(68) Spring Valley Water Co., water for playgrounds (claim dated Aug. 2, 1922), \$1,047.97.

(69) City Construction Co., first payment, widening Castro street from Seventeenth to Eighteenth streets (claim dated Aug. 11, 1922), \$3,000.

(70) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$687.19.

(71) California Meat Co., meats Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$1,529.53.

(72) Miller & Lux, meats, Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$640.55.

(73) Walton N. Moore Dry Goods Co., sheeting, Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$1,010.05.

(74) Producers Hay Co., fodder, Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$811.31.

(75) Sherry Bros., foodstuffs, Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$776.82.

(76) Sumta D. Egeberg, industrial accident compensation award account of death of Dr. Julius C. Egeberg, assistant Emergency Hospital employee (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$1,458.10.

(77) Spring Valley Water Co., water service Fire Dept. hydrants (claim dated July 7, 1922), \$13,087.03.

(78) San Francisco Chronicle, official advertising, month of July (claim dated Aug. 14, 1922), \$1,215.90.

(79) The Recorder Printing & Publishing Co., printing Law Motion Journal, etc., for July (claim dated Aug. 14, 1922), \$665.

(80) Baumgarten Bros., meats, Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$742.57.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mulvihill—2.

#### Appropriations.

Resolution No. 20195 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

*Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1, 1922-1923.*

(1) For equipment of the Mission High School by the Board of Education, \$20,000.

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(2) For expense of preparation of plans and specifications for High School of Commerce to be erected on the north side of Fell street between Franklin street and Van Ness avenue, \$8,182.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mulvihill—2.

**Appropriation, \$5,000. Disabled War Veterans' Convention.**

Resolution No. 20196 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$5,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of publicity and advertising, and authorized in payment to the San Francisco Convention and Tourist League; being for payment of portion of expenses of Disabled War Veterans' Convention.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mulvihill—2.

**Sun Porch, San Francisco Hospital.**

Bill No. 6094, Ordinance No. 5681 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of a sun porch enclosure and steel runway adjacent to the children's wing of the San Francisco Hospital; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the construction of a sun porch enclosure and steel runway adjacent to the children's wing of the San Francisco Hospital, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor and on file in the office of the Board of Public Works.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mulvihill—2.

**Ordering Street Work.**

Bill No. 6095, Ordinance No. 5682 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and ordering the reconstruction and repair of certain accepted streets in the City and County of San Francisco under the supervision of the Board of Public Works of said city and county during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1923, and appropriating funds for the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and ordered to reconstruct and repair un-

der its supervision those certain accepted streets in said City and County particularly designated and described in Ordinance No. 5643 (New Series), approved June 10, 1922, fixing and appropriating the aggregate sum of the items thereof allowed to each department, officer, board and commission for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1923, and making a budget of the same and in and by said ordinance specified as budget items Nos. 84 and 85.

There is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended by said Board of Public Works for each said item of such work of reconstruction and repair, the specific amounts provided therefor, respectively, in and by said Ordinance No. 5643 (New Series), to-wit, \$60,000.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mulvihill—2.

**Permits Granted.**

Resolution No. 20197 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

*Automobile Supply Station.*

Curtis & O'Brien, at corner of Mission and Nye streets; also to store 600 gallons of gasoline.

*Oil Storage Tank.*

(1500 gallons capacity.)

Magnolia Metal Company, at the northeast corner of Sterling and Bryant streets.

Wizard Carpet Cleaning Company, at 275 Clary street.

*Transfers of Garage Permits.*

To Hugo Kemp and Charles Haase, permit granted by Resolution No. 17517 (New Series) to Joseph Pasqualette at premises on north side of Washington street, 65 feet 9 inches west of Jones street.

To Edward Marterne and Able Ortez, permit granted by Resolution No. 19861 (New Series) to Clary Sloan, at 1723-1727 Divisadero street.

To Anatole Robbins, permit granted by Resolution No. 19754 to Garrett J. Tompkins, at 2030 Sutter street, on condition that requirements of the Fire Marshal as to rehabilitation of premises be complied with within ninety days.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi,

Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mulvihill—2.

#### Boiler Permit.

Resolution No. 20198 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Stanley Hiller, Inc., to erect and maintain an engine and boiler of 10 horsepower at premises situate 239 Jefferson street, to be used for furnishing power for chicken feed plant.

The rights granted under this permit shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mulvihill—2.

#### REPORT OF FINANCE COMMITTEE.

Demands on the Treasury amounting to \$652,319.72, including the following Urgent Necessity, were presented and approved by the following vote:

##### *Urgent Necessity.*

Spring Valley Water Co., water public troughs, \$100.85.

Sabina M. Churchill, compensation of insurance, \$92.25.

Western Union Tel. Co., official telegrams, \$2.03.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mulvihill—2.

#### NEW BUSINESS.

##### Passed for Printing.

The following matters were passed for printing:

##### Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McLeran:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

##### *Tearing-Up Streets Fund.*

(1) T. M. Gallagher, repaving side sewer trenches, July 3rd to 10th (claim dated Aug. 14, 1922), \$507.35.

##### *Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(2) Ellen Behnke, land for Amazon Reservoir, Hetch Hetchy water supply, Lot 1, Block 20, Crocker Amazon Tract, San Francisco (claim dated Aug. 15, 1922), \$800.

(3) Meese & Gottfried Co., roller chain (claim dated Aug. 15, 1922), \$749.03.

(4) Myers-Whaley Co., Inc., shoveling machine parts (claim dated Aug. 15, 1922), \$2,292.59.

(5) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Groveland revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers attached (claim dated Aug. 15, 1922), \$1,337.50.

(6) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Groveland revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Aug. 15, 1922), \$1,576.96.

(7) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Groveland revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Aug. 15, 1922), \$1,680.57.

(8) Sierra Railway Co. of California, railway car service (claim dated Aug. 15, 1922), \$1,719.81.

(9) E. H. Wilson & Co., overhauling baggage and passenger car (claim dated Aug. 15, 1922), \$1,783.

##### *Special School Tax, 1921-1922.*

(10) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., overhauling heating system, Glen Park School (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$775.

(11) Quinn & Reilly, eighth payment, general construction, Emerson School (claim dated Aug. 16, 1922), \$6,057.

##### *School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(12) Anderson & Ringrose, first payment, general construction of Yerba Buena School (claim dated Aug. 16, 1922), \$11,463.75.

(13) O. Monson, second payment, general construction of Hancock School addition (claim dated Aug. 16, 1922), \$7,950.

##### *Municipal Railway Fund.*

(14) Market Street Railway Co., July reimbursement under agreement Dec. 12, 1918 (claim dated Aug. 14, 1922), \$1,166.96.

(15) Market Street Railway Co., power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated Aug. 16, 1922), \$1,773.90.

(16) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., power furnished Municipal Railways during July (claim dated Aug. 14, 1922), \$30,935.13.

(17) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement Fund, Municipal Railways' proportion for July (claim dated Aug. 8, 1922), \$5,263.16.

##### *County Road Fund.*

(18) The Western Pacific Railroad Co., for improvement of Army street between Third and De Haro streets, including deeds to land, as per Resolution No. 17484 (New Series) (claim dated Aug. 15, 1922), \$10,000.

##### *General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(19) Enterprise Foundry Co., catch-basins frames, Board of Public Works (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$672.14.

(20) Union Oil Co. of California, fuel oil, Civic Center Powerhouse (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,141.10.

##### *General Fund, 1922-1923.*

(21) Western Rock Products Co.,

sand for street repair (claim dated Aug. 14, 1922), \$2,383.21.

(22) George Anderson, street cans (claim dated Aug. 14, 1922), \$700.

(23) Elizabeth Harmon, compensation insurance, account of death of Patrick Murphy, Board of Works' employee (claim dated Aug. 4, 1922), \$1,141.28.

(24) Producers' Hay Co., hay, etc., Police Dept. (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$674.20.

(25) Union Oil Co. of California, gasoline, Police Dept. (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$620.55.

(26) D. J. O'Brien, police contingent expense for August (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$750.

(27) Smith, Lynden & Co., groceries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$1,006.12.

(28) Wm. Cluff Co., groceries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$510.75.

(29) Hooper & Jennings, groceries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$1,466.77.

(30) Golden State Baking Co., bread San Francisco Hospital (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$516.65.

(31) Spring Valley Water Co., water for hospitals (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$1,625.20.

(32) American La France Fire Engine Co., Fire Department apparatus parts (claim dated Aug. 16, 1922), \$804.01.

(33) Associated Oil Co., fuel oil, Fire Department (claim dated Aug. 16, 1922), \$816.91.

(34) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electricity, Fire Department (claim dated Aug. 16, 1922), \$1,172.61.

(35) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished and hydrants reset (claim dated Aug. 16, 1922), \$824.35.

(36) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished, Fire Department (claim dated Aug. 16, 1922), \$2,174.80.

(37) Robert Trost, first payment, general construction, Engine House No. 39 (claim dated Aug. 15, 1922), \$3,915.

**Appropriation. \$2,900. High Pressure Water System, North Point Street.**

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$2,900 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 39, 1922-1923, for expense of installing high pressure water system mains in North Point street from Powell street to Grant avenue. Recommendations of Fire Department and Board of Public Works.

**Amending Salary Ordinance.**

Also, Bill No. 6098, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5160 (New Series), known as the "Ordinance of Additional Positions," by adding a new subdivision to Section 18 thereof, to be designated (f).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), known as the "Ordinance of Additional Positions," is hereby amended by adding a new subdivision to Section 18 thereof to be designated (f), to read as follows:

(f) Two deputy clerks, each at a salary of \$1,500 a year.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect as of September 1, 1922.

#### **Permits.**

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

#### *Boiler.*

Western Rock Prouducts Co., at 218 First street; 10 horsepower.

#### *Oil Storage Tank.*

George A. Wingfield, at 2324 Pacific avenue; 600 gallons capacity.

George Applegarth, at 725 Pine street; 600 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

#### **Mayor to Execute Leases.**

On motion of Supervisor McLeran: Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be authorized to execute for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a certain indenture of lease dated as of the first day of July, 1922, wherein and whereby the Spring Valley Water Company, a corporation, leases, lets and demises to the City and County of San Francisco, for the term of fifteen years, a tract of land comprising one hundred and seventy acres, more or less, situated between the southerly and northerly arms of Lake Merced and being a portion of the Lake Merced Rancho, upon the terms and conditions expressed in said lease.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be authorized to execute for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a certain indenture of lease dated as of the first day of July, 1922, wherein and whereby the Spring Valley Water Company, a corporation, leases, lets and demises to the City and County of San Francisco, for a term of ten years, a tract of land comprising sixty acres, more or less, situated between the United States Military Reservation and the Sloat boulevard, and bordering the Ocean, and being a portion

of the Lake Merced Rancho, upon the terms and conditions expressed in said lease.

#### Amending Building Law.

On motion of Supervisor Scott:

Bill No. 6096, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as the "Building Law of the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section thereto, to be numbered 119a, relating to the construction of public market buildings.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as the "Building Law," is hereby amended by adding a new section thereto, and numbered 119a, as follows:

Section 119a. The term "Public Market Building" shall be taken to mean a building in which foodstuffs and food products are sold.

One-story public market buildings of class A or B construction may have the roof covered with T and G planking of a minimum thickness of two and three-quarter ( $2\frac{3}{4}$ ) inches.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### Ordering Street Work.

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill:

Bill No. 6097, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 4, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment

to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements respectively may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The method of assessment for said work and improvement determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No. 74046 (Second Series), is hereby confirmed.

The improvement of *Collingwood street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets; Twenty-first street between Castro street and the westerly line of Diamond street; Twenty-second street between Castro street and the westerly line of Diamond street, including the crossings of Diamond and Twenty-first streets, Diamond and Twenty-second streets, Collingwood and Twenty-first streets, and the intersection of Collingwood and Twenty-second streets*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of pipe sewers and appurtenances, of side sewers with traps, risers and appurtenances, of culverts, of brick manholes, of brick catchbasins, of brick storm water inlets, and of lampholes with their respective appurtenances; by the construction of reinforced concrete retaining and step support walls, stairways, landings, copings, drains, gutters, curb armor and armored offsets; by the construction of pipe railing, including furnishing and setting of all fittings; by the construction of concrete armored coping; by the reconstruction of brick catchbasins, including the furnishing and setting of cast iron frames, gratings and traps.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### Adopted.

The following matters were adopted by the following vote:

#### Outdoor Park Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Robb:

Resolution No. 20199 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted to the Federated Italian Societies to conduct an outdoor park celebration at Washington Square and streets in the vicinity thereof, from September 18th to September 24th, 1922, both dates inclusive.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mulvihill—2.

**Purchase of Land.**

On motion of Supervisor Shannon:  
Resolution No. 20200 (New Series),  
as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco from Chris Cussen of the following described parcels of land, situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, and required as a right-of-way for the aqueduct on the Hetch Hetchy water supply project, viz.:

Lots numbered thirty-four (34) and thirty-five (35) in block numbered one (1), as shown on a map entitled "North Fair Oaks, situated in San Mateo County," which was filed in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County, August 8, 1907, in Book 5 of Maps at page 21; for the sum of two hundred dollars (\$200); and

Whereas, said purchase price is in accordance with the appraisalment of said lands made by Joseph J. Phillips, right-of-way agent for the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer, the offer of Chris Cussen to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the above mentioned parcels of land for the sum of \$200 be and the same is hereby accepted.

The Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy water supply is hereby authorized and directed to prepare the necessary papers and deeds to close the transaction and to arrange for the payment of the purchase price to the seller upon receipt of deed conveying said property to the City and County of San Francisco clear of all incumbrances.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mulvihill—2.

**Extensions of Time.**

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill:  
Resolution No. 20201 (New Series),  
as follows:

Resolved, That A. J. Raisch is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after September 6, 1922, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Hearst avenue between Baden and Congo streets, under public contract.

This first extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor has been delayed by a shortage of cement.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mulvihill—2.

Also, Resolution No. 20202 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Schultz Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of sixty days' time from and after August 20, 1922, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Liberty street between Church and Noe streets, and Sanchez street between Twentieth and Twenty-first streets.

This first extension is granted for the reason that the work is approximately ninety per cent completed and has been diligently prosecuted.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mulvihill—2.

Also, Resolution No. 20203 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That E. J. Treacy is hereby granted an extension of sixty days' time from and after August 16, 1922, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Twenty-fifth avenue between Irving and Judah streets.

This first extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor is awaiting the issuance of the assessment.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mulvihill—2.

Also, Resolution No. 20204 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the method of assessment for the improvement of Collingwood street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets; Twenty-first street between Castro street and the westerly line of Diamond street; Twenty-second street between Castro street and the westerly line of Diamond street, including the crossings of Diamond and Twenty-first streets, Diamond and Twenty-second streets, Collingwood and Twenty-first streets and the intersection of Collingwood and Twenty-second streets, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of pipe sewers and appurtenances, of side sewers with traps, raisers and appurtenances, of culverts, of brick manholes, of brick catchbasins, of brick storm water inlets, and of lamp-holes with their respective appurtenances; by the construction of reinforced concrete retaining and step support walls, stairways, landings, copings, drains, gutters, curb armor and armored offsets; by the construction of pipe railing, including furnishing and

setting of all fittings; by the construction of concrete armored coping; by the reconstruction of brick catchbasins, including the furnishing and setting of cast iron frames, gratings and traps, determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No. 74046 (Second Series) be and the same is hereby confirmed.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mulvihill—2.

#### Action Deferred.

The following matters were presented and on motion *laid over one week*:

#### Fixing Tax Rate.

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Providing revenue and levying taxes for City and County purposes for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1923.

On motion, *laid over one week*, and the Clerk directed to so notify the members of the Board.

#### Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted Frank E. Lang and Henry C. Witt to maintain a public garage on the west side of Ninth avenue, 250 feet south of Irving street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit becomes null and void.

On motion of Supervisor Bath *laid over one week*.

#### Action Deferred.

The following matters were *laid over two weeks*:

#### Landers Street.

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Hearing of objection to the widening and extending of Landers street between Fifteenth and Sixteenth streets, fixed for 3 p. m. this day.

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the widening and extension of Landers street between Sixteenth street and Fifteenth street be done in pursuance of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and in the manner laid down in pursuance of and with the provisions of Section 2 and the sections following Section 2 of said Chapter III of Article VI of the said Charter.

#### Referred to Committee.

The following bill was presented and recommended to the Committee on Standardization of Salaries, Civil Service and Retirement System:

#### Amending Ordinance of Additional Positions.

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), entitled, "Ordinance of Additional Positions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

There is hereby added to Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), known as "Ordinance of Additional Positions," two new sections, to be known as Sections 28a and 28b, to read as follows:

Section 28a. The maximum entrance salary for appointees to positions classified by the Civil Service Commission as general clerks is hereby fixed at \$1,500 per annum, and such entrance salary shall not be increased within six months after appointment. No general clerk shall be eligible for salary advancement from Grade 3 to Grade 4 of Civil Service Rule 38 unless at least two years' service under permanent appointment has been had in the class.

Section 28b. In the event of a vacancy occurring in any position named in this ordinance and subject to Article XIII of the Charter, the appointing power may, with the consent of the Civil Service Commission, make an appointment to such vacancy at a salary less than that fixed for such position in this ordinance. This section is intended to obviate the necessity of paying to a new and inexperienced employee the same salary that previously had been paid to an old and experienced employee in the same position.

#### ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

#### Resolutions of Sympathy.

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 20205 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the death of Colonel George H. Pippy has been called to the attention of this Board, and

Whereas, Colonel Pippy was in his lifetime a distinguished patriotic member of the community and rendered valuable services to the Nation, to the State and to this City; therefore,

Resolved, That while his passing away is an event to be deplored, yet it serves to bring to mind his many deeds evidencing loyalty to his country and his devotion to public interest. Possessing many characteristics which endeared him to his friends and made him a most worthy citizen it is fitting for us to express sincere sorrow for his departure and the highest regard for all that he has done; that we tender sympathy to those dear to him who have great cause to mourn, and

that when this Board adjourns it does so in respect to his memory.

Supervisor Rossi briefly eulogized the splendid character of the deceased and moved the adoption of the resolution, which was unanimously carried by a rising vote as follows:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mulvihill—2.

#### Bridge in Vicinity of Antioch.

Supervisor Wetmore presented the following:

Resolution No. 20206 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the highways of the State of California have proved an invaluable aid in the development of this State's agricultural areas by providing avenues and minimizing the difficulties of communication between various rural communities, as well as assisting in bringing the productive areas of our State closer to consuming and shipping points, and

Whereas, that section lying to the south of Sacramento, known as the Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta, is isolated and deprived of easy means of egress and ingress because of the natural barrier provided by the combined waters of the Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers, and

Whereas, for the normal rapid development of the interior of Northern California, as well as that particular section, known as the Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta, it is necessary that easier avenues of land travel and communication with the Bay cities be provided by the construction of a bridge across the present barrier of water dividing the already completed State Highways leading from the Bay Cities on the west and from Sacramento and Stockton on the east to Antioch, Contra Costa County; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco goes on record as favoring and strongly recommending the construction of a bridge in the vicinity of Antioch, Contra Costa County, at a place to be determined by State Highway Commission engineers, and that this constructive piece of work be included in that named Commission's 1923 building program.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mulvihill—2.

#### Finance Committee Furnish Data.

Supervisor McSheehy presented the following:

Resolution No. 20207 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the budget of this fiscal year of 1922-23 calls for an expenditure of \$24,892,678; and

Whereas, the revenues to meet this expenditure are derived from two sources: (1) Direct taxation of all real and personal property; (2) Revenues from other sources, such as fees, licenses, fines, interest, etc.; and

Whereas, in the year 1917-1918 the revenues from sources other than direct taxation of all real and personal property were underestimated to the amount of .....

.....\$	183,620
In the year 1918-19.....	432,618
In the year 1919-20.....	280,498
In the year 1920-21.....	562,576

A total of.....\$1,459,312

Or, in other words, the taxpayers of San Francisco in these four years paid 25 4/10 cents on their tax rate that should not have been paid if these revenues had been figured in a fairly correct way; and

Whereas, in the year 1921-22 the Finance Committee estimates their revenues from other sources than direct taxation on real and personal property in the sum of \$3,284,350, and the Auditor estimates the revenues in the same year at \$3,561,000; there was collected in the same year \$3,814,936, which sum represents \$530,576 expended by the Finance Committee over and above what was provided for in the budget during the year; and

Whereas, in this year of 1922-23 the same committee in making estimates from other sources than direct taxation of real and personal property are allowing the sum of \$3,383,000, which is \$431,936 less than was collected last year, and the Auditor estimates that there will be collected from other sources than direct taxation of real and personal property the sum of \$3,837,320; therefore, there should be collected, using the average of four years and using the Auditor's estimate, the sum of approximately \$450,000 over the amount allowed by the Finance Committee, which would mean a cut in the tax rate of 7 1/2 cents.

Resolved, That the Finance Committee be requested to furnish to this Board an itemization of how they arrived at their figure of \$3,383,000, and that the Treasurer and Auditor be sent a copy of this resolution and asked for an itemization of the revenues collected last from other sources than that collected by direct taxation of real and personal property, and that copies

of this resolution be forwarded to each member of this Board.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mulvihill—2.

#### Remitting Fee, Stable Permit.

Also, Resolution No. 20208 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the advertising fee for stable permit issued to Barnett Kurtz at 119 Felton street by Resolution No. 20184 (New Series) is hereby remitted.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mulvihill—2.

Appropriation for Services. Miss M. Moroney.

Supervisor Powers presented the following:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$300 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Lighting Streets," Budget Item No. 40, fiscal year 1922-1923, and authorized in payment to M. Moroney; being for services rendered to the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors during the months of July and August, 1922, at the rate of \$150 per month.

Question on suspension of rules.

Supervisor McLeran called attention to the rules of the Board, and stated in order to pass the resolution the rules must be suspended.

Discussed by Supervisors Powers, McSheehy and Hynes.

Supervisor Scott thereupon moved that the resolution be referred to the Finance Committee.

Motion carried.

#### Belated Bids for Supplies Received.

The Clerk announced that a bidder had presented his sealed bid for supplies as called for in the proposal notice after the hour of 3 p. m., and asked for a ruling from the Board as to its acceptance and stated that the other bids regularly received and on his desk had not as yet been opened.

Supervisor Shannon thereupon moved that the bid be accepted.

Objections to its reception were offered by Supervisors McSheehy, Hayden and McLeran.

The representative of the Travers

Surgical Company was heard and explained reasons for being late.

The Clerk informed the Board that he had constantly advised the bidders to present their bids between the hours of 2 and 3 o'clock p. m. and read the notice calling for proposals.

Supervisor Schmitz referred to Charter provisions.

Supervisors Shannon, Hynes and Wetmore expressed themselves in favor of accepting the bid.

Supervisor Rossi stated that he was opposed to its acceptance on account of Charter provisions.

Supervisor McGregor stated that he believed that the proposal notice is not in accordance with the Charter, and would favor the acceptance of this bid, as it was offered to the Clerk of the Board, and in the future when the hour of 3 o'clock arrives all bids be rejected.

Supervisor Scott thereupon moved that the bid be accepted; the motion was carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—11.

Noes—Supervisors Hayden, McLeran, McSheehy, Rossi, Schmitz—5.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mulvihill—2.

#### Placing of Landers Street in Zoning District.

Supervisor Scott presented the following:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Planning Commission be requested to recommend an amendment to the zoning ordinance so as to place Landers street from Sixteenth street northerly in the commercial district instead of in the second residential district.

On motion referred to the City Planning Commission.

#### Extension of Time.

Supervisor Shannon presented: Resolution No. 20209 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That an extension of thirty days from August 14, 1922, is hereby granted to Eaton & Smith within which to complete contract for the construction of the Taraval street extension, Municipal Railway, contract No. 130.

This first extension is granted on the recommendation of the Board of Public Works and for the reason that extra work was added to the contract.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hay-

den, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mulvihill—2.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business the Board at the hour of 4:20 p. m. adjourned.

**J. S. DUNNIGAN,**  
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors September 18, 1922.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

**JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,**  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.



Monday, August 28, 1922.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY

77 Sutter Street, S. F.



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, AUGUST 28, 1922, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, August 28, 1922, 2 p. m.  
The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present: Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Velch Wetmore—18.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the preceding meeting was *laid over for approval until next meeting.*

### ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

#### Pacific Fleet.

*Communication*—From Down Town Association, urging upon the Secretary of the Navy and our representatives in the Senate and Congress, that the Pacific Fleet should spend more of its time in San Francisco Bay.

Read and ordered *filed*.

#### Tax Payers' Association, in re Receipts From Other Sources.

*Communication*—From Tax Payers' Association, transmitting copy of its resolution alleging that in fixing tax-rate "the amounts to be collected from sources other than direct taxation" have been greatly underestimated.

Read by the Clerk.

#### Bureau of Governmental Research Approves 3.47 Rate.

*Communication*—From S. F. Bureau of Governmental Research, expressing their agreement with the proposed 3.47 tax-rate, declaring it to be the most closely figured rate San Francisco has had within the period of the Bureau's knowledge of municipal affairs.

Read and ordered *filed*.

#### Appropriation for Retirement System.

*Communication*—From Board of Administration Retirement System, declaring that at its meeting August 15, 1922, it voted unanimously to accept the rate for the Retirement System as

recommended in the tax-rate ordinance, although it is less than its estimated requirements and expressing confidence in the Board of Supervisors that it will take care of any deficit at the proper time.

#### Revenue From Sources Other Than Direct Taxation.

*Communication* — From Treasurer, transmitting statement of revenues showing itemizations of the revenues collected last year from sources other than direct taxation.

Also, similar statement from Auditor. **Reno-Truckee Scenic Highway Favored.**

*Communication*—From Down Town Association, endorsing Reno-Truckee Scenic Highway.

*Referred to Streets and Commercial Development Committees.*

#### Twelfth Street Spur Tracks.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

*Communication*—From M. Friedman & Company, calling attention to the inconvenience and unnecessary expense caused by being without spur track service in their warehouse at Fifteenth and Bryant streets, and urging that Southern Pacific Company be granted necessary spur track privileges.

*Referred to Streets and Commercial Development Committees.*

#### Title to Merced Lands.

*Communication* — From Margaret Mahoney, administratrix of the estate of David Mahoney, deceased, declaring that land of Laguna de la Merced about to be purchased from the Spring Valley Water Company for golf links and playground is without McEnerney title, and requesting that action be deferred until matter is adjudicated.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

#### Drive for California Home for Crippled Children.

*Communication* — From California Home for Crippled Children, serving notice of intention to solicit funds in San Francisco County for California Home for Crippled Children.

*Referred to Public Welfare Committee.*

#### San Francisco Motion Picture Production.

*Communication*—From Andrew J. Gallagher, industrial director, Southern Promotion Association, inviting Mayor and Board of Supervisors to be

present at the Strand Theater on the night of September 3rd, when the first performance of a comedy picture produced in San Francisco will be presented.

*Referred to Moving Picture Welfare Committee.*

**Reno-Truckee Scenic Highway.**

*Telegram*—From Harvey M. Toy, president San Francisco-Reno Highway Association, president Powell Street Merchants' and Property Owners' Association, vice-president Northern California Hotel Association and director San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, asked San Francisco Board of Supervisors to indorse scenic six per cent grade Truckee River highway from Reno to Truckee; also declaring that he favors peninsula highway and bridge.

*Referred to Streets and Commercial Development committees.*

**Zoning Ordinance Amendment, Clay Street Between Laurel and Walnut Streets.**

*Communication*—From City Planning Commission, recommending that classification of southerly line of Clay street between Laurel street and Walnut street be changed from first residential to second residential district.

*Referred to City Planning Committee.*

**State Board of Equalization Decrees That State of California Is In Receipt of Sufficient Revenues for Seventy-fourth Fiscal Year and No Other Taxes Need Be Levied.**

The following was presented, read and ordered *spread in the Journal*:

Office of State Board of Equalization, State of California.

Sacramento, August 21, 1922.

To the Board of Supervisors and County Auditor, San Francisco County.

Please take notice that on Monday, August 21, 1922, the State Board of Equalization adopted the following resolution and order:

Whereas, Chapter 307 of the Laws of 1921 (approved May 25, 1921) provides as follows:

"The State Board of Equalization shall, between the first Monday in March and the first Monday in July in the year one thousand nine hundred twenty-two, for the support of the State government assess and levy taxes upon the property in the manner and upon the rates of taxation as provided for in the subdivisions a, b, c and d of section fourteen of article thirteen of the Constitution of the State of California, or if any rate of taxation shall have been changed by the legislature pursuant to subdivision f of said section and article, then upon such rate of taxation as so changed and fixed for the purpose of raising

the sum of forty-one million one hundred thousand dollars for annual expenditure for the support of the State government for the seventy-fourth fiscal year, and in event that the taxes so assessed and levied, together with all available revenues other than those revenues required by law to be used for special uses, shall not raise said sum of forty-one million one hundred thousand dollars then said above named revenues shall be deemed insufficient to meet the annual expenditures of the State for the seventy-fourth fiscal year, which deficiency is hereby declared to be the difference between the amount of taxes assessed and levied upon the property and in the manner and upon the rates of taxation hereinbefore specified, together with all other State revenues, other than those revenues required by law to be used for special uses, and said sum of forty-one million one hundred thousand dollars, then said State Board of Equalization in accordance with the provisions of subdivision e of said section fourteen of article thirteen of the Constitution of the State of California, at the time provided in section three thousand six hundred ninety-six of the Political Code, shall fix such an ad valorem rate of taxation for the said seventy-fourth fiscal year upon each one hundred dollars in value of taxable property, upon all the property in the State of California not exempt from taxation under the law and subject to taxation for State purposes on the seventh day of November in the year one thousand nine hundred ten, as, after allowing five per cent for delinquencies, will raise for said seventy-fourth fiscal year the amount of said deficiency."

And whereas, subdivision "e" of section 14 of article XIII of the Constitution of California, ratified November 8, 1910, provides as follows:

"Out of the revenues from the taxes provided for in this section, together with all other State revenues, there shall be first set apart the moneys to be applied by the State to the support of the public school system and the State University. In the event that the above named revenues are at any time deemed insufficient to meet the annual expenditures of the State, including the above named expenditures for educational purposes, there may be levied, in the manner to be provided by law, a tax, for State purposes, on all the property in the State, including the classes of property enumerated in this section, sufficient to meet the deficiency. All property enumerated in subdivisions a, b and d of this section shall be subject to taxation, in the manner provided by law, to pay the principal and interest of any bonded indebtedness created and outstanding

by any city, city and county, county, town, township or district, before the adoption of this section. The taxes so paid for principal and interest on such bonded indebtedness shall be deducted from the total amount paid in taxes for State purposes."

And Whereas, the State Board of Equalization, from the records of the

State, determines and declares that there will be collected and received as State taxes under the provisions of Section 14 of Article XIII of the Constitution, and from all other sources, for support and maintenance of the State government for the seventy-fourth fiscal year beginning July 1, 1922, the following amounts or thereabouts:

From railroads, including street railways . . . .	\$14,607,156.05
From gas and electric companies . . . . .	7,664,673.55
From telegraph and telephone companies . . . .	1,891,591.52
From car companies . . . . .	253,452.41
From express companies . . . . .	107,999.56
From insurance companies . . . . .	3,245,669.96
From national banks . . . . .	1,647,340.20
From state banks . . . . .	2,109,201.02
From general franchises . . . . .	3,179,052.00

Total from taxation . . . . .	\$34,706,136.27
Other sources:	
Inheritance taxes . . . . .	\$4,200,000.00
License taxes . . . . .	975,000.00
Fees, Secretary of State . . . . .	250,000.00
Interest on deposits in banks . . . . .	450,000.00
Punitive and reformatory schools, collections . .	500,000.00
Fees—Supreme and Appellate Courts . . . . .	10,000.00
Miscellaneous receipts . . . . .	130,000.00
Total other sources . . . . .	6,515,000.00
Total from all sources . . . . .	\$41,221,000.00

Now, therefore, the State Board of Equalization believes and thereupon adjudges and decrees that the State of California is and will be in receipt of sufficient revenues for its support and maintenance for the seventy-fourth fiscal year and that no deficiency or other ad valorem taxes need or shall be levied or collected for said fiscal year.

R. E. COLLINS,

Chairman, State Board of Equalization.

M. D. LOCK, Secretary.

HEARING—2 P. M.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was, on motion, *laid over one week* and made a Special Order of Business for 3 p. m.:

Establishing Set-Back Lines.

Hearing objections to establishment of set-back lines on Tenth avenue between Anza and Balboa streets.

MAYOR'S VETO.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was, on motion, *laid over one week*:

Supply Station.

Resolution granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to the Union Oil Company of California to maintain an automobile supply station at the southwest corner of Van Ness avenue and Fell street, and to store 1200 gallons of gasoline on the premises.

(This item appeared on Resolution No. 20154 (New Series), but was disapproved by the Mayor on August 14, 1922.)

August 21, 1922—*Laid over*.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

\$84,000, Library Bonds.

Sealed proposals were received by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, the 28th day of August, 1922, for the purchase of bonds of said City and County as follows:

Library bonds, issue of 1904, to the amount of \$84,000, maturing \$14,000 June 30, 1935, and a like sum each year following until and including June 30, 1940.

The bonds bear interest at the rate of 3½ per cent per annum, payable semi-annually.

1. R. H. Moulton & Co.; certified check, \$4,000, Mercantile Trust Co.

2. Schwabacher & Co.; certified check, \$4,200, Union Trust Co.

3. American National Co.; certified check, \$3,740.94, American National Bank.

4. Union Trust Co.; certified check, \$5,000, Union Trust Co.

5. E. H. Rollins & Sons; certified check, \$4,200, First National Bank.

6. Bank of Italy; certified check, \$3,700, Bank of Italy.

7. Guaranty Co. of N. Y.; certified

check, \$5,200, Wells Fargo National Bank.

8. Anglo London & Paris National Bank; certified check, \$4,000, Anglo London & Paris National Bank.

9. Wells Fargo Nevada National Bank; certified check, \$4,200, Wells Fargo Nevada National Bank.

10. Cyrus Pierce & Co.; certified check, \$5,825, American National Bank.

11. National City Co.; certified check, \$4,000, Bank of California.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

Subsequently during the proceedings the following resolution was presented by Supervisor McLeran and *adopted* by the following vote:

Accepting Bid for Library Bonds.

Resolution No. 20225 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the bid of the Guaranty Company of New York for the purchase of \$84,000 Library Bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, issue of 1904, offered for sale this 28th day of August, 1922, whereby said Guaranty Company of New York offers to pay \$76,970.40 plus accrued interest to date of delivery for said bonds, without any condition attached thereto, be and the same is hereby accepted and said bonds are hereby struck off and awarded to said Guaranty Company of New York as being the highest and best unconditional bid, and said bonds will be delivered to it upon the payment of the purchase price aforesaid. Delivery of said bonds shall be made within ten days from the date of the adoption of this resolution.

All other bids for said bonds are hereby rejected and the Clerk is directed to return certified check to such unsuccessful bidders.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

*(Clerk directed to return checks to unsuccessful bidders.)*

Relative to Stanyan Street Amendment to Zoning Ordinance.

Mr. Benedict, Assistant Secretary to the Mayor, declared that on Wednesday afternoon, at 2 o'clock, there would be a hearing in the Mayor's office on the amendment to the Zoning ordinance relating to Stanyan street, which had been passed by the Board and was now before the Mayor for his signature.

Miss Tanassa Zara. Bathing Beauty.

Supervisor Hayden presented Miss Tanassa Zara (Miss San Francisco, winner of the Chronicle bathing beauty contest), who is to represent San Francisco at Atlantic City, September 5, 6 and 7, 1922, in a national bathing beauty contest.

Supervisor Hayden expressed the

hope that the Board would appoint a committee of its members to see Miss Tanassa Zara off on her departure for Atlantic City.

Miss Tanassa Zara thanked the Board for its reception and declared that she was very proud to represent such a city and State.

Supervisor Shannon (in the chair) expressed his best wishes for a safe and pleasant trip, and declared that he knew she would be crowned the prettiest girl in America.

A committee consisting of Supervisors Hynes, Hayden, Rossi, Mulvihill, Colman and McGregor were appointed a committee to see Miss Tanassa Zara off.

Joint Highway District Appropriation.

Supervisor Welch presented:

San Francisco, August 28, 1922.

To the Board of Supervisors, San Francisco—

Gentlemen:

At a meeting of the board of directors of the Joint Highway District, composed of San Mateo and San Francisco counties, the annexed resolution was directed to be presented to you with a request for favorable action thereon.

Respectfully,

RICHARD J. WELCH,  
Secretary.

JOHN MacBAIN,  
J. EMMET HAYDEN,  
RICHARD J. WELCH,  
Directors.

Resolved, That it is hereby declared to be the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to appropriate jointly with San Mateo County the sum of two hundred and twenty-five thousand dollars, or so much thereof as may be necessary, to be expended by Joint Highway District No. —, comprising said counties, in making a survey and location, estimates of cost, acquiring rights of way and expenses incidental thereto, for the highway proposed to be constructed in the resolution initiating said Joint Highway District. That said sum is to be apportioned between the two counties named on the basis of the assessed valuation of said counties, respectively, and to be apportioned on the requisition of the Board of Directors of said District as same may be required.

JOHN MacBAIN,  
J. EMMET HAYDEN,  
RICHARD J. WELCH,  
Directors.

Motion.

Supervisor Mulvihill moved reference of the resolution to Streets and Finance Committees.

Privilege of the Floor.

San Mateo County representatives

were heard in support of the resolution.

*Former Mayor P. H. McCarthy* also addressed the Board with reference to the proposed appropriation and the completion of the Roosevelt boulevard.

#### Funds for New Peninsula Highway.

*Supervisor Welch* requested that time be fixed for hearing the Joint Highway Commission, declaring that we owe it to San Mateo County to let them know what action San Francisco is going to take in providing funds for the new peninsula highway before they pass their tax rate.

On motion of Supervisor McLeran it was agreed that the Joint Committee would meet and bring in a report to the Board next Tuesday.

#### REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.

The following committees, by their respective chairmen, presented reports on various matters referred, which reports were read and ordered *filed*:

Fire Committee, by Supervisor Deasy, chairman.

Supplies Committee, by Supervisor Rossi, chairman.

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

##### Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

##### Authorizations.

Resolution No. 20211 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

##### *Tearing-Up Streets Fund.*

(1) T. M. Gallagher, repaving side sewer trenches, July 3rd to 10th (claim dated Aug. 14, 1922), \$507.35.

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(2) Elien Behnke, land for Amazon Reservoir, Hetch Hetchy water supply, Lot 1, Block 20, Crocker Amazon Tract, San Francisco (claim dated Aug. 15, 1922), \$800.

(3) Meese & Gottfried Co., roller chain (claim dated Aug. 15, 1922), \$749.03.

(4) Myers-Whaley Co., Inc., shoveling machine parts (claim dated Aug. 15, 1922), \$2,292.59.

(5) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Groveland revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers attached (claim dated Aug. 15, 1922), \$1,337.50.

(6) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Groveland revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Aug. 15, 1922), \$1,576.96.

(7) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Groveland

revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Aug. 15, 1922), \$1,680.57.

(8) Sierra Railway Co. of California, railway car service (claim dated Aug. 15, 1922), \$1,719.81.

(9) E. H. Wilson & Co., overhauling baggage and passenger car (claim dated Aug. 15, 1922), \$1,783.

##### *Special School Tax, 1921-1922.*

(10) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., overhauling heating system, Glen Park School (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$775.

(11) Quinn & Reilly, eighth payment, general construction, Emerson School (claim dated Aug. 16, 1922), \$6,057.

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(12) Anderson & Ringrose, first payment, general construction of Yerba Buena School (claim dated Aug. 16, 1922), \$11,463.75.

(13) O. Monson, second payment, general construction of Hancock School addition (claim dated Aug. 16, 1922), \$7,950.

##### *Municipal Railway Fund.*

(14) Market Street Railway Co., July reimbursement under agreement Dec. 12, 1918 (claim dated Aug. 14, 1922), \$1,166.96.

(15) Market Street Railway Co., power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated Aug. 16, 1922), \$1,773.90.

(16) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., power furnished Municipal Railways during July (claim dated Aug. 14, 1922), \$30,935.13.

(17) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement Fund, Municipal Railways' proportion for July (claim dated Aug. 8, 1922), \$5,263.16.

##### *County Road Fund.*

(18) The Western Pacific Railroad Co., for improvement of Army street between Third and De Haro streets, including deeds to land, as per Resolution No. 17484 (New Series) (claim dated Aug. 15, 1922), \$10,000.

##### *General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(19) Enterprise Foundry Co., catch-basins frames, Board of Public Works (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$672.14.

(20) Union Oil Co. of California, fuel oil, Civic Center Powerhouse (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$1,141.10.

##### *General Fund, 1922-1923.*

(21) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repair (claim dated Aug. 14, 1922), \$2,383.21.

(22) George Anderson, street cans (claim dated Aug. 14, 1922), \$700.

(23) Elizabeth Harmon, compensation insurance, account of death of Patrick Murphy, Board of Works' employee (claim dated Aug. 4, 1922), \$1,141.28.

(24) Producers' Hay Co., hay, etc.,

Police Dept. (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$674.20.

(25) Union Oil Co. of California, gasoline, Police Dept. (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$620.55.

(26) D. J. O'Brien, police contingent expense for August (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$750.

(27) Smith, Lynden & Co., groceries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$1,006.12.

(28) Wm. Cluff Co., groceries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$510.75.

(29) Hooper & Jennings, groceries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$1,466.77.

(30) Golden State Baking Co., bread San Francisco Hospital (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$516.65.

(31) Spring Valley Water Co., water for hospitals (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$1,625.20.

(32) American La France Fire Engine Co., Fire Department apparatus parts (claim dated Aug. 16, 1922), \$804.01.

(33) Associated Oil Co., fuel oil, Fire Department (claim dated Aug. 16, 1922), \$816.91.

(34) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electricity, Fire Department (claim dated Aug. 16, 1922), \$1,172.61.

(35) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished and hydrants reset (claim dated Aug. 16, 1922), \$824.35.

(36) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished, Fire Department (claim dated Aug. 16, 1922), \$2,174.80.

(37) Robert Trost, first payment, general construction, Engine House No. 39 (claim dated Aug. 15, 1922), \$3,915.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Appropriation. \$2,900. High Pressure Water System, North Point Street.

Resolution No. 20212 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$2,900 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, 1922-1923, for expense of installing high pressure water system mains in North Point street from Powell street to Grant avenue. Recommendations of Fire Department and Board of Public Works.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Permits.

Resolution No. 20213 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

#### Boiler.

Western Rock Proudetts Co., at 218 First street; 10 horsepower.

#### Oil Storage Tank.

George A. Wingfield, at 2324 Pacific avenue; 600 gallons capacity.

George Applegarth, at 725 Pine street; 600 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Mayor to Execute Leases.

Resolution No. 20214 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be authorized to execute for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a certain indenture of lease dated as of the first day of July, 1922, wherein and whereby the Spring Valley Water Company, a corporation, leases, lets and demises to the City and County of San Francisco, for the term of fifteen years, a tract of land comprising one hundred and seventy acres, more or less, situated between the southerly and northerly arms of Lake Merced and being a portion of the Lake Merced Rancho, upon the terms and conditions expressed in said lease.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Resolution No. 20215 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be authorized to execute for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a certain indenture of lease dated as of the first day of July, 1922, wherein and whereby the Spring Valley Water Company, a corporation, leases, lets and demises to the City and County of San Francisco, for a term of ten years, a tract of land comprising sixty acres, more or less, situated between the United States Military Reservation and the Sloat boulevard, and bordering the Ocean, and being a portion of the Lake Merced Rancho, upon the terms and conditions expressed in said lease.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Amending Salary Ordinance.

Bill No. 6098, Ordinance No. 5683 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), known as the "Ordinance of Additional Positions," by adding a new subdivision to Section 18 thereof, to be designated (f).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), known as the "Ordinance of Additional Positions," is hereby amended by adding a new subdivision to Section 18 thereof to be designated (f), to read as follows:

(f) Two deputy clerks, each at a salary of \$1,500 a year.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect as of September 1, 1922.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Amending Building Law.

Bill No. 6096, Ordinance No. 5684 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as the "Building Law of the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section thereto, to be numbered 119a, relating to the construction of public market buildings.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as the "Building Law," is hereby amended by adding a new section thereto, and numbered 119a, as follows:

Section 119a. The term "Public Market Building" shall be taken to mean a building in which foodstuffs and food products are sold.

One-story public market buildings of class A or B construction may have the roof covered with T and G planking of a minimum thickness of two and three-quarter ( $2\frac{3}{4}$ ) inches.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 6097, Ordinance No. 5685 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 4, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements respectively may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The method of assessment for said work and improvement determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No. 74046 (Second Series), is hereby confirmed.

The improvement of *Collingwood street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets; Twenty-first street between Castro street and the westerly line of Diamond street; Twenty-second street between Castro street and the westerly line of Diamond street, including the crossings of Diamond and Twenty-first streets, Diamond and Twenty-second streets, Collingwood and Twenty-first streets, and the intersection of Collingwood and Twenty-second streets*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of pipe sewers and appurtenances, of side sewers with traps, risers and appurtenances, of culverts, of brick manholes, of brick catchbasins, of brick storm water inlets, and of lampholes with their respective appurtenances; by the construction of reinforced concrete retaining and step support walls, stairways, landings, copings, drains, gutters, curb armor and armored offsets; by the construction of pipe railing, including furnishing and setting of all fittings; by the construction of concrete armored coping; by the reconstruction of brick catchbasins, including the furnishing and setting of cast iron frames, gratings and traps.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### REPORT OF FINANCE COMMITTEE.

Demands on the Treasury amounting to \$154,194.57, were presented and approved by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were passed for printing:

#### Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McLeran: Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

#### *Tearing-Up Streets Fund.*

(1) T. M. Gallagher, covering over side sewer trenches (claim dated Aug. 23, 1922), \$988.40.

(2) Sewer repair account, Dept. Public Works, truck usage, side sewer construction (claim dated Aug. 23, 1922), \$1,098.

#### *Library Fund.*

(3) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$775.22.

(4) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$1,688.01.

(5) Montgomery Bros., one air conditioner, Public Library (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$1,267.

(6) Foster & Futernick Co., binding books (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$606.10.

(7) Shell Co. of California, fuel oil, Public Library (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$510.

(8) American Building Maintenance Co., service during July, Public Library (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$465.

#### *Special School Tax.*

(9) Heywood Bros. & Wakefield Co., chairs to Parkside School (claim dated Aug. 15, 1922), \$1,020.

(10) C. F. Weber & Co., desks to Guadalupe School (claim dated Aug. 15, 1922), \$1,112.70.

(11) C. F. Weber & Co., desks to Commodore Sloat School (claim dated Aug. 15, 1922), \$1,133.40.

(12) John Reid, Jr., eighth payment,

architectural service, Parkside School (claim dated Aug. 23, 1922), \$845.97.

(13) S. M. Radelfinger, first and final payment, electric work in temporary buildings for Mission High and Humboldt Evening high schools (claim dated Aug. 23, 1922), \$1,345.

(14) P. F. Reilly, third payment, general construction of temporary buildings for Mission High and Humboldt High schools (claim dated Aug. 23, 1922), \$16,615.50.

#### *Park Fund.*

(15) Owen I. McHugh, clay for parks (claim dated Aug. 25, 1922), \$1,238.92.

(16) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., lighting and power service for parks (claim dated Aug. 25, 1922), \$955.69.

(17) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished parks (claim dated Aug. 25, 1922), \$4,384.11.

#### *Water Bonds, 1910.*

(18) Ingersoll-Rand Co., machine parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 22, 1922), \$1,181.57.

(19) Keystone Lubricating Co., machine grease (claim dated Aug. 22, 1922), \$933.13.

(20) Hercules Powder Co., powder, etc. (claim dated Aug. 22, 1922), \$16,665.02.

(21) Sullivan Machinery Co., machine parts (claim dated Aug. 22, 1922), \$586.31.

(22) Foppiano, Solari & Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Aug. 22, 1922), \$1,284.98.

(23) Chas. R. McCormick Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Aug. 22, 1922), \$1,724.48.

(24) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Aug. 22, 1922), \$1,477.85.

(25) Coast Supply Co., black pipe (claim dated Aug. 22, 1922), \$801.30.

(26) Sussman, Wormser & Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Aug. 22, 1922), \$1,058.45.

(27) Sperry Flour Co., flour (claim dated Aug. 22, 1922), \$1,189.50.

(28) A. Levy & J. Zentner Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Aug. 22, 1922), \$947.64.

(29) Haas Brothers, foodstuffs (claim dated Aug. 22, 1922), \$1,239.18.

(30) Fred L. Hilmer Co., eggs (claim dated Aug. 22, 1922), \$1,211.31.

(31) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Aug. 22, 1922), \$1,205.21.

(32) Wm. Cluff Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Aug. 23, 1922), \$1,816.06.

(33) Sherry Bros., Inc., foodstuffs (claim dated Aug. 23, 1922), \$1,417.87.

(34) Continental Petroleum Refining Co., fuel oil (claim dated Aug. 23, 1922), \$519.73.

(35) George H. Tay Co., black pipe (claim dated Aug. 23, 1922), \$527.25.

(36) Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson,

Inc., black pipe (claim dated Aug. 23, 1922), \$535.67.

(37) Haas Brothers, foodstuffs (claim dated Aug. 23, 1922), \$976.48.

(38) Edward W. Brown Co., foodstuffs, etc. (claim dated Aug. 23, 1922), \$1,100.63.

(39) Baumgarten Bros., meats (claim dated Aug. 23, 1922), \$14,669.81.

(40) Associated Oil Co., fuel oil, etc. (claim dated Aug. 23, 1922), \$1,754.31.

(41) Dodge, Sweeney & Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Aug. 23, 1922), \$833.82.

(42) The Giant Powder Co. Con., giant gelatin, etc. (claim dated Aug. 23, 1922), \$8,685.11.

(43) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., hardware (claim dated Aug. 23, 1922), \$896.07.

(44) Alexander-Balart Co., coffee (claim dated Aug. 23, 1922), \$661.50.

(45) California Steam & Plumbing Supply Co., black pipe (claim dated Aug. 24, 1922), \$842.16.

(46) C. A. De Camp & Co., two refrigerating machines (claim dated Aug. 24, 1922), \$896.

(47) Firestone Tire & Rubber Co., tubes and tires (claim dated Aug. 24, 1922), \$1,420.60.

(48) Engineering Products Co., rail parts (claim dated Aug. 24, 1922), \$786.

(49) Hercules Powder Co., powder (claim dated Aug. 24, 1922), \$4,625.

(50) Walter S. Leland, two ice machine pumps, etc. (claim dated Aug. 24, 1922), \$546.53.

*School Bonds, 1918.*

(51) J. M. Lettich, second payment, heating and ventilating. Crocker Amazon School (claim dated Aug. 23, 1922), \$1,840.59.

*General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(52) Neal, Stratford & Kerr, printing indexes, Dept. of Elections (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$11,286.86.

*General Fund, 1922-1923.*

(53) Felix Gross Co., hauling and erecting election booths (claim dated Aug. 24, 1922), \$4,000.

(54) Neal, Stratford & Kerr, printing election indexes (claim dated Aug. 24, 1922), \$8,464.94.

(55) Frederick H. Meyer, first payment, architectural service, Fire Dept. Engine House No. 39 (claim dated Aug. 23, 1922), \$1,462.98.

(56) Shell Co., fuel oil, Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$1,686.

(57) Sperry Flour Co., flour, etc., Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 21, 1922), \$557.65.

(58) Johnson & Johnson, supplies, S. F. Hospital (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$645.10.

(59) Makins Produce Co., eggs, S. F. Hospital (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$1,676.20.

(60) South San Francisco Packing

& Provision Co., meats, S. F. Hospital (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$682.78.

(61) Miller & Lux, meats, S. F. Hospital (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$1,089.23.

(62) Sherry Bros., Inc., butter, etc., S. F. Hospital (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$1,238.40.

(63) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, S. F. Hospital (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$2,900.12.

(64) C. Nauman & Co., foodstuffs, S. F. Hospital (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$1,374.93.

(65) Oliva Bros., foodstuffs, S. F. Hospital (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$619.21.

(66) Shell Oil Co., fuel oil, S. F. Hospital (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$2,710.50.

(67) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., July street lighting (claim dated Aug. 28, 1922), \$46,666.66.

*Tax Levy, 1921-1922.*

The following bill laid over from a previous meeting was taken up:

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), providing revenue and levying taxes for City and County purposes for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1923.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Under and pursuant to the provisions of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and of the laws of the State of California, and in conformity therewith, a tax is hereby levied for City and County purposes, including special City and County school building purposes, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1923, on all the property, real and personal, in the City and County of San Francisco, except such property as is by law exempt from taxation, in the sum of three and forty-seven hundredths (3.47) dollars on each one hundred dollars' valuation of said taxable property, as the same appears upon the assessment roll of said City and County for said fiscal year, which said sum of three and forty-seven hundredths (3.47) dollars on each one hundred dollars' valuation as aforesaid is hereby apportioned to the funds and accounts and for the purposes designated as follows:

For the General Fund, to meet all expenses of the City and County not otherwise herein provided for, a rate of.....\$0.8844

For the General Fund, to meet the cost of the construction and repairs to streets, sewers and buildings for the Detention Home and for the Police, Fire, Health and School Departments, other than the special school building tax

hereinafter provided for, the rate of .....	.3026	Code of the State, the rate of .....	.0082
For the General Fund, to meet the cost of elections and to pay demands, salaries, expenses or other obligations imposed upon the City and County by legislative or constitutional enactment of the State of California or of the United States, other than the minimum City and County school taxes hereinafter provided for; expenses or other obligations imposed upon the City and County by direct vote of the people of the City and County of San Francisco, the rate of.....	.6602	For Retirement System for City Employees .....	.0404
For the City and County Elementary School Fund, the minimum City and County school tax for the elementary school to equal the estimated minimum for such purpose furnished to the Board of Supervisors by the Superintendent of Schools, in accordance with the provisions of Section 1817 of the Political Code or the State, the rate of .....	.2184	For Maintenance of the Blind, Statutes 1919, Chap. 144....	.0025
For the City and County High School Fund, the minimum City and County high school tax to equal the estimated minimum for such purposes furnished to the Board of Supervisors by the Superintendent of Schools in accordance with the provisions of Section 1761 of the Political Code of the State .....	.0795	For the respective funds to redeem and pay the interest on bonds sold prior to November 8, 1910, as follows:	
For a special school tax for the School Building Fund, the rate of .....	.1500	Street Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904 ..	.00574
For the Common School Fund, in addition to the minimum amounts for elementary schools and high schools and the special school tax for the School Building Fund hereinbefore provided, the rate of .....	.2414	Children's Playground Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904 .....	.00426
For the Library Fund, to meet the cost of maintaining Public Libraries and the purchase of books therefor, the rate of .....	.0373	Golden Gate Park and Presidio Extension Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904 .....	.00190
For the Park Fund, to pay for the maintenance of parks, squares and public grounds, rate of .....	.1000	Mission Park Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904 .....	.00168
For the Firemen's Relief and Pension Fund, the rate of..	.0342	Fire Protection Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 .....	.03628
For special tax levied for publicity and advertising pursuant to Subdivision 33 of Section 4041 of the Political		Sewer Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908..	.02203
		School Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue of 1908 .....	.02722
		Hospital Bond Redemption and Interest fund, issue 1908..	.01095
		Hall of Justice Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 .....	.00624
		Garbage Disposal Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 .....	.00770
		For the respective funds to redeem and pay the interest on bonds sold since November 8, 1910, as follows:	
		Street Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904 ..	.00082
		School Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1918..	.05433
		Library Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904 ..	.01109
		Fire Protection Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 .....	.01083
		Sewer Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 ..	.01487
		School Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908..	.02470
		Hospital Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908..	.01080
		Hall of Justice Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 .....	.00403
		Polytechnic High School Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1910 .....	.00675
		Water Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1910 ..	.26975
		City Hall Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1912 .....	.09477
		Exposition Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1912 .....	.06046
		Hospital-Jail Completion Bond	

Redemption and Interest  
Fund, issue 1913..... .02370

Total .....\$3.47  
August 14, 1922—*Over one week.*  
August 21, 1922—*Over one week.*

**Motion.**

*Supervisor Welch* moved that consideration of bill fixing tax rate be postponed until matter of proposed peninsula highway is reported up by joint committee.

Discussion on postponement: Supervisors McLeran, Welch, Bath, Hynes, McSheehy.

Communications were read from the Bureau of Governmental Research, Taxpayers Association, Board of Administration Retirement System, from the Treasurer in reply to a resolution on this subject offered by Supervisor McSheehy; also communication from the Auditor showing receipts from sources other than taxation.

**Motion to Postpone Defeated.**

Whereupon, the question being taken on Supervisor Welch's motion to postpone, same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Welch—5.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—13.

**Motion.**

Thereupon, *Supervisor McLeran* moved that the tax rate as recommended by the Finance Committee be passed for printing.

**Amendment.**

*Supervisor Hynes* moved to amend bill providing revenue and levying taxes for City and County purposes for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1922, as follows:

For the General Fund, amend same to read \$0.8800.

For the General Fund, amend same to read \$0.3000.

For the General Fund, amend same to read \$0.6600.

For the Library Fund, amend same to read \$0.0364.

For the Park Fund, amend same to read \$0.0960.

For Retirement System, amend same to read \$0.0525.

Whereupon, a separate roll call being asked on each item, the roll was called with the following result:

For General Fund, etc., from \$0.8844 to \$0.8800.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Hynes, McSheehy, Powers, Schmitz—4.

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran,

Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—14.

**Explanation of Vote.**

*Supervisor Welch:* I am voting "no", Mr. Chairman, on the ground that I made an earnest effort to have the hearing of the tax rate postponed until a time when the citizens of San Francisco could be heard on the question of providing in the tax rate a sum sufficient to see that the work of the peninsula highway and bridge, which may necessitate a revision of the figures we are now voting on, could be provided for.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Hynes, McSheehy, Powers, Schmitz—4.

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—14.

**Explanation of Vote.**

*Supervisor Welch:* I am voting "no", Mr. Chairman, on the ground that I made an earnest effort to have the hearing of the tax rate postponed until a time when the citizens of San Francisco could be heard on the question of providing in the tax rate a sum sufficient to see that the work of the peninsula highway and bridge, which may necessitate a revision of the figures we are now voting on, could be provided for.

For General Fund, etc., from \$0.6602 to \$0.6600.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Hynes, McSheehy, Powers, Schmitz—4.

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—14.

**Explanation of Vote.**

*Supervisor Welch:* I am voting "no", Mr. Chairman, on the ground that I made an earnest effort to have the hearing of the tax rate postponed until a time when the citizens of San Francisco could be heard on the question of providing in the tax rate a sum sufficient to see that the work of the peninsula highway and bridge, which may necessitate a revision of the figures we are now voting on, could be provided for.

For Library Fund, etc., from \$0.0373 to \$0.0364.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Hynes, McSheehy, Powers, Schmitz—4.

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—14.

**Explanation of Vote.**

*Supervisor Welch:* I am voting

"no", Mr. Chairman, on the ground that I made an earnest effort to have the hearing of the tax rate postponed until a time when the citizens of San Francisco could be heard on the question of providing in the tax rate a sum sufficient to see that the work of the peninsula highway and bridge, which may necessitate a revision of the figures we are now voting on, could be provided for.

For the Park Fund, etc., from \$0.10 to \$0.096.

*Supervisor McSheehy* moved as an amendment to the amendment that the item be reduced to \$0.085.

Amendment accepted by Supervisor Hynes.

Whereupon, the question being taken on the amendment as amended, the same was defeated by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Hynes, McSheehy, Powers—3.

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

#### Explanation of Vote.

*Supervisor Welch*: I am voting "no", Mr. Chairman, on the ground that I made an earnest effort to have the hearing of the tax rate postponed until a time when the citizens of San Francisco could be heard on the question of providing in the tax rate a sum sufficient to see that the work of the peninsula highway and bridge, which may necessitate a revision of the figures we are now voting on, could be provided for.

For Retirement System, etc., increase from \$0.0404 to \$0.0525.

Motion lost by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Hynes, McSheehy, Powers—3.

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

#### Explanation of Vote.

*Supervisor Welch*: I am voting "no", Mr. Chairman, on the ground that I made an earnest effort to have the hearing of the tax rate postponed until a time when the citizens of San Francisco could be heard on the question of providing in the tax rate a sum sufficient to see that the work of the peninsula highway and bridge, which may necessitate a revision of the figures we are now voting on, could be provided for.

*Supervisor Schmitz* explained his vote by saying amendment would menace the \$3.47 rate. Would vote to decrease.

#### Motion.

*Supervisor McSheehy* moved that the

tax rate be reduced 7½ cents on the alleged ground that revenues from "other sources" had been underestimated \$450,000.

#### Point of Order.

*Supervisor McLeran* raised point of order that total cannot be changed.

*Supervisor Powers* moved to take \$15,000 out of General Fund for lighting in new districts.

Motion ruled out of order.

Whereupon, the roll being called on Supervisor McSheehy's motion, same was defeated by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmitz—2.

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

#### Explanation of Vote.

*Supervisor Schmitz* explained his vote "aye" on the same grounds submitted by him at budget time when he endeavored to reduce it \$450,000.

*Supervisor Hynes*: I vote "no" because I do not believe that the City can stand a 7½-cent reduction.

#### Refused Passage to Print.

Whereupon, the tax levy was refused passage to print by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—13.

Noes—Supervisors Hynes, McSheehy, Powers, Schmitz, Welch—5.

Reception to 363rd Infantry Regiment at Auditorium.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 20216 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City be granted permission to occupy Larkin Hall, Auditorium, September 23, 1922, 6 p. m. to 12 p. m., for the purpose of tendering a reception to the 363rd Infantry Regiment.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Chamber of Commerce Publicity Campaign at Auditorium.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 20217 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Chamber of Commerce be granted permission to occupy Polk Hall, Auditorium, September 12, 1922, and Larkin Hall, Auditorium, September 20, 1922, 6 p. m. to 12 p. m. of each date, for the purpose of exhibiting moving pictures in the community co-operation and publicity

campaign, which the public is invited to attend.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Amendment to Additional Positions Ordinance.

Supervisor Schmitz presented:

Bill No. 6099, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), entitled "Ordinance of Additional Positions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

There is hereby added to Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), known as "Ordinance of Additional Positions," two new sections, to be known as Sections 28A and 28B, to read as follows:

Section 28A. The maximum entrance salary for appointees to positions classified by the Civil Service Commission as General Clerks is hereby fixed at \$1,500 per annum, and such entrance salary shall not be increased within six months after appointment. No General Clerk shall be eligible for salary advancement from Grade 3 to Grade 4 of Civil Service Rule 38 unless at least one year's service under permanent appointment has been had in the class.

Section 28B. In the event of a vacancy occurring in any position named in this ordinance and subject to Article XIII of the Charter, the appointing power may, with the consent of the Civil Service Commission, make an appointment to such vacancy at a salary less than that fixed for such position in this ordinance. This section is intended to obviate the necessity of paying to a new and inexperienced employee the same salary that previously had been paid to an old and experienced employee in the same position.

August 25, 1922—Civil Service Committee recommends change in item "two years" to "one year's."

Establishing Set Back Lines, Tenth Avenue Between Anza and Balboa Streets.

On motion of Supervisor McLeran:

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set back lines along Tenth Avenue between Anza street and Balboa street.

It is hereby recited that on the 7th day of August, 1922, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 1, to establish set back lines along both sides of Tenth Avenue between Anza street and Balboa street, and fixed the 28th day of August, 1922, at 2 o'clock p. m., at the Chambers of

the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said street in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5635 (New Series), that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that no objections were made except those that were duly and properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series) set back lines are hereby established along both sides of Tenth Avenue between the southerly line of Anza street and the northerly line of Balboa street, which said lines shall be twelve feet distant and parallel to the line of Tenth Avenue, as shown on the map filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structure shall be erected in the area between said set back lines and the street line except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series) and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Amendment to Retirement System Ordinance.

Committee on Civil Service. Standardization of Salaries and Retirement System refers to Board without recommendation:

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

A new paragraph, to be designated (11), is hereby added to Subdivision (b) of Section 4 of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, said paragraph to read as follows:

(11) Persons in the employ of the City at the time of the taking effect of this amendment who have not at that time affirmatively exercised the option of becoming members of the Retirement System, as provided in paragraph (1), subdivision (a) of this section, and whose compensation equals or exceeds five hundred (500) dollars per month, and such deputy or deputies who are authorized to sign the name of his or their principal upon demands or warrants allowed and directly authorizing the Treasurer to pay moneys out of the City and County Treasury, provided that all such employees waive all rights they may be otherwise entitled to under the City Employees' Retirement System.

This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

## Opinion of City Attorney.

The following was read by the Clerk:

Aug. 28th, 1922.

Civil Service, Standardization of Salaries and Retirement Committee, Board of Supervisors, San Francisco. Gentlemen:

I am in receipt of your communication of Aug. 25th requesting me to advise you not later than this date upon the validity of a proposed amendment to Section 4 of the Retirement System Ordinance by which a deputy or deputies who are authorized to sign the name of his or their principals upon demands or warrants allowed and directly authorizing the Treasurer to pay moneys out of the City and County Treasury are exempted from the system.

Section 3 of Article XVII of the Charter pertaining to the creation of the retirement system in part provides:

"The system shall be applied to such departments, sections or classes of employees as the Supervisors shall determine."

In conformity to this section, the ordinance now provides for the non-application of the retirement system to certain enumerated employees as set out in Section 4 of the ordinance.

I see no reason why, if the Supervisors so determine, that deputies of the class defined by the proposed amendment may not legally be exempted from the system. The amendment, however, to the ordinance should be an amendment to Section 4 of the Retirement System Ordinance and the amendment should recite the entire terms of Section 4 as amended. Likewise amendment should be by ordinance of the Board.

If this is done, I am of the opinion that the proposed change will be legal.

Respectfully,

GEORGE LULL,

City Attorney.

## Substitute Ordinance.

*Supervisor Hayden* substituted this ordinance, prepared by the City Attorney, for No. 15 on the Calendar:

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 4 of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series), entitled "Establishing a retirement system for employees of the City and County of San Francisco providing for the payment of retirement allowances to aged and disabled employees and for the payment of death benefits; prescribing the conditions under which said allowances and benefits shall be paid; fixing rates of contribution and the amounts of retirement allowances and death benefits, and providing for the administration of said retirement system in

accordance with Article XVII of the Charter."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 4 of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series), entitled "Establishing a retirement system for employees of the City and County of San Francisco providing for the payment of retirement allowances to aged and disabled employees and for the payment of death benefits; prescribing the conditions under which said allowances and benefits shall be paid; fixing rates of contribution and the amounts of retirement allowances and death benefits, and providing for the administration of said retirement system in accordance with Article XVII of the Charter," is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 4. (a) With the exception of those employees who are excluded from membership as provided in Subdivision (b) of this section, all employees shall become members of the Retirement System as follows:

(1) Every employee in city-service on April first, Nineteen Hundred and Twenty-two, the date upon which the Retirement System becomes effective, shall have the option of becoming a member of the Retirement System on that date or at any time between that date and July first, Nineteen Hundred and Twenty-two, but every such employee in city-service on July first, Nineteen Hundred and Twenty-two, who has not exercised the option of becoming a member, shall become a member of the Retirement System on July first, Nineteen Hundred and Twenty-two.

(2) Every employee who shall re-enter city-service after April first, Nineteen Hundred and Twenty-two, and who, prior to such re-entry, shall have completed six months of continuous service, shall become a member of the Retirement System upon such re-entry.

(3) Every other employee who shall enter city-service after April first, Nineteen Hundred and Twenty-two, shall become a member of the Retirement System upon the completion of six months of continuous service.

(b) The following employees shall not become members of the Retirement System:

(1) Elective officers and officers appointed by the Mayor.

(2) Employees of the Police Department who are entitled to the benefits provided in Chapter X, Article VIII of the Charter.

(3) Employees of the Fire Department who are entitled to the benefits provided in Chapter VII, Article IX of the Charter.

(4) Public school teachers, except teachers in the night schools who occupy and devote full time during the day to regular positions in other offices or departments of the City. Such persons shall not be considered as public school teachers for the purposes of this ordinance.

(5) Employees certified from Civil Service lists for temporary employment.

(6) Inmates of city institutions who are allowed compensation for such service as they are able to perform.

(7) Persons in city institutions principally for the purpose of training, but who receive compensation.

(8) Persons employed under contract for a definite period and for the performance of specific duties requiring professional or high technical skill.

(9) Employees serving on a part-time basis.

(10) Employees engaged outside the City and County of San Francisco on the Hetch Hetchy project, provided that if any employee so excluded shall later become a member of the Retirement System through any change in status occasioned by transfer or assignment to other employment or by amendment to this ordinance, he shall receive credit for service with the City ordered prior to the date he enters the Retirement System, including service as a nonemployee of the Hetch Hetchy project, in the same manner as credit for prior service is granted to employees who become members on April first, nineteen Hundred and Twenty-two.

(11) Persons in the employ of the City at the time of the taking effect of this amendment who have not at that time affirmatively exercised the option of becoming members of the Retirement System, as provided in paragraph (1), Subdivision (a) of this section, and whose compensation equals or exceeds five hundred (\$500) dollars per month, and such deputy or deputies who are authorized to sign the name of his or their principal upon demands or warrants allowed and directly authorizing the Treasurer to pay moneys out of the City and County Treasury, provided that all such employees waive all rights they may be otherwise entitled to under the City employees' Retirement System.

(c) It shall be the duty of the head of each office or department to give immediate notice in writing to the Board of Administration of the change in status of any member in his office or department resulting from transfer, promotion, leave of absence, resignation, reinstatement, dismissal or death. The head of each office or department shall furnish such other information concerning any member as the Board may require.

(d) Each member shall be subject to all the provisions of this ordinance and to all the rules and regulations adopted by the Board of Administration. Should the city-service of any member, in any period of ten consecutive years, amount to less than five years or should he withdraw more than one quarter of the accumulated normal contributions, or should he die or be retired he shall thereupon cease to be a member.

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McLeran, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—11.

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hynes, McGregor, Morgan, Robb, Wetmore—7.

#### Arguments in Favor of Retaining the Deputy Auditor.

*Supervisor Hayden* presented the following, which was ordered spread in the Journal:

The proposed ordinance, having to do with a particular class of the City employees, to-wit: "... such deputy or deputies authorized to sign the name of the principal upon demands or warrants allowed and directly authorizing the Treasurer to pay moneys out of the City and County Treasury," it affects one of the most important of the deputized positions of the municipality.

The duties of this position require that the holder shall sign salary and wage demands, which total more than twelve thousand a month, and due to his long years of service the present Deputy Auditor knows each employee personally, thus identifying them. He keeps records of and protects the Auditor in all matters of judgments and protests, and established him as joint custodian with the Treasurer of the cash in the Treasury vaults, totaling millions of dollars.

In matters of protest, if an item is overlooked and money paid out to the individual against whom protest is filed, the Auditor is held responsible for the full amount so paid and his bondsmen can be so held.

Under the old system fully sixty thousand dollars a month in salary warrants found their way into the hands of the money brokers, and the present Auditor applied himself to driving these usurious money lenders out of business. Vacation warrants are now issued by the Chief Deputy Auditor, who must not only know each individual applicant, but assures himself that the issuance of the warrant is proper at the time, and to keep accurate record on all of these to the end that the amounts shall be deducted at the close of the month from the full amount of warrant issued to the employee. Failure to carry on this serv-

ice must result in claims against the Auditor or his bondsmen.

The present Deputy Auditor has powers of attorney from several hundred firms and individuals, doing business outside of the City and County, authorizing him to receipt for warrants drawn in their favor. This constitutes, in itself, a position of trust which cannot be relegated to any other employee.

The present Deputy Auditor is well fitted and is physically able to continue the work he has been doing for the past fourteen years.

As his principal is under heavy bonds for the proper carrying on of the duties of Chief Financial Agent under the Charter of the City and County, the important position under discussion is one in which he should be given the fullest latitude of selection, in order that not only his own but the City's funds shall not be jeopardized.

Any new appointee to this position must of necessity be under almost constant instruction for months before the Auditor can feel that he is in any measure secure, and in view of the fact that exceptions have already been made in the matter of pensionaires, and that in this case the municipality is entirely released from all pension and disability requirements, there would appear to be no valid reason for refusal to vote in its support.

#### Majority Report.

San Francisco, August 28, 1922.  
To the Board of Supervisors.  
Gentlemen:

The majority of your Committee on Civil Service, Standardization of Salaries and Retirement System, to which was referred the proposed bill and ordinance amending the Retirement Law, respectfully refers the matter to your Honorable Board, without recommendation.

Respectfully submitted,

E. E. SCHMITZ.

JNO. G. WETMORE.

W. S. SCOTT.

#### Minority Report.

Supervisor Hynes presented:

San Francisco, August 28, 1922.  
To the Board of Supervisors.  
Gentlemen:

Regarding the reference to your Honorable Board, without recommendation, of the proposed bill and ordinance amending the Retirement Law, by the majority of your Committee on Civil Service, Standardization of Salaries and Retirement System;

I, the undersigned, as a member of said Committee, present this as a minority report, disagreeing therewith, for the reason that I believe the passage of such ordinance would be in conflict with the Charter, and would be

subversive of one of the fundamental principles of the Retirement Law.

Respectfully,

JNO. D. HYNES,  
Supervisor.

(Supervisor Wetmore declared that he concurred in Supervisor Hynes' minority report.)

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. Holman, representing the Board of Administration, Retirement System, was granted the privilege of the floor and opposed the amendment on the ground that it was not fair to legislate for one person—all should be equally treated.

#### Amendment Lost.

Whereupon, the question being taken on the proposed substitute amendment, the same was defeated by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McEran, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—11.

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hynes, McGregor, Morgan, Robb, Wetmore—7.

#### Intention to Establish Set-Back Lines.

Supervisor McGregor presented:

Resolution No. 20218 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendation, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore,

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the northerly side of Pacific avenue between Buchanan street and Laguna street, which said set-back lines shall be twelve feet distant from and parallel with the line of Pacific avenue; along the westerly side of Thirty-third avenue between Lincoln way and Irving street, and on the easterly line of Thirty-third avenue, commencing at a point ninety feet southerly from the southerly line of Lincoln way, and running thence southerly to the northerly line of Irving street; which said lines shall be twelve feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-third avenue; along the northerly side of Francisco street, commencing one hundred feet westerly from the westerly line of Francisco street, and running thence westerly to the easterly line of Gough street, which said line shall be twelve feet distant from and parallel with the line of Francisco street; as shown on the maps filed in the office of the Clerk of

the Board of Supervisors, and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 18th day of September, 1922, at the hour of 2 o'clock p. m., at the Chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objection to the establishment of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said proposed set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of attention.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

*Attorney F. English*, representing Mrs. Johns, property owner, was granted the privilege of the floor. He proposed the adoption of the foregoing resolution and asked that it be amended as to the block between Buchanan and Laguna streets and then laid over for further discussion.

*John E. Behan*, representing certain property owners, favored the proposed resolution.

#### Adopted.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Scott, the foregoing resolution was adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Garage Permit.

The following resolution, laid over from last meeting, was taken up:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Frank E. Lang and Henry C. Witt to maintain a public garage on the west side of Ninth avenue, 250 feet south of Irving street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit becomes null and void.

Protesting property owners were heard in opposition to the granting of the foregoing permit, on the ground that it would depreciate the value of their property.

#### Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was passed for printing by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

No—Supervisor Bath—1.

Absent—Supervisors McLeran, Schmitz—2.

#### Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was passed for printing:

#### Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy: Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

#### Hand Laundry.

Jean Labarere, at 1977 Union street.

#### Automobile Supply Station.

Lubricating Gasoline Oil Company, at 806-810 Mission street; also to store 300 gallons of gasoline. The provisions of Ordinance No. 2659 (New Series) and all regulations imposed by the Fire Marshal must be strictly complied with.

#### Oil Storage Tank.

(1500 gallons capacity.)

Hattie Peiser, at 1701 Broadway.

Sunset Cafeteria Co., at 25 Mason street.

George H. Sandy, on south side of Twentieth street, 50 feet west of Dolores street.

Gus Lachman, on north side of Seventeenth street, 75 feet east of Mission street.

Guittard Chocolate Co., at 135 Main street.

#### Boiler.

Sunset Cafeteria Co., at 25 Mason street; 15 horsepower.

Guittard Chocolate Co., at 135 Main street; 25 horsepower.

California Supply Company, at 738 Folsom street; 15 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

#### Denial of Permits.

Supervisor Deasy presented:

Resolution No. 20219 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, the following petitions for permits are hereby denied:

American Cream Tartar Co., for three oil-storage tanks on the west side of Grant avenue north of North Point street.

Lubricating Gasoline Co., for automobile supply station on the west side of Mission street, about 100 feet south of Twenty-fifth street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Passed for Printing.

The following matters were passed for printing:

#### Regulating Sale of Gasoline.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Bill No. 6101, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Regulating the sale of gasoline and providing for the exhibition of disks indicating the name or trademark of the manufacturer of such gasoline.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to sell gasoline manufactured by any other person, firm or corporation from any tank, barrel, drum or other movable or stationary container unless there is securely fastened to each pump attached to such container, in such manner as to be plainly visible to any person purchasing such gasoline, a metal disk not less than twelve (12) inches in diameter bearing in letters not less than one inch in height the name and/or the trademark of the manufacturer of such gasoline.

Section 2. Any person, firm or corporation violating any provision of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine not exceeding three hundred (300) dollars or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than one month, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect thirty days after its passage.

#### Regulating Construction and Use of Automobile Supply Stations.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Bill No. 6102, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 5 of Ordinance No. 2659 (New Series), entitled "Regulating the construction and use of buildings to be used as automobile supply stations, and regulating and providing for the storage and use of gasoline in connection therewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 5 of Ordinance No. 2659 (New Series), the title to which is above recited, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 5. "Storage of Gasoline." Except as hereinafter provided in this ordinance, all gasoline shall be stored in underground tanks, not to exceed the following capacity and amounts: No gasoline or any other product of petroleum or hydro-carbon liquid that will flash or emit an inflammable vapor below the temperature of one hundred and ten (110) degrees Fahrenheit shall be allowed inside the building of an automobile supply station; provided, however, gasoline may be kept above ground in approved, portable filling-wheel tanks. No one approved portable, filling-wheel tank shall have a capacity of more than

fifty (50) gallons. Not more than two (2) approved portable filling-wheel tanks shall be allowed for any one automobile supply station.

All said approved portable filling-wheel tanks shall be mounted on all metal wheels with rubber tires, each to be equipped with an approved pump, fitted with hose attachment not to exceed eight (8) feet in length, fitted with a ground shut-off nozzle.

All portable filling-wheel tanks when not in use shall be kept near the entrance of the automobile supply station so that in case of fire they can be readily removed from the building.

No one (1) storage tank shall have a capacity greater than five hundred (500) gallons.

Not more than four (4) tanks of five hundred (500) gallons capacity each or an aggregate total amount of two thousand (2,000) gallons of gasoline shall be stored in connection with any one (1) automobile supply station.

Said storage tank or tanks shall be constructed and installed as herein-after provided.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### Regulating Sale of Lubricating Oil.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Bill No. 6103, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Regulating the sale of any oil represented as lubricating oil for the cylinders of internal combustion engines.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to sell, offer for sale or delivery, or to cause or permit to be sold, offered for sale or delivered in the City and County of San Francisco, any oil represented as lubricating oil for the cylinders of internal combustion engines unless there shall be firmly attached or painted at or near the point of outlet from which said oil represented as lubricating oil for the cylinders of internal combustion engines is drawn or poured out for sale or delivery, a sign or label consisting of the word or words in letters not less than three (3) inches in height, comprising the brand or trade name of said lubricating oil; provided that when said sign or label is attached to the faucet or valve of a tank-truck or tank-wagon, the letters shall be not less than 3/4-inch in height, and provided that if the above required sign or label is on a container having a capacity of fifteen (15) gallons or less, the letters shall be not less than 1/2-inch in height, and provided that if any of said lubricating oil shall have no brand or trade name, the above required sign or label shall consist of the words, in letters

not less than three (3) inches high, with the exception above provided, "Lubricating oil, no brand."

Section 2. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to display any sign, label or other designating mark which describes any petroleum oil or petroleum product not actually sold or offered for sale or delivery at the location at which the sign or other designating mark is displayed or to display any label upon any container, which label names or describes a petroleum product not actually contained therein, but offered for sale or sold as such.

Section 3. Any person, firm or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not more than five hundred (\$500) dollars or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 4. Each such person, firm or corporation shall be deemed guilty of a separate offense for every day during any portion of which any violation of any provision of this ordinance is committed, continued or permitted by such person, firm or corporation, and shall be punishable therefor as provided by this ordinance.

Section 5. This ordinance shall take effect thirty days after its passage.

**Mayor to Appoint Committee to Consider Advanced Methods of Voting and Counting Ballots.**

Supervisor Bath presented:

Resolution No. 20220 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the recent municipal election held in this city and county on the 8th day of November, 1921, revealed apparent errors and discrepancies in the counting of the ballots; and

Whereas, various complaints have been made to the election officers concerning the manner of counting the ballots; and

Whereas, there exists in the minds of some of our citizens the idea that our system of voting and counting of ballots might be improved upon by more advanced methods; be it hereby

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor appoint a committee of five to investigate and study the advanced methods of voting and counting of ballots in other cities of the United States, and furthermore to investigate and study the present use of the improved voting machine in use in many cities of the United States with a view to installing such voting machines and to use, sell or improve such voting ma-

chines which we now have in our possession, the said committee to work in conjunction and co-operate with the Registrar and the Election Commissioners of this city and county, in an effort to bring about any needed legislation and assistance for the installation of the most advanced and accurate system of electing officers of this city and county.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Award of Contract, Printing Assessor's Field Books.**

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 20221 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the California Printing Company is hereby awarded a contract for furnishing 150 Field Books for the Assessor, for the sum of \$635, in strict conformity with its bid submitted August 21, 1922.

Further Resolved, That all other bids thereon are hereby rejected.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Accepting Offer to Sell Land in San Mateo County, Required for Right of Way, Hetch Hetchy Viaduct.**

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 20222 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco from Mrs. Victor M. Munoz of the following described parcels of land situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, and required as a right-of-way for the aqueduct on the Hetch Hetchy water supply project, viz.:

Lots numbered 11 and 12 in Block numbered 9, as shown and designated on a map entitled "North Fair Oaks, situated in San Mateo County," which was filed in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County August 8, 1907, in Book 5 of Maps, at page 21, for the sum of two hundred and 00/100 dollars (\$200.00); and

Whereas, said purchase price is in accordance with the appraisement of said lands made by Joseph J. Phillips, right-of-way agent for the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer the offer of Mrs. Victor M. Munoz

to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the above mentioned parcels of land for the sum of \$200 be and the same is hereby accepted.

The Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply is hereby authorized and directed to prepare the necessary papers and deeds to close the transaction and to arrange for the payment of the purchase price to the seller upon receipt of deed conveying said property to the City and County of San Francisco clear of all encumbrances.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Endorsement of Application of California Transit Company for Stage Line.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20223 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the California Transit Company, operating stage lines extending from Oakland to interior points, is desirous of transferring its terminal to San Francisco, and has applied to the Railroad Commission for permission so to do; therefore

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco that the application aforesaid be indorsed and that the Railroad Commission be requested to favor the same and to grant to said California Transit Company the privilege of establishing a terminal in this city.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Extension of Time.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20224 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That E. J. Treacy is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after September 5, 1922, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Girard street between Olmstead and Mansell streets.

This first extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor has been delayed through inability to obtain fill. Some fill has been deposited, and the contractor has arranged with a grading concern to obtain material necessary for the fill.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Secretary of Navy Requested to Provide for Stationing of Pacific Fleet in San Francisco Bay.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20210 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Secretary of the Navy is to be in San Francisco during the present week and thereby afford an opportunity of presenting to him reasons why the fleet of the United States should be stationed in San Francisco Bay; and

Whereas, San Francisco Bay, by reason of its central location, commodious proportions and convenient to a base of supplies, offers advantages for a permanent station for the Pacific fleet; therefore

Resolved, That the Secretary of the Navy be requested to provide, by proper order and procedure, for the stationing of the said fleet in San Francisco Bay, and that a copy of this resolution be presented to him.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Mayor to Appoint Committee to Co-operate in Publicity Campaign With Chamber of Commerce.**

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 20226 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor James Rolph, Jr., be respectfully requested to appoint a committee of citizens to co-operate with the members of the Chamber of Commerce in a community co-operation and publicity campaign in Polk Hall, Exposition Auditorium, September 12, and Larkin Hall, September 20. The public is invited free.

*Adopted under suspension of the rules by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Masquerade Ball Permit.**

Supervisor Robb presented:

Resolution No. 20227 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted Moving Picture Operators Union to hold a masquerade ball at Exposition Auditorium, Saturday evening, September 16, 1922, upon payment of the usual license fee.

*Adopted under suspension of the rules by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.  
**Maintenance of Tubercular Hospitals Outside San Francisco.**

Supervisor McLeran presented:

*Charter Amendment No. ....*

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the said Charter of said City and County, by adding a new section thereto, to be known as Section 6, Article I, relating to the establishment and maintenance by the City and County of San Francisco of a tubercular hospital or hospitals outside of the territorial limits of the City and County of San Francisco, and the leasing or ownership of land for such purposes by said City and County, and authorizing the entering into of a contract between the City and County of San Francisco and other cities, counties, and cities and counties of the State, for the care of tubercular patients in a hospital or hospitals maintained by such cities, counties, or cities and counties, and authorizing the City and County of San Francisco to enter into a contract with other cities, counties, and cities and counties of the State for the joint operation, maintenance and ownership of a hospital or hospitals for the treatment of persons suffering from tuberculosis.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco here-

by submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the general election to be held therein on the 7th day of November, 1922, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding a new section thereto to be known as Section 6 of Article I, to read as follows:

Section 6. The City and County of San Francisco may purchase, receive, hold or lease real property outside the boundaries of the City and County for the purpose of establishing or maintaining a hospital or hospitals for the treatment and care of persons suffering from tuberculosis who would otherwise be patients at a City and County hospital situated within the limits of the City and County; and may erect, lease, equip and maintain a hospital or hospitals thereon for the treatment of such tubercular patients; and may also provide by contract for the maintenance and care of such tubercular patients in hospitals outside the limits of the City and County owned, operated or maintained by other cities, counties or cities and counties, or may by contract with other cities, counties or cities and counties provide for the joint ownership, operation, maintenance and control of a hospital or hospitals for the treatment of persons suffering from tuberculosis.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 8:25 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
 Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors October 9, 1922.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series), of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,  
 Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
 City and County of San Francisco.



Tuesday, September 5, 1922.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY

77 Sutter Street, S. F.

U

10E

In

resc

p. m.

Th

regu

Th

ing

St

Hay

McS

Robb

Weld

Qu

Hi

ent.

to th

Th

meet

were

60L

Tower

Le

Co

Calif

and

Com

to 2

Re

Sup

appo

inter

C

ture

of b

are

ture

your

car

R

S

d e

him

are

24

R

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 5, 1922, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Tuesday, September 5, 1922, 2 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Shannon was called to the chair.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of July 17 and July 24, 1922, were considered read and *approved*.

### ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Twenty-fourth Annual Convention, League of California Municipalities.

*Communication*—From League of California Municipalities, inviting attendance at Twenty-fourth Annual Convention to be held September 19 to 23, 1922, at Palo Alto.

*Read by Clerk* and on motion of Supervisor Hynes Mayor authorized to appoint a committee of Supervisors to attend convention.

San Francisco Moving Picture.

*Communication*—From Motion Picture Welfare Committee, Civic League of Improvement Clubs, inviting attendance at first of a series of moving pictures produced entirely within the boundaries of San Francisco, on Tuesday, September 5, 1922.

Read and *accepted*.

Writ of Mandate.

Supervisor Schmitz presented a writ of mandate which had been served on him for the payment of judgment awarding refund of taxes illegally collected.

*Referred to City Attorney.*

Recommending Purchase of Land for Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct Right of Way.

*Communication*—From Robt. M. Searls, Special Hetch Hetchy Counsel, transmitting resolution authorizing purchase of land in San Mateo County required for Hetch Hetchy aqueduct right of way, and recommending that same be adopted.

Pacific Fleet in San Francisco Bay.

*Communication*—From Edwin Denby, Secretary of the Navy, acknowledging receipt of resolution and saying that he will take up with Washington, D. C., the stationing of the Pacific Fleet in San Francisco Bay.

Read and ordered *filed*.

Charter Amendments, Compensation for Registration of Voters.

*Communication*—From Labor Council, transmitting resolution opposing proposed Charter Amendment which would pay office workers registering voters ten cents per name instead of a salary of \$125 per month as at present.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

Charter Amendment, Fire Pension Fund Commissioners.

*Communication*—From Fire Commissioners, submitting proposed amendment to Chapter VI of Article IX of the Charter to be known as Section 11 providing that judgment of Board of Fire Pension Fund Commissioners shall be final in matters referred to them unless there is a clear abuse of discretion.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*  
Relative to Proposed Charter Amendment, Public Meetings of Boards and Commissions.

September 5, 1922.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall.

Gentlemen:

It has been reported in the public press that the Judiciary Committee of your Board has recommended that a charter amendment be placed on the ballot requiring that all meetings, regular and special, of all Boards and Commissions be open to the public. It also has been stated that this amendment was proposed by persons who

claimed that the Civil Service Commission did not hold open meetings when charges were heard against Civil Service employees.

We therefore beg leave to inform you that all meetings of the Civil Service Commission, both regular and special, are always open to the public, excepting meetings at which examination questions are to be considered or adopted.

We also wish to say that in the matter of dismissals from the service, the Civil Service Commission acts only as an appeal board. The trials of all employees are conducted by the appointing power. For a number of years past, there have been but few dismissals, and not more than one or two appeals to the Civil Service Commission.

Recently, however, the Recorder suspended three or four his copyists for a period of ten days because of insubordination. These copyists appealed to the Civil Service Commission, and the Commission declined to interfere with the Recorder's exercise of the authority granted by the Charter. The representative of the copyists expressed dissatisfaction that the Recorder was not summoned to be subjected to such questions as they might desire to ask, a procedure that we considered extremely undesirable in an appeal body.

We therefore request that the amendment do not receive your approval.

Yours respectfully,

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION.

(Signed) JAMES J. MAHER, Secretary.

read and filed.

#### HEARING—2 P. M.

##### *Establishing Set-Back Lines.*

Hearing objections to establishment of set-back lines on Tenth avenue between Anza and Balboa streets.

The Clerk announced that if there were any persons present who wished to offer objections to the proposed set-back lines in Tenth avenue they would now be heard. *No response.*

##### Motion.

*Supervisor Mulvihill* moved that objections if there be any be overruled.

*Motion carried.*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Scott—1.

##### Action Deferred.

The following matter on motion of Supervisor Deasy was *laid over one week*:

Disapproved by Mayor.

*Supply Station.*

Resolution granting permission,

revocable at will of the Board Supervisors, to the Union Oil Company of California to maintain an automobile supply station at the southwest corner of Van Ness avenue and Fell street, and to store 1200 gallons of gasoline on the premises.

(This item appeared on Resolution No. 20154 (New Series), but was disapproved by the Mayor on August 14, 1922.)

#### REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.

The following committees, by their respective chairmen, presented reports on various matters referred, which reports were read and ordered *filed*:

Supplies Committee, by Supervisor Hilmer, chairman.

Fire Committee, by Supervisor Deasy, chairman.

Streets Committee, by Supervisor Mulvihill, chairman.

Judiciary Committee, by Supervisor Bath, chairman.

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

None.

#### REPORT OF FINANCE COMMITTEE.

Demands on the Treasury amounting to \$23,458.84 were presented and *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

##### Salary of Miss M. Moroney.

*Supervisor Powers* moved that the amount of Bills and Accounts be increased \$300, to take care of the salary demands of Miss M. Moroney for services during July and August.

##### Report of Finance Committee.

*Supervisor McLeran* presented the following and moved its adoption:

San Francisco, September 5, 1922.

Board of Supervisors.

Gentlemen:

The Finance Committee, to which was referred resolution appropriating \$300 for payment to M. Moroney for alleged services rendered to the Lighting Committee, reports adversely thereon for the following reasons:

1. To provide additional positions in the city employment there must first be a recommendation by the Mayor, and the position created by ordinance of the Board of Supervisors—neither of which was done.

2. Furthermore, while the Board of Supervisors adopted a motion that the Lighting Committee be authorized to retain the services of the clerk mentioned as long as deemed necessary the Lighting Committee has not so employed her.

3. A check of the lighting bills has always been done by the accountant

in the office and by the clerk of the Lighting Committee.

Respectfully,

RALPH McLERAN,

ANGELO J. ROSSI,

JOHN A. MCGREGOR,

Finance Committee.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented by Supervisor Powers and adopted under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Resolution No. 20246 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$300 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Lighting Streets," Budget Item No. 40, Fiscal Year 1922-1923, and authorized in payment to J. Moroney; being for services rendered to the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors during the months of July and August, 1922, at the rate of \$150 per month.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—14.  
Noes—Supervisors Colman, McGregor, McLeran, Rossi—4.

#### NEW BUSINESS.

##### Auditorium Rental.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 20228 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Sisters of the Holy Family be granted permission to occupy the Main Hall, Auditorium, November 18th, 1922, 8 a. m. to 6 p. m., for the purpose of holding a pageant; rental fee having been paid to the clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

Adopted under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Report of Finance Committee Resubmitting Tax Levy Ordinance.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, September 5, 1922.

Board of Supervisors:

The Finance Committee again presents to the Board and urges adoption thereof the ordinance fixing the tax rate at \$3.47 for the fiscal year.

The Committee after careful analysis of the whole problem again earnestly recommends that the Board adopt the proposed rate which is, in the opinion of the City Attorney, a legal rate, and in the opinion of the Committee and the Bureau of Governmental Research the proper rate to fund the budget which was adopted in June.

While there were, as there should have been, differences of opinion as to the numerous appropriations in-

cluded in the budget, nevertheless the Board adopted a budget and the tax rate here proposed is the correct one to finance that budget.

Many of the appropriations in the budget, especially the increased salaries for police and firemen and other things which added to the City's expenditures require fifteen votes.

During the sessions when the budget was under consideration Supervisor Schmitz and Supervisor McSheehy made objections but the Board in its judgment did not agree to them in the final passage of the budget. Therefore it would seem that the opinion of the Board, with due respect to Supervisors Schmitz and McSheehy's criticisms, should prevail and the rate necessary to fund the budget be adopted.

Supervisor Hynes urged a larger appropriation to fund the Retirement System for City Employees and the Finance Committee, after the budget was adopted, doubled the amount originally estimated to carry the system for the year. It may be that a slight deficit may occur at the end of the year. If it does the Finance Committee, with the co-operation of the Board, will and can easily make up such deficit without at this time increasing the tax rate or without increasing the rate for this purpose for the following year. At best the probable expenditure is an estimate and there will be a very slight, if any, deficit. The Retirement Board and the Finance Committee feel satisfied that the System will operate safely on the amount provided in the proposed tax rate.

Supervisor Welch at budget time suggested that he might propose a special tax of 5 cents to provide funds toward the construction of a highway down the Peninsula. In the judgment of the Committee, after conferences with other members of the Board, it seems that provision for this much-desired and necessary improvement can be made and will be made without special provision in the tax rate at this time.

Supervisor Powers made general objections to the budget and to the tax rate at the last discussion on this subject and requested a larger appropriation for lighting. The Board accepted the judgment of the Committee that sufficient funds were supplied for lighting for the current year. In the matter of additional appropriations or a different allotment of appropriations for street work which Supervisor Powers suggested, while the Committee feels that larger appropriations could be properly expended, yet it would not be wise to increase the rate at this time.

The Committee again urges the Board to adopt the rate so that the various departments will function on the appropriations made in the budget last June.

Respectfully submitted,  
RALPH McLERAN,  
ANGELO J. ROSSI,  
JOHN A. MCGREGOR,  
Finance Committee.

#### Tax Levy, 1922-1923.

Thereupon, the following bill was taken up:

Bill No. 6104, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Providing revenue and levying taxes for City and County purposes for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1923.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Under and pursuant to the provisions of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and of the laws of the State of California, and in conformity therewith, a tax is hereby levied for City and County purposes, including special City and County school building purposes, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1923, on all the property, real and personal, in the City and County of San Francisco, except such property as is by law exempt from taxation, in the sum of three and forty-seven hundredths (3.47) dollars on each one hundred dollars' valuation of said taxable property, as the same appears upon the assessment roll of said City and County for said fiscal year, which said sum of three and forty-seven hundredths (3.47) dollars on each one hundred dollars' valuation as aforesaid is hereby apportioned to the funds and accounts and for the purposes designated, as follows:

For the General Fund, to meet all expenses of the City and County not otherwise herein provided for, a rate of . . . . . \$0.8844

For the General Fund, to meet the cost of the construction and repairs to streets, sewers and buildings for the Detention Home and for the Police, Fire, Health and School Departments, other than the special school building tax hereinafter provided for, the rate of . . . . . .3020

For the General Fund, to meet the cost of elections and to pay demands, salaries, expenses or other obligations imposed upon the City and County by legislative or constitutional enactment of the State of California or of the United States, other than the minimum City and County school taxes herein-

after provided for; expenses or other obligations imposed upon the City and County by direct vote of the people of the City and County of San Francisco, the rate of . . . . .

.6602

For the City and County Elementary School Fund, the minimum City and County school tax for the elementary school to equal the estimated minimum for such purpose furnished to the Board of Supervisors by the Superintendent of Schools, in accordance with the provisions of Section 1817 of the Political Code of the State, the rate of . . . . .

.2184

For the City and County High School Fund, the minimum City and County high school tax to equal the estimated minimum for such purposes furnished to the Board of Supervisors by the Superintendent of Schools in accordance with the provisions of Section 1764 of the Political Code of the State . . . . .

.0795

For a special school tax for the School Building Fund, the rate of . . . . .

.1500

For the Common School Fund, in addition to the minimum amounts for elementary schools and high schools and the special school tax for the School Building Fund hereinbefore provided, the rate of . . . . .

.2414

For the Library Fund, to meet the cost of maintaining public libraries and the purchase of books therefor, the rate of . . . . .

.0373

For the Park Fund, to pay for the maintenance of parks, squares and public grounds, rate of . . . . .

.1000

For the Firemen's Relief and Pension Fund, the rate of . . . . .

.0342

For special tax levied for publicity and advertising pursuant to Subdivision 33 of Section 4041 of the Political Code of the State, the rate of . . . . .

.0082

For Retirement System for City Employees . . . . .

.0404

For Maintenance of the Blind, Statutes 1919, Chap. 144 . . . . .

.0025

For the respective funds to redeem and pay the interest on bonds sold prior to November 8, 1910, as follows:

Street Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904 . . . . .

.00574

Children's Playground Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904 . . . . .

.00426

Golden Gate Park and Pre-

sidio Extension Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904 .....	.00190
Mission Park Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904 .....	.00168
Fire Protection Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 .....	.03628
Sewer Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908..	.02203
School Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue of 1908	.02722
Hospital Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 .....	.01095
Hall of Justice Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 .....	.00624
Garbage Disposal Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 .....	.00770
For the respective funds to redeem and pay the interest on bonds sold since November 8, 1910, as follows:	
Street Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904..	.00082
School Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1918..	.05433
Library Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904...	.01109
Fire Protection Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 .....	.01083
Sewer Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908..	.01487
School Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908..	.02470
Hospital Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 .....	.01080
Hall of Justice Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 .....	.00403
Polytechnic High School Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1910.....	.00675
Water Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1910...	.26975
City Hall Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1912 .....	.09477
Exposition Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1912 .....	.06046
Hospital-Jail Completion Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1913.....	.02370
Total .....	\$3.47

**Privilege of the Floor.**

*Supervisor McSheehy* addressed the Board and explained, with the aid of a blackboard, purported underestimates of "receipts from sources other than taxation" for several preceding fiscal years.

He declared that he was opposed to the \$3.47 tax rate, since, based upon past experience, "revenues from other sources" had been very much under-

estimated. He alleged that for five years, beginning with the fiscal year 1917-18 and concluding with the fiscal year that closed on July 1st last, there was collected from the people of San Francisco a revenue of \$1,981,888 more than the amount estimated by the Finance Committee at the times the tax rates were fixed, an average of about \$400,000 per annum; that the Auditor estimates for the coming year there will be received \$3,837,420 from such other sources, and the Finance Committee estimates it at \$3,383,000, the difference being \$454,420; and as, based on past experience, the Auditor's estimate is presumably about correct, by the proposed tax rate there would be collected from the people \$454,000 more than necessary, and that the tax rate should be correspondingly decreased.

*Supervisor Schmitz* declared that Supervisor McSheehy's deductions were erroneous, and that the difference, instead of \$454,000, would be about \$180,000, even according to Supervisor McSheehy's own figures; and that, if the budget expenses were to be met, the proposed \$3.47 tax rate would not be too high.

*Supervisor McLeran* said, for the Finance Committee, that according to his figures there would be left a margin of \$150,000, not \$180,000, and he specified the items that must be deducted from Supervisor McSheehy's apparent surplus; and he further stated that, in view of exigencies that may arise at any time in a city government like that of San Francisco, no smaller margin should be allowed.

**Passed for Printing.**

Whereupon, the foregoing bill was *passed for printing* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Noes—Supervisors Hynes, McSheehy, Schmitz—3.

**Explanation of Vote.**

*Supervisor Powers* explained his vote by saying that he would go along with the Finance Committee and voted *aye* with the understanding that the necessary funds would be forthcoming for the Lighting Committee.

*Supervisor Welch* explained his vote by saying that he assumed that there would be a reduction of 3½ cents from the rate proposed by the Finance Committee by withholding appropriations made for purposes that are merely aesthetical, amounting to \$210,000, and the transfer of such funds to the fund for highway development.

**Joint Appropriation for Peninsula Highway Survey.**

The following was presented with

the recommendation of Joint Committee on Street and Finance and *adopted* by the following vote:

San Francisco, September 5, 1922.  
To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Cal.:

Your Joint Committee on Streets and Finance, to which was referred resolutions dealing with the proposed financing and construction of a highway down the Peninsula, unanimously reports in favor of the adoption of the following resolution as a substitute for those referred, to-wit:

Whereas, the Boards of Supervisors of San Mateo and San Francisco counties have initiated the proceedings for the construction of a much-needed highway from this city southerly, and also a bridge across the bay; and

Whereas, the construction of such highway and bridge is a vital public necessity to provide an avenue for traffic to and from this city and the adjoining counties and interior valleys of California; therefore,

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors reiterate its desire and intention to co-operate in the early completion of the new peninsular highway as it will be recommended by the engineers of the State Highway Commission; and

Resolved, That it is hereby declared to be the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to appropriate jointly with San Mateo County the sum of two hundred and twenty-five thousand dollars, or so much thereof as may be necessary, to be expended by Joint Highway District No. — comprising said counties, in making a survey and location, estimates of cost, acquiring rights of way and expenses incidental thereto, for the highway proposed to be constructed in the resolution initiating said Joint Highway District. That said sum is to be apportioned between the two counties named on the basis of the assessed valuation of said counties, respectively, and to be apportioned on the requisition of the Board of Directors of said district as same may be required; and further

Resolved, That no part of said sum to be appropriated shall be used for survey of a bridge or preparation of plans and specifications therefor.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Drasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Welch declared that he voted for the resolution as a matter of expediency. The last resolve of the resolution, he said,—"no part of said sum shall be used for survey of a bridge or preparation of plans and specifications therefor"—creates sus-

picion in the minds of the residents of San Mateo County; therefore he took exception to that part of the resolution.

#### Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

#### Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McLeran: Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

#### Park Fund.

(1) Taylor & Jackson, second payment, construction of Shelter House at Lincoln Park (claim dated Sept. 1, 1922), \$1,377.95.

(2) F. I. Greeley, moving stable in Park (claim dated Sept. 1, 1922), \$847.

#### School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(3) John Reid Jr., first payment, architectural service, High School of Commerce (claim dated Aug. 30, 1922), \$8,182.

#### Municipal Railway Fund.

(4) Bureau of Street Repair, Dept. Public Works, repairs to railway right of way (claim dated Aug. 30, 1922), \$529.34.

(5) Edgewater Steel Co., steel railway wheels (claim dated Aug. 30, 1922), \$4,288.

(6) Associated Oil Co., gasoline, Municipal Railways (claim dated Aug. 30, 1922), \$816.27.

(7) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., railway brake shoes (claim dated Aug. 30, 1922), \$1,097.45.

(8) J. E. French Co., one Dodge touring car for Municipal Railways (claim dated Aug. 30, 1922), \$868.70.

#### Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(9) Hercules Powder Co., prepaid freight charges on dynamite for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 28, 1922), \$512.41.

(10) Continental Petroleum Refining Co., fuel oil (claim dated Aug. 28, 1922), \$871.55.

(11) American Manganese Steel Co., crusher jaw plates (claim dated Aug. 28, 1922), \$620.55.

(12) Pioneer Rubber Mills, hose, etc. (claim dated Aug. 28, 1922), \$807.80.

(13) Western Electric Co., electric supplies (claim dated Aug. 28, 1922), \$599.85.

(14) The A. J. Glesener Co., shovels, drills, etc. (claim dated Aug. 28, 1922), \$786.19.

(15) Standard Oil Co. Inc., oil and gasoline (claim dated Aug. 28, 1922), \$1,008.71.

(16) Standard Oil Co. Inc., candles

and oils (claim dated Aug. 28, 1922), \$1,798.43.

(17) Standard Oil Co. Inc., oil and grease (claim dated Aug. 28, 1922), \$856.30.

(18) Goodyear Rubber Co., hose and rubber goods (claim dated Aug. 28, 1922), \$742.25.

(19) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., sheet iron and hardware (claim dated Aug. 28, 1922), \$677.70.

#### *Excavation Fund.*

(20) Universal Electric & Gas Co., refund of special deposit account of street excavations (claim dated Aug. 30, 1922), \$2,500.

#### *General Fund, 1922-1923.*

(21) Spring Valley Water Co., water through Fire Dept. hydrants (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$13,090.70.

(22) City Construction Co., final payment for widening of Castro street from Seventeenth to Eighteenth streets (claim dated Sept. 1, 1922), \$1,216.75.

Appropriation, \$379,585, Transformers, etc., Moccasin Creek Power Plant.

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$379,585 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910, to cover cost of furnishing and delivering transformers, switchboards and accessory equipment for the Moccasin Creek Power Plant, under Contract No. 81, Hetch Hetchy Water Supply, awarded the Westinghouse Electric and Manufacturing Co.

Appropriation, \$60,000, Section "D," Ocean Beach Esplanade.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$60,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Esplanade", Budget Item No. 43, Fiscal Year 1922-1923, to cover cost of contract for the construction of Section "D" of the Ocean Beach Esplanade, awarded Healy-Tibbitts Construction Co. at \$54,442, and for inspection and possible extras at \$5,558.

Appropriation, \$11,230, San Francisco Convention and Tourist League.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$11,230.45 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Publicity and Advertising, Budget Item No. 551, Fiscal Year 1922-1923, and authorized in payment to San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, for payment of claims submitted incident to publicity and advertising of San Francisco.

#### *Garage and Oil Permits.*

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

#### *Public Garage.*

Clydesdale Motor Truck Co. of Cal., at 31-45 Oak street.

#### *Oil Storage Tank.*

M. Carlson, on east side of Stockton street, 60 feet south of Pine street; 1500 gallons capacity.

New Tivoli Hotel, at 1434 Grant avenue; 600 gallons capacity.

American Tobacco Co., at 1 South Park; 1500 gallons capacity.

W. G. Bray, at 1249 Fourth avenue; 1500 gallons capacity.

Roman Catholic Archbishop of San Francisco, at Amazon and Naples streets; 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

#### *Permits Denied.*

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 20230 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, the following applications for permits are denied:

C. Greninger, for permission to maintain a public garage on the west side of Valencia street, 65 feet north of Twenty-sixth street.

Lubricating Gasoline Company, for permission to maintain an automobile supply station at northeast corner of Mission and Fifteenth streets.

P. L. Burr, for permission to explode blasts on east side of Twelfth avenue between Quintara and Rivera streets, in Block No. 2206.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### *Passed for Printing.*

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

#### *Blasting Permit.*

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Park Commissioners is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on school property situate on block bounded by Kirkham and Lawton streets, Eighteenth and Nineteenth avenues; provided that said blasts be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work

of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction and under the supervision of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by the said Board of Park Commissioners then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

This permit shall expire thirty days after being approved by the Mayor.

**Publication of Charter Amendments.**

Supervisor Bath presented:

Resolution No. 20231 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that all proposals to amend the Charter of said City and County shall be submitted to the voters at the general election to be held on Tuesday, November 7, 1922; that the Clerk be directed to publish all Charter amendments proposed and ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors, or proposed by petition of the voters, in the official newspaper by one insertion therein on a date not later than September 26, 1922; that the Registrar of Voters be directed to print such proposed amendments in convenient pamphlet form as required by law; that Monday, September 18th, be fixed as the last day for considering Charter amendments by the Board of Supervisors.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Proposed Charter Amendments.**

The following proposed Charter Amendments were presented with the recommendation of the Judiciary Committee and laid over for consideration at a Special Meeting of the Board:

**Charter Amendment No. —.**

*Relating to the Expenditure of Money for the Construction of Highways Outside of Corporate Limits.*

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding a new section to Chapter II of Article II thereof, to be numbered Section 13, relating to the expenditure of money for the construction of highways outside of the corporate limits.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the general election to be held on the 7th day of November, 1922, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County as follows:

That a new section be added to Chapter II of Article II to be num-

bered Section 13 and to read as follows:

Section 13. Nothing in this Charter contained shall be construed to prohibit the expenditure of money by the City and County for the construction of public highways outside of its corporate limits, and the Board of Supervisors may authorize such expenditure and may direct payment to be made to any constituted body authorized by law to construct such public highways; provided, however, that consent to the construction of such highway shall be given by the legislative body of the county wherein the same is located. The Board of Supervisors may enter into an agreement with another county or counties for the construction of a public highway and such agreement may provide for the method by which such agreement shall be executed.

**Charter Amendment No. —.**

*Tubercular Hospital Outside City.*

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the said Charter of said City and County by adding a new section thereto, to be known as Section 6, Article I, relating to the establishment and maintenance by the City and County of San Francisco of a tubercular hospital or hospitals outside of the territorial limits of the City and County of San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the general election to be held therein on the 7th day of November, 1922, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding a new section thereto to be known as Section 6 of Article I, to read as follows:

Section 6. The City and County of San Francisco may purchase, receive, hold or lease real property outside the boundaries of the City and County for the purpose of establishing or maintaining a hospital or hospitals for the treatment and care of persons suffering from tuberculosis who would otherwise be patients at a City and County hospital situated within the limits of the City and County; and may erect, lease, equip and maintain a hospital or hospitals thereon for the treatment of such tubercular patients; and may also provide by contract for the maintenance and care of such tubercular patients in hospitals outside the limits of the City and County owned, operated or maintained by other cities, counties or cities and counties, or may by contract with other cities, counties or cities and counties provide for the joint ownership, operation, maintenance and control of a hospital or hospitals for the

treatment of persons suffering from tuberculosis.

*Charter Amendment No. —.*

*Relating to Salaries of Police Judges.*

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 1 of Chapter VIII of Article V thereof, relating to the salaries of Police Judges.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the said City and County at the general election to be held on the 7th day of November, 1922, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County as follows:

That Section 1 of Chapter VIII of Article V be amended so as to read as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby created and established in and for the City and County of San Francisco a court to be known as the Police Court of the City and County of San Francisco. Said Court shall consist of four judges, who shall be elected by the people and hold office for four years. They shall each receive an annual salary of \$4,800. They shall be electors of the City and County at the time of their election, and must have been such for at least five years next preceding such time. No person shall be eligible to the office of Judge of the Police Court who is not at the time of his election qualified to practice in all the courts of this State, and who has not been so qualified for at least five years next preceding his election. The Court shall be divided into departments known as Department Number One, Department Number Two, Department Number Three and Department Number Four. The judges of such Court may hold as many sessions of the Court at the same time as there are judges thereof. The judges who shall be elected at the first election under this Charter shall so classify themselves by lot that two of them shall go out of office in two years and two of them in four years.

They shall choose from their number a Presiding Judge, who shall serve for one year. The Presiding Judge shall assign the judges to their respective departments; but any of the judges may preside in any of the departments in the absence or inability of the judge regularly assigned thereto.

The judgments, orders and proceedings of any session of the Court held by any one or more of the judges shall be equally effectual as if all the judges had presided at such session.

*Charter Amendment No. —.*  
*Relating to Meetings of Boards and Commissions.*

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding a new section to Article XVI thereof to be numbered section 1a, relating to meetings of boards and commissions.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the general election to be held on the 7th day of November, 1922, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County as follows:

That a new section be added to Article XVI to be numbered Section 1a and to read as follows:

Section 1a. All meetings, regular or special, of every board or commission acting pursuant to this Charter, any ordinance, or any law of the State, for the transaction of any business of such board or commission, shall be open to the public, and in the event of there being no date fixed by law, or ordinance for the holding of any such meeting, public notice thereof shall be made.

**Recommended.**

The following proposed Charter Amendments were on motion ordered *recommended to the Judiciary Committee*:

*Charter Amendment No. —.*

*Relating to Electing Supervisors by Districts.*

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 2 of Chapter 1 of Article II thereof, relative to electing Supervisors by districts.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the general election to be held on the 7th day of November, 1922, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County as follows:

That Section 2 of Chapter I of Article II of the Charter be amended so as to read as follows:

Section 2. For the purpose of the election of Supervisors, the City and County of San Francisco shall be divided into districts, conforming with the State Assembly Districts, therein located, from each of which districts one representative shall be elected by the voters thereof. There shall be an additional number of Supervisors, elected at large, to make a total of eighteen Supervisors. The present incumbents shall hold office until the

expiration of their respective terms. To succeed those whose terms of office expire in 1925, there shall be elected representatives from the nine Assembly Districts, coming first in the order of numbering. At the succeeding biennial election the Supervisors at large and the representatives of the remaining districts shall be elected. Each candidate seeking election as Supervisor must have been a resident and qualified elector of the City and County of San Francisco for five years, and, except in the case of those elected at large, of the district in which he seeks election for at least one year prior to his filing his declaration of candidacy. Every person who has served as Mayor of the City and County, so long as he remains a resident thereof, shall be entitled to a seat in the Board of Supervisors and to participate in its debates, but shall not be entitled to a vote or to compensation. All provisions and parts of this Charter in conflict with this section are hereby repealed.

*Charter Amendment No. —.*

*Relating to Sale of Fire Lots.*

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding a new section to Chapter II of Article II thereof to be numbered Section 12, relating to the sale of lots of land reserved for Fire Department purposes.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the said City and County at the general election to be held on the 7th day of November, 1922, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County as follows:

That a new section be added to Chapter II of Article II to be numbered Section 12 and to read as follows:

Section 12. Whenever the Board of Fire Commissioners shall, by resolution, determine that any of the lots of land reserved for Fire Department purposes located within the following boundaries, to-wit:

Commencing on the center line of Powell street at the Bay; thence along the center line of Powell street to the center of Bush street; thence along the center of Bush street to the center of Van Ness avenue; thence along the center of Van Ness avenue to the center of Market street; thence along the center of Market street to the center of Dolores street; thence along the center of Dolores street to the center of Sixteenth street; thence along the center of Sixteenth street to the Bay; thence along the Water Front to the point of commencement, in the City

and County of San Francisco, are inadequate or unsuitable or no longer necessary for Fire Department purposes, or for use as sites for fire-houses, and that the public interest or necessity demands the sale thereof, said Board may recommend to the Mayor that such sale be made. If the Mayor shall concur in said recommendation, he shall report the same to the Board of Supervisors, and said Board shall make such sale in the manner provided in Section 9 of this Chapter. The proceeds arising from such sale shall be used exclusively for the purpose of erecting fire-houses or purchasing lands for Fire Department purposes or sites for fire-houses or for additions to existing sites for Fire Department purposes or to fire-houses.

*Street Lights.*

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 20232 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Pacific Gas & Electric Company is hereby instructed to install, change and remove street lights as follows:

*Install 400 M. R.*

Nineteenth and Eureka streets.

*Install 600 M. R.*

Diamond and Market streets.

*Change 400 M. R.*

West side of Eureka street near Market street to corner Eureka and Market streets.

*Install 250 M. R.*

Twenty-fifth and Wisconsin streets. Madrid street between Brazil and Persia avenues.

Carson street 200 feet west of Douglass street.

Thirty-ninth avenue between Balboa and Cabrillo streets.

No. 66 Raymond avenue (community building), change gas lamp.

West side of Clayton street, first south of Oak street, about two feet south.

East side of Eureka street to opposite 59 north of property line.

*Remove Gas Lamps.*

Eureka and Nineteenth streets.

West side of Eureka street first north of Market street.

Southwest corner Eighteenth and Eureka streets.

Northwest and southeast corners of Diamond and Market streets.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Accepting Offers to Sell Land in San Mateo County for Right of Way for Hetch Hetchy Viaduct.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 20233 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has

recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco from the following described owners of the following described parcels of land situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, required as a right of way for the aqueduct on the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply project, for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Harold G. Baugh, \$150.

A parcel of land in the County of San Mateo, State of California, being a portion of Lot 3 in Block 23, described as follows:

Beginning at the southwesterly corner of Lot No. 3, Block No. 23, as shown and designated on a map entitled "Map of Oak Knoll Manor, Redwood City, San Mateo County, California," which was filed in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County May 10, 1916, in Book 10 of Maps, pages 4 to 11; running thence north 71 deg. 23 min. east 112.00 feet along the southerly line of said Lot 3 to the southeasterly corner; thence north 13 deg. 38 min. 30 sec. east 7.33 feet along the easterly line of said Lot 3; thence north 80 deg. 21 min. 20 sec. west 131.60 feet to the westerly line of said Lot 3; thence south 18 deg. 37 min. east 68.51 feet along said line of Lot 3 to the point of beginning.

It is understood and agreed that the deed to the above described parcel of land shall contain a reservation allowing the party of the first part to cross over said portion and plant grass upon the same, provided that there shall be no interference with the construction, operation or maintenance of the Hetch Hetchy pipe line or lines constructed beneath the surface of said parcel.

Abbie H. Lund and John Lund, \$300.

Lots numbered 27, 28 and 29 in Block numbered 2, as shown and designated on a map entitled "North Fair Oaks, situated in San Mateo County," which was filed in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County August 8, 1907, in Book 5 of Maps, at page 21.

William H. Wessling and Emma F. Wessling, \$275.

Lots 16 and 17 in Block No. 11, as shown and designated on a map entitled "North Fair Oaks," situated in San Mateo County, which was filed in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County August 8, 1907, in Book 5 of Maps, page 21.

Whereas, said purchase prices are in accordance with the appraisement of said lands made by Joseph J. Phillips, right of way agent for the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer, the above deescribed offers of

the above named property owners to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the above mentioned parcels of land for the sums set forth opposite their respective names be and the same is hereby accepted.

The Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply is hereby authorized and directed to prepare the necessary papers and deeds to close the transactions and to arrange for the payment of the purchase prices to the sellers, upon receipt of deeds conveying said property to the City and County of San Francisco, clear of all encumbrances.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Accepting Offers to Sell Land for Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct Right of Way.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 20234 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco from C. H. Holt Realty Company, a corporation, of the following described parcels of land situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, and required as a right of way for the aqueduct on the Hetch Hetchy water supply project, viz.:

*Parcel 1.*

The northerly one-half of Lot No. 22, in Block No. 27, as shown and designated on a map entitled "Map of Resubdivision of Lots 1, 2, 3 and 4 in Block 27 of Oak Knoll Manor near Redwood City, Calif.," which was filed in the office of the County Recorder of the County of San Mateo, September 5, 1919, in Book 10 of Maps at page 25.

*Parcel 2.*  
Commencing at the point of intersection of the northwesterly line of Hillcrest road with the southeasterly line of Lot No. 23 in Block No. 27, and running thence northeasterly along the northwesterly line of Hillcrest road on a curve to the left of 333-foot radius a distance of 2.58 feet; thence continuing northeasterly along the northwesterly line of Hillcrest road a distance of 35.49 feet; thence westerly a distance of 116.11 feet to a point on the southwesterly line of said Lot No. 23, distant thereon 106.33 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Hillcrest road; thence southeasterly along the southwesterly line of said Lot No. 23 a distance of 106.63 feet to the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Lot No. 23 in Block No. 27 as shown and designated on a map entitled "Map of Resubdivision of Lots 1, 2, 3 and 4 in Block

27 of Oak Knoll Manor near Redwood City, Calif.," which was filed in the office of the County Recorder of the County of San Mateo, September 5, 1919, in Book 10 of Maps at page 25.

*Parcel 3.*

Commencing at the common corner of Lots Nos. 6 and 8 in Block No. 23, "Oak Knoll Manor," and Lots Nos. 1 and 8 in Resubdivision of Lots Nos. 4 and 5 in Block No. 23, "Oak Knoll Manor," and running thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of said Lot No. 1 a distance of 78.38 feet; thence westerly a distance of 73.03 feet to a point on the westerly line of said Lot No. 1, distant thereon 171.69 feet southerly from the southerly line of Upland road; thence southerly along the westerly line of said Lot No. 1 a distance of 33.76 feet to the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Lot No. 1 of resubdivision of Lots 4 and 5 in Block No. 23 of Oak Knoll Manor as same is laid down and delineated on that certain map entitled "Map of Oak Knoll Manor, Redwood City, San Mateo County, California," and filed in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County, September 5, 1919, in Map Book No. 10 at page 26.

*Parcel 4.*

Commencing at the common corner of Lots Nos. 6 and 8 in Block No. 23, "Oak Knoll Manor," and Lots Nos. 1 and 8 in resubdivision of Lots Nos. 4 and 5 in Block No. 25, Oak Knoll Manor, and running thence northeasterly along the northwesterly line of said Lot No. 8 a distance of 50.42 feet; thence southerly along the easterly line of said Lot No. 8 a distance of 49.28 feet; thence westerly a distance of 54.93 feet to a point on the westerly line of said Lot No. 8, distant thereon 179.07 feet northerly from the northerly line of Hillcrest drive; thence northerly along the westerly line of said Lot No. 8 a distance of 26.38 feet to the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Lot No. 8 of resubdivision of Lots 4 and 5 in Block 23 of Oak Knoll Manor as the same is laid down and delineated on that certain map entitled "Map of Oak Knoll Manor, Redwood City, San Mateo County, California," and filed in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County September 5, 1919, in Map Book No. 10 at page 26.

*Parcel 5.*

Lot No. 6 in resubdivision of Lots 4 and 5 in Block No. 23 of Oak Knoll Manor as the same is laid down and delineated on that certain map entitled "Map of Oak Knoll Manor, Redwood City, San Mateo County, California," and filed in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County

September 5, 1919, in Map Book No. 10 at page 26.

*Parcel 6.*

Lots Nos. 33, 34 and 35 in Block No. 4, as shown and designated on a map entitled "Map of Jefferson Acres, Redwood City, California," which was filed in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County, March 24, 1922, in Book 11 of Maps, pages 1 and 2.

*Parcel 7.*

Commencing at a point on the northeasterly line of Hawes street, distant thereon 150 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Vera avenue, and running thence northwesterly along the northeasterly line of Hawes street 31.29 feet; thence easterly a distance of 40.47 feet to a point which is distant 150 feet at right angles northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Vera avenue and 25.64 feet at right angles northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Hawes street; thence southwesterly a distance of 25.64 feet to the northeasterly line of Hawes street and the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Lot No. 36 in Block No. 4, as shown and designated on a map entitled "Map of Jefferson Acres, Redwood City, California," which was filed in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County March 24, 1922, in Book 11 of Maps, pages 1 and 2; for the sum of \$1,643, and the further consideration mentioned below; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer, the offer of C. H. Holt Realty Company to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the above-mentioned parcels of land for the sum of \$1,643 be and the same is hereby accepted.

As a further consideration for said conveyance it is hereby understood and agreed that the deeds to parcels 2 and 7 shall contain a reservation allowing the party of the first part to cross over said portion and plant grass upon the same, provided that there shall be no interference with the construction, operation or maintenance of the Hetch Hetchy pipe line or lines constructed beneath the same.

The Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy water supply is hereby authorized and directed to prepare the necessary papers and deeds to close the transaction and to arrange for the payment of the purchase price to the seller, upon receipt of deed conveying said property to the City and County of San Francisco, clear of all incumbrances.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, Mc-

Leran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Stable Permit Denied.**

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 20235 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors permission is hereby denied Rice Hayes to maintain a stable at 35 Dore street.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Passed for Printing.**

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

**Ordering Street Work.**

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill: Bill No. 6105, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the improvement of the northerly one-half of Sloat boulevard from Nineteenth avenue to Thirty-fifth avenue, authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said improvement, approving plans and specifications therefor, and permitting progressive payments to be made during the progress of said improvement, the expense of said improvement to be borne out of the County Road Fund.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the improvement of the northerly one-half of Sloat boulevard from Nineteenth avenue to Thirty-fifth avenue, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor by the Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted, the cost of said improvement to be borne out of the County Road Fund.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for said improvement, conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications and as provided by Section 21, Chapter I of Article VI of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**Ordering Rock Fill Along Marina Boulevard in Front of Yacht Harbor.**

Also, Bill No. 6106, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of a rock fill along the Marina boulevard front-

ing the Yacht Harbor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said improvement, approving plans and specifications therefor, and permitting progressive payments to be made during the progress of said improvement, the expense of said improvement to be borne out of the County Road Fund.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the construction of a rock fill along the Marina boulevard fronting the Yacht Harbor, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor by the Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted, the cost of said improvement to be borne out of the County Road Fund.

Section 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for said improvement, conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications and as provided by Section 21, Chapter I, Article VI of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**Action Deferred.**

The following resolution was presented and on motion *laid over one week*:

**Intention to Close Homer Street.**

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that Homer street be closed up in part as hereinafter described; be it further

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close up in part said Homer street, said part of Homer street to be closed up being described as follows, to-wit:

That part of Homer street commencing at a point on the northwesterly line of Homer street distant thereon eighty feet northeasterly from Chesley street; thence northeasterly along the northwesterly line of Homer street forty feet; thence at right angles southeasterly seventeen and 6/12 feet; thence at right angles southwesterly along the southeasterly line of Homer street forty feet; thence at right angles northwesterly seventeen and 6/12 feet to the point of commencement in 100 Vara Block 410.

Said closing up of said part of Homer street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 of Chapter 3 of Article 6 of the Charter, as amended, and the sections of said

chapter and article following said Section 2.

Be it Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing up of said part of Homer street be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco.

**Passed for Printing.**

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

**Pipe Line Permit.**

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill: Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Legallet-Hellwig Norton Co. be granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to construct, maintain and operate an 8-inch cast iron pipe line running from the Harbor Commissioners' wharf on Islais street, thence along the easterly side of Quint street to a point midway between Evans and Fairfax avenues in accordance with blue print plan submitted and report of City Engineer, September 1, 1922; that said pipe line shall be constructed on the sidewalk area of Quint street at a sufficient depth that will not interfere with construction of spur tracks; that two connections be provided for fire hydrants, and that the Fire Department be allowed to install fire hydrants along the line and use the same if necessary; also that all paving disturbed in the crossings be reconstructed.

The said pipe line shall be laid under the supervision and direction of the Board of Public Works.

**Platform Permit.**

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill: Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Daniel McKillop is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to construct a platform four and 1/12 feet in height on the sidewalk on Harrison street between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets, commencing at the southeast corner of Nineteenth and Harrison streets and running one hundred and eight feet towards Twentieth street. Said platform shall be erected under the supervision and to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works.

**Intention to Change Grades.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20236 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 74240 (Second Series) of the Board

of Public Works adopted August 11, 1922, and written recommendation of said Board, filed August 14, 1922, to-wit:

**Santa Rosa Avenue.**

Northeasterly line of, at Alemany avenue, southeasterly line, 142.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Eight feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Alemany avenue, southeasterly line, 142.50 feet.

Eight feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Alemany avenue, southeasterly line, 143 feet.

Southwesterly line of, at Alemany avenue, 143 feet.

(The same being the present official grade.)

Eight feet northwesterly from Alemany avenue southwesterly line, at Santa Rosa avenue, southwesterly line, 142.80 feet.

Eight feet northwesterly from Alemany avenue, southeasterly line, at Santa Rosa avenue, northeasterly line, 142.30 feet.

Eight feet southeasterly from Alemany avenue, northwesterly line, at Santa Rosa avenue, northeasterly line, 141.50 feet.

Eight feet southeasterly from Alemany avenue, northwesterly line at Santa Rosa avenue, southwesterly line, 142 feet.

Twelve feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Alemany avenue, northwesterly line, 141.20 feet.

Twelve feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, on a line at right angles to the northeasterly line of, at Alemany avenue, northwesterly line, 141.20 feet.

On a line at right angles to the southwesterly line of, at Cayuga avenue southeasterly line, 116 feet.

Fifteen feet northwesterly from Cayuga avenue southeasterly line, at Santa Rosa avenue, southwesterly line, 115.50 feet.

Fifteen feet northwesterly from Cayuga avenue, southeasterly line, at Santa Rosa avenue, northeasterly line, 115 feet.

Fifteen feet southeasterly from Cayuga avenue, northwesterly line, at Santa Rosa avenue, northeasterly line, 115 feet.

Fifteen feet southeasterly from Cayuga avenue, northwesterly line, at Santa Rosa avenue, southwesterly line, 115.50 feet.

Twelve feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Cayuga avenue northwesterly line, 115 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Twelve feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Cayuga avenue, northwesterly line, 115.50 feet.

(The same being the present official grade.)

On Santa Rosa avenue between the southeasterly line of Alemany avenue and the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue and on Alemany avenue between Francis street and Harrington street be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given and the present official grades of Alemany avenue at Francis and Harrington streets.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Extension of Time.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:  
Resolution No. 20237 (New Series),  
as follows:

Resolved, That J. J. McHugh is hereby granted an extension of sixty days' time from and after September 22, 1922, within which to complete contract for the grading and sewerage of Ulloa street between Fifteenth and Seventeenth avenues.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that the work is under way.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Extension of Time.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:  
Resolution No. 20238 (New Series),  
as follows:

Resolved, That City Construction Company (assignee of Peter McHugh) is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after September 20, 1922, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Forty-fifth avenue between Cabrillo and Fulton streets.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that contractor has been delayed on account of difficulty in obtaining delivery of cement.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill,

Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Hearing, 3 P. M., Landers Street.

At 3 p. m. the hearing of objections of property owners to the widening and extension of Landers street was taken up.

A communication from protesting property owners agreeing to withdraw their protests providing the City contributed \$5,000 toward the cost of the work was presented by Supervisor Mulvihill and read by the Clerk.

Whereupon, the following resolutions were presented and *adopted*:

Overruling Objection, Landers Street.

Resolution No. 20239 (New Series),  
as follows:

Overruling the objections of Geo. F. Daveggio et al. against the widening and extension of Landers street between Sixteenth and Fifteenth streets, as provided in Resolution of Intention No. 19668 (New Series).

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Intention to Widen and Extend Landers Street.

Resolution No. 20240 (New Series),  
as follows:

Whereas, on the 6th day of February, 1922, the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco duly and regularly passed Resolution No. 19668 (New Series), which resolution was on the 15th day of February, 1922, duly and regularly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, and said resolution being in words and figures as follows, to-wit:

Resolution No. 19668 (New Series).

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to order the widening and extension of the following named street, to-wit:

*Landers street between Sixteenth street and Fifteenth street.*

The lands and property deemed necessary to be taken for said widening and extending of Landers street between Sixteenth street and Fifteenth street, and particularly described as follows, to-wit: Landers street.

*Parcel 1.* Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Fifteenth street, distant thereon 248.456 feet easterly from the easterly line of Church street, and running thence easterly along the southerly line of Fifteenth street 30.001 feet; thence deflecting 89 deg. 27 min. 10 sec. to the right and running southerly 159.937 feet to a point on the northerly line of Alert alley, distant thereon 30.035 feet easterly from the westerly line of Landers street; thence

deflecting 85 deg. 13 min. 54 sec. to the right and running westerly along the northerly line of Alert alley 30.035 feet to the westerly line of Landers street; thence deflecting 94 deg. 46 min. 06 sec. to the right and running northerly 161.674 feet to the point of beginning; being a portion of Mission Block 83.

*Parcel 2.* Beginning at a point distant 280 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Dolores street and distant 112.285 feet at right angles northerly from the northerly line of Sixteenth street, said point being on the northerly termination of the wider portion of Landers street, and running thence northerly parallel with Dolores street 234.851 feet to a point on the southerly line of Alert alley; thence deflecting 93 deg. 29 min. 05 sec. to the left and running westerly along the southerly line of Alert alley 13.627 feet to the easterly line of Landers street; thence deflecting 88 deg. 10 min. 13 sec. to the left and running southerly along the easterly line of Landers street 234.521 feet to the northerly termination of the wider portion of Landers street; thence deflecting 91 deg. 41 min. 46 sec. to the left and running easterly along said northerly terminal line 6.841 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Mission Block 83.

And the said Board of Supervisors does hereby determine and declare that said proposed widening and extension of Landers street between Sixteenth street and Fifteenth street is of more than ordinary public benefit and will affect and benefit the lands and district hereinafter described and which said district is hereby declared to be the district affected and benefited by said widening and extension and that therefore the entire damages, costs and expenses of said widening and extension shall be and are hereby made chargeable against and shall be assessed upon said lands and district, which lands and district are within the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the exterior boundaries of said lands and district affected and benefited by said widening and extension are particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Fifteenth street, distant thereon 223.456 feet easterly from the easterly line of Church street, and running thence easterly along the southerly line of Fifteenth street 76.003 feet; thence deflecting 87 deg. 48 min. 09 sec. to the right and running southerly 158.567 feet to a point on the northerly line of Alert alley; thence deflecting 4 deg. 47 min. 43 sec. to the right and running southerly

12.907 feet to a point on the southerly line of Alert alley; thence deflecting 2 deg. 35 min. 56 sec. to the left and running southerly 234.91 feet to a point on the northerly terminal line of the wider portion of Landers street; thence deflecting 93 deg. 21 min. 00 sec. to the left and running easterly along said northerly terminal line and said terminal line produced easterly 30.093 feet; thence deflecting 93 deg. 21 min. 04 sec. to the right and running southerly 115.505 feet to a point on the northerly line of Sixteenth street, distant thereon 335 feet easterly from the easterly line of Church street; thence at right angles westerly along the northerly line of Sixteenth street 110 feet; thence at right angles northerly 358.333 feet; thence deflecting 0 deg. 32 min. 50 sec. to the left and running northerly 161.674 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Mission Block 83.

Except and excluding all public streets, alleys, courts and ways.

Said widening and extension of Landers street between Sixteenth street and Fifteenth street shall be done in pursuance of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 and the sections following Section 2 of said Chapter III of Article VI of said Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Resolution No. 18638 (New Series) and Resolution No. 18739 (New Series) are hereby repealed.

*Adopted*—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, February 6, 1922.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harris, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Weleh.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved, San Francisco, February 15, 1922.

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,  
Mayor.

And whereas, the Clerk of the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco did transmit to the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco a certified copy of said resolution, and the said Board of Public Works did, upon receipt of said resolution, cause to be posted in the manner and as required by law, notices of the passage of said resolution, and did cause, in the manner and as required by law, a notice, similar in substance, to be published for a period of ten days in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the public interest and convenience require said improvement

to be done as specifically described in said Resolution No. 19668 (New Series); and

Whereas, the Supervisors have acquired jurisdiction to order the widening and extension of Landers street between Sixteenth street and Fifteenth street as aforesaid and as specifically described and proposed in said Resolution No. 19668 (New Series); now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it be ordered, and it is hereby ordered, that said Landers street between Sixteenth street and Fifteenth street be widened and extended as aforesaid and as specifically described and proposed in said Resolution No. 19668 (New Series); and be it further

Resolved, That the lands and property described in said Resolution No. 19668 (New Series), and declared to be deemed necessary to be taken for said widening and extension of said street, be taken for said widening and extension of said street; and be it further

Resolved, That the entire damages, costs and expenses of said widening and extension be and they are hereby made chargeable against and shall be assessable upon the lands and district described in said Resolution No. 19668 (New Series), being the lands and district affected and benefited by said widening and extension and against which the entire damages, costs and expenses of said widening and extension should be made chargeable; and be it further

Resolved, That the said widening and extension of Landers street between Sixteenth street and Fifteenth street be done in pursuance of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and in the manner laid down in pursuance of and with the provisions of Section 2 and the sections following Section 2 of said Chapter III of Article VI of said Charter.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Award of Contract, Hospital Supplies.**

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 20241 (New Series), as follows:

Awarding contracts for furnishing supplies for the use of the public institutions and departments of the City and County of San Francisco for which the Board of Supervisors is required to make contracts for the remainder of the fiscal year ending June 30, 1923, be and the same are hereby awarded to the following persons, firms or cor-

porations in strict conformity with their bids submitted August 21, 1922; that the amounts of the surety bonds required for the faithful performance of said contracts are hereby fixed at the amounts set below their respective names; that said contracts are hereby awarded as per the item number and articles enumerated and appearing in their respective bids, viz.:

10. BRAUN, KNECHT, HEIMANN CO.  
(Bond fixed at \$200.)

Item No.

- 15120 J. T. Baker, list less 15%.
- 15184a B. K. H., list No. 3 less 20%.
- 15184b Pyrex, list No. 3 less 20%.
- 15184c Coors, list No. 3 less 25%.
- 15184d B. K. H., list No. 3 less 20%.
- 15184e B. K. H., list No. 3 less 20%.
- 15184f B. K. H., list No. 3 less 20%.
- 15184g B. K. H., list No. 3 less 15%.
- 15184i B. K. H., list No. 3 less 20%.

4. COFFIN-REDINGTON CO.  
(No bond required.)

Item No.

- 15030 Chloroform, Squibb's only,  
1 $\frac{1}{4}$ -lb. tins, \$0.25

2. DOCTORS & NURSES OUTFITTING CO.  
(No bond required.)

Item No.

- 2065 Uniforms (shrunk), each \$4.50.
- 8. HERBERT F. DUGAN.  
(Bond fixed at \$100.)

Item No.

- 15100 Tablets, C. \$0.89.
- 15153 Ligatures, dozen tubes, \$1.74.  
Jaeger-Bigelow green chromic only.

7. H. K. MULFORD CO.  
(No bond required.)

Item No.

- 15071 Pharmaceuticals.  
Mulford Cat., Parts 1 and 2, discount 55-2%.
- Mulford Cat., Part 3, discount 10%.
- Mulford Cat., Part 4, discount 40%.
- Mulford Cat., Diagnostic reagents, discount 10%.

11. WALTERS SURGICAL CO.  
(Bond fixed at \$500.)

Item No.

- 15152 Surgical instruments, discount 40 $\frac{1}{2}$ %.
- Kny-Scherrer price list of August, 1922.

3. WESTERN NITROUS OXIDE CO.  
(Bond fixed at \$100.)

Item No.

- 15061a Nitrous Oxide, 100 gal. cyl., each \$1.45.
- 15061b Nitrous Oxide, 3200 gal. cyl., each \$36.60.
- 15114a Oxygen, 40 gal. cyl., each \$1.21.
- 15114b Oxygen, 130 gal. cyl., each \$2.79.
- 15114c Oxygen, 1150 gal. cyl., each \$15.12.
- Above items selected on quality.

13. WILL CORPORATION.  
(Bond fixed at \$50.)

Item No.

- 15177a Test tubes, dozen, \$0.13.
- 15177b Test tubes, dozen, \$0.17.

1. ZELLERBACH PAPER CO.  
(No bond required.)

Item No.

- 15160b Tarboard, pound, \$0.078.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Award of Contract, Plumbing and Engineering Supplies.**

Resolution No. 20242 (New Series), as follows:

Awarding contract for furnishing plumbing and engineering supplies for the use of the public institutions and departments of the City and County of San Francisco for the period beginning September 1, 1922, and ending November 30, 1922, to certain persons, firms and corporations.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Survey of Lower San Francisco Bay.**

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 20243 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, there is pending before the Senate of the United States H. R. 8408, which contains an appropriation for a survey of San Francisco bay immediately southerly from this city, which survey is a prerequisite to any development of the harbor facilities of the peninsula; therefore

Resolved, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors gives its endorsement to the measure named, emphasizes its importance to this city and request our Senators and Representatives in Congress to urge its passage. That a copy of this resolution be telegraphed to Hon. Samuel M. Shortridge at Washington.

*Adopted under suspension of the rules by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Amend Zoning Ordinance, Stanyan Street.**

Supervisor Bath presented:

Bill No. —, amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the use of property zone map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the easterly side of Stanyan street for a distance of 137 feet 6 inches northerly from the northerly line of Waller street and to the depth of 137 feet 6 inches in the second district instead of in the commercial residential district.

Section 2 of the use of property zone map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southerly side of Birch street for a distance of 202 feet 3 inches easterly from the easterly line of Buchanan street and to the depth of the rear lot lines in the commercial district instead of in the second residential district.

*Referred to City Planning Committee.*

**Death of Theodore A. Ball.**

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 20244 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Theodore A. Bell, one of California's foremost citizens, yesterday accidentally came to his death, thus suddenly terminating a career that had resulted in benefit to the State and promised more for its future; therefore

Resolved, That in common with a vast number of our citizens this Board of Supervisors voices its extreme sorrow for the event and gives expression to the high regard held for him and the loss that his death inflicts upon the community which he has faithfully served as an official and a citizen.

*Adopted unanimously by rising vote.*

**Appropriation, \$2,000, Publicity and Advertising, State Fair, Sacramento.**

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$2,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Publicity and Advertising, Budget Item No. 551, Fiscal Year 1922-1923, for publicity and advertising of San Francisco at the State Fair, Sacramento, California.

*Passed for printing by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**100 Per Cent Club Congratulated on San Francisco Motion Picture Production.**

Supervisors Mulvihill and Scott presented:

Resolution No. 20245 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the 100% Club of San

San Francisco, a civic organization composed of many prominent and public-spirited citizens, have inaugurated a campaign for the making of a motion picture of San Francisco, picturing the founding and development of San Francisco as well as capitalizing its romantic history and modern splendors; and

Whereas, this splendid movement will advertise to the world San Francisco as the commercial, educational, social and home center of the Pacific Coast; so therefore be it

Resolved, That we, the Board of Supervisors, congratulate the membership of the 100% Club for their civic pride in launching so worthy an undertaking, and we highly recommend and indorse this movement.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Announcement.

*Supervisor Bath* announced a meeting of the Judiciary Committee for Thursday, September 7, 1922, at 10 a. m., to consider proposed Charter Amendments.

#### Notification, Rincon Hill Assessment.

*Supervisor McLeran* presented:

Resolution No. 20247' (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk be directed to notify the property owners in the district to be regraded in the Rincon Hill project, of the amount of his or her assessment, and request them to notify the Board of Supervisors whether or not they are in favor of said assessment.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Spur Tract—Declaration of Policy.

The following were presented and read by the Clerk:

Your Joint Committee on Streets, Commercial Development, Public Utilities and Finance, which has had under consideration the petition of property owners for freight switching on Twelfth street between Mission and Harrison streets, recommends that it be made the declared policy of the Board of Supervisors to grant to the property owners permission to operate steam locomotives on Twelfth street between Mission and Harrison streets between certain hours of the day to be fixed by the Supervisors, when

proper application is made therefor to this Board.

Respectfully submitted,

JOSEPH MULVIHILL,  
JOHN A. MCGREGOR,  
W. S. SCOTT,  
FRANK ROBB,  
ANGELO J. ROSSI,  
J. EMMET HAYDEN,  
JESSE C. COLMAN,  
MARGARET MARY MORGAN,  
R. McLERAN,

Joint Committee on Streets, Commercial Development, Public Utilities and Finance.

A majority of your Joint Committee on Streets, Commercial Development, Public Utilities and Finance, which has had under consideration the petition of property owners for freight-switching service on Twelfth street between Mission and Harrison streets, recommends that it be made the declared policy of the Board of Supervisors to grant to the property owners electric or gas switching service on Twelfth street between Mission and Harrison streets, said electric or gas switching service to be paid for by the City and County of San Francisco.

Respectfully submitted,

E. E. SCHMITZ.  
JAS. B. MCSHEEHY.  
RICHARD J. WELCH.  
WARREN SHANNON.

#### Motions.

*Supervisor Mulvihill* moved the adoption of the majority report.

*Supervisor Schmitz* moved as an amendment the adoption of the minority report.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

*Andrew Branagan*, representing protesting property owners on Twelfth street, was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board. He opposed spur tracks operated by steam locomotives, but as a compromise he would favor the minority report favoring electric operation.

*Lewis Byington*, representing certain property owners, said that he favored the most adequate means of transportation and believed that steam is the best. He declared that experience has demonstrated that electric switching is impracticable.

*Louis Mooser* favored the minority report, provided City could enter into contract to make the service permanent.

*Andrew J. Branagan*, addressing himself to the majority report, declared that all people dwelling on Twelfth street were opposed to steam operation. Dwellings on Twelfth street and property on Eleventh street backing on private spurs on Twelfth will be seriously depreciated and damaged.

*Rev. P. E. Mulligan*, pastor of St. Joseph's Church, also vigorously opposed spur tracks on Twelfth street.

*Geo. Campe* and *Mr. Lucet* were also heard in opposition.

*C. Healy*, Assistant City Engineer, was also heard.

**Motion.**

*Supervisor McSheehy* moved that three members of the South Central Improvement Club and three from the Southern Promotion Association be appointed to report the exact cost of electrifying Twelfth street from Mission to Harrison.

*Supervisor Scott* raised point of order that matters are in the hands of the Board of Supervisors and cannot now be referred to a committee of citizens.

*Supervisor Shannoin* (in chair) declared point of order well taken.

Whereupon, the question being called on the minority report, the same was defeated by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Hynes, McSheehy, Powers, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch—7.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Wetmore—11.

**Majority Report Adopted.**

Thereupon, the roll being called on the majority report, the same was carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Wetmore—12.

Noes—Supervisors Hynes, McSheehy, Powers, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch—6.

*Supervisor Welch* reserved the right to file a statement for the record of his vote on the foregoing reports.

**Donation of Marina Lands to City.**

*Supervisor McGregor* moved the privilege of the floor for Mr. Frank Turner and E. A. Walcott, representing the Exposition Preservation League.

*Mr. F. Turner* thereupon addressed the Board and explained the organization of the Exposition Preservation League and its purposes; the donations of exposition stockholders and the purchase of a strip of land two blocks wide along the Marina from Fort Mason to the Presidio, except one or two parcels, with the funds so donated. He declared that it was his present purpose to make a verbal offering of this strip of land to the City for public purposes and in commemoration of our great Exposition in 1915.

*Supervisor McGregor* moved that the matter be referred to the Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee, and that Mr. Turner and Mr. Walcott be requested to put their offer in formal shape for consideration by said committee.

*Motion carried.*

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 7:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors October 9, 1922.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series), of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

RECEIVED  
SAN FRANCISCO  
LIBRARY

Monday, September 11, 1922.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



**THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY**  
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

VON

In  
Geo.  
m.  
The  
regul

To  
St  
Sup  
Ferd  
McSh  
Robb  
Wettr  
Ab

A  
apla  
ring  
men  
McLe  
Que  
His

The  
part  
18  
appr  
ROLL

To  
Bar  
Philip  
ris  
Ma  
time

GEN

To  
red  
Sal  
Son.  
Co  
Sal  
Ge  
Cald  
Lyon  
Lyon  
at a  
Lyon  
for  
as

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 11, 1922, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, September 11, 1922, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Scott—1.

A telegram from Supervisor Scott explained his inability to be present, owing to automobile breakdown, whereupon, on motion of Supervisor McLeran, he was excused.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meetings of July 31, 1922, and August 7, 1922, were considered read and approved.

### ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Telegram From Supervisor Scott.

San Luis Obispo, Cal., Sept. 11, 1922. Ralph McLeran, care Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, Cal. Machine trouble; can't arrive in time for meeting today.

WILLIAM S. SCOTT.

Leave of Absence, Treasurer McDougald.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal. Sept. 8, 1922. Hon. Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application having been made to me by Hon. John E. McDougald, Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, for leave of absence with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of thirty days, commencing September 11, 1922, I hereby re-

quest that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,  
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 20260 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. John E. McDougald, Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing September 11, 1922, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Scott—1.

Leave of Absence, Assessor Ginty.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Sept. 11, 1922. Hon. James Rolph, Jr., Mayor of San Francisco, and the Honorable Board of Supervisors.

Gentlemen: Under the provisions of Section 3 of Article XVI of the Charter, I respectfully request that I be given permission to take a trip to the high Sierras which may take me into the State of Nevada. Said absence from the State will not exceed ten days during the present month of September, 1922. I have not heretofore absented myself from the State during my term of office.

Respectfully yours,

JOHN GINTY,  
Assessor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 20261 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. John Ginty, Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing September 22, 1922, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman,

Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Scott—1.

**Relative to Conduct of Auditor's Office.**

*Communication*—From Wm. N. McCarthy in re Charter amendment placing elected officials under civil service and criticizing manner in which Auditor's office has been conducted since Charter was enacted.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

**Sheep Corrals.**

*Communication*—From Federation of Consolidated Clubs of South End District, declaring that livestock are again installed in the Moffit sheep corral in blocks bounded by Silliman, Hamilton, Fliton and Somerset streets, for which money has been appropriated for park and playground purposes.

**Dedication of New Guadalupe School.**

*Communication*—From Frank Tracey, president of Crocker Amazon Park Improvement Association, inviting Board to attend in a body the dedication of the new Guadalupe School at Prague and Cordova streets, September 17, 1922, at 1:30 p. m.

*Read and invitation accepted.*

**San Francisco Day at Pacific City.**

*Communication*—From D. Wooster Taylor, general manager Pacific City, inviting on behalf of Tri-Cities Chamber of Commerce attendance at Pacific City on San Francisco Day, Sunday, September 17, 1922.

*Read and invitation accepted.*

#### REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.

The following committees, by their respective chairman, presented reports on various matters referred, which reports were read and ordered filed:

Supplies Committee, by Supervisor Hilmer, chairman.

Fire Committee, by Supervisor Deasy, chairman.

Committee to Attend Annual Convention of the League of California Municipalities.

Pursuant to motion made and carried on September 5, 1922, his Honor the Mayor appointed Supervisors McLeran, Mulvihill, Schmitz and Welch to attend the twenty-fourth annual convention of the League of California Municipalities at Palo Alto, September 19 to 23, 1922.

**Tax Refund Judgments.**

Supervisor McLeran called attention to an order of the court in the issuance of a writ of mandate by the Supreme Court requiring the Board of Supervisors to insert in the tax rate

for the fiscal year 1922-1923 an item of .0025 mills to pay an aliquot part equal to one-tenth of the whole of various final judgments for the refund of taxes adjudged to have been illegally collected. He declared that unless this was done the Board would be in contempt of court.

#### Motions.

Supervisor McLeran thereupon moved that tax rate heretofore passed for printing be amended by reducing the item of "General Fund" from .8844 to .8819 and by inserting a new item, "Tax Refund Judgments," etc., \$0.0025.

Supervisor Hynes moved as an amendment to the amendment that the .0025 mills to cover "Judgments" be taken from the Park Fund.

Amendment to the amendment defeated by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Hynes, McSheehy—2.

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisor Scott—1.

Whereupon, the roll being called on Supervisor McLeran's motion to amend, the same carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Noes—Supervisors Hynes, McSheehy—2.

Absent—Supervisor Scott—1.

**Passed for Printing as Amended.**

Thereupon, the tax levy as amended and in words and figures following was passed for printing by the following vote:

**Tax Levy, 1922-1923.**

Bill No. 6108, Ordinance No. 5690 (New Series), as follows:

Providing revenue and levying taxes for City and County purposes for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1923.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Under and pursuant to the provisions of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and of the laws of the State of California, and in conformity therewith, a tax is hereby levied for City and County purposes, including special City and County school building purposes, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1923, on all the property, real and personal, in the City and County of San Francisco, except such property as is by law exempt from taxation, in the sum of three and forty-seven hundredths (3.47) dollars on each one hundred

dollars' valuation of said taxable property, as the same appears upon the assessment roll of said City and County for said fiscal year, which said sum of three and forty-seven hundredths (3.47) dollars on each one hundred dollars' valuation as aforesaid is hereby apportioned to the funds and accounts and for the purposes designated as follows:

For the General Fund, to meet all expenses of the City and County not otherwise herein provided for, a rate of....\$0.8819

To pay an aliquot part equal to one-tenth of the whole of each of the following final judgments against the City and County of San Francisco pursuant to writs of mandate served upon the members of the Board of Supervisors, to-wit: one-tenth of the amount of each of the judgments referred to in the writs of mandate issued in the following named proceedings:

Southern Pacific Company vs. Boyle et al.; Frederick A. Wilson et al. vs. Rolph et al.; Mary E. Chilton et al. vs. Rolph et al.; Alexander D. Keyes et al. vs. Rolph et al.; Albert G. Luchsinger vs. Rolph et al.; Herbert W. Erskine vs. Rolph et al.; Oscar Heyman & Brothers vs. Bath et al.; said actions being commenced in the District Court of Appeal of the State of California in and for the First Appellate District and numbered therein, respectively, Nos. 4312, 4317, 4318, 4319, 4320, 4321 and 4309, and Annie N. Farrel et al. vs. Board of Supervisors et al., commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of California and numbered therein, S. F. No. 10320... .0025

For the General Fund, to meet the cost of the construction and repairs to streets, sewers and buildings for the Detention Home and for the Police, Fire, Health and School Departments other than the special school building tax hereinafter provided for, the rate of . . . . .3026

For the General Fund, to meet the cost of elections and to pay demands, salaries, expenses or other obligations imposed upon the City and County by legislative or constitutional enactment of the State of California or of the United States, other than the minimum City and County school taxes hereinafter pro-

vided for; expenses or other obligations imposed upon the City and County by direct vote of the people of the City and County of San Francisco, the rate of..... .6602

For the City and County Elementary School Fund, the minimum City and County school tax for the elementary school to equal the estimated minimum for such purpose furnished to the Board of Supervisors by the Superintendent of Schools, in accordance with the provisions of Section 1817 of the Political Code or the State, the rate of ..... .2184

For the City and County High School Fund, the minimum City and County high school tax to equal the estimated minimum for such purposes furnished to the Board of Supervisors by the Superintendent of Schools in accordance with the provisions of Section 1764 of the Political Code of the State ..... .0795

For a special school tax for the School Building Fund, the rate of ..... .1500

For the Common School Fund, in addition to the minimum amounts for elementary schools and high schools and the special school tax for the School Building Fund hereinbefore provided, the rate of ..... .2414

For the Library Fund, to meet the cost of maintaining Public Libraries and the purchase of books therefor, the rate of ..... .0373

For the Park Fund, to pay for the maintenance of parks, squares and public grounds, rate of ..... .1000

For the Firemen's Relief and Pension Fund, the rate of.. .0342

For special tax levied for publicity and advertising pursuant to Subdivision 33 of Section 4041 of the Political Code of the State, the rate of ..... .0082

For Retirement System for City Employees ..... .0404

For Maintenance of the Blind, Statutes 1919, Chap. 144.... .0025

For the respective funds to redeem and pay the interest on bonds sold prior to November 8, 1910, as follows:

Street Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904 .. .00574

Children's Playground Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904 ..... .00426

Golden Gate Park and Presidio Extension Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904	.00190
Mission Park Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904	.00168
Fire Protection Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908	.03628
Sewer Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908..	.02203
School Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue of 1908	.02722
Hospital Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908..	.01095
Hall of Justice Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908	.00624
Garbage Disposal Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908	.00770
For the respective funds to redeem and pay the interest on bonds sold since November 8, 1910, as follows:	
Street Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904 ..	.00082
School Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1918..	.05433
Library Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904 ..	.01109
Fire Protection Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908	.01083
Sewer Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 ..	.01487
School Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908..	.02470
Hospital Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908..	.01080
Hall of Justice Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908	.00403
Polytechnic High School Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1910	.00675
Water Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1910 ..	.26975
City Hall Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1912	.09477
Exposition Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1912	.06046
Hospital-Jail Completion Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1913.....	.02370

Total .....\$3.47

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Noes—Supervisors Hynes, McSheehy—2.

Absent—Supervisor Scott—1.

#### Explanation of Vote.

*Supervisor Schmitz*—When this tax levy came up for passage to print I was against it. I am no more in favor

of it now than I was then. I voted to take out of one section in order to provide for judgments against the City pursuant to the writs of mandate, and on final passage I will vote against this ordinance. However, in order to have this go to print and in order that the writs of mandate may be complied with by fifteen votes of this Board, I vote *aye* on passage to print.

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

##### Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and on motion *finally passed* by the following vote:

##### Authorizations.

Resolution No. 20248 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

##### *Tearing-Up Streets Fund.*

(1) T. M. Gallagher, covering over side sewer trenches (claim dated Aug. 23, 1922), \$988.40.

(2) Sewer repair account, Dept. Public Works, truck usage, side sewer construction (claim dated Aug 23, 1922), \$1,098.

##### *Library Fund.*

(3) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$775.22.

(4) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$1,688.01.

(5) Montgomery Bros., one air conditioner, Public Library (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$1,267.

(6) Foster & Futernick Co., binding books (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$606.10.

(7) Shell Co. of California, fuel oil, Public Library (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$510.

(8) American Building Maintenance Co., service during July, Public Library (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$465.

##### *Special School Tax.*

(9) Heywood Bros. & Wakefield Co., chairs to Parkside School (claim dated Aug. 15, 1922), \$1,020.

(10) C. F. Weber & Co., desks to Guadalupe School (claim dated Aug. 15, 1922), \$1,112.70.

(11) C. F. Weber & Co., desks to Commodore Sloat School (claim dated Aug. 15, 1922), \$1,133.40.

(12) John Reid, Jr., eighth payment, architectural service, Parkside School (claim dated Aug. 23, 1922), \$845.97.

(13) S. M. Radelfinger, first and final payment, electric work in temporary buildings for Mission High and Humboldt Evening high schools (claim dated Aug. 23, 1922), \$1,345.

(14) P. F. Reilly, third payment, general construction of temporary buildings for Mission Hikh and Humboldt High schools (claim dated Aug. 23, 1922), \$16,615.50.

*Park Fund.*

(15) Owen I. McHugh, clay for parks (claim dated Aug. 25, 1922), \$1,238.92.

(16) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., lighting and power service for parks (claim dated Aug. 25, 1922), \$955.69.

(17) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished parks (claim dated Aug. 25, 1922), \$4,384.11.

*Water Bonds, 1910.*

(18) Ingersoll-Rand Co., machine parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 22, 1922), \$1,181.57.

(19) Keystone Lubricating Co., machine grease (claim dated Aug. 22, 1922), \$933.13.

(20) Hercules Powder Co., powder, etc. (claim dated Aug. 22, 1922), \$16,665.02.

(21) Sullivan Machinery Co., machine parts (claim dated Aug. 22, 1922), \$586.31.

(22) Foppiano, Solari & Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Aug. 22, 1922), \$1,284.98.

(23) Chas. R. McCormick Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Aug. 22, 1922), \$1,724.48.

(24) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Aug. 22, 1922), \$1,477.85.

(25) Coast Supply Co., black pipe (claim dated Aug. 22, 1922), \$801.30.

(26) Sussman, Wormser & Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Aug. 22, 1922), \$1,058.45.

(27) Sperry Flour Co., flour (claim dated Aug. 22, 1922), \$1,189.50.

(28) A. Levy & J. Zentner Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Aug. 22, 1922), \$947.64.

(29) Haas Brothers, foodstuffs (claim dated Aug. 22, 1922), \$1,239.18.

(30) Fred L. Hilmer Co., eggs (claim dated Aug. 22, 1922), \$1,211.31.

(31) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Aug. 22, 1922), \$1,205.21.

(32) Wm. Cluff Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Aug. 23, 1922), \$1,816.06.

(33) Sherry Bros., Inc., foodstuffs (claim dated Aug. 23, 1922), \$1,417.87.

(34) Continental Petroleum Refining Co., fuel oil (claim dated Aug. 23, 1922), \$519.73.

(35) George H. Tay Co., black pipe (claim dated Aug. 23, 1922), \$527.25.

(36) Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson, Inc., black pipe (claim dated Aug. 23, 1922), \$535.67.

(37) Haas Brothers, foodstuffs (claim dated Aug. 23, 1922), \$976.48.

(38) Edward W. Brown Co., foodstuffs, etc. (claim dated Aug. 23, 1922), \$1,100.63.

(39) Baumgarten Bros., meats (claim dated Aug. 23, 1922), \$14,669.81.

(40) Associated Oil Co., fuel oil, etc. (claim dated Aug. 23, 1922), \$1,754.31.

(41) Dodge, Sweeney & Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Aug. 23, 1922), \$833.82.

(42) The Giant Powder Co. Con. giant gelatin, etc. (claim dated Aug. 23, 1922), \$8,685.11.

(43) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., hardware (claim dated Aug. 23, 1922), \$896.07.

(44) Alexander-Balart Co., coffee (claim dated Aug. 23, 1922), \$661.50.

(45) California Steam & Plumbing Supply Co., black pipe (claim dated Aug. 24, 1922), \$842.16.

(46) C. A. De Camp & Co., two refrigerating machines (claim dated Aug. 24, 1922), \$896.

(47) Firestone Tire & Rubber Co., tubes and tires (claim dated Aug. 24, 1922), \$1,420.60.

(48) Engineering Products Co., rail parts (claim dated Aug. 24, 1922), \$786.

(49) Hercules Powder Co., powder (claim dated Aug. 24, 1922), \$4,625.

(50) Walter S. Leland, two ice machine pumps, etc. (claim dated Aug. 24, 1922), \$546.53.

*School Bonds, 1918.*

(51) J. M. Lettich, second payment, heating and ventilating, Crocker Amazon School (claim dated Aug. 23, 1922), \$1,840.59.

*General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(52) Neal, Stratford & Kerr, printing indexes, Dept. of Elections (claim dated June 30, 1922), \$11,286.86.

*General Fund, 1922-1923.*

(53) Felix Gross Co., hauling and erecting election booths (claim dated Aug. 24, 1922), \$4,000.

(54) Neal, Stratford & Kerr, printing election indexes (claim dated Aug. 24, 1922), \$8,464.94.

(55) Frederick H. Meyer, first payment, architectural service, Fire Dept. Engine House No. 39 (claim dated Aug. 23, 1922), \$1,462.98.

(56) Shell Co., fuel oil, Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$1,686.

(57) Sperry Flour Co., flour, etc., Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 21, 1922), \$557.65.

(58) Johnson & Johnson, supplies, S. F. Hospital (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$645.10.

(59) Makins Produce Co., eggs, S. F. Hospital (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$1,676.20.

(60) South San Francisco Packing & Provision Co., meats, S. F. Hospital (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$682.78.

(61) Miller & Lux, meats, S. F. Hospital (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$1,089.23.

(62) Sherry Bros., Inc., butter, etc.,

S. F. Hospital (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$1,238.40.

(63) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, S. F. Hospital (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$2,900.12.

(64) C. Nauman & Co., foodstuffs, S. F. Hospital (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$1,374.93.

(65) Oliva Bros., foodstuffs, S. F. Hospital (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$619.21.

(66) Shell Oil Co., fuel oil, S. F. Hospital (claim dated July 31, 1922), \$2,710.50.

(67) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., July street lighting (claim dated Aug. 28, 1922), \$46,666.66.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Scott—1.

#### Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 20249 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Frank E. Lang and Henry C. Witt to maintain a public garage on the west side of Ninth avenue, 250 feet south of Irving street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit becomes null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

No—Supervisor Bath—1.

Absent—Supervisor Scott—1.

#### Permits.

Resolution No. 20250 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

#### Hand Laundry.

Jean Labarere, at 1977 Union street.

#### Automobile Supply Station.

Lubricating Gasoline Oil Company, at 806-810 Mission street; also to store 300 gallons of gasoline. The provisions of Ordinance No. 2659 (New Series) and all regulations imposed by the Fire Marshal must be strictly complied with.

#### Oil Storage Tank.

(1500 gallons capacity.)

Hattie Peiser, at 1701 Broadway.

Sunset Cafeteria Co., at 25 Mason street.

George H. Sandy, on south side of Twentieth street, 50 feet west of Dolores street.

Gus Lachman, on north side of Seventeenth street, 75 feet east of Mission street.

Guittard Chocolate Co., at 135 Main street.

#### Boiler.

Sunset Cafeteria Co., at 25 Mason street; 15 horsepower.

Guittard Chocolate Co., at 135 Main street; 25 horsepower.

California Supply Company, at 738 Folsom street; 15 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Scott—1.

#### Amendment to Additional Positions Ordinance.

Bill No. 6099, Ordinance No. 5686 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), entitled "Ordinance of Additional Positions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby added to Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), known as "Ordinance of Additional Positions," two new sections, to be known as Sections 28A and 28B, to read as follows:

Section 28A. The maximum entrance salary for appointees to positions classified by the Civil Service Commission as General Clerks is hereby fixed at \$1,500 per annum, and such entrance salary shall not be increased within six months after appointment. No General Clerk shall be eligible for salary advancement from Grade 3 to Grade 4 of Civil Service Rule 38 unless at least one year's service under permanent appointment has been had in the class.

Section 28B. In the event of a vacancy occurring in any position named in this ordinance and subject to Article XIII of the Charter, the appointing power may, with the consent of the Civil Service Commission, make an appointment to such vacancy at a salary less than that fixed for such position in this ordinance. This section is intended to obviate the necessity of paying to a new and inexperienced employee the same salary that previously had been paid to an old and experienced employee in the same position.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Scott—1.

### Establishing Set Back Lines, Tenth Avenue Between Anza and Balboa Streets.

Bill No. 6100, Ordinance No. 5687 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set back lines along Tenth avenue between Anza street and Balboa street.

It is hereby recited that on the 7th day of August, 1922, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 1, to establish set back lines along both sides of Tenth avenue between Anza street and Balboa street, and fixed the 28th day of August, 1922, at 2 o'clock p. m., at the Chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereon; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said street in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5635 (New Series), that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that no objections were made except those that were duly and properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series) set back lines are hereby established along both sides of Tenth avenue between the southerly line of Anza street and the northerly line of Balboa street, which said lines shall be twelve feet distant and parallel to the line of Tenth avenue, as shown on the map filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structure shall be erected in the area between said set back lines and the street line except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series) and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Scott—1.

### Regulating Sale of Gasoline.

Bill No. 6101, Ordinance No. 5688 (New Series), as follows:

Regulating the sale of gasoline and providing for the exhibition of disks indicating the name or trademark of the manufacturer of such gasoline.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to sell gasoline manufactured by any other person, firm or corporation from any

tank, barrel, drum or other movable or stationary container unless there is securely fastened to each pump attached to such container, in such manner as to be plainly visible to any person purchasing such gasoline, a metal disk not less than twelve (12) inches in diameter bearing in letters not less than one inch in height the name and/or the trademark of the manufacturer of such gasoline.

Section 2. Any person, firm or corporation violating any provision of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine not exceeding three hundred (300) dollars or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than one month, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect thirty days after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Scott—1.

### Regulating Construction and Use of Automobile Supply Stations.

Bill No. 6102, Ordinance No. 5689 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 5 of Ordinance No. 2659 (New Series), entitled "Regulating the construction and use of buildings to be used as automobile supply stations, and regulating and providing for the storage and use of gasoline in connection therewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 5 of Ordinance No. 2659 (New Series), the title to which is above recited, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 5. "Storage of Gasoline." Except as hereinafter provided in this ordinance, all gasoline shall be stored in underground tanks, not to exceed the following capacity and amounts: No gasoline or any other product of petroleum or hydro-carbon liquid that will flash or emit an inflammable vapor below the temperature of one hundred and ten (110) degrees Fahrenheit shall be allowed inside the building of an automobile supply station; provided, however, gasoline may be kept above ground in approved, portable filling-wheel tanks. No one approved portable, filling-wheel tank shall have a capacity of more than fifty (50) gallons. Not more than two (2) approved portable filling-wheel tanks shall be allowed for any one automobile supply station.

All said approved portable filling-wheel tanks shall be mounted on all metal wheels with rubber tires, each to be equipped with an approved pump,

fitted with hose attachment not to exceed eight (8) feet in length, fitted with a ground shut-off nozzle.

All portable filling-wheel tanks when not in use shall be kept near the entrance of the automobile supply station so that in case of fire they can be readily removed from the building.

No one (1) storage tank shall have a capacity greater than five hundred (500) gallons.

Not more than four (4) tanks of five hundred (500) gallons capacity each or an aggregate total amount of two thousand (2,000) gallons of gasoline shall be stored in connection with any one (1) automobile supply station.

Said storage tank or tanks shall be constructed and installed as herein-after provided.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Scott—1.

**Indefinitely Postponed.**

The following Bill was, on motion of Supervisor Deasy, *indefinitely postponed*:

**Regulating Sale of Lubricating Oil.**

Bill No. —, regulating the sale of any oil represented as lubricating oil for the cylinders of internal combustion engines.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to sell, offer for sale or delivery, or to cause or permit to be sold, offered for sale or delivered in the City and County of San Francisco, any oil represented as lubricating oil for the cylinders of internal combustion engines unless there shall be firmly attached or painted at or near the point of outlet from which said oil represented as lubricating oil for the cylinders of internal combustion engines is drawn or poured out for sale or delivery, a sign or label consisting of the word or words in letters not less than three (3) inches in height, comprising the brand or trade name of said lubricating oil; provided that when said sign or label is attached to the faucet or valve of a tank-truck or tank-wagon, the letters shall be not less than  $\frac{3}{4}$ -inch in height, and provided that if the above required sign or label is on a container having a capacity of fifteen (15) gallons or less, the letters shall be not less than  $\frac{1}{2}$ -inch in height, and provided that if any of said lubricating oil shall have no brand or trade name, the above required sign or label

shall consist of the words, in letters not less than three (3) inches high, with the exception above provided "Lubricating oil, no brand."

Section 2. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to display any sign, label or other designating mark which describes any petroleum oil or petroleum product not actually sold or offered for sale or delivery at the location at which the sign or other designating mark is displayed or to display any label upon any container, which label names or describes a petroleum product not actually contained therein, but offered for sale or sold as such.

Section 3. Any person, firm or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not more than five hundred (\$500) dollars or by imprisonment in the county jail for a period of not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 4. Each such person, firm or corporation shall be deemed guilty of a separate offense for every day during any portion of which any violation of any provision of this ordinance is committed, continued or permitted by such person, firm or corporation, and shall be punishable therefor as provided by this ordinance.

Section 5. This ordinance shall take effect thirty days after its passage.

**Passed for Printing.**

Whereupon, the following bill was presented by Supervisor Deasy and passed for printing:

Bill No. 6107, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Regulating the sale of any oil represented as lubricating oil for the cylinders of internal combustion engines.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to sell, offer for sale or deliver, or to cause or permit to be sold, offered for sale or delivered in the City and County of San Francisco, any oil represented as lubricating oil for the cylinders of internal combustion engines unless there shall be firmly attached or painted at or near the point of outlet from which said oil represented as lubricating oil for the cylinders of internal combustion engines is drawn or poured out for sale or delivery, a sign or label consisting of the word or words in letters not less than one (1) inch in height, comprising the brand or trade name of said lubricating oil; provided that when said sign or label is attached to the faucet or valve of a tank-truck or tank-wagon, the letters shall be not

less than  $\frac{3}{4}$  inch in height, and provided that if the above required sign or label is on a container having a capacity of fifteen (15) gallons or less, the letters shall be not less than  $\frac{1}{2}$  inch in height, and provided that if any of said lubricating oil shall have no brand or trade name, the above required sign or label shall consist of the words, in letters not less than three (3) inches high, with the exception above provided, "Lubricating oil, no brand."

Section 2. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to display any sign, label or other designating mark which describes any petroleum oil or petroleum product not actually sold or offered for sale or delivery at the location at which the sign or other designating word is displayed or to display any label upon any container, which label names or describes a petroleum product not actually contained therein, but offered for sale or sold as such.

Section 3. Any person, firm or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not more than five hundred (500) dollars or by imprisonment in the county jail for a period of not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 4. Each such person, firm or corporation shall be deemed guilty of a separate offense for every day during any portion of which any violation of any provision of this ordinance is committed, continued or permitted by such person, firm or corporation, and shall be punishable therefor as provided by this ordinance.

Section 5. This ordinance shall take effect 30 days after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Scott—1.

#### REPORT OF FINANCE COMMITTEE.

Demands on the Treasury amounting to \$237,474.70, including the following Urgent Necessity, were presented and approved by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Scott—1.

#### *Urgent Necessity.*

Spring Valley Water Co., water, public troughs, \$132.18.

Pacific Telephone & Telegraph Co., outside official phones, \$4.35.

Western Union Telegraph Co., official telegrams, \$0.98.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Scott—1.

#### NEW BUSINESS.

##### Auditorium Rentals.

Resolution No. 20251 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following organizations be granted permission to occupy the halls in the Auditorium; deposits having been paid to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to guarantee the rental fees:

Young Men's Institute, use of Main Hall February 2, 1923, 6 p. m. to 12 p. m., for the purpose of holding drill and reception.

Woodmen of the World, use of Main Hall on December 16, 1922, 6 p. m. to 12 p. m., for the purpose of holding initiatory ceremony.

Dreamland Athletic Club, use of Main Hall September 19 and 26, 1922, 6 p. m. to 12 p. m. each date, for the purpose of holding wrestling exhibitions.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Scott—1.

##### *Passed for Printing.*

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

##### Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McLeran:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

##### *School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(1) Helen S. Becker, third payment, heating and ventilating Mission High School Addition (claim dated Sept. 6, 1922), \$2,528.32.

(2) Scott Company, third payment, plumbing work, Mission High School Addition (claim dated Sept. 6, 1922), \$1,191.26.

(3) Standard Electrical Construction Co., fifth payment, electric work, Mission High School Addition (claim dated Sept. 6, 1922), \$1,650.00.

(4) Scott Company, second payment, heating and ventilating, Adams School (claim dated Sept. 6, 1922), \$754.50.

(5) M. B. McGowan, first payment, brick, stone and terra cotta work, Co-

lumbus School (claim dated Sept. 6, 1922), \$3,000.00.

(6) C. Petersen Co., fourth payment, heating and ventilating, North Beach (Galileo) High School (claim dated Sept. 6, 1922), \$3,885.00.

(7) F. E. Newberry Co., final payment, electric work, Crocker-Amazon School (claim dated Sept. 6, 1922), \$963.75.

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(8) American Maganese Steel Co., shovel and machine parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 7, 1922), \$1,123.68.

(9) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., hardware, etc. (claim dated Sept. 7, 1922), \$1,116.65.

(10) William Cluff Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Sept. 7, 1922), \$1,984.59.

(11) Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co., hardware, etc. (claim dated Sept. 7, 1922), \$525.86.

(12) Fairbanks, Morse & Co., Inc., motor frame parts (claim dated Sept. 7, 1922), \$1,005.92.

(13) Foppiano, Solari & Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Sept. 7, 1922), \$527.43.

(14) Ingersoll, Rand & Co., machine parts (claim dated Sept. 7, 1922), \$1,744.45.

(15) Ingersoll, Rand & Co., machine parts (claim dated Sept. 7, 1922), \$2,940.32.

(16) J. F. Mitchell, machine parts (claim dated Sept. 7, 1922), \$619.49.

(17) W. E. Mushet Co., fire brick (claim dated Sept. 7, 1922), \$710.00.

(18) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers attached (claim dated Sept. 7, 1922), \$595.19.

(19) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, American Railway Express payments, per vouchers (claim dated Sept. 7, 1922), \$654.88.

(20) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Sierra Railway Co., payments, per vouchers (claim dated Sept. 7, 1922), \$1,558.78.

(21) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Sierra Railway Co., payments, per vouchers (claim dated Sept. 7, 1922), \$1,996.56.

(22) Grant Smith & Co., first payment, Pulgas Tunnel construction (claim dated Sept. 7, 1922), \$12,936.49.

(23) Foppiano, Solari & Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Sept. 5, 1922), \$2,395.06.

(24) S. A. Ferretti, foodstuffs (claim dated Sept. 5, 1922), \$553.81.

(24½) Roland W. Moyer, expenses re death of Ethel E. Moyer (claim dated Sept. 5, 1922), \$530.45.

(25) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Sept. 5, 1922), \$1,479.53.

(26) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Sept. 5, 1922), \$1,425.74.

(27) The Utah Construction Co., extra work, clearing, etc. (claim dated Sept. 5, 1922), \$7,183.37.

*Special School Tax, 1922-1923.*

(28) Joseph Greenback, second payment, lathing and plastering, Emerson School (claim dated Sept. 6, 1922), \$7,398.89.

(29) Jas. F. Smith, first payment, lathing and plastering, Mission High School Addition (claim dated Sept. 6, 1922), \$3,180.00.

(30) John Morton, fourth payment, general construction of Oral Deaf School (claim dated Sept. 6, 1922), \$3,967.31.

(31) Thomas Skelly, first payment, plumbing, Emerson School (claim dated Sept. 6, 1922), \$2,627.25.

(32) The Turner Co., final payment, heating and ventilating, Parkside School (claim dated Sept. 6, 1922), \$3,940.00.

(33) J. E. O'Mara Co., first payment, plumbing, Oral Deaf School (claim dated Sept. 6, 1922), \$997.84.

(34) Scott Co., first payment, heating and ventilating, Emerson School (claim dated Sept. 6, 1922), \$3,105.00.

*County Road Fund.*

(35) Pacific States Construction Co., first payment, improvement of Great Highway between Cabrillo and Fulton streets (claim dated Sept. 8, 1922), \$6,514.65.

*Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.*

(36) Eaton & Smith, fourth payment, construction of Taraval street line of Municipal Railways (claim dated Sept. 8, 1922), \$23,960.00.

*Park Fund.*

(37) Board of Public Works, City and County of San Francisco, asphalt-ing parks (claim dated Sept. 8, 1922), \$1,870.80.

(38) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., service furnished parks (claim dated Sept. 8, 1922), \$1,699.71.

(39) Spring Valley Water Co., labor and material furnished Golf Links at Lake Merced (claim dated Sept. 8, 1922), \$10,180.01.

*General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(40) I. M. Sommer, fourth payment, construction of Fire Department drill tower (claim dated Sept. 6, 1922), \$5,478.48.

*General Fund, 1922-1923.*

(41) Robert Trost, second payment, general construction of Engine House No. 39, Fire Department (claim dated Sept. 7, 1922), \$8,842.50.

(42) E. J. Treacy, improvement of Twenty-fifth avenue between Irving and Judah streets (claim dated Sept. 8, 1922), \$1,554.82.

(43) C. B. Cowden, final payment, sewer construction, in Great Highway from Noriega street southerly (claim dated Sept. 8, 1922), \$10,095.95.

(44) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished playgrounds (claim dated Sept. 6, 1922), \$1,051.44.

(45) San Francisco Society for Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated Sept. 11, 1922), \$1,000.00.

(46) Baumgarten Bros. meats, Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$773.47.

(47) California Meat Co., meats, Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$1,849.19.

(48) Makins Produce Co., eggs, Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$954.60.

(49) Miller & Lux, meats, Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$715.78.

(50) Producers Hay Co., alfalfa, Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 30, 1922), \$754.52.

(51) Sherry Bros., Inc., butter and cheese, Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$883.35.

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(52) Utah Construction Co., thirty-fourth payment, construction of Hetch Hetchy Dam and appurtenances (claim dated Sept. 8, 1922), \$347,881.34.

*Appropriation, \$25,000, Repairs, etc., School Buildings.*

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$25,000.00 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated, and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax, 1922, 1923, for repairs and maintenance of school buildings.

(Recommendation of Board of Education.)

*Appropriation,, \$1,575, Painting, etc., Relief Home.*

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$1,575.00 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Miscellaneous Repairs, etc., to Buildings, Budget Item No. 60, for painting of Relief Home superintendent's cottage, library and kitchen.

*Action Deferred.*

The following resolution was on motion *laid over one week*:

*Appropriation, \$11,365.57, Depreciation Fund to Operating Fund, Municipal Railway.*

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$11,365.57 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Municipal Depreciation Fund to the credit of Municipal Railway Operating Fund.

(Recommendation of Board of Public Works, dated Sept. 6, 1922.)

*Payment of Hetch Hetchy Fire Damage.*

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 20252 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, Upon the recommendation of the City Engineer and the Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply project that the following sums be paid to the following named persons, in full satisfaction for injury and damage to their property caused by fires resulting from operation of the Hetch Hetchy Railroad, viz.:

Peter Carroll, \$75.00.

Daniel Corcoran, \$200.00.

Herbert Stevens, \$70.00.

The Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply project is authorized to pay said sums to said claimants upon receipt of the proper releases.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Scott—1.

*Passed for Printing.*

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

*Garage, Furnace and Oil Permits.*

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

*Transfer Public Garage.*

To J. J. Mahoney, permit granted by Resolution No. 19984 (New Series) to Joseph Pasqualetti for premises situate on north side of Union street, 150 feet east of Franklin street.

To Isaac Moss, permit granted by Resolution 19562 (New Series) to Souther & Stewart for premises situate northeast corner of Post and Hyde streets.

*Cupola Furnace.*

Mendelson Iron & Metal Co., at 618 Townsend street, to be used in melting metals.

*Oil Storage Tank.*

Matson Navigation Co., on east side of Main street, 70 feet south of Market street, 2500 gallons capacity.

Federal Reserve Bank, at southwest corner of Sansome and Sacramento streets, two tanks, each 1630 gallons capacity.

Samuel H. Levin, on north side of Ocean avenue, 100 feet east of Faxon avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Penziner, at southeast corner of Bush and Taylor streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry C. Carr, at northeast corner of Twenty-sixth avenue and Clement street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this reso-

lution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

**Masquerade Ball Permit.**

Supervisor Robb presented:

Resolution No. 20253 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted Potrero Club to hold a masquerade ball at Knights of Columbus Hall, 150 Golden Gate avenue, Saturday evening, November 4, 1922, upon payment of the usual license fee.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Scott—1.

**Accepting Offers to Sell Land for Hetch Hetchy Viaduct Right of Way.**

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 20254 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco from the following described owners of the following described parcels of land situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, required as a right of way for the aqueduct on the Hetch Hetchy water supply project, for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Albert B. Hood, \$230.

A parcel of land in the County of San Mateo, State of California, being a portion of Lot 1 in Block 19, described as follows:

From an iron pipe located at the intersection of the southwesterly line of Canyon Road with the northwesterly line of Brewster avenue, said pipe also marking the most easterly corner of Lot 1, Block 19, as shown and designated on a map entitled "Map of Oak Knoll Manor, Redwood City, San Mateo County, Cal.," which was filed in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County, May 10, 1916, in Book 10 of Maps, pages 4 to 11; thence running south 45 deg. 05 min. west 194.53 feet along said line of Brewster avenue to the point of beginning; thence north 84 deg. 13 min. west 191.01 feet to the southeasterly line of Upland road; thence southwesterly 12.34 feet along said line of Upland road on a curve with a radius of 211.53 feet to the southwesterly corner of said Lot 1; thence south 62 deg. 20 min. east 150.69 feet along the southwesterly line of said Lot 1 to the southeasterly corner of said Lot 1; thence north 45 deg. 05 min. east 87.54 feet along the northwesterly line of Brewster avenue to the point of beginning.

It is made a condition of the deed, nevertheless, that the party of the

first part, his heirs and assigns, shall have the right to cross over said above described parcel, and to plant grass upon the same; provided that the party of the first part in exercising the privilege hereinabove mentioned shall not interfere with the construction, operation or maintenance of the Hetch Hetchy pipe line or lines constructed beneath the surface of said parcel.

J. B. Dowd, \$375.

Lot No. 5, as the same is laid down and delineated and designated in that subdivision entitled "Resubdivision of Lots 4 and 5 in Block No. 23 of Oak Knoll Manor, Redwood City, San Mateo County, California," and filed September 5, 1919, in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County, in volume 10 of Maps at page 26, which map and said record thereof are hereby referred to and made a part hereof.

(Being a portion of Lot No. 5 in the above described resubdivision.)

Whereas, said purchase prices are in accordance with the appraisement of said lands made by Joseph J. Phillips, right-of-way agent for the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer, the above described offers of the above-named property owners to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the above-named parcels of land for the sums set forth opposite their respective names be and the same is hereby accepted.

The Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy water supply is hereby authorized and directed to prepare the necessary papers and deeds to close the transactions and to arrange for the payment of the purchase prices to the sellers, upon receipt of deeds conveying said property to the City and County of San Francisco, clear of all incumbrances.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Scott—1.

**Purchase of Hetch Hetchy Rights of Way.**

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 20255 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, Upon recommendation of the City Engineer and Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy water supply the following purchases for rights of way on the Hetch Hetchy project are approved:

Mary Cavagnaro (spur track right of way), \$60.

Carolina Cavagnaro (spur track right of way), \$50.

Henry W. Keith (right to take gravel), \$50.

T. M. & J. J. Hughes (pole line light of way), \$469.20.

C. F. Leithold (right to haul poles over land), \$25.

First National Bank of Sonora (deposit on order of Superior Court to protect C. F. Leithold, pending award of compensation in condemnation suit of pole line right of way), \$600.

Payment of the foregoing amounts to the persons named is authorized upon receipt of conveyances and receipts satisfactory in form to Special Counsel, Hetch Hetchy water supply.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Scott—1.

#### Intention to Close Homer Street.

Resolution No. 20256 (New Series), as follows:

Declaring that the public interest requires that Homer street be closed up in part as hereinafter described; Be it further

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close up in part said Homer street, said part of Homer street to be closed up being described as follows, to-wit:

That part of Homer street commencing at a point on the northwesterly line of Homer street, distant thereon eighty feet northeasterly from Chesley street; thence northeasterly along the northwesterly line of Homer street forty feet; thence at right angles southeasterly seventeen and 6/12 feet; thence at right angles southwesterly along the southeasterly line of Homer street forty feet; thence at right angles northwesterly seventeen and 6/12 feet to the point of commencement in the 600 vara block 410.

Said closing up of said part of Homer street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 of Chapter of Article VI of the Charter, as amended, and the sections of said chapter and article following said Section . Be it further

Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing up of said part of Homer street be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Scott—1.

#### Extension of Time.

Resolution No. 20257 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That C. de Bretville be granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after September 24, 1922, within which to complete contract for the improvement of North Point street between Columbus avenue and The Embarcadero.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor has been delayed owing to the relaying of tracks by the Southern Pacific Company in this street.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Scott—1.

Mayor to Sell Municipal Railway Junk.

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 20258 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be hereby authorized and requested pursuant to petition filed by the Board of Public Works to sell at public auction the following personal property unfit and unnecessary for the use of the City and County, viz.: Approximately 100 tons of rail scrap removed from the Municipal Railway and now located at the Municipal Pipe Yard.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Scott—1.

Mayor to Sell Hospital Property no Longer Needed.

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 20259 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor is hereby authorized and requested, pursuant to petition filed by the Board of Health, to sell at public auction the following personal property at the San Francisco Hospital, unfit or unnecessary for the use of the City and County, viz.:

7 barrels (with heads).  
3 barrels electric globes.  
17 barrels enamel ware.  
1 empty barrel (no head).  
1200 pounds cast iron.  
1 lot galvanized buckets (about 150).

32 5-gallon cans.

1 lot dishpans (about 25).

1 lot garbage can covers.

3 coil steel elevator ropes (360 feet).

46 dozen peroxide bottles.

1 lot food trays (about 50).

1 lot enamel pans (about 50).

1 copper pot.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, Mc-

Leran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Scott—1.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Charter Amendments, Board of Supervisors to Fix Salaries, Etc.**

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

*Charter Amendment No. —.*

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding a new section to Chapter II of Article II, to be known as Section 24a, by amending Section 3 of Chapter I of Article VI and Section 5 of Article X and by repealing Section 10 of Article X, relating to fixing of salaries by the Board of Supervisors.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the general election to be held on the 7th day of November, 1922, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County as follows:

That Chapter II of Article II is hereby amended by adding a new section to be numbered 24a and to read as follows:

Section 24a. The Board of Supervisors shall fix the salaries and compensation of all the employees, officers and agents, superintendents, inspectors, engineers, surveyors, deputies, architects and workmen and all other employees as shall be necessary to a proper discharge of the duties of the department of the Board of Public Works and the Department of the Board of Health.

All provisions in conflict with this section are hereby repealed.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

**Charter Amendment, Fifteen Per Cent Limitation of Bonded Indebtedness not to Include Water or Electric Utilities of Foreign Trade Zones.**

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Section 9a. The fifteen per cent limit on bonded indebtedness imposed in Section 9 of this article shall not include any bonded indebtedness which may be hereafter incurred for the construction, acquisition or completion of public utilities designed to furnish and deliver water or electric energy to the City and County and its inhabitants, or for the construction, acquisition or completion of such foreign trade zones as have been or may hereafter be authorized in accordance with

acts of Congress to be located in the City and County of San Francisco.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

**G. A. R. Encampment Invited.**

Supervisor Colman presented: Resolution No. 20262 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors, acting on behalf of the people of the City of San Francisco, extends a most cordial invitation to the National Encampment of the Grand Army of the Republic to hold their 1923 encampment in San Francisco; and be it further

Resolved, That a copy of this invitation be forwarded to the National Encampment of the G. A. R. and that they be urged to accept the same, as the people of San Francisco are anxious to welcome and entertain them and will do everything in their power to make their 1923 National Encampment a grand success.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Scott—1.

**Concerning Death of Former Supervisor**

**Joseph F. Lahaney.**

In Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Proceedings in regular meeting of the Board, Monday, September 11, 1922, concerning former Supervisor Joseph F. Lahaney.

*Supervisor Mulvihill* — Today is really a very sad day, Mr. Mayor, for several members of this Board, and particularly for the older members of it. Our former colleague, our friend, Joseph F. Lahaney, has departed from among us. When I arrived here today from a little trip out of town, I could not believe it when it was reported to me that a man who has served this city so faithfully, the man who had been engaged in business in our midst, born and raised in San Francisco, the man whom we had all learned to love, had gone.

When I speak of Joe Lahaney, Mr. Mayor, I speak of my friend and your friend, the friend of every member of this body. I suppose I knew Joe as well, if not better, than any one in this room. We were intimate friends. Only this morning the news was conveyed to me from my secretary that Mr. Lahaney was in San Francisco about four weeks ago, on his way to Los Angeles, and that he had seen no one—that he called at my office and I did not know it until now. Had I known it, I would have written him or gone to any lengths to have shaken

him by the hand and tried to cheer him up.

Former Supervisor Lahaney had been in ill health for many years. I know that he visited personally Dr. Charles McGettigan daily. I know that he suffered as it is beyond any man, almost, to suffer. He constantly complained to me about the condition of his health. It worried him. I know when we made the trip north he was suffering.

Former Supervisor Lahaney was a very proud man. He was proud of his family, he was proud of his associates. He was a true and loyal friend. And words from me cannot express my feelings. I didn't sleep two hours last night, I was so broken up over his going. I feel grieved over it. I know every member of this Board is grieved. It was a terrible ending, something unbelievable, and my emotions get the best of me when I think of it.

If it is in order, Mr. Mayor, I would move that when the Board adjourns today we do so out of respect to the memory of Former Supervisor Joseph F. Lahaney, and that the Board of Supervisors appoint a committee of five to attend the funeral, if it is not to be a private funeral, and that we extend our condolences and sympathies to his dear wife and his dear mother and to the family and relatives generally; further, that the Clerk be instructed to draw up appropriate resolutions, and that such resolutions be engrossed and a copy sent to the immediate family of Supervisor Lahaney. And I feel certain, too, that the Chairman of the Finance Committee will see that the proper floral offerings are sent from the Board.

*Supervisor Hayden*—I second the motion, Mr. Mayor.

*Mayor Rolph*—It has been moved and seconded that when this Board adjourns today, it adjourn out of respect to the memory of our former fellow-member, Joseph F. Lahaney, that appropriate resolutions be drafted and engrossed and a copy thereof sent the family of the deceased; and, further, that a committee of five be appointed from this Board to attend the funeral and that appropriate floral offerings be sent from the Board. Are there any remarks to be made?

*Supervisor Hayden*—Before you put the motion, Mr. Mayor, I want to join with Supervisor Mulvihill in his expression of sympathy and grief over the departure of our former fellow-member, Joseph F. Lahaney. I know that there is a sense of bereavement which is felt through the entire membership of the Board, headed by yourself. I know that in your capacity as Mayor you have the very highest regard for the late Supervisor Lahaney.

We all had. Indeed, it is a loss to lose Mr. Lahaney, and in such a tragic end. By a very strange coincidence of fate, last Saturday at about one o'clock, Supervisor Rossi and myself, with our wives, made a visit to Long Beach. We were in Los Angeles in connection with exposition matters, and we were looking for the residence of Mr. Lahaney. We called at the Virginia Hotel, and asked if Mr. Lahaney was residing there, showing our interest in his welfare. It seems that, but a short time after, his body was found on one of the beaches or jetties of Long Beach. How much I regret that we did not meet him, I cannot tell, for it is just possible that we might have stemmed the turn of his acts as they finally transpired. But such is fate, and such the end that came to Mr. Lahaney, for which we have the most extreme regret. And I know that when I join with Supervisor Mulvihill, his most intimate friend, in this motion of sympathy, that the motion will meet with the approval of each and every member of the Board and of your Honor, the Mayor.

*Mayor Rolph*—In putting this motion, and in the appointment of the committee, I would like to add a word as to what has been expressed by Mr. Mulvihill and Mr. Hayden.

I have known Joe Lahaney for almost a lifetime. He grew up in the Mission, was as a boy and later as a man, and took part in and was identified with the southern portion of the town, and particularly Butchertown. He was instrumental in trying to develop that portion of the city. His activity in connection with the paving of Army street, and cutting through the streets across the marsh and the improvement of San Bruno avenue and Fifteenth avenue, are a monument to him, and his great activity in the development and upbuilding of the section over there was well known to us of the official family, and he wanted everybody over there to know that he was interested in it. I am sorry Mrs. Erickson and others who have been here today are not here at this moment, when these tributes are being paid to him, for it was he who was present and pledged himself that those sheep corrals would not go back there, and I think a good deal of his sickness was due to the fact that Mr. Moffatt did not keep his word, that Mr. Moffatt, like many men during the war, lost millions in the drop in the price of wool, with which he overloaded himself up there in Nevada, and has got in a bad fix, and it grieved Joe Lahaney to know it.

Joe Lahaney was a charitable man; he was a home man. He was a man of great affection, of a great, big heart.

He took care of his mother, was the pride of his father, took care of his sisters, some of them are in the school department now, and took part in everything pertaining to the development of San Francisco. I appointed him Supervisor, when Supervisor Gallagher resigned from this Board to take the position of Sealer of Weights and Measures. And I appointed Joe Lahaney at that time because it carried with it the entire approval of every member of this Board. I join with you all today in the sorrow that has come upon us in the sickness and this inexplicable condition of life, something that none of us can explain unless we go through it, that has taken Joe Lahaney away. I know Joe Lahaney was not in his right mind, because that was no indication of his character. He was a brave fellow, and certainly no coward. And I mourn with you today, that, through illness and his temporary condition, Joe has gone to the Great Beyond. He has gone, but he has left behind him a good will and respect and the affection of everybody in San Francisco.

The motion is that resolutions be drafted by the Clerk of the Board of respect for former Supervisor Lahaney and sympathy for his family, and that a copy of the resolutions be sent to the family, that suitable floral offerings be provided by the Board, that a committee of five be appointed to attend the funeral, and that, when the Board adjourns this afternoon, it adjourn out

of respect to the memory of Supervisor Lahaney. All those in favor of the motion will please rise. It is unanimously adopted and so ordered. I will appoint as the committee to attend the funeral Supervisor Mulvihill as chairman, and Supervisor McLaren, you were on the board at that time, and Supervisor Schmitz, you were on the Board, and likewise Mr. Hayden and Mr. Welch—you will be appointed as such committee.

*Supervisor Welch*—I think the entire Board should go, if they possibly can, to Supervisor Lahaney's funeral, and, therefore, instead of five, it should include the entire membership of the Board.

*Supervisor Mulvihill*—I made the suggestion because I wanted to be sure that this Board would be represented at the funeral, if it were not a private funeral—I know nothing about the arrangements. I believe the committee should be appointed and in that way some one authorized to represent the Board, and I am sure that as many members of the Board as can be present will be present at the funeral.

*Mayor Rolph*—The committee stands as appointed, and the full Board is requested to attend the funeral.

#### ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 6 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors Monday, October 9, 1922.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series), of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.  
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 17—New Series

No. 38

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Monday, September 18, 1922.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY

77 Sutter Street, S. F.

W

W

In

rise

p. n.

T

page

T

ing

S

Har

Mc

Rob

We

C

H

T

me

we

RO

Co

sis

the

Co

tol

Ne

at

T

D

n

S

h

h

r

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 18, 1922, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, September 18, 1922, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Coleman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of August 14, 15 and 21, 1922, were considered read and *approved*.

### ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Street Carnival.

*Communication* — From Bay View Community Club, requesting permission to hold a street festival in aid of the building fund of the new Bay View Community Club, October 21st to October 31st, on Mendel street, between Newcomb and Palou avenues.

*Referred to Police Committee.*

San Francisco Exhibit at State Fair.

The following was presented, read and ordered spread in the Journal:

San Francisco, Cal., Sept. 13, 1922.  
To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, Cal.  
Dear Sirs:

As of interest to you, I am sending you herewith copy of a letter dated September 11, received by the Mayor from Mr. H. A. Jastro, president California State Agricultural Society, Sacramento, California.

Very truly yours,

W. F. BENEDICT,

Assistant Secretary to the Mayor.  
California State Agricultural Society.  
Sacramento, Cal., Sept. 11, 1922.

Hon. James Rolph, Jr., City Hall, San Francisco, Cal.

Dear Mayor Rolph:

We, the directors of the California State Agricultural Society, take this opportunity of thanking you for the

splendid display of the wealth and resources of San Francisco, made at the State Fair.

Particularly do we wish to commend the work of Supervisor John D. Hynes, who has worked so hard and in the face of so many obstacles, to give the City of San Francisco a wonderful representation. We welcome San Francisco into the fold of the counties that have found the State Fair such a wonderful medium for bringing settlers to each and every section, and we hope that San Francisco will be represented again next year and every year thereafter, to the advantage of San Francisco and the entire State of California.

Sincerely yours,

STATE AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY,  
By H. A. JASTRO,  
President.

Committee to Investigate Ballot Reform.  
The following was presented, read and ordered filed:

San Francisco, Cal., Sept. 12, 1922.  
To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, Cal.  
Gentlemen:

I am directed by the Mayor to inform you that in accordance with Resolution No. 20220, adopted by your Honorable Board on August 28, the Mayor has appointed, as a committee to investigate and study methods of counting ballots in other cities, and particularly the improved voting machine, the following named Supervisors: Hon. Edwin G. Bath, chairman; Hon. J. Emmet Hayden, Hon. William S. Scott, Hon. Warren Shannon, Hon. Joseph Mulvihill.

Very truly yours,

W. F. BENEDICT,

Assistant Secretary to the Mayor.

Leave of Absence, Assessor Ginty.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., Sept. 14, 1922.  
Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.  
Gentlemen:

Application having been made to me by Hon. John Ginty, Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, for leave of absence with permission to absent himself from the State of Cali-

for a period of ten days, commencing September 19, 1922, I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,

Mayor.

Whereupon the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 20399 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. John Ginty, Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing September 19, 1922, with permission to leave the State.

Resolution No. 20261 (New Series) is hereby repealed.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Hayden, Powers, Welch—3.

#### REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.

The following committees, by their respective chairmen, presented reports on various matters referred, which reports were read and ordered *filed*:

Supplies Committee, by Supervisor Hilmer, chairman.

Fire Committee, by Supervisor Deasy, chairman.

Public Buildings Committee, by Supervisor Scott, chairman.

Streets and Sewers Committee, by Supervisor Mulvihill, chairman.

Public Welfare Committee, by Supervisor Colman, chairman.

#### Action Deferred.

The following hearing was, on motion of Supervisor Colman, deferred one week:

#### HEARING—2 P. M.

##### Pacific Avenue.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of 15 foot set-back lines along the northerly line of Pacific avenue between Buchanan and Laguna streets.

The following matter laid over from last meeting was taken up:

Employment of Tax Experts to Check Sales of Property to State for Delinquent Taxes.

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the employment of C. E. Skidmore and A. L. Kramer, tax experts, to check deeds and sales to the State by the Tax Collector of San Francisco of property on which the taxes were first delinquent for fiscal year 1916-1917, or are delinquent for prior years, which do not show redemption, cancellation or sale by the State on deeds or certificate of sale,

requiring reports and recommendations concerning such matters, etc.

City Attorney advises as to validity of foregoing resolution.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

C. E. Skidmore was granted the privilege of the floor and explained the nature of the service to be rendered under the terms of the resolution and the advantage to the City of employing himself and A. L. Kramer to check sales of property to the State for delinquent taxes.

Thereupon, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the foregoing matter was laid over two weeks, and the Clerk directed to send a copy of Mr. Skidmore's letter to each member of the Board.

#### DISAPPROVED BY MAYOR.

The following matter was ordered dropped from the calendar, the thirty days provided by the charter within which the Board has power to reconsider having elapsed:

#### Supply Station.

Resolution granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to the Union Oil Company of California to maintain an automobile supply station at the southwest corner of Van Ness avenue and Fell street, and to store 1200 gallons of gasoline on the premises.

(This item appeared on Resolution No. 20154 (New Series), but was disapproved by the Mayor on August 14, 1922.)

#### PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

##### Foodstuffs.

Fifty-four sealed proposals were received between 2 and 4 p. m., for furnishing foodstuffs during October, November, December, 1922, and referred to the Supplies Committee.

##### Duboce Tunnel.

##### Filing of City Engineer's Report.

The Clerk announced that there had been filed with him the City Engineer's report on the Sunset Tunnel (Duboce avenue route) in five parts, viz.:

Part No. 1—Plans, profiles, cross-sections, general specifications.

Part No. 2—Estimate of cost of proposed tunnel, including damages and acquisitions.

Part No. 3 (two volumes)—Maps of assessment district, maps of property acquisition.

Part No. 4—List of benefits.

Part No. 5—Description of acquisitions.

Favoring the proposed tunnel there appeared a large delegation of citizens, accompanied by a band of music, which filled the chambers and corridors.

On motion of Supervisor Bath the band was invited into the chambers,

and accompanied Supervisor Scott, who sang "I Love You, California".

His Honor Mayor Rolph welcomed the delegation of citizens and praised their enthusiastic support of the proposed Duboce tunnel and pointed out the wonderful development that would follow the completion of the work. He referred to former Mayor McCoppin, who gave Golden Gate Park to San Francisco, and said that McCoppin in his time met and had to overcome vigorous opposition to his plans for Golden Gate Park, which now is famous all over the world. In concluding he asked for a thought and a prayer for the forty-seven Argonaut miners entombed at Jackson, California, for whose rescue desperate efforts are being made.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. McIntyre, representing the proponents of the tunnel, was granted the privilege of the floor and presented the petition of 1,128 property owners favoring the tunnel, the petition of 458 residents who are not property owners, and favor the tunnel, also signatures of ten property owners withdrawing their protest, and also the signatures of five property owners opposed to the tunnel.

Messrs. Campbell, Calish, Gallagher and Seville thereupon addressed the Board, urging favorable and prompt action in carrying out the construction of the proposed Duboce avenue tunnel and the extension of the Municipal Railway into the Sunset district.

M. M. O'Shaughnessy, City Engineer, also addressed the Board as to the desirability of the proposed improvement and the intention to construct an extension into Pope Tract.

M. M. O'Shaughnessy, summarizing his report, declared that the tunnel would be 4250 feet long and would cost \$1,500,000, which cost is distributed over a district on either side of Judah street between the Park and Ortega street and from the beach to Clayton street.

Maximum charge against property in district will be 5 cents per square foot and a minimum of 1½ cents a square foot. Assessment may be paid at once or in ten installments, extending over a period of ten years, with interest. Tunnel will take a year and a half to build. Tunnel will be 25 feet wide and 18 feet high, with 3 per cent grade, the same as the Twin Peaks tunnel. Chief O'Shaughnessy predicted that Sunset would develop as fast as Richmond District once this service is installed.

Replying to Supervisor McLeran's question whether the tunnel would be large enough for auto traffic, he said that the expense of making it so would be too great, and that the presence of gas and carbon monoxide from auto-

mobiles would make it undesirable, if not dangerous. He advised that such combined use is not desirable for long tunnels.

The money raised from the assessment district will be used exclusively for the tunnel; money must come from the City for the other work.

Replying to Supervisor McSheehy's question, "Is there any money in the Depreciation Fund of the Municipal Railway that will permit us to make an appropriation for an extension into Sunset?"

M. M. O'Shaughnessy—There is, if the Depreciation Fund is lowered to a smaller balance than I deem it safe. You didn't attend the last meeting of the Public Utilities Committee when it was agreed that the City Attorney and myself should prepare a Charter amendment for bond issue for \$1,500,000 to take care of needed extensions to the Municipal Railway that will be prepared by the City Attorney and myself and will be submitted to you later at the request of your Public Utilities Committee.

In case the bond issue is rejected, he said we will have to take the money out of the balance of the Depreciation Fund. He reminded the Board that the Depreciation Fund would be growing as time passed on.

His Honor Mayor Rolph assured the delegation that if the property owners would build the tunnel the City would build the railroad extension.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Schmitz, the Clerk was directed to give notice of the filing of the report of the City Engineer and to proceed with the advertising of public notice for ten days, as required by law.

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

##### Final Passage.

The following bill heretofore passed for printing was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

##### Tax Levy, 1922-1923.

Bill No. 6108, Ordinance No. 5690 (New Series), as follows:

Providing revenue and levying taxes for City and County purposes for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1923.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Under and pursuant to the provisions of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and of the laws of the State of California, and in conformity therewith, a tax is hereby levied for City and County purposes, including special City and County school building purposes, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1923, on all the property, real and personal, in the City and County of San Francisco, except such property as is by law exempt from taxation, in the sum of

three and forty-seven hundredths (3.47) dollars on each one hundred dollars' valuation of said taxable property, as the same appears upon the assessment roll of said City and County for said fiscal year, which said sum of three and forty-seven hundredths (3.47) dollars on each one hundred dollars' valuation as aforesaid is hereby apportioned to the funds and accounts and for the purposes designated, as follows:

For the General Fund, to meet all expenses of the City and County not otherwise herein provided for, a rate of....\$0.8819

To pay an aliquot part equal to one-tenth of the whole of each of the following final judgments against the City and County of San Francisco, pursuant to writs of mandate served upon the members of the Board of Supervisors, to-wit: one-tenth of the amount of each of the judgments referred to in the writs of mandate issued in the following named proceedings:

Southern Pacific Company vs. Boyle et al.; Frederick A. Wilson et al. vs. Rolph et al.; Mary E. Chilton et al. vs. Rolph et al.; Alexander D. Keyes et al. vs. Rolph et al.; Albert G. Luchsinger vs. Rolph et al.; Herbert W. Erskine vs. Rolph et al.; Oscar Heyman & Brothers vs. Bath et al.; said actions being commenced in the District Court of Appeal of the State of California in and for the First Appellate District and numbered therein, respectively, Nos. 4312, 4317, 4318, 4319, 4320, 4321 and 4309, and Annie N. Farrel et al. vs. Board of Supervisors et al., commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of California and numbered therein, S. F. No. 10320.. .0025

For the General Fund, to meet the cost of the construction and repairs to streets, sewers and buildings for the Detention Home and for the Police, Fire, Health and School Departments other than the special school building tax hereinafter provided for, the rate of . . . . .3026

For the General Fund, to meet the cost of elections and to pay demands, salaries, expenses or other obligations imposed upon the City and County by legislative or constitutional enactment of the State of California or of the

United States, other than the minimum City and County school taxes herein-after provided for; expenses or other obligations imposed upon the City and County by direct vote of the people of the City and County of San Francisco, the rate of.....

.6602

For the City and County Elementary School Fund, the minimum City and County school tax for the elementary school to equal the estimated minimum for such purpose furnished to the Board of Supervisors by the Superintendent of Schools, in accordance with the provisions of Section 1817 of the Political Code of the State, the rate of.....

.2184

For the City and County High School Fund, the minimum City and County high school tax to equal the estimated minimum for such purposes furnished to the Board of Supervisors by the Superintendent of Schools in accordance with the provisions of Section 1764 of the Political Code of the State.....

.0795

For a special school tax for the School Building Fund, the rate of.....

.1500

For the Common School Fund, in addition to the minimum amounts for elementary schools and high schools and the special school tax for the School Building Fund hereinbefore provided, the rate of.....

.2414

For the Library Fund, to meet the cost of maintaining public libraries and the purchase of books therefor, the rate of.....

.0373

For the Park Fund, to pay for the maintenance of parks, squares and public grounds, rate of .....

.1000

For the Firemen's Relief and Pension Fund, the rate of..

.0342

For special tax levied for publicity and advertising pursuant to Subdivision 33 of Section 1041 of the Political Code of the State, the rate of .....

.0082

For Retirement System for City Employees .....

.0404

For Maintenance of the Blind, Statutes 1919, Chap. 144...

.0025

For the respective funds to redeem and pay the interest on bonds sold prior to November 8, 1910, as follows:

Street Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904...

.00574

Children's Playground Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904.....	.00426
Golden Gate Park and Presidio Extension Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904 .....	.00190
Mission Park Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904 .....	.00168
Fire Protection Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 .....	.03628
Sewer Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908..	.02203
School Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue of 1908 .....	.02722
Hospital Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 .....	.01095
Hall of Justice Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 .....	.00624
Garbage Disposal Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 .....	.00770
For the respective funds to redeem and pay the interest on bonds sold since November 8, 1910, as follows:	
Street Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904..	.00082
School Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1918..	.05433
Library Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904...	.01109
Fire Protection Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 .....	.01083
Sewer Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908..	.01487
School Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908..	.02470
Hospital Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 .....	.01080
Hall of Justice Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 .....	.00403
Polytechnic High School Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1910.....	.00675
Water Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1910...	.26975
City Hall Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1912 .....	.09477
Exposition Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1912 .....	.06046
Hospital-Jail Completion Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1913.....	.02370
Total .....	\$3.47

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Noes—Supervisors Hynes, McSheehy, Schmitz—3.

### Explanation of Vote.

*Supervisor Hynes* explained his vote by saying that in anticipation of increases in the rate from bond issues that may be carried and increasing amount of bond issue redemption and interest on outstanding bonds, and as a warning to members who have to run next year, that I have used my best endeavors to reduce the tax rate or at least hold it at \$3.47.

*Supervisor Schmitz* explained his vote as being a protest against illegal positions and expenditures in the Budget.

*Supervisor Welch* declared that he was not satisfied with the tax rate nor the Budget, and asked permission to file a statement setting forth his views.

*Supervisor McSheehy:* On September 5, 1922, as a member of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I voted "no" on the tax rate for the fiscal year of 1922 and 1923, and on final passage of this tax rate on this, the 18th day of September, 1922, I wish to qualify my vote of "no" and have same printed and inserted in the record as follows, to-wit:

1. On May 18, 1922, a budget of five hundred and fifty items was passed, calling for an expenditure of \$24,892,678.

The Park Fund was allowed an increase of \$225,000—almost 70%.

I asked to cut this item \$100,000, also five other items, making a total of \$189,350, which would amount to three cents on the tax rate.

2. Funds collected from other sources than direct taxation on real and personal property have been under-estimated to the amount of:

Year.	
1917-1918 .....	\$ 183,620.00
1918-1919 .....	432,618.00
1919-1920 .....	280,498.00
1920-1921 .....	562,576.00
1921-1922 .....	530,576.00

Total (5 years).....\$1,989,888.00

Average .....

Auditor's estimate of funds to be collected

this year—1922-1923....\$3,837,320.00

Finance Committee estimate .....

Difference .....

This will amount to 7½ cents on tax rate plus 3 cents on other items, making a total of 11½ cents that the present tax rate can be cut.

As one member of this Board, I feel and know that this city can be run on a \$3.35½ tax rate instead of \$3.47, without impairing the efficiency of this city government in any manner or form.

**Indefinite Postponement.**

Thereupon, the following entitled bill was, on motion of Supervisor McLeran, *indefinitely postponed*:

Bill No. 6104, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled "Providing revenue and levying taxes for City and County purposes for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1923."

**Final Passage.**

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Regulating Sale of Oil.**

Bill No. 6107, Ordinance No. 5691 (New Series), as follows:

Regulating the sale of any oil represented as lubricating oil for the cylinders of internal combustion engines.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to sell, offer for sale or deliver, or to cause or permit to be sold, offered for sale or delivered in the City and County of San Francisco, any oil represented as lubricating oil for the cylinders of internal combustion engines unless there shall be firmly attached or painted at or near the point of outlet from which said oil represented as lubricating oil for the cylinders of internal combustion engines is drawn or poured out for sale or delivery, a sign or label consisting of the word or words in letters not less than one (1) inch in height, comprising the brand or trade name of said lubricating oil; provided that when said sign or label is attached to the faucet or valve of a tank-truck or tank wagon, the letters shall be not less than  $\frac{3}{4}$  inch in height, and provided that if the above required sign or label is on a container having a capacity of fifteen (15) gallons or less, the letters shall be not less than  $\frac{1}{2}$  inch in height, and provided that if any of said lubricating oil shall have no brand or trade name, the above required sign or label shall consist of the words, in letters not less than three (3) inches high, with the exception above provided, "Lubricating oil, no brand."

Section 2. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation, to display any sign, label or other designating mark which describes any petroleum oil or petroleum product not actually sold or offered for sale or delivery at the location at which the sign or other designating mark is displayed or to display any label upon any container, which label names or describes a petroleum product not actually contained therein, but offered for sale or sold as such.

Section 3. Any person, firm or corpa-

ration violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not more than five hundred (500) dollars or by imprisonment in the county jail for a period of not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 4. Each such person, firm or corporation shall be deemed guilty of a separate offense for every day during any portion of which any violation of any provision of this ordinance is committed, continued or permitted by such person, firm or corporation, and shall be punishable therefor as provided by this ordinance.

Section 5. This ordinance shall take effect thirty days after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Ordering Rock Fill Along Marina Boulevard in Front of Yacht Harbor.**

Bill No. 6106, Ordinance No. 5692 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of a rock fill along the Marina boulevard fronting the Yacht Harbor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said improvement, approving plans and specifications therefor, and permitting progressive payments to be made during the progress of said improvement, the expense of said improvement to be borne out of the County Road Fund.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the construction of a rock fill along the Marina boulevard fronting the Yacht Harbor, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor by the Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted, the cost of said improvement to be borne out of the County Road Fund.

Section 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for said improvement conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications and as provided by Section 21, Chapter 1, Article VI, of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Authorizations.**

Resolution No. 20263 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

*Park Fund.*

(1) Taylor & Jackson, second payment, construction of Shelter House at Lincoln Park (claim dated Sept. 1, 1922), \$1,377.95.

(2) P. L. Greeley, moving stable in Park (claim dated Sept. 1, 1922), \$847.

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(3) John Reid Jr., first payment, architectural service, High School of Commerce (claim dated Aug. 30, 1922), \$8,182.

*Municipal Railway Fund.*

(4) Bureau of Street Repair, Dept. Public Works, repairs to railway right of way (claim dated Aug. 30, 1922), \$529.34.

(5) Edgewater Steel Co., steel railway wheels (claim dated Aug. 30, 1922), \$4,288.

(6) Associated Oil Co., gasoline, Municipal Railways (claim dated Aug. 30, 1922), \$816.27.

(7) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., railway brake shoes (claim dated Aug. 30, 1922), \$1,097.45.

(8) J. E. French Co., one Dodge touring car for Municipal Railways (claim dated Aug. 30, 1922), \$868.70.

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(9) Hercules Powder Co., prepaid freight charges on dynamite for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 28, 1922), \$512.41.

(10) Continental Petroleum Refining Co., fuel oil (claim dated Aug. 28, 1922), \$874.55.

(11) American Manganese Steel Co., crusher jaw plates (claim dated Aug. 28, 1922), \$629.55.

(12) Pioneer Rubber Mills, hose, etc. (claim dated Aug. 28, 1922), \$807.80.

(13) Western Electric Co., electric supplies (claim dated Aug. 28, 1922), \$599.85.

(14) The A. J. Glesener Co., shovels, drills, etc. (claim dated Aug. 28, 1922), \$786.19.

(15) Standard Oil Co. Inc., oil and gasoline (claim dated Aug. 28, 1922), \$1,008.71.

(16) Standard Oil Co. Inc., candles and oils (claim dated Aug. 28, 1922), \$1,798.43.

(17) Standard Oil Co. Inc., oil and grease (claim dated Aug. 28, 1922), \$856.30.

(18) Goodyear Rubber Co., hose and rubber goods (claim dated Aug. 28, 1922), \$742.25.

(19) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., sheet iron and hardware (claim dated Aug. 28, 1922), \$677.70.

*Excavation Fund.*

(20) Universal Electric & Gas Co., refund on special deposit account of street excavations (claim dated Aug. 30, 1922), \$2,500.

*General Fund, 1922-1923.*

(21) Spring Valley Water Co., water through Fire Dept. hydrants (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$13,090.70.

(22) City Construction Co., final payment for widening of Castro street from Seventeenth to Eighteenth streets (claim dated Sept. 1, 1922), \$1,216.75.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Authorizations.**

Resolution No. 20264 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(1) Helen S. Becker, third payment, heating and ventilating Mission High School addition (claim dated Sept. 6, 1922), \$2,528.32.

(2) Scott Company, third payment, plumbing work, Mission High School addition (claim dated Sept. 6, 1922), \$1,191.26.

(3) Standard Electrical Construction Co., fifth payment, electric work, Mission High School addition (claim dated Sept. 6, 1922), \$1,650.

(4) Scott Company, second payment, heating and ventilating, Adams School (claim dated Sept. 6, 1922), \$754.50.

(5) M. B. McGowan, first payment, brick, stone and terra cotta work, Columbus School (claim dated Sept. 6, 1922), \$3,900.

(6) C. Petersen Co., fourth payment, heating and ventilating, North Beach (Galileo) High School (claim dated Sept. 6, 1922), \$3,885.

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(8) American Manganese Steel Co., shovel and machine parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 7, 1922), \$1,123.68.

(9) Baker, Hamilton and Pacific Co., hardware, etc. (claim dated Sept. 7, 1922), \$1,116.65.

(10) William Cluff Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Sept. 7, 1922), \$1,984.59.

(11) Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co., hardware, etc. (claim dated Sept. 7, 1922), \$525.86.

(12) Fairbanks, Morse & Co., Inc.,

motor frame parts (claim dated Sept. 7, 1922), \$1,005.92.

(13) Foppiano, Solari & Co., food-stuffs (claim dated Sept. 7, 1922), \$527.43.

(14) Ingersoll, Rand & Co., machine parts (claim dated Sept. 7, 1922), \$1,744.45.

(15) Ingersoll, Rand & Co., machine parts (claim dated Sept. 7, 1922), \$2,940.32.

(16) J. F. Mitchell, machine parts (claim dated Sept. 7, 1922), \$619.49.

(17) W. E. Mushet Co., fire brick (claim dated Sept. 7, 1922), \$710.

(18) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers attached (claim dated Sept. 7, 1922), \$595.19.

(19) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, American Railway Express payments, per vouchers (claim dated Sept. 7, 1922), \$654.88.

(20) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Sierra Railway Co., payments, per vouchers (claim dated Sept. 7, 1922), \$1,558.78.

(21) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Sierra Railway Co., payments, per vouchers (claim dated Sept. 7, 1922), \$1,996.56.

(22) Grant Smith & Co., first payment, Pulgas Tunnel construction (claim dated Sept. 7, 1922), \$12,936.49.

(23) Foppiano, Solari & Co., food-stuffs (claim dated Sept. 5, 1922), \$2,395.06.

(24) S. A. Ferretti, foodstuffs (claim dated Sept. 5, 1922), \$553.81.

(24½) Roland W. Moyer, expenses re death of Ethel E. Moyer (claim dated Sept. 5, 1922), \$530.45.

(25) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Sept. 5, 1922), \$1,479.53.

(26) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Sept. 5, 1922), \$1,125.74.

(27) The Utah Construction Co., extra work, clearing, etc. (claim dated Sept. 5, 1922), \$7,183.37.

#### *Special School Tax, 1922-1923.*

(28) Joseph Greenback, second payment, lathing and plastering, Emerson School (claim dated Sept. 6, 1922), \$7,398.89.

(29) Jas. F. Smith, first payment, lathing and plastering, Mission High School addition (claim dated Sept. 6, 1922), \$3,180.

(30) John Morton, fourth payment, general construction of Oral Deaf School (claim dated Sept. 6, 1922), \$3,967.31.

(31) Thomas Skelly, first payment, plumbing, Emerson School (claim dated Sept. 6, 1922), \$2,627.25.

(32) The Turner Co., final payment, heating and ventilating, Parkside School (claim dated Sept. 6, 1922), \$3,910.

(33) J. E. O'Mara Co., first payment, plumbing, Oral Deaf School (claim dated Sept. 6, 1922), \$997.81.

(34) Scott Co., first payment, heating and ventilating, Emerson School (claim dated Sept. 6, 1922), \$3,105.

#### *County Road Fund.*

(35) Pacific States Construction Co., first payment, improvement of Great Highway, between Cabrillo and Fulton streets (claim dated Sept. 8, 1922), \$6,514.65.

#### *Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.*

(36) Eaton & Smith, fourth payment, construction of Taraval street line of Municipal Railways (claim dated Sept. 8, 1922), \$23,960.

#### *Park Fund.*

(37) Board of Public Works, City and County of San Francisco, asphalt-ing parks (claim dated Sept. 8, 1922), \$1,870.80.

(38) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., service furnished parks (claim dated Sept. 8, 1922), \$1,699.71.

(39) Spring Valley Water Co., labor and material furnished Golf Links at Lake Merced (claim dated Sept. 8, 1922), \$10,180.01.

#### *General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(40) I. M. Sommer, fourth payment, construction of Fire Department Drill Tower (claim dated Sept. 6, 1922), \$5,478.48.

#### *General Fund, 1922-1923.*

(41) Robert Trost, second payment, general construction of Engine House No. 39, Fire Department (claim dated Sept. 7, 1922), \$8,842.50.

(42) E. J. Treacy, improvement of Twenty-fifth avenue between Irving and Judah streets (claim dated Sept. 8, 1922), \$1,554.82.

(43) C. B. Cowden, final payment, sewer construction, in Great Highway from Noriega street southerly (claim dated Sept. 8, 1922), \$10,095.95.

(44) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished playgrounds (claim dated Sept. 6, 1922), \$1,051.44.

(45) San Francisco Society for Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated Sept. 11, 1922), \$1,000.

(46) Baumgarten Bros., meats, Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$773.47.

(47) California Meat Co., meats Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$1,819.19.

(48) Makins Produce Co., eggs, Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$954.60.

(49) Miller & Lux, meats, Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$754.52.

(51) Sherry Bros., Inc., butter and cheese, Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$883.35.

#### *Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(52) Utah Construction Co., thirty-fourth payment, construction of Hetch

Hetchy Dam and appurtenances (claimed Sept. 8, 1922), \$347,881.34.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McEran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Appropriation, \$379,585, Transformers, etc., Moccasin Creek Power Plant.

Resolution No. 20265 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$379,585 and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910, to cover cost of furnishing and delivering transformers, switchboards and accessory equipment for the Moccasin Creek Power Plant, under Contract No. 81, Hetch Hetchy Water Supply, awarded the Westinghouse Electric and Manufacturing Co.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McEran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Appropriation, \$60,000, Section "D," Ocean Beach Esplanade.

Resolution No. 20266 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$60,000 and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Esplanade", Budget Item No. 43, Fiscal Year 1922-1923, to cover cost of contract for the construction of Section "D" of the Ocean Beach Esplanade, awarded Healy-Tibbitts Construction Co. at \$54,442, and for inspection and possible extras at \$5,588.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McEran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Appropriation, \$11,230, San Francisco Convention and Tourist League.

Resolution No. 20267 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$11,230.45 and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Publicity and Advertising, Budget Item No. 551, Fiscal Year 1922-1923, and authorized a payment to San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, for payment of claims submitted incident to publicity and advertising of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McEran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Appropriation, \$25,000, Repairs, etc., School Buildings.

Resolution No. 20268 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$25,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax, 1922-1923, for repairs and maintenance of school buildings.

(Recommendation of Board of Education.)

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McEran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Appropriation, \$1,575, Painting, etc., Relief Home.

Resolution No. 20269 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$1,575 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Miscellaneous Repairs, etc., to Buildings, Budget Item No. 60, for painting of Relief Home Superintendent's cottage, library and kitchen.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McEran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Appropriation, \$2,000, Publicity and Advertising, State Fair, Sacramento.

Resolution No. 20270 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$2,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Publicity and Advertising, Budget Item No. 551, Fiscal Year 1922-1923, for publicity and advertising of San Francisco at the State Fair, Sacramento, California.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McEran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Garage and Oil Permits.

Resolution No. 20271 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

#### Public Garage.

Clydesdale Motor Truck Co. of Cal., at 31-45 Oak street.

#### Oil Storage Tank.

M. Carlson, on east side of Stockton street, 60 feet south of Pine street; 1500 gallons capacity.

New Tivoli Hotel, at 1434 Grant avenue; 600 gallons capacity.

American Tobacco Co., at 1 South Park; 1500 gallons capacity.

W. G. Bray, at 1249 Fourth avenue; 1500 gallons capacity.

Roman Catholic Archbishop of San Francisco, at Amazon and Naples streets; 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six

months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 20272 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Park Commissioners is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on school property situate on block bounded by Kirkham and Lawton streets, Eighteenth and Nineteenth avenues; provided that said blasts be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction and under the supervision of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by the said Board of Park Commissioners then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

This permit shall expire thirty days after being approved by the Mayor.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Garage, Furnace and Oil Permits.

Resolution No. 20273 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

#### Transfer Public Garage.

To J. J. Mahoney, permit granted by Resolution No. 19984 (New Series) to Joseph Pasqualetti for premises situate on north side of Union street, 150 feet east of Franklin street.

To Isaac Moss, permit granted by Resolution No. 19562 (New Series) to Souther & Stewart for premises situate northeast corner of Post and Hyde streets.

#### Cupola Furnace.

Mendelson Iron and Metal Co., at 618 Townsend street, to be used in melting metals.

#### Oil Storage Tank.

Matson Navigation Company, on east side of Main street, 70 feet south of Market street; 2500 gallons capacity.

Federal Reserve Bank, at southwest corner of Sansome and Sacramento streets, two tanks; each 1630 gallons capacity.

Samuel H. Levin, on north side of Ocean avenue, 100 feet east of Faxon avenue; 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Penziner, at southeast corner of Bush and Taylor streets; 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry C. Carr, at northeast corner

of Twenty-sixth avenue and Clement street; 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months otherwise said permits become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Pipe Line Permit.

Resolution No. 20274 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Legallet-Hellwig Norton Co. be granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to construct, maintain and operate an 8-inch cast iron pipe line running from the Harbor Commissioners' wharf on Islais street, thence along the easterly side of Quint street to a point midway between Evans and Fairfax avenues in accordance with blue print plan submitted and report of City Engineer, September 1, 1922; that said pipe line shall be constructed on the sidewalk area of Quint street at a sufficient depth that will not interfere with construction of spur tracks; that two connections be provided for fire hydrants, and that the Fire Department be allowed to install fire hydrants along the line and use the same if necessary; also that all paving disturbed in the crossings be reconstructed.

The said pipe line shall be laid under the supervision and direction of the Board of Public Works.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Platform Permit.

Resolution No. 20275 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Daniel McKillop is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to construct a platform four and 1/12 feet in height on the sidewalk on Harrison street between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets, commencing at the southeast corner of Nineteenth and Harrison streets and running one hundred and eight feet towards Twentieth street. Said platform shall be erected under the supervision and to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Recommended.

The following bill was presented and on motion *recommended to the Streets Committee*:

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (new Series), entitled "Ordering the improvement of the northerly one-half of Sloat boulevard from Nineteenth avenue to Thirty-fifth avenue, authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said improvement, approving plans and specifications therefor, and permitting progressive payments to be made during the progress of said improvement, the expense of said improvement to be borne out of the County Road Fund.

#### REPORT OF FINANCE COMMITTEE.

Demands on the Treasury amounting to \$592,461.84 were presented.

#### Salary of John Tierney.

*Supervisor Hynes* moved that the amount of Bills and Accounts, \$592,461.84, be reduced \$650. He explained that the \$650 represented the salary for two months of John Tierney, who, as Assistant Superintendent of Building Repairs, was retired by operation of the pension law, and subsequently re-employed under contract by the Board of Public Works. He declared that a proposed amendment to the Retirement Ordinance, permitting the employment of Mr. Tierney, had been recently defeated by the Board of Supervisors.

*Supervisor Schmitz* declared that a majority of the Committee on Civil Service, Standardization of Salaries and Retirement System, opposed the proposed amendment that would permit the re-employment of Mr. Tierney.

*Supervisor McLeran* explained that he was advised by the City Attorney that it was a question for the courts to decide.

Whereupon, the question being taken on the payment of the two demands of John Tierney, the same was defeated by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, McLeran, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Rossi, Scott, Shannon—7.

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hynes, McGregor, Morgan, Robb, Schmitz, Wetmore—8.

Absent—Supervisors Hayden, Powers, Welch—3.

Whereupon, the amount of Bills and Accounts less \$650, amended to read \$591,811.84, was approved by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Hayden, Powers, Welch—3.

(Previous to the foregoing discussion Supervisors Hayden, Powers and Welch had been excused to attend Democratic and Republican conventions at Sacramento.)

#### NEW BUSINESS.

#### Bond Issue for Schools and Relief Home.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Sept. 11, 1922.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

By Resolution 74520, second series, adopted Sept. 8, 1922, this Board approved plans and estimates of the cost of the original construction, completion and equipment of buildings and improvements and the acquisition of lands in accordance with Section 1 of Ordinance No. 5680, new series, approved August 25, 1922, as prepared by the City Engineer and transmitted to the Board of Supervisors in accordance with Section 3 of said Ordinance.

Very respectfully,

#### BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS.

By M. J. FITZGERALD, Secretary.

*Statement of Plan and Estimates for the Cost of the Original Construction, Completion and Equipment of Permanent Buildings to Be Used for Public Schools and the Acquisition of Necessary Lands Therefor.*

#### Plan:

Thirty schools are to be provided, constructed in accordance with best modern practice. They shall have complete mechanical installations including the necessary devices for fire alarm and fire protection and shall be fully equipped. They shall contain classrooms, office and teachers' rooms, assembly hall, and all dependencies and utility rooms common to the best principles of school house planning, and where required, gymnasias and cafeterias.

#### Estimate:

The estimated cost of these buildings, including construction, completion and equipment, is \$10,500,000.

Lands shall be acquired both for new sites and for additions to present sites, at the estimated cost of \$1,500,000.

#### Recapitulation:

Buildings . . . . .	\$10,500,000.00
Lands . . . . .	1,500,000.00

Total . . . . . \$12,000,000.00

*Plan and Estimate of the Cost of the Original Construction, Completion and Equipment of the Proposed Permanent Buildings to Be Used as a Relief Home.*

#### Plan:

A new Relief Home shall be built of fire-resisting construction in accordance with the best modern practice. The buildings shall be arranged in unit ward groups with a central group of Administrative and Service Buildings. The ward groups shall be planned with special reference to future additions. Their arrangement and relation shall

be fixed to best serve the purpose to which the several groups may be assigned.

*Estimate:*

The cost of the construction of these buildings is estimated at \$2,000,000.00.

Whereupon, the following bill was presented by Supervisor McLeran and passed for printing by the following vote:

Bill No. 6109, Ordinance No. —  
(New Series):

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the 21st day of November, 1922, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County two propositions to incur a bonded indebtedness by said City and County for

(1) The construction, completion and equipment by the City and County of San Francisco of permanent buildings to be used for public schools and the acquisition of necessary lands therefor.

(2) The construction, completion and equipment of permanent buildings by the City and County of San Francisco to be used as a Relief Home.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors did, on the 21st day of August, 1922, adopt and finally pass Ordinance No. 5680 (New Series), determining and declaring that the public interest requires the acquisition, construction and completion of certain municipal improvements, viz., buildings for public schools and acquisition of necessary lands therefor and buildings to be used as a Relief Home, which purposes are specifically set forth therein, determining and declaring that the cost thereof in addition to the other expenses of the said City and County will exceed the income and revenue provided for the said City and County for any one year; and directing the Board of Public Works to procure, through the City Engineer, and file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost of the original construction, completion and equipment of such permanent buildings and improvements; and

Whereas, pursuant to the direction of said Ordinance No. 5680 (New Series), said Board of Public Works did prepare and on the 12th day of September, 1922, did file with the Board of Supervisors the plans and estimates of cost of original construction, completion and equipment of the buildings and improvements and the cost of the acquisition of necessary lands therefor mentioned in said ordinance; now therefore

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held

in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 21st day of November, 1922, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County propositions to incur a bonded indebtedness for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) The construction, completion and equipment by the City and County of San Francisco of permanent buildings to be used for public schools and the acquisition of necessary lands therefor.

(2) The construction, completion and equipment of permanent buildings by the City and County of San Francisco to be used as a Relief Home.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the construction, completion and equipment of buildings to be used for public schools and the acquisition of necessary lands therefor is twelve million dollars; and the estimated cost of the construction, completion and equipment of buildings to be used as a Relief Home is two million dollars.

That the method and manner of payment of said estimated cost of such buildings, improvements, equipment and lands referred to is by the issuance of bonds to the amount herein-after stated, the sale of the bonds so issued and the application of the proceeds of such sale to the payment of the cost of the construction, completion and equipment of buildings to be used by the said City and County for public schools and the acquisition of necessary lands therefor, and the construction, completion and equipment of buildings to be used as a Relief Home. Any excess of cost over and above such cost shall be paid from the annual revenue of the City and County.

Section 3. The special election herein ordered shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made, and the results thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California, providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

**MUNICIPAL TICKET.**

To vote for the propositions, or either of them, and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the amount of, and for the purpose stated, in such proposition or propositions stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes."

To vote against the propositions, or

either of them, and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the amount of, and for the purpose stated in such proposition or propositions stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Also said ballot shall have printed thereon the following:

**PROPOSITION ONE.**—To incur a bonded indebtedness by the City and County of San Francisco, California, to the amount of twelve million dollars for the construction, completion and equipment by the City and County of San Francisco of permanent buildings to be used for public schools and the acquisition of necessary lands therefor. Bonds issued for such purpose shall bear interest at the rate of five per centum per annum, payable semi-annually.

Yes	
No	

**PROPOSITION TWO.**—To incur a bonded indebtedness by the City and County of San Francisco, California, to the amount of two million dollars for the construction, completion and equipment of permanent buildings by the City and County of San Francisco to be used as a Relief Home. Bonds issued for such purpose shall bear interest at the rate of five per centum per annum, payable semi-annually.

Yes	
No	

Sample ballots containing the above matter required to be printed thereon shall be supplied to the electors of said City and County by the Board of Election Commissioners, but a failure on the part of any elector to receive such sample ballot shall not be held to invalidate the election or affect in any manner the legality of any bonds that may be authorized thereat.

**Section 4.** Any qualified elector of the City and County of San Francisco may vote at said special election for or against either of the propositions herein submitted. To vote in favor of and authorize the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in either of the propositions herein stated he shall stamp a cross (X) in the square to the right of the word "Yes," printed opposite to the proposition, and to vote against and refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in either of the propositions herein stated he shall stamp a cross (X) in the square to the right of the word "No," printed opposite the proposition.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "Yes" shall be counted as a vote in favor of, and to authorize, the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose

set forth in the proposition opposite to which such cross (X) is stamped; and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "No" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of, and a refusal to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition opposite to which such cross (X) is stamped.

The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election and the places of voting and the officers to conduct such election, and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf, shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct said special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election and canvass the votes cast respectively for and against the propositions herein stated and make return thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at special municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections, and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

**Section 5.** If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in either of said propositions, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors, and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the building or buildings, improvements or lands specified in such proposition and to the amount stated therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated March 1, 1923, shall bear interest at the rate of ..... per centum per annum, payable semi-annually; shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of the City and County in the City and State of New York.

**Section 6.** Said bonds and coupons

shall be in substantially the following form:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

City and County of San Francisco.

BOND.

No. \_\_\_\_\_ \$1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer on the first day of March, 19—, One Thousand Dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of five per centum per annum, payable semi-annually March 1 and September 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in Gold Coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and Statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to Ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and to be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or Statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made as required by the Constitution and Statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. Full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the

coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person, or by attorney duly authorized, on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor; and has caused interest coupons hereto attached to be signed by the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of March, 1923.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Mayor.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Treasurer.

Countersigned.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Auditor.

#### FORM OF COUPON.

No. \_\_\_\_\_ \$25.00

On \_\_\_\_\_, 19—, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York, Twenty-five Dollars in Gold Coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated March 1, 1923.

No. \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Treasurer.

#### FORM OF REGISTRATION.

San Francisco, \_\_\_\_\_, 19—.

This bond is registered pursuant to the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of \_\_\_\_\_, and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Treasurer.

Section 7. Said Twelve Million Dollars bonds to be issued for the purpose stated in proposition one shall be called "School Bonds," shall be numbered from 1 to 12,000, inclusive, shall be dated March 1, 1923, and shall be payable Three Hundred Thousand Dollars thereof five years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and Three Hundred

Thousand Dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Said Two Million Dollars bonds to be issued for the purpose stated in proposition two shall be called "Relief Home Bonds," shall be numbered from 1 to 2000, inclusive, shall be dated March 1, 1923, and shall be payable One Hundred Thousand Dollars thereof five years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and One Hundred Thousand Dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 8. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment of said Twelve Million Dollars bonds issued under said proposition one shall be the sum of Six Hundred Thousand Dollars each year for the first five years from date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the sixth year after the date of said bonds, the sum of Five Hundred Eighty-five Dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the Three Hundred Thousand Dollars thereof due five years from their date have been paid, and for the seventh year after the date of said bonds the sum of Five Hundred Seventy Thousand Dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the Three Hundred Thousand Dollars thereof due six years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for thirty-eight succeeding years and until said bonds are all paid sufficient for interest, and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of Fifteen Thousand Dollars by reason of the payment each year, beginning five years from the date of said bonds of three hundred Thousand Dollars of said bonds and the sum of Three Hundred Thousand Dollars each year beginning four years from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for thirty-nine years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The amount of the tax levy to be made for the payment of the said Two Million Dollars issued under said proposition two shall be the sum of One Hundred Thousand Dollars each year for the first five years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds and in season to pay such interest as it be-

comes due, and for the sixth year after the date of said bonds the sum of Ninety-five Thousand Dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the One Hundred Thousand Dollars thereof due five years from their date have been paid, and for the seventh year after the date of said bonds the sum of Ninety Thousand Dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the One Hundred Thousand Dollars thereof due six years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for eighteen succeeding years and until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest, and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of Five Thousand Dollars, by reason of the payment each year, beginning five years from the date of said bonds of One Hundred Thousand Dollars of said bonds and the sum of One Hundred Thousand Dollars each year, beginning four years from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each year for nineteen years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are, and it is hereby expressly provided that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 9. This ordinance shall be published for at least ten days in the official newspaper.

Section 10. This ordinance is the second of a series of ordinances which will be adopted by the Board of Supervisors under and by virtue of which it is proposed that a bonded indebtedness of said City and County will be incurred for the purposes herein enumerated.

Section 11. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—13.

Noes—Supervisors Hynes, McSheehy—2.

Absent—Supervisors Hayden, Powers, Welch—3.

**Explanation of Vote.**

Supervisor Schmitz explained his vote by saying he voted "aye", believing that the question should be decided by the people.

**McSheehy's Statement for Record.**

On September 5, 1922, as a member of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I voted "No" on the tax rate for the fiscal year of 1922 and 1923, and on final passage of this tax rate on this, the 18th day of September, 1922, I wish to qualify my vote of "No" and have same printed and inserted in the record as follows, to-wit:

1. On May 18, 1922, a budget of five hundred and fifty items was passed, calling for an expenditure of \$24,892,678.

The Park Fund was allowed an increase of \$225,000—almost 70%.

I asked to cut this item \$100,000, also five other items, making a total of \$189,350, which would amount to three cents on the tax rate.

2. Funds collected from other sources than direct taxation on real and personal property have been underestimated to the amount of:

Year.	
1917-1918 .....	\$ 183,620.00
1918-1919 .....	432,618.00
1919-1920 .....	280,498.00
1920-1921 .....	562,576.00
1921-1922 .....	530,576.00

Total (5 years).....\$1,989,888.00

Average .....\$ 397,977.00  
Auditor's estimate of  
funds to be collected  
this year—1922-1923...\$3,837,320.00  
Finance Committee estimate ..... 3,383,000.00

Difference .....\$ 454,320.00  
This will amount to 7½ cents on tax rate plus 3 cents on other items, making a total of 11½ cents that the present tax rate can be cut.

As one member of this Board, I feel and know that this city can be run on a \$3.35½ tax rate instead of \$3.47, without impairing the efficiency of this city government in any manner or form.

**Passed for Printing.**

The following matters were *passed for printing*.

**Authorizations.**

On motion of Supervisor McLeran: Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

**Library Fund.**

(1) Foster & Futernick Co., binding

library books (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$1,022.90.

**Special School Tax, 1922-23.**

(2) Kohlwey Smith Electric Co., final payment, electric work, Parkside School (claim dated Sept. 13, 1922), \$1,891.81.

**School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.**

(3) O. Monson, fourth payment, construction of Spring Valley School (claim dated Sept. 13, 1922), \$10,582.50.

(4) O. Monson, first payment, general construction, Andrew Jackson School (claim dated Sept. 13, 1922), \$17,580.

(5) Robert Trost, sixth payment, general construction, North Beach (Galileo) High School (claim dated Sept. 13, 1922), \$16,869.75.

**Municipal Railway Fund.**

(6) Hancock Bros. Inc., printing Municipal Railway transfers (claim dated Sept. 13, 1922), \$720.

(7) San Francisco City Employees Fund, additional July pension pro rata (claim dated Sept. 8, 1922), \$501.92.

(8) San Francisco City Employees Retirement Fund, August pension pro rata (claim dated Sept. 8, 1922), \$5,783.04.

**Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.**

(9) Associated Oil Co., oil for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 12, 1922), \$735.86.

(10) Baumgarten Bros., meats (claim dated Sept. 21, 1922), \$2,813.73.

(11) Continental Petroleum Refining Co., fuel oil (claim dated Sept. 12, 1922), \$1,226.44.

(12) William Cluff Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Sept. 12, 1922), \$934.82.

(13) A. C. Dennis, compensation paid Wm. Fowler for services superintending pumping at Priests, etc., Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Sept. 12, 1922), \$846.77.

(14) E. I. DuPont de Nemours & Co. Inc., gelatin (claim dated Sept. 12, 1922), \$4,718.25.

(15) Hercules Powder Co., gelatin and caps (claim dated Sept. 12, 1922), \$22,849.05.

(16) The Utah Construction Co., cement furnished (claim dated Sept. 12, 1922), \$4,096.61.

(17) Baker Hamilton & Pacific Co., chain and hardware (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$523.94.

(18) Geo. F. Bartlett, tier wood (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$2,345.50.

(19) Harron, Rickard & McCone, Inc., one air engine (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$1,063.47.

(20) Dodge, Sweeney & Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$945.51.

(21) Ingersoll-Rand Co., machine parts (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$3,065.26.

(22) Hercules Powder Co., powder (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$10,351.25.

(23) Michel & Pfeffer Iron Works, iron ladders, etc. (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$805.72.

(24) J. H. Newbauer & Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$972.73.

(25) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$4,032.10.

(26) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$5,951.61.

(27) Pacific Metal Works, machine parts (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$1,898.56.

(28) The Pelton Water Wheel Co. Inc., machine parts (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$509.81.

(29) Pioneer Rubber Mills, hose, etc. (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$507.42.

(30) John A. Roebling's Sons Co., wire (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$691.20.

(31) Standard Oil Co., oils (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$1,185.98.

(32) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premiums (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$4,495.26.

(33) Sherry Bros. Inc., foodstuffs (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$2,021.59.

(34) Sperry Flour Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$1,036.63.

(35) Swedish Steel & Importing Co., drill steel (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$1,510.90.

(36) South San Francisco Packing & Provision Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$901.10.

(37) Sullivan Machinery Co., machine parts (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$854.58.

(38) M. Stulsaft Co., black pipe (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$4,461.32.

(39) United States Steel Products Co., wire (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$1,177.34.

(40) Virden Packing Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$1,571.98.

(41) Western Butchers' Supply Co., refrigerating plant (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$1,680.

(42) Western Meat Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$545.52.

(43) Western Pipe & Steel Co., steel pipe, etc. (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$3,972.45.

(44) Standard Oil Co., gasoline and oil (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$1,414.48.

#### *School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(45) E. E. Etherton, eighth payment, general construction of Adams School addition (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$2,498.22.

(46) O. Monson, extra labor and material in general construction of Hancock School (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$805.15.

#### *General Fund, 1920-1921.*

(47) Louis J. Cohn, fourth payment, general construction of exhibition structure in Nave of Ferry Building (claim dated Sept. 13, 1922), \$2,232.56.

#### *General Fund, 1922-1923.*

(48) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated Sept. 15, 1922), \$8,669.93.

(49) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated Sept. 15, 1922), \$940.

(50) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated Sept. 15, 1922), \$10,676.09.

(51) D. J. O'Brien, Police contingent expense, September (claim dated Sept. 4, 1922), \$750.

(52) Niles Sand, Gravel & Rock Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated Sept. 6, 1922), \$1,016.02.

(53) Pacific Portland Cement Co. Con., limestone dust for street repair (claim dated Sept. 11, 1922), \$512.54.

(54) Standard Oil Co. Inc., asphalt for street repair (claim dated Sept. 11, 1922), \$729.44.

(55) Shell Company, fuel oil, Hall of Justice (claim dated Sept. 6, 1922), \$1,451.80.

(56) San Francisco Chronicle, official advertising, Dept. of Elections (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$1,115.76.

(57) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electricity, Fire Dept. (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$1,250.25.

(58) Shell Company, fuel oil, Fire Dept. (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$869.99.

(59) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished Fire Dept. (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$2,983.35.

(60) Standard Oil Co., gasoline, etc., Fire Dept. (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$2,952.17.

(61) Recorder Printing & Publishing Co., printing Law Motion Calendar, etc. (claim dated Sept. 18, 1922), \$665.

(62) Wm. F. Dabelstein, installing exhibit of San Francisco at State Fair, Sacramento, Cal. (claim dated Sept. 18, 1922), \$1,200.

(63) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., street lighting for August (claim dated Sept. 18, 1922), \$46,666.66.

(64) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$650.90.

(65) Haas Bros, groceries, Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$597.

(66) Shell Company, fuel oil, relief Home (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$1,348.50.

#### *Appropriations, Municipal Railway Equipment.*

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be ex-

pended out of Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For cost of furnishing 20 railway car bodies under Sec. "A" of Contract 132, awarded Bethlehem Shipbuilding Corp'n. Ltd., as follows:

Contract price .....	\$152,520
Maximum possible bonus.....	3,000
Inspection and possible extras.	4,480
	<hr/> \$160,000

(2) For cost of furnishing and delivering motor equipment for 20 railway cars, under Sec. "C" of Contract 132, awarded Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., as follows:

Contract price .....	\$119,777.34
Maximum possible bonus,	
freight charges, inspection,	
extras and incidentals .....	10,222.66
	<hr/> \$130,000.00

(3) For cost of furnishing and delivering air brake equipment for 20 railway cars, under Sec. "D" of Contract 132, awarded The Westinghouse Traction Brake Co., as follows:

Contract price .....	\$11,008
Maximum possible bonus,	
freight, incidentals, extras	
and inspection .....	1,992
	<hr/> \$13,000

Appropriation, \$1740, Sidewalks, Mission Park.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$1,740 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Street Work in Front of City Property, Budget Item No. 45, for the construction of six-foot artificial stone sidewalks on Eighteenth, Twentieth and Dolores streets, fronting Mission Park.

#### Repair of Accepted Streets.

Also, Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled "Authorizing and ordering the reconstruction and repair of certain accepted streets in the City and County of San Francisco under the supervision of the Board of Public Works of said City and County during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1923, and appropriating funds for the cost thereof."

Appropriations for Work in Front of City Property.

Resolution No. 20276 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Street Work in Front of City Property, Budget Item No. 45, for street work in front of and assessable to City property, to-wit:

Improvement of intersection of Diamond and Wilder streets, \$32.73.

Improvement of Lyel street between Besworth and Springdale streets, \$150.

Crossing of Cabrillo street and Thirty-third avenue, \$22.17.

Crossing of Paris street and France avenue, \$245.

Paving westerly side of San Bruno avenue between Wilde and Girard streets, including crossing at Wilde street, \$500.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Hayden, Powers, Welch—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were passed for printing:

Board of Public Works to Contract for Street Signs.

On motion of Supervisor McLeran: Bill No. 6111, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled "Authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for the furnishing and erecting of street signs in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, and on file in the office of the Board of Public Works, and approving said plans and specifications."

Pipe Line, North Point Street.

Also, Bill No. 6112, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled "Ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for and the laying of a ten-inch pipe line in North Point street from Powell street to Grant avenue for High Pressure System for Fire Protection; and authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for laying of said pipe line in accordance with the plans and specifications so prepared therefor."

Appropriation, \$490, Repairs, Leaders and Gutters, San Francisco Hospital.

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$490 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Repairs to Buildings, Budget Item No. 60, for expense of repairing leaders and gutters at the San Francisco Hospital.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Hayden, Power, Welch—3.

**Passed for Printing.**

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

**Appropriation, \$51,675, Improvement Point Lobos Avenue.**

On motion of Supervisor McLeran: Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$51,675 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund to complete payment for improvement of Point Lobos avenue from Forty-eighth avenue to the Great Highway.

**Accepting Statement, Market Street Railway Company.**

Supervisor McLeran presented: Resolution No. 20278 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the statement heretofore filed by the Market Street Railway Company showing gross receipts from passenger fares for the year ending December 31, 1922, upon which percentages in the amount of \$49,336.02 is due the City and County of San Francisco, be and the same is hereby accepted; and be it further

Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company is hereby directed to deposit with the Treasurer of the City and County the said sum of \$49,336.02, the same to be placed to the credit of the General Fund.

*Adopted by the following vote:*  
Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Hayden, Powers, Welch—3.

**Repairs to Elevators, City Buildings.**

On motion of Supervisor McLeran: Bill No. 6113, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled "Ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for the repair of elevators in the City buildings; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said repairs, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor."

**Action Deferred.**

The following bill was on motion *laid over one week*:

**Amending Additional Positions Ordinance, Juvenile Detention Home.**

Bill No. 6114, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), known as the "Ordinance of Additional Positions," by adding a new subdivision to Section 21 thereof, to be designated (s).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

**Juvenile Detention Home.**

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), known as the "Ordinance of Additional Positions," is hereby amended by adding a new subdivision to Section 21 thereof to be designated (s), to read as follows:

(s) One clerk-stenographer, at a salary of \$1,500 a year.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect as of October 1, 1922.

**Transfer of Municipal Railway Funds.**

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 20279 (New Series), as follows:

Transferring the sum of \$11,365.57 out of Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund to the credit of Municipal Railway Operating Fund.

(Recommendation of Board of Public Works, dated September 6, 1922.)

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Hayden, Powers, Welch—3.

**Auditorium Rentals.**

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 20280 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following organizations are hereby granted permission to occupy the halls in the Auditorium, deposits having been paid to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to guarantee the rental fees:

Girls' Recreation Club, use of Larkin Hall, November 7 to 10, 1922, inclusive, for the purpose of holding a rummage sale.

Negro Progressive Club of California, use of the Main Hall January 1, 1923, 6 p. m. to 12 p. m., for the purpose of holding a dance.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Hayden, Powers, Welch—3.

**Passed for Printing.**

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

**Permits.**

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

**Automobile Supply Station.**

Shell Company of California, at northeast corner of Valencia and Army streets; also to store 1200 gallons of gasoline on premises.

*Oil Storage Tank.*

Mrs. A. M. Strusner, at 1037 Fillmore street; 1500 gallons capacity.

Dave Datello, at 516 Huron avenue; 1500 gallons capacity.

Dr. Frank Hinman, at 3255 Pacific avenue; 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. O. Gracie, on south side of Pine street, 125 feet east of Van Ness avenue; 1500 gallons capacity.

Andrew Jacob, at 3332 Washington street; 1500 gallons capacity.

*Boiler.*

Dave Datello, at 516 Huron avenue, 25 horsepower.

South San Francisco Tallow Works, at 1420 Evans avenue, 75 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

*Dyeing and Cleaning Permit Amended.*

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Resolution No. 16543 (New Series) granting permission to Duarte & Quittman to maintain a dyeing and cleaning works at 4587-4589 Mission street and "to store not to exceed 600 gallons of gasoline or benzine on premises" is hereby amended to read "to store not to exceed 960 gallons of gasoline or benzine on premises," said installation to be to the satisfaction of the Fire Marshal.

*Denying Dog Kennel Permit.*

Supervisor Robb presented:

Resolution No. 20281 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in the exercise of the sound and reasonable direction of the Board of Supervisors permission is hereby denied Mrs. E. F. Brown to maintain a dog kennel at 3417 Twenty-fourth street.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Hayden, Powers, Welch—3.

*Extension of Time.*

Supervisor Scott presented:

Resolution No. 20282 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Kohlwey-Smith Electric Company is hereby granted an extension of thirty days' time from and after July 10, 1922, within which to complete contract for electrical work at the Parkside School.

This second extension of time is recommended by the Board of Public Works for the reason that contractor was unable to complete the work because of delays in the general construction contract.

The advertising fee covering this extension is hereby remitted.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Hayden, Powers, Welch—3.

*Passed for Printing.*

The following bill was passed for printing:

Building Law Amendment, Hardwall Plaster on Plaster Board.

Bill No. 6115, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 107a of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as "The Building Law" of the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 107a of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as "The Building Law," is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Section 107a. Whenever in this ordinance, which is known as "The Building Law" of the City and County of San Francisco, metal lath is required, there may be used in lieu thereof plaster board composed of pure gypsum wood and Manila fiber, or of other similar materials but not less than seventy-five per cent (75%) of such composition shall be of non-inflammable material. Excepting that in Class A and B buildings the use of plaster board is prohibited in all elevator shafts and in the walls and ceilings surrounding staircases in such buildings.

Such plaster board shall be not less than three-eighths inch ( $\frac{3}{8}$ " ) in thickness, and shall be so constructed as to form a mechanical key or bond between the plaster board and the finish plaster. Such mechanical key or bond shall be equally distributed and shall compose at least twenty per cent (20%) of the surface of the plaster board.

On wood joists, furring strips, studding or other wood supports the plaster board shall be securely fastened by means of nails of such length that the said nails shall extend at least three-quarters inch ( $\frac{3}{4}$ " ) into the joists, furring strips, studding or other supports. Such nails shall be spaced or driven not more than six inches (6") apart in one direction and sixteen inches (16") apart in the other direction. Where the furring strips, studs or other supports are of metal, the plaster board shall be securely fastened to same with galvanized iron wire of not less than No. 14 gauge or with metal clips or nails, spaced the same as in this section provided for nails. All wire nails used for

fastening plaster board shall be at least No. 11 gauge with a flat head not less than three-eighths inch ( $\frac{3}{8}$ " in diameter.

All such plaster board shall have applied thereon at least two (2) coats of hardwall plaster.

A plaster board not less than one-quarter ( $\frac{1}{4}$ ) inch in thickness and otherwise conforming to the provisions of this ordinance may be used, providing, however, the board is of sufficient strength so as to be handled in lengths up to twelve (12) feet.

All such plaster boards shall have applied thereon at least two (2) coats of hardwall plaster.

**\$2,000,000 Bond Issue for Street Railway Extensions.**

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Bill —. Initial ordinance proposed \$2,000,000 bond issue for extension to Municipal Railway.

**Referred.**

*Supervisor McLeran moved reference of foregoing bill to Public Utilities and Finance Committee.*

*Motion carried.*

**Accepting Offer to Sell Land for Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct Right of Way.**

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 20283 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco from the following described owners of the following described parcels of land situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, required as a right of way for the aqueduct on the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply project, for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Josephine Welch, \$250.

Lots 13 and 14 in Block 9, as shown and designated on a map entitled "North Fair Oaks, situated in San Mateo County" which was filed in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County, August 8, 1907, in Book 5 of Maps at page 21.

Leonidas P. Roney and Lora E. Roney, \$375.

Lot 4 of the resubdivision of Lot 5 in Block 23, as shown and designated on a map entitled "Map of Resubdivision of Lots 4 and 5 in Block 23 of Oak Knoll Manor near Redwood City, California," which was filed in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County, September 4, 1919, in Book 10 of Maps at page 26.

Fredwin H. Somers, \$4,160.

Lot 22 of Block 27, and the parcel of land adjoining on the southeast fronting 25.26 feet on Middlefield Road and bounded on the northeast by the northeasterly line of Lot 22, produced southeasterly 25.26 feet.

Lot 2, Block 22.

A triangular portion of Lot 3, Block 22, fronting 9.05 feet on Middlefield Road and 9.63 feet along the dividing line between Lots 2 and 3, and 11.80 feet as the closing dimension.

Lots 9, 27, 28, 29 of Block 25, and the triangular portion of Lot 4 Block 25, described as follows:

23.25 feet on the dividing line between Lots 4 and 29 and 17.76 feet on the dividing line between Lots 4 and 5, and 29.25 feet as the closing dimension.

Lot 3 of Block 34.

All that certain lot bounded on the southeast by Pacific avenue, on the southwest by the property of Southern Pacific Railroad, and on the northeast by Lot 38 of Block 36, and on the northwest by the northwesterly line of Lot 38 produced southwesterly to the property line of the Southern Pacific Railroad.

All as shown and designated on a map entitled, "Plat of Dumbarton" which was filed in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County, September 28, 1907, in Book 5 of Maps at page 32.

Lots 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47 of Block 61.

All that triangular portion of Lot 25, Block 60, described as follows:

Fronting on Devonshire avenue 1.215 feet and measuring 1.51 feet along the dividing line between Lots 25 and 26, and 1.94 feet as a closing dimension.

Lots 2, 3, 11, and the northeast one-half of Lot 12, Block 23.

All as shown and designated on a map entitled "Map No. 1, Dumbarton Oaks," which was filed in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County, State of California, January 20, 1908, in Book 5 of Maps at page 56.

Whereas, said purchase prices are in accordance with the appraisalment of said lands made by Joseph J. Phillips, right of way agent for the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer, the above described offers of the above named property owners to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the above mentioned parcels of land for the sums set forth opposite their respective names be and the same is hereby accepted.

The special counsel for the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply is hereby authorized and directed to prepare the necessary papers and deeds to close the transactions and to arrange for the payment of the purchase prices to the sellers, upon receipt of deeds conveying said property to the City and County of San Francisco, clear of all encumbrances.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Hayden, Powers, Welch—3.

#### Action Deferred.

The following bill was presented by Supervisor Mulvihill and on his motion *laid over one week*:

#### Amendment to Traffic Ordinance.

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 21, Section 67 and Section 81 of Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series), entitled "Regulating moving travel and traffic upon the streets and other public places of the City and County of San Francisco and providing a punishment for any violation thereof," and repealing Orders Nos. 70 and 175 (Second Series) and Ordinances Nos. 256, 723, 803, 807, 808, 809, 814, 825, 851, 888, 898, 899, 902, 1088, 1132, 1359, 1367, 1369, 1379, 1380, 1507, 1517, and Ordinances Nos. 339, 575, 649, 1527 and 2128 (New Series).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That Section 21 of Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series) is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 21. Between the hours of 10 o'clock a. m. and 6 o'clock p. m. of any day except Sundays and legal holidays, it shall be unlawful for the owner, driver or operator of any motor or horse-drawn vehicle to permit such vehicle to stand for more than forty (40) minutes on any of the streets within the following described boundaries:

Commencing with the intersection of Market and Battery streets, along the east line of Battery street to the north line of California street; thence along the north line of California street to the west line of Kearny street; thence along the west line of Kearny street to the north line of Bush street; thence along the north line of Bush street to the west line of Stockton street; thence along the west line of Stockton street to the north line of Sutter street; thence along the north line of Sutter street to the west line of Mason street; thence along the west line of Mason street to the north line of Geary street; thence along the north line of Geary street to the west line of Taylor street; thence along the west line of Taylor street to the north line of Market street; thence along the north line of Market street to the west line of Seventh street; thence along the west line of Seventh street to the south line of Market street; thence along the south line of Market street to the west line of Sixth street; thence

along the west line of Sixth street to the south line of Mission street; thence along the south line of Mission street to the east line of First street; thence along the east line of First street to the south line of Market street, and thence to the point of beginning, the intersection of the northerly line of Market street with the east line of Battery street; provided, however, that stoppages caused by fires, blockades, breakdowns or other emergencies, or an ambulance, shall not be considered within the provisions of this ordinance; and provided further, that the provisions of this section shall not apply to the standing of any passenger vehicle upon any public or private stand created in said district under the provisions of Ordinance No. 1898 (New Series).

Section 2. That Section 67 of Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series) is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 67. It shall be unlawful for any person to operate upon the streets any automobile or other form of motor vehicle for the purpose of conveying passengers for hire or otherwise, unless such person shall have procured a license as provided in Ordinance No. 1898, provided, however, that the provisions of this section shall not apply to persons operating their own cars for private business or pleasure and who are not in the public hackney or rent service.

Section 3. That Section 81 of Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series) is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 81. The Chief of Police shall designate and detail a sufficient number of police officers to attend upon streets of the City and County of San Francisco to control, regulate and divert the movement of or order the stoppage of vehicles, street cars and interurban railway cars in or upon such streets in order to prevent congestion of traffic and to prevent accidents.

Any police officer designated or detailed by the Chief of Police to perform such duty shall control, regulate, direct and divert the movement of or order the stoppage of vehicles, street cars and interurban railway cars in or upon such public streets for the purposes herein set forth.

Any police officer may, when traffic conditions so require, clear any street, highway, avenue, boulevard or other road, square or public place open to public travel of any and all vehicles parked thereon, whether occupied or not, and to accomplish said clearance is authorized and directed to move such parked car or cars from said street, so to be cleared, to some convenient nearby place selected by him.

The movement and stoppage of vehicles, street cars and interurban railway cars shall be governed by whistle

or other signal given by such police officer as follows: One (1) blast of a police whistle or the raising of the hand indicates that vehicles, street cars and interurban railway cars traveling on any street running in a general northerly and southerly direction shall stop, and that vehicles, street cars and interurban railway cars traveling on any street running in a general easterly and westerly direction may proceed; provided, that street cars and interurban railway cars required to turn from a northerly or southerly direction to an easterly or westerly direction shall proceed upon the sounding of one (1) blast of such whistle and shall stop upon the sounding of two (2) blasts thereof. Two (2) blasts of a police whistle or raising the hand indicates that vehicles, street cars and interurban railway cars traveling on any street running in a general easterly and westerly direction shall stop and that vehicles, street cars and interurban railway cars traveling on any street, running in a general northerly and southerly direction may proceed; provided, that street cars and interurban railway cars required to turn from an easterly or westerly direction to a northerly or southerly direction shall proceed upon the sounding of two (2) blasts of such whistle and shall stop upon the sounding of one (1) blast thereof. Vehicles, street cars and interurban railway cars shall stop so as not to interfere with the passage of pedestrians at the crossings. Three (3) or more blasts of a police whistle is a signal of alarm and indicates the approach of a fire engine or some other danger, and all vehicles, street cars and interurban railway cars shall stop until permitted by the police officer to proceed.

Drivers of vehicles and motormen of street cars and interurban railway cars must at all times comply with any direction given by whistle, voice or hand of any police officer as to stopping, starting, approaching or departing from any place in any street in the City and County of San Francisco.

It shall be unlawful for any person driving, using or having the control of any vehicle or for any motorman or other person running, operating or having charge or control of any street car or interurban railway car or train of cars to fail, refuse or neglect to obey the order of any police officer in regard to the regulation, direction or diversion or to moving or stopping any such vehicle or any such street car or interurban railway car or train of cars in or upon any street in the City and County of San Francisco.

The Chief of Police shall designate and determine the streets and street crossings or intersections which shall be governed by whistle by the police

officers detailed to enforce the provisions of this ordinance on such streets and crossings or intersections.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

State Highway Commission to Make Survey of Truckee Canyon Route From Reno to Truckee.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20284 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco has been petitioned and requested by civic and commercial organizations of San Francisco and the Reno Highway Association, requesting its support and co-operation in endorsing the new highway to be constructed from Reno to Truckee; and

Whereas, considerable opposition has been advanced opposing the present route as recommended by the State Highway Commission; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco requests of the State Highway Commission that a survey be made of the proposed Truckee Canyon route as petitioned for by the Reno Highway Association and other organizations and that actual construction of the Dog Valley grade be delayed until such survey is made.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Hayden, Powers, Welch—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Depressed Spur Track Permit.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the California Packing Corporation be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to construct a depressed spur track and necessary main line connections on the southerly sidewalk area of Jefferson street between Leavenworth and Hyde streets; said depression being four feet below the official grade at Hyde street, and extending easterly on said grade 166.67 feet; thence running easterly on a three per cent incline until the present street surface is reached, which will be 112.50 feet westerly from Leavenworth street.

The said work shall be done to the satisfaction and under the supervision of the Board of Public Works in accordance with plans and specifications

to be approved by the Board of Public Works.

Further Resolved, That the California Packing Corporation return to the Corporation Yard all the curbing and basalt blocks taken from Jefferson street between Leavenworth and Hyde streets to allow for the construction of the spur track.

#### Fixing Sidewalk Widths, Jefferson Street.

Bill No. 6117, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eight hundred and four.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the widths of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office August 7, 1922, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eight hundred and four, to read as follows:

Section 804. The width of sidewalks on Jefferson street, the northerly side of, between Leavenworth street and Hyde street, shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Jefferson street, the southerly side of, between Hyde street and a point 300 feet easterly from Hyde street, shall be eighteen (18) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Jefferson street, the southerly side of, between a point 300 feet easterly from Hyde street and Leavenworth street, are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### Approving Map, McLaughlin's Subdivision.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20285 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 74384 (Second Series), approve a map of the McLaughlin subdivision of portion of Assessor's Block 1173 City and County of San Francisco, California; now, therefore be it

Resolved, That the map of the McLaughlin subdivision of portion of Assessor's Block 1173 City and County of San Francisco, California, is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Hayden, Powers, Welch—3.

#### Approving Bond, McLaughlin's Subdivision.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20286 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the bond filed with this Board by M. A. McLaughlin as principal and A. J. Boyle and F. C. Boeckmann as sureties in the sum of five hundred and no/100 dollars, which sum is fixed by this Board and conditional for the payment of all taxes which are now a lien and not yet payable against the tract or subdivision of land shown on the map of the McLaughlin subdivision of portion of Assessor's Block 1173 City and County of San Francisco, is hereby approved, and the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to endorse a certificate on said map that a bond has been filed with this Board as provided in Section 3 of an act entitled "An Act to amend sections one, two, three, four, six, eight and nine of an Act entitled 'An Act requiring the recording of maps of subdivisions of land into lots for the purpose of sale and prescribing the conditions on which such maps may be recorded, and prohibiting the selling or offering for sale of land by reference to said maps unless the same are recorded,'" etc. Approved March 15, 1907, and repealing conflicting acts, approved June 11, 1913; in effect August 10, 1913, and chapter 592, approved May 31, 1921; in effect July 30, 1921.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Hayden, Powers, Welch—3.

#### Passed for Printing.

The following matters were passed for printing:

#### Ordering Street Work.

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill: Bill No. 6118, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 4, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is here-

by ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Moultrie street between Eugenia and Powhattan avenue, where not already improved, and the improvement of the crossing of Moultrie street and Eugenia avenue* by the following construction in the crossing: Concrete curbs, artificial stone sidewalks, three brick catchbasins with cast iron frames, gratings and traps and ten-inch vitrified, salt-glazed, iron-stone pipe culverts and an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway, and by the construction of concrete curbs and a concrete pavement on Moultrie street from Powhattan avenue to a line 100 feet southerly therefrom where not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, bill No. 6119, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 10, 1921, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San

Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Bradford street between Tompkins avenue and Jarboe avenue*, by the construction of an 8-inch vitrified, salt-glazed iron-stone pipe sewer with 32 Y branches, two brick manholes with cast-iron frames and covers and galvanized wrought-iron steps and one lamphole with cast-iron frame and cover along the center line of Bradford street from the northerly line of Tompkins avenue to the southerly line of Jarboe avenue.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### Full Acceptance, Streets.

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill: Bill No. 6120, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled:

"Providing for full acceptance of the roadway of Balboa street between Twenty-third and Twenty-fourth avenues, Joost avenue between Brompton and Circular avenues, Joost avenue between Lippard and Brompton avenues, Joost avenue between Acadia and Lippard avenues, and the intersection of Joost avenue and Lippard avenue."

#### Conditional Acceptance, Streets.

Also, Bill No. 6121, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled:

"Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Key avenue between Jennings and Third streets, Reach street between Greenwich and Valparaiso streets, and the intersection of Reach street and Valparaiso street, Twenty-fifth avenue between Irving and Judah streets, crossing of Key avenue and Jennings street."

#### Fixing Sidewalk Widths.

Also, Bill No. 6122, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, en-

titled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section 562 thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office September 5, 1922, by amending section 562 to read as follows:

Section 562. The width of sidewalks on McKinnon avenue between Selby street and Phelps street shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on McKinnon avenue between Phelps street and Third street shall be nineteen (19) feet.

The width of sidewalks on McKinnon avenue between Third street and its southerly termination shall be fifteen (15) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 6123, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eight hundred and five.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office September 5, 1922, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eight hundred and five, to read as follows:

Section 805. The width of sidewalks on Bonview street between Eugenia avenue and Esmeralda avenue shall be seven (7) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### Extension of Time.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20287 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific States Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of thirty days' time from and after September 3, 1922, within which to complete contract for the improvement of the Great Highway between Cabrillo and Fulton streets.

This extension of time is granted

for the reason that contractor was delayed by a portion of the roadway being occupied by the plant and equipment of the Clinton Construction Company during the construction of a section of the Ocean Beach Explanade.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McLaren, McSweeney, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Hayden, Powers, Welch—3.

#### Closing Portion of Evans Avenue.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20288 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that a small portion of Evans avenue, a large portion of which has been heretofore abandoned; that portion now being sought to be abandoned being the remainder of said Evans avenue lying southerly of the southerly line of Army street and easterly of the easterly line of Carolina street as hereinafter described, be closed and abandoned as a public street; be it further

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon a portion of Evans avenue situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the south property line of Army street a distance of 280 feet east from the east property line of De Haro street; thence easterly along said south property line of Army street a distance of 45.94 feet to a point; thence southeasterly on a curve having a radius of 1,023 feet, a distance of 58.177 feet to a point; thence southerly on a line parallel to the east property line of De Haro street, a distance of 19.535 feet to a point; thence westerly on a line parallel to the south property line of Army street, a distance of 100 feet to a point; thence northerly on a line parallel to said east property line of De Haro street, a distance of 41 feet, more or less, to the point of beginning above described; containing in all 0.08 acres, more or less.

Said closing of said portion of Evans avenue shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco as amended, and the sections of said chapter and article following said Section 2.

Be it further Resolved, That the damages, costs and expense of said closing up of said portion of Evans avenue be paid out of the revenue of

the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—15

Absent—Supervisors Hayden, Powers, Welch—3.

*Recommended.*

The following was presented and on motion ordered *re-referred to Streets Committee*:

**Intention to Close Fairfax Avenue and Other Streets.**

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that portions of Fairfax avenue, Galvez avenue, Hudson avenue, Jennings street, Ingalls street and Hawes street, be closed; be it further

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close portions of Fairfax avenue, Galvez avenue, Hudson avenue, Jennings street, Ingalls street and Hawes street, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Description of streets and avenues in that portion of San Francisco, known as South San Francisco, to be closed for the purpose of opening new streets and avenues as per map of Water Front Land Corporation.

*Fairfax Avenue.*

Fairfax avenue from a point 336.833 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Keith street to the northwesterly line of Hawes street.

*Galvez Avenue.*

Galvez avenue from a point 434.750 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Keith street to the northwesterly line of Hawes street.

*Hudson Avenue.*

Commencing at a point on the northeasterly line of Hudson avenue, distant thereon 532.583 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Keith street and running thence southeasterly along the northeasterly line of Hudson avenue a distance of 1,371.028 feet; thence southwesterly on a curve to the right of 385.65-foot radius, the tangent of which deflects 78 deg. 01 min. 39 sec. to the right from the preceding course at the last described point, central angle 1 deg. 13 min. 59 sec., a distance of 8,300 feet; thence westerly on a curve to the right of 10-foot radius, tangent to the preceding curve, central angle 100 deg. 44 min. 22 sec., a distance of 17.582 feet; thence northwesterly tangent to the preceding curve a distance of 263.236 feet; thence at right

angles southwesterly 20 feet; thence at right angles northwesterly 1,184 feet; thence at right angles southwesterly 40 feet, to the southwesterly line of Hudson avenue; thence at right angles northwesterly along the southwesterly line of Hudson avenue 1,095.417 feet; thence at right angles northeasterly 80 feet to the northeasterly line of Hudson avenue and the point of commencement.

*Jennings Street.*

Jennings street from the southwesterly line of Evans avenue to the northeasterly line of Innes avenue.

*Ingalls Street.*

Commencing at a point on the northwesterly line of Ingalls street, distant thereon 28.733 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Evans avenue and running thence southwesterly along the northwesterly line of Ingalls street to a point distant thereon 100 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Innes street; thence at right angles southeasterly 64 feet to the southeasterly line of Ingalls street; thence at right angles northeasterly and along the southeasterly line of Ingalls street to a point distant thereon 65.096 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Evans avenue; thence deflecting 60 deg. 23 min. 44 sec. to the left and running northerly 73.609 feet to the northwesterly line of Ingalls street and the point of commencement.

*Hawes Street—Parcel I.*

Hawes street from a point 39.250 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Fairfax avenue to the northeasterly line of Galvez avenue.

*Parcel II.*

Commencing at the point of intersection of the southwesterly line of Galvez avenue and the southeasterly line of Hawes street and running thence southwesterly along the southeasterly line of Hawes street a distance of 135.750 feet; thence deflecting to the right 70 deg. 42 min. 32 sec. and running northwesterly 21.848 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the left of 465.65-foot radius, the tangent of which deflects 92 deg. 10 min. 36 sec. to the right from the preceding course, central angle 11 deg. 51 min. 55 sec., a distance of 96.431 feet; thence northerly tangent to the preceding curve 11.758 feet to a point on the northwesterly line of Hawes street; thence deflecting to the right 28 deg. 58 min. 47 sec. and running northeasterly along the northwesterly line of Hawes street 44.103 feet to the southwesterly line of Galvez avenue; thence at right angles southeasterly 64 feet to the point of commencement.

*Part of Hawes Street to Be Deeded to South San Francisco Dock Co.*

Commencing at the point of intersection of the northeasterly line of Hudson avenue with the southeasterly line of Hawes street, and running thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of Hawes street a distance of 64.250 feet; thence deflecting 109 deg. 17 min. 28 sec. to the left and running northwesterly 21.848 feet; thence southwesterly on a curve to the right of 465.65-foot radius, the tangent of which deflects 87 deg. 49 min. 24 sec. to the left from the preceding course at the last described point, central angle 6 deg. 22 min. 38 sec. a distance of 51.828 feet; thence southerly on a curve to the left of 8.298-foot radius, tangent to the preceding curve, central angle 79 deg. 15 min. 46 sec. a distance of 11.479 feet to the point of commencement.

Said closing of said portions of Fairfax avenue, Galvez avenue, Hudson avenue, Jennings street, Ingalls street and Hawes street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with provisions of Section 2 of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, as amended, and the sections of said chapter and article following said Section 2.

Be it further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing up of said portions of Fairfax avenue, Galvez avenue, Hudson avenue, Jennings street, Ingalls street and Hawes street be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

**Fixing September 25, 1922, Hearing, Buchanan Street.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20289 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Monday, September 25, 1922, at 3 p. m., is hereby fixed as the time for hearing protests of Spring Valley Water Company and Andre Lazard from the action and decision of the Board of Public Works in recommending the ordering of the improvement of Buchanan street between Hermann street and Duboce avenue, as provided in Resolution of Intention No. 73601 (Second Series).

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Peasy, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Hayden, Powers, Welch—3.

**Fixing September 25, 1922, Hearing, Ledyard Street.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20290 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Monday, September 25, 1922, is hereby fixed as the time for hearing appeal of property owners from the action and decision of the Board of Public Works in overruling the protest of property owners against the improvement of Ledyard street from Silver avenue to a point 500 feet southerly therefrom; by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with 38 Y branches and 2 brick man-holes along the center line of Ledyard street, between the center line of Silver avenue and a point 500 feet southerly from the southerly line of Silver avenue, as provided in Resolution of Intention No. 73602 (Second Series).

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Peasy, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Hayden, Powers, Welch—3.

**Accepting Deed to Land for Extension and Widening San Bruno Avenue.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20291 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the deed dated April 6, 1922, from Crocker Estate Co. (a corporation), Wm. H. Crocker and C. E. Green, president and secretary, respectively, to the City and County of San Francisco to lands for street purposes, Parcels 1, 3, 4, 5, 6 in said deed, being for the extension of San Bruno avenue, and Parcel 2 in said deed being for the widening of San Bruno avenue, as shown on map. Showing the closing of a portion of Railroad avenue; the opening of San Bruno avenue through the Crocker Bay Shore Tract and widening of San Bruno avenue from Bay Shore avenue six hundred and fifty-nine feet plus or minus southerly, approved by Resolution No. 74101 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, August 2, 1922, upon conditions therein contained, be and the same is hereby accepted, and the land described therein is hereby declared to be an open public street of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Peasy, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Hayden, Powers, Welch—3.

**Map Approval.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20292 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did by Resolution No. 74101 (Second Series), adopted August 2, 1922, approve a map showing the closing of a

portion of Railroad avenue; the opening of San Bruno avenue through the Crocker Bay Shore Tract, and the widening of San Bruno avenue from Bay Shore avenue 659 feet plus or minus southerly; therefore be it

Resolved, That said map showing the closing of a portion of Railroad avenue; the opening of San Bruno avenue through the Crocker Bay Shore Tract, and the widening of San Bruno avenue from Bay Shore avenue 659 feet plus or minus southerly, is hereby approved.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Hayden, Powers, Welch—3.

**Closing and Abandoning Portion of Judson Avenue Between Detroit Street and Circular Avenue.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20293 (New Series), as follows:

Closing and abandoning a portion of Judson avenue between Detroit street and Circular avenue in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Whereas, this Board has, by Resolution No. 20174 (New Series), declared its intention to close and abandon a portion of Judson avenue between Detroit street and Circular avenue, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California more particularly described; and

Whereas, proper notice of said resolution and of said proposed closing and abandonment of said portion of Judson avenue between Detroit street and Circular avenue, was duly given by the Board of Public Works of said City and County by publication and posting in the manner provided by Section 2, Chapter III, Article VI, and the sections of said chapter and article following said Section 2 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, more than ten days have elapsed after the expiration of the time of publication of said notice; and

Whereas, the said work is for the closing up of said portion of Judson avenue between Detroit street and Circular avenue, and it appears to the Board that no assessment is necessary; therefore

Resolved, That the said closing up and the abandonment of said portion of Judson avenue between Detroit street and Circular avenue is hereby ordered, and said portion of Judson avenue between Detroit street and Circular avenue is hereby closed and abandoned as a public street.

That said portion of Judson avenue

between Detroit street and Circular avenue hereinabove referred to is more particularly bounded and described as follows:

*Judson Avenue.*

Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Detroit street and the northerly line of Judson avenue, and running thence southerly along the easterly line of Detroit street, if extended and produced southerly, a distance of 50 feet; thence southerly and easterly on a curve to the left of 20-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 90 deg. a distance of 31.416 feet to a point on the southerly line of Judson avenue; thence easterly along the southerly line of Judson avenue 55.117 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the left of 20-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 43 deg. 01 min. 30 sec. a distance of 15.019 feet; to a point on the northwesterly line of Circular avenue, if extended and produced; thence northeasterly along the northwesterly line of Circular avenue, if extended and produced, a distance of 94.713 feet to a point on the northerly line of Judson avenue, distant thereon 158 feet easterly from the easterly line of Detroit street; thence westerly along the northerly line of Judson avenue 158 feet to the easterly line of Detroit street and the point of beginning.

Be it Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Board of Public Works and that the Board of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law and the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and the Clerk of the Board is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the San Francisco Chronicle as required by law.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Hayden, Powers, Welch—3.

**Extension of Time.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20294 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That City Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after September 19, 1922, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Anderson street between Cortland and Eugenia avenues, including the crossing of Eugenia avenue.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor has been delayed on account of shortage of cement. The block is graded to

sub grade and the concrete curbs are constructed.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Hayden, Powers, Welch—3.

**Finance Committee Requested to appropriate \$2,000 for Music Week.**

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 20295 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Finance Committee be requested to appropriate the sum of \$2,000 out of Budget Item No. 551 (Publicity and Advertising) to be expended by the Citizens' Committee appointed by his Honor the Mayor pursuant to Resolution No. 20052 (New Series) for the purpose of making arrangements for the proper observance of Municipal Music Week, November 6 to 11, 1922.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Hayden, Powers, Welch—3.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Street Lights.**

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 20296 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas & Electric Company is hereby instructed to remove, change and install street lights as follows:

*Remove Gas Lamp.*

Clay and Scott streets.

South side Clay streets, first west of Pierce street.

Southwest corner Twenty-second and Church streets.

East side Church street, first south of Twenty-second street.

South side Lombard street, first west of Leavenworth street.

South side Lombard street, second west of Leavenworth street.

North side Lombard street, first west of Leavenworth street.

Northwest and southeast corners Lombard and Leavenworth streets.

*Change Gas Lamp.*

North side Pixley, first west of Buchanan street to west property line, 3 feet.

South side Parnassus avenue, 111 feet west of Cole.

*Install 250 M. R.*

Prospect avenue, pole opposite No. 45.

Clay street between Pierce and Scott streets.

Hayes street between Ashbury and Masonic.

Grove street between Central and Masonic.

Santa Marina between Elsie and Prospect.

Holly Park Circle between Elsie and Bacon.

Nineteenth street and Wisconsin street.

Lombard street between Hyde and Leavenworth.

Edinburgh street between Avalon and Excelsior avenue.

*Install 400 M. R.*

Clay and Scott streets.

Twenty-second and Church streets.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Hayden, Powers, Welch—3.

**Forget-Me-Not Day.**

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 20297 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors endorses the "Forget-Me-Not-Day" Campaign to be held in San Francisco on November 4, 1922, under the auspices of the Disabled American Veterans of the World War for the purpose of raising funds for the benefit of the disabled men; and be it further

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be requested to appoint a Citizens Committee to direct the campaign.

*Adopted under suspension of the rules by the following:*

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Hayden, Powers, Welch—3.

**Death of Edgar L. Painter, Secretary of the North Central Improvement Association.**

Supervisor Hayden declared that he was very sorry to learn just now of the death of Edgar L. Painter, Secretary of the North Central Improvement Association, who for very many years had represented said organization and the financial district before the Board of Supervisors.

Supervisor Hayden paid a tribute to the character of Edgar Painter and eulogized his fairness in dealing with the Board of Supervisors as well as

his great appreciation of anything the Supervisors were able to do to further the interests of the district he represented.

*Supervisor Hayden* moved that when the Board adjourns it do so out of respect to the memory of Edgar L. Painter and that the Clerk convey to the sorrowing relatives the deepest sympathy of the Board in this hour of their bereavement.

*Motion carried by rising vote.*

**Citizens Committee to Promote Bond Issues for Schools and Relief Home.**

*Supervisor McLeran* presented:

Resolution No. 20298 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be requested to appoint a committee of one thousand citizens to promote the proposition of issuing bonds for the construction of Public School Buildings and Relief Home, the necessity for which is great and the matter of providing funds therefor is of paramount importance.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—14.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisors Hayden, Powers, Welch—3.

**City Planning Commission to Recommend Zoning Amendment.**

*Supervisor Scott* presented:

Resolution No. 20299 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Planning Commission be requested to recommend an amendment to the Zoning Ordinance so as to place the following described parcel of land in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District:

Commencing at a point situated one hundred and twenty-five (125) feet easterly from the easterly line of Church street and one hundred (100) feet southerly from the southerly line of Fifteenth street, and running thence southerly seventy-five (75) feet, thence easterly one hundred and fifteen (115) feet, thence northwesterly seventy-five (75) feet, and thence westerly to the point of commencement.

*Adopted* under the suspension of rules by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Hayden, Powers, Welch—3.

**Committee on State Laws and Legislation.**

*Supervisor Scott* presented:

Resolution No. 20300 (New Series), as follows:

Be it Resolved, That in conformity with the rules of the Board of Supervisors, the Mayor is hereby requested to appoint a committee of five members of the Board on State Laws and Legislation.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Hayden, Powers, Welch—3.

**Amendments to Building Law.**

*Supervisor Scott* presented the following proposed amendments to the Building Law:

*Private Garages.*

Bearing walls for any private garage when built in connection with a residence the capacity of which shall not exceed two automobiles, when built of brick, stone, hollow tile, hollow cement blocks, hollow interlocking tile or plain concrete shall be not less than six inches wide, provided that no portion of such six-inch wall shall extend below the ground level.

The foundation walls of such garages shall be not less than nine inches wide with a footing not less than thirteen inches wide.

Bond irons three-eighths of an inch thick and three inches wide securely linked or bolted together and extending clear around the building shall be placed beneath the ceiling joist when firewalls are constructed.

A suitable number of one-half inch wrought iron anchors extending into the walls shall be securely fastened to the ceiling joist when firewalls are constructed.

When no firewalls are constructed the rafters and ceiling joist shall be securely spiked to a wooden wall plate not less than two inches thick and four inches wide securely bolted to the bearing walls.

*Referred to Buildings Committee.*

**One-Story Dwellings.**

Bearing walls for any one-story building used exclusively for residential purposes, when constructed of brick, stone, hollow tile, hollow cement blocks, hollow interlocking tile or plain concrete, shall be not less than six inches wide, provided that no portion of such six-inch wall shall extend below the ground level.

The basement or foundation walls of such buildings shall be not less than thirteen inches wide with a footing not less than twenty-one inches wide with

regular offsets of two inches each until the thirteen-inch wall is reached.

Bond irons three-eighths of an inch thick and three inches wide securely linked or bolted together and extending clear around the building shall be placed beneath the ceiling joist when fire walls are constructed.

A suitable number of one-half inch wrought iron anchors extending into the walls shall be securely fastened to the ceiling joist when fire walls are constructed.

When no fire walls are constructed the rafters and ceiling joist shall be securely spiked to a wooden wall plate not less than two inches thick and four inches wide securely bolted to the bearing walls.

All main cross partitions shall be bolted to the exterior walls with three-eighths inch bolts, two of such bolts shall be spaced equally between the floor and ceiling of each partition.

*Referred to Buildings Committee.*

#### Two-Story Dwellings.

Bearing walls for any building used exclusively for residential purposes, when constructed of brick, stone, hollow tile, hollow cement blocks, hollow interlocking tile or plain concrete, shall be not less than nine inches wide, provided that no portion of such nine-inch walls shall extend below the ground level.

No such building shall exceed two stories in height, and the combined height of both stories shall not exceed eighteen feet.

The foundation walls of such build-

ings shall be not less than thirteen inches wide with a footing not less than twenty-one inches wide with regular offsets of two inches each until the thirteen-inch wall is reached.

Bond irons three-eighths of an inch thick and three inches wide, securely linked or bolted together and extending clear around the building, shall be placed beneath the second floor joist and beneath the second-story ceiling joist when fire walls are constructed.

A suitable number of one-half inch wrought iron anchors, extending into the walls, shall be securely fastened to the second-story floor joist and to the second-story ceiling joist when fire walls are constructed.

When no fire walls are constructed the rafters and second-story ceiling joist shall be securely spiked to a wooden wall plate not less than two inches thick and six inches wide, securely bolted to the bearing walls.

All main cross partitions shall be bolted to the exterior walls with three-eighths inch bolts, two of such bolts shall be spaced equally between the floor and ceiling in each partition of each story.

*Referred to Buildings Committee.*

#### ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 8:30 p. m. adjourned, to meet Thursday September 21, 1922, at 10 a. m. to consider proposed Charter Amendments.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors Monday, October 16, 1922.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series), of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

Thursday, September 21, 1922.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY

77 Sutter Street, S. F.

T

T

at

Am

the

rem

T

of

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

the

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 21, 1922,  
10 A. M.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to adjournment for the purpose of considering proposed Charter Amendments to be submitted to the electors at an election to be held November 7, 1922.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, Hynes, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGregor—2.

Quorum present.

In the absence of his Honor the Mayor and on motion of Supervisor Bath, Supervisor Wetmore was called to the chair.

Initiative Petition for Charter Amendment, Improvement of Civil Service.

The Clerk announced that he had received and transmitted to the Registrar of Voters an initiative petition for Charter Amendments and had received the following certificate in return:

### *Certificate.*

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

I, J. H. Zemansky, Registrar of Voters of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, hereby certify that I have examined the attached petition entitled "Petition to the Supervisors to Submit to a Vote of the People a Proposed Charter Amendment for the Improvement of Civil Service," proposing an amendment of Article XIII of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and filed in your office on the 8th day of September, 1922, by J. M. Kepner, Wm. H. Nanry and Charles G. Stech; I have certified the signatures thereon by comparing the same with the records of registration of electors of the City and County of San Francisco for the year 1922, up to and including the 8th day of September,

1922, and I find and hereby certify that more than 23,995 qualified registered electors, whose names appear upon the said records of registration, have signed said petition.

In witness whereof, I have set my hand and the seal of my office this 20th day of September, 1922.

J. H. ZEMANSKY,

Registrar of Voters and Secretary of the Board of Election Commissioners.

Whereupon, the aforesaid initiative petition was ordered submitted to the electors by the following vote:

### *Charter Amendment No. 32.*

A petition having been filed with the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, the said Board being the legislative body of said City and County, which petition conforms in all respects to the requirements of Section 8 of Article XI of the Constitution of the State of California, petitioning said legislative body to submit to the qualified electors the following proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County; now, therefore, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, in compliance with the provisions of the Constitution of the State of California, hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the general election to be held on the 7th day of November, 1922, a proposal to amend said Charter as follows:

### *Proposed Charter Amendment.*

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Article XIII of said Charter, relating to Civil Service, and repealing Sections 7, 10, 12 and 16 of said Article XIII and any or all other provisions of the said Charter in conflict with the said proposed amendment.

For the purpose of promoting efficiency in all departments, and providing unlimited opportunities for advancement according to merit for employees of the City and County, and encouraging competent persons to enter the municipal service, it is proposed to amend Article XIII of the

Charter of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

1. Section 11 of Article XIII of the said Charter is hereby amended to read as follows:

*Departments Governed by This Article.*

Section 11, Subdivision A. The provisions of this article shall apply to all offices and departments of the City and County except the following:

1. Elected officers.
2. Appointees of the Mayor, except as herein otherwise provided.
3. The teaching force under the Board of Education.
4. The officers and assistants of the Board of Library Trustees.
5. Persons employed temporarily as consultants in auditing, engineering or other professional services with the concurrence of the Civil Service Commission.
6. Special examiners and other special assistants in the Civil Service Department when temporarily employed for specified services.
7. Persons employed on public works outside the City and County during the period of construction.
8. Such assistants in his office, not to exceed five in number, as may be designated by the District Attorney.
9. The Chief of Police and the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department.

*Officers Made Appointive.*

Subdivision B. The following officers of the City and County heretofore elected shall, on and after the approval of this amendment by the Legislature, be included in the classified service, and selected in accordance with the provisions of this article: Auditor, Assessor, County Clerk, City Attorney, Sheriff, Treasurer, Tax Collector, Recorder, Public Administrator and Coroner; provided, that the provisions of Article XVII of this Charter, relating to the retirement of employees, shall not apply to any incumbent holding any of the offices mentioned in this subdivision at the time of the approval of this amendment by the electors unless the said incumbent shall make written application to the Board of Administration to be covered by the provisions of said Article XVII; and provided, further, that the official bonds required for the offices named in this subdivision shall be secured and paid for by the City and County, as heretofore required by law when such offices were elective; and provided further, that in the case of any incumbents holding such offices at the time of approval of this amendment by the electors, the compensation of the officers mentioned in this subdivision shall not be diminished during the terms of office for which they were elected.

*Qualified Persons Given Preference.*

Subdivision C. Any person, including elective officers here made appointive, who has been employed in any position in the classified service as defined by this amendment, for a period of not less than one year prior to the ratification of this amendment by the electors, and who is actually employed in such position at the time of such ratification, is hereby declared appointed within the provisions of the article to the position so held. Any person promoted from a lower to a higher position during the said year shall be deemed appointed to the position held for a period of one year next prior thereto.

*Vacancies Filled by Mayor.*

Subdivision D. Vacancies in the position named in Subdivision B shall be filled by the Mayor from the three persons standing highest on a register of eligibles secured through a competitive examination given in accordance with this article. Pending certification of eligibles for such vacancy the person having seniority in the next lower rank shall be appointed temporarily to exercise the duties of the office; provided, that the same person shall not hold office under temporary appointment for more than ninety days.

The provisions of Article XVII pertaining to the retirement of employees shall apply without exception to the officers appointed by the Mayor under the provisions of this subdivision.

*Preference to Persons Already Employed.*

Subdivision E. Any person employed in the operating service of any public utility acquired by the City and County, who has been so employed continuously for not less than one year prior to such acquisition, shall be deemed appointed under the provisions of this article to the position held for one year prior thereto.

2. A new section is hereby added to Article XIII of the said Charter, to be known as Section 23 and to read as follows:

*Additional Powers and Duties of Civil Service Commission.*

Section 23. The Civil Service Commission is charged with the following powers and duties in addition to those enumerated in the other sections of this article:

*Standardization of Salaries.*

1. In order to promote efficiency and to standardize salaries so that like compensation shall be paid for like service with due regard to economic conditions in the City and County and the nature of the duties performed, the Civil Service Commission shall fix,

and may from time to time revise, the maximum and minimum compensation for each class, grade or other subdivision of the classified service.

The Board of Supervisors may amend and shall approve or reject any schedule of compensation fixed by the Civil Service Commission; provided, that no compensation for any class, grade or other subdivision of the salary schedules shall be fixed at a rate above the maximum proposed by the Civil Service Commission. Changes in the schedules of compensation for any class, grade or other subdivision may be made by the Supervisors in the month of April of any year and at no other time. Such changes shall in no case exceed the maximum compensation recommended by the Civil Service Commission, and shall take effect on the first day of July immediately following.

Boards or officers having the appointing power shall fix the compensation of their appointees within the limits set by the Civil Service Commission for the class, grade or other subdivision in which such appointees have standing. To carry out the purpose of this provision, salary-fixing powers given to specific departments in other sections of this Charter, and salaries or other forms of compensation specified by this Charter for positions within the classified service, are hereby repealed.

Where the salary of any position paid at the time of the ratification of this provision by the electors shall prove to be out of line with the standards fixed as above provided, the Supervisors may direct the payment of such salary to any incumbent who held such position at the time of ratification and fix the period when such position shall be brought within the standard compensation for the class or grade.

#### *Executive Secretary.*

2. The Commission shall select a chief executive officer who shall be known as Executive Secretary; provided that any person holding the position of Chief Examiner at the time of the ratifications of this amendment by the electors, who has held the same for a period of not less than one year immediately prior thereto shall be deemed appointed as such Executive Secretary. The compensation of the Executive Secretary and of the persons employed under him shall be fixed by the Civil Service Commission in accordance with the provisions of this section.

#### *Other Employees of Department.*

3. Subject to the approval of the Commissioners and in such manner as they shall direct, the Executive

Secretary shall appoint all examiners, inspectors, clerks and other employees of the Civil Service Department; provided, that all permanent employees of the department shall be selected as the result of competitive examination. *Non-Assembled Examinations for Professional Candidates.*

4. The Civil Service Commission, in its discretion, may provide for the determination of qualifications of physicians, engineers, attorneys, and others performing technical, professional, or managerial services by examinations which may be in whole or in part of a non-assembled character; provided, that such examinations shall be competitive.

#### *Register of Eligibles.*

5. From the returns of examinations given in accordance with the provisions of this article, the Commission shall prepare a register for each class of positions in the classified service of the applicants who have met all of the requirements fixed by the Commission in the resolution calling such examination. Such persons shall take rank on the register of eligibles in order of their relative excellence as determined by examination, without reference to priority of time of examination. Eligibility as thus established shall continue not less than one year nor more than four years, in the discretion of the Civil Service Commission.

#### *Emergency Appointments.*

6. The Commission shall make certification as provided in Section 9 from the list of eligibles which in the judgment of the Commission is most appropriate to the duties to be performed. When there are no such eligibles the Commission may grant permission to the head of the department concerned to make emergency appointment of persons who have qualifications fixed by the Commission; but such appointments shall be for a period not exceeding sixty days and only until regular appointments shall be made. The same person shall not receive compensation under any emergency appointment made under any such authorization or any renewal thereof for more than sixty days in any calendar year.

#### *Appointment on Probation.*

7. When the appointing officer receives the certification of eligibles by the Civil Service Commission for any position he shall appoint one of the persons so certified in the manner provided by the rules of the Commission. Such appointment shall be on probation for a period to be fixed by the rules of the Commission. At or before the expiration of the period of probation the head of the department or

office may return said person to the eligible list on stating in writing the reasons therefor.

*Provision for Transfer.*

8. The Commission shall provide by general rule for the manner in which transfers of appointees in any department may be made for temporary or permanent service in the same class in another department, and when temporary transfer is made may determine the proportion of compensation to be paid by each department.

*Approval of Payrolls.*

9. The Commission shall fix the times at which, and the manner in which, payrolls shall be presented and approved.

10. It shall be the duty of the Board of Administration to report to the Civil Service Commission the names of all employees retired from active service in accordance with the provisions Article XVII of this Charter, and the Civil Service Commission shall thereafter refuse to approve any demand for compensation in whatever form for the said retired employees except in accordance with the provisions of the said article, or ordinances adopted by authority thereof.

*Dismissals.*

10. No person in the classified civil service shall be removed or discharged except on charges filed in writing with the department in which he is serving and with the Civil Service Commission. A copy of the charges shall be given the accused, or in case he cannot be found, may be mailed to him at his last known address, and he shall be given an opportunity to be heard in his own defense before the appointing power. The following are causes for dismissal: Incompetence; habitual intemperance; immoral conduct; insubordination; neglect of duty; discourteous treatment of the public or other employees of the city government; participation in a political campaign as defined by Section 32 of Article XVI of this Charter; violation of the rules of the department in which he is employed or of the Civil Service Commission.

After giving the accused employee an opportunity to answer charges, the appointing power may: (a) Exonerate the employee; or (b) request the Civil Service Commission to transfer him to another department or return him to the register from which he was appointed; or (c) dismiss him from the service. In case an employee is found guilty and mitigating circumstances are shown, the appointing power may in lieu of dismissal, and with the consent of the Civil Service Commission and of the employee concerned, transfer him to a lower position in the de-

partment. A statement of the action taken by the appointing power with reasons therefor, shall be filed in the records of the department and with the Civil Service Commission. On dismissal from the service the employee shall lose all rights under this article and may re-enter the service only by passing another examination with the consent of the Civil Service Commission. Pending the hearing of charges, the employee may be suspended for a period not exceeding thirty days.

At any time within ten days after decision by the appointing power, such employee may appeal from the finding of the appointing power by filing with the Civil Service Commission in writing a statement of the reasons for his complaint against such finding. The Commission may in its discretion investigate such complaint and report its recommendations to the Mayor. If such report shall show that the employee was unjustly dismissed, the Mayor may in his discretion reinstate such employee and may order that his compensation be paid for time lost. The Civil Service Commission may hear and determine charges filed by any citizen or by the authorized agents of the Commission acting under the power conferred by Section 14 of this article when the appointing power neglects or refuses to act within ten days.

Subject to the provisions of this section, the Mayor shall have power to remove for cause any of the officers mentioned in Subdivision B of Section 11 of this article. The Mayor shall immediately report his action in each case to the Board of Supervisors, and unless reversed by them within thirty days his action shall be final.

*Suspensions.*

11. For disciplinary purposes an employee may be suspended for a period not exceeding thirty days for any of the causes named in Subdivision 10 of this section. Suspension shall carry with it the loss of compensation for the period of suspension.

*Improvement of Public Service.*

12. For the betterment of the public service and in co-operation with department heads, the Commission shall, so far as their appropriations will permit, establish training courses for persons on the eligible register or in service in order to prepare them for the duties which they are to perform, and may publish for the employees or eligibles such information as may improve their efficiency. In the option of the Commission service records may be kept which shall be used to determine standing within grades and be considered in examinations for promotion.

*Records to Be Kept and Analyzed.*

13. Department heads shall prepare such records of service as may be required by the Civil Service Commission, and an analysis of such records shall form a part of the Commission's annual report.

3. A new section is hereby added to Article XIII of the said Charter to be known as Section 24 and to read as follows:

*Provision for Salary Standardization and Efficiency.*

Section 24. For the purpose of standardizing compensation of employees and securing efficiency, the Supervisors shall appropriate annually an amount equal to two-tenths of one per cent of the aggregate annual payrolls of all departments under the classified service, or so much thereof as the Commission may request, for a period not exceeding three years. For the expenses of performing the other duties herein imposed upon the Commission, the Supervisors shall appropriate three-tenths of one per cent of such payrolls each year, or so much thereof as the Commission may request. The Supervisors may appropriate additional amounts for specific services. Such appropriations shall be in lieu of other appropriations heretofore fixed in this article for the maintenance of the Commission.

4. Sections 7, 10, 12 and 16 of this article, and any or all other provisions of this Charter in conflict with this amendment are hereby superseded or repealed.

5. If any of the provisions of this amendment shall be found to be unconstitutional or invalid for any reason, the remainder of the amendment shall not thereby be invalid, but shall remain in full force and effect.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Coleman, Hayden, Hynes, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGregor, Shannon—3.

*Place on Ballot.*

*Supervisor Bath* moved that the Charter Amendment providing for county officers' salary increase be given the last number on the ballot.

*Railroad Transportation Amendment.*

*Geo. Skaller*, representing the Civic League, was granted the privilege of the floor and urged that the last place on the ballot be retained for the railroad transportation amendment, as being of much greater importance to the people.

*Supervisor Scott* moved as an amendment to *Supervisor Bath's* motion that the railroad transportation amendment be given the last place on the ballot.

Whereupon, on motion of *Supervisor Bath*, both amendments were referred to the Judiciary Committee for consideration as to place on the ballot.

Subsequently, *Supervisor Bath*, for the Judiciary Committee, reported verbally in favor of giving the railroad transportation amendment the last place on the ballot.

*Motions.*

*Supervisor Bath* moved that the Board of Supervisors now proceed to consider the recommendations of the Committee.

*Supervisor Hynes* moved as a substitute that the report relative to the different Charter Amendments submitted to them be read and made a part of the record.

There being no written report, *Supervisor Bath* made an oral report for the Judiciary Committee to the effect that all Charter Amendments printed on the Calendar in the hands of the members were presented with the favorable recommendation of the Committee, and the other amendments on the clip are presented without the Committee's recommendation, to wit:

1 C. A. License Tax of 1/10 of 1 per cent on Goods, Wares and Merchandise.

2 C. A. Options to Purchase Bonds.

3 C. A. Election of Supervisors from Districts.

4 C. A. Board of Supervisors to Appoint and Fix Salaries of Employees of Board of Public Works and Board of Health.

*Supervisor McSheehy* raised the question as to the right of *Supervisor Scott* to sit as a member of the Judiciary Committee and of *Supervisor McLeran* to sit as a member of the Building Committee, alleging that the change in committees occasioned by the death of *Supervisor Wolfe* had not been formally approved by the Board of Supervisors.

*Supervisor Hayden* raised the point of order that the question before the Board was the question of considering Charter Amendments and not appointment of committees.

*Chair (Supervisor Wetmore)*: The point of order is well taken.

*Charter Amendments Approved.*

Whereupon, the following proposed Charter Amendments were taken up:

*Charter Amendment No. —.**Relating to Meetings of Boards and Commissions.*

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding a new section to Article XVI thereof to be numbered Section 1a, relating to meetings of boards and commissions.

The Board of Supervisors of the

City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the general election to be held on the 7th day of November, 1922, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County as follows:

That a new section be added to Article XVI to be numbered Section 1a and to read as follows:

Section 1a. All meetings, regular or special, of every board or commission acting pursuant to this Charter, any ordinance, or any law of the State, for the transaction of any business of such board or commission, shall be open to the public, excepting special meetings held by the Civil Service Commission for the purpose of approving payrolls and considering and adopting examination questions, and in the event of there being no date fixed by law, or ordinance, for the holding of any such meeting, public notice thereof shall be made.

*Ordered submitted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, Hynes, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGregor—2.

*Charter Amendment No. —.*

*Tubercular Hospital Outside City.*

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the said Charter of said City and County by adding a new section thereto to be known as Section 6, Article I, relating to the establishment and maintenance by the City and County of San Francisco of a tubercular hospital or hospitals outside of the territorial limits of the City and County of San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the general election to be held therein on the 7th day of November, 1922, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding a new section thereto to be known as Section 6 of Article I, to read as follows:

Section 6. The City and County of San Francisco may purchase, receive, hold or lease real property outside the boundaries of the City and County for the purpose of establishing or maintaining a hospital or hospitals for the treatment and care of persons suffering from tuberculosis who would otherwise be patients at a City and County hospital situated within the limits of the City and County; and may erect, lease, equip and maintain

a hospital or hospitals thereon for the treatment of such tubercular patients; and may also provide by contract for the maintenance and care of such tubercular patients in hospitals outside the limits of the City and County owned, operated or maintained by other cities, counties or cities and counties, or may by contract with other cities, counties or cities and counties provide for the joint ownership, operation, maintenance and control of a hospital or hospitals for the treatment of persons suffering from tuberculosis.

*Ordered submitted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, Hynes, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGregor—2.

*Charter Amendment No. —.*

*Relating to the Expenditure of Money for the Construction of Highways Outside of the Corporate Limits.*

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding a new section to Chapter II of Article II thereof, to be numbered Section 13, relating to the expenditure of money for the construction of highways outside of the corporate limits.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the general election to be held on the 7th day of November, 1922, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County as follows:

That a new section be added to Chapter II of Article II to be numbered Section 13 and to read as follows:

Section 13. Nothing in this Charter contained shall be construed to prohibit the expenditure of money by the City and County for the construction of public highways outside of its corporate limits, and the Board of Supervisors may authorize such expenditure and may direct payment to be made to any constituted body authorized by law to construct such public highways; provided, however, that consent to the construction of such highway shall be given by the legislative body of the county wherein the same is located. The Board of Supervisors may enter into an agreement with another county or counties for the construction of a public highway and such agreement may provide for the method by which such agreement shall be executed.

*Ordered submitted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, Hynes, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGregor—2.

*Charter Amendment No. —.*

*Fixing Number of Detectives Police Department.*

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 6 of Chapter V of Article VIII thereof, relating to number of detectives of the Police Department.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the general election to be held on the 7th day of November, 1922, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County as follows:

That Section 6 of Chapter V of Article VIII be amended to read as follows:

Section 6. The Chief of Police may detail for detective duties such members of the police force as he may select, not to exceed one for each eighteen members of the police force. He shall designate a Captain of Police to act as captain over the officers so detailed, who shall receive an annual salary of \$4,000. Such captain shall rank as Captain of Detectives and his duties shall be defined by the Commissioners and by the Chief of Police. Such captain shall be in addition to the number of captains specified in Section 2 of this chapter. The members so detailed shall be known in rank as Detective Sergeants. Each of said detective sergeants shall receive an annual salary of \$2,400. They may be removed at any time from such detail by the Chief of Police. Their duties shall be defined by the rules and regulations of the Commissioners, by the orders of the Chief of Police and by orders of the Captain of Detectives.

*Amendment.*

*Supervisor Schmitz* moved as an amendment to strike out in Section C, line six, the words "Captain of Police" and insert in lieu thereof the words "member of the department"; also strike out the word "Captain" in the ninth line and insert in lieu the words "member of the department".

*Privilege of the Floor.*

*Capt. Mathewson* of the Detective Bureau appeared and was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board. He believed that if Super-

visor Schmitz's amendment prevailed that it would break down the discipline in the department and would result in a lot of pulling and hauling for the position.

*Supervisor Schmitz Amendment Defeated.*

Whereupon, the question being taken on Supervisor Schmitz's amendment, the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McLeran, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Schmitz, Welch—5.  
Noes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, Morgan, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Wetmore—8.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, Powers, Shannon—5.

Thereupon, *Supervisor Schmitz* declared that he intended to re-introduce the amendment and asked the Clerk to have it prepared.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Colman, Charter Amendment No. 4, fixing number of detectives in Police Department, was *ordered submitted* to the electors by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, Powers, Shannon—5.

*Charter Amendment — Final Determination of Matters Relating to Pensions of Policemen and Firemen.*

*Charter Amendment No. —.*

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding a new section to Chapter X of Article VIII thereof to be numbered Section 14, and by adding a new section to Chapter VII of Article IX thereof to be numbered Section 11, relating to final determination of matters concerning pensions of policemen and firemen.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the general election to be held on the 7th day of November, 1922, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County as follows:

That a new section be added to Chapter X of Article VIII to be numbered Section 14 and to read as follows:

Section 14. The judgment of said Board of Police Commissioners in passing upon or determining any of the matters referred to in said Chapter X, Article VIII of this Charter, authorized to be passed upon or determined by them shall be final, unless in passing upon or determining any of said matters said Board commits a clear abuse of discretion.

That a new section be added to Chapter VII of Article IX to be numbered Section 11 and to read as follows:

Section 11. The judgment of the Board of Fire Pension Fund Commissioners in passing upon or determining any of the matters referred to of Chapter VII of Article IV of this Charter therein authorized to be passed or determined by them shall be final, unless in passing upon or determining any of said matters said Board of Commissioners commit a clear abuse of discretion.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

*Jno. Davis*, Fire Commissioner, was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board. He declared that after careful consideration it was thought advisable, instead of wording the amendment in so general a way, that it would be safer and in the public interest to be specific as to exactly what it was desired to accomplish. He therefore suggested that the proposed amendment be changed by striking out the words beginning in line four, Section 11, "any of the matters referred to of Chapter VII of Article IV of this Charter therein authorized to be passed or determined by them" and inserting in lieu thereof the words "the question when a disability upon which a pension has been granted has ceased"; also in third line from bottom of Section 11, strike out "any of said matters" and insert in lieu the words "said question".

Commissioner Davis said that he felt that the same amendment would be acceptable to the Board of Police Commissioners with reference to the Police Pension Fund, and asked that it be likewise amended.

*Capt. Brown* of the David Scannell Club, representing the Fire Department, concurred in the statement of Commissioner Davis and urged the acceptance of the proposed amendment.

Whereupon, the proposed Charter Amendment amended as follows was ordered submitted by the following vote:

**Charter Amendment No. —.**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding a new section to Chapter X of Article VIII thereof to be numbered Section 11, and by adding a new section to Chapter VII of Article IX thereof to be numbered Section 11, relating to final determination of matters concerning pensions of policemen and firemen.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the general

election to be held on the 7th day of November, 1922, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County as follows:

That a new section be added to Chapter X of Article VIII to be numbered Section 14 and to read as follows:

Section 14. The judgment of said Board of Police Commissioners in passing upon or determining the question when the disability upon which a pension has been granted has ceased shall be final, unless in passing upon or determining said question said Board commit a clear abuse of discretion.

That a new section be added to Chapter VII of Article IX to be numbered Section 11 and to read as follows:

Section 11. The judgment of the Board of Fire Pension Fund Commissioners in passing upon or determining the question when the disability upon which a pension has been granted has ceased shall be final, unless in passing upon or determining said question said Board of Commissioners commit a clear abuse of discretion.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, Hynes, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGregor—2.

**Compensation of Registration Deputies.**

The following proposed amendments were taken up and ordered submitted:

**Charter Amendment No. —.**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 4 of Chapter I of Article XI and repealing Section 5 thereof, relating to the registration of voters and the compensation to be paid therefor.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the general election to be held on the 7th day of November, 1922, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County as follows:

That Section 4, Chapter I, Article XI, be amended to read as follows:

Section 4. All provisions of the general laws of this State, including penal laws, respecting elections not inconsistent with the provisions of Chapter II hereof, shall be applicable to all elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. All provisions of the general laws of this State, respecting the registration of voters, shall be applicable to such registration in this City and County.

The Board of Election Commissioners may provide for the registration of qualified electors at places outside of its office in the City Hall and at the residences and places of business of electors and it may fix the compensation of the deputies employed for the purpose, at a rate of not more than ten (10) cents for each valid affidavit of registration completed and returned to the Registrar of Voters by each of said deputies, respectively. The Board may appoint such other deputies, clerical assistants and employees as may be necessary, and shall by resolution adopted by a majority vote of all its members and entered upon its minutes, designate the salary to be received and the service to be rendered by each, and the time for which they shall be employed. The time of employment of such deputies, assistants and employees shall not be extended, and when a salary shall have been fixed, it shall not be changed except by like resolution of the Board.

This section is subject to the provisions of Article XIII of the Charter. The provisions of this section shall have full force and effect, all other sections of this Charter notwithstanding.

Section 5 of Chapter I of Article XI is hereby repealed.

*Ordered submitted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, Hynes, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGregor—2.

*Relating to Use of Ballot Machines.*

*Charter Amendment No. 39.*

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 14 of Chapter II of Article XI thereof, relating to the use of ballot machines.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the general election to be held on the 7th day of November, 1922, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County as follows:

That Section 14 of Chapter II of Article XI be amended to read as follows:

Section 14. In the event of the use of voting machines the arrangement of the ballot, the counting of the vote, the canvass of returns and the determination of the result shall be governed by the general laws of the State.

*Ordered submitted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, Hynes, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGregor—2.

*Charter Amendment No. —.*

*Relating to Official Advertising.*

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding a new section to Chapter III of Article II thereof to be numbered Section 8, relating to official advertising.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the general election to be held on the 7th day of November, 1922, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County as follows:

That a new section be added to Chapter III of Article II to be numbered Section 8 and to read as follows:

Section 8. The method prescribed in this Charter for publishing all official matters and doing official advertising shall not be deemed exclusive, and the Board of Supervisors in lieu of such prescribed method may establish and publish an official newspaper under the name of "Municipal Record," which shall be printed, published and circulated once a week, and wherein may be published any or all official matter required to be published by this Charter or State law. When by any provision of this Charter a publication of any matter is required for not more than five days in a daily newspaper, one publication in such weekly newspaper shall be equivalent thereto; if for more than five days and not more than ten days, two such publications shall be equivalent; if for more than ten days, one publication for and during each week or fraction thereof contained in the period for which publication is required shall be equivalent to a daily publication.

*Motion.*

*Supervisor Mulvihill* moved re-reference of the foregoing proposed amendment to the Judiciary Committee.

*Privilege of the Floor.*

*Wm. H. Nanry*, representing the San Francisco Bureau of Municipal Research, was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board favoring the proposed Charter Amendment.

**Ordered Submitted.**

Thereupon, the foregoing proposed Charter Amendment was *ordered submitted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, Hynes, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—13.

Noes—Supervisors Mulvihill, Powers—2.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGregor, Shannon—3.

**Explanation of Vote.**

*Supervisor Schmitz* explained his vote by saying that he would vote to submit it to the people although he did not favor it himself.

**Motion.**

*Supervisor McSheehy* thereupon moved that the Board take a recess until 2 p. m.

**Excused.**

Whereupon, Supervisors McLeran, Schmitz and Powers requested to be excused from meeting this afternoon as they were to attend convention of California League of Municipalities at Palo Alto.

*So ordered.*

**Recess.**

Whereupon, the Board on Supervisor McSheehy's motion took a recess until 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk.

THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 21, 1922,  
2:30 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors re-assembled at 2:30 p. m. for the further consideration of proposed Charter Amendments.

**CALLING THE ROLL.**

The Roll was called and the following members were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, Hynes, McSheehy, Morgan, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—11.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGregor, McLeran, Mulvihill, Powers, Schmitz, Shannon—7.

(At the evening session Supervisors McLeran and Mulvihill appeared and requested to be recorded in favor of all amendments submitted at this session.)

No objection.

*Charter Amendment No. —.*  
*Civil Service Positions, Coroner's Office.*

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding a new subdivision to Section 11 of Article XIII, to be designated subdivision C, relating to positions in the Coroner's office.

The Board of Supervisors of the City

and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the general election to be held on the 7th day of November, 1922, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County as follows:

That a new subdivision, to be designated Subdivision C, be added to Section 11 of Article XIII, and to read as follows:

Subdivision C. Any person who has served for a period of one year continuously prior to the date of approval of this amendment by the Legislature in the position of Assistant Deputy Coroner (female) is hereby declared appointed within the provisions of Article XIII of this Charter to such position and shall be entitled to all the benefits of said article.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

*Dr. Leland*, Coroner, appeared in support of the foregoing proposed amendment.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Hayden, the amendment was *ordered submitted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, Hynes, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGregor, Powers, Schmitz, Shannon—5.

*Charter Amendment No. —.*

Supervisor Rossi presented:

*Creating a Department of Supplies.*

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding a new chapter to Article II thereof to be designated Chapter IV, relating to a Department of Supplies.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the general election to be held on the 7th day of November, 1922, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County as follows:

That a new chapter is hereby added to Article II to be designated Chapter IV and to read as follows:

Section 1. A Bureau of Supplies is hereby created. The chief of the Bureau shall be a Purchaser of Supplies to be appointed by the Mayor and who shall serve during his pleasure. He shall receive such salary as may be recommended by the Mayor and approved by the Board of Supervisors and shall give an official bond in such sum as may be fixed by said Board.

The Purchaser of Supplies may appoint, subject to the provisions of Article XIII of the Charter, such deputies and assistants as may be neces-

sary when authorized by the Board of Supervisors and their compensation shall be fixed by said Board; but present employees of the City and County exclusively performing duties as purchasers, of supplies or as inspectors, custodians, commissaries or accountants thereof shall be transferred to this Bureau from the department where now employed, and such employees shall be deemed appointed to such positions within the provisions of Article XIII of the Charter and shall be entitled to all the benefits of said article thereafter.

Section 2. The Purchaser of Supplies shall have authority, and it shall be his duty, to purchase all the supplies of every kind and nature required by the several departments of the City government (except the Public Library) upon requisitions issued by such departments, and this provision shall supersede any provision to the contrary contained in this Charter, and it shall be the duty of the departments to comply herewith. He shall have authority to sell personal property belonging to the City and County when authorized by a resolution of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 3. Notwithstanding the provisions contained in Chapter III of this article or in Section 9 of Chapter I of Article III, the Board of Supervisors shall by ordinance provide a method by which all supplies shall be purchased, the quantity thereof for which contracts may be made, which shall not exceed the estimated quantity required for one year, the period for which delivery of supplies may be made, which shall not be longer than one year, the security to be exacted for the entering into a contract and the faithful performance thereof, the supplies for which advertisement must first be made, the manner of receipt, inspection, distribution and accounting therefor. The Purchaser of Supplies shall have authority to sign contracts for supplies for future delivery and payment when the same does not exceed \$1000; in other cases jointly with the head of the department requisitioning the same.

Section 4. Section 4 of Chapter III of this Charter shall be held applicable to all purchases and contracts made under the provisions of this chapter.

#### Amendment.

Supervisor Hynes moved to amend Section 1 to read "he shall be appointed and salary fixed by ordinance of the Board of Supervisors."

Amendment accepted.

Whereupon, the foregoing proposed amendment, amended as follows, was ordered submitted by the following vote:

#### Charter Amendment No. —.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding a new chapter to Article II thereof to be designated Chapter IV, relating to a Department of Supplies.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the general election to be held on the 7th day of November, 1922, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County as follows:

That a new chapter is hereby added to Article II to be designated Chapter IV and to read as follows:

Section 1. A Bureau of Supplies is hereby created. The chief of the Bureau shall be a Purchaser of Supplies to be appointed and salary fixed by ordinance of the Board of Supervisors. He shall give an official bond in such sum as may be fixed by said Board.

The Purchaser of Supplies may appoint, subject to the provisions of Article XIII of the Charter, such deputies and assistants as may be necessary when authorized by the Board of Supervisors and their compensation shall be fixed by said Board; but present employees of the City and County exclusively performing duties as purchasers of supplies or as inspectors, custodians, commissaries or accountants thereof shall be transferred to this Bureau from the department where now employed, and such employees shall be deemed appointed to such positions within the provisions of Article XIII of the Charter and shall be entitled to all the benefits of said article thereafter.

Section 2. The Purchaser of Supplies shall have authority, and it shall be his duty, to purchase all the supplies of every kind and nature required by the several departments of the City government (except the Public Library) upon requisitions issued by such departments, and this provision shall supersede any provision to the contrary contained in this Charter, and it shall be the duties of the departments to comply herewith. He shall have authority to sell personal property belonging to the City and County when authorized by a resolution of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 3. Notwithstanding the provisions contained in Chapter III of this article, or in Section 9 of Chapter I of Article III, the Board of Supervisors shall by ordinance provide a method by which all supplies shall be purchased, the quantity thereof for which contracts may be made, which shall not exceed the estimated quantity re-

quired for one year, the period for which delivery of supplies may be made, which shall not be longer than one year, the security to be exacted for the entering into a contract and the faithful performance thereof, the supplies for which advertisement must first be made, the manner of receipt, inspection, distribution and accounting therefor. The Purchaser of Supplies shall have authority to sign contracts for supplies for future delivery and payment (when the same does not exceed \$1,000; in other cases jointly with the head of the department requisitioning the same).

Section 4. Section 4 of Chapter III of this Charter shall be held applicable to all purchases and contracts made under the provisions of this chapter.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, Hynes, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGregor, Powers, Schmitz, Shannon—5.

#### Leasing Park Concessions.

The following proposed amendment was ordered submitted by the following vote:

#### Charter Amendment No. —.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 6 of Article XIV thereof, to permit the Board of Park Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco to lease park property for concession purposes.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County at the general election to be held on the 7th day of November, 1922, a proposal to amend the Charter of the City and County as follows:

That Section 6 of Article XIV thereof be amended to read as follows:

Section 6. The Commissioners shall have the complete and exclusive control, management and direction of the aforesaid parks, squares, avenues and grounds, and the exclusive right to erect and to superintend the erection of buildings and structures thereon; and to that end may employ and appoint superintendents, laborers, surveyors, engineers and other officers and assistants, and prescribe and fix their duties, authority and compensation. They shall have the exclusive management and disbursement of all funds legally appropriated or received from any source for the support of said parks, squares, avenues and grounds.

The Board may accept from donors suitable articles for the Museum and Art Gallery situate in the aforesaid Golden Gate Park, and shall manage and control said Museum and Art Gallery.

Except as provided in Section 9 of this article, nothing in this section shall be so construed as to authorize the Commissioners to lease any part of any of said parks, squares, avenues and grounds to any person, company or corporation for any purpose; or to permit any person, company or corporation to build or maintain any structure on any part of said parks, squares, avenues or grounds; but this shall not inhibit the Board from leasing, for a period not greater than five years such property as may be under the jurisdiction of said Board for the use of the public to such persons, company or corporation who shall undertake to serve such use; and in every such lease the Board shall reserve the right to enter at all times into and upon the premises so leased, and shall make the condition that the building so leased shall be used for park pleasure purposes only. No such building shall be constructed by the Board except it be within the objects and purposes for which said parks, squares, avenues and grounds were dedicated to the public. Nothing in this section contained shall inhibit the Board from leasing any stadium or recreation field under its jurisdiction, to any person, association or corporation, for the purpose of holding thereon an athletic contest or contests, and in such lease the Board may permit such person, association or corporation to charge an admission fee to persons desirous of witnessing such contest or contests.

Nothing, however, in this section contained shall inhibit the Board from permitting the use of a limited portion of any one of the aforesaid parks or squares for the purpose of conducting thereon a fair or exposition under such conditions and restrictions as may be necessary to conserve the integrity of said parks and squares, and for a period not greater than six months, and so as not to interfere with the use of any of the same by the public for park-pleasure purposes; but no such permission shall ever be granted except such fair or exposition be of National, State or Municipal importance. None of the moneys in, or appropriated to, the Park Fund shall be used for the purpose of any such fair or exposition.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, Hynes, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGregor, Powers, Schmitz, Shannon—5.

(*Supervisor Bath* explained with reference to the foregoing amendment that the Park Commission favored an increase from a one-year to a five-year lease.)

#### Leasing of Subsurface Areas of Public Parks.

##### *Charter Amendment No. —.*

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding a new section to Article XIV thereof to be numbered Section 15, relating to the lease of sub-surface areas of public parks.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the general election to be held on the 7th day of November, 1922, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County as follows:

That a new section be added to Article XIV thereof to be numbered Section 15 and to read as follows:

Section 15. Nothing in this Charter shall inhibit the Board of Park Commissioners from utilizing, when public interest and necessity requires, the sub-park space in public parks and squares for automobile garage or parking station purposes, and to erect structures therein for such purposes, or to lease for a period not to exceed fifty years such sub-park space for such purpose to any responsible person, firm or corporation, the right to construct and operate such automobile garage or parking stations, upon terms and conditions to be determined by the Board of Park Commissioners; provided, however, that such purpose of the sub-park space is not detrimental to the original purpose for which said park or square has been dedicated, and shall not interfere with the use of said park or square by the public for park-pleasure purposes.

*Ordered submitted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, Hynes, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGregor, Powers, Schmitz, Shannon—5.

*Al. Rhine* appeared in support of the foregoing amendment and explained that it was calculated to utilize the sub-park space under Union Square for automobile parking purposes without in any way interfering with the use of the park for park-pleasure purposes.

##### Relating to Sale of City Lands.

The following was presented and *ordered submitted* by the following vote:

##### *Charter Amendment No. —.*

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Sections 9 and 11 of Chapter II of Article II, and adding a new section to said chapter to be numbered Section 12, relating to the sale of land.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the said City and County at the general election to be held on the 7th day of November, 1922, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County as follows:

That Sections 9 and 11 of Chapter II of Article II be amended so as to read as follows:

##### *Sales of Lands and Procedure Therefor.*

Section 9. The City and County of San Francisco shall have power to sell all lands owned by the City and County, excepting lands for parks, squares and children's playgrounds, and subject to the restrictions contained in Sections 11 and 12 of this chapter, and the Board of Supervisors of said City and County may by ordinance determine that the public interest or necessity demands the sale of such lands so owned or held by the City and County.

Such ordinance must describe the lands to be sold, and the terms of sale, which must be for cash in United States gold coin. The land may be sold in one parcel or in subdivisions, as the Supervisors may, in such ordinance, determine, and sales shall be made by the Mayor, as hereinafter provided.

Every such sale must be at public auction, unless the Supervisors shall, in and by such ordinance, determine that all or a portion of such lands shall be sold at private sale.

When a sale at public auction is to be had, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors must give notice thereof by publishing the same in the official newspaper, and one other daily newspaper published in the City and County, at least three weeks successively next before the sale, which said notice shall describe the lands to be sold with common certainty.

Such sales at public auction must be made in the City and County, and must be between the hours of 9 o'clock in the morning and 5 o'clock in the afternoon, and must be made on the day named in the notice of sale, unless the same is postponed.

When it shall have been determined by the Supervisors, as hereinbefore provided, that the sale of such lands shall be at private sale, a notice shall

be published in the official newspaper, and one other daily newspaper published in the City and County, for two weeks successively next before the day on or after which the sale is to be made, and the land and tenements to be sold must be described therein with common certainty. The notice must state a day on or after which the sale will be made, and must state that all bids or offers will be received by the Mayor of the City and County, at his office. The day last referred to must be at least fifteen days from the first publication of notice; and the sale must not be made before that day, but must be made within six months thereafter. The bids or offers must be in writing, and must be filed with the Mayor at his office.

No sale of real estate at private sale shall be confirmed by the Supervisors as hereinafter provided unless the sum offered shall be at least 90 per cent of the appraised value thereof, to be fixed and determined as next hereafter provided.

An appraisement of such lands shall be made and filed with the Supervisors, within three weeks after the final passage of the ordinance hereinbefore mentioned, by the Mayor, the Assessor, and the chairman of the Finance Committee of the Supervisors, who are hereby constituted a board of appraisement for such purpose.

When a sale shall have been made by the Mayor, at public auction or at private sale, as hereinbefore provided, he shall require from the person to whom the property is sold a deposit amounting to 10 per cent of the sum bid. He shall immediately thereafter, at the next meeting of the Supervisors, report the fact of such sale to the Supervisors, with a statement of the sum bid, and the name of the bidder, with a request that the Board confirm such sale.

The Clerk of the Supervisors shall immediately thereupon give notice by publication in the official newspaper, and one other daily newspaper published in the City and County, that at a meeting of the Supervisors, to be not less than twenty, nor more than thirty days, from the first publication of such notice, that such sale has been made, the amount for which the property has been sold, and the name of the purchaser. Such notice shall also contain a statement that at such meeting, if an offer of 10 per cent more in amount than that named in said notice shall be made to the Supervisors, in writing, by a responsible person, the Supervisors will confirm such sale to such person, or order a new sale, as hereinafter provided.

At such meeting, if it appears to the Supervisors that the sum bid is not disproportionate to the value of the property sold, and that a greater sum cannot be obtained, or if an increased bid, as hereinbefore mentioned, be made and accepted the Board of Supervisors may, by an ordinance, passed by an affirmative vote, of at least fifteen of its members, confirm such sale, and direct conveyances to be executed by the Mayor. A certified copy of such ordinance shall be recorded in the County Recorder's office in the county in which the property is situated.

The Board of Supervisors may, in its discretion, confirm or refuse to confirm any sale.

In the event of the refusal of the Board of Supervisors to confirm the sale all proceedings taken as hereinbefore provided for the sale of the lands shall be void and of no effect.

If the sale is confirmed by the Board of Supervisors, conveyances must thereupon be made by the Mayor to the person to whom the sale shall have been so confirmed, and shall be delivered to him upon payment of the balance of the purchase price. Such conveyances shall be in the name of the City and County, and shall be effective to convey all the right, title and interest of the City and County in and to the lands therein described to the grantee therein named.

#### *Sale of School Lots.*

Section 11. Whenever the Board of Education shall by resolution determine that any of the lots of land reserved or dedicated for school purposes are inadequate or unsuitable for school purposes or for use as sites for school buildings and that the public interest or necessity demands the sale thereof, said Board may recommend to the Mayor that such sale be made. If the Mayor shall concur in said recommendation he shall report the same to the Board of Supervisors and said Board shall make such sale in the manner provided in Section 9 of this chapter. The proceeds arising from such sale shall be used exclusively for the purpose of purchasing lands for school purposes or sites for school buildings or for additions to existing sites for school purposes.

That a new section be added to Chapter II of Article II to be numbered Section 12 and to read as follows:

Section 12. Whenever the Board of Fire Commissioners shall, by resolution, determine that any of the lots of land reserved for Fire Department purposes are inadequate or unsuitable or no longer necessary for Fire Department purposes, or for use

as sites for fire-houses, and that the public interest or necessity demands the sale thereof, said Board may recommend to the Mayor that such sale be made. If the Mayor shall concur in said recommendation, he shall report the same to the Board of Supervisors, and said Board shall make such sale in the manner provided in Section 9 of this chapter. The proceeds arising from such sale shall be used exclusively for the purpose of erecting fire-houses or purchasing lands for Fire Department purposes or sites for fire-houses or for additions to existing sites for Fire Department purposes or to fire-houses.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, Hynes, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGregor, Powers, Schmitz, Shannon—5.

#### Relating to Foreign Trade Zones.

The following was presented and *ordered submitted* by the following vote:

#### *Charter Amendment No. —.*

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding a new section to Article XII thereof to be numbered Section 21, relating to foreign trade zones.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the general election to be held on the 7th day of November, 1922, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County as follows:

That a new section be added to Article XII to be numbered Section 21 and to read as follows:

Section 21. Foreign trade zones, as have been or may hereafter be authorized by acts of Congress to be located in the City and County of San Francisco, are hereby declared to be public utilities within the meaning of this article. A bonded indebtedness for the construction, completion or acquisition of foreign trade zones and the acquisition of necessary lands, buildings and equipment authorized by the electors in accordance with the provisions of this article shall be exclusive of the bonded indebtedness of the City and County of San Francisco limited by Section 9 of this article whenever the Board of Supervisors in the ordinance provided for in Sections 1 and 5 of this article shall declare that the rates shall be so fixed that the income from the operation of the foreign trade zones shall be sufficient to create surplus earnings out of which interest on the

bonds issued for the acquisition, construction or completion of such foreign trade zones may be paid and the necessary sinking fund for their redemption provided for.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, Hynes, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGregor, Powers, Schmitz, Shannon—5.

A. J. Gallagher, representing the Southern Promotion Association, appeared and withdrew objections heretofore made to the foregoing and explained that he thought it doubtful whether or not funds of the Harbor Commission could be used for the purposes mentioned.

#### Eliminations From Bonded Debt.

The following was presented and *ordered submitted* by the following vote:

#### *Charter Amendment No. —.*

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding a new section to Article XII thereof to be numbered Section 19, relating to the elimination of the bonded debt.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the general election to be held on the 7th day of November, 1922, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County as follows:

That a new section be added to Article XII to be numbered Section 19 and to read as follows:

Section 19. The fifteen per cent limit on bonded indebtedness contained in Section 9 of this article shall not include any bond indebtedness which may be hereafter incurred for the construction, completion or acquisition of public utilities designed to furnish and deliver electric energy produced by the Hetch Hetchy project, nor which may be hereafter incurred for the construction, completion or acquisition of public utilities designed to furnish and deliver water.

The City and County may dispose of surplus water or electric energy outside of the limits of the City and County.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, Hynes, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGregor, Powers, Schmitz, Shannon—5.

#### Public Service Commission.

The following was presented and *ordered submitted* by the following vote:

#### *Charter Amendment No. —.*

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the

City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding a new section to Article XII thereof to be numbered Section 20, relating to the creation of a Public Service Commission.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the election to be held on the 7th day of November, 1922, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County as follows:

That a new section be added to Article XII to be numbered Section 20 and to read as follows:

Section 20. For the purpose of operating and maintaining the public utilities authorized to be acquired by the provisions of this article the Board of Supervisors is hereby authorized and directed to create by ordinance a Public Service Commission and to fix its powers, duties and responsibilities, such commission to be appointed by the Mayor.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, Hynes, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGregor, Powers, Schmitz, Shannon—5.

Repayment of Taxes Illegally Collected.

The following was presented and ordered submitted by the following vote:

*Charter Amendment No. —.*

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding a new section to Chapter I of Article III of the Charter, relating to the repayment of taxes illegally collected.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at a general election to be held on the 7th day of November, 1922, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County as follows:

That a new section is hereby added to Chapter I of Article III, to be known as Section 18, and to read as follows:

Section 18. Whenever the Supreme Court or Court of Appeals of the State of California or of the United States shall have decided that any portion of tax levy made by the Board of Supervisors prior to the adoption of this amendment was unlawfully made as being in excess of the powers of the Board of Supervisors it shall be the duty of the Board of Supervisors to refund to the owners of the property upon which such tax was imposed the amount of the illegal tax paid, notwithstanding that no protest was made at the time

of such payment. For the purpose of repaying such illegal tax the Board of Supervisors is hereby authorized and directed to levy a tax upon all the property subject to taxation within the City and County as the same shall appear upon the assessment-roll, sufficient to raise a sum to refund the amount of the illegal tax levied and collected, and such tax may be in addition to all other taxes permitted to be levied under the provisions of this Charter.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, Hynes, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGregor, Powers, Schmitz, Shannon—5.

Salaries of Police Judges.

The following was presented and ordered submitted by the following vote:

*Charter Amendment No. —.*

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 1 of Chapter VIII of Article V thereof, relating to the salaries of Police Judges.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the said City and County at the general election to be held on the 7th day of November, 1922, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County as follows:

That Section 1 of Chapter VIII of Article V be amended so as to read as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby created and established in and for the City and County of San Francisco a court to be known as the Police Court of the City and County of San Francisco. Said Court shall consist of four judges, who shall be elected by the people and hold office for four years. They shall each receive an annual salary of \$4,800. They shall be electors of the City and County at the time of their election, and must have been such for at least five years next preceding such time. No person shall be eligible to the office of Judge of the Police Court who is not at the time of his election qualified to practice in all the courts of this State, and who has not been so qualified for at least five years next preceding his election. The Court shall be divided into departments known as Department Number One, Department Number Two, Department Number Three and Department Number Four. The judges of such Court may hold as many sessions of the Court at the same time as there are judges thereof. The judges who shall be elected at the first election under this Charter shall so class-

ify themselves by lot that two of them shall go out of office in two years and two of them in four years.

They shall choose from their number a Presiding Judge, who shall serve for one year. The Presiding Judge shall assign the judges to their respective departments; but any of the judges may preside in any of the departments in the absence or inability of the judge regularly assigned thereto.

The judgments, orders and proceedings of any session of the Court held by any one or more of the judges shall be equally effectual as if all the judges had presided at such session.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, Hynes, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGregor, Powers, Schmitz, Shannon—5.

Geo. Skaller, representing the Civic League of Improvements Clubs, appeared in advocacy of the foregoing resolution. He declared that it provides for an increase from \$300 to \$400 per month. Seattle Police Judges are better paid than ours, and if we expect good men to aspire for the office we must make it attractive to them.

#### Salaries of Certain Officers.

The following was presented and ordered submitted by the following vote:

#### Charter Amendment No. —.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding a new section to Article XVI thereof to be numbered Section 44, relating to the salaries of certain officers.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the election to be held on the 7th day of November, 1922, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County as follows:

That a new section be added to Article XVI to be numbered Section 44 and to read as follows:

Section 44. From and after July 1, 1923, the salaries of the following officers of the City and County of San Francisco shall be as follows, to-wit: District Attorney, six thousand dollars per annum; Auditor, six thousand dollars per annum; County Clerk, six thousand dollars per annum; Treasurer, six thousand dollars per annum; Coroner, six thousand dollars per annum; Recorder, six thousand dollars per annum; Tax Collector, six thousand dollars per annum, and City Attorney six thousand dollars per annum.

All provisions of the Charter in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, Hynes, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGregor, Powers, Schmitz, Shannon—5.

#### Relating to Office of Sheriff.

The following was presented and ordered submitted by the following vote:

#### Charter Amendment No. —.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend Section 11 of Article XIII of the Charter of said City and County by adding thereto a new subdivision to be known as subdivision D, relating to the office of Sheriff.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the general election to be held on the 7th day of November, 1922, a proposal to amend Article XIII of the Charter of said City and County as follows:

That a new subdivision, to be known as subdivision D, be added to Section 11 of Article XIII, and to read as follows:

Subdivision D. Any person who has served for a period of five years continuously next prior to the date of approval by the Legislature of this amendment, and who shall actually be employed in the positions of chief bookkeeper and cashier in the office of said Sheriff, are hereby declared to be appointed within the provisions of Article XIII of the Charter to such positions and shall be entitled to all the benefits of said Article XIII thereafter. Hereafter the positions herein named shall be subject to the provisions of said Article XIII.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, Hynes, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGregor, Powers, Schmitz, Shannon—5.

#### Fixing of Salaries, Employees of Board of Public Works and Board of Health.

The following proposed Charter Amendment not recommended by the Judiciary Committee was re-introduced by Supervisor McSheehy:

#### Charter Amendment No. —.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding a new section to Chapter II of Article II, to be known as Section 24a, by amending Section 3 of Chapter I of

Article VI and Section 5 of Article X and by repealing Section 10 of Article X, relating to fixing of salaries by the Board of Supervisors.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the general election to be held on the 7th day of November, 1922, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County as follows:

That Chapter II of Article II is hereby amended by adding a new section to be numbered 24a and to read as follows:

Section 24a. The Board of Supervisors shall fix the salaries and compensation of all the employees, officers and agents, superintendents, inspectors, engineers, surveyors, deputies, architects and workmen and all other employees as shall be necessary to a proper discharge of the duties of the department of the Board of Public Works and the Department of the Board of Health.

All provisions in conflict with this section are hereby repealed.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Wm. H. Naury, Director of San Francisco Bureau of Governmental Research, was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board. He declared that he favored transfer of power of Supervisors to fix salaries and offered following proposed amendment as a substitute for the foregoing:

#### Supervisors' Power to Fix Salaries.

Add a new sub-section, to be designated Sub-section 44 to Article II, Chapter II, Section 1.

(The Board of Supervisors shall have power) to fix all salaries or wages for all positions or employments in all boards, commissions, departments, bureaus or offices of the City and County, and to this end all powers granted to departments, boards or commissions to fix compensations, and all salaries specified elsewhere in this Charter, except salaries of elected officials and officials appointed by the Mayor, are hereby repealed; provided, however, that the Supervisors shall, by ordinance, provide for the classification of all employments by the Civil Service Commission and the recommendation to the Supervisors by the Civil Service Commission of (schedules of compensation based on) such classification, for the purpose of providing like compensation for like services, and removing individual injustice or discrimination in the fixing or revising of rates of compensation.

#### Statement of Supervisor Hynes.

Supervisor Hynes: I just want to put this as part of the record: I came into office the first time in 1916, and

the wages and salaries and personal services of the Board of Public Works were \$383,648, and the allowance on the first of this year \$633,437, a difference of two hundred and fifty thousand dollars—

A Supervisor: How many years?

Supervisor Hynes: Since 1916 to 1922; over which we have no jurisdiction as to these expenditures. In the Health Department, personal service, you had \$668,000 in that 1916 period and this year you have \$962,000, a difference of \$294,000, over which this Board of Supervisors, in their desire to go along as they have been going, have absolutely no jurisdiction. It is wrong principle, and on a chance to help alter that, the people should have an opportunity to vote.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

T. Riordan, president of the Board of Public Works, replying to a statement with reference to the large and increasing amounts expended yearly by the Board of Public Works for wages and salaries, defended his department by saying increases in wages and materials during the war period explained any abnormal increase.

In 1916 laborers' wages were \$3 per day, in 1920 they were increased to \$6 per day; every trade received similar increases. In 1922 wages went back 7½ per cent and there were voluntary reductions in wages by the laborers themselves, with the exception of the asphalt workers.

The Board of Works is now paying \$5 per day; outsiders are paying \$4. Civil service and merit, he said, guide the Board of Public Works in the payment of wages and salaries. We are willing to show the department's records that our work is done twelve to fourteen per cent cheaper than any contractor. We can furnish an itemized statement for every piece of work we do.

Whereupon, the question being taken on Supervisor McSheehy's proposed Charter Amendment, the same was defeated by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Hynes, McSheehy—2

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, Morgan, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—9.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGregor, McLeran, Mulvihill, Powers, Schmitz, Shannon—7.

#### Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Rossi: Reasons given by Supervisor McSheehy are not substantiated by the facts.

The adoption of the amendment would throw both departments into confusion and the turmoil of politics.

Supervisor Welch explained his vote by saying: "If Supervisor McSheehy will bring in a direct action amend-

ment I will be with him. I agree with the principle.

"We would still have time to submit a Charter Amendment at the bond election November 21."

#### ADJOURNMENT.

Thereupon, the Board adjourned to meet again at 8 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk.

THURSDAY EVENING, SEPTEMBER  
21, 1922, 8 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled for the purpose of giving further consideration to proposed Charter Amendments.

#### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Hynes, McLeran, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—12.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, Morgan, Shannon—6.

Quorum present.

*Supervisor Welch* in the chair.

*Supervisors McLeran* and *Mulvihill* requested that they be recorded in favor of all amendments passed at the afternoon session.

No objection.

#### Sales Tax for Schools.

The following proposed amendment was presented by Supervisor Hynes:

*Charter Amendment No. —.*

That Subdivision 15 of Section 1 of Chapter II of Article II is hereby amended to read as follows:

"To impose license taxes for purposes of regulation and revenue and to provide for the collection thereof; provided, however, that license taxes levied on any person, firm or corporation selling or manufacturing goods, wares or merchandise at a fixed place of business shall not exceed, in the aggregate, however levied or based, one-tenth of one per cent of the annual sales of such person, firm or corporation; and further provided that license taxes may be levied to cover periods of less than one year.

All revenues and taxes imposed by the provisions of this subdivision shall, for a period of ten years, be used exclusively for the construction of school buildings.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Wm. H. Nanry, Director San Francisco Bureau of Municipal Research, addressed the Board on the pending amendment. He declared that there must be other sources of revenue in the near future, otherwise the City

will be seriously hampered. You have ten days more; this amendment can be submitted at the election on November 21st.

Thereupon, the roll being called on the submission of the proposed amendment, the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Hynes, McSheehy, Schmitz—3.

Noes—Supervisors Bath, McLeran, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, Morgan, Shannon—6.

#### Explanations of Vote.

*Supervisor McSheehy* explained his vote, saying that he felt that this tax would obviate the necessity for a bond issue for schools and will only necessitate the first cost and the first cost only.

*Supervisor Welch* explained his vote by saying, I vote *no* for two reasons: It is not fair to the merchants who have not been given an opportunity to appear before the Board. I also take exception to the statement of Supervisor Hynes—this is not a closed question, the Board of Supervisors should consider and consider seriously what has been told us by Mr. Nanry. It can be taken up before November and put on the ballot. I don't think it is a dead issue, but one for after-consideration by the Board of Supervisors.

#### Options to Purchase Bonds or Property.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

*Charter Amendment No. —.*

Article XVI of the Charter is hereby amended by adding a new section to be known as Section 44 to read as follows:

Section 44. No option to purchase bonds of any kind or character of the City and County of San Francisco at a future time, for a price determined upon or agreed to in advance of the actual sale thereof, shall be granted to any person, firm or corporation, nor shall any such option be granted for the purchase of real or personal property belonging to the City and County.

*Refused submission* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Hynes, McSheehy, Schmitz, Welch—4.

Noes—Supervisors Bath, McLeran, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Wetmore—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, Morgan, Powers, Shannon—7.

#### Explanation of Vote.

*Supervisor Bath* explained his vote by saying the Board of Supervisors now has power to refuse options.

*Supervisor Schmitz* explained that he would vote *aye* to submit all these amendments.

*Supervisor Welch* explained his vote by saying that he is inclined to favor the principle of the thing as one of merit.

#### Election of Supervisors From Districts.

The following proposed amendment heretofore presented by Supervisor Wetmore was taken up:

#### *Charter Amendment No. —.*

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 2 of Chapter I of Article II thereof, relating to electing Supervisors from districts instead of from the City at large.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at a general election to be held on the 7th day of November, 1922, a proposal to amend the Charter of the said City and County as follows:

Amend Section 2 of Chapter I of Article II of the Charter so that the same shall read as follows:

Section 2. For the purpose of the election of Supervisors, the City and County of San Francisco shall be divided into districts, conforming with the State Assembly Districts, therein located, from each of which districts one representative shall be elected by the voters thereof. There shall be an additional number of Supervisors, elected at large, to make a total of eighteen Supervisors. The present incumbents shall hold office until the expiration of their respective terms. To succeed those whose terms of office expire in 1925, there shall be elected representatives from the nine Assem-

bly Districts, coming first in the order of numbering. At the succeeding biennial election the Supervisors at large and the representatives of the remaining districts shall be elected. Each candidate seeking election as Supervisor must have been a resident and qualified elector of the City and County of San Francisco for five years, and, except in the case of those elected at large, of the district in which he seeks election for at least one year prior to his filing his declaration of candidacy. Every person who has served as Mayor of the City and County, so long as he remains a resident thereof, shall be entitled to a seat in the Board of Supervisors and to participate in its debates, but shall not be entitled to a vote or to compensation. All provisions and parts of this Charter in conflict with this section are hereby repealed.

*Wm. H. Nanry*, Director of the San Francisco Bureau of Governmental Research, was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board in opposition to the pending amendment.

Thereupon, the roll was called on the submission of the foregoing proposed charter amendment with the following result:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Hynes, McLeran, McSheehy, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—8.

Noes—Supervisors Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi—3.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, Morgan, Powers, Shannon—7.

Whereupon, the Chair declared the motion *lost*.

#### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 11 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors January 15, 1923.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 17—New Series

No. 39

Monday, September 25, 1922.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



**THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY**  
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

J

MON

In

cisc

p. m.

T

reg

T

ing

S

Hy

Mul

Sha

A

She

Q

H

T

pre

pro

ROU

Pay

A

R

C

of

of

sup

Dep

C

Pri

tha

Sat

are

fun

o'cl

R

S

P

str

Ho

(

A.

Sh

at

the

ap

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 25, 1922, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, September 25, 1922, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—14.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, McSheehy, Schmitz, Welch—4.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over for approval until next meeting.

### ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Payment of Demands of John Tierney, Assistant Superintendent of Building Repairs.

*Communication*—From the Bureau of Municipal Research, in re payment of demands of John Tierney, assistant superintendent of building repairs, Department of Public Works.

### Printing of Calendars.

*Communication* — From Recorder Printing and Publishing Co., stating that if the Calendar copy is furnished Saturday before 1 p. m., and no proofs are required, printed Calendars will be furnished Monday morning at 9 o'clock.

Read and filed.

### Improvement of Ralston Street.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

*Petition*—Of Kathryn Russell for street work on Ralston street between Holloway and Garfield streets.

### Shriners' Refund.

*Communication*—From Geo. Filmer, A. A. O. M. S., in re refund from Shriners' convention, and the donation of said amount to the Greater California Publicity Fund; also expressing appreciation for the 100 per cent co-

operation during the Shriners' convention.

*Supervisor Hynes* asked what was being done to repair holes in sidewalks around Civic Center.

*C. Healy*, representing City Engineer, said work is being attended to.

*Letter of Appreciation From Relatives of Ethel Earl Moyer, Hetch Hetchy Heroine.*

*Communication*—From Roland W. Moyer, expressing, on behalf of himself and the mother of Ethel Earl Moyer, appreciation for the resolutions of the Board of Supervisors in recognition of the heroic deeds performed by Ethel Earl Moyer during the fire at Hetch Hetchy Hospital at Groveland, in which she sacrificed her life.

*Opening of Buchanan Street Approved.*

*Communication*—From Civic League of Improvement Clubs, requesting that immediate steps be taken to secure the opening of Buchanan street from Hermann street to Market street and Duboce avenue.

### REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.

The following committees, by their respective chairmen, presented reports on various matters referred, which reports were read and ordered filed:

Fire Committee, by Supervisor Deasy, chairman.

Supplies Committee, by Supervisor Rossi, chairman.

Public Buildings Committee, by Supervisor Scott, chairman.

### HEARINGS—3 P. M.

#### Buchanan Street.

Hearing of protests of Spring Valley Water Company and Andre Lazard from the action and decision of the Board of Public Works in recommending the ordering of the improvement of Buchanan street between Hermann street and Duboce avenue as provided in Resolution of Intention No. 73604 (Second Series).

*Warren Olney*, attorney representing the Spring Valley Water Company, declared that the assessment for the improvement of Buchanan street against the Spring Valley Water Company and the Andre Lazard Estate, who represent 100 per cent of the property, was mani-

festly unjust. A visit to the place, he said, would convince the Board, and he urged that the hearing be laid over a week and that committee go out and see for themselves.

*Mr. Firebaugh*, representing the Andre Lazard Estate, made a similar request.

*Mr. King*, representing the District Improvement Club, presented photos showing that street is now being used by school children and he urged that the proposed improvement be completed before winter. He requested that no delay be permitted that will interfere with the work.

#### Action Deferred.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Colman, the hearing was *deferred one week* and made a Special Order for 3 p. m.

#### HEARING—2 P. M.

##### Pacific Avenue.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of  $\frac{1}{2}$ -foot set-back lines along the northerly line of Pacific avenue between Buchanan and Laguna streets.

*Frank English*, attorney representing Mrs. Johns, declared that his client had purchased the lot in question with the intention of constructing an apartment house on the site; that plans had been prepared, and contract entered into before the declared intention of establishing set-back lines. He opposed any action that would interfere with her plans in this respect.

*Jno. E. Behan*, representing property owners, favored set-back lines, but if any exception is to be made in favor of Mrs. Johns, he wanted same favor extended to those whom he represented.

#### Re-referred.

Whereupon, the foregoing matter was *re-referred to the City Planning Committee*.

**Thos. Woolwine**, Democratic Gubernatorial Candidate.

*Thos. Woolwine*, Democratic candidate for Governor of California, was presented to the Board by his Honor Mayor Rolph, who introduced him to the members of the Board and the citizens present as a manly and generous opponent whom he met for the first time while campaigning for Governor in Southern California two years ago. He declared that he was never so attracted to any man in public life and said he was very proud of the honor of presenting him to the people of San Francisco.

*Thos. Woolwine*, in responding to the remarks of his Honor Mayor Rolph, expressed himself as a lover of San Francisco, where he said he has spent a great deal of time in the past. He referred in a complimentary way to the generous and hospitable character

of the people of San Francisco, to their indomitable spirit in the rebuilding of the City after the fire of 1906. He was especially felicitous in his reference to his Honor Mayor Rolph, to whom he paid a tribute as embodying the spirit of this City—generous, great-hearted, indomitable San Francisco. He hoped that after next November the people would see to it that he was permitted to spend more of his time in this vicinity, and the northern part of the state.

#### HEARING, 3 P. M.

##### Ledyard Street.

Hearing of appeal of property owners from the action and decision of the Board of Public Works in overruling the protest of property owners against the improvement of Ledyard street from Silver avenue to a point 500 feet southerly therefrom by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with 38 Y branches and 2 brick manholes along the center line of Ledyard street between the center line of Silver avenue and a point 500 feet southerly from the southerly line of Silver avenue, as provided in Resolution of Intention No. 73603 (Second Series).

Clerk called the names of the protesting property owners: Mr. Christie, R. S. Van Kampen, Dela M. Van Kampen and Mr. Horn.

*Mr. Horn* appeared, was granted the privilege of the floor, and addressed the Board. He asked that the matter be referred to the committee, as there was some misunderstanding as to the length and width of the street. There is also a question of Islais Creek sewer involved.

*C. Healy*, Assistant City Engineer, also addressed the Board. He declared that the work was necessary to relieve the unsanitary condition of the district. The request comes from residents. The Board of Health asks for it. The work is absolutely necessary; should be done; should have been done years ago.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented by Supervisor Mulvihill and *adopted*:

#### Appeal Denied.

Resolution No. 20316 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the appeal of property owners from the action and decision of the Board of Public Works in overruling the objections of property owners to the improvement of Ledyard street from Silver avenue to a point 500 feet southerly therefrom, by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with 38 Y branches and 2 brick manholes along the center line of Ledyard street between the center line of Silver avenue and a

point 500 feet southerly from the southerly line of Silver avenue is hereby overruled and the work is hereby ordered.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Schmitz, Welch—3.

#### Passed for Printing.

Thereupon, the following bill was passed for printing:

Bill No. 6128, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 12, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in five installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Ledyard street* from *Silver avenue* to a point 500 feet southerly therefrom, by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with 38 Y branches and 2 brick manholes along the center line of *Ledyard street* between the center line of *Silver*

*avenue* and a point 500 feet southerly from the southerly line of *Silver avenue*.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

##### Final Passage.

The following bill, heretofore passed for printing, was taken up and finally passed by the following vote:

Calling and Providing for a Special Election for Schools and Relief Home.

Bill No. 6109, Ordinance No. 5693 (New Series), as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the 21st day of November, 1922, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County two propositions to incur a bonded indebtedness by said City and County for

(1) The construction, completion and equipment by the City and County of San Francisco of permanent buildings to be used for public schools and the acquisition of necessary lands therefor.

(2) The construction, completion and equipment of permanent buildings by the City and County of San Francisco to be used as a Relief Home.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors did, on the 21st day of August, 1922, adopt and finally pass Ordinance No. 5680 (New Series), determining and declaring that the public interest requires the acquisition, construction and completion of certain municipal improvements, viz., buildings for public schools and acquisition of necessary lands therefor and buildings to be used as a Relief Home, which purposes are specifically set forth therein, determining and declaring that the cost thereof in addition to the other expenses of the said City and County will exceed the income and revenue provided for the said City and County for any one year; and directing the Board of Public Works to procure, through the City Engineer, and file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost of the original construction, completion and equipment of such permanent buildings and improvements; and

Whereas, pursuant to the direction of said Ordinance No. 5680 (New Series), said Board of Public Works did prepare and on the 12th day of September, 1922, did file with the Board of Supervisors the plans and estimates of cost of original construction, completion and equipment of the buildings and improvements and the cost of the acquisition of necessary lands therefor mentioned in said ordinance; now therefore

Be it ordained by the People of the

City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 21st day of November, 1922, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County propositions to incur a bonded indebtedness for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) The construction, completion and equipment by the City and County of San Francisco of permanent buildings to be used for public schools and the acquisition of necessary lands therefor.

(2) The construction, completion and equipment of permanent buildings by the City and County of San Francisco to be used as a Relief Home.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the construction, completion and equipment of buildings to be used for public schools and the acquisition of necessary lands therefor is twelve million dollars; and the estimated cost of the construction, completion and equipment of buildings to be used as a Relief Home is two million dollars.

That the method and manner of payment of said estimated cost of such buildings, improvements, equipment and lands referred to is by the issuance of bonds to the amount herein-after stated, the sale of the bonds so issued and the application of the proceeds of such sale to the payment of the cost of the construction, completion and equipment of buildings to be used by the said City and County for public schools and the acquisition of necessary lands therefor, and the construction, completion and equipment of buildings to be used as a Relief Home. Any excess of cost over and above such cost shall be paid from the annual revenue of the City and County.

Section 3. The special election herein ordered shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made, and the results thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California, providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

#### MUNICIPAL TICKET.

To vote for the propositions, or either of them, and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness

for the amount of, and for the purpose stated, in such proposition or propositions stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes."

To vote against the propositions, or either of them, and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the amount of, and for the purpose stated in such proposition or propositions stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Also said ballot shall have printed thereon the following:

PROPOSITION ONE.—To incur a bonded indebtedness by the City and County of San Francisco,

California, to the amount of twelve million dollars for the construction, completion and equipment by the City and County of San Francisco of permanent buildings to be used for public schools and the acquisition of necessary lands therefor. Bonds issued for such purpose shall bear interest at the rate of five per centum per annum, payable semi-annually.

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

PROPOSITION TWO.—To incur a bonded indebtedness by the City and County of San Francisco,

California, to the amount of two million dollars for the construction, completion and equipment of permanent buildings by the City and County of San Francisco to be used as a Relief Home. Bonds issued for such purpose shall bear interest at the rate of five per centum per annum, payable semi-annually.

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

Sample ballots containing the above matter required to be printed thereon shall be supplied to the electors of said City and County by the Board of Election Commissioners, but a failure on the part of any elector to receive such sample ballot shall not be held to invalidate the election or affect in any manner the legality of any bonds that may be authorized thereat.

Section 4. Any qualified elector of the City and County of San Francisco may vote at said special election for or against either of the propositions herein within submitted. To vote in favor of and authorize the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in either of the propositions herein stated he shall stamp a cross (X) in the square to the right of the word "Yes," printed opposite to the proposition, and to vote against and refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in either of the propositions herein stated he shall stamp a cross (X) in the square to the right of the word "No," printed opposite the proposition.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "Yes" shall be counted as a vote in favor of, and to authorize, the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition opposite to which such cross (X) is stamped; and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "No" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of, and a refusal to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition opposite to which such cross (X) is stamped.

The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election and the places of voting and the officers to conduct such election, and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf, shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct said special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election and canvass the votes cast respectively for and against the propositions herein stated and make return thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at special municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections, and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 5. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in either of said propositions, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors, and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the building or buildings, improvements or lands specified in such proposition and to the amount stated therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated March 1, 1923, shall bear interest at the rate of ..... per centum per annum, payable semi-annually; shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City

and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of the City and County in the City and State of New York.

Section 6. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

City and County of San Francisco.

BOND.

No. \_\_\_\_\_ \$1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer on the first day of March, 19—, One Thousand Dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of five per centum per annum, payable semi-annually March 1 and September 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in Gold Coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and Statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to Ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and to be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or Statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made as required by the Constitution and Statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. Full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual pay-

ment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person, or by attorney duly authorized, on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor; and has caused interest coupons hereto attached to be signed by the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of March, 1923.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Mayor.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Treasurer.

Countersigned.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Auditor.

#### FORM OF COUPON.

No. \_\_\_\_\_ \$25.00

On \_\_\_\_\_, 19—, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York, Twenty-five Dollars in Gold Coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated March 1, 1923.

No. \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Treasurer.

#### FORM OF REGISTRATION.

San Francisco, \_\_\_\_\_, 19—.

This bond is registered pursuant to the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of \_\_\_\_\_, and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Treasurer.

Section 7. Said Twelve Million Dollars bonds to be issued for the purpose

stated in proposition one shall be called "School Bonds," shall be numbered from 1 to 12,000, inclusive, shall be dated March 1, 1923, and shall be payable Three Hundred Thousand Dollars thereof five years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and Three Hundred Thousand Dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Said Two Million Dollars bonds to be issued for the purpose stated in proposition two shall be called "Relief Home Bonds," shall be numbered from 1 to 2,000, inclusive, shall be dated March 1, 1923, and shall be payable One Hundred Thousand Dollars thereof five years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and One Hundred Thousand Dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 8. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment of said Twelve Million Dollars bonds issued under said proposition one shall be the sum of Six Hundred Thousand Dollars each year for the first five years from date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the sixth year after the date of said bonds, the sum of Five Hundred Eighty-five Dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the Three Hundred Thousand Dollars thereof due five years from their date have been paid, and for the seventh year after the date of said bonds the sum of Five Hundred Seventy Thousand Dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the Three Hundred Thousand Dollars thereof due six years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for thirty-eight succeeding years and until said bonds are all paid sufficient for interest, and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of Fifteen Thousand Dollars by reason of the payment each year, beginning five years from the date of said bonds of three hundred Thousand Dollars of said bonds and the sum of Three Hundred Thousand Dollars each year beginning four years from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for thirty-nine years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The amount of the tax levy to be

made for the payment of the said Two Million Dollars issued under said proposition two shall be the sum of One Hundred Thousand Dollars each year for the first five years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the sixth year after the date of said bonds the sum of Ninety-five Thousand Dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the One Hundred Thousand Dollars thereof due five years from their date have been paid, and for the seventh year after the date of said bonds the sum of Ninety Thousand Dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the One Hundred Thousand Dollars thereof due six years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for eighteen succeeding years and until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest, and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of Five Thousand Dollars, by reason of the payment each year, beginning five years from the date of said bonds of One Hundred Thousand Dollars of said bonds and the sum of One Hundred Thousand Dollars each year, beginning four years from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each year for nineteen years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are, and it is hereby expressly provided that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 9. This ordinance shall be published for at least ten days in the official newspaper.

Section 10. This ordinance is the second of a series of ordinances which will be adopted by the Board of Supervisors under and by virtue of which it is proposed that a bonded indebtedness of said City and County will be incurred for the purposes herein enumerated.

Section 11. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan,

Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—13.

No—Supervisor Hynes—1.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, McSheehy, Schmitz, Welch—4.

#### Explanation of Vote.

*Supervisor Hynes* explained his vote by saying in part: "I am not opposed to new schools. I had provided in a proposed charter amendment for a sales tax of one-tenth of one cent on merchandise sales, which proposed charter amendment was defeated by this Board. I am not opposed to schools; I am in favor of them, but the money should not be raised from a bond issue which would saddle the people with a debt for principal and interest on bonds, which expense might be saved if we had a sales tax."

Subsequently, during the meeting Supervisor McSheehy appeared and was noted present. He requested that it be made a matter of record that if he had been here when the foregoing ordinance was passed he would have voted *no* and would have given the same reasons that he had given on *passage to print*.

#### Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

#### Authorizations.

Resolution No. 20303 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

#### Library Fund.

(1) Foster & Futernick Co., binding library books (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$1,022.90.

#### Special School Tax, 1922-23.

(2) Kohlwey Smith Electric Co., final payment, electric work, Parkside School (claim dated Sept. 13, 1922), \$1,891.81.

#### School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(3) O. Monson, fourth payment, construction of Spring Valley School (claim dated Sept. 13, 1922), \$10,582.50.

(4) O. Monson, first payment, general construction, Andrew Jackson School (claim dated Sept. 13, 1922), \$17,580.

(5) Robert Trost, sixth payment, general construction, North Beach (Galileo) High School (claim dated Sept. 13, 1922), \$16,869.75.

#### Municipal Railway Fund.

(6) Hancock Bros. Inc., printing Municipal Railway transfers (claim dated Sept. 13, 1922), \$720.

(7) San Francisco City Employees Fund, additional July pension pro rata (claim dated Sept. 8, 1922), \$501.92.

(8) San Francisco City Employees Retirement Fund, August pension pro

rata (claim dated Sept. 8, 1922), \$5,783.04.

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(9) Associated Oil Co., oil for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 12, 1922), \$735.86.

(10) Baumgarten Bros., meats (claim dated Sept. 21, 1922), \$2,813.73.

(11) Continental Petroleum Refining Co., fuel oil (claim dated Sept. 12, 1922), \$1,226.44.

(12) William Cluff Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Sept. 12, 1922), \$934.82.

(13) A. C. Dennis, compensation paid Wm. Fowler for services superintending pumping at Priests, etc., Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Sept. 12, 1922), \$846.77.

(14) E. I. DuPont de Nemours & Co. Inc., gelatin (claim dated Sept. 12, 1922), \$4,718.25.

(15) Hercules Powder Co., gelatin and caps (claim dated Sept. 12, 1922), \$22,849.05.

(16) The Utah Construction Co., cement furnished (claim dated Sept. 12, 1922), \$4,096.61.

(17) Baker Hamilton & Pacific Co., chain and hardware (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$523.94.

(18) Geo. F. Bartlett, tier wood (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$2,345.50.

(19) Harron, Rickard & McCone, Inc., one air engine (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$1,063.47.

(20) Dodge, Sweeney & Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$945.51.

(21) Ingersoll-Rand Co., machine parts (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$3,065.26.

(22) Hercules Powder Co., powder (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$10,351.25.

(23) Michel & Pfeffer Iron Works, iron ladders, etc. (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$865.72.

(24) J. H. Newbauer & Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$972.73.

(25) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$4,032.10.

(26) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$5,951.61.

(27) Pacific Metal Works, machine parts (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$1,898.56.

(28) The Pelton Water Wheel Co. Inc., machine parts (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$509.81.

(29) Pioneer Rubber Mills, hose, etc. (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$507.42.

(30) John A. Roebling's Sons Co., wire (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$691.20.

(31) Standard Oil Co., oils (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$1,185.98.

(32) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premiums (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$4,495.26.

(33) Sherry Bros. Inc., foodstuffs (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$2,021.59.

(34) Sperry Flour Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$1,036.63.

(35) Swedish Steel & Importing Co., drill steel (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$1,510.90.

(36) South San Francisco Packing & Provision Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$901.10.

(37) Sullivan Machinery Co., machine parts (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$854.58.

(38) M. Stulsaft Co., black pipe (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$4,461.32.

(39) United States Steel Products Co., wire (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$1,177.34.

(40) Virden Packing Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$1,571.98.

(41) Western Butchers' Supply Co., refrigerating plant (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$1,680.

(42) Western Meat Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$545.52.

(43) Western Pipe & Steel Co., steel pipe, etc. (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$3,972.45.

(44) Standard Oil Co., gasoline and oil (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$1,414.48.

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(45) E. E. Etherton, eighth payment, general construction of Adams School addition (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$2,498.22.

(46) O. Monson, extra labor and material in general construction of Hancock School (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$805.15.

*General Fund, 1920-1921.*

(47) Louis J. Cohn, fourth payment, general construction of exhibition structure in Nave of Ferry Building (claim dated Sept. 13, 1922), \$2,232.56.

*General Fund, 1922-1923.*

(48) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated Sept. 15, 1922), \$8,669.93.

(49) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated Sept. 15, 1922), \$940.

(50) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated Sept. 15, 1922), \$10,676.09.

(51) D. J. O'Brien, Police contingent expense, September (claim dated Sept. 4, 1922), \$750.

(52) Niles Sand, Gravel & Rock Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated Sept. 6, 1922), \$1,016.02.

(53) Pacific Portland Cement Co. Con., limestone dust for street repair (claim dated Sept. 11, 1922), \$512.54.

(54) Standard Oil Co. Inc., asphalt for street repair (claim dated Sept. 11, 1922), \$729.44.

(55) Shell Company, fuel oil, Hall of Justice (claim dated Sept. 6, 1922), \$1,451.80.

(56) San Francisco Chronicle, official advertising, Dept. of Elections (claim dated Sept. 14, 1922), \$1,115.76.

(57) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas

and electricity, Fire Dept. (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$1,250.25.

(58) Shell Company, fuel oil, Fire Dept. (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$869.99.

(59) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished Fire Dept. (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$2,983.35.

(60) Standard Oil Co., gasoline, etc., Fire Dept. (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$2,952.17.

(61) Recorder Printing & Publishing Co., printing Law Motion Calendar, etc. (claim dated Sept. 18, 1922), \$665.

(62) Wm. F. Dabelstein, installing exhibit of San Francisco at State Fair, Sacramento, Cal. (claim dated Sept. 18, 1922), \$1,200.

(63) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., street lighting for August (claim dated Sept. 18, 1922), \$46,666.66.

(64) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$650.90.

(65) Haas Bros, groceries, Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$597.

(66) Shell Company, fuel oil, relief Home (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$1,348.50.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, McLeran, Schmitz, Welch—5.

Appropriations, Municipal Railway Equipment.

Resolution No. 20304 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For cost of furnishing 20 railway car bodies under Sec. "A" of Contract 132, awarded Bethlehem Shipbuilding Corp'n. Ltd., as follows:

Contract price .....	\$152,520
Maximum possible bonus.....	3,000
Inspection and possible extras.	4,480
	<hr/>
	\$160,000

(2) For cost of furnishing and delivering motor equipment for 20 railway cars, under Sec. "C" of Contract 132, awarded Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., as follows:

Contract price .....	\$119,777.34
Maximum possible bonus,	
freight charges, inspection,	
extras and incidentals .....	10,222.66
	<hr/>
	\$130,000.00

(3) For cost of furnishing and delivering air brake equipment for 20 railway cars, under Sec. "D" of Con-

tract 132, awarded The Westinghouse Traction Brake Co., as follows:

Contract price .....	\$11,008
Maximum possible bonus,	
freight, incidentals, extras	
and inspection .....	1,992
	<hr/>
	\$13,000

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, McLeran, Schmitz, Welch—5.

Appropriation, \$1,740, Sidewalks, Mission Park.

Resolution No. 20305 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$1,740 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Street Work in Front of City Property, Budget Item No. 45, for the construction of six-foot artificial stone sidewalks on Eighteenth, Twentieth and Dolores streets, fronting Mission Park.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, McLeran, Schmitz, Welch—5.

Appropriation, \$51,675, Improvement Point Lobos Avenue.

Resolution No. 20306 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$51,675 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund to complete payment for improvement of Point Lobos avenue from Forty-eighth avenue to the Great Highway.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, McLeran, Schmitz, Welch—5.

#### Permits.

Resolution No. 20307 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

#### Automobile Supply Station.

Shell Company of California, at northeast corner of Valencia and Army streets; also to store 1200 gallons of gasoline on premises.

#### Oil Storage Tank.

Mrs. A. M. Strusner, at 1037 Fillmore street; 1500 gallons capacity.

Dave Datello, at 516 Huron avenue; 1500 gallons capacity.

Dr. Frank Hinman, at 3255 Pacific avenue; 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. O. Gracie, on south side of Pine street, 125 feet east of Van Ness avenue; 1500 gallons capacity.

Andrew Jacob, at 3332 Washington street; 1500 gallons capacity.

*Boiler.*

Dave Datello, at 516 Huron avenue, 35 horsepower.

South San Francisco Tallow Works, at 1420 Evans avenue, 75 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, McLeran, Schmitz, Welch—5.

*Dyeing and Cleaning Permit Amended.*

Resolution No. 20308 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Resolution No. 16543 (New Series) granting permission to Duarte & Quittman to maintain a dyeing and cleaning works at 4587-4589 Mission street and "to store not to exceed 600 gallons of gasoline or benzine on premises" is hereby amended to read "to store not to exceed 960 gallons of gasoline or benzine on premises," said installation to be to the satisfaction of the Fire Marshal.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, McLeran, Schmitz, Welch—5.

*Depressed Spur Track Permit.*

Resolution No. 20309 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the California Packing Corporation be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to construct a depressed spur track and necessary main line connections on the southerly sidewalk area of Jefferson street between Leavenworth and Hyde streets; said depression being four feet below the official grade at Hyde street, and extending easterly on said grade 166.67 feet; thence running easterly on a three per cent incline until the present street surface is reached, which will be 112.50 feet westerly from Leavenworth street.

The said work shall be done to the satisfaction and under the supervision of the Board of Public Works in accordance with plans and specifications to be approved by the Board of Public Works.

Further Resolved, That the California Packing Corporation return to the Corporation Yard all the curbing and basalt blocks taken from Jefferson street between Leavenworth and Hyde streets to allow for the construction of the spur track.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan,

Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, McLeran, Schmitz, Welch—5.

*Repair of Accepted Streets.*

Bill No. 6110, Ordinance No. 5694 (New Series), entitled "Authorizing and ordering the reconstruction and repair of certain accepted streets in the City and County of San Francisco under the supervision of the Board of Public Works of said City and County during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1923, and appropriating funds for the cost thereof."

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, McLeran, Schmitz, Welch—5.

*Board of Public Works to Contract for Street Signs.*

Bill No. 6111, Ordinance No. 5695 (New Series), entitled "Authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for the furnishing and erecting of street signs in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, and on file in the office of the Board of Public Works, and approving said plans and specifications."

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, McLeran, Schmitz, Welch—5.

*Pipe Line, North Point Street.*

Bill No. 6112, Ordinance No. 5696 (New Series), entitled "Ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for and the laying of a ten-inch pipe line in North Point street from Powell street to Grant avenue for High Pressure System for Fire Protection; and authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for laying of said pipe line in accordance with the plans and specifications so prepared therefor."

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, McLeran, Schmitz, Welch—5.

*Repairs to Elevators. City Buildings.*

Bill No. 6113, Ordinance No. 5697 (New Series), entitled "Ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for the repair of elevators in the City buildings; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said repairs, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor."

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan,

Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, McLeran, Schmitz, Welch—5.

**Building Law Amendment, Hardwall Plaster on Plaster Board.**

Bill No. 6115, Ordinance No. 5698 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 107a of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as "The Building Law" of the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 107a of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as "The Building Law," is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Section 107a. Whenever in this ordinance, which is known as "The Building Law" of the City and County of San Francisco, metal lath is required, there may be used in lieu thereof plaster board composed of pure gypsum wood and Manila fiber, or of other similar materials but not less than seventy-five per cent (75%) of such composition shall be of non-inflammable material. Excepting that in Class A and B buildings the use of plaster board is prohibited in all elevator shafts and in the walls and ceilings surrounding staircases in such buildings.

Such plaster board shall be not less than three-eighths inch ( $\frac{3}{8}$ " ) in thickness, and shall be so constructed as to form a mechanical key or bond between the plaster board and the finish plaster. Such mechanical key or bond shall be equally distributed and shall compose at least twenty per cent (20%) of the surface of the plaster board.

On wood joists, furring strips, studding or other wood supports the plaster board shall be securely fastened by means of nails of such length that the said nails shall extend at least three-quarters inch ( $\frac{3}{4}$ " ) into the joists, furring strips, studding or other supports. Such nails shall be spaced or driven not more than six inches (6") apart in one direction and sixteen inches (16") apart in the other direction. Where the furring strips, studs or other supports are of metal, the plaster board shall be securely fastened to same with galvanized iron wire of not less than No. 14 gauge or with metal clips or nails, spaced the same as in this section provided for nails. All wire nails used for fastening plaster board shall be at least No. 11 gauge with a flat head not less than three-eighths inch ( $\frac{3}{8}$ " ) in diameter.

All such plaster board shall have applied thereon at least two (2) coats of hardwall plaster.

A plaster board not less than one-quarter ( $\frac{1}{4}$ ) inch in thickness and otherwise conforming to the provisions of this ordinance may be used, providing, however, the board is of sufficient strength so as to be handled in lengths up to twelve (12) feet.

All such plaster boards shall have applied thereon at least two (2) coats of hardwall plaster.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, McLeran, Schmitz, Welch—5.

**Fixing Sidewalk Widths, Jefferson Street.**

Bill No. 6117, Ordinance No. 5699 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eight hundred and four.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the widths of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office August 7, 1922, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eight hundred and four, to read as follows:

Section 804. The width of sidewalks on Jefferson street, the northerly side of, between Leavenworth street and Hyde street, shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Jefferson street, the southerly side of, between Hyde street and a point 300 feet easterly from Hyde street, shall be eighteen (18) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Jefferson street, the southerly side of, between a point 300 feet easterly from Hyde street and Leavenworth street, are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, McLeran, Schmitz, Welch—5.

**Ordering Street Work.**

Bill No. 6118, Ordinance No. 5700 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor,

and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 4, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Moultrie street between Eugenia and Powhattan avenue, where not already improved, and the improvement of the crossing of Moultrie street and Eugenia avenue* by the following construction in the crossing: Concrete curbs, artificial stone sidewalks, three brick catchbasins with cast iron frames, gratings and traps and ten-inch vitrified, salt-glazed, iron-stone pipe culverts and an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway, and by the construction of concrete curbs and a concrete pavement on Moultrie street from Powhattan avenue to a line 100 feet southerly therefrom where not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, McLeran, Schmitz, Welch—5.

Bill No. 6119, Ordinance No. 5701 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approv-

ing and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 10, 1921, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Bradford street between Tompkins avenue and Jarboe avenue*, by the construction of an 8-inch vitrified, salt-glazed iron-stone pipe sewer with 32 Y branches, two brick manholes with cast-iron frames and covers and galvanized wrought-iron steps and one manhole with cast-iron frame and cover along the center line of Bradford street from the northerly line of Tompkins avenue to the southerly line of Jarboe avenue.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, McLeran, Schmitz, Welch—5.

Full Acceptance, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 6120, Ordinance No. 5702 (New Series), entitled "Providing for full acceptance of the roadway of Balboa street between Twenty-third and Twenty-fourth avenues; Joost ave-

nue between Brompton and Circular avenues; Joost avenue between Lippard and Brompton avenues; Joost avenue between Acadia and Lippard avenues, and the intersection of Joost avenue and Lippard avenue."

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, McLeran, Schmitz, Welch—5.

Bill No. 6121, Ordinance No. 5703 (New Series), entitled "Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Key avenue between Jennings and Third streets; Roach street, between Greenwich and Valparaiso streets, and the intersection of Roach street and Valparaiso street; Twenty-fifth avenue between Irving and Judah streets; crossing of Key avenue and Jennings street."

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, McLeran, Schmitz, Welch—5.

#### Fixing Sidewalk Widths.

Bill No. 6122, Ordinance No. 5704 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section 562 thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office September 5, 1922, by amending section 562 to read as follows:

Section 562. The width of sidewalks on McKinnon avenue between Selby street and Phelps street shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on McKinnon avenue between Phelps street and Third street shall be nineteen (19) feet.

The width of sidewalks on McKinnon avenue between Third street and its southerly termination shall be fifteen (15) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, McLeran, Schmitz, Welch—5.

Bill No. 6123, Ordinance No. 5705 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eight hundred and five.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office September 5, 1922, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eight hundred and five, to read as follows:

Section 805. The width of sidewalks on Bonview street between Eugenia avenue and Esmeralda avenue shall be seven (7) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, McLeran, Schmitz, Welch—5.

#### REPORT OF FINANCE COMMITTEE.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print and amounting to \$56,850.06, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, McSheehy, Schmitz, Welch—4.

#### Action Deferred.

The following item was on motion *laid over one week*:

#### Urgent Necessity.

Sabina M. Churchill, compensation insurance .....\$89.27

#### NEW BUSINESS.

##### Auditorium Rentals.

Supervisor Hayden presented: Resolution No. 20310 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following organizations and persons are hereby granted permission to occupy halls in the Auditorium, deposits having been paid to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to guarantee the rental fees.

Selby Oppenheimer, use of the Main Hall, March 8, 1923, 6 p. m. to 12 p. m., for the purpose of holding a concert.

Inter Drill Committee, Knights Templar, use of Main Hall, November 22, 1922, 6 p. m. to 12 p. m., for the purpose of holding a drill and dance.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, McLeran, Schmitz, Welch—5.

*Passed for Printing.*

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

#### **Authorizations.**

On motion of Supervisor Rossi:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the herein-after mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

#### **Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.**

(1) The American Brass Co., sheet copper, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 19, 1922), \$3,864.52.

(2) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., machine parts (claim dated Sept. 20, 1922), \$1,023.50.

(3) Chanslor & Lyon Co., machine parts (claim dated Sept. 19, 1922), \$538.89.

(4) William Cluff Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Sept. 19, 1922), \$1,692.20.

(5) General Electric Co., electric locomotive, etc. (claim dated Sept. 19, 1922), \$7,567.53.

(6) The Giant Powder Co. Con., powder (claim dated Sept. 19, 1922), \$4,092.27.

(7) Haas Brothers, foodstuffs (claim dated Sept. 19, 1922), \$767.18.

(8) Ingersoll-Rand Co., machine parts (claim dated Sept. 20, 1922), \$5,573.46.

(9) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Sept. 19, 1922), \$743.65.

(10) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Sept. 19, 1922), \$1,266.80.

(11) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., Mazda lamps (claim dated Sept. 19, 1922), \$1,721.31.

(12) Pacific Tank & Pipe Co., fir pipe (claim dated Sept. 19, 1922), \$976.94.

(13) Edward L. Soule Co., steel bars (claim dated Sept. 19, 1922), \$979.43.

(14) United States Steel Products Co., tee rail (claim dated Sept. 19, 1922), \$2,105.50.

(15) Western Meat Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Sept. 19, 1922), \$526.14.

(16) American Manganese Steel Co., machine parts (claim dated Sept. 21, 1922), \$610.05.

(17) Hickok & Hickok, steel tires (claim dated Sept. 21, 1922), \$2,348.98.

(18) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Sept. 21, 1922), \$1,599.92.

(19) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Sept. 21, 1922), \$2,076.27.

(20) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Sept. 21, 1922), \$3,225.91.

(21) Geo. H. Rice Abstract Co., reports on land titles (claim dated Sept. 20, 1922), \$990.

(22) Robert M. Searls, land and rights of way purchases, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Sept. 20, 1922), \$2,624.20.

(23) Robert M. Searls, for purchase of lands and rights of way, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Sept. 21, 1922), \$5,000.

(24) The Utah Construction Co., clearing camp supplies furnished (claim dated Sept. 20, 1922), \$1,005.38.

#### **Municipal Railway Fund.**

(25) American Brake Shoe and Foundry Co., car brake shoes (claim dated Sept. 20, 1922), \$1,070.70.

(26) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., electric power (claim dated Sept. 20, 1922), \$32,187.85.

(27) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursement for month of August, per agreement December 21, 1918 (claim dated Sept. 21, 1922), \$1,185.83.

(28) Market Street Railway Co., power furnished (claim dated Sept. 21, 1922), \$2,519.88.

#### **Auditorium Fund.**

(29) A. Lettich, second payment, Auditorium toilets (claim dated Sept. 20, 1922), \$644.40.

#### **County Road Fund.**

(30) Clarence B. Eaton, final payment, improvement of Point Lobos avenue from Forty-eighth avenue to Great Highway (claim dated Sept. 22, 1922), \$49,375.35.

#### **Park Fund.**

(31) Spring Valley Water Co., labor and material furnished links at Lake Merced (claim dated Sept. 22, 1922), \$3,247.53.

(32) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished parks (claim dated Sept. 22, 1922), \$4,313.37.

#### **General Fund, 1921-1922.**

(33) The Seagrave Co., two motor apparatus, Fire Department (claim dated Sept. 21, 1922), \$22,275.

#### **General Fund, 1922-1923.**

(34) Western Electric Co., register tape, Department of Electricity (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$589.76.

(35) The Preston School of Industry, maintenance of inmates at State Schools (claim dated Sept. 19, 1922), \$658.71.

(36) Moore Shipbuilding Co., dry-docking and cleaning Fire Boat "Sullivan" (claim dated Sept. 18, 1922), \$507.83.

(37) Hooper & Jennings, groceries, Relief Home (claim dated Sept. 18, 1922), \$1,197.55.

(38) Sperry Flour Co., flour, Relief Home (claim dated Sept. 18, 1922), \$838.65.

(39) Flynn & Collins, two Ford roadsters, Department of Electricity (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$1,073.62.

(40) A. Lettich, first payment, plumbing and gas fitting, Fire Department drill tower (claim dated Sept. 20, 1922), \$919.16.

(41) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated Sept. 20, 1922), \$2,663.31.

(42) Spring Valley Water Co., water for public buildings (claim dated Sept. 20, 1922), \$1,758.19.

(43) Spring Valley Water Co., water used for street work (claim dated Sept. 20, 1922), \$1,306.10.

(44) Western Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Sept. 20, 1922), \$4,108.68.

(45) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repair (claim dated Sept. 21, 1922), \$3,010.56.

(46) St. Catherine's Home & Training School, maintenance of minors at Magdalen Asylum (claim dated Sept. 19, 1922), \$651.52.

(47) St. Mary's Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 19, 1922), \$616.29.

(48) St. Vincent's Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 19, 1922), \$1,637.03.

(49) San Francisco Protestant Orphanage Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 19, 1922), \$669.60.

(50) The Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 19, 1922), \$13,345.17.

(51) The Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 19, 1922), \$4,064.22.

(52) The Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 19, 1922), \$8,500.45.

(53) The Boy's Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 19, 1922), \$1,247.65.

(54) The Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 19, 1922), \$1,505.25.

(55) The Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 19, 1922), \$2,620.82.

(56) San Francisco Chronicle, official advertising, Board of Supervisors, August 1 to 31 (claim dated Sept. 22, 1922), \$1,597.79.

(57) Chase & Rae, printing Tax Collector's Sales Book, fiscal year 1921-1922 (claim dated Sept. 22, 1922), \$522.88.

(58) California Publicity Fund, first installment of City's donation of \$20,000 (Resolution No. 20181, New Se-

ries) towards publicity and advertising Northern California (claim dated Sept. 15, 1922), \$6,666.67.

**Action Deferred.**

The following matters were presented and on motion *laid over one week*:

Appropriation, \$2,500, Salaries Two Additional Clerks, Justices' Clerk's Office.

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$2,500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, Fiscal Year 1922-1923, for payment of salaries to two additional clerks employed in the Justices' Clerk's office, as provided for by Ordinance No. 5683 (New Series); from September 1, 1922, to June 30, 1923, at the rate of \$1,500 per year.

Appropriation, \$5,000, Construction of Livestock Building at Twelfth and Market Streets.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$5,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, Fiscal Year 1922, by the Board of Public Works for the construction of certain buildings and improvements at Twelfth and Market streets to be used for a Live Stock Exhibition, to be held October 14th to October 20th.

**Passed for Printing.**

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Appropriation, \$5,715, Repairs to Juvenile Detention Home.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$5,715 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Miscellaneous Repairs to and Maintenance of Buildings, Budget Item No. 60, Fiscal Year 1922-1923, for repairs in and to the Juvenile Detention Home.

Accepting Offer of Lillian M. Hall to Sell Land Required for Extension of Pioneer Park.

Resolution No. 20311 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the extension of Pioneer Park and construction of Telegraph Hill boulevard has offered to convey for \$3,837 the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for extension of Pioneer Park and construction of Telegraph Hill boulevard, viz.:

Lillian M. Hall, \$3,837.

Beginning at the point formed by the intersection of the southerly line of Greenwich street with the westerly line of Kearny street, and running thence southerly along the westerly line of Kearny street 112 feet and 6 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 87 feet and 6 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 50 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 87 feet and 6 inches to the southerly line of Greenwich street; thence at a right angle easterly along said line of Greenwich street 37 feet and 6 inches to the westerly line of Kearny street and the point of beginning.

Being part of 50 Vara Lot No. 463.

The City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisal of the property.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept a deed therefor in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price of three thousand eight hundred and thirty-seven and 00/100 (\$3,837) dollars.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, McLeran, Schmitz, Welch—5.

*Passed for Printing.*

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

#### Garage and Oil Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

#### *Transfer Public Garage.*

To Joseph Markley, permit granted by Resolution No. 19755 (New Series) to Oliver L. Hassing, for premises situate 351-361 Valencia street.

To G. W. Riebert and Charles Van Brunt, permit granted by Resolution No. 19475 (New Series) to D. G. Longtin, for premises situate 31 Cosmos place.

#### *Oil Storage Tank.*

Merchants Inn, at 659 Merchant street; 600 gallons capacity.

Morris Meyerfeld, at 1809 California street; 600 gallons capacity.

James Duvaress, at northwest corner of Eighth avenue and Fulton street; 1500 gallons capacity.

C. Jorgensen, at northeast corner of Divisadero and Filbert streets; 1500 gallons capacity.

C. and L. Weil, on east side of Fremont street, 229 feet north of Mission street; 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. H. M. John, on north side of Pacific avenue, 137 feet 6 inches east of Buchanan street; 1500 gallons capacity.

H. C. Keenan, on north side of Washington street, 170 feet west of Franklin street; 1500 gallons capacity.

H. C. Smith, at southeast corner of Green and Taylor streets; 1500 gallons capacity.

Prior Estate, on west side of Mason street, 35 feet south of Eddy street; 1500 gallons capacity.

A. A. Johnson, on east side of Polk street, 60 feet south of Greenwich street; 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

#### Automobile Supply Station.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to the Union Oil Company of California to maintain an automobile supply station at the southwest corner of Van Ness avenue and Fell street, and to store 1200 gallons of gasoline on the premises.

#### Masquerade Ball Permits.

Supervisor Robb presented:

Resolution No. 20312 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted the following organizations to hold masquerade balls upon payment of the usual license fee:

General Jacob H. Smith Post No. 83, Veterans of Foreign Wars of the United States, at California Hall, Turk and Polk streets, on Saturday evening, October 28, 1922.

Finnish Workers' Association, at 20 Flint street, on Saturday evening, October 28, 1922.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, McLeran, Schmitz, Welch—5.

#### Street Festival Permit.

Resolution No. 20313 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Bay View Community Club is hereby granted permission to hold a street festival in Mendell street between Newcomb and Palon avenues from October 21 to October 31, 1922, inclusive; that the Bay View Community Club is permitted to

decorate with electric lights, or otherwise, the said location for said period of time; provided, the City and County shall be at no expense for such decoration or the removal thereof.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, McLeran, Schmitz, Welch—5.

**Action Deferred.**

The following bill was, on motion, *laid over one week:*

**Additional Positions Ordinance Amended, Juvenile Detention Home.**

Bill No. 6124, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), known as the "Ordinance of Additional Positions," by adding a new subdivision to Section 21 thereof, to be designated (s).

Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), known as the "Ordinance of Additional Positions," is hereby amended by adding a new subdivision to Section 21 thereof, to be designated (s), to read as follows:

*Juvenile Detention Home.*

(s). One clerk-stenographer, at a salary of \$1,500 a year.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect as of October 1, 1922.

**Passed for Printing.**

The following matters were *passed for printing:*

**Building Law Amendment.**

Supervisor Scott presented:

Bill No. 6125, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as the Building Law of the City and County of San Francisco, approved December 22, 1909, by adding a new section thereto, to be numbered Section 120a, relating to steel joist floor and roof construction in Class "B" and "C" buildings.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as the "Building Law," is hereby amended by adding a new section thereto, and numbered Section 120a and to read as follows:

*Steel Joist Floor and Roof Construction in Class "B" and "C" Buildings.*

Section 120a. Formed steel joist floor and roof construction shall be permitted anywhere in the city for dwellings, office buildings, apartment houses, tenement houses, hotels, hospitals requiring not more than forty (40) pounds live load per square foot of superficial surface, when supported on a steel frame complying with the

requirements of Sections 48, 49 and 50 of the "Building Law of the City and County of San Francisco," and fireproofed according to the provisions of Sections 104 and 105 of the Building Law.

Steel frame tie beams used in this construction shall have a depth at least one-twenty-fourth (1/24) of their span, and shall be no lighter than 6"-1-12-1/2 # section. They shall be riveted to the columns with at least four (4) 3/4" rivets.

Metal joists shall meet the requirements specified for unit stresses in Section 48 of the Building Law and their maximum spacing shall not exceed twenty-four (24) inches center to center. Tension bridging shall be spaced at not more than six (6) foot intervals measured along the joists.

Ribbed metal lath shall be secured to the tops of the joists. This lath shall be no lighter than twenty-four (24) gauge and shall have the minimum sectional areas specified in Section 113b of the Building Law for slab reinforcement. This lath shall constitute the reinforcing for the concrete slab.

The lath shall be covered with a concrete slab at least two (2) inches thick for all roofs, and for the floors of dwellings, apartment houses, tenements and hotels. Floor slabs of office buildings, hospitals and other buildings shall have two and one-half (2 1/2) inches minimum thickness.

The under surface of the joists shall be fireproofed with a layer of twenty-four (24) gauge metal lath, plastered with a seven-eighths (7/8) inch thickness of cement plaster, and this fireproofing shall be securely fastened to or suspended from the joists.

Formed steel joist floor construction shall be limited to eight stories above the sidewalk.

This construction may also be built upon Class "C" frames as specified in Part IX of the Building Law.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**Traffic Ordinance Amendment, Forty-Minute Parking District.**

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill:

Bill No. 6126, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 21, Section 67 and Section 81 of Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series), entitled "Regulating moving travel and traffic upon the streets and other public places of the City and County of San Francisco and providing a punishment for any violation thereof," and repealing Orders Nos. 70 and 175 (Second Series) and Ordinances Nos. 256, 723, 803, 807, 808, 809, 814, 825, 851, 888, 898, 899, 902, 1088, 1132, 1359, 1367, 1369, 1379,

1380, 1507, 1517, and Ordinances Nos. 339, 575, 649, 1527 and 2128 (New Series).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 21 of Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series) is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 21. Between the hours of 10 o'clock a. m. and 6 o'clock p. m. of any day except Sundays and legal holidays, it shall be unlawful for the owner, driver or operator of any motor or horse-drawn vehicle to permit such vehicle to stand for more than forty (40) minutes on any of the streets within the following described boundaries:

Commencing with the intersection of Market and Battery streets, along the east line of Battery street to the north line of California street; thence along the north line of California street to the west line of Kearny street; thence along the west line of Kearny street to the north line of Bush street; thence along the north line of Bush street to the west line of Stockton street; thence along the west line of Stockton street to the north line of Sutter street; thence along the north line of Sutter street to the west line of Mason street; thence along the west line of Mason street to the north line of Geary street; thence along the north line of Geary street to the west line of Taylor street; thence along the west line of Taylor street to the north line of Market street; thence along the north line of Market street to the west line of Seventh street; thence along the west line of Seventh street to the south line of Market street; thence along the south line of Market street to the west line of Sixth street; thence along the west line of Sixth street to the south line of Mission street; thence along the south line of Mission street to the east line of First street; thence along the east line of First street to the south line of Market street, and thence to the point of beginning, the intersection of the northerly line of Market street with the east line of Battery street; provided, however, that stoppages caused by fires, blockades, breakdowns or other emergencies, or an ambulance, shall not be considered within the provisions of this ordinance; and provided further, that the provisions of this section shall not apply to the standing of any passenger vehicle upon any public or private stand created in said district under the provisions of Ordinance No. 1898 (New Series).

Section 2. Section 67 of Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series) is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 67. It shall be unlawful

for any person to operate upon the streets any automobile or other form of motor vehicle for the purpose of conveying passengers for hire or otherwise, unless such person shall have procured a license as provided in Ordinance No. 1898, provided, however, that the provisions of this section shall not apply to persons operating their own cars for private business or pleasure and who are not in the public hackney or rent service.

Section 81 of Ordinance No. 1857 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 81. Section 81 of Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series) is hereby amended to read as follows: The Chief of Police shall designate and detail a sufficient number of police officers to attend upon streets of the City and County of San Francisco to control, regulate and divert the movement of or order the stoppage of vehicles, street cars and interurban railway cars in or upon such streets in order to prevent congestion of traffic and to prevent accidents.

Any police officer designated or detailed by the Chief of Police to perform such duty shall control, regulate, direct and divert the movement of or order the stoppage of vehicles, street cars and interurban railway cars in or upon such public streets for the purposes herein set forth.

Any police officer may, when traffic conditions so require, clear any street, highway, avenue, boulevard or other road, square or public place open to public travel of any and all vehicles parked thereon, whether occupied or not, and to accomplish said clearance is authorized and directed to move such parked car or cars from said street, so to be cleared to some convenient nearby place selected by him.

The movement and stoppage of vehicles, street cars, interurban railway cars and pedestrians shall be governed by whistle signal or other signal or any other signal given by hand by any police officer or by any signal device showing or indicating "Stop" and "Go" by bells, lights or other device or any other signalling system that shall be authorized installed and put in use and operated by the Police Department of said City and County. When the whistle or hand system of signalling is in use, the following regulations shall apply:

One (1) blast of a police whistle or the raising of the hand indicates that vehicles, street cars and interurban cars traveling on any street running in a general northerly and southerly direction shall stop, and that vehicles, street cars and interurban railway cars traveling on any street running in a general easterly and westerly direction may proceed; provided, that

street cars and interurban railway cars required to turn from a northerly or southerly direction to an easterly or westerly direction shall proceed upon the sounding of one (1) blast of such whistle and shall stop upon the sounding of two (2) blasts thereof. Two (2) blasts of a police whistle or raising the hand indicates that vehicles, street cars and interurban railway cars traveling on any street running in a general easterly and westerly direction shall stop and that vehicles, street cars and interurban railway cars traveling on any street, running in a general northerly and southerly direction may proceed; provided, that street cars and interurban railway cars required to turn from an easterly or westerly direction to a northerly or southerly direction shall proceed upon the sounding of two (2) blasts of such whistle and shall stop upon the sounding of one (1) blast thereof. Vehicles, street cars and interurban railway cars shall stop so as not to interfere with the passage of pedestrians at the crossings. Three (3) or more blasts of a police whistle is a signal of alarm and indicates the approach of a fire engine or some other danger, and all vehicles, street cars and interurban railway cars shall stop until permitted by the police officer to proceed.

Drivers of vehicles and motormen of street cars and interurban railway cars must at all times comply with any direction given by whistle, voice or hand by any police officer as to stopping, starting, approaching or departing from any place in any street in said City and County of San Francisco, and whenever any signalling device is employed indicated by appropriate words or any other means the stopping, starting, approaching or departing from any such place, all vehicles and street cars, drivers of vehicles and motormen of street cars must likewise comply with all signals given by means of such device.

It shall be unlawful for any person driving, using or having the control of any vehicle or for any motorman or other person running, operating or having charge or control of any street car or interurban railway car or train of cars to fail, refuse or neglect to obey the order of any police officer in regard to the regulation, direction or diversion or to moving or stopping any such vehicle or any such street car or interurban railway car or train of cars in or upon any street in the City and County of San Francisco.

The Chief of Police shall designate and determine the streets and street crossings or intersections which shall be governed by whistle by the police officers detailed to enforce the provisions of this ordinance on such streets and crossings or intersections.

Section 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### Extensions of Time.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20314 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific States Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of thirty days' time from and after September 25, 1922, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Paris street between France and Italy avenues, under public contract.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that contractor has been delayed by shortage of cement.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, McLeran, Schmitz, Welch—5.

Also, Resolution No. 20315 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That C. B. Eaton is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after October 16, 1922, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Folsom street between Ogden and Tompkins avenues.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that contractor has been delayed by allowing the fill to settle.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, McLeran, Schmitz, Welch—5.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Ordering Street Work, Lyon Street.

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill:

Bill No. 6127, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 10, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in con-

formity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Lyon street between Golden Gate avenue and Turk street where not already improved*, by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### Recommitted.

The following resolution was presented and on motion ordered recommitted to the Streets Committee:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Declaring it to be the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close portions of Fairfax avenue, Galvez avenue, Hudson avenue, Jennings street, Ingalls street and Hawes street.

#### ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

##### Pacific Fleet in San Francisco Bay.

Supervisor Morgan presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, San Francisco Bay is the only harbor on the Pacific Coast of the United States not contiguous to foreign territory which can protect, repair, equip and supply the 205 vessels of the Pacific Fleet; be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City of San Francisco hereby reiterates its former approval of the selection of San Francisco Bay as the main naval base of the Pacific and presents to Congress a statement of the material facts, with the request that the improvements deemed neces-

sary to the entrance be made; be it further

Resolved, That the Public Welfare Committee of the Board of Supervisors be authorized and directed to take the proper steps necessary to give effect to this resolution, including the employment of the necessary experts, for a temporary period, to prepare the necessary evidence and present same to Congress and to assist in its enactment into law; further

Resolved, That the sum of \$5,000 is hereby appropriated for the purpose of enabling the Public Welfare Committee to carry out this resolution.

*Referred to the Public Welfare Committee.*

#### Rescinding of Charter Amendment, Official Advertising.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the order of the Board of Supervisors on September 21st, submitting to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco at the election to be held on the 7th day of November, a proposal to amend The Charter of the City and County of San Francisco by adding a new section, No. 8, to Chapter III of Article II relative to official advertising, be and the same is hereby rescinded.

*Refused adoption* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Powers, Scott, Shannon—6.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Hynes, McGregor, Morgan, Robb, Rossi, Wetmore—7.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, McLeran, Schmitz, Welch—5.

#### Building Law Amendment.

Supervisor Scott presented:

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 80 of Ordinance No. 1008, approved December 22, 1909, and known as the Building Law of the City and County of San Francisco, relating to the general limitations of area in Class "C" buildings.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 80 of Ordinance No. 1008, known as the "Building Law" is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 80. No restriction is placed on the floor area of buildings of Class "A" and Class "B" construction.

In buildings of Class "C" wherever built, provided such buildings are erected and constructed on corner lots or on inside lots running through from street to street, or on lots on which private rights of way, 50 feet or more in width and connecting with the bounding street or streets, and

which rights of way provide the equivalent of a corner lot or an inside lot running through from street to street and not exceeding one story and basement in height, no single floor area between exterior division or party walls shall exceed nineteen thousand (19,000) square feet, except wherein designated Class "C" mill and frame buildings are erected or constructed on a lot other than a corner lot, or lot extending through from street to street, the area shall not exceed ten thousand (10,000) square feet; provided, however, should such building be erected on such lot as herein referred to, be completely equipped with a system of automatic sprinklers in a manner approved by the Board of Fire Underwriters of the Pacific, the said area may be increased to not exceed fifteen thousand (15,000) square feet. No wall or part of wall in any such existing building or in any such building hereafter erected shall be removed to produce a larger area than that named above.

None of the herein designated buildings nor any part thereof shall be used as a place of storage, keeping or handling of any combustible article except under the conditions prescribed by the ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco.

Sheds limited in area to fifteen hundred (1500) square feet shall be permitted in the fire limits, provided they conform to the requirements of Section 156 of the "Building Law."

Attics or unfinished space between the ceiling and roof rafters of every Class "C" or frame building shall be divided into compartments or rooms in order to prevent the rapid progress of fire. Such compartments shall not have a floor area of more than twenty-five hundred (2500) square feet.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

*Referred to Public Buildings Committee.*

#### **Providing for Charter Amendment Election.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Resolution No. 20302 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That at the general election to be held on the 7th day of November, 1922, there shall be placed upon the ballot used at said election proposals to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to enable the electors of said City and County to vote upon said proposals to amend said Charter as hereinafter described and enumerated.

That said proposals to amend said Charter were ordered submitted to the

electors of the City and County of San Francisco, by the Board of Supervisors, at meetings held on the 14th day of August, 1922, and the 21st day of September, 1922, and published as required by the provisions of Section 8, Article XI of the Constitution of the State of California.

That said proposals shall be known and designated upon the said ballot as Amendment No. —, and shall be consecutively numbered thereon, beginning with the number thirty-two and ending with the number fifty-three.

That said amendments shall be printed upon the official ballots for the general election to be held in said City and County of San Francisco on the 7th day of November, 1922, in the manner provided by law for submitting such propositions at such general election and the propositions stated as required by said law.

Also, said ballots shall have printed thereon the following:

#### *Amendment No. 32.*

*Changes provisions relative to Civil Service—Initiative measure.* Amends Article XIII and repeals Sections 7, 10, 12 and 16 thereof. (Provides for enlarging scope of Civil Service by including certain officials and others, who will be appointed instead of elected, not now under Civil Service. Gives additional powers to Civil Service Commission respecting fixing standard salaries and in matters relating to the exercise of its functions.)

#### *Amendment No. 33.*

*Civil Service positions in Coroner's office.* Adds a new Subdivision "C" to Section 11 of Article XIII. (Provides that Assistant Deputy Coroners (female) who have served as such for one year shall be Civil Service employees.)

#### *Amendment No. 34.*

*Civil Service positions in Sheriff's office.* Adds a new Subdivision "D" to Section 11 of Article XIII. (Provides that positions of Chief Bookkeeper and Cashier in Sheriff's office shall be Civil Service and persons holding such positions for five years shall be deemed appointed thereto.)

#### *Amendment No. 35.*

*Public meetings of boards and commissions.* Adds a new Section 1A to Article XVI. (Provides that all meetings of boards or commissions shall be open to the public except that of Civil Service Commission when it is approving payrolls or adopting examination questions.)

#### *Amendment No. 36.*

*Appointment of detectives in Police Department.* Amends Section 6, Chapter V, Article VIII. (Provides one detective for each 18 members of the police force.)

*Amendment No. 37.*

*Determinations by Police Commissioners and Board of Fire Pension Fund Commissioners.* Adds a new Section 14 to Chapter X, Article VIII, and a new Section 11 to Chapter VII, Article IX. (Provides that determination of Board of Police Commissioners and Board of Fire Pension Fund Commissioners when disability ceases shall be final.)

*Amendment No. 38.*

*Registration of voters—Compensation.* Amends Section 4 and repeals Section 5 of Chapter I, Article XI. (Provides for registration of voters outside of City Hall, compensation of registration deputies not to exceed ten cents per name registered.)

*Amendment No. 39.*

*Use of ballot machines.* Amends Section 14, Chapter II, Article XI. (If ballot machines are used, such use shall be governed by state laws.)

*Amendment No. 40.*

*Foreign Trade Zones.* Adds a new Section 21 to Article XII. (Provides that Foreign Trade Zones when authorized by Congress shall be public utilities which the City may establish and maintain. Cost of establishment not to be a part of bonded debt limit when rates are declared sufficient to pay interest and principal of debt.)

*Amendment No. 41.*

*Construction of highways outside City limits.* Adds a new Section 13 to Chapter II, Article II. (Provides that money may be expended for highway construction outside City limits, and San Francisco may enter into an agreement with another county for such construction.)

*Amendment No. 42.*

*Official advertising.* Adds a new Section 8 to Chapter III, Article II. (Provides discretionary method for publishing official advertising and Supervisors designate the Municipal Record as the official newspaper.)

*Amendment No. 43.*

*Debt limit not applicable to bonds for Hetch Hetchy electric power and water.* Adds a new section No. 19 to Article XII. (Provides that bonded debt limit need not include bonds issued for construction of works to furnish electric energy from Hetch Hetchy nor for works to furnish water. Surplus water and electricity may be sold outside of City.)

*Amendment No. 44.*

*Lease of park concessions.* Amends Section 6 of Article XIV. (Provides that park concessions may be leased for five years instead of one as at present. Stadium may be rented for athletic exhibitions.)

*Amendment No. 45.*

*Lease of sub-surface areas of parks.* Adds a new Section 15 to Article XIV. (Provides that Park Commission may lease sub-surface area of parks for automobile parking stations or garages when public interest may require. Public use of parks not to be interfered with.)

*Amendment No. 46.*

*Compensation of Police Judges.* Amends Section 1, Chapter VIII, Article V. (Provides salary of \$4,800 for Police Judges.)

*Amendment No. 47.*

*Payment of tax judgments.* Adds a new Section 18 to Chapter I, Article III. (Provides for repayment of all taxes declared illegal by appellate courts and special tax may be levied for that purpose.)

*Amendment No. 48.*

*Sale of City lands.* Amends Sections 9 and 11, and adds new Section 12, Chapter II, Article II. (Provides for sale of City land (except parks and playgrounds) when public interest and necessity demand such sale. Board of Education, in case of school lots, to determine same to be unsuitable for schools and Fire Commission to make same determination in case of fire lots. Proceeds of sale of school lots to be used only for school lands and for fire lots for sites or for fire houses.)

*Amendment No. 49.*

*Public Service Commission.* Adds a new Section 20 to Article XII. (Provides that Supervisors shall create a Public Service Commission for operating and maintaining public utilities.)

*Amendment No. 50.*

*Compensation of elective officers.* Adds a new Section 44 to Article XVI. (Provides compensation of \$6,000 a year for District Attorney, Auditor, County Clerk, Treasurer, Coroner, Recorder, Tax Collector, and City Attorney.)

*Amendment No. 51.*

*Bureau of Supplies.* Adds a new Chapter IV to Article II. (Provides for the creation of Bureau of Supplies and appointment of Purchaser of Supplies by Supervisors. Charter provisions relative to purchase of supplies may be prescribed by ordinance.)

*Amendment No. 52.*

*Tubercular hospital outside City.* Adds a new Section 6 to Article I. (Provides that City may establish tubercular hospital outside of City and may contract with other cities or counties to care for inmates thereof, or to jointly establish and maintain such hospitals.)

*Amendment No. 53.*

*Power to purchase only by vote of people properties Market Street Rail-*

*way Company.* Adding new section to be known as Section 18, Article XII. (Provides for giving power to purchase only by vote of people the properties of Market Street Railway Company, and the indebtedness, if incurred, not to be a charge against the City's debt limit specified in Section 9, Article XII of the Charter, and giving people right to propose the purchase by petition.)

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—14.  
Absent—Supervisors Bath, McSheehy, Schmitz, Welch—4.

#### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at the hour of 5:45 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, October 30, 1922.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series), of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.



SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Tuesday, September 26, 1922.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



**THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY**

77 Sutter Street, S. F.



SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Tuesday, September 26, 1922.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY

77 Sutter Street, S. F.

10

TUE

In  
circu  
p. m  
Th  
spec  
men  
prop

Th  
ing  
Su  
Hay  
Mul  
more  
At  
Shee  
Welc  
Qu  
H  
sent  
to th  
Th  
repr  
inter  
Th  
pone  
Ja  
ers'  
W  
own  
Al  
test  
M  
Rail  
W  
W  
ants  
Pe  
ants  
J.

St  
the  
Cr  
ctai  
the  
mitt  
be p  
serie  
St  
the  
fest  
Cha  
tha

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 26, 1922, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Tuesday, September 26, 1922, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in special session, pursuant to adjournment, for further consideration of the proposed Rincon Hill regrade project.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Wetmore—12.

Absent—Supervisors McGregor, McSheehy, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—6.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Mulvihill was called to the chair.

There were also present counsel representing the various parties in interest, as follows:

Theo. J. Savage, for certain proponents;

Jno. F. Partridge, for Property Owners' Association, and others;

Wm. Kehoe, for numerous property owners on the hill;

Algernon Crofton, for certain protestants;

Mr. Dooling, for the Western Pacific Railway Company;

W. P. Hubbard, for Kentfield Trust;

W. H. Gorrill, for certain protestants;

Peter tum Suden, for certain protestants and owners;

J. F. English, for certain protestants.

### COMMUNICATIONS.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented and the Clerk read:

*Communication*—From C. L. Tilden, chairman of Executive Committee of the Property Owners' Association, submitting plan for reduction of hill that he thinks can be carried out without serious opposition.

*Supervisor Mulvihill* explained that the property owners had a meeting yesterday with a committee of the Chamber of Commerce with the hope that they may be able to work out a

plan that will be agreeable to all property owners. I believe it is the desire of these people to postpone this hearing today in order that they may arrive at a better understanding. After hearing the speakers I suggest that we continue the hearing for a period of four weeks from next Monday.

### Privilege of the Floor.

*Jno. Partridge*, attorney, was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board. He said in part: The people I represent are unalterably opposed to the proposition as it now stands. I understand, however, that the Property Owners' Association, of which Major Tilden is president, is endeavoring to find some method by which this project can be carried out that will meet with the approval of all. This association met with a committee of the Chamber of Commerce with that in view, but were unable to agree to the fullest extent. Pending the arrival of some settlement of this matter it has been suggested that protests be not heard and that this hearing be continued for thirty days. With that information we come here today unprepared to go on—we have neither the data for argument nor our witnesses. It therefore seems to me that no harm can be done and perhaps some good accomplished by continuing the hearing and give these committees of the Chamber of Commerce and of the Property Owners' Association a chance to arrive at something.

*Major Chas. L. Tilden*, president of the Property Owners' Association, said in part: We had a very agreeable meeting of representatives of all of the interests that are in favor of the project, and we then agreed that this hearing of protests should be postponed for a period of thirty days, with a view of getting together to see if we cannot agree upon some plan. We hope to arrive at some conclusion, and therefore come here expecting that this hearing will be continued.

*Theo. Savage*, attorney representing the Chamber of Commerce and a large number of property owners, said in part: Nothing has transpired that in the least has altered the determination of the people I represent to urge be-

fore the public and before this Board that this important and necessary project be brought to a successful determination. We have not yet been heard; as a matter of orderly procedure the opponents should first be heard and this meeting is for that purpose. At the proper time, when the case for the opponents of the measure has been closed, we expect and know that we will have an opportunity to place before you the reasons which impel us in urging this most important civic undertaking. We have not heard one word of constructive criticism of the plan of the City Engineer in this regard up to this time. Now this letter from Major Tilden for the first time makes a proposition in the nature of constructive criticism; that is, it suggests an alternative means by which the project can be carried out, and is based upon the assumption which we have always maintained, that the leveling of Rincon Hill is a necessary thing and a good thing to accomplish. Now I have not had an opportunity to analytically examine this plan, and I don't know to what extent legal and practical objections may develop, if any, to it. I assume that the Property Owners' Association is sufficiently large to embrace the majority of the people protesting this assessment, and the committee of the Chamber of Commerce that met in conference with the Association felt such encouragement that a solution of the problem acceptable to both sides, resulting in the immediate reduction of the hill, that they acceded to the suggestion for a postponement of this hearing. And I have been instructed by my clients to interpose no objection.

*Rev. F. A. Doane* was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board. He said in part: It seems to be generally understood that all the property owners on Rincon Hill are members of the Property Owners' Association. I want to say that I am not. My property is on the west side of Second street, and that is the reason for it. As I understand it the proposition to come before you is to leave us on the west side of Second street up in the air, and I am not the only one; there are others opposed to it. When the time comes I want to have an opportunity to speak.

*Mr. Kehoe*, attorney representing certain property owners, was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board in part as follows: I would like to have permission to file a protest on behalf of the property owners whose property is situated on the hill itself—representing 7000 feet. . . . I desire to state that it has been the

position of those whom I represent that this matter should proceed at this date. . . . But there are those representing outside parties not in the district as well as some of them who are representing those within the district who are advocating that further time is required by the proponents to properly present the case. I do not desire to shut anyone out of the right of coming here and adequately and properly presenting his case. So, because of that fact, because they are asking it as a courtesy, on behalf of those whom I am representing here today, I am going to consent to a continuance. But, I am also consenting for this reason, that if it will give them a chance to get together on some other plan, well and good, because there can be no two sides to the question, that the present plan before you is one that is utterly impracticable. I understand that when the proper time comes we shall have a right and an opportunity to make our argument upon our protest. But in view of Mr. McLeran's questions that he has propounded here today as to whether we were all in accord with these ideas, and as to whether we were all going to come together, I don't want to be placed in the position, by remaining silent, of having acquiesced in this matter, and then, if later I saw fit to come before this Board and take the position I have taken today, have it hurled at me. "You kept quiet at the time the matter was before the Board, and tacitly committed yourself to these things."

#### Protests Filed.

Attorney Kehoe presented:

*Protest* of Mildred L. Goodsell, acting for herself and as the duly constituted agent of all those property owners whose names appear on paper attached, marked "Appointment of Agent," against the regrading of Rincon Hill.

*Filed in open Board September 26, 1922.*

*Protest* of the Russ Estate Company, by Wm. K. Gutzow, against assessment for the reduction of Rincon Hill.

*Filed in open Board September 29, 1922.*

Discussion: Supervisors McLeran, Powers, Mulvihill, Hayden, Colman.

(*Stenographic report of discussion on file in Clerk's office.*)

#### ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor McLeran, further consideration of the Rincon Hill regrade matter was continued until November 22, 1922, at 2 p. m., by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Wetmore—11.  
Absent—Supervisors McGregor, McSheehy, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch—6.  
No—Supervisor Powers—1.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors January 15, 1923.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series), of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.



Monday, October 2, 1922.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY

77 Sutter Street, S. F.

JO

MON

In  
cisco  
Th  
regul

Th  
ing  
Su  
Hyne  
Morg  
Shan

Ab  
ers,  
Su  
cusec  
Qu  
Hi  
sent,  
to th

Th  
prece  
appr  
ROL

Co  
Com  
appr  
play  
tween  
street  
nues  
take  
lots  
othe  
may  
purp  
R  
mitt

Cle  
Su  
Co  
Imp  
duce  
Arg  
aven  
dery  
R  
Lo  
St  
P  
othe  
and

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, OCTOBER 2, 1922, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, October 2, 1922, 2 p.m. The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Powers, Schmitz—3.

Supervisors Colman and Schmitz excused.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph, being absent, Supervisor McLeran was called to the chair.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the preceding meeting was *laid over* for approval until next meeting.

### ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

#### Bay View Playground.

*Communication*—From Bay View Community Service Club, expressing appreciation for land purchased for playground purposes in two blocks between Railroad avenue and Keith street and Armstrong and Carroll avenues, and urging that early action be taken to purchase balance of twelve lots in one block and forty lots in the other, in order that all land required may be made available for playground purposes.

*Referred to Lands and Tunnels Committee.*

#### Clement Street Underground District.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

*Communication*—From Point Lobos Improvement Club urging sidewalk reduction on Clement street between Arguello boulevard and Thirteenth avenue and placing overhead wires underground.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

#### Lombard Street Underground District.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

*Petition*—Of Henry Marcus and others for the narrowing of sidewalks and establishing of an underground

district for high-power wires in Lombard street between Leavenworth and Hyde streets.

*Referred to the Electricity Committee.*

Leave of Absence, John Hermann, Election Commissioner.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., Sept. 28, 1922.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, Cal.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me for an extension of the leave of absence of Hon. John Hermann, Election Commissioner, for a further leave of absence, with permission to remain out of the State of California for ninety days, commencing October 1st, 1922.

Will you please concur with me in granting this extension of leave of absence?

Very respectfully,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,

Mayor.

Whereupon the following resolution was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 20337 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. John Hermann, Election Commissioner, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of ninety days commencing October 1, 1922, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Powers, Schmitz—3.

Mayor Appoints State Laws and Legislation Committee.

The following was presented, read and ordered *filed*:

San Francisco, Cal., Sept. 29, 1922.

Mr. John S. Dunnigan, Clerk, Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Dear Sir:

I am directed by the Mayor to inform you that pursuant to Resolution No. 20301, adopted by the Board of Supervisors September 18, 1922, he

has appointed a committee on State Laws and Legislation of the Board of Supervisors, consisting of the following:

Supervisors—

WILLIAM S. SCOTT,  
Chairman;

RALPH McLERAN,  
JOSEPH MULVIHILL,  
EDWIN G. BATH,  
J. EMMET HAYDEN.

Very truly yours,

W. F. BENEDICT,

Acting Secretary to the Mayor.

Employment of C. E. Skidmore and A. L. Kramer, Tax Experts.

The following resolution, heretofore presented by Supervisor McLeran, passed upon as to its legality by the City Attorney, was taken up and adopted by the following vote:

Resolution No. 20338 (New Series), as follows:

Be it Resolved, That C. E. Skidmore and A. L. Kramer be and they are hereby authorized to check all deeds and sales to the State by the Tax Collector of the City and County of San Francisco, California, of property on which the taxes were first delinquent for the fiscal year 1916-17 or are delinquent for prior years, which do not show redemption, cancellation or sale by the State, on deeds or certificates of sale; said parties shall also submit reports covering all such sales, together with recommendations as to further disposition of the same and shall submit lists upon which requests for authorization from the State Controller may be made to advertise and sell as provided for by Section 3897 of the Political Code of the State of California.

Said City and County of San Francisco will pay said C. E. Skidmore and A. L. Kramer for such services an amount equal to fifty per cent (50%) of the City and County of San Francisco's portion of all moneys received by the said City and County of San Francisco from and after this date for redemptions or sales of said property above referred to. payments thereof to be made at the regular monthly meeting of the Board for the allowance of claims, upon the statement of the County Auditor showing the amount paid in on redemptions and sales of property covered by this contract, subsequent to the last payment thereon, the final payment thereof to be made to said C. E. Skidmore and A. L. Kramer when they have furnished an affidavit setting forth that they have checked or caused to be checked the records of the United States Land Office, the State Surveyor's office, County Auditor's office and County Recorder's office of the City and County of San Francisco to the extent necessary for

a complete performance of the things required to be done and performed by them under this resolution, and have also submitted to the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco their report and recommendation as thereinabove provided.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Powers, Schmitz, Scott—4.

#### REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.

The following committees, by their respective chairmen, presented reports on various matters referred, which reports were read and ordered filed:

Supplies Committee, by Supervisor Rossi, chairman.

Fire Committee, by Supervisor Deasy, chairman.

Public Buildings Committee, by Supervisor Scott, chairman.

Streets Committee, by Supervisor Mulvihill, chairman.

#### HEARING—3 P. M.

Buchanan Street.

Hearing of protests of Spring Valley Water Company and Andre Lazard from the action and decision of the Board of Public Works in recommending the ordering of the improvement of Buchanan street between Hermann street and Duboce avenue, as provided in Resolution of Intention No. 73604 (Second Series).

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Attorney Firebaugh, representing the Andre Lazard Estate, opposed the proposed opening of Buchanan street, alleging that the cost would be confiscatory. He declared that it is doubtful whether the cost of the work can be collected and consequently contractors will not bid. He suggested an assessment district for payment of the cost, in which case there would be no objection.

P. S. Marrin, attorney representing Spring Valley Water Company, declared that ordering the improvement of Buchanan street under the present proceedings would amount to confiscation; a fair assessment district, he said, would not be opposed.

Messrs. Petticord, King and Pfaffle, representing property owners and improvement organizations, urged that work be carried out as outlined in the proceedings now pending.

Jno. E. Behan, secretary and manager Spring Valley Water Company, also addressed the Board in opposition to the pending proceedings for the improvement of Buchanan street.

C. Healy, Assistant City Engineer, advised the Board as to the amount of material to be removed and the esti-

mated cost per yard. He declared that, based on the Assessor's valuation of the property, the cost would not be confiscatory.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented by Supervisor Mulvihill and adopted:

Resolution No. 20341 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the protest of Spring Valley Water Company and Andre Lazard from the action and decision of the Board of Public Works in overruling the objections of Spring Valley Water Company and Andre Lazard to the improvement of Buchanan street between Hermann street and Duboce avenue, etc., as provided in Resolution of Intention No. 73604 (Second Series), is hereby overruled and the work is hereby ordered.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Powers, Schmitz, Scott—4.

Passed for Printing.

Thereupon, the following bill was passed for printing:

Bill No. 6147, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 8, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of

the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Buchanan street between Hermann street and Duboce avenue*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of an eight (8) inch ironstone pipe sewer, 24 Y branches and 2 manholes along the center line of Buchanan street from a point twenty (20) feet southerly from Hermann street to a point three (3) feet northerly from the northerly line of Duboce avenue; thence in a south-westerly direction to the existing manhole in the center line of Duboce avenue five (5) feet westerly from the westerly line of Buchanan street; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of a central strip of verticle fiber brick pavement, fourteen (14) feet in width, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the remainder of the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

##### Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and finally passed by the following vote:

##### Authorizations.

Resolution No. 20317 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the herein-after mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(1) The American Brass Co., sheet copper, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 19, 1922), \$3,864.52.

(2) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., machine parts (claim dated Sept. 20, 1922), \$1,023.50.

(3) Chanslor & Lyon Co., machine parts (claim dated Sept. 19, 1922), \$538.89.

(4) William Cluff Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Sept. 19, 1922), \$1,692.20.

(5) General Electric Co., electric locomotive, etc. (claim dated Sept. 19, 1922), \$7,567.53.

(6) The Giant Powder Co. Con., powder (claim dated Sept. 19, 1922), \$4,092.27.

(7) Haas Brothers, foodstuffs (claim dated Sept. 19, 1922), \$767.18.

(8) Ingersoll-Rand Co., machine parts (claim dated Sept. 20, 1922), \$5,573.46.

(9) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Sept. 19, 1922), \$743.65.

(10) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Sept. 19, 1922), \$1,266.80.

(11) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., Mazda lamps (claim dated Sept. 19, 1922), \$1,721.31.

(12) Pacific Tank & Pipe Co., fir pipe (claim dated Sept. 19, 1922), \$976.94.

(13) Edward L. Soule Co., steel bars (claim dated Sept. 19, 1922), \$979.43.

(14) United States Steel Products Co., tee rail (claim dated Sept. 19, 1922), \$2,105.50.

(15) Western Meat Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Sept. 19, 1922), \$526.14.

(16) American Manganese Steel Co., machine parts (claim dated Sept. 21, 1922), \$610.05.

(17) Hickok & Hickok, steel tires (claim dated Sept. 21, 1922), \$2,348.98.

(18) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Sept. 21, 1922), \$1,599.92.

(19) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Sept. 21, 1922), \$2,076.27.

(20) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Sept. 21, 1922), \$3,225.91.

(21) Geo. H. Rice Abstract Co., reports on land titles (claim dated Sept. 20, 1922), \$990.

(22) Robert M. Searls, land and rights of way purchases, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Sept. 20, 1922), \$2,624.20.

(23) Robert M. Searls, for purchase of lands and rights of way, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Sept. 21, 1922), \$5,000.

(24) The Utah Construction Co., clearing camp supplies furnished (claim dated Sept. 20, 1922), \$1,005.38.

#### *Municipal Railway Fund.*

(25) American Brake Shoe and Foundry Co., car brake shoes (claim dated Sept. 20, 1922), \$1,070.70.

(26) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., electric power (claim dated Sept. 20, 1922), \$32,187.85.

(27) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursement for month of August, per agreement December 21, 1918 (claim dated Sept. 21, 1922), \$1,185.83.

(28) Market Street Railway Co., power furnished (claim dated Sept. 21, 1922), \$2,519.88.

#### *County Road Fund.*

(30) Clarence B. Eaton, final payment, improvement of Point Lobos avenue from Forty-eighth avenue to Great Highway (claim dated Sept. 22, 1922), \$49,375.35.

#### *Park Fund.*

(31) Spring Valley Water Co., labor and material furnished links at Lake Merced (claim dated Sept. 22, 1922), \$3,247.53.

(32) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished parks (claim dated Sept. 22, 1922), \$4,313.37.

#### *General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(33) The Seagrave Co., two motor apparatus, Fire Department (claim dated Sept. 21, 1922), \$22,275.

#### *General Fund, 1922-1923.*

(34) Western Electric Co., register tape, Department of Electricity (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$589.76.

(35) The Preston School of Industry, maintenance of inmates at State Schools (claim dated Sept. 19, 1922), \$658.71.

(36) Moore Shipbuilding Co., dry-docking and cleaning Fire Boat "Sullivan" (claim dated Sept. 18, 1922), \$507.83.

(37) Hooper & Jennings, groceries, Relief Home (claim dated Sept. 18, 1922), \$1,197.55.

(38) Sperry Flour Co., flour, Relief Home (claim dated Sept. 18, 1922), \$838.65.

(39) Flynn & Collins, two Ford roadsters, Department of Electricity (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$1,073.62.

(40) A. Lettich, first payment, plumbing and gas fitting, Fire Department drill tower (claim dated Sept. 20, 1922), \$919.16.

(41) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated Sept. 20, 1922), \$2,663.31.

(42) Spring Valley Water Co., water for public buildings (claim dated Sept. 20, 1922), \$1,758.19.

(43) Spring Valley Water Co., water used for street work (claim dated Sept. 20, 1922), \$1,306.10.

(44) Western Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Sept. 20, 1922), \$4,108.68.

(45) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repair (claim dated Sept. 21, 1922), \$3,010.56.

(46) St. Catherine's Home & Training School, maintenance of minors at Magdalen Asylum (claim dated Sept. 19, 1922), \$651.52.

(47) St. Mary's Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 19, 1922), \$616.29.

(48) St. Vincent's Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 19, 1922), \$1,637.03.

(49) San Francisco Protestant Orphanage Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 19, 1922), \$669.60.

(50) The Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 19, 1922), \$13,345.17.

(51) The Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 19, 1922), \$4,064.22.

(52) The Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 19, 1922), \$8,500.45.

(53) The Boy's Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 19, 1922), \$1,111.11.

nance of minors (claim dated Sept. 19, 1922), \$1,247.65.

(54) The Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 19, 1922), \$1,505.25.

(55) The Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 19, 1922), \$2,620.82.

(56) San Francisco Chronicle, official advertising, Board of Supervisors, August 1 to 31 (claim dated Sept. 22, 1922), \$1,597.79.

(57) Chase & Rae, printing Tax Collector's Sales Book, fiscal year 1921-1922 (claim dated Sept. 22, 1922), \$522.88.

(58) California Publicity Fund, first installment of City's donation of \$20,000 (Resolution No. 20181, New Series) towards publicity and advertising Northern California (claim dated Sept. 15, 1922), \$6,666.67.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Powers, Schmitz, Scott—4.

#### Action Deferred.

On motion of Supervisor Hayden the following item was stricken from the resolution and *laid over until a future meeting*.

#### Auditorium Fund.

(29) A. Lettich, second payment, Auditorium toilets (claim dated Sept. 20, 1922), \$644.40.

Appropriation, \$5,715, Repairs to Juvenile Detention Home.

Resolution No. 20318 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$5,715 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Miscellaneous Repairs to and Maintenance of Buildings, Budget Item No. 60, Fiscal Year 1922-1923, for repairs in and to the Juvenile Detention Home.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Powers, Schmitz, Scott—4.

#### Garage and Oil Permits.

Resolution No. 20319 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

#### Transfer Public Garage.

To Joseph Markley, permit granted by Resolution No. 19755 (New Series) to Oliver L. Hassing, for premises situate 351-361 Valencia street.

To G. W. Richert and Charles Van Brunt, permit granted by Resolution No. 19475 (New Series) to D. G. Longtin, for premises situate 34 Cosmos place.

#### Oil Storage Tank.

Merchants Inn, at 659 Merchant street; 600 gallons capacity.

Morris Meyerfeld, at 1809 California street; 600 gallons capacity.

James Duvaress, at northwest corner of Eighth avenue and Fulton street; 1500 gallons capacity.

C. Jorgensen, at northeast corner of Divisadero and Filbert streets; 1500 gallons capacity.

C. and L. Weil, on east side of Fremont street, 229 feet north of Mission street; 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. H. M. John, on north side of Pacific avenue, 137 feet 6 inches east of Buchanan street; 1500 gallons capacity.

H. C. Keenan, on north side of Washington street, 170 feet west of Franklin street; 1500 gallons capacity.

H. C. Smith, at southeast corner of Green and Taylor streets; 1500 gallons capacity.

Prior Estate, on west side of Mason street, 35 feet south of Eddy street; 1500 gallons capacity.

A. A. Johnson, on east side of Polk street, 60 feet south of Greenwich street; 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Powers, Schmitz, Scott—4.

#### Automobile Supply Station.

Resolution No. 20320 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to the Union Oil Company of California to maintain an automobile supply station at the southwest corner of Van Ness avenue and Fell street, and to store 1200 gallons of gasoline on the premises.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Powers, Schmitz, Scott—4.

#### Indefinite Postponement.

The following entitled bill heretofore passed for printing was taken up and on motion *indefinitely postponed*:

Building Law Amendment, Steel Joist Floor and Roof Construction.

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled "Amending Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as the 'Building Law' of the City and County of San Francisco, approved December 22, 1909, by adding a new section thereto, to be numbered Section 120a, relating to steel joist floor

and roof construction in Class 'B' and 'C' buildings.

**Final Passage.**

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Traffic Ordinance Amendment, Forty-Minute Parking District.**

Bill No. 6126, Ordinance No. 5706 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 21, Section 67 and Section 81 of Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series), entitled "Regulating moving travel and traffic upon the streets and other public places of the City and County of San Francisco and providing a punishment for any violation thereof," and repealing Orders Nos. 70 and 175 (Second Series) and Ordinances Nos. 256, 723, 803, 807, 808, 809, 811, 825, 851, 888, 898, 899, 902, 1088, 1132, 1359, 1367, 1369, 1379, 1380, 1507, 1517, and Ordinances Nos. 339, 575, 649, 1527 and 2128 (New Series).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 21 of Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series) is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 21. Between the hours of 10 o'clock a. m. and 6 o'clock p. m. of any day except Sundays and legal holidays, it shall be unlawful for the owner, driver or operator of any motor or horse-drawn vehicle to permit such vehicle to stand for more than forty (40) minutes on any of the streets within the following described boundaries:

Commencing with the intersection of Market and Battery streets, along the east line of Battery street to the north line of California street; thence along the north line of California street to the west line of Kearny street; thence along the west line of Kearny street to the north line of Bush street; thence along the north line of Bush street to the west line of Stockton street; thence along the west line of Stockton street to the north line of Sutter street; thence along the north line of Sutter street to the west line of Mason street; thence along the west line of Mason street to the north line of Geary street; thence along the north line of Geary street to the west line of Taylor street; thence along the west line of Taylor street to the north line of Market street; thence along the north line of Market street to the west line of Seventh street; thence along the west line of Seventh street to the south line of Market street; thence along the south line of Market street to the west line of Sixth street; thence along the west line of Sixth street to the south line of Mission street; thence

along the south line of Mission street to the east line of First street; thence along the east line of First street to the south line of Market street, and thence to the point of beginning, the intersection of the northerly line of Market street with the east line of Battery street; provided, however, that stoppages caused by fires, blockades, breakdowns or other emergencies, or an ambulance, shall not be considered within the provisions of this ordinance; and provided further, that the provisions of this section shall not apply to the standing of any passenger vehicle upon any public or private stand created in said district under the provisions of Ordinance No. 1898 (New Series).

Section 2. Section 67 of Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series) is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 67. It shall be unlawful for any person to operate upon the streets any automobile or other form of motor vehicle for the purpose of conveying passengers for hire or otherwise, unless such person shall have procured a license as provided in Ordinance No. 1898, provided, however, that the provisions of this section shall not apply to persons operating their own cars for private business or pleasure and who are not in the public hackney or rent service.

Section 81 of Ordinance No. 1857 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 3. Section 81 of Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series) is hereby amended to read as follows: The Chief of Police shall designate and detail a sufficient number of police officers to attend upon streets of the City and County of San Francisco to control, regulate and divert the movement of or order the stoppage of vehicles, street cars and interurban railway cars in or upon such streets in order to prevent congestion of traffic and to prevent accidents.

Any police officer designated or detailed by the Chief of Police to perform such duty shall control, regulate, direct and divert the movement of or order the stoppage of vehicles, street cars and interurban railway cars in or upon such public streets for the purposes herein set forth.

Any police officer may, when traffic conditions so require, clear any street, highway, avenue, boulevard or other road, square or public place open to public travel of any and all vehicles parked thereon whether occupied or not, and to accomplish said clearance is authorized and directed to move such parked car or cars from said street, so to be cleared to some convenient nearby place selected by him.

The movement and stoppage of vehicles, street cars, interurban railway

cars and pedestrians shall be governed by whistle signal or other signal or any other signal given by hand by any police officer or by any signal device showing or indicating "Stop" and "Go" by bells, lights or other device or any other signalling system that shall be authorized installed and put in use and operated by the Police Department of said City and County. When the whistle or hand system of signalling is in use, the following regulations shall apply:

One (1) blast of a police whistle or the raising of the hand indicates that vehicles, street cars and interurban cars traveling on any street running in a general northerly and southerly direction shall stop, and that vehicles, street cars and interurban railway cars traveling on any street running in a general easterly and westerly direction may proceed; provided, that street cars and interurban railway cars required to turn from a northerly or southerly direction to an easterly or westerly direction shall proceed upon the sounding of one (1) blast of such whistle and shall stop upon the sounding of two (2) blasts thereof. Two (2) blasts of a police whistle or raising the hand indicates that vehicles, street cars and interurban railway cars traveling on any street running in a general easterly and westerly direction shall stop and that vehicles, street cars and interurban railway cars traveling on any street, running in a general northerly and southerly direction may proceed; provided, that street cars and interurban railway cars required to turn from an easterly or westerly direction to a northerly or southerly direction shall proceed upon the sounding of two (2) blasts of such whistle and shall stop upon the sounding of one (1) blast thereof. Vehicles, street cars and interurban railway cars shall stop so as not to interfere with the passage of pedestrians at the crossings. Three (3) or more blasts of a police whistle is a signal of alarm and indicates the approach of a fire engine or some other danger, and all vehicles, street cars and interurban railway cars shall stop until permitted by the police officer to proceed.

Drivers of vehicles and motormen of street cars and interurban railway cars must at all times comply with any direction given by whistle, voice or hand by any police officer as to stopping, starting, approaching or departing from any place in any street in said City and County of San Francisco, and whenever any signalling device is employed indicated by appropriate words or any other means the stopping, starting, approaching or departing from any such place, all vehicles

and street cars, drivers or vehicles and motormen of street cars must likewise comply with all signals given by means of such device.

It shall be unlawful for any person driving, using or having the control of any vehicle or for any motorman or other person running, operating or having charge or control of any street car or interurban railway car or train of cars to fail, refuse or neglect to obey the order of any police officer in regard to the regulation, direction or diversion or to moving or stopping any such vehicle or any such street car or interurban railway car or train of cars in or upon any street in the City and County of San Francisco.

The Chief of Police shall designate and determine the streets and street crossings or intersections which shall be governed by whistle by the police officers detailed to enforce the provisions of this ordinance on such streets and crossings or intersections.

Section 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Powers, Schmitz, Scott—4.

Ordering Street Work, Lyon Street.

Bill No. 6127, Ordinance No. 5707 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 10, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assess-

ment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Lyon street between Golden Gate avenue and Turk street where not already improved*, by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 6128, Ordinance No. 5708 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 12, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in five installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment,

and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Ledyard street, from Silver avenue to a point 500 feet southerly therefrom*, by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with 38 Y branches and 2 brick manholes along the center line of Ledyard street, between the center line of Silver avenue and a point 500 feet southerly from the southerly line of Silver avenue.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print and amounting to \$38,824.95, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Powers, Schmitz, Scott—4.

#### Urgent Necessity.

Sabina M. Churchill, compensation insurance, \$89.27.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Powers, Schmitz, Scott—4.

#### NEW BUSINESS.

##### Auditorium Rentals.

Supervisor Hayden presented: Resolution No. 20321 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following persons and organizations are hereby granted permission to occupy the halls in the Auditorium, deposits having been paid to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to guarantee the rental fees:

Selby Oppenheimer, use of the Main Hall February 11, 1923, 8 a. m. to 6 p. m., for the purpose of holding a concert.

H. D'olsnitz, use of Polk Hall January 1 to February 2, 1923, inclusive, for the purpose of holding Fines Exhibit.

Mizpah Charity Club, use of Larkin Hall November 4, 1922, 8 a. m. to 6 p. m., for the purpose of holding rummage sale.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran,

McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—14.  
Absent—Supervisors Colman, Powers, Schmitz, Scott—4.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McLeran:  
Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(1) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., steel, hardware, etc., Hetch Hetchy Water Construction (claim dated Sept. 25, 1922), \$569.06.

(2) Blaw-Knox Co., steel forms for concrete work (claim dated Sept. 26, 1922), \$8,133.33.

(3) Bethlehem Shipbuilding Corp., Ltd., shafts and chain cases (claim dated Sept. 25, 1922), \$872.52.

(4) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers attached (claim dated Sept. 26, 1922), \$884.02.

(5) Sierra Railway Co. of Cal., railroad car service during July (claim dated Sept. 25, 1922), \$4,139.03.

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(6) Alex Coleman, fourth payment, plumbing, North Beach (Galileo) High School (claim dated Sept. 27, 1922), \$3,570.30.

(7) Emil Hogberg, fourth payment, brick and hollow tile, Mission High School Addition (claim dated Sept. 27, 1922), \$2,250.

*Special School Tax, 1922-1923.*

(8) M. E. Ryan, first payment, electric work, Oral Deaf School (claim dated Sept. 27, 1922), \$747.15.

*Tearing Up Streets Fund.*

(9) T. M. Gallagher, repaving over sidesewer trenches (claim dated Sept. 20, 1922), \$1,219.45.

*Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.*

(10) Continental Construction Co., fourth payment, installing poles and conductors, Taraval street line (claim dated Sept. 26, 1922), \$2,330.

(11) Charlotte McKenzie, settlement of claim on account of personal injuries (claim dated Sept. 26, 1922), \$1,250.

*General Fund, 1922-1923.*

(12) Phillips & Van Orden, ballot paper to Department of Elections (claim dated Sept. 27, 1922), \$624.05.

(13) Wilbur Smith, one Lincoln 7-passenger and four Ford motor cars, less allowance for two Marmon motor cars, for use of Police Department

(claim dated Sept. 25, 1922), \$4,419.24.

(14) Chester N. Weaver Co., two Studebaker motor cars, for Police Department (claim dated Sept. 25, 1922), \$2,469.80.

(15) Greer-Robbins Co., two Hupmobile motor cars, for Police Department (claim dated Sept. 25, 1922), \$2,792.30.

(16) Associated Oil Co., gasoline for Police Department (claim dated Sept. 25, 1922), \$659.85.

(17) Producers Hay Co., alfalfa and bran, Relief Home (claim dated Sept. 23, 1922), \$1,062.70.

(18) Napa State Hospital, maintenance of criminal insane for quarter ending Sept. 30 (claim dated Oct. 2, 1922), \$540.

(19) Eaton & Smith, final payment, improvement of Key avenue between Jennings street and Railroad avenue (claim dated Sept. 26, 1922), \$1,370.69.

Appropriation, \$3,837, Payment to Lillian M. Hall for Land for Pioneer Park and Telegraph Hill Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$3,837 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Telegraph Hill Improvement," Budget Item No. 66, and authorized in payment to Lillian M. Hall; being payment for property required for the extension of Pioneer Park and Telegraph Hill boulevard construction; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 20311, New Series (claim dated Sept. 28, 1922).

*Transfer of Municipal Railway Funds.*

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 20322 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$3,547.50 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Municipal Railway Operating Fund; representing operating deficit for month of August, 1922.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Powers, Schmitz, Scott—4.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Appropriation, \$816.98, Payment to Crocker National Bank, Fiscal Agent of San Francisco in New York.

On motion of Supervisor McLeran:  
Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$816.98 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, Fiscal Year 1922-1923, and authorized in payment to The Crocker National Bank of San

Francisco; being payment covering New York exchange and clerk hire as fiscal agents for the City and County in bond and interest payments (claim dated Sept. 22, 1922).

Appropriation, \$11,414.71. City's Portion of Cost of Improving San Jose Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$11,414.71 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for payment of City's portion of cost of improvement of San Jose avenue between Ottawa and Sickles avenues, under public contract by A. J. Raisch, assignee of Raisch Improvement Company.

Appropriation, \$2,500. Salaries, Additional Clerks, Justices' Clerk's Office.

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$2,500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, Fiscal Year 1922-1923, for payment of salaries to two additional clerks employed in the Justices' Clerk's office, as provided for by Ordinance No. 5683 (New Series), from September 1, 1922, to June 30, 1923, at the rate of \$1,500 per year.

Appropriation, \$5,000, Live Stock Show.

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$5,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, Fiscal Year 1922, by the Board of Public Works for the construction of certain buildings and improvements at Twelfth and Market streets, to be used for a Live Stock Exhibition, to be held October 14 to October 20.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. Harkins, president of the Live Stock Association, was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board. He declared that he and his colleagues came to San Francisco for the purpose of arousing interest in a permanent building for live stock shows in San Francisco. The Live Stock Show in Chicago every year is one of the biggest events in the country. Portland's Live Stock Show is most interesting and important. Denver, also, has a great Live Stock Show that draws thousands of visitors and millions of dollars of business. San Francisco's big need at the present time is a big exposition building where livestock shows and industrial expositions might be adequately presented. Perhaps you do not know that Fresno holds more big shows than San Fran-

cisco. San Francisco, if it is to retain its prominence as the metropolis of the Pacific Coast, must have facilities for big expositions—big enough for any kind of a show.

S. Green, vice-president of the Live Stock Association, also addressed the Board declaring that the show was not run for profit, but was educational only.

Mr. Summers declared that the live stock industry was one of the basic industries of California and one that should be given every encouragement. San Francisco can do the big thing better than any other city in California. We don't want to hold shows in tents; it is expensive and risky.

(Discussion: Supervisors McLeran, Welch, Shannon, Hayden, McSheehy, Hynes.)

#### Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was passed for printing by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Powers, Schmitz—3.

#### Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Hynes raised the question of illegality and declared if City Attorney advises that it is legal, he will be glad to support it.

Supervisor McSheehy qualified his vote by saying he reserved the right to change his vote on final passage if City Attorney advises that it is illegal.

Additional Positions Ordinance Amended, Juvenile Detention Home.

Bill No. 6129, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), known as the "Ordinance of Additional Positions," by adding a new subdivision to Section 21 thereof, to be designated (s).

Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), known as the "Ordinance of Additional Positions," is hereby amended by adding a new subdivision to Section 21 thereof, to be designated (s), to read as follows:

#### Juvenile Detention Home.

(s) One clerk-stenographer, at a salary of \$1,500 a year.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect as of October 1, 1922.

Garage, Auto Supply and Oil Storage Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

#### Public Garage.

A. J. Rose, at the southeast corner of Valencia and Twenty-sixth streets.

*Automobile Supply Station.*

C. M. Langdon, at northeast corner of Hyde and O'Farrell streets, also to store 1000 gallons of gasoline.

*Oil Storage Tank.*

(1500 gallons capacity.)

James H. Pinkerton Co., at northwest corner of California and Gough streets.

Oriental M. E. Home, at 940 Washington street.

American Type Foundry Co., on north side of Howard street, 200 feet west of First street.

K. Gluck, on north side of Washington street, 159 feet west of Polk street.

F. Stick, on east side of Jones street, 100 feet north of Sutter street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Powers, Schmitz, Scott—4.

**Building Law Amendment, Steel Joists in Special Class "B" Buildings.**

On motion of Supervisor McLeran:

Bill No. 6130, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as the "Building Law" of the City and County of San Francisco, approved December 22, 1909, by adding a new section thereto, to be numbered Section 120a, relating to steel joist floor and roof construction in special Class "B" buildings.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as the "Building Law," is hereby amended by adding a new section thereto, and numbered Section 120a and to read as follows:

Section 120a. Steel joist floor and roof construction in special Class "B" buildings.

Formed steel joist floor and roof construction shall be permitted anywhere in the City for dwellings, office buildings, apartment houses, tenement houses, hotels, hospitals requiring not more than forty (40) pounds live load per square foot of superficial surface, when supported on a steel frame complying with the requirements of Sections 48, 49 and 50 of the "Building Law of the City and County of San Francisco," and fireproofed according to the provisions of Sections 104 and 105 of the building law.

Steel frame tie beams used in this construction shall have a depth at least one-twenty-fourth ( $1/24$ ) of their span, and shall be no lighter than 6"-I-12- $\frac{1}{2}$

section. They shall be riveted to the columns with at least four (4)  $\frac{3}{4}$ -inch rivets.

Metal joists shall meet the requirements specified for unit stresses in Section 48 of the building law and their maximum spacing shall not exceed twenty-four (24) inches center to center. Tension bridging shall be spaced at not more than six (6) foot intervals measured along the joists.

Ribbed metal lath shall be secured to the tops of the joists. This lath shall be no lighter than twenty-four (24) gauge and shall have the minimum sectional areas specified in Section 113-B of the building law for slab reinforcement. This lath shall constitute the reinforcing for the concrete slab.

The lath shall be covered with a concrete slab at least two (2) inches thick for all roofs, and for the floors of dwellings, apartment houses, tenements and hotels. Floor slabs of office buildings, hospitals and other buildings shall have two and one-half ( $2\frac{1}{2}$ ) inches minimum thickness.

The under surface of the joists shall be fireproofed with a layer of twenty-four (24) gauge metal lath, plastered with a seven-eighths ( $\frac{7}{8}$ ) inch thickness of cement plaster, and this fireproofing shall be securely fastened to or suspended from the joists.

Formed steel joist floor construction shall be limited to eight stories above the sidewalk.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**Amending Building Law, General Limitation of Area in Class "C" Buildings.**

Also, Bill No. 6131, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 80 of Ordinance No. 1008, approved December 22, 1909, and known as the "Building Law" of the City and County of San Francisco, relating to the general limitations of area in Class "C" buildings.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 80 of Ordinance No. 1008, known as the "Building Law," is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 80. No restriction is placed on the floor area of buildings of Class "A" and Class "B" construction.

In buildings of Class "C," wherever built, provided such buildings are erected and constructed on corner lots or on inside lots running through from street to street, or on lots on which private rights of way 50 feet or more in width and connecting with the bounding street or streets, and which rights of way provide the equivalent of a corner lot or an inside lot running through from street to street and not exceeding one story and basement in height, no single floor area between

exterior division or party walls shall exceed nineteen thousand (19,000) square feet. Where Class "C" buildings are erected or constructed on a lot other than a corner lot, or lot extending through from street to street, the area shall not exceed ten thousand (10,000) square feet; provided, however, should such building be erected on such a lot and be completely equipped with a system of automatic sprinklers in a manner approved by the Board of Fire Underwriters of the Pacific, the said area may be increased to not exceed fifteen thousand (15,000) square feet. No wall or part of wall in any such existing building or in any such building hereafter erected may be removed to produce a larger area than herein allowed.

Frame buildings erected on any lot shall not exceed the area of 10,000 square feet.

None of the herein designated buildings nor any part hereof shall be used as a place of storage, keeping or handling of any combustible article except under the conditions prescribed by the ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco.

Sheds limited in area to fifteen hundred (1500) square feet shall be permitted in the fire limits, provided they conform to the requirements of Section 156 of the "Building Law."

Attics or unfinished space between the ceiling and roof rafters of every Class "C" or frame building, shall be divided into compartments or rooms in order to prevent the rapid progress of fire. Such compartments shall not have a floor area of more than twenty-five hundred (2500) square feet.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### **Amendment to Building Law, Two-Story Dwellings.**

Bill No. 6132, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series) and known as the "Building Law" of the City and County of San Francisco, by adding a new section thereto to be known as Section No. 133b, relating to the construction of two-story dwellings.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series) known as the "Building Law" is hereby amended, by adding a new section thereto and numbered Section No. 133b.

#### *Two-Story Dwellings.*

Section 133b. Bearing walls for any building used exclusively for residential purposes, when constructed of brick, stone, hollow tile, hollow cement blocks, hollow interlocking tile or plain concrete, shall be not less than nine

inches wide, provided that no portion of such nine-inch walls shall extend below the ground level.

No such building shall exceed two stories in height, and the combined height of both stories shall not exceed eighteen feet.

The foundation walls of such buildings shall be not less than thirteen inches wide with a footing not less than twenty-one inches wide with regular offsets of two inches each until the thirteen-inch wall is reached.

Bond irons three-eighths of an inch thick and three inches wide, securely linked or bolted together and extending clear around the building, shall be placed beneath the second-floor joist and beneath the second-story ceiling joist when fire walls are constructed.

A suitable number of one-half inch wrought iron anchors, extending into the walls, shall be securely fastened to the second-story floor joist and to the second-story ceiling joist when fire walls are constructed.

When no fire walls are constructed the rafters and second-story ceiling joist shall be securely spiked to a wooden wall plate not less than two inches thick and six inches wide, securely bolted to the bearing walls.

All main cross partitions shall be bolted to the exterior walls with three-eighths inch bolts, two of such bolts shall be spaced equally between the floor and ceiling in each partition of each story.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### **Amendment to Building Law, One-Story Dwellings.**

Also, Bill No. 6133, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as the "Building Law" of the City and County of San Francisco, by adding a new section thereto, and to be known as Section 133a, relating to the construction of one-story dwellings.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as the "Building Law" is hereby amended, by adding a new section thereto and numbered Section No. 133a, to read as follows:

#### *One-Story Dwellings.*

Section 133a. Bearing walls for any one-story building used exclusively for residential purposes, when constructed of brick, stone, hollow tile, hollow cement blocks, hollow interlocking tile or plain concrete, shall be not less than six inches wide, provided that no portion of such six-inch wall shall extend below the ground level.

The basement or foundation walls of such buildings shall be not less than

thirteen inches wide with a footing not less than twenty-one inches wide with regular offsets of two inches each until the thirteen-inch wall is reached.

Bond irons three-eighths of an inch thick and three inches wide securely linked or bolted together and extending clear around the building shall be placed beneath the ceiling joist when fire walls are constructed.

A suitable number of one-half inch wrought iron anchors extending into the walls shall be securely fastened to the ceiling joist when fire walls are constructed.

When no fire walls are constructed the rafters and ceiling joist shall be securely spiked to a wooden wall plate not less than two inches thick and four inches wide securely bolted to the bearing walls.

All main cross partitions shall be bolted to the exterior walls with three-eighths-inch bolts, two of such bolts shall be spaced equally between the floor and ceiling of each partition.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### **Amendment to Building Law, Private Garages.**

Also, Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series) and known as the "Building Law" of the City and County of San Francisco, by adding a new section thereto, and to be known as Section No. 133c relating to the construction of private garages.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as the "Building Law" is hereby amended, by adding a new section thereto, and numbered Section No. 133c, to read as follows:

#### *Private Garages.*

Section 133c. Bearing walls for any garage when built in connection with a residence the capacity of which shall not exceed 250 square feet when built of brick, stone, hollow tile, hollow cement blocks, hollow interlocking tile or plain concrete shall be not less than six inches wide, provided that no portion of such six-inch wall shall extend below the ground level.

The foundation walls of such garages shall be not less than nine inches wide with a footing not less than thirteen inches wide.

Bond irons three-eighths of an inch and three inches wide securely linked or bolted together and extending clear around the building shall be placed beneath the ceiling joist when firewalls are constructed.

A suitable number of one-half inch wrought iron anchors extending into the walls shall be securely fastened

to the ceiling joist when firewalls are constructed.

When no firewalls are constructed the rafters and ceiling joist shall be securely spiked to a wooden wall plate not less than two inches thick and four inches wide securely bolted to the bearing walls.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### **Accepting Offers to Sell Land, Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct Right of Way.**

Supervisor Shannon presented:  
Resolution No. 20323 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco, from the following described owners, of the following described parcels of land, situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, required as a right-of-way for the aqueduct on the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply project, for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

A. E. Bradley and Alice E. Bradley, \$200.

Lots 48 and 49 in Block 9, as shown and designated on a map entitled, "North Fair Oaks," situated in San Mateo County, which was filed in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County, August 8, 1907, in Book 5 of Maps, page 21.

Frank W. Bitley, \$200.

Lots 50 and 51 in Block 9, as shown and designated on a map entitled, "North Fair Oaks," situated in San Mateo County, which was filed in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County, August 8, 1907, in Book 5 of Maps at page 21.

Whereas, said purchase prices are in accordance with the appraisalment of said lands made by Joseph J. Phillips, right-of-way agent for the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer, the above described offers of the above named property owners to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the above named parcels of land for the sums set forth opposite their respective names be and the same are hereby accepted.

The Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy water supply is hereby authorized and directed to notify said parties of the acceptance of their said offers, and to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition to accept deeds therefor in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, D'asy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Mc-

Sheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Powers, Schmitz, Scott—4.

Also, Resolution No. 20324 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco from C. A. Hooper & Co., a corporation, of the following described parcels of land situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, required as a right of way for the aqueduct on the Hetch Hetchy water supply project, viz.:

*Parcel 1.*

Commencing at a point on the southeasterly line of Madison avenue, distant thereon 61.34 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Myrtle street, and running thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of Madison avenue 38.66 feet; thence southeasterly parallel with Myrtle street 47.18 feet; thence westerly 61.03 feet to the southeasterly line of Madison avenue and the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Lots 6 and 7 of Block 37, as shown and designated on a map entitled "Map No. 2 of Central Park, Redwood City, San Mateo County," which was filed in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County July 20, 1912, in Book 8 of Maps, at pages 15 and 16.

*Parcel 2.*

Commencing at a point on the southeasterly line of Jefferson avenue, distant thereon 80.49 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Myrtle street, and running thence southwesterly along the southeasterly line of Jefferson avenue 66.51 feet; thence easterly 155.38 feet to a point which is distant 44.37 feet at right angles southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Myrtle street and 130 feet at right angles northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Madison avenue; thence northeasterly parallel with Madison avenue 44.37 feet to the southwesterly line of Myrtle street; thence northwesterly along the southwesterly line of Myrtle street 10.58 feet; thence westerly 123.43 feet to the southeasterly line of Jefferson avenue and the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Lots 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 in Block 39, as shown and designated on a map entitled "Map No. 2 of Central Park, Redwood City, San Mateo Co.," which was filed in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County July 20, 1912, in Book 8 of Maps, at pages 15 and 16.

*Parcel 3.*

Commencing at a point, said point being the most southerly corner of Lot 4 of the subdivision hereinafter

mentioned, and also the intersection of the northerly line of Avenue Del Ora and the northwesterly line of Jefferson avenue, as shown and designated on a map entitled "Map of Subdivision No. 2 of Eagle Hill Villa Tract, Redwood City, San Mateo County, California," which was filed in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County March 20, 1912, in Book 8 of Maps, at page 5; running thence westerly along the northerly line of Avenue Del Ora 236.78 feet to the beginning of a curve with a radius of 656.78 feet; thence continuing in a westerly direction along said curve 244.22 feet; thence easterly in a straight line 483.23 feet to the northwesterly line of Jefferson avenue; thence southwesterly 13.59 feet along said line of Jefferson avenue to the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Lot 4 of the heretofore mentioned subdivision.

*Parcel 4.*

From the intersection of the center line of Jefferson avenue with the southerly line of Avenue Del Ora, said intersection being indicated in the field by a concrete monument, the top of which is set flush with the pavement on Jefferson avenue; running thence 242.73 feet along the southerly line of Avenue Del Ora to the beginning of a curve with a radius of 716.78 feet; thence continuing in the same general direction 257.19 feet along said curve to the point of beginning; thence from the point of beginning north 84 degrees 13 minutes west 1963.64 feet to the southeasterly line of Oak Knoll Manor Subdivision, said last point being north 45 degrees 08 minutes 30 seconds east 423.56 feet from the Redwood City Limits concrete monument set on said southeasterly line of Oak Knoll Manor Subdivision; running thence north 45 degrees 08 minutes 30 seconds east 77.60 feet along said Oak Knoll Manor line; thence leaving said line south 81 degrees 13 minutes east 1771.88 feet to the southerly line of Avenue Del Ora; thence easterly 151.95 feet along a curve with a radius of 716.78 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a strip of land 60 feet wide and containing 2.588 acres, more or less.

Subject to the following conditions:

First: The City is to deed Parcel 3 to the City of Redwood for street purposes, reserving the right to construct and maintain the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct therein.

Second: The right is reserved by the present owners to plant grass and small shrubs on Parcel 4 and lay out public or private streets across the same.

Third: All pipe to be buried be-

neath the present surface of the ground;

For the sum of two thousand nine hundred ninety-four and 00/100 dollars (\$2,994).

Now, therefore, be it Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer, the above-described offer of the above-named corporation to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the above-mentioned parcels of land for the sum of \$2,994 be and the same is hereby accepted.

Be it further Resolved, That the Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy water supply is hereby authorized and directed to notify said C. A. Hooper & Co. of the acceptance of their said offer; to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto and file the same for record, with a copy of this resolution attached thereto, as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—14.  
Absent—Supervisors Colman, Powers, Schmitz, Scott—4.

Also, Resolution No. 20325 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco from the following described owners of the following described parcels of land situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, required as a right of way for the aqueduct on the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply project, for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

William B. Ahlstrand and Helen M. Ahlstrand, \$40.

A parcel of land in the County of San Mateo, California, being a portion of Lots 8 and 9 of the Resubdivision of Lot 4, in Block 23, as shown and designated on a map entitled "Map of Resubdivision of Lots 4 and 5 in Block 23 of Oak Knoll Manor near Redwood City, Calif.," which was filed in office of County Recorder of San Mateo County September 4, 1919, in Book 10 of Maps, at page 26; said parcel described as follows:

Beginning at the most northerly corner of said Lot 9 and running thence south 51 deg. 53 min. 30 sec. east 71.81 feet along the northeasterly line of said Lot 9, to a corner of said Lot 9; thence south 18 deg. 37 min. east 12.20 feet along the northeasterly line of said Lot 9; thence north 80 deg. 21 min. 20 sec. west 59.66 feet to the northwesterly line of said Lot 8;

thence in a general northerly direction 52.28 feet on a curve with a radius of 30.00 feet along said northwesterly line of Lot 8 and the westerly line of said Lot 9 to the point of beginning.

It is made a condition of the deed, nevertheless, that the parties of the first part, their heirs and assigns, shall have the right to cross over said above described parcel, and to plant grass upon the same; provided, that the parties of the first part, in exercising the privilege hereinabove mentioned, shall not interfere with the construction, operation or maintenance of the Hetch Hetchy pipe line or lines constructed beneath the surface of said parcel.

G. W. Lupton, \$210.

Parcel 1. Lots 32 and 33 in Block 10, as shown and designated on a map entitled "North Fair Oaks, situated in San Mateo County," which was filed in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County August 8, 1907, in Book 5 of Maps, at page 21.

Parcel 2. Commencing at a point which is distant 225 feet at right angles northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Park road, and 105.89 feet at right angles southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Fourth avenue, said point being on the southwesterly line of Lot No. 34, hereinafter described, and running thence southeasterly parallel with Park road and along the said southwesterly line of Lot No. 34 a distance of 14.11 feet to the southeasterly line of Lot No. 34; thence northeasterly parallel with Fourth avenue and along the said southeasterly line of Lot No. 34 a distance of 11.50 feet; thence westerly a distance of 18.16 feet to the point of commencement.

Being a part of Lot No. 34 of Block No. 10, as shown and designated on a map entitled "North Fair Oaks," situated in San Mateo County, which was filed in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County August 8, 1907, in Book 5 of Maps, at page 21.

Whereas, said purchase prices are in accordance with the appraisement of said lands made by Joseph J. Phillips, right-of-way agent for the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer, the above described offers of the above named property owners to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the above named parcels of land for the sums set forth opposite their respective names be and the same is hereby accepted.

The Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply is hereby authorized and directed to notify said parties of the acceptance of their said offers, and to examine the title to said

property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept deeds therefor in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Powers, Schmitz, Scott—4.

Also, Resolution No. 20326 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco from the following described owners of the following described parcels of land situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, required as a right of way for the aqueduct on the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply project, for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Mary E. Thralls, \$200.

Fractional portion of Lot 9 in Block 23 (as per offer on file), as shown on map entitled "Map of Oak Knoll Manor, Redwood City, San Mateo County, Cal.," which was filed in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County May 10, 1916, in Book 10 of Maps, at pages 4 to 11.

John S. Burton and Clementina E. Burton, \$100.

Lot 4 in Block 27, as shown on map entitled "North Fair Oaks Subdivision No. 3, San Mateo County," which was filed in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County April 20, 1908, in Book 6 of Maps, at page 7.

Now, therefore, be it Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer, the above described offers of the above named property owners to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the above mentioned parcels of land for the sums set forth opposite their respective names be and the same are hereby accepted.

Be it Further Resolved, That the Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply is hereby authorized and directed to notify said parties of the acceptance of their said offers, to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record, with a copy of this resolution attached thereto, as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Powers, Schmitz, Scott—4.

#### Extension of Time.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 20327 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That an extension of thirty days from the 27th day of September, 1922, be granted to American Car Co. within which to deliver the first fifteen car bodies as conditioned in Contract No. 125a, Municipal Railway.

This *first* extension is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works and for the reason that the contractor has been delayed by materials from other contractors not being delivered promptly.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Powers, Schmitz, Scott—4.

#### Contract for Car Wheels Approved.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 20328 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the contract of the Board of Public Works with the Standard Steel Works Company for furnishing 200 rolled steel car wheels for the price of \$32.75 each be and the same is hereby approved.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Powers, Schmitz, Scott—4.

#### Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

#### Spur Track Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill:

Bill No. 6135, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to the Los Angeles Soap Co. to construct, maintain and operate a spur track to enter the property at the northeast corner of Second and Brannan streets, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to the Los Angeles Soap Co. to construct, maintain and operate a spur track as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in the existing spur track in Second street, distant southerly thereon 112 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Bryant

street produced; thence on a curve to the left having a radius of 252.35 feet for a distance of 31.59 feet; thence in a southerly direction 65 feet, more or less, to a point; thence on a curve to the left having a radius of 573.14 feet for a distance of 62 feet, more or less; thence in a southeasterly direction crossing De Boom street for a distance of 132 feet, more or less, to a point on the southerly line of De Boom street, distant easterly thereon  $2\frac{1}{2}$  feet, more or less, from the easterly line of Second street; thence continuing southeasterly into private property.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as complete as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by Los Angeles Soap Co.

Provided, that the Los Angeles Soap Co. shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps, to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### Spur Track Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill: Bill No. 6136, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to McNab & Smith to construct, maintain and operate a spur track to enter the property on north line of Brannan street between Seventh and Eighth streets, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to McNab & Smith to construct, maintain and operate a spur track as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in the center line of the Western Pacific Railroad Company's spur track serving the National Carbon Company in Block 411 in the City of San Francisco, California, said point being in Eighth street about 20 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of Eighth street; thence diverging on a curve to the right about 200 feet and crossing the southwesterly line of Brannan street about 70 feet northeasterly of

the northeasterly line of Eighth street; thence along Brannan street about 150 feet; thence on a curve to the left about 330 feet and crossing the northwesterly line of Brannan street about 460 feet from the northeasterly line of Eighth street; thence on a curve to the right about 70 feet; thence in a northwesterly direction about 310 feet to a point on the southwesterly line of Bryant street about 320 feet from the southwesterly line of Seventh street and about 1060 feet from the point of beginning.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted, and shall be construed as a part hereof as complete as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by McNab & Smith.

Provided, that girder rails be used on that portion of the track that is constructed on Brannan street, and that the required clearance be maintained at obstructions; that the six-foot sewer in Brannan street be reinforced where the track crosses and that the existing manhole be kept clear or reconstructed; that all pavement disturbed be reconstructed, and the granite curb removed be hauled to the corporation yard.

Provided, that McNab & Smith shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps, to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

#### Establishing Grades, Ewer Place.

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill: Bill No. 6137, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled "Establishing grades on Ewer place between Mason street and a line parallel with and 137 feet 6 inches westerly therefrom."

#### Establishing Grades, Ade Alley.

Also. Bill No. 6138, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled "Establishing grades on Ade alley between Jackson street and a line parallel with and 137 feet 6 inches northerly therefrom."

#### Ordering Plans, etc., Extension Army Street Sewer.

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill:

Bill No. 6139, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for the construction of a reinforced concrete extension to the Army street sewer from its present termination at Kansas and Marin streets easterly to the Islais Creek channel; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for the construction of said sewer and permitting progressive payments to be made during the progress of the work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for and to enter into contract for the construction of a reinforced concrete extension to the Army street sewer from its present termination at Kansas and Marin streets easterly to the Islais Creek channel, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for the construction of said sewer conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications and as provided by Section 21, Chapter I, Article VI of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**Ordering Street Work, Forty-fifth Avenue.**

Also, Bill No. 6140, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 7, 1920, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which

said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The improvement of *Forty-fifth avenue from Judah street to Kirkham street*, by the construction of the following vitrified, salt-glazed, ironstone pipe sewers and appurtenances, a 12-inch, 24 Y branches and six side sewers along the center line of Forty-fifth avenue between the southerly line of Judah street and a point 300 feet northerly from the northerly line of Kirkham street; an 8-inch with 24 Y branches, one side sewer and two brick manholes, with castiron frames and covers and galvanized wrought iron steps along the center line of Forty-fifth avenue between the last described point and a point 20 feet northerly from the northerly line of Kirkham street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**Ordering Street Work, San Bruno Avenue.**

Also, Bill No. 6141, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 10, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be

charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Ware street between the northeasterly line of San Bruno avenue and the southerly line of Paul avenue*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Street Work, Flood Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 6142, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 12, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Flood avenue between Detroit street and Congo street*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs and an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Street Work, Folsom Street.

Also, Bill No. 6143, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 8, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The improvement of *Folsom street from Crescent avenue to a line 150 feet southerly*, by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with 14 Y branches and one brick manhole with appurtenances along the center line of Folsom street from the southerly line of Crescent avenue to a point 150 feet southerly therefrom.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Street Work, Ocean Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 6144, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 10, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in

its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The improvement of the *southerly side of Ocean avenue between Harold and Ashton avenues*, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width, where artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width are not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Confirming Method of Assessment, Thirty-Seventh Avenue.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20329 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the method of assessment for the improvement of Thirty-seventh avenue between Geary and Anza streets, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks for the full official width in front of city property, and six feet in width on the remainder of the sidewalk area thereof, except where artificial stone sidewalks are already constructed; by the construction of a central strip of vertical fiber brick pavement 14 feet in width, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the remainder of the roadway thereof, determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No. 73050 (Second Series), is hereby confirmed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Powers, Schmitz, Scott—4.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Ordering Street Work, Thirty-Seventh Avenue.

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill: Bill No. 6145, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 8, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said

City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The method of assessment for the work and improvement determined by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No. 73050 (Second Series) is hereby confirmed.

The improvement of *Thirty-seventh avenue between Geary and Anza streets*, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks for the full official width in front of city property, and six feet in width on the remainder of the sidewalk area thereof, except where artificial stone sidewalks are already constructed; by the construction of a central strip of vertical fiber brick pavement 14 feet in width and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the remainder thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Confirming Method of Assessment, Trumbull Street.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20330 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the method of assessment for the improvement of Trumbull street between the easterly line of Mission street and the easterly line of Congdon street, including the crossing of Trumbull and Craut streets, and the crossing of Trumbull and Congdon streets, determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No. 74112 (Second Series), be and the same is hereby confirmed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Powers, Schmitz, Scott—4.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

**Ordering Street Work, Trumbull Street.**

Also, Bill No. 6146, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 8, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Trumbull street between the easterly line of Mission street and the easterly line of Congdon street, including the crossing of Trumbull street and Craut street and the crossing of Trumbull and Congdon streets*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of the following brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, four (4) on the crossing of Craut street and three (3) on the crossing of Congdon street; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners of the above mentioned crossings, and by the construction of an asphaltic

concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

The method of assessment for said improvements determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No. 74112 (Second Series) is hereby confirmed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**Fixing October 9, 1922, 3 P. M., Hearing Improvement, Yosemite Avenue.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20331 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Monday, October 9, 1922, at 3 p. m., is hereby fixed as the time for hearing appeal of property owners from the action and decision of the Board of Public Works in overruling the objections of property owners to the improvement of Yosemite avenue between Mendell street and the westerly line of Third street, including the crossing of Yosemite avenue and Lane street, as provided in Resolution of Intention No. 74249 (Second Series).

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Powers, Schmitz, Scott—4.

**Intention to Close Certain Streets.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20332 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that portions of Fairfax avenue, Galvez avenue, Hudson avenue, Jennings street, Ingalls street and Hawes street be closed.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Powers, Schmitz, Scott—4.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

**Blasting Permit.**

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Eaton & Smith are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on Collingwood street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets, etc., for grading purposes, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) as fixed by the Board of Public Works, and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204;

provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction and under the supervision of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by the said Eaton & Smith, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

**Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required for Opening and Widening Market Street Extension.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:  
Resolution No. 20333 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Estate of Washington H. Dodge, owners of the following described land sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening and widening of Market street extension have offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Estate of Washington H. Dodge, \$1,300.

Lot 1, in Block No. 10, of Market Street Homestead Association, as per map thereof recorded October 26, 1868, in Map Book "C" and "D", pages 130 and 131, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Subject to confirmation by the Superior Court of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisalment of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept a deed therefor in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco upon payment of the agreed purchase price of thirteen hundred and 00/100 (\$1,300.00) dollars.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Powers, Schmitz, Scott—4.

**Extension of Time.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:  
Resolution No. 20334 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That State Improvement Company is hereby granted an extension

of ninety days from October 12, 1922, within which to complete contract for the improvement of La Salle avenue between Newhall and Phelps streets.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor has been unable to complete the work on account of delay in securing material.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Powers, Schmitz, Scott—4.

**Award of Contract, Foodstuffs.**

Resolution No. 20335 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That contracts for furnishing foodstuffs for use of the various institutions and departments of the City and County of San Francisco, for which the Board of Supervisors is required to make contracts, required during the months of October, November and December, 1922, be and the same are hereby awarded to the following persons, firms or corporations, in strict conformity with their bids submitted September 18, 1922; that the amounts of the surety bonds required for the faithful performance of said contracts are hereby fixed at the amounts set below their respective names; that said contracts are hereby awarded as per the item number and article enumerated and appearing in their respective bids, viz.:

**52—ALASKA CODFISH CO.**

(No bond required.)

Item No.

1106 (a) Codfish, pound .....\$ .1369

**35—ALBERS BROS. MILLING CO.**

(No bond required.)

1207 (b) Buckwheat, pound .....\$ .06  
1207 (c) Graham, pound ..... .028  
1208 Hominy, pound ..... .0215  
1209 Oatmeal, pound ..... .039  
1213 Peas, split, pound ..... .0575  
1215 (b) Sage size, pound..... .019  
1216 Wheat, rolled, pound..... .026

**17—ARATA & PETERS, INC.**

(No bond required.)

1011 (a) Apples, box .....\$ .96  
1011 (b) Apples, box ..... .98  
1057 (d) Navels, box ..... 4.50  
1057 (e) Navels, box ..... 4.50  
1059 Peaches, pound ..... .05  
1060 Pears, pound ..... .015  
1109 Cucumbers, dozen ..... .28  
1117 Peppers, chili, pound..... .08  
1118 Peppers, bell, pound..... .06  
1119 Peppers, dried, pound..... .24

**2—BAUMGARTEN BROS.**

(Bond fixed at \$1,000.)

1001 (a) Rounds, pound .....\$ .129  
1001 (b) Chucks, pound ..... .0618  
1005 Plates, pound ..... .0718  
1006 Shanks, pound ..... .014  
1007 (a) Loins, square, pound..... .22  
1007 (b) Loins, full, October, pound .22  
1014 Liver, pound ..... .075

1016	Yokes, pound .....	.074
1019	(a) Frankfurters, pound .....	.108

1—BAY CITY MARKET.

(Bond fixed at \$100.)

1007	(b) Loins, full, November and December, pound .....	\$ .22
------	---	--------

24—F. E. BOOTH CO.

(No bond required.)

1029	Crabs, dozen .....	\$ 3.50
------	--------------------	---------

47—M. J. BRANDENSTEIN & CO.

(No bond required.)

1502	(a) Tea, A1 .....	\$ .25
1502	(b) Tea .....	.325

3—CALIFORNIA MEAT CO.

(Bond fixed at \$1,000.)

1003	Fore quarters, pound.....	\$ .0887
1008	Beef, 8-rib, pound.....	.1738
1009	Top rounds, pound.....	.1697
1011	Corned beef, pound.....	.0543
1021	Veal, pound .....	.1424

36—CEREAL PRODUCTS REFINING CORP.

(No bond required.)

1434	(a) Yeast, pound .....	\$ .25
1434	(b) Yeast, dozen cakes.....	.25

39—WILLIAM CLUFF COMPANY.

(Bond fixed at \$500.)

1210	Oats, rolled, pound.....	\$ .0395
1310	Asparagus, canned, Cluff, dozen .....	3.85
1311	Corn, canned, Yosemite, dozen .....	1.10
1322	Currants, pound .....	.15
1326	Prunes, pound .....	.094
1327	(b) Raisins, pound .....	.08
1402	Chicory, pound .....	.1025
1403	Chocolate, pound .....	.1675
1408	(b) Knox's, doz. pkg.....	8.76
1411	(a) Lipton's, dozen .....	.86
1411	(a) Jell Well, 18 oz. cartons..	.40
1413	(a) Sego, dozen .....	1.04
1413	(b) Borden's, dozen .....	2.05
1413	(c) Horlick's, jar .....	2.67
1416	(a) Almonds, pound .....	.22
1416	(b) Walnuts, pound .....	.19
1417	(c) Crispi, gallon .....	2.25
1418	(b) Ripe, gallon .....	.70
1421	(a) Rock, cwt. ....	.475
1421	(b) Table, cwt. ....	1.15
1423	(b) L. & P., dozen.....	2.98
1431	(a) Sugar, cwt. ....	6.03
1503	Allspice, pound .....	.135
1505	(a) Bark, pound .....	.21
1506	(a) Ground, pound .....	.39
1507	Curry, dozen .....	3.50
1508	Lemon, dozen .....	11.40
1509	Vanilla, dozen .....	8.35
1510	Ginger, pound .....	.175
1512	(a) Colman's, pound .....	.88
1513	Nutmegs, pound .....	.26
1514	(a) Pepper, pound .....	.18
1514	(c) Pepper, pound .....	.1125
1514	(d) Pepper, pound .....	.3075
1514	(e) Pepper, pound .....	.275
1514	(f) Pepper, pound .....	.27
1514	(g) Pepper, pound .....	.25

45—DODGE, SWEENEY & CO.

(No bond required.)

1215	(a) Pearl, pound .....	\$ .05
1301	(a) Apples, canned, dozen....	1.85
1302	(a) Apricots, canned, dozen..	2.19
1302	(b) Apricots, canned, dozen..	7.39
1303	(a) Peaches, canned, dozen..	2.01
1303	(b) Peaches, canned, dozen..	7.23

1320	Apples, pound .....	.097
1327	(c) Raisins, pound .....	.102
1401	(a) Lima, pound .....	.064
1401	(c) Small white, pound.....	.0489
1410	In 6-oz. tumblers, dozen.....	.89
1421	(c) Table, cwt. ....	.75
1431	(b) Extra C, cwt. ....	6.00
1431	(c) Powdered, cwt. ....	7.25

14—HAAS BROTHERS.

(No bond required.)

1303	(d) Peaches, Palace, dozen...\$	6.15
1401	(b) Beans, pink, pound.....	.0195
1409	Jams, Oakleaf, dozen.....	2.35
1411	Mincedmeat, pound .....	.1378
1419	Oysters, Palace, dozen.....	2.55
1432	(a) V. Dare, pound.....	.56
1432	(b) Seashell, pound .....	.56
1432	(c) Queen Quality, dozen.....	.99

4—JOHN HAYDEN.

(Bond fixed at \$500.)

1010	Beef, cuts, pound.....	\$ .1949
1017	Pork, pound .....	.2175

12—FRED L. HILMER CO.

(Bond fixed at \$1,000.)

1034	Eggs, dozen .....	\$ .42
1034 1/2	Eggs, dozen .....	.28
1051	Cranberries, barrel .....	15.00

42—HOOPER & JENNINGS.

(a Corporation.)

(Bond fixed at \$500.)

1205	Crackers, pound .....	\$ .1118
1214	Rice, pound .....	.049
1303	(c) Peaches, canned, dozen...	1.90
1305	Pineapple, canned, dozen.....	2.95
1312	(b) Peas, Shasta, dozen.....	5.798
1313	(a) String Beans, Silver Star, dozen .....	1.098
1313	(b) String Beans, Silver Star, dozen .....	4.95
1314	(b) Tomatoes, solid pack, doz.	4.798
1327	(d) Sultana, pound .....	.0998
1408	(a) Sultana, 1 1/2-oz. pkgs, doz.	1.798
1415 1/2	Napkins, 1000 .....	.57
1420	(a) Chow-chow, dozen .....	2.148
1420	(c) In vinegar, keg .....	1.73
1501	Coffee, pound .....	.20
1506	(b) Cingalee, pound .....	.393
1511	Mace Cingalee, pound.....	.532

29—H. C. LONG SYRUP CO.

(No bond required.)

1430	(b) Maple, gallon .....	\$ 1.15
------	-------------------------	---------

30—LYONS CALIFORNIA GLACE FRUIT CO.

(Bond fixed at \$100.)

1430	(a) Golden, gallon .....	\$ 0.436
------	--------------------------	----------

6—MILLER & LUX INC.

(Bond fixed at \$500.)

1012	Ham, M. & L., pound.....	\$ .26
1013	(a) Lard, pound .....	.15
1015	Mutton, pound .....	.17
1019	(b) Clubhouse, pound .....	.16

9—H. MOFFAT CO.

(Bond fixed at \$1,000.)

1002	Beef, pound .....	\$ .132
------	-------------------	---------

25—NATIONAL ICE CREAM CO.

(No bond required.)

1035	(a) Ice Cream, gallon.....	\$ 1.50
1035	(b) Ice Cream, gallon.....	1.30
1035	(c) Ice Cream, gallon.....	1.40

## 15—C. NAUMAN &amp; CO., INC.

(Bond fixed at \$500.)

1057 (a) Oranges, box .....	\$ 8.63
1057 (b) Oranges, box .....	8.63
1057 (c) Oranges, box .....	8.63
1100 Artichokes, dozen .....	.36
1103 Brussels sprouts, pound.....	.048
1122 Spinach, pound .....	.0182
1132 Potatoes, sweet, pound.....	.0202

## 41—J. H. NEWBAUER &amp; CO.

(No bond required.)

1204 Cracker meal .....	\$ .096
1312 (a) Peas, canned, Better Buy, dozen .....	1.25
1417 (d) Cottonseed, gallon .....	1.00

## 16—OLIVA BROS.

(Bond fixed at \$500.)

1011 Blackberries, pound .....	\$ .12
1015 Cantaloupes, crate .....	2.00
1055 (a) Grapefruit, box .....	4.25
1055 (b) Grapefruit, box .....	4.25
1102 Beets, pound .....	.0123
1105 Carrots, pound .....	.0123
1106 Cauliflower, dozen .....	.63
1107 Celery, bunch .....	.22
1110 Garlic, pound .....	.06
1112 Lettuce, dozen .....	.30
1111 Parsley, dozen .....	.12
1115 Parsnips, pound .....	.017
1120 Radishes, dozen bunches.....	.325
1121 Rhubarb, pound .....	.058
1124 Squash, pound .....	.0525
1127 Turnips, pound .....	.012

## 23—A. PALADINI, INC.

(No bond required.)

1027 (c) Fish, pound .....	\$ .03
----------------------------	--------

## 31—JOHN G. RAPP.

(No bond required.)

1036 (c) Milk, inspected, gallon...\$	.40
---------------------------------------	-----

## 32—RICHARDS &amp; WAGNER.

(No bond required.)

1036 (d) Goat milk, gallon.....\$	.65
-----------------------------------	-----

## 19—SAN FRANCISCO DAIRY CO.

(Bond fixed at \$1,000.)

1036 (a) Milk, gallon .....	\$ .38½
1036 (b) Milk, quart .....	.10
1037 (a) Cream, bottle .....	.80
1037 (b) Cream, bottle .....	.13

## 20—SAN FRANCISCO INTERNATIONAL FISH CO.

(No bond required.)

1028 Clams, per C. ....	\$ 1.50
-------------------------	---------

## 13—SHERRY BROS., INC.

(Bond fixed at \$1,000.)

1031 (a) Butter, pound .....	\$ .439
1031 (b) Butter, pound .....	.49
1032 Butter, pound .....	.424
1033 (a) Cheese, pound .....	.219
1033 (b) Cheese, pound .....	.234

## 40—SMITH, LYNDEN &amp; CO.

(Bond fixed at \$500.)

1001 (a) Bacon, salt; Capital, lb...\$	.2774
1001 (b) Bacon, sugar; Capital, lb.	.2849
1013 (b) Lard, leaf, pound .....	.1219
1013 (c) Lard, compound, pound..	.1079
1211 Paste; credit 30 cents for empty 50-lb. boxes returned, pound .....	.0599

1301 (b) Apples, canned, Cottage,  
dozen .....

4.49

1301 (a) Pears, Cottage, dozen...	2.88
1301 (b) Pears, Cottage, dozen...	9.24

1314 (a) Tomatoes, solid pack,  
California Beauty, dozen.....

1.44

1314 (c) Tomatoes, puree, Dia-  
mond P., dozen .....

2.74

1321 Apricots, pound .....	.2199
----------------------------	-------

1323 Figs, pound .....	.1149
------------------------	-------

1321 Peaches, pound .....	.1099
---------------------------	-------

1325 Pears, pound .....	.1099
-------------------------	-------

1327 (a) Raisins, pound .....	.1019
-------------------------------	-------

1404 Citron, pound .....	.4199
--------------------------	-------

1405 Coconut, pound .....	.1498
---------------------------	-------

1406 (b) Cod, pound .....	.0649
---------------------------	-------

1407 Cornstarch, pound .....	.0689
------------------------------	-------

1412 Mackerel, S. & L., kit.....	1.57
----------------------------------	------

1415 Molasses, gallon .....	.28
-----------------------------	-----

1417 (b) Olive oil, gallon.....	2.24
---------------------------------	------

1422 Sardines, Van Camp's, dozen.	1.39
-----------------------------------	------

1423 (a) Festival, dozen .....	1.69
--------------------------------	------

1433 (a) Vinegar, gallon .....	.2874
--------------------------------	-------

1504 Tyler's, pure, pound.....	.2989
--------------------------------	-------

1505 (b) Ground, pound .....	.1799
------------------------------	-------

1514 (b) Black, pound .....	.1399
-----------------------------	-------

## 18—SNOW &amp; ROTHBACK.

(Bond fixed at \$500.)

1043 Bananas, pound .....	\$ .0546
---------------------------	----------

1050 Casabas, crate .....	.84
---------------------------	-----

1054 Grapes, pound .....	.05
--------------------------	-----

1056 Lemons, dozen .....	.145
--------------------------	------

1101 Cabbage, pound .....	.0114
---------------------------	-------

1108 Corn, green, dozen.....	.25
------------------------------	-----

1113 Onions, young, in bunches, dozen .....	.35
--	-----

1126 Tomatoes, pound .....	.0375
----------------------------	-------

1130 Onions, dry, pound .....	.0083
-------------------------------	-------

1131 Potatoes, pound .....	.0104
----------------------------	-------

## 34—SPERRY FLOUR CO.

(Bond fixed at \$500.)

1201 Barley, pearl, pound.....\$	.049
----------------------------------	------

1203 Corn meal, pound .....	.02
-----------------------------	-----

1206 Farina, pound .....	.037
--------------------------	------

1207 (a) Flour, bbl. ....	5.70
---------------------------	------

## 43—WELLMAN, PECK &amp; CO.

(No bond required.)

1417 (a) Silver Thistle, qt.....\$	.625
------------------------------------	------

1418 (a) Silver Thistle, qt.....	.46
----------------------------------	-----

1420 (b) German salt, keg.....	1.945
--------------------------------	-------

1512 (b) Mustard, Wellman, lb....	.115
-----------------------------------	------

1515 Sage, Wellman's, pound ....	.16
----------------------------------	-----

## 22—WESTERN CALIFORNIA FISH CO.

(Bond fixed at \$100.)

1027 (a) Fish, pound .....	\$ .1198
----------------------------	----------

1027 (b) Fish, pound .....	.07
----------------------------	-----

## 8—WESTERN MEAT CO.

(Bond fixed at \$100.)

1018 Pork bellies, pound .....	\$ .175
--------------------------------	---------

1020 Tongues, pound .....	.25
---------------------------	-----

1022 Tripe, pound .....	.05
-------------------------	-----

1024 Roosters, pound .....	.31
----------------------------	-----

1025 Hens, pound .....	.32
------------------------	-----

Further Resolved, That the sufficiency of the sureties on the above enumerated bonds shall be subject to the approval of the Mayor.

Further Resolved, That all other bids submitted for said articles are hereby rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Mc-

Sheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—14.  
Absent—Supervisors Colman, Powers, Schmitz, Scott—4.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

Salary Warrants of John Tierney.  
Supervisor Hayden presented:  
Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Finance Committee report to this Board next Monday, October 9, 1922, their recommendation as to warrants for services of John Tierney, for months of July, August and September, 1922, as per contract with the Board of Public Works.

*Referred to Finance Committee:*

Mayor to Appoint Armistice Day Committee.

Supervisor Hayden presented, by Supervisor Colman:

Resolution No. 20336 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be requested to appoint a Citizens Committee to make arrangements for the appropriate celebration of Armistice Day, November 11, 1922.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Powers, Schmitz, Scott—4.

Mayor to Contract for Symphony Orchestra.

Supervisor Hayden presented:  
Resolution No. 20339 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and requested to enter into contract with the San Francisco Musical Association (Symphony Orchestra) for a series of concerts at the Exposition Auditorium during the coming season from November 22, 1922, to March 23, 1923.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Powers, Schmitz, Scott—4.

**Constitutional Amendments Disapproved.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:  
To the members of the Board of Supervisors:

Some time ago there was called to the attention of the Board that three amendments to the Constitution had been proposed by initiative that concerned the public welfare and particu-

larly affected the City and County most disadvantageously.

These three amendments propose:  
No. 11 on the ballot:

That all publicly-owned public utilities should be placed under the supervision and control of the State Railroad Commission, and that the people of the various cities and political subdivisions should have no voice in the management of their own property, nor what rates for service they should pay to themselves.

No. 30 on the ballot:

That all the cities and counties should surrender to the State Railroad Commission the right to grant franchises for the use of public streets and highways for transportation purposes; that all existing franchises could be surrendered and thereafter renewed with only such conditions as the Railroad Commission might see fit to impose.

No. 10 on the ballot:

That all publicly-owned public utilities furnishing transportation, light and power and telephone service should be taxed for state purposes.

It needs no argument to convince us that the adoption of these amendments would work irreparable injury to the people of this City and that they should be strenuously opposed.

For arguments against the adoption of these amendments, we refer to those printed in the pamphlet issued by the State, which will be found as follows:

Against No. 10 on the ballot on pages 75 and 76.

Against No. 11 on the ballot on page 77.

Against No. 30 on the ballot on page 135.

In addition the arguments may be emphasized as follows:

By the adoption of No. 10, the City would be compelled to pay to the State approximately \$160,000 a year from the earnings of the Municipal Railway, which would seriously cripple its ability to make needed extensions and betterments to the system.

In the event of the City's selling power from its Hetch Hetchy project, the revenue will be subject to a 7½ per cent tax. How much this will amount to cannot be foretold, but the effect of it will be to make rates higher or sensibly to reduce net revenue and possibly prevent a reduction of taxes.

In the event of the adoption of Amendment No. 11, the Railroad Commission would be enabled to tell us what rates we should pay to ourselves in order to receive an adequate return on the value of our own property.

In the event of the adoption of Amendment No. 30, all the existing street railway franchises might be sur-

rendered, the Railroad Commission could grant new ones without consulting the wishes of the people, creating new intangible values for which the City would have to pay compensation should it desire to take over the railroad systems in the City. Important franchises expire in seven years, and their extension would seriously handicap the City in its efforts to unify the existing systems and provide the extensions necessary to promote the growth of the City.

For the reasons given, the foregoing amendments should be defeated, and to aid in such defeat the following resolution is recommended to be adopted by the Board of Supervisors:

Resolution No. 20342 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that the defeat of the following constitutional amendments should be accomplished:

First: No. 10. Taxing publicly-owned public utilities.

Second: No. 11. Regulation of publicly-owned public utilities by the Railroad Commission.

Third: No. 30. Franchises to be granted by the Railroad Commission.

That all voters be requested to vote against all of these amendments.

That copies of the accompanying statement and resolution be printed, and copies thereof be sent to the legislative bodies of all counties and municipalities in the State, with a request that they take action thereon in similar manner; that copies be furnished to the press of the City, with a request for publication, and also that copies be furnished to local improvement clubs and other civic organizations.

Respectfully submitted,

JOSEPH MULVIHILL,

JOHN J. DAILEY,

Members for San Francisco of a State Committee of 50 appointed to oppose said constitutional amendments.

Adopted my the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Welch Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Powers, Schmitz, Scott—4.

#### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 5:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, November 6, 1922.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series), of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

.....  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, October 9, 1922.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



**THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY**

77 Sutter Street, S. F.

J

M

In

cisco

T

regi

T

ing

S

Hay

Mc

Rob

Well

Q

H

T

meet

and

read

ROL

Rela

For

B

C

Gov

bel

Pub

fort

tence

Re

R

Par

C

of

C

mis

mon

men

par

R

C

ma

tion

ded

and

Ull

lob

F

C

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, OCTOBER 9, 1922, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, October 9, 1922, 2 p. m.  
The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of August 28, September 5 and September 11 were considered read and *approved*.

### ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Relative to Contract of John Tierney, Former Assistant Superintendent of Buildings.

*Communication*—From Bureau of Governmental Research, expressing its belief that contract of the Board of Public Works with John Tierney, former Assistant Building Superintendent, is illegal and in violation of "Retirement Law."

Read and ordered *filed*.

Park Commission Opposes Proposed Charter Amendment Permitting Lease of Sub-Park Space.

*Communication*—From Park Commission, declaring that it is unanimously opposed to Charter Amendment permitting the leasing of sub-park space for parking purposes.

Read and *filed*.

### Parkside School Dedication.

*Communication*—From F. Dohrmann, Jr., president Board of Education, inviting Board of Supervisors to dedication exercises of the new Parkside School at Twenty-fifth avenue and Ulloa street, at 1:30 p. m. Sunday, October 15, 1922.

Read and *accepted*.

### Moving Picture Film Ordinance.

*Communication*—From Board of Fire

Wardens, S. F. F. D., reiterating its former approval and recommendation for the passage of an ordinance regulating the manufacture, printing, developing, keeping, storing or use of motion picture films in San Francisco.

*Referred to Buildings Committee.*

### Moving Picture Film Ordinance.

*Communication*—From Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety, recommending the enactment of an ordinance regulating the keeping, storage, etc., of moving picture films.

*Referred to Public Buildings Committee.*

### Clement Street Underground District.

*Communication*—From Pt. Lobos Improvement Club, requesting that light, telephone and telegraph wires on Clement street be placed underground.

*Referred to Lighting and Electricity Committee.*

Leave of Absence, J. W. Jackson, Election Commissioner.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., Oct. 7, 1922.

To the Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, Cal.

Dear Sirs:

Application has been made to me by Hon. J. W. Jackson, Election Commissioner, for a leave of absence, with permission to go out of the State of California, for a period of thirty days, commencing October 10, 1922.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Respectfully yours,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,

Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 20360 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. J. W. Jackson, Election Commissioner, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing October 10, 1922, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

## HEARING APPEAL—3 P. M.

## Yosemite Avenue.

Hearing appeal of property owners from the action and decision of the Board of Public Works in overruling the objection of property owners to the improvement of Yosemite avenue between Mendell street and the westerly line of Third street, including the crossing of Yosemite avenue and Lane street, as provided in Resolution of Intention No. 74249 (Second Series), fixed for 3 p. m. this day.

Clerk asked if there were any property owners present who wanted to be heard. If the Gardner Estate or the Bank of California had representatives present?

No response.

## Appeal Denied and Work Ordered.

Whereupon Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20356 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the appeal of property owners from the action and decision of the Board of Public Works in overruling the objections of property owners to the improvement of Yosemite avenue between Mendell street and the westerly line of Third street, including the crossing of Yosemite avenue and Lane street, as provided in Resolution of Intention No. 74249 (Second Series) is hereby denied and the work ordered.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the following bill was passed for printing:

## Ordering Street Work, Yosemite Avenue.

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill:

Bill No. 6151, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 12, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in con-

formity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Yosemite avenue between Mendell street and the westerly line of Third street, including the crossing of Yosemite avenue and Lane street, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the crossing of Yosemite avenue and Lane street; by the construction of three brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch iron-stone pipe culverts on the above-mentioned crossing and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

The method of assessment for said improvements determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No. 74495 (Second Series) is hereby confirmed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

## Method of Assessment Confirmed, Yosemite Avenue.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20357 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the method of assessment for the improvement of Yosemite avenue between Mendell street and the westerly line of Third street, including the crossing of Yosemite avenue and Lane street, determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No. 74249 (Second Series), be and the same is hereby confirmed.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

### Employment of Stenographic Reporter.

*His Honor Mayor Rolph* raised the question as to who was responsible for the employment of Mr. Ernest Mott to take stenographic notes of the discussions in the Board and whether or not transcripts of such proceedings were being furnished to others outside of the municipal government.

*Supervisor McLeran* explained that Mr. Mott was employed by the Finance Committee and that nobody was to be given a transcript of the proceedings without a majority vote of the Board.

Mr. E. Mott, the stenographic reporter, was sworn by his Honor the Mayor to make true, correct and complete notes of the discussions of the Board and then His Honor the Mayor requested that if transcripts of the proceedings were furnished to anyone that he also be furnished with the same transcripts.

*So ordered.*

*Supervisor Hayden* moved that the Mayor's office be furnished with transcript of stenographic notes from the beginning.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

### REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.

The following committees, by their respective chairmen, presented reports on various matters referred, which reports were read and ordered *filed*:

Supplies Committee, by Supervisor Hilmer, chairman.

Fire Committee, by Supervisor Deasy, chairman.

Streets and Commercial Development Committee, by Supervisor Mulvihill, chairman.

Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee, by Supervisor Morgan, chairman.

Health Committee, by Supervisor McSheehy, chairman.

### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

#### Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

#### Authorizations.

Resolution No. 20342 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(1) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., steel, hardware, etc., Hetch Hetchy Water Construction (claim dated Sept. 25, 1922), \$569.06.

(2) Blaw-Knox Co., steel forms for

concrete work (claim dated Sept. 26, 1922), \$8,133.33.

(3) Bethlehem Shipbuilding Corp., Ltd., shafts and chain cases (claim dated Sept. 25, 1922), \$872.52.

(4) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers attached (claim dated Sept. 26, 1922), \$884.02.

(5) Sierra Railway Co. of Cal., railroad car service during July (claim dated Sept. 25, 1922), \$4,139.03.

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(6) Alex Coleman, fourth payment, plumbing, North Beach (Galileo) High School (claim dated Sept. 27, 1922), \$3,570.30.

(7) Emil Hogberg, fourth payment, brick and hollow tile, Mission High School Addition (claim dated Sept. 27, 1922), \$2,250.

*Special School Tax, 1922-1923.*

(8) M. E. Ryan, first payment, electric work, Oral Deaf School (claim dated Sept. 27, 1922), \$747.15.

*Tearing Up Streets Fund.*

(9) T. M. Gallagher, repaving over sidesewer trenches (claim dated Sept. 20, 1922), \$1,219.45.

*Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.*

(10) Continental Construction Co., fourth payment, installing poles and conductors, Taraval street line (claim dated Sept. 26, 1922), \$2,330.

(11) Charlotte McKenzie, settlement of claim on account of personal injuries (claim dated Sept. 26, 1922), \$1,250.

*General Fund, 1922-1923.*

(12) Phillips & Van Orden, ballot paper to Department of Elections (claim dated Sept. 27, 1922), \$624.05.

(13) Wilbur Smith, one Lincoln 7-passenger and four Ford motor cars, less allowance for two Marmon motor cars, for use of Police Department (claim dated Sept. 25, 1922), \$4,419.24.

(14) Chester N. Weaver Co., two Studebaker motor cars, for Police Department (claim dated Sept. 25, 1922), \$2,469.80.

(15) Greer-Robbins Co., two Hupmobile motor cars, for Police Department (claim dated Sept. 25, 1922), \$2,792.30.

(16) Associated Oil Co., gasoline for Police Department (claim dated Sept. 25, 1922), \$659.85.

(17) Producers Hay Co., a'l'a'fa and bran, Relief Home (claim dated Sept. 23, 1922), \$1,062.70.

(18) Napa State Hospital, maintenance of criminal insane for quarter ending Sept. 30 (claim dated Oct. 2, 1922), \$540.

(19) Eaton & Smith, final payment, improvement of Key avenue between Jennings street and Railroad avenue (claim dated Sept. 26, 1922), \$1,370.69.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman,

Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Appropriation, \$3,837. Payment to Lillian M. Hall for Land for Pioneer Park and Telegraph Hill Boulevard.

Resolution No. 20343 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$3,837 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Telegraph Hill Improvement," Budget Item No. 66, and authorized in payment to Lillian M. Hall; being payment for property required for the extension of Pioneer Park and Telegraph Hill boulevard construction; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 20311, New Series (claim dated Sept. 28, 1922).

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Appropriation, \$816.98. Payment to Crocker National Bank, Fiscal Agent of San Francisco in New York.

Resolution No. 20344 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$816.98 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, Fiscal Year 1922-1923, and authorized in payment to The Crocker National Bank of San Francisco; being payment covering New York exchange and clerk hire as fiscal agents for the City and County in bond and interest payments (claim dated Sept. 22, 1922).

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Appropriation, \$11,414.71. City's Portion of Cost of Improving San Jose Avenue.

Resolution No. 20345 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$11,414.71 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for payment of City's portion of cost of improvement of San Jose avenue between Ottawa and Sickles avenues, under public contract by A. J. Raisch, assignee of Raisch Improvement Company.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Appropriation, \$2,500. Salaries, Additional Clerks, Justices' Clerk's Office.

Resolution No. 20346 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$2,500 be and the same is hereby set aside, ap-

propriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, Fiscal Year 1922-1923, for payment of salaries to two additional clerks employed in the Justices' Clerk's office, as provided for by Ordinance No. 5683 (New Series), from September 1, 1922, to June 30, 1923, at the rate of \$1,500 per year.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Garage, Auto Supply and Oil Storage Permits.

Resolution No. 20347 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

*Public Garage.*

A. J. Rose, at the southeast corner of Valencia and Twenty-sixth streets.

*Automobile Supply Station.*

C. M. Langdon, at northeast corner of Hyde and O'Farrell streets, also to store 1000 gallons of gasoline.

*Oil Storage Tank.*

(1500 gallons capacity.)

James H. Pinkerton Co., at northwest corner of California and Gough streets.

Oriental M. E. Home, at 940 Washington street.

American Type Foundry Co., on north side of Howard street, 200 feet west of First street.

K. Gluck, on north side of Washington street, 159 feet west of Polk street.

F. Stick, on east side of Jones street, 100 feet north of Sutter street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Additional Positions Ordinance Amended, Juvenile Detention Home.

Bill No. 6129, Ordinance No. 5709 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), known as the "Ordinance of Additional Positions," by adding a new subdivision to Section 21 thereof, to be designated (s).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), known as the "Ordinance of Additional Positions," is hereby amended by adding a new subdivision to Section 21 thereof, to be designated (s), to read as follows:

*Juvenile Detention Home.*

(s) One clerk-stenographer, at a salary of \$1,500 a year.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect as of October 1, 1922.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Building Law Amendment, Steel Joists in Special Class "B" Buildings.**

Bill No. 6130, Ordinance No. 5710 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as the "Building Law" of the City and County of San Francisco, approved December 22, 1909, by adding a new section thereto, to be numbered Section 120a, relating to steel joist floor and roof construction in special Class "B" buildings.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as the "Building Law," is hereby amended by adding a new section thereto, and numbered Section 120a and to read as follows:

Section 120a. Steel joist floor and roof construction in special Class "B" buildings.

Formed steel joist floor and roof construction shall be permitted anywhere in the City for dwellings, office buildings, apartment houses, tenement houses, hotels, hospitals requiring not more than forty (40) pounds live load per square foot of superficial surface, when supported on a steel frame complying with the requirements of Sections 48, 49 and 50 of the "Building Law of the City and County of San Francisco," and fireproofed according to the provisions of Sections 104 and 105 of the building law.

Steel frame tie beams used in this construction shall have a depth at least one-twenty-fourth (1/24) of their span, and shall be no lighter than 6"-I-12-1/2 section. They shall be riveted to the columns with at least four (4) 3/4-inch rivets.

Metal joists shall meet the requirements specified for unit stresses in Section 48 of the building law and their maximum spacing shall not exceed twenty-four (24) inches center to center. Tension bridging shall be spaced at not more than six (6) foot intervals measured along the joists.

Ribbed metal lath shall be secured to the tops of the joists. This lath shall be no lighter than twenty-four (24) gauge and shall have the minimum sectional areas specified in Section 113-B of the building law for slab reinforcement. This lath shall constitute the reinforcing for the concrete slab.

The lath shall be covered with a con-

crete slab at least two (2) inches thick for all roofs, and for the floors of dwellings, apartment houses, tenements and hotels. Floor slabs of office buildings, hospitals and other buildings shall have two and one-half (2 1/2) inches minimum thickness.

The under surface of the joists shall be fireproofed with a layer of twenty-four (24) gauge metal lath, plastered with a seven-eighths (7/8) inch thickness of cement plaster, and this fireproofing shall be securely fastened to or suspended from the joists.

Formed steel joist floor construction shall be limited to eight stories above the sidewalk.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Amending Building Law, General Limitation of Area in Class "C" Buildings.**

Bill No. 6131, Ordinance No. 5711 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 80 of Ordinance No. 1008, approved December 22, 1909, and known as the "Building Law" of the City and County of San Francisco, relating to the general limitations of area in Class "C" buildings.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 80 of Ordinance No. 1008, known as the "Building Law," is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 80. No restriction is placed on the floor area of buildings of Class "A" and Class "B" construction.

In buildings of Class "C," wherever built, provided such buildings are erected and constructed on corner lots or on inside lots running through from street to street, or on lots on which private rights of way 50 feet or more in width and connecting with the bounding street or streets, and which rights of way provide the equivalent of a corner lot or an inside lot running through from street to street and not exceeding one story and basement in height, no single floor area between exterior division or party walls shall exceed nineteen thousand (19,000) square feet. Where Class "C" buildings are erected or constructed on a lot other than a corner lot, or lot extending through from street to street, the area shall not exceed ten thousand (10,000) square feet; provided, however, should such building be erected on such a lot and be completely equipped with a system of automatic sprinklers in a manner approved by the Board of Fire Underwriters of the Pacific, the said area may be increased to not exceed fifteen thousand (15,000)

square feet. No wall or part of wall in any such existing building or in any such building hereafter erected may be removed to produce a larger area than herein allowed.

Frame buildings erected on any lot shall not exceed the area of 10,000 square feet.

None of the herein designated buildings nor any part hereof shall be used as a place of storage, keeping or handling of any combustible article except under the conditions prescribed by the ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco.

Sheds limited in area to fifteen hundred (1500) square feet shall be permitted in the fire limits, provided they conform to the requirements of Section 156 of the "Building Law."

Attic or unfinished space between the ceiling and roof rafters of every Class "C" or frame building, shall be divided into compartments or rooms in order to prevent the rapid progress of fire. Such compartments shall not have a floor area of more than twenty-five hundred (2500) square feet.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Amendment to Building Law, Two-Story Dwellings.

Bill No. 6132, Ordinance No. 5712 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series) and known as the "Building Law" of the City and County of San Francisco, by adding a new section thereto to be known as Section No. 133b, relating to the construction of two-story dwellings.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series) known as the "Building Law" is hereby amended, by adding a new section thereto and numbered Section No. 133b.

#### *Two-Story Dwellings.*

Section 133b. Bearing walls for any building used exclusively for residential purposes, when constructed of brick, stone, hollow tile, hollow cement blocks, hollow interlocking tile or plain concrete, shall be not less than nine inches wide, provided that no portion of such nine-inch walls shall extend below the ground level.

No such building shall exceed two stories in height, and the combined height of both stories shall not exceed eighteen feet.

The foundation walls of such buildings shall be not less than thirteen inches wide with a footing not less

than twenty-one inches wide with regular offsets of two inches each until the thirteen-inch wall is reached.

Bond irons three-eighths of an inch thick and three inches wide, securely linked or bolted together and extending clear around the building, shall be placed beneath the second-floor joist and beneath the second-story ceiling joist when fire walls are constructed.

A suitable number of one-half inch wrought iron anchors, extending into the walls, shall be securely fastened to the second-story floor joist and to the second-story ceiling joist when fire walls are constructed.

When no fire walls are constructed the rafters and second-story ceiling joist shall be securely spiked to a wooden wall plate not less than two inches thick and six inches wide, securely bolted to the bearing walls.

All main cross partitions shall be bolted to the exterior walls with three-eighths inch bolts, two of such bolts shall be spaced equally between the floor and ceiling in each partition of each story.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Amendment to Building Law, One-Story Dwellings.

Bill No. 6133, Ordinance No. 5713 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as the "Building Law" of the City and County of San Francisco, by adding a new section thereto, and to be known as Section 133a, relating to the construction of one-story dwellings.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as the "Building Law" is hereby amended, by adding a new section thereto and numbered Section No. 133a, to read as follows:

#### *One-Story Dwellings.*

Section 133a. Bearing walls for any one-story building used exclusively for residential purposes, when constructed of brick, stone, hollow tile, hollow cement blocks, hollow interlocking tile or plain concrete, shall be not less than six inches wide, provided that no portion of such six-inch wall shall extend below the ground level.

The basement or foundation walls of such buildings shall be not less than thirteen inches wide with a footing not less than twenty-one inches wide with regular offsets of two inches each until the thirteen-inch wall is reached.

Bond irons three-eighths of an inch

thick and three inches wide securely linked or bolted together and extending clear around the building shall be placed beneath the ceiling joist when fire walls are constructed.

A suitable number of one-half inch wrought iron anchors extending into the walls shall be securely fastened to the ceiling joist when fire walls are constructed.

When no fire walls are constructed the rafters and ceiling joist shall be securely spiked to a wooden wall plate not less than two inches thick and four inches wide securely bolted to the bearing walls.

All main cross partitions shall be bolted to the exterior walls with three-eighths-inch bolts, two of such bolts shall be spaced equally between the floor and ceiling of each partition.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Amendment to Building Law, Private Garages.

Bill No. 6134, Ordinance No. 5714 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series) and known as the "Building Law" of the City and County of San Francisco, by adding a new section thereto, and to be known as Section No. 133c relating to the construction of private garages.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as the "Building Law" is hereby amended, by adding a new section thereto, and numbered Section No. 133c, to read as follows:

#### *Private Garages.*

Section 133c. Bearing walls for any garage when built in connection with a residence the capacity of which shall not exceed 250 square feet when built of brick, stone, hollow tile, hollow cement blocks, hollow interlocking tile or plain concrete shall be not less than six inches wide, provided that no portion of such six-inch wall shall extend below the ground level.

The foundation walls of such garages shall be not less than nine inches wide with a footing not less than thirteen inches wide.

Bond irons three-eighths of an inch and three inches wide securely linked or bolted together and extending clear around the building shall be placed beneath the ceiling joist when firewalls are constructed.

A suitable number of one-half inch wrought iron anchors extending into the walls shall be securely fastened

to the ceiling joist when firewalls are constructed.

When no firewalls are constructed the rafters and ceiling joist shall be securely spiked to a wooden wall plate not less than two inches thick and four inches wide securely bolted to the bearing walls.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Spur Track Permit.

Bill No. 6135, Ordinance No. 5715 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to the Los Angeles Soap Co. to construct, maintain and operate a spur track to enter the property at the northeast corner of Second and Brannan streets, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to the Los Angeles Soap Co. to construct, maintain and operate a spur track as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in the existing spur track in Second street, distant southerly thereon 112 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Bryant street produced; thence on a curve to the left having a radius of 252.35 feet for a distance of 31.59 feet; thence in a southerly direction 65 feet, more or less, to a point; thence on a curve to the left having a radius of 573.14 feet for a distance of 62 feet, more or less; thence in a southeasterly direction crossing De Boom street for a distance of 132 feet, more or less, to a point on the southerly line of De Boom street, distant easterly thereon 2½ feet, more or less, from the easterly line of Second street; thence continuing southeasterly into private property.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as complete as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by Los Angeles Soap Co.

Provided, that the Los Angeles Soap Co. shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps, to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Spur Track Permit.

Bill No. 6136, Ordinance No. 5716 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to McNab & Smith to construct, maintain and operate a spur track to enter the property on north line of Brannan street between Seventh and Eighth streets, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to McNab & Smith to construct, maintain and operate a spur track as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in the center line of the Western Pacific Railroad Company's spur track serving the National Carbon Company in Block 411 in the City of San Francisco, California, said point being in Eighth street about 20 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of Eighth street; thence diverging on a curve to the right about 200 feet and crossing the southwesterly line of Brannan street about 70 feet northeasterly of the northeasterly line of Eighth street; thence along Brannan street about 150 feet; thence on a curve to the left about 230 feet and crossing the northwesterly line of Brannan street about 460 feet from the northeasterly line of Eighth street; thence on a curve to the right about 70 feet; thence in a northwesterly direction about 310 feet to a point on the southwesterly line of Bryant street about 320 feet from the southwesterly line of Seventh street and about 1060 feet from the point of beginning.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted, and shall be construed as a part hereof as complete as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration

of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by McNab & Smith.

Provided, that girder rails be used on that portion of the track that is constructed on Brannan street, and that the required clearance be maintained at obstructions; that the six-foot sewer in Brannan street be reinforced where the track crosses and that the existing manhole be kept clear or reconstructed; that all pavement disturbed be reconstructed, and the granite curb removed be hauled to the corporation yard.

Provided, that McNab & Smith shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps, to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Establishing Grades, Ewer Place.

Bill No. 6137, Ordinance No. 5717 (New Series), entitled "Establishing grades on Ewer place between Mason street and a line parallel with and 137 feet 6 inches westerly therefrom."

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Establishing Grades, Ade Alley.

Bill No. 6138, Ordinance No. 5718 (New Series), entitled "Establishing grades on Ade alley between Jackson street and a line parallel with and 137 feet 6 inches northerly therefrom."

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Ordering Plans, etc., Extension Army Street Sewer.

Bill No. 6139, Ordinance No. 5719 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for the construction of a reinforced concrete extension to the Army street sewer from its present termination at Kansas and Marin streets easterly to the Islais Creek channel; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for the construction of said sewer and permitting progressive payments to be made during the progress of the work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and

specifications for and to enter into contract for the construction of a reinforced concrete extension to the Army street sewer from its present termination at Kansas and Marin streets easterly to the Islais Creek channel, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for the construction of said sewer conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications and as provided by Section 21, Chapter 1, Article VI of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Ordering Street Work, Forty-fifth Avenue.**

Bill No. 6140, Ordinance No. 5720 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 7, 1920, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The improvement of *Forty-fifth avenue from Judah street to Kirkham street*, by the construction of the following vitrified, salt-glazed, ironstone pipe sewers and appurtenances, a 12-inch, 24 Y branches and six side sewers along the center line of Forty-fifth avenue between the southerly line of Judah street and a point 300 feet northerly from the northerly line of Kirkham street; an 8-inch with 24 Y branches, one side sewer and two brick manholes, with castiron frames and covers and galvanized wrought iron

steps along the center line of Forty-fifth avenue between the last described point and a point 20 feet northerly from the northerly line of Kirkham street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Ordering Street Work, Ware Street.**

Bill No. 6141, Ordinance No. 5721 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 10, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Ware street between the northeasterly line of San Bruno avenue and the southerly line of Paul avenue*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman,

Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Ordering Street Work, Flood Avenue.**

Bill No. 6142, Ordinance No. 5722 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 12, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Flood avenue between Detroit street and Congo street*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs and an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Ordering Street Work, Folsom Street.**

Bill No. 6143, Ordinance No. 5723 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and

County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 8, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The improvement of *Folsom street from Crescent avenue to a line 150 feet southerly*, by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with 14 Y branches and one brick manhole with appurtenances along the center line of Folsom street from the southerly line of Crescent avenue to a point 150 feet southerly therefrom.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Ordering Street Work, Ocean Avenue.**

Bill No. 6144, Ordinance No. 5724 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 10, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in

its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The improvement of the *southerly side of Ocean avenue between Harold and Ashlen avenues*, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width, where artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width are not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Ordering Street Work, Thirty-seventh Avenue.

Bill No. 6145, Ordinance No. 5725 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 8, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The method of assessment for the work and improvement determined by the Board of Public Works by its Reso-

lution No. 73050 (Second Series) is hereby confirmed.

The improvement of *Thirty-seventh avenue between Geary and Anza streets*, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks for the full official width in front of city property, and six feet in width on the remainder of the sidewalk area thereof, except where artificial stone sidewalks are already constructed; by the construction of a central strip of vertical fiber brick pavement 14 feet in width and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the remainder thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Ordering Street Work, Trumbull Street.

Bill No. 6146, Ordinance No. 5726 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 8, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all

deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Trumbull street* between the easterly line of *Mission street* and the easterly line of *Congdon street*, including the crossing of *Trumbull street* and *Craut street* and the crossing of *Trumbull* and *Congdon streets*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of the following brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, four (4) on the crossing of *Craut street* and three (3) on the crossing of *Congdon street*; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners of the above mentioned crossings, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

The method of assessment for said improvements determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No. 74112 (Second Series) is hereby confirmed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Ordering Street Work, *Buchanan Street*.

Bill No. 6147, Ordinance No. 5727 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 8, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment

to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Buchanan street* between *Hermann street* and *Duboce avenue*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of an eight (8) inch ironstone pipe sewer, 24 Y branches and 2 manholes along the center line of *Buchanan street* from a point twenty (20) feet southerly from *Hermann street* to a point three (3) feet northerly from the northerly line of *Duboce avenue*; thence in a southwesterly direction to the existing manhole in the center line of *Duboce avenue* five (5) feet westerly from the westerly line of *Buchanan street*; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of a central strip of verticle fiber brick pavement, fourteen (14) feet in width, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the remainder of the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Action Deferred.

The following resolution heretofore passed for printing was taken up and on motion laid over one week:

Appropriation, \$5,000. Live Stock Show. Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$5,000 to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, fiscal year 1922, by the Board of Public Works for the construction of certain buildings and improvements at Twelfth and Market streets to be used for a Live Stock Exhibition, to be held October 14 to October 20.

The Clerk was instructed to get the City Attorney's opinion as to the legality of the above appropriation out of the Urgent Necessity Fund.

#### Action Deferred.

The following resolution, heretofore passed for printing, was taken up and on motion laid over one week:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Granting *Eaton & Smith* permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on *Collingwood street* between *Twentieth* and *Twenty-second streets*, etc., for grading

purposes, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000.00), as fixed by the Board of Public Works, and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided also that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction and under the supervision of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by the said Eaton & Smith, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

#### PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print and amounting to \$44,687.66, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### *Urgent Necessity.*

The Tablet & Ticket Co., directory service, City Hall, \$90.

Pacific Telephone & Telegraph Co., official outside phones, \$3.15.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### NEW BUSINESS.

##### *Auditorium Rental.*

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 20348 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following organizations be granted permission to occupy halls in the Auditorium, deposits having been paid to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to guarantee the rental fees:

Improved Order of Red Men, use of Main Hall January 20, 1923, 6 p. m. to 12 p. m., for the purpose of holding a dance.

Musicians Union, use of Main and Polk halls November 9, 1922, 6 p. m. to 12 p. m., for the purpose of holding a concert and dance.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

*Passed for Printing.*

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

#### Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McLeran:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

##### *Park Fund.*

(1) Spring Valley Water Co., labor and material furnished Lake Merced links (claim dated Oct. 6, 1922), \$6,006.24.

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(2) The Etherton Co., ninth payment, general construction, Adams School (claim dated Oct. 4, 1922), \$1,682.89.

(3) M. B. McGowan, first payment, brick and hollow tile, North Beach (Galileo) High School (claim dated Oct. 4, 1922), \$3,738.75.

(4) M. B. McGowan, second payment, brick and terra cotta, Columbus School (claim dated Oct. 4, 1922), \$4,997.85.

(5) The Scott Co., fourth payment, plumbing, Mission High School Addition (claim dated Oct. 4, 1922), \$1,191.27.

*Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.*

(6) American Car Co., first payment, street car trucks, contract 125, Sec. "B", Municipal Railways (claim dated Oct. 4, 1922), \$5,906.25.

(7) American Car Co., first payment, street car bodies, contract 125, Sec. "A", Municipal Railways (claim dated Oct. 4, 1922), \$15,561.

(8) United States Steel Products Co., final payment, track material, contract 127, Secs. "A" and "B" (claim dated Oct. 4, 1922), \$1,386.24.

*Municipal Railway Fund.*

(9) Street Repair Department, Board of Public Works, reconstruction of Municipal Railway roadbed in Columbus avenue from Montgomery street westerly; to credit of General Fund, 1921-1922 (claim dated Oct. 5, 1922), \$24,935.73.

##### *County Road Fund.*

(10) Raisch Improvement Co., final payment, improvement of San Jose avenue between Ottawa and Sickles avenue (claim dated Oct. 4, 1922), \$11,414.71.

##### *Special School Tax, 1921-1922.*

(11) C. F. Weber & Co., desks for Commodore Sloat School (claim dated Oct. 3, 1922), \$1,298.20.

(12) C. F. Weber & Co., desks for McKinley School (claim dated Oct. 3, 1922), \$1,591.05.

(13) C. F. Weber & Co., desks for Parkside School (claim dated Oct. 3, 1922), \$749.40.

*Special School Tax, 1922-1923.*

(14) Butte Electric & Mfg. Co., third payment, electric work, Emerson School (claim dated Oct. 4, 1922), \$780.

(15) P. F. Reilly, final payment, general construction of temporary buildings for Mission High and Humboldt Evening High Schools (claim dated Oct. 4, 1922), \$622.50.

(16) J. E. O'Mara, second payment, plumbing for Oral Deaf School (claim dated Oct. 4, 1922), \$543.04.

(17) James F. Smith, second payment, lathing and plastering Mission High School Addition (claim dated Oct. 4, 1922), \$5,220.

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(18) Utah Construction Co., thirty-fifth payment, construction of Hetch Hetchy dam and appurtenances (claim dated Oct. 5, 1922), \$259,566.53.

(19) Anglo-California Trust Co., assignee of United Commercial Co., relaying Hetch Hetchy railroad rails, etc. (claim dated Oct. 3, 1922), \$3,116.86.

(20) Baumgarten Bros., foodstuffs (claim dated Oct. 3, 1922), \$1,437.30.

(21) Bush Electric Corporation, electric supplies (claim dated Oct. 3, 1922), \$867.

(22) S. A. Ferretti, meats (claim dated Oct. 3, 1922), \$533.12.

(23) E. I. DuPont De Nemours & Co., Inc., gelatin powder (claim dated Oct. 2, 1922), \$4,699.73.

(24) Fred L. Hilmer Co., eggs (claim dated Oct. 3, 1922), \$2,325.32.

(25) Miller & Lux, Inc., meats (claim dated Oct. 3, 1922), \$904.65.

(26) J. F. Mitchell, machine parts (claim dated Oct. 3, 1922), \$1,674.38.

(27) Moloney Electric Co., electric transformers (claim dated Oct. 3, 1922), \$3,364.29.

(28) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Oct. 3, 1922), \$6,702.50.

(29) Standard Oil Co., fuel oil (claim dated Oct. 3, 1922), \$1,580.91.

(30) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premiums, Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Oct. 3, 1922), \$12,704.04.

(31) Fredwin H. Somers, payment for land in San Mateo County required for Hetch Hetchy right of way, per Resolution No. 20283 (New Series) (claim dated Oct. 3, 1922), \$4,160.

(32) General Electric Co., first payment, electric generators for Moccasin Creek (claim dated Oct. 3, 1922), \$45,035.81.

(33) The Pelton Water Wheel Co., first payment for water wheels for Moccasin Creek (claim dated Oct. 3, 1922), \$4,655.76.

(34) Baumgarten Bros., meats (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$1,348.59.

(35) Continental Petroleum Re-

fining Co., fuel oil (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$958.49.

(36) Continental Petroleum Refining Co., fuel oil (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$1,462.75.

(37) Continental Steel & Supply Co., drill steel (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$1,021.50.

(38) The Denver Rock Drill Mfg. Co., drills (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$870.

(39) L. Dinkelspiel Co., Inc., blankets, etc. (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$880.06.

(40) Goodyear Rubber Co., rubber supplies (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$727.23.

(41) The Gutta Percha & Rubber Mfg. Co., air drill hose (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$816.

(42) Frederick A. Hamilton, sterilizers (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$1,137.

(43) Hercules Powder Co., powder, etc. (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$5,312.86.

(44) Fred L. Hilmer Co., eggs (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$2,202.97.

(45) Hoar Shovel Agency, shovel parts (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$553.16.

(46) Ingersoll-Rand Co., machine parts (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$2,905.95.

(47) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers attached (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$1,306.90.

(48) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers attached (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$1,522.36.

*General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(49) I. M. Sommer & Co., fifth payment, construction of Fire Department drill tower (claim dated Oct. 4, 1922), \$1,541.93.

*General Fund, 1922-1923.*

(50) J. E. O'Mara, first payment, plumbing and heating, Fire Department, Engine House No. 39 (claim dated Oct. 4, 1922), \$632.44.

(51) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., surface heater royalties (claim dated Sept. 28, 1922), \$2,069.60.

(52) Niles Sand, Gravel and Rock Co., gravel (claim dated Sept. 28, 1922), \$622.17.

(53) Pacific Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Oct. 3, 1922), \$516.50.

(54) Spring Valley Water Co., water for street cleaning (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$501.33.

(55) Shell Company, fuel oil (claim dated Sept. 28, 1922), \$526.50.

(56) Shell Company, fuel oil (claim dated Sept. 28, 1922), \$763.80.

(57) Spring Valley Water Co., water for playgrounds (claim dated Oct. 4, 1922), \$952.40.

(58) Spring Valley Water Co., water through Fire Department hydrants (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$13,098.40.

(59) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated Oct. 6, 1922), \$11,056.64.

(60) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated Oct. 6, 1922), \$925.

(61) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated Oct. 6, 1922), \$8,606.57.

(62) Hooper & Jennings, groceries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$1,188.42.

(63) Golden State Baking Co., bread, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$538.41.

(64) Smith, Lyndon & Co., groceries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$917.54.

(65) Miller & Lux, meats, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$1,357.01.

(66) Makins Produce Co., eggs, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$1,885.70.

(67) Sherry Bros., butter, etc., San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$1,416.45.

(68) C. Nauman & Co., fruits, etc., San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$1,256.43.

(69) South San Francisco Packing and Provision Co., meats, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$674.07.

(70) California Meat Co., meats, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$513.87.

(71) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$3,073.06.

(72) Shell Oil Co., fuel oil, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$2,308.50.

(73) Levi Strauss & Co., dry goods, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$602.50.

(74) L. Dinkelspiel & Co., dry goods, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$1,885.98.

(75) Walton N. Moore Co., dry goods, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$1,189.47.

(76) Spring Valley Water Co., water for hospitals (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$1,609.98.

(77) The White Company, one White truck chassis for Emergency Hospitals (claim dated Oct. 3, 1922), \$3,920.75.

(78) The Recorder Printing and Publishing Co., printing and publishing Law Motion-Trial Calendar, etc. (claim dated Oct. 9, 1922), \$655.

(79) The San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated Oct. 9, 1922), \$1,000.

#### Appropriations.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

#### *Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.*

(1) For furnishing and delivering street car trucks for Municipal Railways in accordance with Section "B," Contract No. 132, awarded Standard Motor Truck Co., \$28,551.68.

(2) Maximum possible bonus, freight, inspection, incidentals and extras on above contract, \$5,348.32.

(3) For reconstruction of crane house at municipal pipe yard and putting yard tracks in condition to permit of operation of crane over them, \$2,500.

(4) For installing poles and electrical conductors on Taraval street line of Municipal Railways from Thirty-third avenue to Forty-eighth avenue; additional appropriation to complete payment, \$1,150.

#### *Municipal Railway Fund.*

(5) To balance deficit in appropriations heretofore for switching expense over Ocean Shore Railroad, \$1,000.

#### Payment of Hetch Hetchy Fire Damage Claimants.

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 20349 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, Upon recommendation of the City Engineer and the Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply project, that the following sums be paid to the following named persons, in full satisfaction for injury and damage to their property caused by fires resulting from the operation of the Hetch Hetchy Railroad, viz.:

Fred Cavagnaro, \$984.

Mecartea et al., \$150.

As a further consideration the City agrees to construct a 12-foot by 15-foot house on the property of Mecartea similar in construction to those now in the City's camps.

The Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply project is authorized to pay said sums to said claimants upon receipt of the proper releases.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

*Passed for Printing.*

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

**Giving Notice of Special Bond Election  
for Schools and Relief Home.**

On motion of Supervisor McLeran:

Bill No. 6148, Ordinance No. —  
(New Series), as follows:

Giving notice of a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the 21st day of November, 1922, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County two propositions to incur a bonded indebtedness by said City and County for

(1) The construction, completion and equipment by the City and County of San Francisco of permanent buildings to be used for public schools and the acquisition of necessary lands therefor.

(2) The construction, completion and equipment of permanent buildings by the City and County of San Francisco to be used as a Relief Home.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the laws of the State of California the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the provisions of Ordinance No. 5693 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, passed and approved September 25, 1922 (reference to said ordinance for further particulars being here made), a special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 21st day of November, 1922, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County propositions to incur a bonded indebtedness for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) The construction, completion and equipment by the City and County of San Francisco of permanent buildings to be used for public schools, and the acquisition of necessary lands therefor.

(2) The construction, completion and equipment of permanent buildings by the City and County of San Francisco to be used as a Relief Home.

Section 2. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in either of said propositions, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors, and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the building or buildings, improvements or lands specified in such proposition and to the amount stated therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated March 1, 1923, shall bear interest at the rate of five per centum per annum, payable semi-annually; shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each,

and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of the City and County in the City and State of New York.

Section 3. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.  
STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

City and County of San Francisco.  
BOND.

No. ——— \$1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer on the first day of March, 19——, One Thousand Dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of five per centum per annum, payable semi-annually March 1 and September 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in Gold Coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and Statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and to be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or Statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made as required by the Constitution and Statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. Full faith

and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person, or by attorney duly authorized, on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In Witness Whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor; and has caused interest coupons hereto attached to be signed by the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of March, 1923.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Mayor.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Treasurer.

Countersigned,

\_\_\_\_\_  
Auditor.

#### FORM OF COUPON.

No. \_\_\_\_\_ \$25.00  
On \_\_\_\_\_, 19\_\_\_\_, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York, Twenty-five Dollars in Gold Coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated March 1, 1923.

No. \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Treasurer.

#### FORM OF REGISTRATION.

San Francisco, \_\_\_\_\_, 19\_\_\_\_.  
This bond is registered pursuant to the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of \_\_\_\_\_, and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Treasurer.

Section 4. Said Twelve Million Dollars bonds to be issued for the purpose stated in proposition one shall be called "School Bonds," shall be numbered from 1 to 12,000, inclusive, shall be dated March 1, 1923, and shall be payable Three Hundred Thousand Dollars thereof five years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and Three Hundred Thousand Dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Said Two Million Dollars bonds to be issued for the purpose stated in proposition two shall be called "Relief Home Bonds"; shall be numbered from 1 to 2000, inclusive; shall be dated March 1, 1923, and shall be payable One Hundred Thousand Dollars thereof five years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and One Hundred Thousand Dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 5. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment of said Twelve Million Dollars bonds issued under said proposition one shall be the sum of Six Hundred Thousand Dollars each year for the first five years from date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the sixth year after the date of said bonds, the sum of Five Hundred Eighty-five Thousand Dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the Three Hundred Thousand Dollars thereof due five years from their date have been paid, and for the seventh year after the date of said bonds the sum of Five Hundred Seventy Thousand Dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the Three Hundred Thousand Dollars thereof due six years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for thirty-eight succeeding years and until said bonds are all paid sufficient for interest, and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of Fifteen Thousand Dollars by reason of the payment each year, beginning five years from the date of said bonds of Three Hundred Thousand Dollars of said bonds and the sum of Three Hundred Thousand Dollars each year beginning four years from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for thirty-nine years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The amount of the tax levy to be made for the payment of the said Two Million Dollars bonds issued under said proposition two shall be the sum of One Hundred Thousand Dollars each year for the first five years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the sixth year after the date of said bonds the sum of Ninety-five Thousand Dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the One Hundred Thousand Dollars thereof due five years from their date have been paid, and for the seventh year after the date of said bonds the sum of Ninety Thousand Dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the One Hundred Thousand Dollars thereof due six years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for eighteen succeeding years and until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest, and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of Five Thousand Dollars, by reason of the payment each year, beginning five years from the date of said bonds of One Hundred Thousand Dollars of said bonds and the sum of One Hundred Thousand Dollars each year beginning four years from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each year for nineteen years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are, and it is hereby expressly provided that the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 6. The special election, notice of which is hereby given, shall be held and conducted, and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made, and the results thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California, providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls of such

election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

#### MUNICIPAL TICKET.

To vote for the propositions, or either of them, and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the amount of, and for the purpose stated, in such proposition or propositions, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes."

To vote against the propositions, or either of them, and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the amount of, and for the purpose stated in such proposition or propositions, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Also, said ballot shall have printed thereon the following:

PROPOSITION ONE.—To incur a bonded indebtedness by the City and County of San Francisco, California, to the amount of twelve million dollars for the construction, completion and equipment by the City and County of San Francisco of permanent buildings to be used for public schools, and the acquisition of necessary lands therefor. Bonds issued for such purpose shall bear interest at the rate of five per centum per annum, payable semi-annually.

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

PROPOSITION TWO.—To incur a bonded indebtedness by the City and County of San Francisco, California, to the amount of two million dollars for the construction, completion and equipment of permanent buildings by the City and County of San Francisco to be used as a Relief Home. Bonds issued for such purpose shall bear interest at the rate of five per centum per annum, payable semi-annually.

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

Sample ballots, containing the above matter required to be printed thereon, shall be supplied to the electors of said City and County by the Board of Election Commissioners, but a failure on the part of any elector to receive such sample ballot shall not be held to invalidate the election or affect in any manner the legality of any bonds that may be authorized thereat.

Section 7. Any qualified elector of the City and County of San Francisco may vote at said special election for or against either of the propositions herewithin submitted. To vote in favor of and authorize the incurring of a

bonded debt for the purpose set forth in either of the propositions herein stated he shall stamp a cross (X) in the square to the right of the word "Yes," printed opposite to the proposition, and to vote against and refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in either of the propositions herein stated, he shall stamp a cross (X) in the square to the right of the word "No," printed opposite the proposition.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "Yes," shall be counted as a vote in favor of, and to authorize, the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition opposite to which said cross (X) is stamped, and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "No" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of, and a refusal to authorize, the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition opposite to which such cross (X) is stamped.

The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election and the places of voting and the officers to conduct such election, and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf, shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct said special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election and canvass the votes cast, respectively, for and against the propositions herein stated, and make return thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at special municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections, and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. This ordinance shall be published for at least fourteen days in the official newspaper.

Section 9. This ordinance is the third of a series of ordinances which will be adopted by the Board of Supervisors under and by virtue of which it is proposed that a bonded indebtedness of said City and County will be incurred for the purposes herein enumerated.

Section 10. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Noes—Supervisors Hynes, McSheehy—2.

#### Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor McSheehy offered the following as an explanation of his vote:

#### McSheehy's Statement for Record.

On September 5, 1922, as a member of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I voted "No" on the tax rate for the fiscal year of 1922 and 1923, and on final passage of this tax rate on this, the 18th day of September, 1922. I wish to qualify my vote of "No" and have same printed and inserted in the record as follows, to-wit:

1. On May 18, 1922, a budget of five hundred and fifty items was passed, calling for an expenditure of \$24,892,678.

The Park Fund was allowed an increase of \$225,000—almost 70%.

I asked to cut this item \$100,000, also five other items, making a total of \$189,350, which would amount to three cents on the tax rate.

2. Funds collected from other sources than direct taxation on real and personal property have been under-estimated to the amount of:

Year.	
1917-1918 .....	\$ 183,620.00
1918-1919 .....	432,618.00
1919-1920 .....	280,498.00
1920-1921 .....	562,576.00
1921-1922 .....	530,576.00

Total (5 years).....\$1,989,888.00

Average .....

Auditor's estimate of

funds to be collected

this year—1922-1923....\$3,837,320.00

Finance Committee esti-

mate .....

Difference .....

This will amount to 7½ cents on tax

rate plus 3 cents on other items, making a total of 11½ cents that the present tax rate can be cut.

As one member of this Board, I feel and know that this city can be run on a \$3.35½ tax rate instead of \$3.47, without impairing the efficiency of this city government in any manner or form.

Establishing Set-Back Lines of Thirty-third Avenue and on Francisco Street.

Supervisor McGregor presented:

Bill No. 6149, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Thirty-third avenue, and Francisco street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 28th day of August, 1922, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 2, to establish set-back lines along portions of Thirty-third avenue between Lincoln way and Irving street, and Francisco street between Franklin street and Gough street, and fixed the 18th day of September, 1922, at 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing, the said resolution was published, and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said street in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that no objections were made except those that were duly and properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series) set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Thirty-third avenue between Lincoln way and Irving street, and on the easterly line of Thirty-third avenue, commencing at a point ninety feet southerly from the southerly line of Lincoln way and running thence southerly to the northerly line of Irving street, which said lines shall be twelve feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-third avenue;

Along the northerly side of Francisco street, commencing one hundred feet westerly from the westerly line of Franklin street and running thence westerly to the easterly line of Gough street, which said line shall be twelve feet distant from and parallel with the line of Francisco street.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structure shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street line, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

**Zoning Ordinance Amendment, Nineteenth Street, Filbert Street.**

Also. Bill No. 6150, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and estab-

lishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 7 of the use of property zone map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northerly side of Nineteenth street between Lapidge street and Guerrero street to a depth of the rear lot line in the Light Industrial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Section 2 of the use of property zone map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place both sides of Filbert street between Divisadero street and Broderick street to the depth of the rear lot lines in the First Residential District instead of the Second Residential District.

**Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-Back Lines, No. 3.**

Supervisor McGregor presented:

Resolution No. 20350 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendation, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore,

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the westerly line of Ninth avenue, commencing at the southerly line of Anza street, and running thence southerly to the northerly line of Balboa street; along the easterly line of Ninth avenue, commencing at a point 75 feet southerly from the southerly line of Anza street and running thence southerly a distance of 450 feet, which said set-back line shall be 10 feet distant from and parallel with the line of Ninth avenue;

Along the westerly line of Fifteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet southerly from the southerly line of Irving street and running thence southerly to the northerly line of Judah street, and along the easterly side of Fifteenth avenue, commencing at the southerly line of Irving street and running thence southerly to the northerly line of Judah street, which said set-back line shall be 12 feet distant from and parallel with the line of Fifteenth avenue;

Along the easterly side of Shrader street, commencing at the southerly line of Carl street and running thence southerly to the northerly line of Parnassus avenue, which said set-back line shall be 9 feet distant from and parallel with the line of Shrader street.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 6th day of November, 1922, at the hour of 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishment of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said proposed set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### **Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-Back Lines, No. 4.**

Also, Resolution No. 20351 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendation, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the westerly line of Forty-fourth avenue between Judah street and Irving street, commencing at a point 100 feet southerly from the southerly line of Irving street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be three feet distant from and parallel with the line of Forty-fourth avenue; commencing at a point 125 feet southerly from the southerly line of Irving street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be six feet distant from and parallel with the line of Forty-fourth avenue; commencing at a point 150 feet southerly from the southerly line of Irving street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be nine feet distant from and parallel with the line of Forty-fourth avenue; commencing at a point 175 feet southerly from the southerly line of Irving street and run-

ning thence southerly to the northerly line of Judah street, which said set-back line shall be 12 feet distant from and parallel with the line of Forty-fourth avenue.

Along the westerly line of Sixteenth avenue between Judah street and Kirkham street, commencing at a point 100 feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be three feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue; commencing at a point 125 feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be six feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue; commencing at a point 150 feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be nine feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue; commencing at a point 175 feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street and running thence southerly 100 feet, which said set-back line shall be 12 feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue; commencing at a point 275 feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be 15 feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue; commencing at a point 300 feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street and running thence southerly 33 feet, which said set-back line shall be 18 feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue; commencing at a point 333 feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street and running thence southerly 33 feet, which said set-back line shall be 21 feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue; commencing at a point 366 feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street and running thence southerly to the northerly line of Kirkham street, which said set-back line shall be 24 feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue; along the easterly line of Sixteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be four feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue; commencing at a point 125 feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be eight feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue; commencing at a point 150 feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street and running thence south-

erly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be 12 feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue; commencing at a point 175 feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be 16 feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue; commencing at a point 200 feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street and running thence southerly to the northerly line of Kirkham street, which said set-back line shall be 20 feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 6th day of November, 1922, at the hour of 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objection to the establishment of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said proposed set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Accepting Offers to Sell Land in San Mateo County Required for Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 20352 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco from the following described owners of the following described parcels of land, situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, required as a right of way for the aqueduct on the Hetch Hetchy water supply project, for the sums set opposite their names, viz.:

E. Crittenden Ferree, \$350.

Fractional portion of Lot 5, Block 18, Oak Knoll Manor, as per map filed in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County, May 10, 1916, in Book 10 of Maps, pages 4 to 11.

It is made a condition of the deed that the party of the first part shall have the right to cross over said parcel hereinabove described, and to plant grass upon the same; provided that, in the exercise of the privilege hereinabove mentioned, there shall be no interference with the construction, operation or maintenance of the Hetch

Hetchy pipe line or lines constructed beneath the surface of said parcel.

The City will be liable for all future street and sewer work assessed against its frontage. The pipes will be buried beneath the surface of the ground.

C. B. Parsons and E. H. Parsons, \$400.

Fractional portion of Lots 21 and 22 in Block 27, as shown on map entitled "Resubdivision of Lots 1, 2, 3 and 4 in Block 27, Oak Knoll Manor, near Redwood City, California," filed in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County, September 5, 1919, in Book 10 of Maps, at page 25.

It is made a condition of the deed that the party of the first part shall have the right to cross over said parcel hereinabove described.

As a further consideration for said deed the City and County of San Francisco is to procure a conveyance of, and the Geo. H. Rice Abstract Company is authorized to execute, a deed conveying to said C. B. Parsons and E. H. Parsons the following described property:

A parcel of land in the County of San Mateo, State of California, being a portion of Lot 22 in Block 27, as shown on map entitled "Resubdivision of Lots 1, 2, 3 and 4 in Block 27, of Oak Knoll Manor, near Redwood City, Calif.," filed in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County, September 5, 1919, in Book 10 of Maps, at page 25; said parcel described as follows:

Beginning at the most northerly corner of said Lot 22; and running thence south 45 deg 05 min. west 26.06 feet along the northwesterly line of Oak Knoll Manor; thence south 61 deg. 17 min. 30 sec. east 49.39 feet; thence south 80 deg. 21 min. 20 sec. east 76.52 feet to a point in the northeasterly line of said Lot 22; thence north 61 deg. 17 min. 30 sec. west 114.37 feet along said northeasterly line of Lot 22 to the point of beginning.

Theo. Jensen, \$200.

Lot 5, Block 63, as shown on map entitled "Map No. 1 Dumbarton Oaks," which was filed in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County, January 20, 1908, in Book 5 of Maps, at page 56.

Now, therefore, be it Resolved, that in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer, the above described offers of the above named property owners to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the above mentioned parcels of land for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be and the same are hereby accepted.

Be it further Resolved, that the special counsel for the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply is hereby authorized and directed to notify said parties of the

acceptance of their said offers, to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record, with a copy of this resolution attached thereto, as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

*Passed for Printing.*

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

**Auto Supply Station and Oil Permits.**

Supervisor Deasy presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

*Automobile Supply Station.*

Associated Oil Co., at southwest corner of Eighteenth and Valencia streets; also to store 2000 gallons of gasoline.

Lubricating Gasoline Co., at southwest corner of Tenth and Mission streets; also to store 2000 gallons of gasoline.

*Oil Storage Tank.*

Clara D. Stanford, at 1901 Pacific avenue; 600 gallons gasoline.

Kierman & O'Brien, on north side of Geary street, 68 feet 9 inches west of Taylor street; 1500 gallons capacity.

Provident Securities Co., on north side of Market street, 300 feet east of Montgomery street; 2100 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

**Auto Supply Station Permit Denied.**

Supervisor Deasy presented:

Resolution No. 20353 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied Lubricating Gasoline Co. to maintain an automobile supply station at northwest corner of Franklin and Page streets.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

*Passed for Printing.*

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

#### **Stable Permit.**

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy: Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted George R. Gillogley to maintain a stable for 12 horses at 75 Dore street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit becomes null and void.

#### **Stable Permit Denied.**

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 20354 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied Frank Corelli to maintain a stable at 438 Lawton street.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### **Extension of Time.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20355 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That City Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after October 12, 1922, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Hearst avenue between Edna and Foerster streets.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor was delayed owing to the fact that he was unable to obtain the necessary cement. The work is well under way; the grading is about completed, and a portion of the concrete curbs is constructed.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Clerk to Advertise for Proposals, Pay Checks, for Auditor.**

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 20358 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise for proposals for furnishing pay-checks for the Auditor, year 1923, as per specifications prepared by the Supplies Committee.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Mayor to Appoint Committee on Celebration of Navy Day.**

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 20359 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor Mayor Rolph be respectfully requested to appoint a committee of citizens to cooperate with the United States Navy so as to make the necessary arrangements for the proper observance of Navy Day, on October 27, 1922.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Install Street Lights.**

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 20361 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas & Electric Company is hereby instructed to install and remove street lights as follows:

**Install Single-Top Gas.**

Geary street opposite 1667 in front of Church.

**Remove Gas Lamp.**

North side of Hermann street, first and second west of Buchanan street.

**Install 250 M. R.**

Hermann street between Buchanan and Webster streets.

**Install 400 M. R.**

Lombard and Leavenworth streets. Corner of Forty-seventh avenue and Fulton street.

**Remove Gas Lamps.**

South side of Vallejo street opposite 2011, 5 feet west

South side of Twentieth street opposite 3783, 16 feet east

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Masquerade Ball Permits.**

Supervisor Robb presented:

Resolution No. 20364 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted The Get Acquainted Society to give a masquerade ball at Majestic Hall, Fillmore and Geary streets, Tuesday evening, October 31, 1922, upon payment of the usual license fee.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman,

Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Resolution No. 20365 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted South San Francisco Parlor No. 157, N. S. G. W., to hold a masquerade ball at Masonic Opera House, Newcomb and Railroad avenues, Saturday evening, November 4, 1922, upon payment of the usual license fee.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Resolution No. 20366 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted to Fraternal Order of Eagles to hold a Mardi Gras ball at Eagles Auditorium, Golden Gate avenue between Jones and Leavenworth streets, on Saturday evening, October 21, 1922, upon payment of the usual license fee.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**City Institutions to Make Bread.**

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this City has been purchasing bread under contract for all departments except the Relief Home for a number of years. These contracts have been let quarterly and the bread for the last quarter was purchased for 4½ cents per pound. This quarter the price of bread has been raised to 7½ cents per pound. This would mean a which would mean a saving of \$5,400 a year.

Whereas, the Relief Home has been making its own bread at a cost of 2¾ cents per pound. If bread can be made at this public institution for 2¾ cents per pound the same could be, and should be, made at all city institutions, which would mean a saving of \$5,400 a quarter, or \$21,600 per year.

Resolved, That the Department of Public Health be requested to have all the bread needed for their institutions made in the Relief Home, and that the Sheriff be requested to make the bread for all other City departments that use same.

Further Resolved, That this resolution be referred to the Supplies Committee, that the Department of Public Health and the Sheriff be sent a copy

of same, and that a meeting of the Supplies Committee with these two departments be held on Wednesday, October 11, 1922, at 2 p. m., for the purpose of devising ways and means for the City to make its own bread and have \$21,600 per year.

*Referred to Supplies Committee.*

#### Endorsing Veterans' Home and Farm Legislation.

Supervisor Scott presented:

Resolution No. 20362 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, propositions Nos. 1 and 3 on the November ballot are the California veterans' legislation, consisting of State loans to veterans for the purchase of farms and homes to which California veterans of any war are eligible, and

Whereas, it is not a gift nor a bonus, as every cent loaned is thoroughly secured and must be paid back with interest, and

Whereas, without cost to the State it will add to its taxable wealth through the erection of new homes and the cultivation of vast undeveloped acreage; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco in regular session assembled, the Mayor concurring, does unanimously endorse propositions Nos. 1 and 3 on the November ballot, and we most earnestly urge every voter in the State to vote "Yes" on these two propositions. October 9, 1922.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Death of Robert H. McCormack, Formerly Assistant United States Attorney-General.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 20367 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board has learned of the death of Robert H. McCormack, formerly Assistant United States Attorney-General; therefore

Resolved, That we express our deep regret at the passing of a most esteemed citizen and faithful official and that the condolence of this Board be extended to sorrowing relatives and friends; that when this Board adjourns it does so as a mark of respect to his memory.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Payment Demands of John Tierney.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors.

Gentlemen:

Your Finance Committee, to which was referred by the Board October 2, 1922, resolution reading as follows:

"Resolved, That the Finance Committee report to this Board next Monday, October 9, 1922, their recommendation as to warrants for services of John Tierney for months of July, August and September, 1922, as per contract with the Board of Public Works," recommends that said warrants be passed for payment, to-wit:

Warrant No. 158, Demand No. 10a, dated August 7, 1922, \$256.75;

Warrant No. 828, Demand No. 56a, dated September 5, 1922, \$256.75;

Warrant No. 1321, Demand No. 109, dated October 3, 1922, \$256.75.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

On motion of Supervisor Hayden, T. Reardon, President of the Board of Public Works, was granted the privilege of the floor. He declared that the Board of Works had a right to abolish position and to re-employ in new capacity. He declared that Mr. Tierney was as good at 70 years as at 50 and invaluable to the Board of Public Works. He referred to Mr. Tierney as the master builder of the Mid-Winter Fair.

*Supervisor McLeran*, explaining his position, declared that it was a question of right or wrong and that he had been advised by the City Attorney to send it on its way. As a member of the Retirement Fund Commission, he said he was opposed to paying him anything. If this goes through he will draw nothing until it is settled by the court. It is a question for the courts to determine.

Discussion: Supervisors McLeran, McGregor, Colman.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented:

Resolution No. 20363 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That warrants in favor of John J. Tierney for services rendered to the Board of Public Works be and the same are hereby passed for payment, to-wit:

Warrant No. 158, Demand No. 10a, dated August 7, 1922, \$256.75;

Warrant No. 828, Demand No. 65a, dated September 5, 1922, \$256.75.

Warrant No. 1321, Demand No. 109, dated October 3, 1922, \$256.75.

Discussion: Supervisors McGergor, Colman, Bath, Schmitz, Hynes, McLeran.

#### Motions.

*Supervisor Schmitz* moved to postpone one week and City Attorney to

furnish us with an opinion by next Monday.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, McGregor, Morgan, Robb, Schmitz, Shannon, Wetmore—8.

Noes—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McLeran, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Scott, Welch—10.

Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Hynes explained his vote by saying: "I think the thing is illegal."

Whereupon, the question being taken on the approval of the demands, the motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Scott, Welch—10.

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hynes, Morgan, Robb, Schmitz, Shannon, Wetmore—8.

Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Hynes explained his vote "No" by saying he considered the payments illegal.

Supervisor Bath explained by saying that he voted "No" consistent with his vote in the Boyle matter and in favor of the Retirement System.

Supervisor Shannon explained his vote by reading section of the Retirement ordinance relating to compulsory retirement at the age of seventy and saying that he could not see how this section could be overcome.

Supervisor Hynes moved that the Finance Committee be instructed to notify the Board of Public Works that the services of Mr. Tierney as a contractor be dispensed with as soon as

possible and no more money paid him for service rendered.

Point of Order.

Supervisor McLeran raised the point of order that the Board of Supervisors has no right to instruct the Board of Public Works except by ordinance.

Chair (Supervisor Shannon) ruled point of order well taken.

Supervisor Hynes appealed from the decision of the chair.

Chair *sustained* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Noes—Supervisors Hynes, McSheehy—2.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

Thereupon Supervisor Hynes withdrew his motion and moved that the Clerk be instructed to advise the Board of Public Works that it is the sense of this Board that the services of Mr. Tierney be dispensed with and no more money paid him.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Aye—Supervisor Hynes—1.

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at the hour of 6 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors November 13, 1922.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series), of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, October 16, 1922.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY

77 Sutter Street, S. F.

MON

In  
cisco,  
Th  
regul

The  
ing S  
Sup  
Hayd  
McSh  
Robb  
Wele

Hi  
sent  
fecte  
McLe

Th  
meet  
consi  
ROLL

City  
l

Co  
ney,  
priat  
sity  
tain  
Twel  
for t  
pend  
sity

Re  
Invit  
Co  
mitti  
retar  
the a  
amer  
Boar  
its r  
the C  
Re

Co  
Presi  
visin  
mitt  
prote  
stati  
street  
Re

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, OCTOBER 16, 1922, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, October 16, 1922, 2 p. m.  
The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent on account of illness from an infected cut in his hand, Supervisor McLeran was called to the chair.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of September 18, 1922, was considered read and *approved*.

### ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

City Attorney Advises as to Legality of Live Stock Show Appropriation.

*Communication*—From City Attorney, advising that resolution appropriating \$5,000 out of Urgent Necessity Fund for the construction of certain buildings and improvements at Twelfth and Market streets, to be used for live stock purposes, is a legal expenditure and that the Urgent Necessity is the proper fund.

Read and ordered *filed*.

Invitation to Anti-Prohibition Meeting.

*Communication*—From Mayor, transmitting letter of Ross H. Ryder, secretary Northern California Division, the association against the prohibition amendment, inviting the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to be present at its meeting Tuesday, October 17, in the Gold Room of the Palace Hotel.

Read and ordered *filed*.

### Protest Against Oil Station.

*Communication*—From the Park-Presidio Improvement Association, advising of the appointment of a committee to appear at this meeting and protest against granting permit for oil station at Twenty-fifth and Geary streets.

Read and committee *heard*.

Leave of Absence, Dr. William C. Hassler.  
San Francisco, Cal., October 11, 1922.  
To the Hon. Board of Supervisors,  
City Hall, San Francisco, Cal.

Dear Sirs:

Application has been made to me by Dr. William C. Hassler, Health Officer, for a leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California for a period of thirty days, commencing October 10, 1922.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Respectfully yours,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,  
Mayor.

Resolution No. 20374 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Dr. William C. Hassler, Health Officer, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing October 10, 1922, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

### REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.

The following committees, by their respective chairmen, presented reports on various matters referred, which reports were read and ordered *filed*:

Supplies Committee, by Supervisor Rossi, chairman.

Fire Committee, by Supervisor Deasy, chairman.

Streets and Commercial Development Committee, by Supervisor Mulvihill, chairman.

### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Appropriation, \$5,000, Live Stock Show.

Resolution No. 20368 (New Series), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$5,000 to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, fiscal year 1922, by the Board of Public Works for the construction of certain buildings and improvements at Twelfth and Market streets to be used for a Live Stock Exhibition, to be held October 14-20.

City Attorney's Opinion.

City Attorney's opinion as to the

legality of the foregoing resolution was read by the Clerk.

#### Motion.

*Supervisor Hynes*, seconded by *Supervisor McSheehy*, moved re-reference to Finance Committee with suggestion that appropriation be taken from some other fund.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Hynes, McSheehy

—2.

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore

—15.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

#### Explanation of Vote.

*Supervisor Hynes*: I am voting *no* because the proposition on the calendar provides for spending this money by the Board of Works for certain buildings and improvements and it is admitted by the chairman of the Finance Committee, who makes this recommendation, that the money is not being spent by the Board of Works in building permanent structures and is merely subsidizing the stock show. In my judgment it is a misappropriation, not an appropriation.

*Supervisors McSheehy*: I am voting *no* for the reason I feel it is purely subsidizing, to the extend of \$5,000, a live stock show that is charging admission to the citizens of San Francisco which should not be subsidized by this Board.

#### Final Passage.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was finally passed by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Noes—Supervisors Hynes, McSheehy—2.

#### Motions.

*Supervisor Hynes* moved that the Clerk be instructed to notify the Auditor of my objection to the passage of this resolution providing for expenditure of \$5000 for Live Stock Show, with copy of my reasons

*Supervisor Welch* moved as an amendment that the proceedings of the Board of this day with reference to No. 1 on the Calendar be transcribed and a copy sent to the Auditor.

*Supervisor Scott* suggested as amendment that his Honor the Mayor be also furnished a copy.

#### Accepted.

Motion of Supervisor Welch as amended accepted and it was so ordered.

#### Final Passage.

The following resolution, heretofore

passed for printing, was taken up and finally passed:

#### Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 20369 (New Series), as follows:

Granting Eaton & Smith permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on Collingwood street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets, etc., for grading purposes, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000), as fixed by the Board of Public Works, and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided also that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction and under the supervision of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by the said Eaton & Smith, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

#### PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print and amounting to \$28,438.63, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

#### Urgent Necessity.

Spring Valley Water Co., water, horse troughs, \$138.90.

Sabina M. Churchill, compensation insurance, \$92.25.

Western Union Tel. Co., official telegrams, \$6.17.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

#### NEW BUSINESS.

##### Passed for Printing.

The following matters were passed for printing:

##### Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McLeran: Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

*Special School Tax.*

(1) C. L. Wold, first payment, general construction, Pacific Heights School (claim dated Oct. 11, 1922), \$4,663.13.

(2) John Morton, fifth payment, general construction, Oral Deaf School (claim dated Oct. 11, 1922), \$1,537.69.

(3) Joseph Greenback, third payment, lathing, etc., of Emerson School (claim dated Oct. 11, 1922), \$4,149.64.

*County Road Fund.*

(4) Pacific States Construction Co., final payment, improvement of Great Highway between Cabrillo and Fulton streets (claim dated Oct. 11, 1922), \$6,325.38.

*Library Fund.*

(5) Newbegin's, public library books (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$672.

(6) S. F. News Co., public library books (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$2,090.41.

(7) S. F. News Co., public library books (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$1,450.39.

(8) G. E. Stechert & Co., public library books (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$1,632.65.

(9) Foster & Futernick Co., book binding (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$618.75.

*Tearing Up Streets Fund.*

(10) T. M. Gallagher, repaving side sewer trenches (claim dated Oct. 11, 1922), \$1,204.70.

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(11) Gilley-Schmid Co., third payment, plumbing for Hancock School (claim dated Oct. 11, 1922), \$698.75.

(12) C. Peterson Co., fifth payment, heating and ventilating, North Beach (Galileo) High School (claim dated Oct. 11, 1922), \$2,310.

(13) C. F. Weber & Co., desks for Jefferson School (claim dated Oct. 10, 1922), \$535.20.

*Water Bonds, 1910.*

(14) Grant Smith & Co., second payment, construction of Pulgas Tunnel, Hetch Hetchy Water Supply (claim dated Oct. 7, 1922), \$16,628.25.

(15) Allis-Chalmers Mfg. Co., transformers (claim dated Oct. 6, 1922), \$12,405.

(16) Bodinson Mfg. Co., Inc., belt conveyors, etc. (claim dated Oct. 6, 1922), \$1,554.

(17) The B. F. Goodrich Rubber Co., belting (claim dated Oct. 6, 1922), \$636.80.

(18) Fred L. Hilmer Co., eggs (claim dated Oct. 6, 1922), \$990.25.

(19) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers attached (claim dated Oct. 6, 1922), \$928.50.

(20) The Pelton Water Wheel Co., Inc., water wheel parts (claim dated Oct. 6, 1922), \$2,124.95.

(21) Tuolumne Foundry & Machine Works, machine parts (claim dated Oct. 9, 1922), \$616.87.

*General Fund, 1920-1921.*

(22) Louis J. Cohn, fifth payment, general construction of exhibition structure, Ferry Building (claim dated Oct. 11, 1922), \$1,218.75.

*General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(23) Clinton Construction Co., final payment, construction of Section "C," Ocean Beach Esplanade (claim dated Oct. 11, 1922), \$1,000.

(24) Schultz Construction Co., final payment, improvement of Liberty and of Sanchez streets (claim dated Oct. 11, 1922), \$6,096.

*General Fund, 1922-1923.*

(25) Dudley B. Perkins, motor-cycles furnished Police Department (claim dated Sept. 25, 1922), \$1,425.

(26) Producers' Hay Co., hay, Police Department (claim dated Sept. 25, 1922), \$896.84.

(27) Associated Oil Co., gasoline, Police Department (claim dated Sept. 25, 1922), \$679.35.

(28) J. E. French Co., one Dodge auto, Police Department (claim dated Sept. 25, 1922), \$1,090.50.

(29) D. J. O'Brien, Police contingent expense (claim dated Sept. 25, 1922), \$750.

(30) The White Automobile Co., one White auto for Coroner (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$3,868.25.

(31) St. Mary's Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Oct. 10, 1922), \$599.

(32) Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 10, 1922), \$1,522.50.

(33) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Oct. 10, 1922), \$2,701.86.

(34) Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Oct. 10, 1922), \$665.

(35) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Oct. 10, 1922), \$1,160.01.

(36) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Oct. 10, 1922), \$1,635.72.

(37) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated Oct. 10, 1922), \$8,482.82.

(38) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated Oct. 10, 1922), \$14,581.89.

(39) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Oct. 10, 1922), \$3,918.54.

(40) St. Catherine's Training Home,

maintenance of minors at Magdalen Asylum (claim dated Oct. 10, 1922), \$651.86.

(41) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors at State schools (claim dated Oct. 10, 1922), \$701.94.

(42) American LaFrance Co., Fire Department apparatus parts (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$766.49.

(43) The Seagrave Co., Fire Department apparatus parts (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$668.80.

(44) Associated Oil Co., fuel oil, Fire Dept. (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$648.88.

(45) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electricity, Fire Dept. (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$1,368.16.

(46) Spring Valley Water Co., water for fire boats and installation of hydrants (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$1,133.04.

(47) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished Fire Dept., distributing system, etc. (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$2,261.09.

(48) Johnson & Johnson, supplies furnished San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$752.32.

(49) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., September street lighting (claim dated Oct. 16, 1922), \$46,666.66.

(50) Elliott-Fisher Company, six book typewriters for County Clerk (claim dated Aug. 14, 1922), \$1,498.

#### Appropriations, Margaret Hayward and Funston Playgrounds.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$15,000, Budget Item No. 65, for Margaret Hayward Playground equipment, and the sum of \$20,000, Budget Item No. 61, for Funston Playground, be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated to the credit of Budget Item No. 493 (Playgrounds), to be expended by the Playground Commission on said Margaret Hayward and Funston playgrounds.

#### Treasurer to Convert Liberty Bonds Into Cash.

Resolution No. 20370 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Treasurer be and is hereby authorized and directed to convert into cash \$1,100,000 United States Liberty Bonds now held to the credit of Investment Fund No. 2.

(Request of Treasurer dated October 6, 1922.)

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McShreehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Action Deferred.

The following resolution was pre-

sented and on motion *laid over one week*:

#### Automobile Supply Station.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy: Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted Associated Oil Company to maintain an automobile supply station at the southwest corner of Geary street and Twenty-fifth avenue; also to store not to exceed 2000 gallons of gasoline on premises.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit becomes null and void.

#### Passed for Printing.

The following matter was *passed for printing*:

#### Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy: Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

#### Public Garage.

Albert Rossi, Pasquale Franceschini, Luigi Della Santina, Michael Volpi and E. Lorenzzini, at 531-535 Washington street, extending through to 526-528 Merchant street; also to store 300 gallons of gasoline on premises.

Bothin Real Estate Co., at westerly termination of Natoma street (west of New Montgomery street), between Hunt street and Sherwood place; also to store 300 gallons of gasoline on premises.

#### Transfer Public Garage.

To E. M. Harber and R. Schnutenhaus, permit granted by Resolution No. 18733 (New Series) to McKillop & Jones for premises situate on east side of Leavenworth street, 68 feet 9 inches north of Sutter street (No. 818 Leavenworth street).

#### Boiler.

K. Bruchsalter (K. B. Manufacturing Co.), at 222 Eighth street, 10 horsepower.

#### Oil Storage Tank.

White Estate, at 130 Leidesdorff street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Kincanon & Perego, on west side of Leavenworth street, 100 feet north of Geary street, 1500 gallons capacity.

G. E. Bernard, at northwest corner of Cole street and Parnassus avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

James Welch, at northeast corner of Taylor and Derby streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Al McCarmack, at southwest corner of Grove and Scott streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. C. Hueter, at 2600 Green street, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. S. Moody, at 3508 Clay street, 600 gallons capacity.

The Rector, Wardens and Vestry of Trinity Church, at northeast corner of Bush and Gough streets, 600 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

#### Fixing Date of Hearing Protests, Duboce Tunnel.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 20371 (New Series), as follows:

In the matter of the construction and completion of a tunnel, with approaches and appurtenances thereto, and of the acquisition of lands and easements therefor under the elevation whereon is situated Buena Vista Park in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, pursuant to the resolution of intention of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County in that behalf, being Resolution No. 20003 (New Series), approved June 3, 1922.

The Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco having filed with the Board of Supervisors of said City and County a report on the above-entitled matter, pursuant to Sections 6 and 45 of the Tunnel Procedure Ordinance, the said report containing and showing all the matters and things required to be therein contained and shown by the provisions of said Sections 6 and 45;

And the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors having upon the filing of the said report given notice of such filing and of the time within which all objections thereto should be filed by the publication of the notice required and provided for by Sections 7 and 46 of said the Tunnel Procedure Ordinance in the manner therein provided;

And the time for filing protests to said report of the Board of Public Works having expired, and certain protests having been filed;

Resolved, That the 31st day of October, 1922, at 3 o'clock p. m. of that day, in the chambers or meeting room of said Board of Supervisors, in the City Hall in said City and County of San Francisco, be and the same is hereby fixed as the time and place for the hearing of all protests filed with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to the said tunnel construction, or to the plans or specifications therefor, or to the extent of the assessment district defined in the said resolution of intention of the Board of Supervisors, or to the amount of damages or compensation determined by the Board of Public Works and shown by its said report as the result of the said tunnel construction, or to the omission

of said Board of Public Works to determine or award any damages with respect to any property claimed to be damaged as the result of said tunnel construction, or to the award of damages or compensation for the acquisition shown by the said report of said Board of Public Works, or to any act, determination or proceeding of the Board of Supervisors or of the Board of Public Works in relation to such construction, or to any other matter in connection therewith as to which any person would have a legal right of protest and for the hearing of any and all protests as to any or all of said matters or things.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Street Lights.

Supervisor Power presented:

Resolution No. 20372 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company is hereby instructed to install street lights as follows:

#### *Install 250 M. R.*

Twenty-fourth avenue between Fulton and Cabrillo streets.

Fifteenth avenue between Kirkham and Judah streets.

#### *Install 400 M. R.*

Thirty-first avenue and Irving street.

Thirty-second avenue and Irving street.

Laguna Honda and Merced avenue.

#### *Install 600 M. R.*

South side of Portola drive, opposite Kensington way.

South side of Portola drive, tenth and fifteenth poles east of Kensington way.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Action Deferred.

The following matter was presented and on motion *laid over one week*:

#### Spur Track Permit.

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to the Southern Pacific Company, its successors or assigns, to construct, maintain and operate certain industrial spur tracks upon First street, Bryant street and Beale street, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at

will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Southern Pacific Company, its successors or assigns, to construct, maintain and operate certain industrial spur tracks upon and along the following streets, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the westerly line of First street, said point being distant southerly 325 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Brannan street; thence in a northerly direction and diagonally along First street to a point distant 110 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Brannan street and 46 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of First street; thence on a curve to the left to a point on the southerly line of Brannan street, said point being distant 35 feet, more or less, westerly from the easterly line of First street; thence across Brannan street to a point in the northerly line of Brannan street, said point being 38 feet, more or less, westerly from the easterly line of First street; thence on a curve to the right to a point in the center line of First street; thence northerly along the center line of First street to a point distant southerly 138 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Bryant street; thence on a curve to the right, said curve having a radius of 191.53 feet, 135 feet, more or less, to the easterly line of First street, said point being distant southerly 17 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Bryant street; thence continuing on said curve across Southern Pacific Company property to a point on the southerly line of Bryant street, said point being distant easterly 16 feet, more or less, from the easterly line of First street; thence continuing on said curve to a point in Bryant street, said point being distant southerly 25 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Bryant street and distant westerly 125 feet, more or less, from the west line of Fremont street; thence easterly along Bryant street parallel to said northerly line 65 feet, more or less; thence on a curve to the right, said curve having a radius of 250 feet, a distance of 31 feet, more or less; thence easterly along Bryant street crossing Fremont street to a point in Bryant street, said point being distant easterly 82 feet, more or less, from the east line of Fremont street and distant northerly 30 feet, more or less, from the south line of Bryant street; thence on a curve to the left, said curve having a radius of 250 feet for a distance of 31 feet, more or less, to a point, said point being distant easterly 113 feet, more or less, from the east line of Fremont street and distant northerly 25 feet, more or less, from the south line of Bryant street; thence easterly

and parallel to the southerly line of Bryant street a distance of 10 feet, more or less; thence on a curve to the left, said curve having a radius of 250 feet, a distance of 70 feet, more or less, to a point in Beale street, said point being distant southerly 45 feet, more or less, from the north line of Bryant street and distant westerly 80 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of Beale street; thence on a curve to the right, having a radius of 173.77 feet, a distance of 85 feet, more or less, to a point on the northerly line of Bryant street, said point being distant westerly 6 feet, more or less, from the west line of Beale street; thence continuing on said curve across private property to a point on the westerly line of Beale street, said point being distant northerly 8 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Bryant street; thence continuing on said curve to a point in the easterly line of Beale street and distant northerly 75 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Bryant street; thence on a curve to the left having a radius of 250 feet for a distance of 70 feet, more or less, to a point in Beale street, said point being distant westerly 30 feet, more or less, from the easterly line of Beale street and northerly 145 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Bryant street; thence northerly along Beale street to a point, said point being distant westerly 30 feet, more or less, from the easterly line of Beale street and northerly 155 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Bryant street; thence on a curve to the left having a radius of 250 feet for a distance of 31 feet, more or less, to a point; thence westerly along Beale street a distance of 49 feet, more or less, to a point, said point being easterly 43 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of Beale street and distant northerly 240 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Bryant street; thence on a curve to the right having a radius of 250 feet a distance of 31 feet, more or less, to a point in the center line of Beale street, said point being northerly 270 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Bryant street; thence northerly along the center line of Beale street, crossing Harrison, Folsom and Howard streets, to the southerly line of Mission street.

Also, beginning at a point on the center line of Beale street, said point being distant southerly 100 feet, more or less, from the south line of Folsom street; thence on a curve to the right, said curve having a radius of 250 feet for a distance of 31 feet, more or less; thence in a northerly direction crossing Folsom street to a point on the easterly line of Beale street, said point

being distant northerly 85 feet, more or less, from the north line of Folsom street.

Also, beginning at a point in Beale street, said point being distant southerly 35 feet, more or less, from the south line of Folsom street and 30 feet, more or less, from the easterly line of Beale street; thence on a curve to the right (the point of beginning of said curve forms an angle of 14 deg. 20 min. with a line drawn through said point and parallel with the center line of Beale street), said curve having a radius of 250 feet for a distance of 31 feet, more or less; thence in an easterly direction crossing Folsom street to a point on the north line of Folsom street, said point being easterly 15 feet, more or less, from the east line of Beale street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted, and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as through the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by the Southern Pacific Company.

Provided, further, that the sidewalk width on the west side of Beale street between Howard and Folsom streets be reduced from twenty-two feet to fifteen feet, any expense due to this change of sidewalk width to be borne by the Southern Pacific Company; also, that the fire cintetrn at Beale street and Howard street be reinforced, and that care be taken to protect all high-pressure lines; also, that girder rails be used throughout, and that all pavement disturbed be reconstructed; all work to be done under the supervision and to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works; also, that the spur track be terminated at a point one hundred feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Mission street, in order to keep the approaches from Mission street into Beale street clear all the time.

Section 2. Said tracks shall be used for the transportation of freight only and shall not be used as a main line or a part thereof.

Said tracks shall be laid level with the street and must be operated under such restrictions as to interfere to

the least possible degree with the use of said streets by the public.

The Southern Pacific Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps, to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### Bread for Public Institutions.

The following resolutions, together with the majority and minority reports, were *laid over one week*:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this city has been purchasing bread under contract for all departments except the Relief Home for a number of years. These contracts have been let quarterly and the bread for the last quarter was purchased for 4½ cents per pound. This quarter the price of bread has been raised to 7½ cents per pound. This would mean a loss of \$3,500 a quarter, or \$14,000 per year.

Whereas, the Relief Home has been making its own bread at a cost of 2¾ cents per pound. If bread can be made at this public institution for 2¾ cents per pound, the same could be and should be made at all city institutions, which would mean a saving of \$5,400 a quarter, or \$21,600 per year.

Resolved, That the Department of Public Health be requested to have all the bread needed for their institutions made in the Relief Home, and that the Sheriff be requested to make the bread for all other city departments that use same; further

Resolved, That this resolution be referred to the Supplies Committee, that the Department of Public Health and the Sheriff be sent a copy of same, and that a meeting of the Supplies Committee with these two departments be held on Wednesday, October 11, 1922, at 2 p. m., for the purpose of devising ways and means for the City to make its own bread and save \$21,600 per year.

#### Award of Contract, Bread.

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 20373 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Old Homestead Bakery Inc. be and hereby is awarded a contract for furnishing bread to public institutions of the City and County of San Francisco, during October, November, December, 1922, at seven and one-half (7½) cents per pound, in conformity with its bid submitted September 18, 1922; that said contractor shall furnish a bond in the sum of \$500 for the faithful performance of said contract; that the sufficiency of the sureties upon said bond

shall be subject to the approval of the Mayor; that all other bids submitted for said article are hereby rejected.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**S. F. S. P. C. A. Drive Endorsed.**

Supervisor McGregor presented:

Resolution No. 20375 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals is now endeavoring to raise \$35,000 to meet its immediate needs efficiently to continue its service to the public, and

Whereas, the society is performing a humane, educational, economic and sanitary work, and

Whereas, this society has maintained 23 drinking troughs throughout the city; has generously cared for the discharged old horses of the Fire Department and other departments of the city at its animals home farm; aided in keeping traffic clear by the use of its ambulances to remove fallen and helpless horses, and otherwise has aided city officials and departments in many ways without any form of recompense; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby commend to citizens of San Francisco the valuable and necessary work being done by this organization and that it hereby urges them to the best of their ability to aid the society in this, its first call for financial assistance in the fifty-four years of its existence.

*Adopted under suspension of the rules by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Passed for Printing.**

The following bill was *passed for printing* under suspension of the rules:

**Spur Track Permit.**

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill: Bill No. 6152, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors to Sharon Estate Company, to construct a spur track over and across Stanford street at a point two hundred and eighty-eight feet nine inches more or less northwesterly

from the northwesterly line of Townsend street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Sharon Estate Company to construct a spur track over and across Stanford street at a point two hundred and eighty-eight feet nine inches more or less northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Townsend street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office; and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of pavement, and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by Sharon Estate Company.

Provided that two brick catchbasins be constructed at the easterly and westerly side of Stanford street north of the proposed spur track and connected with the main sewer with ten-inch culverts and a brick manhole to intercept the drainage which the construction of a spur track will interfere with, and that girder rails be used in the construction of this spur where it crosses Stanford street, also that the existing lamp posts be relocated, and that all pavements and sidewalks disturbed by the construction of the proposed spur be reconstructed.

Provided that the Sharon Estate Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**Finance Committee to Recommend Acquisition of Land for Playgrounds.**

Supervisor Morgan presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Finance Committee be requested to initiate proceedings as soon as may be possible or expedient, looking to the acquisition of lands for playground purposes, as set forth in the Budget for the Fiscal Year 1922-1923, viz.:

Budget Item No. 61—Funston Playground, \$20,000;

Budget Item No. 62—University Mound Playground, \$20,000;

Budget Item No. 63—Glen Park Playground, \$10,000;

Budget Item No. 64—Ocean View Playground, \$20,000;

Budget Item No. 65—Margaret Hayward Playground, equipment, \$15,000;

Budget Item No. 66—Telegraph Hill Improvement, \$25,000;

Budget Item No. 66a—Purchase additional land, James Lick School Yard, \$25,000;

Budget Item No. 67—For purchase of land from Market Street Railway, \$8,000.

*Referred to Lands and Tunnels Committee.*

#### Announcements.

*Supervisor Mulvihill* announced a meeting of Streets and Commercial Development Committee for consideration of the Holman spur track Thursday at 2 p. m.

*Supervisor Scott* moved that the Clerk be directed to communicate with his Honor Mayor Rolph, expressing the sympathy of the Board of Supervisors and expressing the hope for his speedy recovery.

*So ordered.*

#### Stadium Driving Course.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution ———, Requesting that driving course in Stadium, Golden Gate Park, be put in good working condition.

*Referred to Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee.*

#### ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 5:55 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, November 13, 1922.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series), of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

first street, commencing at a point 92 feet 6 inches westerly from the westerly line of Guerrero street and running thence westerly to a point 92 feet 6 inches easterly from the easterly line of Dolores street, which said set-back line shall be eight feet distant from and parallel with the line of Twenty-first street.

Yours very truly,

CITY PLANNING COMMISSION,  
ROBT. S. WOODWARD,  
Secretary.

Report of Committee on Civil Service  
Standardization of Salaries and Retirement System.

Supervisor Schmitz presented:

San Francisco, Oct. 23, 1922.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors:

Your Committee on Civil Service, Standardization of Salaries and Retirement System respectfully reports the following transactions had at its meeting on the 18th inst.:

Moved and carried that the eleven women clerks in the Assessor's office now being paid \$125 per month be increased to \$175 per month, the rate now being paid to other permanent clerks in that office doing similar class of work.

Moved and carried that salaries of employees in the Juvenile Court be increased as follows:

Thirteen deputy probation officers, from \$150 to \$175.

One cashier and bookkeeper, from \$150 to \$175.

One filing clerk and interpreter, from \$125 to \$150.

It is also recommended that the assistant horticultural inspector, W. J. Burke, be increased from \$150 to \$175.

The petition of Daniel V. Drew, stenographer for the Coroner, for a salary commensurate with the services performed, was laid over for consideration until next meeting.

Respectfully submitted,

E. E. SCHMITZ,  
WARREN SHANNON,  
JNO. D. HYNES,  
RICHARD J. WELCH,  
WM. SCOTT.

Committee on Civil Service, Standardization of Salaries and Retirement System.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

#### REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.

The following committees, by their respective chairmen, presented reports on various matters referred, which reports were read and ordered *filed*:

Supplies Committee, by Supervisors Rossi, chairman.

Fire Committee, by Supervisor Deasy, chairman.

Public Buildings Committee, by Supervisor Scott, chairman.

Streets and Sewers Committee, by Supervisor Mulvihill, chairman.

*Action Deferred.*

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

SPECIAL ORDER. 3:30 P. M.

*In re Bread for Public Institutions.*

Consideration of majority and minority reports on Bread for Public Institutions.

SPECIAL ORDER. 3 P. M.

Southern Pacific Spur Track.

The following bill, laid over from last meeting, was *taken up*:

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to the Southern Pacific Company, its successors or assigns, to construct, maintain and operate certain industrial spur tracks upon First street, Bryant street and Beale street, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Southern Pacific Company, its successors or assigns, to construct, maintain and operate certain industrial spur tracks upon and along the following streets, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the westerly line of First street, said point being distant southerly 325 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Brannan street; thence in a northerly direction and diagonally along First street to a point distant 110 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Brannan street, and 40 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of First street; thence on a curve to the left to a point on the southerly line of Brannan street, said point being distant 35 feet, more or less, westerly from the easterly line of First street; thence across Brannan street to a point in the northerly line of Brannan street, said point being 38 feet, more or less, westerly from the easterly line of First street; thence on a curve to the right, to a point in the center line of First street; thence northerly along the center line of First street to a point distant southerly 138 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Bryant street; thence on a curve to the right, said curve having a radius of 191.53 feet 135 feet, more or less, to the easterly line of First street, said point being distant southerly 17 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Bryant street; thence continuing on said curve across Southern Pa-

the Company property to a point on the southerly line of Bryant street, said point being distant easterly 16 feet, more or less, from the easterly line of First street; thence continuing on said curve to a point in Bryant street, said point being distant southerly 25 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Bryant street and distant westerly 125 feet, more or less, from the west line of Fremont street; thence easterly along Bryant street parallel to said northerly line 65 feet, more or less; thence on a curve to the right, said curve having a radius of 250 feet, a distance of 31 feet, more or less; thence easterly along Bryant street, crossing Fremont street, to a point in Bryant street, said point being distant easterly 82 feet, more or less, from the east line of Fremont street, and distant northerly 30 feet, more or less, from the south line of Bryant street; thence on a curve to the left, said curve having a radius of 250 feet, for a distance of 31 feet, more or less, to a point, said point being distant easterly 113 feet, more or less, from the east line of Fremont street and distant northerly 25 feet, more or less, from the south line of Bryant street; thence easterly and parallel to the southerly line of Bryant street a distance of 10 feet, more or less; thence on a curve to the left, said curve having a radius of 250 feet, a distance of 70 feet, more or less, to a point in Beale street, said point being distant southerly 45 feet, more or less, from the north line of Bryant street, and distant westerly 10 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of Beale street; thence on a curve to the right, having a radius of 73.77 feet, a distance of 85 feet, more or less, to a point on the northerly line of Bryant street, said point being distant westerly 6 feet, more or less, from the west line of Beale street; thence continuing on said curve across private property to a point on the westerly line of Beale street, said point being distant northerly 8 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Bryant street; thence continuing on said curve to a point in Beale street, said point being distant westerly 40 feet, more or less, from the easterly line of Beale street and distant northerly 75 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Bryant street; thence on a curve to the left, having a radius of 250 feet, for a distance of 70 feet, more or less, to a point in Beale street, said point being distant westerly 30 feet, more or less, from the easterly line of Beale street and northerly 145 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Bryant street; thence northerly along Beale

street to a point, said point being distant westerly 30 feet, more or less, from the easterly line of Beale street and northerly 155 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Bryant street; thence on a curve to the left, having a radius of 250 feet, for a distance of 31 feet, more or less, to a point; thence westerly along Beale street a distance of 49 feet, more or less, to a point, said point being easterly 43 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of Beale street and distant northerly 240 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Bryant street; thence on a curve to the right, having a radius of 250 feet, a distance of 31 feet, more or less, to a point in the center line of Beale street, said point being northerly 270 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Bryant street; thence northerly along the center line of Beale street, crossing Harrison, Folsom and Howard streets, to the southerly line of Mission street.

Also, beginning at a point on the center line of Beale street, said point being distant southerly 100 feet, more or less, from the south line of Folsom street; thence on a curve to the right, said curve having a radius of 250 feet, for a distance of 31 feet, more or less; thence in a northerly direction, crossing Folsom street, to a point on the easterly line of Beale street, said point being distant northerly 85 feet, more or less, from the north line of Folsom street.

Also, beginning at a point in Beale street, said point being distant southerly 35 feet, more or less, from the south line of Folsom street, and 30 feet, more or less, from the easterly line of Beale street; thence on a curve to the right (the point of beginning of said curve forms an angle of 14 degrees 20 minutes with a line drawn through said point and parallel with the center line of Beale street), said curve having a radius of 250 feet, for a distance of 31 feet, more or less; thence in an easterly direction, crossing Folsom street, to a point on the north line of Folsom street, said point being easterly 15 feet, more or less, from the east line of Beale street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted, and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by

the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement, and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by the Southern Pacific Company.

Provided further, that the sidewalk width on the west side of Beale street between Howard and Harrison streets be reduced from twenty-two feet to fifteen feet; any expense due to this change of sidewalk width to be borne by the Southern Pacific Company; also that the fire cistern at Beale street and Howard street be reinforced, and that care be taken to protect all high pressure lines; also, that girder rails be used throughout, and that all pavement disturbed be reconstructed; all work to be done under the supervision and to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works; also, that the spur track be terminated at a point one hundred feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Mission street, in order to keep the approaches from Mission street into Beale street clear all the time.

Section 2. Said tracks shall be used for the transportation of freight only, and shall not be used as a main line or a part thereof.

Said tracks shall be laid level with the street and must be operated under such restrictions as to interfere to the least possible degree with the use of said streets by the public.

The Southern Pacific Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted are lamps, to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

M. J. Mertens, representing Mrs. A. B. Savage, property owner, was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board. He declared at the outset that he was not opposed to a spur track on Beale street, but wanted it put where it would do the most good and would not interfere with the general traffic of the street. He suggested that the track be put on the sidewalks, as required in the Belshaw permit. He called attention and alleged a wide difference between the terms of the application for the spur track and the terms of the ordinance granting it.

#### Amendments.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolved, That Section 2 of bill granting permission to the Southern Pacific Company to construct certain spur tracks on First street, Brvant street and Beale street is amended by adding to Section 2 of said bill the following provision:

Provided, that any publicly or privately owner railroad hereafter desiring to operate freight cars on said spur tracks, or any part thereof, shall be permitted to operate freight cars on said spur tracks, or such part thereof, upon said railroad paying to said Southern Pacific Company and any other railroad operating freight cars on said spur tracks, or such part thereof, its proportion of the cost of the construction of the spur tracks, or part thereof, on which it desires to operate freight cars, said proportion to be so calculated as to have each railroad operating on any part of said spur tracks pay an equal proportion of the cost of constructing said part.

*Referred to Streets and Commercial Development Committee.*

#### Amendments.

Supervisor Welch presented the following proposed amendments, which he said were prepared by the City Engineer's office:

"Provided, that the Southern Pacific shall allow any other transcontinental railroad or railroads to make a physical connection with said track and acquire equal interest and joint use of same upon such railroad or railroads paying to the Southern Pacific Company its pro rata of the cost of construction of said track and appurtenances to be used by such railroad or railroads jointly.

And provided further, that the State Belt Railway at any time after the granting hereof may purchase the said spur in its entirety by paying to the Southern Pacific or other transcontinental railroads the cost of constructing said spur, and may thereafter use the said spur and its appurtenances as a part of the present State Belt Railway system.

And provided further, that the State Belt Railway shall not have the right to acquire said spur until such a time as it may make a physical connection therewith."

*Referred to Streets and Commercial Development Committees.*

Jas. B. Moore, representing the Western Pacific Railroad, was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board. He declared that he did not appear as a protestant, but was there merely to ask that every railroad be granted the right to come in and use the proposed spur track upon the payment of its pro rata of the cost of construction. He did not think that reference to Ordinance No. 69 relative to the granting of spur track permits was sufficient to cover this point and wanted to be assured by the writing into the permit the language of the Charter. Either of the proposed amendments, he said, would cover the point. Where there is a volume of business, he said,

proprietary lines have a great advantage. He asked that the Western Pacific be permitted when the time comes to come in and pay the Southern Pacific its proportion of the cost of the road and that the Western Pacific have equal use of the tracks with the Southern Pacific. This same condition, he said, now exists on Illinois street and in Oakland and on many tracks in San Francisco.

Milo Kent, attorney for the Santa Fe, he said, who was here last week but could not be present this week, was of the same mind and would make a similar request.

In reply to a query of Supervisor McGregor, he declared that it was most desirable to have the tracks in the middle of the street.

A. J. Gallagher, representing the Southern Promotion Association, declared that there was no intention to exclude other railroads and quoted section of the Charter covering the point in issue. He urged that there be no needless delay in granting the proposed permit.

John McLaughlin, representing the Brotherhood of Teamsters, was also granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board. He declared that this proposed spur track on Beale street was only an attempt of the Southern Pacific Company to grab another street. He cited Spear street as an instance in point. He said that the granting of the permit for a spur track in the middle of Beale street would "destroy our only teaming artery in that district." He strenuously opposed the spur in the middle of Beale street, but offered no objection if the tracks were put on the sidewalks, but provision should be made for night switching only.

John O'Connell, representing the Brotherhood of Teamsters, declared that the teamsters were the best friends of the merchants; that the teamsters were not opposed to spur tracks, but wanted some consideration given to the safety of life and limb for the teamsters.

M. Vizard, representing the Draymen's Association, also addressed the Board. He said, in part: "We are selfish, you say, in our opposition. Yes, we are, and so is the railroad and others. We want to make money the same as they. The South of Market district is the only district our teams can operate in profitably and we are strenuously opposed to any action that will drive us from our only artery."

A. K. Frye, representing the Industrial Department of the Southern Pacific, declared that he estimated that San Francisco loses an industry every day because we have no place to put them with proper spur track accommo-

dations. We never will have spur tracks, he said, if the property owners have to pay for them. He explained the details of operation and charges as regards the use of the proposed tracks by other railroad companies and urged that there be no delay in passing the bill.

#### Motion.

Supervisor Mulvihill moved that both amendments and suggestions be referred to the Streets and Commercial Development Committee and that the bill be laid over one week.

Supervisor Welch moved that the Clerk be instructed to prepare and present an ordinance for reference to the Streets Committee reducing the width of sidewalks on Beale street between Bryant street and a point 100 feet southerly from Mission street to ten feet.

#### So ordered.

C. J. Healy, representing the City Engineer's office, declared that it will be better for the teaming interests if the tracks are put in the center of the street. The spurs can then curve into the property, leaving street clear from property line to property line. If tracks are on the sidewalks they will be that much narrower. With respect to the protest of Mr. Merriens, he said the drill track in the center of the street might be split at Howard street and run on the sidewalks between Howard and Mission streets, but would not recommend that that be done. The granting of this permit, he said, will not make a railroad yard of Beale street, the use of girder rails will make the street just as available for teaming as at present. The bill, however, should be amended so as to permit night switching only.

#### Motion Carried.

Whereupon, Supervisor Mulvihill's motion was carried.

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

##### Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed or printing, were taken up and finally passed by the following vote:

##### Authorizations.

Resolution No. 20376 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

##### Special School Tax.

(1) C. L. Wold, first payment, general construction, Pacific Heights School (claim dated Oct. 11, 1922), \$1,682.13.

(2) John Morton, fifth payment, general construction, Oral Deaf School (claim dated Oct. 11, 1922), \$1,537.69.

(3) Joseph Greenback, third payment, lathing, etc., of Emerson School (claim dated Oct. 11, 1922), \$4,149.61.

*County Road Fund.*

(4) Pacific States Construction Co., final payment, improvement of Great Highway between Cabrillo and Fulton streets (claim dated Oct. 11, 1922), \$6,325.38.

*Library Fund.*

(5) Newbegin's, public library books (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$672.

(6) S. F. News Co., public library books (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$2,090.41.

(7) S. F. News Co., public library books (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$1,150.39.

(8) G. E. Stechert & Co., public library books (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$1,632.65.

(9) Foster & Futerick Co., book binding (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$618.75.

*Tearing Up Streets Fund.*

(10) T. M. Gallagher, repaving side sewer trenches (claim dated Oct. 11, 1922), \$1,204.70.

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(11) Gilley-Schmid Co., third payment, plumbing for Hancock School (claim dated Oct. 11, 1922), \$698.75.

(12) C. Peterson Co., fifth payment, heating and ventilating, North Beach (Galileo) High School (claim dated Oct. 11, 1922), \$2,310.

(13) C. F. Weber & Co., desks for Jefferson School (claim dated Oct. 10, 1922), \$535.20.

*Water Bonds, 1910.*

(14) Grant Smith & Co., second payment, construction of Pulgas Tunnel, Hetch Hetchy Water Supply (claim dated Oct. 7, 1922), \$16,628.25.

(15) Allis-Chalmers Mfg. Co., transformers (claim dated Oct. 6, 1922), \$12,105.

(16) Bodinson Mfg. Co., Inc., belt conveyors, etc. (claim dated Oct. 6, 1922), \$1,551.

(17) The B. F. Goodrich Rubber Co., belting (claim dated Oct. 6, 1922), \$626.80.

(18) Fred L. Hilmer Co., eggs (claim dated Oct. 6, 1922), \$990.25.

(19) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers attached (claim dated Oct. 6, 1922), \$928.50.

(20) The Pelton Water Wheel Co., Inc., water wheel parts (claim dated Oct. 6, 1922), \$2,121.95.

(21) Tuolumne Foundry & Machine Works, machine parts (claim dated Oct. 9, 1922), \$616.87.

*General Fund, 1920-1921.*

(22) Louis J. Cohn, fifth payment, general construction of exhibition

structure, Ferry Building (claim dated Oct. 11, 1922), \$1,218.75.

*General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(23) Clinton Construction Co., final payment, construction of Section "C," Ocean Beach Esplanade (claim dated Oct. 11, 1922), \$1,000.

(24) Schultz Construction Co., final payment, improvement of Liberty and of Sanchez streets (claim dated Oct. 11, 1922), \$6,096.

*General Fund, 1922-1923.*

(25) Dudley B. Perkins, motor-cycles furnished Police Department (claim dated Sept. 25, 1922), \$1,425.

(26) Producers' Hay Co., hay, Police Department (claim dated Sept. 25, 1922), \$896.84.

(27) Associated Oil Co., gasoline, Police Department (claim dated Sept. 25, 1922), \$679.35.

(28) J. E. French Co., one Dodge auto, Police Department (claim dated Sept. 25, 1922), \$1,090.50.

(29) D. J. O'Brien, Police contingent expense (claim dated Sept. 25, 1922), \$750.

(30) The White Automobile Co., one White auto for Coroner (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$3,868.25.

(31) St. Mary's Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Oct. 10, 1922), \$599.

(32) Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 10, 1922), \$1,522.50.

(33) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Oct. 10, 1922), \$2,701.86.

(34) Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Oct. 10, 1922), \$665.

(35) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Oct. 10, 1922), \$1,160.01.

(36) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Oct. 10, 1922), \$1,635.72.

(37) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated Oct. 10, 1922), \$8,482.82.

(38) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated Oct. 10, 1922), \$14,581.89.

(39) Enreka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Oct. 10, 1922), \$3,918.54.

(40) St. Catherine's Training Home, maintenance of minors at Magdalen Asylum (claim dated Oct. 10, 1922), \$651.86.

(41) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors at State schools (claim dated Oct. 10, 1922), \$701.94.

(42) American LaFrance Co., Fire Department apparatus parts (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$766.49.

(43) The Seagrave Co., Fire Department apparatus parts (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$668.80.

(44) Associated Oil Co., fuel oil, Fire Dept. (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$648.88.

(45) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electricity, Fire Dept. (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$1,368.16.

(46) Spring Valley Water Co., water for fire boats and installation of hydrants (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$1,132.04.

(47) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished Fire Dept., distributing system, etc. (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$2,261.09.

(48) Johnson & Johnson, supplies furnished San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$752.32.

(49) Elliott-Fisher Company, six book typewriters for County Clerk (claim dated Aug. 14, 1922), \$1,498.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Appropriations, Margaret Hayward and Funston Playgrounds.**

Resolution No. 20377 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$15,000, Budget Item No. 65, for Margaret Hayward Playground equipment, and the sum of \$20,000, Budget Item No. 61, for Funston Playground, be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated to the credit of Budget Item No. 493 (Playgrounds), to be expended by the Playground Commission on said Margaret Hayward and Funston playgrounds.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Authorizations.

Resolution No. 20378 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

#### Park Fund.

(1) Spring Valley Water Co., labor and material furnished Lake Merced links (claim dated Oct. 6, 1922), \$6,006.24.

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(2) The Etherton Co., ninth payment, general construction, Adams School (claim dated Oct. 4, 1922), \$1,682.89.

(3) M. B. McGowan, first payment, brick and hollow tile, North Beach (Galileo) High School (claim dated Oct. 4, 1922), \$3,738.75.

(4) M. B. McGowan, second payment, brick and terra cotta, Columbus

School (claim dated Oct. 4, 1922), \$1,997.85.

(5) The Scott Co., fourth payment, plumbing, Mission High School Addition (claim dated Oct. 4, 1922), \$1,191.27.

*Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.*

(6) American Car Co., first payment, street car trucks, contract 125, Sec. "B", Municipal Railways (claim dated Oct. 4, 1922), \$5,906.25.

(7) American Car Co., first payment, street car bodies, contract 125, Sec. "A", Municipal Railways (claim dated Oct. 4, 1922), \$15,561.

(8) United States Steel Products Co., final payment, track material, contract 127, Secs. "A" and "B" (claim dated Oct. 4, 1922), \$1,386.24.

#### Municipal Railway Fund.

(9) Street Repair Department, Board of Public Works, reconstruction of Municipal Railway roadbed in Columbus avenue from Montgomery street westerly; to credit of General Fund, 1921-1922 (claim dated Oct. 5, 1922), \$24,935.73.

#### County Road Fund.

(10) Raiseb Improvement Co., final payment, improvement of San Jose avenue between Ottawa and Sickles avenue (claim dated Oct. 4, 1922), \$11,414.71.

#### Special School Tax, 1921-1922.

(11) C. F. Weber & Co., desks for Commodore Sloat School (claim dated Oct. 3, 1922), \$1,298.20.

(12) C. F. Weber & Co., desks for McKinley School (claim dated Oct. 3, 1922), \$1,591.05.

(13) C. F. Weber & Co., desks for Parkside School (claim dated Oct. 3, 1922), \$749.40.

#### Special School Tax, 1922-1923.

(14) Butte Electric & Mfg. Co., third payment, electric work, Emerson School (claim dated Oct. 4, 1922), \$780.

(15) P. F. Reilly, final payment, general construction of temporary buildings for Mission High and Humboldt Evening High Schools (claim dated Oct. 4, 1922), \$622.50.

(16) J. E. O'Mara, second payment, plumbing for Oral Deaf School (claim dated Oct. 4, 1922), \$543.04.

(17) James F. Smith, second payment, lathing and plastering Mission High School Addition (claim dated Oct. 4, 1922), \$5,220.

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(18) Utah Construction Co., thirty-fifth payment, construction of Hetch Hetchy dam and appurtenances (claim dated Oct. 5, 1922), \$259,566.53.

(19) Anglo-California Trust Co., assignee of United Commercial Co., relaying Hetch Hetchy railroad rails, etc. (claim dated Oct. 3, 1922), \$3,116.86.

(20) Baumgarten Bros., foodstuffs (claim dated Oct. 3, 1922), \$1,437.30.

(21) Bush Electric Corporation, electric supplies (claim dated Oct. 3, 1922), \$867.

(22) S. A. Ferretti, meats (claim dated Oct. 3, 1922), \$533.12.

(23) E. I. DuPont De Nemours & Co., Inc., gelatin powder (claim dated Oct. 2, 1922), \$4,699.73.

(24) Fred L. Hilmer Co., eggs (claim dated Oct. 3, 1922), \$2,325.32.

(25) Miller & Lux, Inc., meats (claim dated Oct. 3, 1922), \$904.65.

(26) J. F. Mitchell, machine parts (claim dated Oct. 3, 1922), \$1,674.38.

(27) Moloney Electric Co., electric transformers (claim dated Oct. 3, 1922), \$2,364.29.

(28) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Oct. 3, 1922), \$6,762.50.

(29) Standard Oil Co., fuel oil (claim dated Oct. 3, 1922), \$1,589.91.

(30) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premiums, Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Oct. 3, 1922), \$12,794.04.

(31) Fredwin H. Somers, payment for land in San Mateo County required for Hetch Hetchy right of way, per Resolution No. 20283 (New Series) (claim dated Oct. 3, 1922), \$4,160.

(32) General Electric Co., first payment, electric generators for Moccasin Creek (claim dated Oct. 3, 1922), \$45,935.81.

(33) The Pelton Water Wheel Co., first payment for water wheels for Moccasin Creek (claim dated Oct. 3, 1922), \$4,655.76.

(34) Baumgarten Bros., meats (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$1,348.59.

(35) Continental Petroleum Refining Co., fuel oil (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$958.49.

(36) Continental Petroleum Refining Co., fuel oil (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$1,462.75.

(37) Continental Steel & Supply Co., drill steel (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$1,021.50.

(38) The Denver Rock Drill Mfg. Co., drills (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$870.

(39) L. Dinkelpiel Co., Inc., blankets, etc. (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$889.06.

(40) Goodyear Rubber Co., rubber supplies (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$727.23.

(41) The Gutta Percha & Rubber Mfg. Co., air drill hose (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$816.

(42) Frederick A. Hamilton, sterilizers (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$1,137.

(43) Hercules Powder Co., powder, etc. (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$5,312.86.

(44) Fred L. Hilmer Co., eggs (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$2,202.97.

(45) Hoar Shovel Agency, shovel parts (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$553.16.

(46) Ingersoll-Rand Co., machine parts (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$2,805.95.

(47) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers attached (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$1,396.90.

(48) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers attached (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$1,512.36.

#### *General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(49) I. M. Sommer & Co., fifth payment, construction of Fire Department drill tower (claim dated Oct. 4, 1922), \$1,511.93.

#### *General Fund, 1922-1923.*

(50) J. D. O'Mara, first payment, plumbing and heating, Fire Department, Engine House No. 39 (claim dated Oct. 4, 1922), \$632.44.

(51) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., surface heater royalties (claim dated Sept. 28, 1922), \$2,069.60.

(52) Niles Sand, Gravel and Rock Co., gravel (claim dated Sept. 28, 1922), \$622.17.

(53) Pacific Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Oct. 3, 1922), \$516.50.

(54) Spring Valley Water Co., water for street cleaning (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$501.33.

(55) Shell Company, fuel oil (claim dated Sept. 28, 1922), \$526.50.

(56) Shell Company, fuel oil (claim dated Sept. 28, 1922), \$763.80.

(57) Spring Valley Water Co., water for playgrounds (claim dated Oct. 4, 1922), \$952.10.

(58) Spring Valley Water Co., water through Fire Department hydrants (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$13,698.46.

(59) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated Oct. 6, 1922), \$11,056.61.

(60) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated Oct. 6, 1922), \$925.

(61) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated Oct. 6, 1922), \$8,606.57.

(62) Hooper & Jennings, groceries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$1,188.12.

(63) Golden State Baking Co., bread, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$538.41.

(64) Smith, Lyndon & Co., groceries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$917.54.

(65) Miller & Lux, meats, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$1,357.01.

(66) Makins Produce Co., eggs, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$1,885.70.

(67) Sherry Bros., butter, etc., San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$1,116.45.

(68) C. Nauman & Co., fruits, etc., San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$1,256.43.

(69) South San Francisco Packing and Provision Co., meats, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$674.07.

(70) California Meat Co., meats, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$513.87.

(71) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$3,073.06.

(72) Shell Oil Co., fuel oil, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$2,398.50.

(73) Levi Strauss & Co., dry goods, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$602.50.

(74) L. Dinkelspiel & Co., dry goods, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$1,885.98.

(75) Walton N. Moore Co., dry goods, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$1,189.47.

(76) Spring Valley Water Co., water for hospitals (claim dated Aug. 31, 1922), \$1,609.98.

(77) The White Company, one White truck chassis for Emergency Hospitals (claim dated Oct. 3, 1922), \$3,920.75.

(78) The Recorder Printing and Publishing Co., printing and publishing Law Motion-Trial Calendar, etc. (claim dated Oct. 9, 1922), \$665.

(79) The San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated Oct. 9, 1922), \$1,000.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Appropriations.

Resolution No. 20379 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

#### *Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.*

(1) For furnishing and delivering street car trucks for Municipal Railways in accordance with Section "B," Contract No. 132, awarded Standard Motor Truck Co., \$28,551.68.

(2) Maximum possible bonus, freight, inspection, incidentals and extras on above contract, \$5,348.32.

(3) For reconstruction of crane house at municipal pipe yard and putting yard tracks in condition to per-

mit of operation of crane over them, \$2,500.

(4) For installing poles and electrical conductors on Taraval street line of Municipal Railways from Thirtieth-third avenue to Forty-eighth avenue; additional appropriation to complete payment, \$1,150.

#### *Municipal Railway Fund.*

(5) To balance deficit in appropriations heretofore for switching expense over Ocean Shore Railroad, \$1,000.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Permits.

Resolution No. 20380 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

#### *Public Garage.*

Albert Rossi, Pasquale Franceschini, Luigi Delia Santina, Michael Volpi and E. Lorenzzini, at 531-535 Washington street, extending through to 516-528 Merchant street; also to store 300 gallons of gasoline on premises.

Bothin Real Estate Co., at westerly termination of Natoma street (west of New Montgomery street), between Hunt street and Sherwood place; also to store 300 gallons of gasoline on premises.

#### *Transfer Public Garage.*

To E. M. Harber and R. Schnutenhaus, permit granted by Resolution No. 18733 (New Series) to McKillop & Jones for premises situate on east side of Leavenworth street, 68 feet 9 inches north of Sutter street (No. 818 Leavenworth street).

#### *Boiler.*

K. Bruchsaler (K. B. Manufacturing Co.), at 222 Eighth street, 10 horsepower.

#### *Oil Storage Tank.*

White Estate, at 130 Leidesdorff street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Kincanon & Perego, on west side of Leavenworth street, 100 feet north of Geary street, 1500 gallons capacity.

G. F. Bernard, at northwest corner of Cole street and Parnassus avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

James Welch, at northeast corner of Taylor and Derby streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Al McCarmack, at southwest corner of Gray and Scott streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. C. Hunter, at 2600 Green street, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. S. Moody, at 3508 Clay street, 600 gallons capacity.

The Rector, Wardens and Vestry of Trinity Church, at northeast corner of Bush and Gough streets, 600 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Auto Supply Station and Oil Permits.**

Resolution No. 20381 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

*Automobile Supply Station.*

Associated Oil Co., at southwest corner of Eighteenth and Valencia streets; also to store 2000 gallons of gasoline.

Lubricating Gasoline Co., at southwest corner of Tenth and Mission streets; also to store 2000 gallons of gasoline.

*Oil Storage Tank.*

Clara D. Stanford, at 1901 Pacific avenue; 600 gallons gasoline.

Kieman & O'Brien, on north side of Geary street, 68 feet 9 inches west of Taylor street; 1500 gallons capacity.

Provident Securities Co., on north side of Market street, 300 feet east of Montgomery street; 2100 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Stable Permit.**

Resolution No. 20382 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted George R. Gillagley to maintain a stable for 12 horses at 75 Dore street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit becomes null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Giving Notice of Special Bond Election for Schools and Relief Home.**

Bill No. 6148, Ordinance No. 5728 (New Series), as follows:

Giving notice of a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the 21st day of November, 1922, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County two propositions to incur

a bonded indebtedness by said City and County for

(1) The construction, completion and equipment by the City and County of San Francisco of permanent buildings to be used for public schools and the acquisition of necessary lands therefor.

(2) The construction, completion and equipment of permanent buildings by the City and County of San Francisco to be used as a Relief Home.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the laws of the State of California the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the provisions of Ordinance No. 5693 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, passed and approved September 25, 1922 (reference to said ordinance for further particulars being here made), a special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 21st day of November, 1922, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County propositions to incur a bonded indebtedness for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) The construction, completion and equipment by the City and County of San Francisco of permanent buildings to be used for public schools, and the acquisition of necessary lands therefor.

(2) The construction, completion and equipment of permanent buildings by the City and County of San Francisco to be used as a Relief Home.

Section 2. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in either of said propositions, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors, and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the building or buildings, improvements or lands specified in such proposition and to the amount stated therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated March 1, 1923, shall bear interest at the rate of five per centum per annum, payable semi-annually; shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of the City and County in the City and State of New York.

Section 3. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
STATE OF CALIFORNIA,  
City and County of San Francisco.

## BOND.

No. \_\_\_\_\_ \$1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer on the first day of March, 19——, One Thousand Dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of five per centum per annum, payable semi-annually March 1 and September 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in Gold Coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and Statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and to be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or Statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made as required by the Constitution and Statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. Full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a

statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person, or by attorney duly authorized, on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In Witness Whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor; and has caused interest coupons hereto attached to be signed by the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of March, 1923.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Mayor.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Treasurer.

Countersigned, \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Auditor.

## FORM OF COUPON.

No. \_\_\_\_\_ \$25.00

On \_\_\_\_\_, 19——, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York, Twenty-five Dollars in Gold Coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated March 1, 1923.

No. \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Treasurer.

## FORM OF REGISTRATION.

San Francisco, \_\_\_\_\_, 19——.

This bond is registered pursuant to the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of \_\_\_\_\_, and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Treasurer.

Section 4. Said Twelve Million Dollars bonds to be issued for the purpose stated in proposition one shall be called "School Bonds," shall be numbered from 1 to 12,000, inclusive, shall be dated March 1, 1923, and shall be payable Three Hundred Thousand Dollars thereof five years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and Three Hundred Thousand Dollars thereof of the next higher

numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Said Two Million Dollars bonds to be issued for the purpose stated in proposition two shall be called "Relief Home Bonds"; shall be numbered from 1 to 2000, inclusive; shall be dated March 1, 1923, and shall be payable One Hundred Thousand Dollars thereof five years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and One Hundred Thousand Dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 5. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment of said Twelve Million Dollars bonds issued under said proposition one shall be the sum of Six Hundred Thousand Dollars each year for the first five years from date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the sixth year after the date of said bonds, the sum of Five Hundred Eighty-five Thousand Dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the Three Hundred Thousand Dollars thereof due five years from their date have been paid, and for the seventh year after the date of said bonds the sum of Five Hundred Seventy Thousand Dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the Three Hundred Thousand Dollars thereof due six years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for thirty-eight succeeding years and until said bonds are all paid sufficient for interest, and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of Fifteen Thousand Dollars by reason of the payment each year, beginning five years from the date of said bonds of Three Hundred Thousand Dollars of said bonds and the sum of Three Hundred Thousand Dollars each year beginning four years from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for thirty-nine years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The amount of the tax levy to be made for the payment of the said Two Million Dollars bonds issued under said proposition two shall be the sum of One Hundred Thousand Dollars each year for the first five years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the sixth year after the date of said bonds the sum of

Ninety-five Thousand Dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the One Hundred Thousand Dollars thereof due five years from their date have been paid, and for the seventh year after the date of said bonds the sum of Ninety Thousand Dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the One Hundred Thousand Dollars thereof due six years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for eighteen succeeding years and until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest, and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of Five Thousand Dollars, by reason of the payment each year, beginning five years from the date of said bonds of One Hundred Thousand Dollars of said bonds and the sum of One Hundred Thousand Dollars each year beginning four years from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each year for nineteen years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are, and it is hereby expressly provided that the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 6. The special election, notice of which is hereby given, shall be held and conducted, and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made, and the results thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California, providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls of such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

#### MUNICIPAL TICKET.

To vote for the propositions, or either of them, and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness

for the amount of, and for the purpose stated, in such proposition or propositions, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes."

To vote against the propositions, or either of them, and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the amount of, and for the purpose stated in such proposition or propositions, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Also, said ballot shall have printed thereon the following:

**PROPOSITION ONE.**—To incur a bonded indebtedness by the City and County of San Francisco, California, to the amount of twelve million dollars for the construction, completion and equipment by the City and County of San Francisco of permanent buildings to be used for public schools, and the acquisition of necessary lands therefor. Bonds issued for such purpose shall bear interest at the rate of five per centum per annum, payable semi-annually.

Yes	
No	

**PROPOSITION TWO.**—To incur a bonded indebtedness by the City and County of San Francisco, California, to the amount of two million dollars for the construction, completion and equipment of permanent buildings by the City and County of San Francisco to be used as a Relief Home. Bonds issued for such purpose shall bear interest at the rate of five per centum per annum, payable semi-annually.

Yes	
No	

Sample ballots, containing the above matter required to be printed thereon, shall be supplied to the electors of said City and County by the Board of Election Commissioners, but a failure on the part of any elector to receive such sample ballot shall not be held to invalidate the election or affect in any manner the legality of any bonds that may be authorized thereat.

**Section 7.** Any qualified elector of the City and County of San Francisco may vote at said special election for or against either of the propositions herewithin submitted. To vote in favor of and authorize the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in either of the propositions herein stated he shall stamp a cross (X) in the square to the right of the word "Yes," printed opposite to the proposition, and to vote against and refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in either of the propositions herein stated, he shall stamp a cross (X) in the square to the right of the word "No," printed opposite the proposition.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "Yes" shall be counted as a vote in favor of, and to authorize, the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition opposite to which said cross (X) is stamped, and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "No" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of, and a refusal to authorize, the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition opposite to which such cross (X) is stamped.

The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election and the places of voting and the officers to conduct such election, and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf, shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct said special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election and canvass the votes cast, respectively, for and against the propositions herein stated, and make return thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at special municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections, and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

**Section 8.** This ordinance shall be published for at least fourteen days in the official newspaper.

**Section 9.** This ordinance is the third of a series of ordinances which will be adopted by the Board of Supervisors under and by virtue of which it is proposed that a bonded indebtedness of said City and County will be incurred for the purposes herein enumerated.

**Section 10.** This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Noes—Supervisors Hynes, McSheehy—2.

**Explanation of Vote.**

*Supervisor Hynes* explained his vote by saying that he voted "No" on proposed bond issue for schools because

schools could be constructed without a bond issue; also, that he wished to be recorded as voting "Aye" in favor of a bond issue for the Relief Home.

Supervisor *McSheehy* declared that he voted "No" for the same identical reasons given on passage to print, to-wit:

**McSheehy's Statement for Record.**

On September 5, 1922, as a member of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I voted "No" on the tax rate for the fiscal year of 1922 and 1923, and on final passage of this tax rate on this, the 18th day of September, 1922, I wish to qualify my vote of "No" and have same printed and inserted in the record as follows, to-wit:

1. On May 18, 1922, a budget of five hundred and fifty items was passed, calling for an expenditure of \$24,892,-678.

The Park Fund was allowed an increase of \$225,000—almost 70%.

I asked to cut this item \$100,000, also five other items, making a total of \$189,350, which would amount to three cents on the tax rate.

2. Funds collected from other sources than direct taxation on real and personal property have been under-estimated to the amount of:

Year.	
1917-1918 .....	\$ 183,620.00
1918-1919 .....	432,618.00
1919-1920 .....	280,498.00
1920-1921 .....	562,576.00
1921-1922 .....	550,576.00

Total (5 years).....\$1,989,888.00

Average .....	\$ 397,977.00
Auditor's estimate of funds to be collected this year--1922-1923....	\$3,837,320.00
Finance Committee estimate .....	3,383,000.00

Difference .....

This will amount to 7½ cents on tax rate plus 3 cents on other items, making a total of 11½ cents that the present tax rate can be cut.

As one member of this Board, I feel and know that this city can be run on a \$3.35½ tax rate instead of \$3.47, without impairing the efficiency of this city government in any manner or form.

**Establishing Set-Back Lines of Thirty-third Avenue and on Francisco Street.**

Bill No. 6149, Ordinance No. 5729 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Thirty-third avenue, and Francisco street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that

on the 28th day of August, 1922, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution or Intention No. 2, to establish set-back lines along portions of Thirty-third avenue between Lincoln way and Irving street, and Francisco street between Franklin street and Gough street, and fixed the 18th day of September, 1922, at 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing, the said resolution was published, and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said street in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that no objections were made except those that were duly and properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series) set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Thirty-third avenue between Lincoln way and Irving street, and on the easterly line of Thirty-third avenue, commencing at a point ninety feet southerly from the southerly line of Lincoln way and running thence southerly to the northerly line of Irving street, which said lines shall be twelve feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-third avenue;

Along the northerly side of Francisco street, commencing one hundred feet westerly from the westerly line of Franklin street and running thence westerly to the easterly line of Gough street, which said line shall be twelve feet distant from and parallel with the line of Francisco street.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structure shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street line, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes--Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore--18.

**Zoning Ordinance Amendment, Nineteenth Street, Filbert Street.**

Bill No. 6150, Ordinance No. 5730 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and estab-

ishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 7 of the use of property zone map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northerly side of Nineteenth street between Lapidge street and Guerrero street to a depth of the rear lot line in the Light Industrial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Section 2 of the use of property zone map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place both sides of Filbert street between Divisadero street and Broderick street to the depth of the rear lot lines in the First Residential District instead of the Second Residential District.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch Wetmore—18.

Ordering Street Work, Yosemite Avenue.

Bill No. 6151, Ordinance No. 5731 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 12, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco does hereby determine and declare that the assessment

to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Yosemite avenue between Mendell street and the westerly line of Third street, including the crossing of Yosemite avenue and Lane street*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the crossing of Yosemite avenue and Lane street; by the construction of three brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch iron-stone pipe culverts on the above-mentioned crossing and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

The method of assessment for said improvements determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No. 74495 (Second Series) is hereby confirmed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Spur Track Permit.

Bill No. 6152, Ordinance No. 5732 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors to Sharon Estate Company, to construct a spur track over and across Stanford street at a point two hundred and eighty-eight feet nine inches more or less northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Townsend street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors is hereby granted to Sharon Estate Company to construct a spur track over and across Stanford street at a point two hundred and eighty-eight feet nine inches more or less northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Townsend street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby

granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office; and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of pavement, and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by Sharon Estate Company.

Provided, that two brick catchbasins be constructed at the easterly and westerly side of Stanford street north of the proposed spur track and connected with the main sewer with ten-inch culverts and a brick manhole to intercept the drainage which the construction of a spur track will interfere with, and that girder rails be used in the construction of this spur where it crosses Stanford street, also that the existing lamp posts be relocated, and that all pavements and sidewalks disturbed by the construction of the proposed spur be reconstructed.

Provided, that the Sharon Estate Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Referred.

The following matter heretofore passed for printing was on motion referred to Finance Committee:

#### Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 5970, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 12, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the

direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Precita avenue, between Alabama street and Folsom street, where not already improved, including the intersection of Precita avenue and Harrison street*, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width, where artificial stone sidewalks are not already constructed; by the construction of one brick catchbasin with cast iron frame, grating and trap and 10-inch vitrified, salt-glazed, ironstone pipe culvert on the northwest angular corner of the intersection of Precita avenue and Harrison street, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print and amounting to \$61,600.15, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Recommendation Finance Committee.

M. Moroney, services rendered Lighting Committee, September 1 to 28, inclusive, \$140.

Being payment in full and services discontinued from September 28.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**NEW BUSINESS.****Auditorium Rentals.**

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 20383 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following organizations are hereby granted permission to occupy halls in the Auditorium; rental fees having been paid to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors:

Sisters of the Holy Family, use of the Main Hall, November 14, 8 a. m. to November 18, 12 p. m., for the purpose of holding jubilee celebration, to which no admission fee is to be charged.

Islam Temple of the Shrine, use of the Main Hall, December 23, 1922, 8 a. m. to 6 p. m., for the purpose of holding Christmas Tree festival for the poor children of the City.

The Butchers' Union, use of the Main and Larkin halls, January 13, 1922, 6 p. m. to 2 a. m., for the purpose of holding concert and Grand Ball.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Passed for Printing.**

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

**Authorizations.**

On motion of Supervisor McLeran:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(1) Baumgarten Bros., supplies, Hetch Hetchy water construction (claim dated Oct. 13, 1922), \$1,335.09.

(2) William Cluff Co., supplies (claim dated Oct. 13, 1922), \$1,724.59.

(3) The Grange Co., supplies (claim dated Oct. 13, 1922), \$591.80.

(4) General Electric Co., storage batteries, transformers, etc. (claim dated Oct. 13, 1922), \$14,409.53.

(5) C. A. Hooper & Co., lands in San Mateo County required for aqueduct purposes (claim dated Oct. 13, 1922), \$2,994.

(6) Ingersoll-Rand Co., machine parts (claim dated Oct. 13, 1922), \$4,409.68.

(7) Myers-Whaley Co. Inc., machine parts (claim dated Oct. 13, 1922), \$2,108.04.

(8) J. H. Newbauer & Co., supplies (claim dated Oct. 13, 1922), \$731.88.

(9) Ransome & McClelland, two Ransome mixers (claim dated Oct. 13, 1922), \$4,752.40.

(10) Standard Oil Co. Inc., gasoline and oils (claim dated Oct. 13, 1922), \$731.96.

(11) Standard Oil Co. Inc., fuel oil (claim dated Oct. 13, 1922), \$1,431.25.

(12) Standard Oil Co. Inc., fuel oil, etc. (claim dated Oct. 13, 1922), \$6,719.09.

(13) Standard Oil Co. Inc., fuel oil (claim dated Oct. 13, 1922), \$7,181.66.

(14) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premiums Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Oct. 13, 1922), \$11,392.05.

(15) Sherry Bros. Inc., supplies (claim dated Oct. 13, 1922), \$1,637.92.

(16) Sperry Flour Co., supplies (claim dated Oct. 14, 1922), \$1,092.51.

(17) Tansey-Crowe Co., tires (claim dated Oct. 13, 1922), \$1,083.70.

(18) Virden Packing Co., supplies (claim dated Oct. 13, 1922), \$770.25.

(19) D. N. & E. Walter & Co., linoleum (claim dated Oct. 13, 1922), \$560.

(20) Western Meat Co., supplies (claim dated Oct. 13, 1922), \$1,425.62.

(21) Whitney Engineering Co., machine parts (claim dated Oct. 13, 1922), \$720.10.

(22) California Corrugated Culvert Co., galvanized iron pipe (claim dated Oct. 18, 1922), \$1,033.

(23) William Cluff Co., supplies (claim dated Oct. 18, 1922), \$794.

(24) Engineering Products Co., switching material (claim dated Oct. 18, 1922), \$535.40.

(25) Enterprise Foundry Co., car wheels, etc. (claim dated Oct. 18, 1922), \$507.45.

(26) Foppiano, Solari & Co., supplies (claim dated Oct. 16, 1922), \$516.

(27) Haas Bros., supplies (claim dated Oct. 18, 1922), \$1,280.

(28) Hercules Powder Co., fuse, blasting caps, etc. (claim dated Oct. 18, 1922), \$3,940.94.

(29) Fred L. Hilmer Co., supplies (claim dated Oct. 18, 1922), \$1,113.10.

(30) Keystone Lubricating Co., lubricating grease (claim dated Oct. 18, 1922), \$1,387.56.

(31) R. E. Noble & Co., sampling and supervising cement shipments (claim dated Oct. 18, 1922), \$626.85.

(32) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Oct. 16, 1922), \$1,606.13.

(33) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Oct. 18, 1922), \$2,335.65.

(34) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., mazda lamps (claim dated Oct. 18, 1922), \$1,521.60.

(35) Parkesburg Iron Co., boiler tubes (claim dated Oct. 18, 1922), \$1,387.75.

(36) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., freight on cement (claim dated Oct. 16, 1922), \$563.02.

(37) The Utah Construction Co., ex-

tra work, clearing camp supplies, etc. (claim dated Oct. 18, 1922), \$744.87.

(38) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg Co., transformers and motors (claim dated Oct. 18, 1922), \$1,547.72.

(39) Schultz Construction Co., first payment, construction of abutments and piers for Sixbit Gulch bridge (claim dated Oct. 16, 1922), \$9,436.12. *Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.*

(40) American Car Co., second payment, Municipal Railway car trucks (claim dated Oct. 16, 1922), \$13,781.25.

(41) American Car Co., second payment, Municipal Railway car bodies (claim dated Oct. 16, 1922), \$36,309.

(42) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg Co., first payment, Municipal Railway motor equipment (claim dated Oct. 18, 1922), \$35,400.

#### *Municipal Railway Fund.*

(43) Associated Oil Co., gasoline for Municipal Railways (claim dated Sept. 29, 1922), \$897.12.

(44) Associated Oil Co., gasoline for Municipal Railways (claim dated Oct. 17, 1922), \$947.94.

(45) Market Street Railway Co., September reimbursement (claim dated Oct. 17, 1922), \$1,102.07.

(46) Market Street Railway Co., electric current furnished (claim dated Oct. 17, 1922), \$2,653.51.

(47) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electric current furnished (claim dated Oct. 16, 1922), \$30,765.09.

(48) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement Fund, railway employees' pensions, etc. (claim dated Oct. 10, 1922), \$5,403.59.

(49) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg Co., electric supplies (claim dated Oct. 16, 1922), \$800.

#### *School Bonds, Issue 1918.*

(49a) Anderson & Ringrose, second payment, construction of Yerba Buena School (claim dated Oct. 18, 1922), \$11,381.25.

(50) P. J. Enright, second payment, heating and ventilating Columbus School (claim dated Oct. 18, 1922), \$684.29.

#### *Special School Tax.*

(51) Anderson & Ringrose, final payment, general construction of Parkside School, 1920-1921 fund (claim dated Oct. 18, 1922), \$4,388.

(52) Quinn & Reilly, ninth payment, general construction of Emerson School, 1921-1922 fund (claim dated Oct. 18, 1922), \$2,707.50.

(53) Justinian Caire Co., equipment for Galileo High School (claim dated Oct. 17, 1922), \$644.

#### *County Road Fund.*

(54) Ruth V. Dodge, executrix, and W. B. Spaulding, executor, of estate of Washington Dodge deceased, payment for lands required for the opening and widening of the Market street

extension, particularly described in acceptance of offer, Resolution No. 20333 (New Series) (claim dated Oct. 17, 1922), \$1,390.

(55) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., for installation of electroliers at Point Lobos avenue, near Cliff House; appropriation by Resolution No. 19291 (New Series) (claim dated Oct. 18, 1922), \$1,295.75.

#### *General Fund, 1922-1923.*

(56) San Francisco Chronicle, official advertising, Board of Supervisors (claim dated Oct. 18, 1922), \$2,710.05.

(57) Bethlehem Shipbuilding Corp'n., Ltd., refund of taxes paid on U. S. Government owned property (claim dated Oct. 16, 1922), \$555.20.

(58) Phillips & Van Orden Co., ballot paper, Dept. of Elections (claim dated Oct. 11, 1922), \$1,829.80.

(59) California Printing Co., printing, Dept. of Elections, poll and tally lists (claim dated Oct. 11, 1922), \$5,675.

(60) F. Malloye, binding Assessor's book books (claim dated Oct. 17, 1922), \$653.78.

(61) Western Lime and Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Oct. 17, 1922), \$3,517.26.

(62) George Anderson, 100 street cans (claim dated Oct. 17, 1922), \$700.

(63) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated Oct. 17, 1922), \$2,685.65.

(64) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished public buildings (claim dated Oct. 17, 1922), \$1,699.02.

(65) Wayne Tank and Pump Co., barometer mineral, tank, meter, etc., for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$1,925.

(66) Levi Strauss & Co., dry goods, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$857.88.

(67) Miller & Lux, meats, Relief Home (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$836.42.

(68) Fred L. Hilmer Co., butter, Relief Home (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$738.

(69) Makins Produce Co., eggs, Relief Home (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$832.59.

(70) Baumgarten Bros., meat, Relief Home (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$686.41.

(71) California Meat Co., meat, Relief Home (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$1,187.12.

(72) Haas Bros., groceries, Relief Home (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$885.36.

(73) Shell Co. of Cal., fuel oil, Relief Home (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$1,296.

(74) William Cluff Co., groceries, Relief Home (claim dated Oct. 16, 1922), \$1,220.31.

(75) Roentgen Appliance Co., one Rieber fluoroscopic unit, Board of

Health (claim dated Oct. 16, 1922), \$700.

(76) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished hospitals (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$1,666.17.

(77) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished Relief Home (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$677.68.

**Accepting Statement, Market Street Railway.**

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 20384 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the statements heretofore filed by the Market Street Railway Company showing gross receipts from passenger fares for the months of July and August, 1922, upon which percentages in the following amounts are due the City and County under the terms of franchises of said Market Street Railway Company, be and the same are hereby accepted, to-wit:

**Month of July—**

Parnassus and Ninth Avenue extension . . . . . \$240.17  
Parkside Transit Company 333.26  
Gough Street Railroad . . . . 38.55

**Month of August—**

Parnassus and Ninth Avenue extension . . . . . \$266.78  
Parkside Transit Company 345.45  
Gough Street Railroad . . . . 42.95

Further Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company is hereby directed to deposit with the Treasurer of the City and County the hereinabove mentioned sums, the same to be placed to the credit of the General Fund.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Appropriation, Work in Front of City Property.**

Also, Resolution No. 20385 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$495 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Work in Front of City Property," Budget Item No. 45, to defray cost of grading and constructing concrete curbs at City property on Tompkins avenue between Folsom and Banks streets.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Passed for Printing.**

The following matters were *passed* for printing:

**Appropriation, \$801.83, Catchbasins, etc., Mission Terrace.**

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$801.83 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Street Work in Front of City Property," Budget Item No. 45, to defray cost of constructing catchbasins and culvert pipe connections in Mission Terrace, to take care of surface drainage.

**Plans, etc., improvement Telegraph Hill.**

On motion of Supervisor McLeran:

Bill No. 6153, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for and the improvement of Telegraph Hill by grading for a boulevard in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor, and authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said improvement; the cost of said improvement to be borne out of the County Road Fund, and permitting progressive payments to be made during the progress of said improvement.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for and to enter into contract for the improvement of Telegraph Hill by grading for a boulevard in accordance with the plans and specifications so prepared therefor. The cost of said improvement to be borne out of the County Road Fund.

Section 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for the said improvement of Telegraph Hill, conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in the said specifications and as provided by Section 21, Chapter I, Article VI of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**Appropriation, \$6,000, Mrs. P. W. McGlade, Land and Improvements, Washington Irving School.**

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$6,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax, 1922-1923, and authorized in payment to Mrs. P. W. McGlade, being payment for land and improvements required for the Washington Irving School, and situate on north line of Broadway, distant 40 feet easterly from Bartol street, of dimensions 22 feet 11 inches by 92 feet.

**Auditor to Cancel Erroneous Sale of Property to State.**

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 20386 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Tax Collector and Audi-

tor have certified that the assessment hereafter described has been paid, but through error was not stamped "paid" on the Assessment Roll and have recommended to cancel the sale of the property described; therefore

Resolved, That the Auditor be directed to cancel sale No. 163, made to the State on June 27, 1922, of Lot 13, Block 747, volume 4, page 185, assessed to Marion K. Andreen, in accordance with Sections 3776 and 3805 of the Political Code.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

*Passed for Printing.*

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Appropriation, \$7,000, S. Tognotti, Land and Improvements, Washington Irving School.

On motion of Supervisor McLeran: Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$7,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax, 1922-1923, and authorized in payment to Serafino Tognotti, being payment for land and improvements required for the Washington Irving School, and situate on east line of Bartol street, distant 114 feet 9 inches northerly from Broadway, of dimensions 22 feet 9 inches by 62 feet 11 inches.

Garage, Supply Station, Oil and Boiler Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy: Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

*Public Garage.*

Willis L. Gott and Jack Jenkins, at 426 Fulton street, upon strict compliance with the building laws.

Marius Bosc, on south side of Sacramento street, 91 feet 6 inches west of Polk street; also to store 600 gallons of gasoline on premises.

*Transfer Public Garage.*

To Frank Santoni and A. Giovacchini, permit granted by Resolution No. 18446 (New Series) to Lagonarino & Giuliano on east side of Powell street, 82½ feet south of Union street (No. 1636 Powell street).

*Automobile Supply Station.*

Shell Co. of California, at northeast corner of Geary and Collins; also to store 2000 gallons of gasoline on premises.

Shell Co. of California, at southeast corner of Eddy and Jones streets; also

to store 2000 gallons of gasoline on premises.

*Oil Storage Tank.*

Nelson Meat Co., at 780 Folsom street, 1000 gallons capacity.

V. Garibaldi & Bros., on Hamilton avenue between Woolsey and Wayland streets, 1950 gallons capacity.

J. Cahill, at 3 Fifth avenue, 600 gallon capacity.

Emma Sawtelle, at southeast corner of Broadway and Taylor street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Sullivan, on east side of Webster street, 65 feet north of Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

*Boilers.*

Nelson Meat Co., at 780-784 Folsom street, 30 horsepower.

Collins, Hencke Co., at 620 Folsom street, 80 horsepower.

V. Garibaldi & Bros., on Hamilton avenue between Woolsey and Wayland streets, 80 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

(A permit for a boiler of 10 horsepower for Pleasanton Ranch Co., at 400 Fourth street, included in foregoing was, on motion of Supervisor Wetmore, laid over one week.)

*Action Deferred.*

The following resolution was, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, referred back to committee, with instructions to report back on Monday.

Automobile Supply Station Permit Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Associated Oil Company to maintain an automobile supply station at the southwest corner of Geary street and Twenty-fifth avenue; also to store not to exceed 2000 gallons of gasoline on premises.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit becomes null and void.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land for School Purposes on Broadway.

Supervisor Scott presented:

Resolution No. 20387 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Mrs. P. W. McGlade to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements situate on the north line of Broadway, distant 10 feet easterly from Bartol street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof, therefore be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said

owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, with improvements, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of \$6,000, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Broadway, distant thereon 40 feet easterly from the easterly line of Bartol street; running thence easterly along said northerly line of Broadway 22 feet 11 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 92 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 22 feet 11 inches; thence at a right angle southerly 92 feet to the northerly line of Broadway and point of commencement. Being a portion of 50 Vara Block No. 47.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerny title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon the payment of the agreed purchase price, as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Accepting Offer to Sell Land for School Purposes.**

Supervisor Scott presented:

Resolution No. 20388 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Serafino Tognotti to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements situate on the east line of Bartol street, distant 114 feet 9 inches northerly from Broadway, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land and improvements is offered is the reasonable value thereof, therefore be it

Resolved, That the offer of said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of \$7,000, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Bartol street, distant thereon 114 feet 9 inches northerly from the northerly line of Broadway; running thence northerly along said easterly line of Bartol street 22 feet 9 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 62 feet 11 inches; thence at a right angle southerly 22 feet 9 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 62 feet 11 inches to the easterly line of Bartol street and point of commencement. Being a portion of 50 Vara Block No. 47.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerny title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon the payment of the agreed purchase price, aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Accepting Offer to Sell Land for Terminal Loop, Taraval Street Line.**

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 20389 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described lands, sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the terminal loop of the Taraval street line, have offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite their names, namely:

Amenyada le Quims, \$7,000.

Commencing at a point formed by the intersection of the northerly line of Taraval street with the westerly line of Forty-seventh avenue and running thence northerly along the said westerly line of Forty-seventh avenue 100 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet to the northerly line of Taraval street, and thence easterly along the said northerly line of Taraval street 120 feet to its intersection with the westerly line of Forty-seventh avenue and the point of commencement. Being portion of Outside Land Block No. 1149.

Sol Getz & Sons, a corporation, \$9,000.

Commencing at a point formed by the intersection of the northerly line of Taraval street with the easterly line of Forty-eighth avenue and running thence northerly along the said easterly line of Forty-eighth avenue 75 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 75 feet to the northerly line of Taraval street, and thence westerly along the said northerly line of Taraval street 120 feet to its intersection with the easterly line of Forty-eighth avenue and the point of commencement. Being portion of Outside Land Block No. 1149.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the prices set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisalment of the property. Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept deeds therefor in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McShreeby, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Action Deferred.

The following resolution was presented and on motion *laid over one week*:

**Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required for Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct Right of Way.**

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco from F. W. Leis of the following described parcel of land, situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, required as a right-of-way for the aqueduct on the Hetch Hetchy water supply project, viz.:

Lot 3, Block 28, as shown on map entitled "North Fair Oaks, Subdivision No. 3, San Mateo County," which was filed in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County, April 20, 1908, Book 6 of Maps at page 7, for the sum of one hundred dollars (\$100). Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer, the offer of F. W. Leis to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the above-mentioned parcel of land for the sum of \$100, be and the

same is hereby accepted. Be it further

Resolved, That the Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy water supply is hereby authorized and directed to notify said F. W. Leis of the acceptance of his offer, to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto, as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco.

**Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required for Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct Right of Way.**

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 20391 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco from Eben James Locke and Maud Locke of the following described parcels of land, situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, required as a right-of-way for the aqueduct on the Hetch Hetchy water supply project, viz.:

Land situated in North Fair Oaks, Subdivision No. 3, as per map filed in office of County Recorder of San Mateo County, April 20, 1908, in Book 6 of Maps, at page 7.

Parcel 1—Lots 1, 2, 3, 35, 36 and 37, Block 27.

Parcel 2—A triangular portion of Lot 34, Block 27, 14.35 feet on Eighth avenue; 17.62 feet along the north-easterly line of Lot 34; closing distance, 22.78 feet, for the sum of six hundred ten and 00/100 dollars (\$610).

It is made a condition of the deed that the parties of the first part shall have the right to cross over Parcel 2, above described, and to plant grass upon the same; provided that in the exercise of the privilege there shall be no interference with the construction, operation or maintenance of the Hetch Hetchy pipe line or lines constructed beneath the surface of said parcel. Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer, the offer of Eben James Locke and Maud Locke to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the above-mentioned parcel of land for the sum of \$610 be and the same is hereby accepted. Be it further

Resolved, That the Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy water supply is hereby authorized and directed to notify said Eben James Locke and Maud Locke of the acceptance of their said offer, to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San

San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto, as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

*Passed for Printing.*

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

**Full Acceptance, Certain Streets.**

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill: Bill No. 6154, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled, "Providing for full acceptance of the roadway of Chestnut street between Sansome and Montgomery streets; Chenery street between Thirtieth and Randall streets; Judah street between Forty-eighth avenue and La Playa; Peru avenue between Lisbon and Madrid streets; Thirty-third avenue between Balboa and Cabrillo streets; Twenty-eighth street between Sanchez and Noe streets; crossing of Bennington street and Ellert street, and between Ellert and Newman streets; crossing of Peru and Madrid avenues."

**Conditional Acceptance, Certain Streets.**

Also, Bill No. 6155, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled, "Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Avalon avenue between Lisbon and Madrid streets; Edna street between Marston avenue and Havelock street; Eliza street between Folsom street and its southerly termination, including the intersection of Eliza place and Shipley street; Guttenberg street between Hanover street and the County line; Hanover street between Guttenberg street and Lowell street, and the crossing of Hanover and Lowell streets; Marston avenue between Edna street and its westerly termination; Seventeenth avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets; crossing of Edna street and Marston avenue; crossing of Foerster street and Staples avenue."

**Cancellation of Contract, City Construction Co.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented: Resolution No. 20392 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco did, by Resolution No. 74786 (Second Series), adopted October 4, 1922, recommend that the Board of Supervisors cancel and annul the contract entered into between the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco and City Construction Company, awarded by Resolution No. 72852 (Second Series), adopted April 19, 1922, for the improvement of the

crossing of Munich street and Persia avenue; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the contract entered into between the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco and the City Construction Company is hereby canceled and annulled.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

*Passed for Printing.*

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

**Repealing Ordinance Providing for the Improvement of Crossing of Munich Street and Persia Avenue.**

Also, Bill No. 6156, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 5600 (New Series), approved March 30, 1922, ordering the improvement of the crossing of Munich street and Persia avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5600 (New Series), approved March 30, 1922, ordering the improvement of the crossing of Munich street and Persia avenue, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**Bridge Permit, Simmons Bed Company.**

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill: Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Simmons Company is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to erect and maintain a steel bridge across Powell street 34 feet south of North Point street, the said bridge to be erected under the supervision and direction of the Board of Public Works in accordance with plans and specifications to be approved by the Board of Public Works.

**Intention to Change Grades.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented: Resolution No. 20393 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 74914 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works adopted October 13, 1922, and written recommendation of said Board, filed October 14, 1922, to-wit:

*Wallace Avenue.*

Keith street, 8 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Fifteen feet southerly from the northerly line of, 275 feet westerly from Keith street, 10.75 feet.

Fifteen feet southerly from the northerly line of, 375 feet westerly from Keith street, 13.75 feet.

Fifteen feet southerly from the northerly line of, 475 feet westerly from Keith street, 20.79 feet.

Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.

Fifteen feet northerly from the southerly line of, 275 feet westerly from Keith street, 10.75 feet.

Fifteen feet northerly from the southerly line of, 375 feet westerly from Keith street, 13.77 feet.

Fifteen feet northerly from the southerly line of, 475 feet westerly from Keith street, 20.84 feet.

Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.

Northerly line of, at Third street, easterly line, 29.20 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southerly line of, at Third street, easterly line, 26.70 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Wallace avenue between Keith street and Third street be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated notice of the passage of this Resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McIeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20334 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 71829 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works adopted October 6, 1922, and written recommendation of said Board, filed October 7, 1922, to-wit:

*Gilman Avenue.*

Fifteen feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Hawes street, easterly line, 22 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Fifteen feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Hawes street, easterly line, 22 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Northerly line of, 15 feet westerly from Hawes street, easterly line, 22 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Northerly line of, 15 feet easterly from Hawes street, westerly line, 22 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southerly line of, 15 feet westerly from Hawes street, easterly line, 22.50 feet.

Southerly line of, 15 feet easterly from Hawes street, westerly line, 23.50 feet.

Fifteen feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Hawes street, westerly line, 22.50 feet.

Fifteen feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Hawes street, westerly line, 23.50 feet.

Fifteen feet northerly from the southerly line of, 160 feet westerly from Hawes street, 29.66 feet.

Fifteen feet northerly from the southerly line of, 260 feet westerly from Hawes street, 31.73 feet.

Fifteen feet northerly from the southerly line of, 360 feet westerly from Hawes street, 39.27 feet.

Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.

Fifteen feet southerly from the northerly line of, 160 feet westerly from Hawes street, 28.66 feet.

Fifteen feet southerly from the northerly line of, 260 feet westerly from Hawes street, 30.73 feet.

Fifteen feet southerly from the northerly line of, 360 feet westerly from Hawes street, 39.27 feet.

Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.

Fifteen feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Ingalls street, easterly line, 21.50 feet.

Fifteen feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Ingalls street, easterly line, 22.50 feet.

Northerly line of, 15 feet westerly from Ingalls street, easterly line, 21 feet.

Northerly line of, 15 feet easterly from Ingalls street, westerly line, 21 feet.

Southerly line of, 15 feet westerly from Ingalls street, easterly line, 23 feet.

Southerly line of, 15 feet easterly from Ingalls street, westerly line, 23 feet.

Fifteen feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Ingalls street, westerly line, 21.50 feet.

Fifteen feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Ingalls street, westerly line, 22.50 feet.

Fifteen feet northerly from the

southerly line of, 100 feet westerly from Ingalls street, 23.25 feet.

Fifteen feet northerly from the southerly line of, 200 feet westerly from Ingalls street, 23.19 feet.

Fifteen feet northerly from the southerly line of, 300 feet westerly from Ingalls street, 21.50 feet.

Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.

Fifteen feet southerly from the northerly line of, 100 feet westerly from Ingalls street, 22.25 feet.

Fifteen feet southerly from the northerly line of, 200 feet westerly from Ingalls street, 22.25 feet.

Fifteen feet southerly from the northerly line of, 300 feet westerly from Ingalls street, 20.75 feet.

Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.

Jennings street, 14 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Gilman avenue between the easterly line of Hawes street and Jennings street; on Hawes street between Gilman and Hollister avenues, and on Ingalls street between Fitzgerald and Hollister avenues be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor and the present official grades of Hollister avenue at Hawes street, and of Fitzgerald and Hollister avenues at Ingalls street.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated notice of the passage of this Resolution of Intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

**Fixing Sidewalk Widths. Clay Street.**

Bill No. 6157, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section 200 thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, is hereby amended in accordance with

the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office September 29, 1922, by amending Section 200 thereof to read as follows:

Section 200. The width of sidewalks on Clay street, the northerly side of, between Sansome and Battery streets, shall be seven (7) feet six (6) inches.

The width of sidewalks on Clay street, the southerly side of, between Sansome street and Battery street, shall be eight (8) feet two and one-quarter ( $2\frac{1}{4}$ ) inches.

Section 2. Any expense connected with the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**Ordering Street Work, Flora Street.**

Also, Bill No. 6158, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 9, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in five installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Flora street between Bay View street and a line 200 feet southerly therefrom*, by the

construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with S Y branches and one brick manhole with appurtenances along the center line of Flora street from a point 20 feet southerly from Bay View street to the existing manhole 200 feet southerly from Bay View street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Accepting Deed From Market Street Railway Company for Land for Widening San Jose Avenue.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20395 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco is desirous of widening San Jose avenue between Ocean avenue and Niagara avenue; and

Whereas, the Market Street Railway Company, the owner of said property, has agreed to convey the same; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of the Market Street Railway Company be accepted and the Mayor and Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized to sign said agreement in the words and figures as follows:

This indenture, made this 24th day of August, in the year one thousand nine hundred and twenty-two, by and between Market Street Railway Company, a corporation duly organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California, whose principal place of business is in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, the party of the first part, and City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, the party of the second part,

Witnesseth: That the party of the first part, for and in consideration of the sum of ten dollars (\$10.00), lawful money of the United States of America, to said Market Street Railway Company paid by the said party of the second part, the receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, and in consideration of the covenants of the party of the second part hereinafter set forth and contained, does hereby grant, bargain, and sell unto the party of the second part and to its assigns, all that certain portion, piece or parcel of land situate, lying and being in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and bounded and described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue and the southerly line of Ocean avenue, and running thence southwesterly along the southwesterly line of San Jose avenue a distance of 1421.05 feet, to the northerly line of Niagara avenue; thence deflecting 83 deg. 15 min. 30 sec. to the right, from the preceding course, and running

westerly along the northerly line of Niagara avenue 3.74 feet; thence northerly at right angles to Niagara avenue a distance of 87.62 feet; thence deflecting 6 deg. 44 min. 30 sec. to the right from the preceding course and running northeasterly parallel to and distant 14 feet at right angles northwesterly from the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue, a distance of 1324.09 feet, to the southerly line of Ocean avenue; thence deflecting 56 deg. 10 min. 15 sec. to the right and running easterly along the southerly line of Ocean avenue 16.85 feet to the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue and the point of beginning.

To have and to hold all and singular the said premises unto the said party of the second part, and to its assigns forever, excepting and reserving unto the said grantor, its successors and assigns, all buildings, fences, and other improvements or appurtenances now located wholly or partially upon the property hereinabove described, together with the right to remove said buildings, fences and other improvements or appurtenances from the said property; provided, however, that the property described herein shall be used by said party of the second part only for the purpose of widening and straightening San Jose avenue, and that said land shall be used perpetually for street purposes, and it is hereby agreed by and between the parties hereto that if said party of the second part fails or neglects to use said property for the purposes above mentioned within one year from the date of these presents, said party of the first part shall have the right to re-enter said premises at any time and terminate the interest of said party of the second part in said property thereby; and subject, however, to the covenants and obligations of said party of the second part, and its successors and assigns, hereinafter contained and set forth, viz.:

It is hereby mutually covenanted and agreed by and between the said parties hereto, and their successors and assigns, that said party of the second part, the City and County of San Francisco, the grantee herein, will pay the cost or expense of, and indemnify and save harmless said party of the first part from any cost or expense in connection with the building or making of, any or all, or any and all, street improvements, paving, sidewalks, curbs, or repairs to paving or other improvements made upon, in, or about San Jose avenue or the property hereinabove described which may be charged to or be assessed against said party of the first part or become a lien upon the prop-

erty of said party of the first part which adjoins the property hereinabove described, and said party of the second part covenants and agrees to pay and cost or expense of, and indemnify and save harmless said party of the first part from any cost or expense in connection with the moving of all buildings, fences and other improvements, reserved to grantor by these presents, from the property hereinabove described, and the relocating of the said buildings, fences and other improvements upon the property of the party of the first part adjoining the property herein described, including the cost of extending and connecting the existing sewers, and gas, water and electrical connections. It is hereby covenanted and agreed by and between the parties hereto, and their successors and assigns, that the word "improvement" as used herein shall be held to include grading, paving, planking, macadamizing, piling and capping, and the construction and repair of sewers, cess-pools, manholes, culverts, drains, sidewalks and curbs, and that said word "improvement" as used herein shall not be limited by the above enumeration of matters and things included within said term.

Nothing in the above paragraph shall relieve the grantor from its obligation as a street railway company to pave between the rails and between the tracks and for two (2) feet on each side thereof as required by law.

In witness whereof, the party of the first part, by resolution of its board of directors, attached hereto, has caused these presents to be executed and to be signed by its president, and its corporate seal to be affixed hereto, the day and year herein first above written, and said party of the second part, by resolution of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco duly adopted, attached hereto, has caused these presents to be executed and to be signed by the Mayor of said City and County of San Francisco, and the seal of said City and County affixed hereto, the day and year herein first above written.

MARKET STREET RAILWAY COMPANY,

By CHAS. N. BLACK, President.  
E. M. MASSEY, Assistant Secretary.  
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,

By ....., Mayor.  
Attest:

....., Clerk.  
Be it further Resolved, That the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to notify the Market Street Railway Company of the acceptance of its offer; to examine the

title to said property and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, the deed thereto and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Fowers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was passed for printing:

Fixing Sidewalk Widths, Grant Avenue.

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill:  
Bill No. 6159, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eight hundred and six.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office September 29, 1922, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eight hundred and six, to read as follows:

Section 896. The width of sidewalks on Grant avenue, the easterly side of, between Bush street and The Embarcadero shall be ten (10) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Grant avenue, the westerly side of, between Bush street and Bay street shall be ten (10) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Grant avenue, the westerly side of, between Bay and Beach streets are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Resolution of Intention to Close Portions of Certain Streets in Gift Map No. 4.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:  
Resolution No. 20397 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas public interest and convenience require and would be conserved by the closing and abandonment of the streets and portions of streets hereinafter mentioned; now therefore be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon said streets and portions

of said streets situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to wit:

*Streets in Gift Map No. 4.*

All that portion of the following named streets, as laid down and delineated on that certain map entitled "Gift Map No. 4," filed in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco on December 31, 1861, and recorded in Liber "A" and "B" of Maps, pages 16 and 17, which lie north of Oakdale avenue, excepting such portions as lie between lines which are parallel to and perpendicularly distant northerly 480 feet and 560 feet from the northerly line of Oakdale avenue, and portions which lie between lines which are parallel to and perpendicularly distant westerly 600 feet and 664 feet from the westerly line of Selby street, and portions which are distant more than 560 feet perpendicularly northerly from the northerly line of Oakdale avenue and lie between lines which are perpendicularly distant westerly 1164 feet and 1214 feet from the westerly line of Selby street, to-wit: Barton avenue (formerly Baker avenue), Kossuth street, Freedom street, Byrne street, Stringham street, Adele street, Alcatraz street (formerly Chase street), Bourne street, Llewellyn street (formerly Freelon street), Orsenius street, Nashua street (formerly Water street), Pelham street (formerly Short street), and Appleton street (formerly Hudson street).

Whereas, the damages, costs and expenses of closing said streets and portions of streets are nominal, and no assessment district is necessary to be formed for the purpose of paying the damages, costs and expenses thereof, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares and determines that the whole damage costs and expenses of closing said streets and portions of streets shall be paid for out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco.

Said closing of said streets shall be done in pursuance of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and shall be done in the manner, and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 and the sections following Section 2 of said Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes Supervisors Bath, Cobman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore 18.

**Passed for Printing.**

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

**Ordering Street Work.**

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill: Bill No. 6160, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 8, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in five installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Forty-sixth avenue between Kirkham street and the center line of Lawton street*, by the construction of an eight (8) inch iron-stone pipe sewer, 41 Y branches and 2 manholes along the center line of Forty-sixth avenue from a point 20 feet southerly from the southerly line of Kirkham street to the existing manhole on the center line of Lawton street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 6161, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain

street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 9, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Anderson street between Crescent avenue and a line 375 feet southerly therefrom*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs across the roadway at a line 368 feet southerly from Crescent avenue; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width, including artificial stone sidewalk on that portion of the street 375 feet southerly from Crescent avenue to the curb; by the construction of a concrete coping across the roadway at a line 375 feet southerly from Crescent avenue; by the construction of one brick catchbasin and appurtenances with 10-inch ironstone pipe culvert, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof from Crescent avenue to a line 368 feet southerly therefrom.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### Closing of Rutledge Street.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

The Street Committee, Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Cal.

Honored Sirs:

A request for the closing of Rutledge street between San Bruno avenue and Holladay avenue has been received for report.

Rutledge street is a street 40 feet in width, on a 26 per cent grade between Holladay avenue and San Bruno avenue, and was dedicated along with Costa, Faith and Joy streets by your petitioners in 1910.

This property, originally known as the Welch Cosgrove Tract, was at that time in acreage, and it was necessary to dedicate streets for two purposes, one for subdivisional purposes, and the other for the purpose of allowing sewers to be constructed therein that would serve the property above this tract. An 18-inch sewer was constructed in Rutledge street, and if the street is to be closed, it will be necessary that the City reserve an easement right in order that the sewer may be inspected, and perhaps at some future date reconstructed.

There is also on file in this office a plan for the closing of the heretofore mentioned streets and the opening of new ones in lieu thereof on the contours and on easy grades, showing that the original dedicating of the streets was not a satisfactory one.

I understand that this property at the present time is about to be sold for the location of an industry thereon, which will obviate the need or necessity of Rutledge street.

I therefore recommend that Rutledge street be closed from Holladay avenue to San Bruno avenue, and that the City reserve an easement or right of way for the purposes of inspection or reconstruction of the existing sewer therein.

Yours very truly,

M. M. O'SHAUGHNESSY,

City Engineer.

Closing Rutledge Street Between San Bruno Avenue and Holladay Avenue.

Thereupon, Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20396 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved That the public interest requires that Rutledge street between Holladay avenue and San Bruno avenue be closed. The land for the opening of Rutledge street between Holladay avenue and San Bruno avenue was deeded to the City and County of San Francisco by Richard J. Welch, Fred H. Cosgrove and John P. Cos-

grove and accepted by Ordinance No. 1429 (New Series). Be it further

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close Rutledge street between Holladay avenue and San Bruno avenue, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of San Bruno avenue, distant thereon seven hundred and eighty-seven and thirty hundredths (787.30) feet northerly from the northerly line of Lot 282, Precita Valley Lands; running thence northerly and along the westerly line of San Bruno avenue forty and fifty-two hundredths (40.52) feet; thence deflecting to the left 99 degrees 09 minutes 40 seconds and running westerly three hundred and eighty-one hundredths (300.81) feet to the easterly line of Holladay avenue; thence southerly and along the easterly line of Holladay avenue forty and fifty-eight hundredths (40.58) feet; thence deflecting to the left 99 degrees 42 minutes 30 seconds and running easterly three hundred and one and twenty-hundredths (301.20) feet to the westerly line of San Bruno avenue and the point of commencement, for the opening and extension of Rutledge street.

Said closing of Rutledge street between Holladay avenue and San Bruno avenue shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, as amended, and the sections of said chapter and article following said Section 2. Be it further

Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing up of Rutledge street between Holladay avenue and San Bruno avenue be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco. Further

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco reserves the right to maintain and operate the existing sewer over the land formerly known as Rutledge street between Holladay avenue and San Bruno avenue, with the right to enter upon said lands for the purpose of making necessary repairs to said sewer, but shall not be liable for any damage which may be occasioned thereby.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—17.

Excused from voting—Supervisor Welch—1.

#### Amending Award of Contract for Beans.

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 20397 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Resolution No. 20335 (New Series) be amended by cancelling the following awards made therein, viz.:

*Heus Bros.*

Item No. 1401 (b) Beans, pink, pound \$0.0495.

*Dodge, Sweeney & Co.*

Item No. 1401 (a) Beans, Lima, pound \$0.064.

Item No. 1401 (c) Beans, small white, pound \$0.0489.

Further Resolved, That Smith, Lyndon & Co be awarded a contract therefor at the following prices, viz.;

(a) Lima, per pound, \$0.0599.

(b) Pink, per pound, \$0.0439.

(c) Small white, per pound, \$0.0474.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Clerk to Advertise for Hospital Equipment and Furniture.

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 20398 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk be directed to advertise for proposals for furnishing the following equipment required by the San Francisco Hospital, as per specifications prepared by the Supplies Committee, viz.:

Oil-burning range.

Laundry machinery.

Hospital furniture.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Action Deferred.

The following resolution, heretofore presented by Supervisor McSheehy and referred to Supplies Committee with a recommendation for indefinite postponement, was taken up and on motion *laid over one week*:

#### In re Bread for Institutions.

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this City has been purchasing bread under contract for all departments except the Relief Home for a number of years. These contracts have been let quarterly and the bread for the last quarter was purchased for 4½ cents per pound. This quarter the price of bread has been raised to 7½ cents per pound. This would mean a loss of \$3,500 a quarter, or \$14,000 per year.

Whereas, the Relief Home has been

making its own bread at a cost of 2 $\frac{3}{4}$  cents per pound. If bread can be made at this public institution for 2 $\frac{3}{4}$  cents per pound, the same could be, and should be, made at all City institutions, which would mean a saving of \$5,400 a quarter, or \$21,600 per year.

Resolved, That the Department of Public Health be requested to have all the bread needed for their institutions made in the Relief Home, and that the Sheriff be requested to make the bread for all other City departments that use same; further

Resolved, That this resolution be referred to the Supplies Committee, that the Department of Public Health and the Sheriff be sent a copy of same, and that a meeting of the Supplies Committee with these two departments be held on Wednesday, October 11, 1922, at 2 p. m., for the purpose of devising ways and means for the City to make its own bread and save \$21,600 per year.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Install Street Lights.**

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 20400 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company is hereby instructed to install and remove street lights as follows:

*Install 250 M. R.*

Quesada street between Jennings and Keith streets.

Twenty-seventh avenue between Balboa and Cabrillo streets.

Hayes street between Cole and Shrader streets.

*Install 200 W. Electrolier.*

Northwest corner Turk and Mason streets.

*Install 600 M. R.*

Northwest corner Waller and Market streets.

*Remove Gas Lamp.*

South side of Washington street, first west of Locust, opposite 3633, 3 feet to west property line.

Adopted under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Proposed Charter Amendment No. 32

**Opposed.**

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Whereas, it has been called to the attention of the Board of Supervisors that a Charter Amendment known as No. 32 has been submitted to the voters of this City and County for

their rejection or approval at the coming general election; and

Whereas, it appears to us after investigation that the said Charter Amendment No. 32 provides for the blanketing in of many officials heretofore and now elected by the people of this City and County and places in the hands of a non-elected commission the major governmental functions of this municipality; be it therefore

Resolved, That it be the sense of this Board that the power of electing public officials should be retained by the people, as such power is entirely consistent with the democratic principles upon which our government is founded.

**Motion.**

Supervisor Mulvihill moved reference to the Judiciary Committee.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the resolution be adopted.

Supervisor Hynes raised the point of order that the resolution cannot be adopted unless the rules are suspended.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the rules were suspended by unanimous consent.

Capt. Geo. Brown, representing the Seannell Club, San Francisco Fire Department, was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board. He declared that the proposed amendment was an attack on our democratic system of government, creating a commission of appointive officers in lieu of electing officials directly responsible to the people. He urged that the Board go on record as opposed to it.

Discussion: Supervisors Shannon, Mulvihill, Schmitz, McLeran, McGregor, Bath, McSheehy, Welch, Colman, Powers, Hynes.

Supervisor Colman urged that the resolution be laid over one week in order that the other side might be heard. "If, however," he said, "it is desired to adopt it today, I wish to be excused from voting, as I am not sufficiently informed as to the merits of both sides."

**Action Deferred.**

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor McLeran, action on the foregoing resolution was deferred one week by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Noes—Supervisors Hynes, Powers—2.

**Explanation of Vote.**

Supervisor Hynes explained his vote by saying that he was perfectly willing that Supervisor Colman be ex-

cused from voting, but "I think the question should be settled today."

*Supervisor Powers* offered the same explanation.

*Supervisor Schmitz* explained his vote by saying he would vote "Aye" to defer action one week as a matter of courtesy to Supervisor Colman and others to enable them to study the measure.

**Acquisition of Land and Construction of Buildings for Exposition Purposes.**

*Supervisor McLeran* presented:

Resolution No. 20402 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the California Industrial Exposition and the Livestock Show held in this city this month have demonstrated the necessity for the permanent construction of exposition buildings wherein exhibitions of the aforesaid character may be properly held; and

Whereas, the holding of such exhibitions are a permanent benefit to the City of San Francisco, drawing, as they do, a large number of people from outside of San Francisco; and

Whereas, exposition grounds should be owned and controlled by the City in order that the greatest benefit can be obtained by the citizens of San Francisco in drawing to San Francisco exhibitions and shows of the character of the livestock show and the California Industrial Exhibition; and

Whereas, certain public-spirited citizens of San Francisco propose that if the City of San Francisco will eventually acquire the grounds and necessary buildings for the holding of such shows that they will immediately acquire said grounds and construct the necessary buildings; therefore, be it

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors that this Board declare that the public necessity requires the acquisition of such grounds and buildings by the City and County of San Francisco and that if satisfactory arrangements can be made for the ultimate acquisition of such grounds and buildings that the City of San Francisco will enter into the necessary contract for the ultimate acquisition by the City of such grounds and buildings; and be it further

Resolved, That the Finance Committee, the Commercial and Industrial Development Committee and the Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee, as a joint committee, enter into negotiations with those citizens who are willing to immediately acquire the necessary grounds and construct the necessary buildings for permanent exposition purposes, to ascertain if such satisfactory agreement cannot be entered into and that said Committee report back to this Board a proposed contract for the acquisition of the same.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Intention to Establish Set-Back Lines, Twenty-First Street.**

*Supervisor McGregor* presented:

Resolution No. 20403 (New Series), as follows:

Resolution of Intention to establish set-back lines No. 5.

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon map accompanying said recommendation, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore,

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the northerly line of Twenty-first street from a point 92 feet 6 inches westerly from the westerly line of Guerrero street to a point 92 feet 6 inches easterly from the easterly line of Dolores street, which said line shall be 8 feet distant from and parallel with the northerly line of Twenty-first street.

As shown on the map filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 20th day of November, 1922, at the hour of 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objection to the establishment of the proposed set-back line may appear and present any objections which they may have to said proposed set-back line as set forth in this Resolution of Intention.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden—2.

**Amendment to Zoning Ordinance, Gough Street.**

*Supervisor McLeran* presented:

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and estab-

lishing the location of trades, industries and buildings and the location of buildings designed for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map is hereby ordered changed so as to place that piece of land commencing at a point on the westerly line of Gough street, 127 feet  $8\frac{1}{4}$  inches northerly from the northerly line of Sacramento street, running thence westerly at right angles to the line of Gough street 412 feet 6 inches; thence northerly at right angles 324 feet  $1\frac{1}{2}$  inches; thence easterly at right angles 412 feet 6 inches; thence southerly at right angles 324 feet  $1\frac{1}{2}$  inches to the point of commencement, in the first residential district instead of the second residential district.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

*Referred to City Planning Commission.*

#### Death of Former Supervisor M. J. Fontana.

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 20390 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the death has been announced of M. J. Fontana, a former member of this Board, and one who has for many years been identified with the life and development of this community, serving in public and private capacities to promote the public good; therefore

Resolved, That, while expressing our regrets at the passing of a prominent citizen, we find much to commend in the work of his lifetime, in his acts

of philanthropy and human kindnesses and in his many deeds that earned for him the esteem of many friends; that when this Board adjourns it does so as an act of respect to the memory of M. J. Fontana.

*Adopted unanimously by rising vote.*

#### City Planning Meeting.

*Supervisor McGregor* announced that the City Planning Commission and City Planning Committee would meet jointly at 4 p. m. tomorrow.

#### Highway Fund Meeting.

*Supervisor Welch* announced that the Streets and Finance Committees would consider the question of highway funds at 3 p. m. Friday.

#### Southern Heights Improvement Club Meeting.

*Supervisor Hynes* moved that the Clerk be directed to communicate with the members of the Board of Supervisors inviting them, on behalf of the Southern Heights Improvement Club, to attend a meeting at Neighborhood Hall, 953 De Haro street, on Thursday evening, October 26, 1922, at 8 o'clock. Discussion on proposed Charter Amendments.

*Motion carried.*

*Mr. Molke*, as spokesman for a committee of Hetch Hetchy workers, consisting of Messrs. Molke, Kruse and Sullivan, was granted the privilege of the floor. He presented a printed circular signed by the members of the above committee stating their grievances and making certain demands on the City.

On motion of *Supervisor McLeran*, the speaker was referred to the Board of Public Works as the Board having jurisdiction.

#### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at 6:15 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors November 20, 1922.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series), of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.



Monday, October 30, 1922.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



**THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY**

**77 Sutter Street, S. F.**

J

MO

In  
cisco  
T  
reg

T  
ing  
S  
Hay  
Mc  
Ros  
We

A  
Q  
C  
May  
he  
and  
"Ca  
V  
call

T  
mee  
con  
RO

T  
by  
the

To  
C  
My  
I  
sag  
thr  
dur  
wit  
I  
thi  
ind  
che

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, OCTOBER 30, 1922, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, October 30, 1922, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

Quorum present.

Clerk explained that his Honor the Mayor was detained in his office, where he is receiving the new British Consul and the Commander of the British ship "Capetown".

Whereupon, Supervisor McLeran was called to the chair.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of September 25, 1922, was considered read and *approved*.

### ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

#### Letter of Appreciation.

The following was presented, read by the Clerk and *ordered spread in the Journal*:

Mayor's Office, San Francisco.

October 23, 1922.

To the Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, Cal.

My dear Friends:

I appreciate most keenly the message of good wishes transmitted to me through John S. Dunnigan, your clerk, during my confinement to my home with an infected hand.

It was very good of all of you to think of me, and I wish to thank you individually and collectively for your cheering message.

Very sincerely yours,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,

Mayor.

Leave of Absence, J. W. Jackson, Board of Election Commissioners.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,

October 27th, 1922.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Hon. Jeremiah W. Jackson, member of the Board of Election Commissioners, for an extension of his leave of absence which was granted for a period of thirty days commencing October 10th, 1922.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting a thirty-day extension of this leave of absence.

Yours very truly,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,

Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 20420 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Jeremiah W. Jackson, member of the Board of Election Commissioners, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing November 10, 1922, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Mulvihill, Robb—2.

Leave of Absence, Lawrence Arnstein, Board of Health.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,

October 28, 1922.

To the Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, Cal.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Honorable Lawrence Arnstein, member of the Board of Health, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California

for a period of sixty days, commencing November 5th, 1922.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Respectfully yours,  
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,  
Mayor.

October 27, 1922.

The Honorable, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

In accordance with the provisions of Section 3, Article XVI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, I herewith respectfully request permission to absent myself from the City and County of San Francisco, and the State of California, for a period of 60 days from November 5th, 1922. In conformity with Charter provisions, I have this day forwarded a similar communication to his Honor the Mayor.

Respectfully,  
LAWRENCE ARNSTEIN,  
Member of Board of Health.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 20421 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Lawrence Arnstein, member of the Board of Health, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing November 5, 1922, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Mulvihill, Robb—2.

Mayor Rolph Presents British Consul Gerald Campbell and Commander Jones of H. M. S. "Capetown."

*His Honor Mayor Rolph* appeared in company with the new British Consul of San Francisco, Gerald Campbell, and Commander Jones of H. M. S. "Capetown," who had stopped in the port of San Francisco on his way to Victoria. His Honor the Mayor introduced the distinguished visitors, who were heartily welcomed.

*Capt. Jones*, being called upon, addressed the Board. He expressed himself as being pleased to be in San Francisco. He referred to San Francisco as a marvelous city with a wonderful bay, and declared that officers and men of the "Capetown" were very much disappointed that their stay here would be necessarily brief.

*Gerald Campbell*, H. B. M. Consul

General, also addressed the Board. He thanked his Honor the Mayor and the Board for its reception and expressed his pleasure at being in San Francisco. "The 'Capetown'," he said, "came up at the same time as the 'New York', in time to celebrate Navy Day at Monterey. I could not help thinking," he said, "if all the governments of the world would celebrate in some such manner what a great step it would be toward co-operation in matters of mutual interest. When this country came into the war," he said, "the first squadron of American ships to arrive at Queenstown was the torpedo destroyers. They had encountered bad weather and Admiral Baily, expecting that it would take at least two days' time for the squadron to get in shape, signaled to the American ships, 'When will you be ready for action,' the answer came promptly back, 'We are ready now.' That signal," he said, "should now be put up with that of Nelson."

He thanked the Mayor and Board for their reception and expressed his pleasure with San Francisco.

*Supervisor Warren Shannon*, being called upon to respond, declared that it was a great pleasure to respond to the distinguished visitors, and especially fitting at this time when we are celebrating "Navy Day." He extended them a hearty welcome to San Francisco, and expressed regret that the visit of the officers and men of the "Capetown" was necessarily brief. He expressed the hope that Commander Jones and his men would come back soon and get acquainted with the people of San Francisco, whose hospitality is well known and who will give them a most hearty welcome.

#### PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

*Proposals for Furnishing Pay Checks for the Auditor, 1923.*

Proposals for furnishing pay checks for the Auditor. Sealed proposals to be received in open meeting of the Board of Supervisors on Monday, October 30, 1922, between the hours of 2 and 3 o'clock p. m.:

No. 1—Schwabacher Frey Stationery Co., certified check, Wells Fargo Natl. Bank, \$100.

No. 2—Halpin Lithograph Co., certified check, Mercantile Trust Co., \$100.

No. 3—California Printing Co., certified check, Anglo Calif. Trust Co., \$78.20.

*Referred to Supplies Committee.*

#### REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.

The following committees, by their respective chairmen, presented reports on various matters referred, which reports were read and ordered *filed*:

Fire Committee, by Supervisor Deasy, chairman.

Lighting Committee, by Supervisor Powers, chairman.

**Reports on Southern Pacific Spur Track.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, October 30, 1922.

Board of Supervisors:

Your Joint Committee Streets and Commercial Development, to which were referred resolutions presented by Supervisors James B. McSheehy and Richard J. Welch, providing that Section 2 of proposed Southern Pacific Company's spur track permit be amended, respectfully reports thereon as follows:

A majority of your Committee recommends that the resolutions be not approved.

Your Committee recommends that Section 2 of the Southern Pacific Company's spur track permit be amended by inserting the following provisions:

1. Provided, however, that it is the intention of this Board, that said track shall be equally available to all railroads, but in order to promote safety and economy in the operation thereof, it is hereby expressly provided that the State Belt Railway, or any railroad operating in San Francisco, shall have the right to make physical connection with the tracks above described, and Southern Pacific Company shall promptly and without discrimination, switch to any industry on said track any car or cars which such other carriers may place on proper interchange tracks properly constructed to handle necessary business. It is understood that Southern Pacific Company is to do all of the switching on the trackage known as Beale Street Spur or industrial tracks.

2. Provided no car or cars shall be moved or switched over said spur track during the hours between seven o'clock a. m. and six o'clock p. m.

3. Provided that the sidewalk widths on Beale street between Bryant and Mission streets be reduced to ten feet.

Respectfully submitted,

WM. S. SCOTT,  
FRANK ROBB,  
ANGELO J. ROSSI,  
J. EMMET HAYDEN,  
JESSE C. COLMAN,

Committee, Streets and Commercial Development.

At the outset of the proceedings in this matter Supervisor Welch declared that representatives of the Western Pacific and Santa Fe were to present an amendment today at 3 p. m. that would be satisfactory. He asked if they were in attendance.

Chair asked if the representatives of the Western Pacific or the Santa Fe were in the chambers.

*No response.*

Whereupon, Supervisor Welch withdrew his objections offered at the meeting of the committee, and it was declared that the Western Pacific and Santa Fe were not willing to do what they want the Southern Pacific to do.

*Proposed Amendments to the Southern Pacific Company Spur Track Permit.*

*Presented by Supervisor McSheehy.*

Resolved, That Section 2 of bill granting permission to the Southern Pacific Company to construct certain spur tracks on First street, Bryant street and Beale street is amended by adding to Section 2 of said bill the following provision:

Provided, that any publicly or privately owned railroad hereafter desiring to operate freight cars on said spur tracks or any part thereof shall be permitted to operate freight cars on said spur tracks or such part thereof upon said railroad paying to said Southern Pacific Company and any other railroad operating freight cars on said spur tracks or such part thereof its proportion of the cost of the construction of the spur tracks or part thereof on which it desires to operate freight cars; said proportion to be so calculated as to have each railroad operating on any part of said spur tracks pay an equal proportion of the cost of constructing said part.

JAS. B. MCSHEEHY.

*Oct. 23, 1922—Referred to Streets and Commercial Development Committees.*

*Presented by Supervisor Richard J. Welch.*

Provided, that the Southern Pacific shall allow any other transcontinental railroad or railroads to make a physical connection with said track and acquire equal interest and joint use of same upon such railroad or railroads paying to the Southern Pacific Company its pro rata of the cost of construction of said track and appurtenances to be used by such railroad or railroads jointly.

And provided further, that the State Belt Railway at any time after the granting hereof may purchase the said spur in its entirety by paying to the Southern Pacific or other transcontinental railroads the cost of constructing said spur, and may thereafter use the said spur and its appurtenances as a part of the present State Belt Railway System.

And provided further, that the State Belt Railway shall not have the right

to acquire said spur until such a time as it may make a physical connection therewith.

*October 23, 1922—Referred to Streets and Commercial Development Committees.*

Discussion: Andrew J. Gallagher, Geo. Gerhardt, Wm. Nanry, T. Vizzard, representing the Draymen's Association; Mr. Levitt, representing the Southern Pacific Co.; Mr. Mertens, representing property owners.

**Amendment Lost.**

Supervisor McSheehy moved the passage of his amendment.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisor Hynes, McSheehy, Powers—3.

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—12.

Absent—Supervisors Mulvihill, Robb, Shannon—3.

**Amendment.**

*Supervisor Rossi* moved to amend by inserting at the end of Section 2:

"Provided, no car or cars shall be moved or switched on said spur between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m. and provided that said spur track shall be free from cars during said hours."

*Supervisor Schmitz* suggested the following language:

"Provided, no car or cars shall be moved or switched over or allowed to stand on said spur during the hours between 7 a. m. and 6 p. m."

*Supervisor Rossi* accepts suggestion as original motion.

*No objection* offered to proposed amendment.

*Supervisor Scott* moved to insert the following after the second paragraph in Section 1:

Beginning at a point in the center line of Beale street, said point being distant southeasterly 100 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Folsom street; thence northwesterly along said center line of Beale street 60 feet; thence on a curve to the left having a radius of 250 feet for a distance of 74 feet, more or less, to a point in Folsom street and Beale street; thence diagonally across Folsom street in a northwesterly direction 55 feet more or less, to a point, said point being on the north line of Folsom street and 20 feet northeasterly from the westerly line of Beale street; thence on a curve to the right having a radius of 250 feet for a distance of 74.0 feet, more or less, to a point; said point being 10 feet northeasterly from the westerly line of Beale street and 75.0 feet northwesterly from the northerly line of Folsom street; thence northwesterly along

Beale street and 10.0 feet distant northeasterly from westerly line of Beale street, crossing Howard street to a point on southerly line of Mission street.

*No objection.*

**Passed for Printing.**

Whereupon, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 6165, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to the Southern Pacific Company, its successors or assigns, to construct, maintain and operate certain industrial spur tracks upon First street, Bryant street and Beale street, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Southern Pacific Company, its successors or assigns, to construct, maintain and operate certain industrial spur tracks upon and along the following streets, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the westerly line of First street, said point being distant southerly 325 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Brannan street; thence in a northerly direction and diagonally along First street to a point distant 110 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Brannan street, and 40 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of First street; thence on a curve to the left to a point on the southerly line of Brannan street, said point being distant 35 feet, more or less, westerly from the easterly line of First street; thence across Brannan street to a point in the northerly line of Brannan street, said point being 38 feet, more or less, westerly from the easterly line of First street; thence on a curve to the right to a point in the center line of First street; thence northerly along the center line of First street to a point distant southerly 138 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Bryant street; thence on a curve to the right, said curve having a radius of 191.53 feet, 135 feet, more or less, to the easterly line of First street, said point being distant southerly 17 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Bryant street; thence continuing on said curve across Southern Pacific Company property to a point on the southerly line of Bryant street, said point being distant easterly 16 feet, more or less, from the easterly line of First street; thence continuing on said curve to a point in Bryant street, said point being distant southerly 25 feet, more or less, from

the northerly line of Bryant street and distant westerly 125 feet, more or less, from the west line of Fremont street; thence easterly along Bryant street parallel to said northerly line 65 feet, more or less; thence on a curve to the right, said curve having a radius of 250 feet, a distance of 31 feet, more or less; thence easterly along Bryant street, crossing Fremont street, to a point in Bryant street, said point being distant easterly 82 feet, more or less, from the east line of Fremont street, and distant northerly 30 feet, more or less, from the south line of Bryant street; thence on a curve to the left, said curve having a radius of 250 feet, for a distance of 31 feet, more or less, to a point, said point being distant easterly 113 feet, more or less, from the east line of Fremont street and distant northerly 25 feet, more or less, from the south line of Bryant street; thence easterly and parallel to the southerly line of Bryant street a distance of 10 feet, more or less; thence on a curve to the left, said curve having a radius of 250 feet, a distance of 70 feet, more or less, to a point in Bryant street, said point being distant southerly 45 feet, more or less, from the north line of Bryant street, and distant westerly 80 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of Beale street; thence on a curve to the left, having a radius of 173.77 feet, a distance of 85 feet, more or less, to a point on the northerly line of Bryant street, said point being distant westerly 6 feet, more or less, from the west line of Beale street; thence continuing on said curve across private property to a point on the westerly line of Beale street, said point being distant northerly 8 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Bryant street; thence continuing on said curve to a point in Beale street, said point being distant westerly 40 feet, more or less, from the easterly line of Beale street and distant northerly 75 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Bryant street; thence on a curve to the left, having a radius of 250 feet, for a distance of 70 feet, more or less, to a point in Beale street, said point being distant westerly 30 feet, more or less, from the easterly line of Beale street and northerly 145 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Bryant street; thence northerly along Beale street to a point, said point being distant westerly 30 feet, more or less, from the easterly line of Beale street and northerly 155 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Bryant street; thence on a curve to the left, having a radius of 250 feet, for a distance of 31 feet, more or less, to a point; thence westerly along Beale street a distance of

49 feet, more or less, to a point, said point being easterly 43 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of Beale street and distant northerly 240 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Bryant street; thence on a curve to the right, having a radius of 250 feet, a distance of 31 feet, more or less, to a point in the center line of Beale street, said point being northerly 270 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Bryant street; thence northerly along the center line of Beale street, crossing Harrison street, to a point in the center line of Beale street, said point being distant southeasterly 100 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Folsom street; thence northwesterly along said center line of Beale street 60 feet; thence on a curve to the left having a radius of 250 feet for a distance of 74 feet, more or less, to a point in Folsom street and Beale street; thence diagonally across Folsom street in a northwesterly direction 55 feet, more or less, to a point, said point being on the north line of Folsom street and 20 feet northeasterly from the westerly line of Beale street; thence on a curve to the right having a radius of 250 feet for a distance of 74.0 feet, more or less, to a point, said point being 10 feet northeasterly from the westerly line of Beale street and 75.0 feet northwesterly from the northerly line of Folsom street; thence northwesterly along Beale street and 10.0 feet distant northeasterly from westerly line of Beale street, crossing Howard street to a point on southerly line of Mission street.

Also beginning at a point on the center line of Beale street, said point being distant southerly 100 feet, more or less, from the south line of Folsom street; thence on a curve to the right, said curve having a radius of 250 feet for a distance of 31 feet, more or less; thence in a northerly direction crossing Folsom street to a point on the easterly line of Beale street, said point being distant northerly 85 feet, more or less, from the north line of Folsom street.

Also beginning at a point in Beale street, said point being distant southerly 35 feet, more or less, from the south line of Folsom street, and 30 feet, more or less, from the easterly line of Beale street; thence on a curve to the right (the point of beginning of said curve forms an angle of 14 deg. 20 min. with a line drawn through said point and parallel with the center line of Beale street), said curve having a radius of 250 feet for a distance of 31 feet, more or less; thence in an easterly direction crossing Folsom street to a point on the north line of Folsom street, said point being east-

erly 15 feet, more or less, from the east line of Beale street.

Also beginning at a point in Beale street on the northerly line of Folsom street, said point being 14.0 feet, more or less, southwesterly from the easterly line of Beale street; thence on a curve to the left having a radius of 250 feet for a distance of 40.0 feet, more or less, to a point in Beale street 10.0 feet distant southwesterly from the easterly line of Beale street and 40 feet, more or less, northwesterly from the northerly line of Folsom street; thence northwesterly along Beale street and 10.0 feet distant southwesterly from the easterly line of Beale street, crossing Howard street to a point in the southerly line of Mission street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted, and shall be construed as part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement, and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by the Southern Pacific Company.

Provided further, that the sidewalk widths on Beale street between Folsom street and Bryant street be reduced to ten feet; any expense due to this change of sidewalk widths being borne by the Southern Pacific Company; also that the fire cistern at Beale street and Howard street be reinforced, and that care be taken to protect all high pressure lines; also, that girder rails be used throughout, and that all pavements disturbed be reconstructed; all work to be done under the supervision and to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works; also, that the spur track be terminated at a point 100 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Mission street, in order to keep the approaches from Mission street into Beale street clear all the time.

Section 2. Said tracks shall be used for the transportation of freight only, and shall not be used as a main line or a part thereof.

Said tracks shall be laid level with the street and must be operated under such restrictions as to interfere to the least possible degree with the use of said streets by the public.

The Southern Pacific Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps, to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Provided, however, that it is the intention of this Board that said track shall be equally available to all railroads, but in order to promote safety and economy in the operation thereof it is hereby expressly provided that the State Belt Railway, or any railroad operating in San Francisco, shall have the right to make physical connection with the tracks above described, and Southern Pacific Company shall promptly and without discrimination switch to any industry on said tracks any car or cars which such other carriers may place on proper interchange tracks properly constructed to handle necessary business. It is understood that Southern Pacific Company is to do all of the switching on the trackage known as Beale street spur or industrial tracks.

Provided, no car or cars shall be moved or switched over or allowed to stand on said spur track during the hours between 7 o'clock a. m. and 6 o'clock p. m.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—14.

Noes—Supervisors Hynes, McSheehy—2.

Absent—Supervisors Mulvihill, Robb—2.

*Supervisor Hynes:* I am going to vote *No* and I want an explanation of my vote in the minutes—that I vote *No* because I think the City's interest will be conserved by inserting an amendment to the effect that all roads should have the privilege of using the spur track on our streets, and in the event of the Southern Pacific refusing to construct the railroad, that the City should proceed forthwith to construct the spur track and gain whatever profit there may be in our constructing it.

*Supervisor McSheehy* explained his vote as follows: I am voting *No* for the reasons that the amendment that I offered would have avoided the bottling up of San Francisco, and the permits as granted positively bottles it up.

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

##### Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and finally passed by the following vote:

**Authorizations.**

Resolution No. 20404 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1914.*

(1) Baumgarten Bros., supplies, Heach Hetchy water construction (claim dated Oct. 13, 1922), \$1,335.09.

(2) William Cluff Co., supplies (claim dated Oct. 13, 1922), \$1,724.59.

(3) The Grange Co., supplies (claim dated Oct. 13, 1922), \$591.80.

(4) General Electric Co., storage batteries, transformers, etc. (claim dated Oct. 13, 1922), \$14,409.53.

(5) C. A. Hooper & Co., lands in San Mateo County required for aqueduct purposes (claim dated Oct. 13, 1922), \$2,994.

(6) Ingersoll-Rand Co., machine parts (claim dated Oct. 13, 1922), \$4,409.68.

(7) Myers-Whaley Co., Inc., machine parts (claim dated Oct. 13, 1922), \$2,108.04.

(8) J. H. Newbauer & Co., supplies (claim dated Oct. 13, 1922), \$731.88.

(9) Ransome & McClelland, two Ransome Mixers (claim dated Oct. 13, 1922), \$4,752.40.

(10) Standard Oil Co., Inc., gasoline and oils (claim dated Oct. 13, 1922), \$734.96.

(11) Standard Oil Co., Inc., fuel oil (claim dated Oct. 13, 1922), \$4,431.25.

(12) Standard Oil Co., Inc., fuel oil, etc. (claim dated Oct. 13, 1922), \$6,749.09.

(13) Standard Oil Co., Inc., fuel oil (claim dated Oct. 13, 1922), \$7,184.66.

(14) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premiums, Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Oct. 13, 1922), \$11,392.05.

(15) Sherry Bros., Inc., supplies (claim dated Oct. 13, 1922), \$1,637.92.

(16) Sperry Flour Co., supplies (claim dated Oct. 14, 1922), \$1,092.51.

(17) Tansey-Crowe Co., tires (claim dated Oct. 13, 1922), \$1,083.70.

(18) Virden Packing Co., supplies (claim dated Oct. 13, 1922), \$770.25.

(19) D. N. & E. Walter & Co., linoleum (claim dated Oct. 13, 1922), \$560.

(20) Western Meat Co., supplies (claim dated Oct. 13, 1922), \$1,425.62.

(21) Whitney Engineering Co., machine parts (claim dated Oct. 13, 1922), \$720.10.

(22) California Corrugated Culvert Co., galvanized iron pipe (claim dated Oct. 18, 1922), \$1,033.

(23) William Cluff Co., supplies (claim dated Oct. 18, 1922), \$794.

(24) Engineering Products Co., switching material (claim dated Oct. 18, 1922), \$535.40.

(25) Enterprise Foundry Co., car wheels, etc. (claim dated Oct. 18, 1922), \$607.45.

(26) Foppiano, Solari & Co., supplies (claim dated Oct. 16, 1922), \$516.

(27) Haas Bros., supplies (claim dated Oct. 18, 1922), \$1,280.

(28) Hercules Powder Co., fuse, blasting caps, etc. (claim dated Oct. 18, 1922), \$3,940.94.

(29) Fred L. Hilmer Co., supplies (claim dated Oct. 18, 1922), \$1,113.10.

(30) Keystone Lubricating Co., lubricating grease (claim dated Oct. 18, 1922), \$1,387.56.

(31) R. E. Noble & Co., sampling and supervising cement shipments (claim dated Oct. 18, 1922), \$626.85.

(32) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Oct. 16, 1922), \$1,606.13.

(33) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Oct. 18, 1922), \$2,335.65.

(34) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., mazda lamps (claim dated Oct. 18, 1922), \$1,521.60.

(35) Parkesburg Iron Co., boiler, tubes (claim dated Oct. 18, 1922), \$1,387.75.

(36) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., freight on cement (claim dated Oct. 16, 1922), \$563.02.

(37) The Utah Construction Co., extra work, clearing camp supplies, etc. (claim dated Oct. 18, 1922), \$744.87.

(38) Westinghouse Electric and Mfg. Co., transformers and motors (claim dated Oct. 18, 1922), \$1,547.72.

(39) Schultz Construction Co., first payment, construction of abutments and piers for Sixbit Gulch bridge (claim dated Oct. 16, 1922), \$9,436.12.

*Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.*

(40) American Car Co., second payment, Municipal Railway car trucks (claim dated Oct. 16, 1922), \$13,781.25.

(41) American Car Co., second payment, Municipal Railway car bodies (claim dated Oct. 16, 1922), \$36,309.

(42) Westinghouse Electric and Mfg. Co., first payment, Municipal Railway, motor equipment (claim dated Oct. 18, 1922), \$35,400.

*Municipal Railway Fund.*

(43) Associated Oil Co., gasoline for Municipal Railways (claim dated Sept. 29, 1922), \$897.12.

(44) Associated Oil Co., gasoline for Municipal Railways (claim dated Oct. 17, 1922), \$947.94.

(45) Market Street Railway Co., September reimbursement (claim dated Oct. 17, 1922), \$1,102.07.

(46) Market Street Railway Co., elec-

tric current furnished (claim dated Oct. 17, 1922), \$2,053.51.

(47) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electric current furnished (claim dated Oct. 16, 1922), \$30,765.09.

(48) San Francisco City Employeess' Retirement Fund, railway employees' pensions, etc. (claim dated Oct. 10, 1922), \$5,403.59.

(49) Westinghouse Electric and Mfg. Co., electric supplies (claim dated Oct. 16, 1922), \$800.

*School Bonds, Issue 1918.*

(49a) Anderson & Ringrose, second payment, construction of Yerba Buena School (claim dated Oct. 18, 1922), \$11,381.25.

(50) P. J. Enright, second payment, heating and ventilating Columbus School (claim dated Oct. 18, 1922), \$684.29.

*Special School Tax.*

(51) Anderson & Ringrose, final payment, general construction of Parkside School, 1920-1921 fund (claim dated Oct. 18, 1922), \$4,388.

(52) Quinn & Reilly, ninth payment, general construction of Emerson School, 1921-1922 fund (claim dated Oct. 18, 1922), \$2,707.50.

(53) Justinian Caire Co., equipment for Galileo High School (claim dated Oct. 17, 1922), \$644.

*County Road Fund.*

(54) Ruth V. Dodge, executrix, and W. H. Spaulding, executor, of Estate of Washington Dodge, deceased, payment for lands required for the opening and widening of the Market street extension; particularly described in acceptance of offer, Resolution No. 20333 (New Series) (claim dated Oct. 17, 1922), \$1,300.

(55) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., for installation of electroliers at Point Lobos avenue near Cliff House; appropriation by Resolution No. 19291 (New Series) (claim dated Oct. 18, 1922), \$1,295.75.

*General Fund, 1922-1923.*

(56) San Francisco Chronicle, official advertising, Board of Supervisors (claim dated Oct. 18, 1922), \$2,710.05.

(57) Bethlehem Shipbuilding Corporation, Ltd., refund of taxes paid on U. S. Government owned property (claim dated Oct. 16, 1922), \$555.20.

(58) Phillips & Van Orden Co., ballot paper, Dept. of Elections (claim dated Oct. 11, 1922), \$1,829.80.

(59) California Printing Co., printing Dept. of Elections, poll and tally lists (claim dated Oct. 11, 1922), \$5,675.

(60) F. Malloye, binding Assessor's block books (claim dated Oct. 17, 1922), \$653.78.

(61) Western Lime and Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Oct. 17, 1922), \$3,517.26.

(62) George Anderson, 100 street cans (claim dated Oct. 17, 1922), \$700.

(63) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated Oct. 17, 1922), \$2,685.65.

(64) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished public buildings (claim dated Oct. 17, 1922), \$1,699.02.

(65) Wayne Tank and Pump Co., barromite mineral tank, meter, etc., for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$1,925.

(66) Levi Strauss & Co., dry goods, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$857.88.

(67) Miller & Lux, meats, Relief Home (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$836.42.

(68) Fred L. Hilmer Co., butter, Relief Home (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$738.

(69) Makins Produce Co., eggs, Relief Home (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$832.50.

(70) Baumgarten Bros., meat, Relief Home (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$686.41.

(71) California Meat Co., meat, Relief Home (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$1,187.12.

(72) Haas Bros., groceries, Relief Home (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$885.36.

(73) Shell Co. of California, fuel oil, Relief Home (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$1,296.

(74) Wm. Cluff Co., groceries, Relief Home (claim dated Oct. 16, 1922), \$1,220.31.

(75) Roentgen Appliance Co., one Rieber fluoroscopic unit, Board of Health (claim dated Oct. 16, 1922), \$700.

(76) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished hospitals (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$1,666.17.

(77) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished Relief Home (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$677.68.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Mulvihill, Robb—2.

Appropriation, \$801.83, Catchbasins, etc., Mission Terrace.

Resolution No. 20405 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$801.83 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Street Work in Front of City Property," Budget Item No. 45, to defray cost of constructing catchbasins and culvert pipe connections in Mission Terrace, to take care of surface drainage.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers,

Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Mulvihill, Robb—2.

Appropriation, \$6,000, Mrs. P. W. McGlade, Land and Improvements, Washington Irving School.

Resolution No. 20406 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$6,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax, 1922-1923, and authorized in payment to Mrs. P. W. McGlade; being payment for land and improvements required for the Washington Irving School, and situate on north line of Broadway, distant 40 feet easterly from Bartol street, of dimensions 22 feet 11 inches by 92 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Mulvihill, Robb—2.

Appropriation, \$7,000, S. Tognotti, Land and Improvements, Washington Irving School.

Resolution No. 20407 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$7,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax, 1922-1923, and authorized in payment to Serafino Tognotti; being payment for land and improvements required for the Washington Irving School, and situate on east line of Bartol street, distant 114 feet 9 inches northerly from Broadway; of dimensions 22 feet 9 inches by 62 feet 11 inches.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Mulvihill, Robb—2.

Garage, Supply Station, Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 20408 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

*Public Garage.*

Willis L. Gott and Jack Jenkins, at 420 Fulton street, upon strict compliance with the building laws.

Marius Bosc, on south side of Sacramento street, 91 feet 6 inches west of Polk street; also to store 600 gallons of gasoline on premises.

*Transfer Public Garage.*

To Frank Santoni and A. Giovacchini, permit granted by Resolution No. 18446 (New Series) to Lagomarsino & Giuliani, on east side of Powell

street, 82½ feet south of Union street (No. 1636 Powell street).

*Automobile Supply Station.*

Shell Co. of Cal., at northwest corner of Geary and Collins streets; also to store 2000 gallons of gasoline on premises.

Shell Co. of Cal., at southeast corner of Eddy and Jones streets; also to store 2000 gallons of gasoline on premises.

*Oil Storage Tank.*

Nelson Meat Co., at 780 Folsom street, 600 gallons capacity.

V. Garibaldi & Bros., on Hamilton avenue between Woolsey and Wayland streets, 1950 gallons capacity.

J. Cahill, at 3 Fifth street, 600 gallons capacity.

Emma Sawtelle, at southeast corner of Broadway and Taylor street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Sullivan, on east side of Webster street, 65 feet north of Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

*Boiler.*

Nelson Meat Co., at 780-784 Folsom street, 30-horsepower.

Collins, Hencke Co., at 620 Folsom street, 80-horsepower.

V. Garibaldi & Bros., on Hamilton avenue, between Woolsey and Wayland streets, 80-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Mulvihill, Robb—2.

Bridge Permit, Simmons Bed Company.

Resolution No. 20409 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Simmons Company is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to erect and maintain a steel bridge across Powell street sixty-one feet south of North Point street; the said bridge to be erected under the supervision and direction of the Board of Public Works in accordance with plans and specifications to be approved by the Board of Public Works.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Mulvihill, Robb—2.

Plans, etc., Improvement Telegraph Hill.

Bill No. 6153, Ordinance No. 5733 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the preparation of plans

and specifications for and the improvement of Telegraph Hill by grading for a boulevard in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor, and authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said improvement. The cost of said improvement to be borne out of the County Road Fund; and permitting progressive payments to be made during the progress of said improvement.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for and to enter into contract for the improvement of Telegraph Hill by grading for a boulevard in accordance with the plans and specifications so prepared therefor. The cost of said improvement to be borne out of the County Road Fund.

Section 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for the said improvement of Telegraph Hill, conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in the said specifications and as provided by Section 21, Chapter I, Article VI of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Mulvihill, Robb—2.

*Supervisor Hynes* reserved the right to change his vote on the above if, upon investigation, he is not assured that there is not enough money in the County Roads Fund for Scotch Hill.

#### Full Acceptance, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 6154, Ordinance No. 5734 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for full acceptance of the roadway of Chestnut street between Sansome and Montgomery streets; Chenery street between Thirtieth and Randall streets; Judah street between Forty-eighth avenue and La Playa; Peru avenue between Lisbon and Madrid streets; Thirty-third avenue between Balboa and Cabrillo streets; Twenty-eighth street between Sanchez and Noe streets; crossing Bennington street and Ellert street, and between Ellert and Newman streets; crossing Peru avenue and Madrid avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the

curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby fully accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphalt, bitumen, concrete, cobbles and asphaltic concrete and granite curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and watermains laid therein, to-wit:

Chestnut street between Sansome and Montgomery streets, paved with basalt blocks and granite curbs have been laid thereon.

Chenery street between Thirtieth and Randall streets, paved with bituminous rock and granite curbs have been laid thereon.

Judah street between Forty-eighth avenue and La Playa, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon.

Peru avenue between Lisbon and Madrid streets, paved with concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon.

Thirty-third avenue between Balboa and Cabrillo streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon.

Twenty-eighth street between Sanchez and Noe streets, paved with basalt and central 14-foot strip of cobble stones and concrete curbs have been laid thereon.

Crossing of Bennington street and Ellert street and Bennington street between Ellert and Newman streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon.

Crossing of Peru avenue and Madrid street, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Mulvihill, Robb—2.

#### Conditional Acceptance, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 6155, Ordinance No. 5735 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Avalon avenue between Lisbon and Madrid streets; Edna street between Marston avenue and Havelock street; Eliza place between Folsom street and its southerly termination, including the intersection of Eliza place and Shipley street; Gut-

tenberg street between Hanover street and the county line; Hanover street between Guttenberg street and Lowell street, and the crossing of Hanover and Lowell streets; Marston avenue between Edna street and its westerly termination; Seventeenth avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets; crossing of Edna street and Marston avenue; crossing of Foerster street and Staples avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, concrete, etc., and curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, to-wit:

Avalon avenue between Lisbon and Madrid street, paved with concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Edna street between Marston avenue and Havelock street, paved with concrete and asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Eliza place between Folsom street and its southerly termination, including the intersection of Eliza place and Shipley street; paved with concrete; a sewer is not required in this street; no gas or water mains have been laid therein.

Guttenberg street between Hanover street and the county line; paved with concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewers have been laid therein; no gas or water mains have been laid therein.

Hanover street between Guttenberg street and Lowell street and the crossings of Hanover street and Lowell street; paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Marston avenue between Edna street and its westerly termination; paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewers have been laid therein; no gas or water mains have been laid therein.

Seventeenth avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets; paved with asphaltic concrete with a fourteen-inch central strip of vertical fiber brick; concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Edna street and Marston avenue; paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Foerster street and Staples avenue; paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shamon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Mulvihill, Robb—2.

Repealing Ordinance Providing for the Improvement of Crossing of Munich Street and Persia Avenue.

Bill No. 6156, Ordinance No. 5736 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 5600 (New Series), approved March 30, 1922, ordering the improvement of the crossing of Munich street and Persia avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5600 (New Series), approved March 30, 1922, ordering the improvement of the crossing of Munich street and Persia avenue, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Mulvihill, Robb—2.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths, Clay Street.

Bill No. 6157, Ordinance No. 5737 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks", approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section 200 thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks", approved December 18, 1903, is hereby amended in accordance with

the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office September 29, 1922, by amending Section 200 thereof to read as follows:

Section 200. The width of sidewalks on Clay street, the northerly side of, between Sansome and Battery streets, shall be seven (7) feet six (6) inches.

The width of sidewalks on Clay street, the southerly side of, between Sansome street and Battery street, shall be eight (8) feet two and one-quarter (2 1/4) inches.

Section 2. Any expense connected with the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Mulvihill, Robb—2.

#### Ordering Street Work, Flora Street.

Bill No. 6158, Ordinance No. 5738 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 9, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in five installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment,

and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Flora street between Bay View street and a line 200 feet southerly therefrom*, by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with 8 Y branches and one brick manhole with appurtenances along the center line of Flora street from a point 20 feet southerly from Bay View street to the existing manhole 200 feet southerly from Bay View street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Mulvihill, Robb—2.

#### Fixing Sidewalk Widths, Grant Avenue.

Bill No. 6159, Ordinance No. 5739 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks", approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered 806.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks" approved December 18, 1903, is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office September 29, 1922, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered 806, to read as follows:

Section 806. The width of sidewalks on Grant avenue, the easterly side of, between Bush street and The Embarcadero, shall be ten (10) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Grant avenue, the westerly side of, between Bush street and Bay street, shall be ten (10) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Grant avenue, the westerly side of, between Bay and Beach streets, are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Mulvihill, Robb—2.

#### Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 6160, Ordinance No. 5740 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain

street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 8, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in five installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Forty-six avenue between Kirkham street and the center line of Lawton street*, by the construction of an eight (8) inch ironstone pipe sewer, 44 Y branches, and 2 manholes, along the center line of Forty-sixth avenue from a point 20 feet southerly from the southerly line of Kirkham street to the existing manhole on the center line of Lawton street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Mulvihill, Robb—2.

Bill No. 6161, Ordinance No. 5741 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor,

and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 9, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Anderson street between Crescent avenue and a line 375 feet southerly therefrom*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs across the roadway at a line 368 feet southerly from Crescent avenue; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width, including artificial stone sidewalks on that portion of the street 375 feet southerly from Crescent avenue to the curb; by the construction of a concrete coping across the roadway at a line 375 feet southerly from Crescent avenue; by the construction of one brick catchbasin and appurtenances with 10-inch ironstone pipe culvert, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof from Crescent avenue to a line 368 feet southerly therefrom.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Mulvihill, Robb—2.

**Improvement of Sloat Boulevard Between Nineteenth and Thirty-fifth Avenues.**

Bill No. 6105, Ordinance No. 5742 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the improvement of the northerly one-half of Sloat boulevard from Nineteenth avenue to Thirty-fifth avenue, authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said improvement, approving plans and specifications therefor, and permitting progressive payments to be made during the progress of said improvement, the expense of said improvement to be borne out of the County Road Fund.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the improvement of the *northerly one-half of Sloat boulevard from Nineteenth avenue to Thirty-fifth avenue*, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor by the Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted, the cost of said improvement to be borne out of the County Road Fund.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for said improvement, conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications and as provided by Section 21, Chapter 1 of Article VI of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Mulvihill, Robb—2.

*Supervisor Hynes:* I want my vote recorded the same way on Sloat boulevard. I reserve the right to vote against any appropriation until I am assured that Scotch Hill will be taken care of out of the County Roads Fund.

**PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.**

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print and amounting to \$43,286.13, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Mulvihill, Robb—2.

**NEW BUSINESS.**

**Auditorium Rental.**

Supervisor Hayden presented: Resolution No. 29410 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the American Radio Exposition Company be granted use of Main Hall, Auditorium, January 23 to 27, 1923, for the purpose of holding Radio Exposition, deposit having been paid to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to guarantee the rental fee.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Mulvihill, Robb—2.

**Passed for Printing.**

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

**Authorizations.**

On motion of Supervisor McLeran: Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

**Park Fund.**

(1) Pacific Gas and Electric Company, gas and electric service for parks (claim dated October 27, 1922), \$1,283.35.

(2) John Reid, Jr., architectural service for park buildings (claim dated October 27, 1922), \$666.

(3) Spring Valley Water Company, water furnished parks (claim dated October 27, 1922), \$3,719.42.

(4) Taylor & Jackson Company, final payment, construction of shelter house in Lincoln Park (claim dated October 27, 1922), \$984.25.

**Spreckels Special Deposit (Honora Sharp Trust) Fund.**

(5) A. McSweeney, Tax Collector, Redwood City, Cal., first and second installments of taxes on Sharp Park, San Mateo County, Cal. (claim dated October 27, 1922), \$1,224.57.

**Municipal Railway Fund.**

(6) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Company, brake shoes for Municipal Railways (claim dated October 23, 1922), \$1,074.53.

(7) Dependable Paint Manufacturers' Products Company, varnish, etc. (claim dated October 23, 1922), \$730.49.

(8) United States Steel Products Company, steel crossings, etc. (claim dated October 23, 1922), \$4,426.

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

- (9) Associated Oil Company, engine oil and freight charges, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated October 23, 1922), \$505.93.
- (10) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Company, hardware (claim dated October 23, 1922), \$597.72.
- (11) Herbert F. Dugan, medical supplies (claim dated October 23, 1922), \$764.55.
- (12) Ingersoll-Rand Company, machine parts (claim dated October 23, 1922), \$1,946.86.
- (13) Ingersoll-Rand Company, machine parts (claim dated October 23, 1922), \$537.47.
- (14) Pacific Car and Equipment Company, steel coach wheels (claim dated October 23, 1922), \$1,615.88.
- (15) The Pelton Water Wheel Company, water wheel units (claim dated October 23, 1922), \$2,008.
- (16) Virden Packing Company, foodstuffs (claim dated October 23, 1922), \$795.05.
- (17) Westinghouse Electric and Mfg. Company, electric parts (claim dated October 23, 1922), \$592.88.

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

- (18) P. J. Enright, first payment, heating and ventilating Yerba Buena School (claim dated October 25, 1922), \$971.63.
- (19) J. S. Hannah, fifth payment, general construction of Columbus School (claim dated October 25, 1922), \$1,783.50.
- (20) Mahony Bros., seventh payment, general construction of Mission High School addition (claim dated October 25, 1922), \$11,058.35.
- (21) M. B. McGowan, second payment, brick and hollow tile work in North Beach (Galileo) High School (claim dated October 25, 1922), \$10,339.69.
- (22) The Turner Company, first payment, electric work in Yerba Buena School (claim dated October 25, 1922), \$922.50.

*General Fund, 1922-1923.*

- (23) D. J. O'Brien, police contingent expense for November (claim dated October 9, 1922), \$750.
- (24) Western Lime and Cement Company, cement for sewer repairs (claim dated October 24, 1922), \$1,478.64.
- (25) Coast Rock and Gravel Company, gravel for street repair (claim dated October 24, 1922), \$634.23.
- (26) Shell Company of California, fuel oil for street repair (claim dated October 24, 1922), \$1,367.40.
- (27) Western Rock & Products Company, sand for street repair (claim dated October 24, 1922), \$1,978.29.

(28) Shell Company of California, fuel oil, Department of Public Works (claim dated October 24, 1922), \$896.40.

(29) The Fay Improvement Company, construction of catchbasins in Mission Terrace (claim dated October 25, 1922), \$801.83.

(30) Hooper & Jennings, groceries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated September 30, 1922), \$1,971.90.

(31) Golden State Baking Company, bread, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated September 30, 1922), \$540.84.

(32) Miller & Lux, meats, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated September 30, 1922), \$1,104.77.

(33) California Meat Company, meats, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated September 30, 1922), \$539.42.

(34) San Francisco Dairy Company, milk, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated September 30, 1922), \$3,028.39.

(35) Sherry Bros., butter, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated September 30, 1922), \$1,441.23.

(36) Walton N. Moore Company, dry goods, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated September 30, 1922), \$721.96.

(37) Shell Oil Company, fuel oil, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated September 30, 1922), \$2,088.

(38) Oliva Bros., fruit, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated September 30, 1922), \$663.23.

(39) Makins Produce Company, eggs, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated September 30, 1922), \$1,843.

(40) South San Francisco Packing and Provision Company, meats, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated September 30, 1922), \$849.67.

(41) Johnson & Johnson, drug supplies, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated September 30, 1922), \$1,190.30.

(42) Brandt Cashier Company, five Brandt auto cashiers, Tax Collector (claim dated September 28, 1922), \$897.75.

(43) Wales Visible Adding Machine Company, seven electric adding machines, less allowance old machines, Tax Collector (claim dated September 28, 1922), \$1,365.15.

(44) Phillips & Van Orden Company, printing charter amendments, Department of Elections (claim dated October 26, 1922), \$2,112.

(45) Phillips & Van Orden Company, printing pamphlets, Department of Elections (claim dated October 26, 1922), \$576.75.

(46) Phillips & Van Orden Company, sample and official ballots, Department of Elections (claim dated October 26, 1922), \$1,174.

(47) Phillips & Van Orden Company, poll and tally lists, Department of Elections (claim dated October 26, 1922), \$1,475.

*General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(48) Phillips & Van Orden Company, printing ballots, contract 426, Department of Elections (claim dated October 26, 1922), \$12,600.

*Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.*

(49) Amenayada Le Quime, purchase of property for terminal loop of Taraval street line of Municipal Railways; as per Resolution No. 20389 (New Series) (claim dated October 26, 1922), \$7,000.

(50) Sol Getz & Sons, purchase of property for terminal loop of Taraval street line of Municipal Railways; per Resolution No. 20389 (New Series) (claim dated October 26, 1922), \$9,000.

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(51) The Pelton Water Wheel Company, second payment, contract 79-A, water wheels for Moccasin Creek power plant (claim dated October 23, 1922), \$4,757.31.

Appropriation, \$15,628.38, Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund for New Pavement on Columbus Avenue from Washington Street to Union Street.

On motion of Supervisor McLeran: Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$15,628.38 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund to the credit of Municipal Railway Operative Fund; being reimbursement of said fund, representing the betterment to the "Municipal Railway Property" or "Road and Equipment" account, resulting from new pavement having been installed on Columbus avenue from Washington street to Union street.

(Request of Board of Public Works, dated October 20, 1922.)

*Action Deferred.*

The following matters were presented by Supervisor McLeran and on motion laid over one week:

Appropriation, \$750, San Francisco Convention and Tourist League.

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$750 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Publicity and Advertising," Budget Item No. 551, and authorized in payment to the San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, for publicity and advertising expense.

Appropriation, \$10,000, to Credit of Street Lighting Fund.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$10,000 be and the same is hereby set aside

and appropriated out of "Urgent Necessity," Budget Item No. 30, to the credit of "Lighting Streets," Budget Item No. 40, Fiscal Year 1922-1923.

*Mayor to Sell Improvements on Line of Proposed Roosevelt Way.*

Resolution No. 20411 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction after at least five (5) days of published notice the following described personal property owned by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Dwelling house and appurtenances situated on that certain piece or parcel of land acquired for the opening and widening of Roosevelt way, and more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Fourteenth street, distant thereon 224.75 feet easterly from the easterly line of Buena Vista Terrace; thence easterly along the southerly line of Fourteenth street 25.00 feet; thence at right angles southerly 110.60 feet; thence southerly on a curve to the right, the tangent of which deflects 19 deg. 18 min. 32 sec. to the right from the preceding course at the last described point, 160-foot radius, central angle 1 deg. 40 min. 44 sec., 4.69 feet; thence deflecting to the right 69 deg. 00 min. 44 sec. from the tangent to the preceding curve at the last described point and running westerly 23.38 feet; thence at right angles northerly 115.00 feet to the southerly line of Fourteenth street and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Mission Block No. 121.

The terms of said sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale, said dwelling house to be removed by the purchaser within thirty (30) days of purchase thereof.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Mulvihill, Robb—2.

*Appropriations Out of County Road Fund for New Boulevards.*

The following joint recommendation of the Finance and Streets Committees was presented by Supervisor McLeran and passed for printing:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the following purposes, to-wit:

- (1) For construction of Market street extension boulevard, \$35,000.
- (2) For construction of boulevard on Telegraph Hill, \$33,000.
- (3) For construction of boulevard at the Marina, \$45,000.
- (4) For construction of Sloat boulevard, \$52,000.
- (5) For construction of boulevard from Lincoln Park to the Great Highway, \$60,000.
- (6) For construction of diagonal roadway in district bounded by Twentieth and Twenty-second, Rhode Island and Carolina streets, \$65,000.

*Supervisor Welch*, with reference to above resolution, declared that he was in accord with all of these projects, particularly with the proposed construction of a diagonal roadway in the district bounded by Twentieth, Twenty-second, Rhode Island and Carolina streets over what is known as Scotch Hill, but wanted to know from the Finance Committee how San Francisco can keep faith with San Mateo in the matter of its pledge to appropriate its portion of \$225,000 toward the construction of a new highway down the Peninsula.

*Supervisor Hayden* asked the chairman of the Finance Committee if the Joint Highway Commission could depend on San Francisco to appropriate its portion of the \$225,000 mentioned.

*Supervisor McLeran*, chairman of the Finance Committee, said in part: "We will meet the obligations of San Francisco as fast as the money can be spent. Money will be forthcoming when the Board of Public Works sends in its recommendations. I have every confidence that San Francisco will meet all its obligations in man-fashion."

Being asked by Supervisor Welch if he would go before the meeting of the Joint Commission and make that statement, Supervisor McLeran declared that he would be glad to do so.

**Passed for Printing.**

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

**Amending Zoning Ordinance, San Bruno Avenue.**

On motion of Supervisor McGregor: Bill No. 6162, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the

City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

That Section 9 of the Use of Property Zone map be ordered changed so as to extend the Light Industrial District along the westerly side of San Bruno avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Rutledge street and running thence southerly to a point 80 feet southerly from Joy street, to a line 200 feet westerly from the westerly line of San Bruno avenue.

**Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 6.**

Supervisor McGregor presented:

Resolution No. 20412 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendation, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore,

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along both sides of Sixteenth avenue, commencing at the northerly line of Anza street, and running thence northerly to points 75 feet southerly from the southerly line of Geary street, which said set-back line shall be 10 feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue.

Along the northerly line of Green street between Divisadero street and Scott street, which said set-back line shall be 20 feet distant from and parallel with the line of Green street.

Along the easterly and westerly sides of Jordan avenue, commencing at California street and running thence southerly to points 100 feet northerly from the northerly line of Geary street, which said set-back line shall be 12 feet distant from and parallel with the line of Jordan avenue.

Along the northerly side of Vallejo street between Divisadero street and Broderick street, which said set-back line shall be 9 feet distant from and parallel with the line of Vallejo street.

Along the westerly line of Sixth avenue, commencing at the southerly line of Lake street, and running thence southerly to a point 83 feet and 8 inches northerly from the northerly line of California street, which said set-back line shall be 18 feet distant from

and parallel with the line of Sixth avenue.

As shown on the map filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors, and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 27th day of November, 1922, at the hour of 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objection to the establishment of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Mulvihill, Robb—2.

*Passed for Printing.*

The following resolutions were passed for printing:

**Auto Supply Station, Boiler and Oil Permits.**

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

*Automobile Supply Station.*

Lubricating Gasoline Co., on north side of Market street, 250 feet west of Gough street; also to store 2000 gallons of gasoline on premises.

*Boiler.*

Kleiber Truck Co., at southwest corner of Folsom and Juniper streets, 10 horsepower.

Hockwald Chemical Co., at 436 Bryant street, 8 horsepower.

*Oil Storage Tank.*

K. Bruchsalter (K. B. Manufacturing Co.), at 222 Eighth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

**Boiler Permit.**

The following matter laid over from last meeting was taken up and passed for printing:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted Pleasanton Ranch Company to maintain and oper-

ate a 10-horsepower boiler at 400 Fourth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit becomes null and void.

**Extension of Time.**

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 20413 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That an extension of ninety days is hereby granted to the Utah Construction Company within which to complete contract for the construction of Hetch Hetchy dam and appurtenant works. (Contract 61.)

This fourth extension of time is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works and for the reason that the contractor has been delayed by the inability of the cement mill to furnish necessary cement and the inability of the railroad companies to furnish necessary cars.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Mulvihill, Robb—2.

**Accepting Offer of F. W. Leis to Sell Land Required as Right-of-way for Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct.**

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 20414 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco from F. W. Leis of the following described parcel of land, situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, required as a right of way for the aqueduct on the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply project, viz.:

Lot 3, Block 28, as shown on map entitled "North Fair Oaks Subdivision No. 3, San Mateo County," which was filed in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County April 20, 1908, Book 6 of Maps, at page 7; for the sum of one hundred dollars (\$100); now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer, the offer of F. W. Leis to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the above mentioned parcel of land for the sum of \$100 be and the same is hereby accepted. Be it

Further Resolved, That the Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply is hereby authorized and directed to notify said F. W. Leis of the acceptance of his offer, to examine the title to said property, and if the same

is found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto and file the same for record, with a copy of this resolution attached thereto, as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Mulvihill, Robb—2.

**Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required for Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct Right-of-way.**

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 20415 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco from L. A. Wittenmeyer and L. Janet Wittenmeyer of the following described parcels of land situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, required as a right of way for the aqueduct on the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply project, viz.:

Fractional portion of Lot 1, Block 23, Oak Knoll Manor, Redwood City, San Mateo County, California, as per map filed in office of County Recorder of San Mateo County May 10, 1916, Book 10 of Maps, pages 4 to 11 (as per offer on file), for the sum of four hundred and 00/100 dollars (\$400.00).

Fruit trees and grape vines on the above described parcel of land are reserved, with the privilege of removing same within 90 days from date of option; otherwise to become the property of the City and County of San Francisco. Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer, the offer of L. A. Wittenmeyer and L. Janet Wittenmeyer to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the above mentioned parcel of land for the sum of \$400 be and the same is hereby accepted. Be it

Further Resolved, That the Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply is hereby authorized and directed to notify said L. A. Wittenmeyer and L. Janet Wittenmeyer of the acceptance of their said offer, to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record, with a copy of this resolution attached thereto, as

evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Mulvihill, Robb—2.

**Passed for Printing.**

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

**Ordering Street Work.**

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill: Bill No. 6163, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 8, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in five installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Folsom street from Crescent avenue to a line 150 feet southerly*, by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with 14 Y branches and one brick manhole with appurtenances along the center line of Folsom street, from the south-

erly line of Crescent avenue to a point 150 feet southerly therefrom.

Section 2. Ordinance No. 5723 (New Series) as hereby repealed.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**Referred.**

The following bill was presented and on motion *laid over one week*:

**Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Beale Street.**

Bill No. 6164, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered two hundred and thirty-seven.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office October 30, 1922, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered two hundred and thirty-seven, to read as follows:

Section 237. The width of sidewalks on Beale street from The Embarcadero to Mission street shall be ten feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**Extension of Time, A. J. Raisch.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20416 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. J. Raisch is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after October 6, 1922, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Market street from Mono street to the southerly line of Twenty-fourth street.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that about three-quarters of the work is completed.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Mulvihill, Robb—2.

**Extension of Time.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20417 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. J. McHugh is hereby granted an extension of sixty

days' time from and after November 21, 1922, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Ulloa street between Fifteenth and Seventeenth avenues.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that all grading is practically completed, with the exception of that portion lying westerly from Fifteenth avenue where clay and rock have been encountered in greater portions than anticipated, thus delaying progress.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Mulvihill, Robb—2.

**Passed for Printing.**

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

**Ordering Construction of Boulevard Connecting Lincoln Park and Sutro Heights.**

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill:

Bill No. 6166, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of a boulevard connecting Lincoln Park with Sutro Heights; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said improvement; approving plans and specifications therefor, and permitting progressive payments to be made during the progress of said improvement, the expense of said improvement to be borne out of the County Road Fund.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for construction of a boulevard connecting Lincoln Park with Sutro Heights; in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor by the Board of Public Works and on file in its office, which plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted, the cost of said improvement to be borne out of the County Road Fund.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in contract for said improvement conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications, and as provided by Section 21, Chapter I, Article VI of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Supervisor Hynes reserved the right to change his vote in case upon investigation he found there was not enough money left in the County Roads

Fund to construct diagonal road on Scotch Hill.

**Dr. Hassler Appointed Purchasing Agent for Alcoholic Liquors.**

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 20418 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That for the purpose of purchasing alcoholic liquors for non-beverage use, William C. Hassler, Health Officer of the City and County of San Francisco, is hereby appointed purchasing agent of said articles required by the Department of Public Health and of the institutions under its control, viz.: the Relief Home for Aged and Infirm, the Emergency Hospital, and the San Francisco Hospital; said appointment to remain in force until revoked.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Mulvihill, Robb—2.

#### Action Deferred.

The following resolution heretofore presented by Supervisor McSheehy and referred to the Supplies Committee and returned by said committee with the recommendation that same be indefinitely postponed, was taken up and on motion *laid over one week*:

#### Bread for Public Institutions.

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this City has been purchasing bread under contract for all departments except the Relief Home for a number of years. These contracts have been let quarterly and the bread for the last quarter was purchased for 4½ cents per pound. This quarter the price of bread has been raised to 7½ cents per pound. This would mean a loss of \$3,500 a quarter, or \$14,000 per year.

Whereas, the Relief Home has been making its own bread at a cost of 2¾ cents per pound, the same could be and should be made at all city institutions, which would mean a saving of \$5,400 a quarter, or \$21,600 per year.

Resolved, That the Department of Public Health be requested to have all the bread needed for their institutions made in the Relief Home, and that the Sheriff be requested to make the bread for all other City departments that use same; further

Resolved, That this resolution be referred to the Supplies Committee, that the Department of Public Health and the Sheriff be sent a copy of same, and that a meeting of the Supplies Committee with these two departments be

held on Wednesday, October 11, 1922, at 2 p. m., for the purpose of devising ways and means for the City to make its own bread and save \$21,600 per year.

#### Opposition to Charter Amendment No. 32.

The following resolution heretofore presented by Supervisor Shannon and laid over one week was taken up:

Resolution No. 20419 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, it has been called to the attention of the Board of Supervisors that a charter amendment known as No. 32 has been submitted to the voters of this City and County for their rejection or approval at the coming general election, and

Whereas, it appears to us after investigation that the said charter amendment No. 32 provides for the blanketting in of many officials heretofore and now elected by the people of this City and County and places in the hands of a non-elected commission the major governmental functions of this municipality; be it therefore

Resolved, That it is the sense of this Board that the power of electing public officials should be retained by the people, as such power is entirely consistent with the democratic principles upon which our government is founded.

Wm. Nanry, representing the San Francisco Bureau of Municipal Research, was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board in opposition to the resolution and in favor of the proposed amendment.

Captain George F. Brown, representing the Scannell Club, San Francisco Fire Department, addressed the Board, urging the adoption of the resolution.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Morgan—2.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

#### Explanation of Vote.

*Supervisor Morgan:* This amendment was brought before the Board of Supervisors and the people by petition containing 40,000 signatures declaring in favor of it. I have demonstrated that I am not for this amendment. I came out publicly and spoke against it. On Thursday I spoke before the Corona Club and said it was a vicious amendment, but, nevertheless, I think the people who signed that petition have their rights and they should be considered. I vote No.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION  
OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MO-  
TIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR RE-  
PORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Condemnation of Lands Adjoining Civic  
Center.**

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 20422 (New Series),  
as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity and use require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco of the following described lands, and any and all rights and claims thereto, for Civic Center purposes, to-wit: All the lots, pieces or parcels of land included in the following descriptions, to-wit:

Commencing at a point formed by the intersection of the southerly line of McAllister street with the westerly line of Van Ness avenue; running thence southerly along said westerly line of Van Ness avenue 120 feet to the northerly line of Ash street; thence westerly along said northerly line of Ash street 219 feet 9 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 120 feet to the southerly line of McAllister street; thence easterly along said southerly line of McAllister street 219 feet 9 inches to the westerly line of Van Ness avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 76; also known as Lot 1, Block 786 on Assessor's Map Book.

That all the lands, rights and claims above described are hereby declared to be suitable, adaptable and necessary for a public use by the City and County of San Francisco.

The City Attorney is hereby instructed to commence proceedings against the owner or owners of said lots, pieces or parcels of land enclosed within said hereinabove description, and any and all rights and claims thereto and interest therein for the condemnation thereof for the use of the City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid, and to prosecute such proceedings to a speedy termination.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Mulvihill, Robb—2.

**Spur Track Permit.**

Supervisor Welch presented:

Bill No. 6167, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to J. Sheldon Potter or assigns to con-

struct, maintain and operate a spur track over and across Stanford street at a point two hundred and eighty-eight feet nine inches, more or less, northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Townsend street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to J. Sheldon Potter or assigns to construct, maintain and operate a spur track over and across Stanford street at a point two hundred and eighty-eight feet nine inches, more or less, northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Townsend street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement, and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by J. Sheldon Potter or assigns.

Provided, that two brick catchbasins be constructed at the easterly and westerly side of Stanford street north of the proposed spur track and connected with the main sewer with ten-inch culverts and a brick manhole to intercept the drainage which the construction of a spur track will interfere with, and that girder rails be used in the construction of this spur where it crosses Stanford street, also that the existing lamp-posts be re-located, and that all pavement and sidewalks disturbed by the construction of the proposed spur be reconstructed.

Provided, that J. Sheldon Potter or assigns shall erect and maintain all-night lighted are lamps where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. Ordinance No. 5732 (New Series) is hereby repealed.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

*Passed for printing* under suspension of the rules.

**Relative to Posting of Notices.**

Supervisor McGregor presented:

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 2 of Ordinance No. 617 (New Series) relative to post-

ing notices upon application made for certain permits.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 2 of Ordinance No. 617 (New Series), is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Section 2. The notice required to be published by Section 1 of this ordinance, whenever it applies to applications for an engine and boiler, hospital, laundry, dog kennel, automobile supply station, garage, parking station or rock crushing plant, shall be headed "Notice of Application for Permit" and printed with letters at least six inches in height. It shall occupy a space at least three by four feet in size and shall be placed upon a building if such building be within ten feet of the property line; otherwise it shall be upon a special construction and within five feet of the property line and clearly legible from the sidewalk. The applicant shall inspect the same every day during the period which it is required to be posted and file an affidavit with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors stating the fact of posting as herein required and its daily inspection and that it was continually posted for a period of ten days as required in this ordinance. No permit will be granted unless such affidavit is filed.

*Referred to Fire Committee.*

Board of Public Works to Recommend Diagonal Street in Potrero District.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works be and is hereby directed to recommend the construction of a diagonal roadway in district bounded by Twentieth and Twenty-second, Rhode Island and Carolina streets.

**Amendment.**

Supervisor McLeran suggested as an amendment that the words "and to prepare necessary plans and specifications therefor" be added.

Amendment *carried*.

**Adopted.**

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution as amended was *adopted*, to-wit:

Resolution No. 20423 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works be and is hereby directed to recommend the construction of a diagonal roadway in district bounded by Twentieth and Twenty-second, Rhode

Island and Carolina streets, and to prepare the necessary plans and specifications therefor.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Mulvihill, Robb—2.

**Install Street Lights.**

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 20424 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas & Electric Company is hereby instructed to install street lights as follows:

*Install 250 M. R.*

Charter Oak avenue near Silver avenue, pole No. 102.

University avenue and Silver avenue, pole No. 105.

Front of 2929 Diamond street.

Bay Shore and Peninsular avenue.

Install 88 600 c. p. on Valencia from Market street to Valencia and Mission streets, on poles now unlighted.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Mulvihill, Robb—2.

**Auditorium Rental.**

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 20425 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Richardson Campaign Committee be granted permission to occupy Larkin Hall, in the Auditorium, November 1, 1922, 6 p. m. to 12 p. m., for the purpose of holding a mass meeting, rental fee having been paid to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Mulvihill, Robb—2.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board at 7:30 p. m. adjourned until tomorrow at 2 p. m., when Duboce tunnel protests will be heard.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk.

MONDAY, OCTOBER 30, 1922.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors November 27, 1922.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series), of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 17—New Series

No. 44a

Tuesday, October 31, 1922

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY  
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

JO

TUE

Th  
the  
of  
Dub

T  
ing  
Sh  
McC  
Rob  
Wet  
A  
McL  
(  
wer  
the  
otte  
H  
sent  
the

T  
rea  
S  
of  
R  
Cou  
say  
tim  
citi  
two  
and  
in  
men  
of  
sai  
all  
a  
pri  
Cit  
des  
Cit  
pap  
T  
me  
and  
tise  
T  
a  
ter  
and  
dis  
of

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

---

TUESDAY, OCTOBER 31, 1922, 2 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors met for the purpose of continuing the hearing of protestants against the proposed Duboce Tunnel project.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, McLeran, Mulvihill, Shannon—5.

*(Supervisors Hayden and McLeran were excused. Clerk explained that they were out of town and unable to attend.)*

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Morgan was called to the chair.

### Affidavit of Publication.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

State of California, City and County of San Francisco.—ss.

R. C. La Torres, of the said City and County, being duly sworn, deposes and says: That he is now, and at all the times hereinafter mentioned was, a citizen of the United States, over twenty-one years of age. That he is, and was during all the time embraced in the period of the publication herein mentioned, the Printer and Publisher of San Francisco Chronicle. That the said San Francisco Chronicle was, at all times mentioned in this affidavit, a newspaper of general circulation printed and published daily in said City and County, and the newspaper designated by the Supervisors of said City and County as the Official Newspaper thereof.

That deponent, during all the time mentioned in this affidavit, has had, and still has, charge of all the advertisements in said newspaper.

That Notice of Hearing of Protests, a true copy of which is appended hereto and marked "A," was printed and published daily in said San Francisco Chronicle newspaper for a period of five days commencing on the 18th

day of October, 1922, and continuing thereafter until and including the 24th day of October, 1922, and that such publication was made on the following days, to-wit: October 18, 19, 20, 21 and 22 and upon every day said newspaper was published during said period. That said publication was made in each and every issue and copy of said San Francisco Chronicle newspaper printed and circulated during said time.

R. C. LA TORRES.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 30th day of October, 1922.

(Seal)

JULIA W. CRUM,

(Julia W. Jones, commissioned Julia W. Crum).

Notary Public in and for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

### Notice of Hearing of Protests.

Office of the Board of Supervisors.

In the matter of the construction and completion of a tunnel with approaches and appurtenances thereto and of the acquisition of lands and easements therefor under the elevation whereon is situated Buena Vista Park, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, pursuant to the resolution of intention of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County in that behalf, being Resolution No. 20003 (New Series), approved June 3, 1922.

Public notice is hereby given that at a regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, held on the 16th day of October, 1922, the said Board of Supervisors fixed the 31st day of October, 1922, at 2 o'clock p. m. of that day, in the chambers or meeting room of said Board of Supervisors, in the City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, as the time and place for the hearing of all protests filed with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to the said tunnel construction, or to the plans or specifications therefor or to the extent of the assessment district defined in the said resolution of intention of the Board of Supervisors or to the amount of damages or benefits determined by the Board of Public Works and shown by its said

report as the result of the said tunnel construction, or to the omission of said Board of Public Works to determine or award any damages with respect to any property claimed to be damaged as the result of said tunnel construction, or to the award of damages or compensation for the acquisitions shown by the said report of said Board of Public Works, or to any act, determination or proceeding of the Board of Supervisors or of the Board of Public Works in relation to such construction, or to any other matters in connection therewith as to which any person would have a legal right of protest, and for the hearing of any and all protests as to any or all of said matters or things.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Dated, October 18, 1922.

oct18-5t

#### Affidavit of Publication.

The following was read by the Clerk:  
State of California, City and County of San Francisco.—ss.

R. C. La Torres, of the said City and County, being duly sworn, deposes and says: That he is now, and at all the times hereinafter mentioned was, a citizen of the United States, over twenty-one years of age. That he is, and was during all the time embraced in the period of the publication herein mentioned, the Printer and Publisher of San Francisco Chronicle. That the said San Francisco Chronicle was, at all times mentioned in this affidavit, a newspaper of general circulation printed and published daily in said City and County, and the newspaper designated by the Supervisors of said City and County as the Official Newspaper thereof.

That deponent, during all the time mentioned in this affidavit, has had, and still has, charge of all the advertisements in said newspaper.

Resolution No. 20371 (New Series).

That re Construction and Completion of a Tunnel, etc., Under Elevation of Buena Vista Park a true copy of which is appended hereto and marked "A," was printed and published daily in said San Francisco Chronicle newspaper for a period of one day, commencing on the 18th day of October, 1922, and that such publication was made on the following days, to-wit: October 18, 1922, and upon every day said newspaper was published during said period. That said publication was made in each and every issue and copy of said San Francisco Chronicle newspaper printed and circulated during said time.

R. C. LA TORRES.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 30th day of October, 1922.

(Seal)

JULIA W. CRUM,

(Julia W. Jones, commissioned Julia W. Crum),

Notary Public in and for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

#### Office of the Board of Supervisors.

In the matter of the construction and completion of a tunnel with approaches and appurtenances thereto, and of the acquisition of lands and easements therefor, under the elevation whereon is situated Buena Vista Park, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, pursuant to the resolution of intention of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County in that behalf, being Resolution No. 20003 (New Series), approved June 3, 1922.

Public notice is hereby given that the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco has filed with the Board of Supervisors of said City and County a report in the above-entitled matter pursuant to Sections 6 and 45 of "The Tunnel Procedure Ordinance" of said City and County, which said report contains:

1. Plans, profiles, cross-sections and general specifications of the work required for the completion of such tunnel and appurtenances thereto;

2. An itemized estimate of the cost of the proposed tunnel, including damages that may result therefrom to property and all incidental expenses and damages and compensation for the acquisition of the lands and easements described in said resolution of intention;

3. A map showing the districts of lands as specified in said resolution of intention to be benefited by the proposed tunnel construction and acquisitions, and to be assessed to pay the damages, costs and expenses thereof, including the cost of such acquisitions, and also the subdivisions of the property therein as shown by the maps and books in the office of the Assessor of said City and County or smaller subdivisions thereof as therein shown, each of which subdivisions is given upon said map a separate number; also showing the lands and property to be taken or acquired or in which any easement or right of way therein or thereunder is to be taken or acquired, indicating whether the land is to be taken in fee simple or whether a right of way or other easement therein is to be taken;

4. A list referring to said subdivisions upon said map by the respective numbers thereof, and showing the names of the persons to whom the property represented thereby is assessed; also the approximate areas and an estimate of the benefits which each of the respective subdivisions or parcels will receive from by the construc-

tion of such tunnel; providing not only for cost of the proposed tunnel, including damages and incidental expenses, but also for all damages and compensations awarded to the owner or owners or persons interested in lands affected by said acquisition or acquisitions;

5. A description of each piece of property within the district or districts of assessment which will sustain damages as the result of the construction of such tunnel or double tunnel, stating the name of the owner as the same appears upon the last assessment roll of said City and County, and the amount of damages each will sustain; also a description of any property, not within the boundaries of the specified district or districts of assessment, which would sustain damages as the result of such construction and for which damages have been claimed, stating the name of the owner of each piece of such property as the same appears upon the last assessment roll of the City and County, and the amount of damages each piece will sustain.

A description of each piece of property which will be affected by said acquisition or acquisitions, stating the name of the owner as the same appears upon the last assessment roll of said City and County, stating whether the fee simple title of said property is to be taken or acquired or whether an easement is to be taken or acquired therein, and if so, describing the same and stating the amount of damages or compensation which have been awarded or allowed to the owner or owners or persons interested in said property for said taking and acquisition.

Also all other matters required to be therein stated by the provisions of Sections 6 and 45 of said ordinance.

And that said report was filed in the office of the Clerk of said Board of Supervisors on the 18th day of September, 1922.

The time within which all objections thereto shall be filed is within twenty days from and after the first publication of this notice, this notice being first published on the 19th day of September, 1922, and the place where all objections thereto shall be filed is the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in the City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Reference is hereby made to the provisions of Sections 8 and 46 of said ordinance aforesaid, which said sections read as follows:

Section 8. Any person interested, objecting to the said tunnel construction, or to the plans or specifications therefor, to the extent of the assessment district or district defined in the said resolution of intention of the Board

of Supervisors, or to the amount of damages or benefits determined by the Board of Public Works and shown by its said report, as the result of the said tunnel construction, or to the omission of said Board of Public Works to determine or award any damages with respect to any property claimed to be damaged as the result of said tunnel construction, or to any act, determination or proceeding of the Board of Supervisors or of the Board of Public Works in relation to such construction, or to any other matter in connection therewith as to which such person would have a legal right of protest, shall file a written protest with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors within twenty days after the first publication of the notice required by section 7 of this ordinance.

Every such protest must contain a description of the property in which each signer thereof is interested sufficient to identify the same, and must set forth the nature of his interest therein and shall set forth the specific grounds of objection of the protest.

In case said protest is made upon the ground that the amount of damages determined by the Board of Public Works and shown by its said report is insufficient, or upon the ground that no damages have been allowed to the protestant or protestants and that damages will result by reason of said tunnel construction to property of which said protestant is the owner, or in which he is interested, the said protest shall also contain a claim for damages and a description of the property which it is claimed will be damaged, by metes and bounds, its market value, and the estimated amount of damages which the said property would sustain by the proposed tunnel construction if completed, stating the elements of said damages claimed.

No damages or compensation whatsoever in excess of or other than the damages determined by said report of said Board of Public Works shall be allowed or awarded to the owner of any property affected by said improvement, or to any person interested in any such property, unless a protest claiming the same shall be filed in the manner and within the time provided in this section; and the owner or person interested in any property who fails to file a protest in the manner and within the time provided in this section, claiming such damage in excess of or other than the damages determined in and by said report of said Board of Public Works, shall be deemed to have waived any and all claims for damages caused by said improvement or tunnel construction (other than such as are allowed by

said report of the Board of Public Works), and such failure shall constitute a bar to any subsequent action looking either to a prevention of the work or the making of compensation in that behalf.

Every such protest must be verified by the oath of the protestant or his agent. In case any signature is made by an agent, there must be attached to the protest an affidavit of the agent that he is duly authorized to sign such protest. Any protest not complying with the foregoing requirements shall not be considered by the Board of Supervisors.

The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors shall indorse on every protest filed pursuant to this section the date of its reception by him.

At the next regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors after the expiration of the time for filing protests, the Board of Supervisors shall fix a time and place for the hearing of all protests filed as aforesaid. The Clerk of the Board shall give notice of the time and place of such hearing by the publication of a notice for at least five days in the official newspaper.

Section 46. The notice provided for by section 7 of this ordinance shall refer to the provisions of section 8 and of this section as to the filing of protests upon the grounds therein stated. Any persons interested objecting to the award of damages or compensation for such acquisition or acquisitions, as shown by the said report of the Board of Public Works, shall file a written protest with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in the manner and within the time specified in section 8 of said Tunnel Procedure Ordinance. No damage or compensation whatsoever in excess of or other than the damages determined by said Board of Public Works shall be allowed or awarded to the owner or owners or persons interested in any property affected by said acquisition or acquisitions unless a protest claiming the same shall be filed in the manner and within the time provided in section 8 of this ordinance, and the owner or owners or persons interested in any property who fail to file a protest in the manner and within the time provided in said section 8, claiming such damage or compensation in excess of or other than the damages determined in and by said report of said Board of Public Works, shall be deemed to have waived any and all claims for damages to the property or improvements caused by said acquisition or acquisitions (other than such as are allowed by said report of the Board of Public Works), and such failure shall constitute a bar to any subsequent action looking either to a pre-

vention of the work or the making of compensation in that behalf.

All of the provisions of this ordinance relating to fixing a time and place for the hearing of protests, notice thereof and the hearing thereof, shall apply to and include all protests filed with relation to said proposed acquisition or acquisitions and to the failure of any owner or owners or persons interested in any property affected thereby to file protests.

All persons are hereby notified to show cause, if any there be, in the manner provided for in said sections 8 and 46, why the said report of the Board of Public Works should not be confirmed in every respect.

Dated, San Francisco, September 18, 1922.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk.

*Judge Sturtevant* objected that notices had not been published as required by law; that they did not appear in all editions of the Chronicle.

*Supervisor Scott* moved that objection be overruled and hearing proceed.

*Chas. Peery*, representing the City Attorney's office, being called upon, said "I would say that the affidavit is sufficient in form and that it shows publication five days in the newspaper specified." He advised the Board that the affidavits were sufficient as required by the tunnel procedure ordinance.

Whereupon, Supervisor Scott withdrew his motion and moved that the opinion of the City Attorney be made a part of the record.

*So ordered.*

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Scott, the following order was adopted:

Order in Re Sunset Tunnel (Duboce Avenue Route).

In the matter of the construction and completion of a tunnel with approaches and appurtenances thereto, and of the acquisition of lands and easements therefor, under the elevation whereon is situated Buena Vista Park, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, pursuant to the resolution of intention of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County in that behalf, being Resolution No. 20003 (New Series), approved June 3, 1922.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco having by resolution duly fixed this 31st day of October, 1922, at 2 o'clock p. m., in the Chambers or meeting-room of said Board of Supervisors, in the City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, as the time and place for the hearing of all protests and objections filed to the report of the Board of Public Works, heretofore filed in

the above entitled matter, and for the hearing of all protests filed with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to the said tunnel construction, or to the plans or specifications therefor, or to the extent of the assessment district defined in the said resolution of intention of the Board of Supervisors, or to the amount of damages determined by the Board of Public Works and shown by its said report as the result of the said tunnel construction, or to the omission of said Board of Public Works to determine or award any damages with respect to any property claimed to be damaged as the result of said tunnel construction, or to the award of damages or compensation for the acquisitions shown by the said report of said Board of Public Works, or to any act, determination or proceeding of the Board of Supervisors or of the Board of Public Works in relation to such construction or to any other matter in connection therewith as to which any person would have a legal right of protest, and for the hearing of any and all protests as to any or all of said matters or things.

And there having been filed in said matter with the Board of Supervisors before said hearing pursuant to the provisions of Section 9 of the Tunnel Procedure Ordinance of said City and County of San Francisco certain affidavits showing that the said resolution of intention of the Board of Supervisors, the notice of the completion of the posting of the notices provided for in Section 4 of the Tunnel Procedure Ordinance, the notice of the filing of the said report of the Board of Public Works and of the time within which all objections thereto should be filed as required by Section 7 of said ordinance, and the notice of the time and place of the hearing of protests as provided for by Section 8 of said ordinance, have, and each of them has, been published as in said ordinance required, and also an affidavit showing that the notices required by Section 3 of said The Tunnel Procedure Ordinance have been posted in accordance with the requirements of said Section 3;

And the Board of Supervisors before proceeding with the said hearing having received and considered said affidavits:

Now, therefore, it is ordered, found and recited that the publications and the posting referred to in Section 9 of said The Tunnel Procedure Ordinance have been made in the above entitled matter as required by said The Tunnel Procedure Ordinance, and that this order be entered in the minutes of this Board.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy,

Hynes, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—12.

No—Supervisor Robb—1.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, McLeran, Mulvihill, Shannon—5.

*Motion.*

*Supervisor Hynes* moved that the notes taken by the stenographer be transcribed and furnished to the protestants if desired.

*So ordered.*

**Claims for Damage in Excess of Amount as Allowed and Reported by Board of Public Works.**

The following property owners were duly sworn and testified claiming damage in excess of that allowed by the Board of Public Works, as indicated below, which claims were considered and taken under advisement, to-wit:

**Claims in Excess of Damage Allowed.**

1. Regina Upman, north line of Carl street, distant 179 feet east from Cole street, dimensions 25 x 137-6 feet; amount allowed by Board of Public Works, \$6,000; amount asked by owner, \$8,000.

2. Josephine Upman, north line Carl street, distant 129 feet east from Cole street, dimensions 25 x 137-6 feet; amount allowed by Board of Public Works, \$9,000; amount asked by owner, \$12,000.

3. Frances Dakin, north line Carl street, distant 154 feet east from Cole street, dimensions 25 x 137-6 feet; amount allowed by Board of Public Works, \$13,250; amount asked by owner, \$16,000.

**Statement.**

The following statement read in connection with No. 3 was, on motion of Supervisor Scott, ordered *spread in the minutes*:

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

The undersigned hereby desires to protest to your Honorable Board pursuant to Resolution No. 20003 (New Series), approved June 3, 1922. I hereby protest that the amount of damages determined by the Board of Public Works and shown by its said report is insufficient. I claim that the amount of damages that should be allowed for my property should be at least \$16,000. Following is a description of said property. I am sole owner in fee title, as follows:

Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Carl street, distant thereon 154 feet easterly from the easterly line of Cole street, and running thence easterly and along the northerly line of Carl street 25 feet; thence at

right angles northerly 137½ feet; thence at right angles westerly 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 137½ feet to the point of beginning.

MRS. FRANCES DAKIN,

No. 74 Carl street.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this day, Sept. 28, 1922.

T. B. MCGINNISS,

Asst. Clerk, Board of Supervisors.

4. Anna Kawakkowski, north line Carl street, distant 104 feet east from Cole street, dimensions 25 x 137-6 feet; amount allowed by Board of Public Works, \$8,500; amount asked by owner, \$15,000.

5. Maria L. De L. Murphy, north line Carl street, distant 204 feet east from Cole street, dimensions 24-6 x 137-6 feet; amount allowed by Board of Public Works, \$16,525; amount asked by owner, \$22,700.

6. Ellen C. Carey (formerly Kennedy), south line Frederick street, distant 25 feet west from Clayton street, dimensions, portion of lot; amount allowed by Board of Public Works, \$222; amount asked by owner, \$4,553.36.

7. Jane H. Terry, south line Frederick street, 200 feet west from Clayton street, dimensions, portion of lot; amount allowed by Board of Public Works, \$318; amount asked by owner, \$3,000.

8. Emma M. Norton, north line Carl street, distant 225 feet west from Clayton street, dimensions, irregular; amount allowed by Board of Public Works, \$768; amount asked by owner, \$4,000.

9. David Lacabanne et al., north line Carl street, distant 229 feet east from Cole street, dimensions 25-4 x 137-6 feet; amount allowed by Board of Public Works, \$16,575; amount asked by owner, \$25,000.

10. Bernard Hughes, north line Carl street, 175 feet west from Clayton street, dimensions 25 x 137-6 feet; amount allowed by Board of Public Works, \$3,035; amount asked by owner, \$9,146.

11. Violet May Jelley, north line Carl street, distant 200 feet west from Clayton street, dimensions 25 x 137-6

feet; amount allowed by Board of Public Works, \$622; amount asked by owner, \$4,000.

12. Bernard Hughes, north line of Carl street, distant 150 feet west from Clayton street, dimensions 25 x 137-6 feet; amount allowed by Board of Public Works, \$493; amount asked by owner, \$3,452.

13. John L. Marks, north line Carl street, distant 125 feet west from Clayton street, dimensions 25 x 137-6 feet; amount allowed by Board of Public Works, \$473; amount asked by owner, \$3,387.

14. Margaret C. Cooney (formerly Johnson), south line Frederick street, distant 150 feet west from Clayton street, dimensions 25 x 137-6 feet; amount allowed by Board of Public Works, \$412; amount asked by owner, \$3,456.24.

15. Eva Pincus, portion rear of Lot No. 18, Block 1268; amount allowed by Board of Public Works, \$946; amount asked by owner, \$2,750.

16. Mathilda M. Fulthrop, south line Frederick street, west of Clayton street (irregular dimensions); amount allowed by Board of Public Works, \$452; amount asked by owner, \$3,644.34.

The Chair then asked if there was any person protesting who had not been heard and desired to be heard.

Attorney Treadwell declared that there were people who wished to make a general protest and to address the Board as to policy and to present evidence to be heard by the Board.

Referred to Board of Public Works.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Scott, the contested awards were re-referred to the Board of Public Works for a written report on how said board arrived at these values.

#### ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the hearing was continued until Monday, November 6, 1922, and made a Special Order of Business for 2:30 p. m. on that day.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors February 5, 1923.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,

City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Monday, November 6, 1922.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY

77 Sutter Street, S. F.

J

M

ci

p.

re

in

It

M

Ro

W

in

sic

Ro

ni

ex

sp

re

Be

(i

pe

K.

wi

Co

ca

He

at

li

so

bo

Ni

se

so

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

---

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 6, 1922, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, November 6, 1922, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of October 2, 1922, was considered read and *approved*.

### ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

#### Live Stock Show.

*Communication*—From the California National Live Stock Corporation, expressing its appreciation of the splendid co-operation of the City in the recent Live Stock Show.

Read and *filed*.

#### Cancellation of Personal Property Assessment.

*Communication*—From Auditor Boyle, requesting, upon approval, that City Attorney be directed to cancel personal property assessment of Allen K. Herman, ex-soldier, in accordance with Sections 3776 and 3804a, Political Code.

N. B.—City Attorney consents to cancellation of assessment.

Read by the Clerk.

### SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines, as follows:

Along the westerly line of *Ninth avenue*, commencing at the southerly line of Anza street, and running thence southerly to the northerly line of Balboa street, along the easterly line of Ninth avenue, commencing at a point seventy-five feet southerly from the southerly line of Anza street, and run-

ning thence southerly a distance of four hundred and fifty feet, which said set-back line shall be ten feet distant from and parallel with the line of Ninth avenue;

Along the westerly line of *Fifteenth avenue*, commencing at a point one hundred feet southerly from the southerly line of Irving street, and running thence southerly to the northerly line of Judah street, and along the easterly side of Fifteenth avenue, commencing at the southerly line of Irving street, and running thence southerly to the northerly line of Judah street, which set-back line shall be twelve feet distant from and parallel with the line of Fifteenth avenue;

Along the easterly side of *Shrader street*, commencing at the southerly line of Carl street, and running thence southerly to the northerly line of Parnassus avenue, which said set-back line shall be nine feet distant from and parallel with the line of Shrader street.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

The Chair announced that if there were any persons present who wished to protest against the pending proceedings that they would now be heard by the Board.

*No response.*

### SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines, as follows:

Along the westerly line of *Forty-fourth avenue* between Judah street and Irving street, commencing at a point one hundred feet southerly from the southerly line of Irving street, and running thence southerly twenty-five feet, which said set-back line shall be three feet distant from and parallel with the line of Forty-fourth avenue; commencing at a point one hundred twenty-five feet southerly from the southerly line of Irving street, and running thence southerly twenty-five feet, which said set-back line shall be six feet distant from and parallel with the line of Forty-fourth avenue; commencing at a point one hundred fifty feet southerly from the southerly line

of Irving street and running thence southerly twenty-five feet, which said set-back line shall be nine feet distant from and parallel with the line of Forty-fourth avenue; commencing at a point one hundred seventy-five feet southerly from the southerly line of Irving street and running thence southerly to the northerly line of Judah street, which said set-back line shall be twelve feet distant from and parallel with the line of Forty-fourth avenue.

Along the westerly line of *Sixteenth avenue* between Judah street and Kirkham street, commencing at a point one hundred feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street and running thence southerly twenty-five feet, which said set-back line shall be three feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue; commencing at a point one hundred twenty-five feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street and running thence southerly twenty-five feet, which said set-back line shall be six feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue; commencing at a point one hundred fifty feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street and running thence southerly twenty-five feet, which said set-back line shall be nine feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue; commencing at a point one hundred seventy-five feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street and running thence southerly one hundred feet, which said set-back line shall be twelve feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue; commencing at a point two hundred and seventy-five feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street and running thence southerly twenty-five feet, which said set-back line shall be fifteen feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue; commencing at a point three hundred feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street and running thence southerly thirty-three feet, which said set-back line shall be eighteen feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue; commencing at a point three hundred and thirty-three feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street and running thence southerly thirty-three feet, which said set-back line shall be twenty-one feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue; commencing at a point three hundred and sixty-six feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street and running thence southerly to the northerly line of Kirkham street, which said set-back line shall be twenty-four feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue; along the easterly line of Six-

teenth avenue, commencing at a point one hundred feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street and running thence southerly twenty-five feet, which said set-back line shall be four feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue; commencing at a point one hundred and twenty-five feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street and running thence southerly twenty-five feet, which said set-back line shall be eight feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue; commencing at a point one hundred fifty feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street and running thence southerly twenty-five feet, which said set-back line shall be twelve feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue; commencing at a point one hundred and seventy-five feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street and running thence southerly twenty-five feet, which said set-back line shall be sixteen feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue; commencing at a point two hundred feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street and running thence southerly to the northerly line of Kirkham street, which said set-back line shall be twenty feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

The Chair announced that if there were any persons present who wished to protest against the pending proceeding that they would now be heard by the Board.

*No response.*

Whereupon, the following bill was presented by Supervisor McGregor and passed for printing:

#### Establishing Set-Back Lines.

Bill No. 6169, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Ninth avenue, Fifteenth avenue, Forty-fourth avenue, Sixteenth avenue and Shrader street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 9th day of October, 1922, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolutions of Intention Nos. 3 and 4 to establish set-back lines along portions of Ninth avenue, Fifteenth avenue, Forty-fourth avenue, Sixteenth avenue and Shrader street, and fixed the 6th day of November, 1922, at 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that there-

after, and more than ten days prior to said hearing, the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said street in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that no objections were made except those that were duly and properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series) set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly line of Ninth avenue, commencing at the southerly line of Anza street, and running thence southerly to the northerly line of Balboa street along the easterly line of Ninth avenue, commencing at a point seventy-five feet southerly from the southerly line of Anza street, and running thence southerly a distance of four hundred and fifty feet, which said set-back line shall be ten feet distant from and parallel with the line of Ninth avenue.

Along the westerly line of Fifteenth avenue, commencing at a point one hundred feet southerly from the southerly line of Irving street, and running thence southerly to the northerly line of Judah street and along the easterly side of Fifteenth avenue, commencing at the southerly line of Irving street, and running thence southerly to the northerly line of Judah street, which set-back line shall be twelve feet distant from and parallel with the line of Fifteenth avenue.

Along the easterly side of Shrader street, commencing at the southerly line of Carl street, and running thence southerly to the northerly line of Parnassus avenue, which said set-back line shall be nine feet distant from and parallel with the line of Shrader street.

Along the westerly line of Forty-fourth avenue between Judah street and Irving street, commencing at a point one hundred feet southerly from the southerly line of Irving street, and running thence southerly twenty-five feet, which said set-back line shall be three feet distant from and parallel with the line of Forty-fourth avenue; commencing at a point one hundred twenty-five feet southerly from the southerly line of Irving street, and running thence southerly twenty-five feet, which said set-back line shall be six feet distant from and parallel with the line of Forty-fourth avenue; commencing at a point one hundred fifty feet southerly from the southerly line of Irving street, and running thence southerly twenty-five feet, which said set-back line shall be nine feet distant

from and parallel with the line of Forty-fourth avenue; commencing at a point one hundred seventy-five feet southerly from the southerly line of Irving street, and running thence southerly to the northerly line of Judah street, which said set-back line shall be twelve feet distant from and parallel with the line of Forty-fourth avenue.

Along the westerly line of Sixteenth avenue between Judah street and Kirkham street, commencing at a point one hundred feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street, and running thence southerly twenty-five feet, which said set-back line shall be three feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue; commencing at a point one hundred twenty-five feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street, and running thence southerly twenty-five feet, which said set-back line shall be six feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue; commencing at a point one hundred fifty feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street, and running thence southerly twenty-five feet, which said set-back line shall be nine feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue; commencing at a point one hundred seventy-five feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street, and running thence southerly one hundred feet, which said set-back line shall be twelve feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue; commencing at a point two hundred and seventy-five feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street, and running thence southerly twenty-five feet, which said set-back line shall be fifteen feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue; commencing at a point three hundred feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street, and running thence southerly thirty-three feet, which said set-back line shall be eighteen feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue; commencing at a point three hundred and thirty-three feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street, and running thence southerly thirty-three feet, which said set-back line shall be twenty-one feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue; commencing at a point three hundred and sixty-six feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street, and running thence southerly to the northerly line of Kirkham street, which said set-back line shall be twenty-four feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue; along the easterly line of Sixteenth avenue, commencing at a point one hundred feet southerly from the

southerly line of Judah street, and running thence southerly twenty-five feet, which said set-back line shall be four feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue; commencing at a point one hundred and twenty-five feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street, and running thence southerly twenty-five feet, which said set-back line shall be eight feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue; commencing at a point one hundred fifty feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street, and running thence southerly twenty-five feet, which said set-back line shall be twelve feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue; commencing at a point one hundred and seventy-five feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street, and running thence southerly twenty-five feet, which said set-back line shall be sixteen feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue; commencing at a point two hundred feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street, and running thence southerly to the northerly line of Kirkham street, which said set-back line shall be twenty feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structure shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Hynes, McLeran—2.

#### DUBOCE TUNNEL HEARING—2:30 P. M.

The hearing of protestants against the proposed Duboce Tunnel into Sunset District, continued from last meeting, proceeded.

Judge Geo. Sturtevant introduced the following speakers, who addressed the Board in opposition and protest against the proposed improvement: Arthur E. Nathanson, Wm. Cavitt and James H. Humphreys.

Arthur E. Nathanson called attention to the alleged insufficiency of the publication of the notices which Judge Sturtevant had called to the attention of the Board at a previous meeting.

He declared that the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of

San Francisco have no jurisdiction and have no right to do anything with Buena Vista Park except for park purposes and then through the Park Commissioners and that thus far they had not the permission of the Park Commissioners.

The City Attorney, upon being asked by Mayor Rolph if the Board was proceeding in the proper manner, stated that so far as he could see they were hearing protests and that he assumed these objections of the protestants would be referred to the City Attorney for his opinion in the matter. He stated that the City could build a tunnel under any property owned by the City and that the Buena Vista Park is owned by the City.

W. C. Caritt stated that his position would be the invalidity of the tunnel proceedings as being contrary to the Charter and Constitution of the United States and to the Constitution of the State of California. That the protestants had the right to introduce evidence to show whether or not proper notice had been given according to law and should have been granted at the request of Judge Sturtevant at the previous hearing; that the jurisdiction of the Board rests solely on that affidavit. If that affidavit is false then the Board is divested of all right to proceed in this matter.

He stated that the tunnel ordinance is void and has been void ever since it was enacted. That there is no time fixed in the ordinance for the hearing of the protestants. That their property could not be assessed without due process of law, and when the law does not provide to give us due process of law, it is invalid. That although they built the Twin Peaks Tunnel and assessed the property owners in the Sunset District nearly four million dollars, it was done illegally and the only reason they were able to do it was because no lawyer protested against it for the property owners. That the mere fact that the property owners are granted the privilege of appearing before the Board does not correct the defect in the law. That the property owners are here by mere courtesy, because the ordinance makes no provision for a time in which the property owners may protest or be heard. That they would have no right to proceed to construct the tunnel if the tunnel ordinance is invalid. He read excerpts from various cases which he alleged substantiate his arguments.

He also stated that the levying of the assessment is discriminatory, is not equitable and is unjust, and wanted to know where the Board of Supervisors got its authority to delegate its power to fix assessments to someone else (meaning the Board of Works, or

City Engineer). That he has two lots of equal size, 100 feet by 32 feet 6 inches, and that the assessment levied against one is \$121 and against the other \$130.

*James H. Humphreys*, owner of block of land, spoke against the assessment as being inequitable. He presented a map showing the lots of the property owners who protested. He stated that the protest against the project which he had presented to the Board had been signed by people only who are actual property owners in the district covered by the assessment district. That many of the people who had signed the petition in favor of the tunnel were not owners of property in the assessment district. Read portion of letter from A. S. Baldwin against the tunnel project.

#### Action Deferred.

Thereupon, *Supervisor Scott* moved that the hearing be continued until next Monday at 2:30 p. m.

*Motion carried.*

Report of Board of Directors of the Proposed Joint Highway District Comprising the Counties of San Francisco and San Mateo.

*Supervisor Welch* presented:

To the Boards of Supervisors of the Counties of San Francisco and San Mateo:

The Board of Directors of the Proposed Joint Highway District comprising the Counties of San Francisco and San Mateo hereby presents its report as required by Section 7 of an Act entitled "An Act providing for the creation, organization and government of Joint Highway Districts composed of two or more counties of the State of California."

Pursuant to the provisions of said Act the Board of Supervisors of the County of San Mateo on the 17th day of April, 1922, adopted the following resolution:

"Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of the County of San Mateo, State of California, find and declare that the public interest requires the construction of a public highway, including a bridge, the general location and course whereof is hereinafter stated, and

"Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco and the County of San Mateo are directly interested in and will be directly benefited by such highway construction, and

"Whereas, it is desirable and necessary to create a joint highway district composed of said two counties for the purpose above indicated; be it therefore

"Resolved and Ordered, That the Board of Supervisors of said County of San Mateo, State of California, in-

stitute and hereby proposes to create a joint highway district composed of City and County of San Francisco and the said County of San Mateo for the purposes of constructing a highway, the general location and course of which will be as follows:

"Within the City and County of San Francisco, commencing at or near the intersection of Potrero avenue and Army streets, and thence southerly and easterly over existing highways, the same to be widened and straightened, extended and new grades established and reconstructed where necessary to the county line between said City and County of San Francisco and the said County of San Mateo; thence in the same general direction partly over new and partly over existing highways and connecting the same where necessary, extending, widening, straightening, regrading and reconstructing such existing highways where necessary or convenient to a point in the vicinity of South San Francisco, or San Bruno; thence along the easterly side of and in close proximity to the Southern Pacific Railroad tracks, running through said San Mateo County, through Burlingame, San Mateo, and to a point in the vicinity of the Dumbarton railroad bridge of said San Mateo County and across the San Francisco Bay, to the easterly side thereof, it being expressly understood, however, that the particular location of said proposed bridge across said bay shall depend upon what is most feasible, practical and economical. Be it further

"Resolved and Ordered, That a certified copy of this resolution be by the clerk of this board forwarded to the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, and the said Board be invited to consider the advisability of forming said joint highway district and the approval of said Board of the proposed plan aforesaid, and that thereafter such further steps be taken as are necessary to comply with the complete creation, organization and government of the aforesaid joint highway district, in accordance with said Act of 1917, as amended in 1921."

That thereafter the Board of Supervisors of the County of San Francisco adopted the following resolution:

"Resolution No. 19933. (New Series).

"Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of the County of San Mateo did on the 17th day of April, 1922, adopt a resolution reciting that the public interest requires the construction of a public highway, including a bridge, within the County of San Mateo and the City and County of San Francisco, the gen-

eral location and course of which is set forth in said resolution, a certified copy of which has been transmitted to and is on file in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco and reference thereto for further particulars is here made; and

"Whereas, said resolution further recites that said County of San Mateo and the City and County of San Francisco will be interested in and benefited by the construction of such highway and that it is desirable and necessary to create a joint highway district composed of the counties herein named for such purpose as provided by an Act of the Legislature providing for the organization of such districts; therefore,

"Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, due consideration of the aforesaid resolution and the forming of such joint highway district having been had, that tentative approval thereof be and the same is hereby given fully and completely as required by Section 3 of an Act entitled 'An Act providing for the creation, organization and government of joint highway districts composed of two or more counties of the State of California,' approved April 15, 1917, and amended by Act approved June 1, 1921.

"That a certified copy of this resolution be forthwith transmitted to the Board of Supervisors of the County of San Mateo.

"Adopted — Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, May 1, 1922.

"Ayes: Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore.

"J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

"Approved, San Francisco, May 4, 1922.

"JAMES ROLPH, JR., Mayor."

Thereafter, the Board of Supervisors of San Mateo County appointed John MacBain, a member of said Board, as a member of the Board of Directors of said proposed Joint Highway District, and the Board of Supervisors of the County of San Francisco appointed Richard J. Welch, a member of said Board, as a member of said Board of Directors.

That thereafter and within thirty days from the date of their said appointment said Directors met and appointed J. Emmet Hayden as the third member of said Board and thereupon organized by the election of John MacBain as president and Richard J. Welch as secretary of said Board of Directors.

The said Board of Directors met

from time to time and caused to be made reconnoissance surveys for said proposed highways and an estimate of the cost of the construction thereof, and as a result of said surveys, estimates and other information obtained by said Board, the following report is hereby submitted, embracing its findings and recommendations:

#### *The Route.*

The following is a description of the route tentatively fixed of the proposed district highway:

Within the City and County of San Francisco commencing at or near the intersection of Potrero avenue and Army street and thence southerly and easterly over existing highways, the same to be widened, straightened, extended and new grades established and reconstructed where necessary, to the county line between said City and County of San Francisco and the County of San Mateo; thence in the same general direction partly over new and partly over existing highways and connecting the same where necessary, extending, widening, straightening, regrading and reconstructing such existing highways where necessary or convenient to a point in the vicinity of South San Francisco; thence along the easterly side of the Southern Pacific Railroad tracks in San Mateo County through Burlingame to a point near the intersection of Bay View avenue and Howard street in the City of San Mateo; thence southeasterly along Bay View avenue to its southerly termination; thence in an approximately straight line to a connection with the Beresford road at or near its northerly termination; thence along said Beresford road to a point near the northerly limits of Redwood City; thence southerly on a convenient curve or line, crossing Redwood Creek immediately southerly of the S. H. Frank & Co. tannery and continuing in as direct a line as possible to a connection with the Middlefield road near the southeasterly limits of Redwood City; thence along the Middlefield road to the southern line of San Mateo County; also from a point on the line of said highway in Redwood City to near the railroad track running from said city to the Dumbarton bridge and paralleling said tracks as nearly as practicable, keeping southerly from Ravenswood Slough to a point on the southwesterly side of San Francisco Bay, and a bridge across said bay to a point near the mouth of Baird Creek on the northeasterly side of said bay.

#### *Estimate of Cost.*

For the purpose of allocating the estimates of cost and to anticipate that construction should be done in units, the projected highway has been divided into sections, viz.:

Section 1. From Potrero and Army street to the county line.

Section 2. From the county line to South San Francisco.

Section 3. From South San Francisco to San Mateo.

Section 4. From San Mateo to connection with the Middlefield road at Redwood City.

Section 5. From the Highway to the easterly shore of San Francisco Bay, including the proposed bridge.

Section 6. The Middlefield road to the county line.

The estimates of cost for the several sections are as follows:

Section 1 .....	\$1,400,000
Section 2 .....	1,000,000
Section 3 .....	1,000,000
Section 4 .....	1,300,000
Section 5 .....	2,000,000
Section 6 .....	300,000
	<hr/>
	\$7,000,000

The total approximate cost is \$7,000,000.

The foregoing estimate is based on a proposed acquisition of rights of way 120 feet wide and the construction of a paved highway forty feet wide, the pavement to be of a high type of construction and capable of sustaining heavy loads. The bridge is to be of steel construction on concrete piers and as wide as the funds available will permit.

#### *Distribution of Cost.*

The Act providing for the creation of Joint Highway Districts provides that the Board of Directors shall determine the portion of the approximate total cost that should be borne by each county, respectively, and report the same to the Boards of Supervisors comprising the district. This determination must not be taken to mean that the sum apportioned is in the nature of a fixed charge or imposes an immediate liability on the counties. It is purely tentative in its nature and many factors may intrude themselves before the time arrives for the imposition of an assessment that may materially change the amount of cost to be distributed and affect the amount of burden to be ultimately borne by the counties. For instance, as is hereinafter recommended, a portion of the cost might be properly charged against the State. The time for imposing a liability against the counties can come only after a hearing has been afforded in connection with the imposition of an assessment and the assessment duly made and at that time a portion thereof may be imposed against the State. The law so provides.

In this report the law does not permit the Board of Directors to determine this matter, but does require a determination as to the apportionment of the total cost between the counties

and the district cannot be permanently created until this is done.

Therefore, for the purpose of complying strictly with the provisions of the law the Board of Directors does hereby determine that the portion of the total approximate cost of the proposed highway that should be borne by the Counties of San Francisco and San Mateo, respectively, shall be the ratio of the assessment roll of each county to the total assessment roll of both counties.

The assessment roll of San Francisco County (non-operative) is \$615,305,268.

The assessment roll of San Mateo County (non-operative) is \$37,170,660.

San Francisco's portion should be \$6,600,000.

San Mateo's portion should be \$400,000.

(Note.—In this apportionment and those following the figures given are a close approximation. Precise figures may be obtained by multiplying the sum to be apportioned by the decimal 0.943031369 to obtain San Francisco's quota, and by the decimal 0.056968631 to obtain San Mateo's quota.)

#### *Order in Which Construction Should Proceed.*

The law providing for the creation of Joint Highway Districts contains this provision (Section 15):

"The provision of this section shall not be construed to require that the assessment imposed shall be sufficient to meet the entire estimated cost of the proposed highway, but may provide for the construction of only such portions thereof as may be deemed expedient. From time to time additional assessments may be imposed for any remaining portion of such highway, or to provide for its completion in the event of the cost exceeding the estimate made by the engineer."

The Board of Directors at this time will recommend that the proposed highway be constructed in sections commencing with that which is most urgent and following with the others in such order as their necessity shall command and that a separate assessment be imposed for each unit thus to be provided for. In that way recognition can be given to the existing financial conditions of the counties and their ability to meet the charges be given due and proper consideration.

It is generally recognized that the first unit that should be constructed is that described as Section 3, extending from South San Francisco to San Mateo.

The estimated cost of this section is \$1,000,000 and the assessment therefor should be apportioned as follows: To San Francisco \$943,000; to San Mateo \$57,000.

The second unit to be constructed is Section 4, extending from San Mateo

to the Middelfield road. This is estimated to cost \$1,300,000 and would be apportioned: To San Francisco, \$1,226,000; to San Mateo, \$74,000.

It might be deemed expedient, however, to provide for the grading and surfacing of both Sections 3 and 4 in the first assessment, leaving the pavement to be constructed later and after proper settlement of the fills has taken place.

This cost would be \$1,100,000 and apportioned: To San Francisco, \$1,037,300; to San Mateo, \$62,700.

The paving of these two sections would be \$1,200,000 and apportioned: To San Francisco, \$1,131,600; to San Mateo, \$68,400.

It may be found necessary to do some work in straightening and widening the present highway in Sections 1 and 2, and provision made therefor in one of the earlier assessments.

In respect to the construction of Section 5, the bridge and highway leading thereto, the Board of Directors would recommend that it be made the basis of a charge against the State. It will be recognized that this part of the highway will be a necessary connecting link between the present State Highway on each side of the bay and, moreover, will link up the Coast State Highway system to the interior valley system.

However, until the State Legislature meets and gives sanction to this project and provides a method by which its cost may be financed nothing positive can be accomplished. We would urge, therefore, that the matter be presented to the Legislature and State authorities and every effort made so that the recommendation here made can be effected.

Attention may be called to the necessity of providing for an immediate location survey to the end that rights of way may be secured at an early date and before there is an appreciation of land values or improvements made that increase the cost.

For these purposes we recommend that the expense of making a location survey, preparation of plans and specifications for the early units and the procuring of rights of way be considered as incidental expenses and a fund therefor immediately provided as set forth in Section 12 of the Act, heretofore cited.

The law does not contemplate that all the details of the proposed highway construction shall be now made; its purpose is to convey such general information as will enable the respective Boards of Supervisors to form an intelligent estimate of the necessity of its construction as a joint enterprise and to justify them in perfecting a

legal organization upon which will devolve the duty of supplying all necessary details and the execution of the project comprehensively outlined.

In conclusion, we wish to emphasize the absolute necessity of providing for the speedy construction of an additional outlet from San Francisco down the Peninsula. Public safety demands it and the public is a unit in proclaiming its urgency.

Trusting that this report will meet with the approval of your respective bodies and that the same will be promptly given so that there may be no delay in starting this important project, we are,

Respectfully,

JOHN MacBAIN,  
RICHARD J. WELCH,  
J. EMMET HAYDEN,

Board of Directors of the Proposed Joint Highway District.

Dated this ——— day of November, 1922.

#### Proposed Bill.

Also, Bill No. ———, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), entitled "Approving report of the Board of Directors of the proposed Joint Highway District comprising San Mateo and San Francisco counties."

*Supervisor Welch* moved reference to Joint Committee on Commercial Development, Streets and Finance.

*So ordered.*

Thereupon *Supervisor Welch* gave notice that the Joint Committee would meet with the Board of Supervisors of San Mateo County to consider the report on Wednesday, November 15, 1922, at 2 p. m.

*Supervisor Welch* requested that the Clerk furnish each member of the Board with a copy of the report.

#### Police—Time Off.

*Supervisor Schmitz* moved that the Police Committee chairman be requested to investigate the report as to the taking away from the police a part of the time which had previously been accorded to them; that heretofore they have been allowed one day off per week and that he had been informed that two of these days had been taken away from them out of each month; that in the last budget there was an extra appropriation made sufficient to take care of 25 additional policemen.

*Motion carried.*

#### Constitutional Amendment No. 10—Effect on Municipal Railways.

*Supervisor Shannon* stated that the carrying out of Constitutional Amendment No. 10 would affect our Municipal Railroad and he thought it necessary for the Board to reiterate the stand that it took several weeks ago in voting in opposition to it, and moved that the Board again go on record

as opposed to Constitutional Amendment No. 10 which is for taxing publicly owned utilities.

*Motion carried and press requested to give notice of this action of the Board.*

#### Official Advertising.

##### Amendment No. 42.

*Supervisor Hynes* called attention to an article published in the San Francisco Chronicle last Friday, in opposition to Amendment No. 42, providing for official advertising in the Municipal Record. He read the article to the Board and declared that he was very much opposed to the language used, as it intimated that the Board was trying to keep the people from being properly advised as to the proceedings of the municipal government by advertising in the Municipal Record.

*Supervisor Mulvihill* spoke in defense of the Chronicle and stated that he hoped every voter in the room would vote against Amendment No. 42, as he believed that the public business should be given the widest publicity.

#### Point of Order.

*Supervisor Schmitz* raised point of order. "We've a long calendar; let us get down to business. There is nothing before the Board on this subject."

#### In re Bread for Public Institutions.

Consideration of majority and minority reports of Supplies Committee on making bread for public institutions was on motion laid over two weeks.

#### REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.

The following committees, by their respective chairmen, presented reports on various matters referred, which reports were read and ordered filed:

Supplies Committee, by Supervisors Rossi, chairman.

Fire Committee, by Supervisor Deasy, chairman.

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

##### Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and finally passed by the following vote:

##### Authorizations.

Resolution No. 20426 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

##### Park Fund.

(1) Pacific Gas and Electric Company, gas and electric service for parks (claim dated October 27, 1922), \$1,283.35.

(2) John Reid, Jr., architectural

service for park buildings (claim dated October 27, 1922), \$666.

(3) Spring Valley Water Company, water furnished parks (claim dated October 27, 1922), \$3,719.42.

(4) Taylor & Jackson Company, final payment, construction of shelter house in Lincoln Park (claim dated October 27, 1922), \$984.25.

##### Speckels Special Deposit (Honora Sharp Trust) Fund.

(5) A. McSweeney, Tax Collector, Redwood City, Cal., first and second installments of taxes on Sharp Park, San Mateo County, Cal. (claim dated October 27, 1922), \$1,224.57.

##### Municipal Railway Fund.

(6) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Company, brake shoes for Municipal Railways (claim dated October 23, 1922), \$1,074.53.

(7) Dependable Paint Manufacturers' Products Company, varnish, etc. (claim dated October 23, 1922), \$730.49.

(8) United States Steel Products Company, steel crossings, etc. (claim dated October 23, 1922), \$4,426.

##### Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(9) Associated Oil Company, engine oil and freight charges, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated October 23, 1922), \$505.93.

(10) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Company, hardware (claim dated October 23, 1922), \$597.72.

(11) Herbert F. Dugan, medical supplies (claim dated October 23, 1922), \$764.55.

(12) Ingersoll-Rand Company, machine parts (claim dated October 23, 1922), \$1,946.86.

(13) Ingersoll-Rand Company, machine parts (claim dated October 23, 1922), \$537.47.

(14) Pacific Car and Equipment Company, steel coach wheels (claim dated October 23, 1922), \$1,615.88.

(15) The Pelton Water Wheel Company, water wheel units (claim dated October 23, 1922), \$2,008.

(16) Virden Packing Company, foodstuffs (claim dated October 23, 1922), \$795.05.

(17) Westinghouse Electric and Mfg. Company, electric parts (claim dated October 23, 1922), \$592.88.

##### School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(18) P. J. Enright, first payment, heating and ventilating Yerba Buena School (claim dated October 25, 1922), \$971.63.

(19) J. S. Hannah, fifth payment, general construction of Columbus School (claim dated October 25, 1922), \$1,783.50.

(20) Mahony Bros., seventh payment, general construction of Mission High School addition (claim dated October 25, 1922), \$11,058.35.

(21) M. B. McGowan, second payment, brick and hollow tile work in North Beach (Galileo) High School (claim dated October 25, 1922), \$10,339.69.

(22) The Turner Company, first payment, electric work in Yerba Buena School (claim dated October 25, 1922), \$922.50.

*General Fund, 1922-1923.*

(23) D. J. O'Brien, police contingent expense for November (claim dated October 9, 1922), \$750.

(24) Western Lime and Cement Company, cement for sewer repairs (claim dated October 24, 1922), \$1,478.64.

(25) Coast Rock and Gravel Company, gravel for street repair (claim dated October 24, 1922), \$634.23.

(26) Shell Company of California, fuel oil for street repair (claim dated October 24, 1922), \$1,367.40.

(27) Western Rock & Products Company, sand for street repair (claim dated October 24, 1922), \$1,978.29.

(28) Shell Company of California, fuel oil, Department of Public Works (claim dated October 24, 1922), \$896.40.

(29) The Fay Improvement Company, construction of catchbasins in Mission Terrace (claim dated October 25, 1922), \$801.83.

(30) Hooper & Jennings, groceries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated September 30, 1922), \$1,971.90.

(31) Golden State Baking Company, bread, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated September 30, 1922), \$540.84.

(32) Miller & Lux, meats, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated September 30, 1922), \$1,164.77.

(33) California Meat Company, meats, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated September 30, 1922), \$539.42.

(34) San Francisco Dairy Company, milk, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated September 30, 1922), \$3,028.39.

(35) Sherry Bros., butter, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated September 30, 1922), \$1,441.23.

(36) Walton N. Moore Company, dry goods, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated September 30, 1922), \$721.95.

(37) Shell Oil Company, fuel oil, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated September 30, 1922), \$2,088.

(38) Olive Bros., fruit, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated September 30, 1922), \$663.23.

(39) Makins Produce Company, eggs, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated September 30, 1922), \$1,843.

(40) South San Francisco Packing and Provision Company, meats, San

Francisco Hospital (claim dated September 30, 1922), \$849.67.

(41) Johnson & Johnson, drug supplies, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated September 30, 1922), \$1,190.30.

(42) Brandt Cashier Company, five Brandt auto cashiers, Tax Collector (claim dated September 28, 1922), \$897.75.

(43) Wales Visible Adding Machine Company, seven electric adding machines, less allowance old machines, Tax Collector (claim dated September 28, 1922), \$1,365.15.

(44) Phillips & Van Orden Company, printing charter amendments, Department of Elections (claim dated October 26, 1922), \$2,112.

(45) Phillips & Van Orden Company, printing pamphlets, Department of Elections (claim dated October 26, 1922), \$576.75.

(46) Phillips & Van Orden Company, sample and official ballots, Department of Elections (claim dated October 26, 1922), \$1,174.

(47) Phillips & Van Orden Company, poll and tally lists, Department of Elections (claim dated October 26, 1922), \$1,475.

*General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(48) Phillips & Van Orden Company, printing ballots, contract 426, Department of Elections (claim dated October 26, 1922), \$12,600.

*Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.*

(49) Amenayada Le Quime, purchase of property for terminal loop of Taraval street line of Municipal Railways; as per Resolution No. 20389 (New Series) (claim dated October 26, 1922), \$7,000.

(50) Sol Getz & Sons, purchase of property for terminal loop of Taraval street line of Municipal Railways; per Resolution No. 20389 (New Series) (claim dated October 26, 1922), \$9,000.

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(51) The Pelton Water Wheel Company, second payment, contract 79-A, water wheels for Moccasin Creek power plant (claim dated October 23, 1922), \$4,757.31.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Mergan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—13.

Appropriation, \$15,628.38, Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund for New Pavement on Columbus Avenue from Washington Street to Union Street.

Resolution No. 20427 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$15,628.38 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund to the credit of Municipal Railway Operative Fund; being reimbursement of said

tund, representing the betterment to the "Municipal Railway Property" or "Road and Equipment" account, resulting from new pavement having been installed on Columbus avenue from Washington street to Union street.

(Request of Board of Public Works, dated October 20, 1922.)

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.  
**Appropriations Out of County Road Fund for New Boulevards.**

Resolution No. 29428 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the following purposes, to-wit:

- (1) For construction of Market street extension boulevard, \$35 000.
- (2) For construction of boulevard on Telegraph Hill, \$33,000.
- (3) For construction of boulevard at the Marina, \$45,000.
- (4) For construction of Sloat boulevard, \$52,000.
- (5) For construction of boulevard from Lincoln Park to the Great Highway, \$60,000.
- (6) For construction of diagonal roadway in district bounded by Twentieth and Twenty-second, Rhode Island and Carolina streets, \$65,000.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Auto Supply Station, Boiler and Oil Permits.**

Resolution No. 20429 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

*Automobile Supply Station.*

Lubricating Gasoline Co., on north side of Market street, 250 feet west of Gough street: also to store 2000 gallons of gasoline on premises.

*Boiler.*

Kleiber Truck Co., at southwest corner of Folsom and Juniper streets, 10 horsepower.

Hockwald Chemical Co., at 436 Bryant street, 8 horsepower.

*Oil Storage Tank.*

K. Bruchsalser (K. B. Manufacturing Co.), at 222 Eighth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Boiler Permit.**

Resolution No. 20430 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted Pleasanton Ranch Company to maintain and operate a 10-horsepower boiler at 400 Fourth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit becomes null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Amending Zoning Ordinance, San Bruno Avenue.**

Bill No. 6162, Ordinance No. 5743 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

That Section 9 of the Use of Property Zone map be ordered changed so as to extend the Light Industrial District along the westerly side of San Bruno avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Rutledge street and running thence southerly to a point 80 feet southerly from Joy street, to a line 200 feet westerly from the westerly line of San Bruno avenue.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Ordering Street Work.**

Bill No. 6163, Ordinance No. 5744 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 8, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in five installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Folsom street from Crescent avenue to a line 150 feet southerly*, by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with 14 Y branches and one brick manhole with appurtenances along the center line of Folsom street, from the southerly line of Crescent avenue to a point 150 feet southerly therefrom.

Section 2. Ordinance No. 5723 (New Series) as hereby repealed.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Spur Track Permit.

Bill No. 6167, Ordinance No. 5745 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to J. Sheldon Potter or assigns to construct, maintain and operate a spur track over and across Stanford street at a point two hundred and eighty-eight feet nine inches, more or less, northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Townsend street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is

hereby granted to J. Sheldon Potter or assigns to construct, maintain and operate a spur track over and across Stanford street at a point two hundred and eighty-eight feet nine inches, more or less, northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Townsend street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement, and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by J. Sheldon Potter or assigns.

Provided, that two brick catchbasins be constructed at the easterly and westerly side of Stanford street north of the proposed spur track and connected with the main sewer with ten-inch culverts and a brick manhole to intercept the drainage which the construction of a spur track will interfere with, and that girder rails be used in the construction of this spur where it crosses Stanford street, also that the existing lamp-posts be re-located, and that all pavement and sidewalks disturbed by the construction of the proposed spur be re-constructed.

Provided, that J. Sheldon Potter or assigns shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. Ordinance No. 5732 (New Series) is hereby repealed.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Ordering Construction of Boulevard Connecting Lincoln Park and Sutro Heights.

Bill No. 6166, Ordinance No. 5746 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of a boulevard connecting Lincoln Park with Sutro Heights; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said improvement; approving plans and specifications therefor, and permitting progressive payments to be made during the progress of said improvement, the expense of said improvement to be borne out of the County Road Fund.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for construction of a boulevard connecting Lincoln Park with Sutro Heights; in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor by the Board of Public Works and on file in its office, which plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted, the cost of said improvement to be borne out of the County Road Fund.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in contract for said improvement conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications, and as provided by Section 21, Chapter 1, Article VI of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Drasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Southern Pacific Spur Track.

The following entitled bill heretofore passed for printing was taken up:

Bill No. 6165, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled "Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to the Southern Pacific Company, its successors or assigns, to construct, maintain and operate certain industrial spur tracks upon First street, Bryant street and Beale street, as hereinafter described."

*E. B. Leavitt* of the Southern Pacific Company, in a letter to the Board, called attention to the necessity of amending the spur tract permit, as it was printed on the calendar, so as to permit the company to allow cars to stand on the tracks on Beale street where said tracks are laid on both sides of the street, though not where there is only one track in the center of the street. That if not allowed to thus leave cars on the side tracks they would be practically useless to the people whom they desire to serve by this spur track. That Section 2 of the permit should be amended to grant this permission and that if it is necessary to readvertise the permit the Southern Pacific Company will pay for the additional cost of advertising.

Whereupon, the following bill, amended as suggested by Mr. Leavitt, was passed for printing by the following vote:

Bill No. 6168, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to the Southern Pacific Company, its successors or assigns, to construct, maintain and operate certain industrial spur tracks upon First street, Bryant street and Beale street, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Southern Pacific Company, its successors or assigns, to construct, maintain and operate certain industrial spur tracks upon and along the following streets, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the westerly line of First street, said point being distant southerly 325 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Brannan street; thence in a northerly direction and diagonally along First street to a point distant 110 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Brannan street, and 40 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of First street; thence on a curve to the left to a point on the southerly line of Brannan street, said point being distant 35 feet, more or less, westerly from the easterly line of First street; thence across Brannan street to a point in the northerly line of Brannan street, said point being 38 feet, more or less, westerly from the easterly line of First street; thence on a curve to the right to a point in the center line of First street; thence northerly along the center line of First street to a point distant southerly 138 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Bryant street; thence on a curve to the right, said curve having a radius of 191.53 feet, 135 feet, more or less, to the easterly line of First street, said point being distant southerly 17 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Bryant street; thence continuing on said curve across Southern Pacific Company property to a point on the southerly line of Bryant street, said point being distant easterly 16 feet, more or less, from the easterly line of First street; thence continuing on said curve to a point in Bryant street, said point being distant southerly 25 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Bryant street and distant westerly 125 feet, more or less, from the west line of Fremont street; thence easterly along Bryant street parallel to said northerly line 65 feet, more or less; thence on a curve to the right, said curve having a radius of 250 feet, a distance of 31 feet, more or less; thence easterly along Bryant street, crossing Fremont street, to a point in Bryant street, said point being distant easterly 82 feet, more or

less, from the east line of Fremont street, and distant northerly 30 feet, more or less, from the south line of Bryant street; thence on a curve to the left, said curve having a radius of 250 feet, for a distance of 31 feet, more or less, to a point, said point being distant easterly 113 feet, more or less, from the east line of Fremont street and distant northerly 25 feet, more or less, from the south line of Bryant street; thence easterly and parallel to the southerly line of Bryant street a distance of 10 feet, more or less; thence on a curve to the left, said curve having a radius of 250 feet, a distance of 70 feet, more or less, to a point in Bryant street, said point being distant southerly 45 feet, more or less, from the north line of Bryant street, and distant westerly 80 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of Beale street; thence on a curve to the left, having a radius of 173.77 feet, a distance of 85 feet, more or less, to a point on the northerly line of Bryant street, said point being distant westerly 6 feet, more or less, from the west line of Beale street; thence continuing on said curve across private property to a point on the westerly line of Beale street, said point being distant northerly 8 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Bryant street; thence continuing on said curve to a point in Beale street, said point being distant westerly 40 feet, more or less, from the easterly line of Beale street and distant northerly 75 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Bryant street; thence on a curve to the left, having a radius of 250 feet, for a distance of 70 feet, more or less, to a point in Beale street, said point being distant westerly 30 feet, more or less, from the easterly line of Beale street and northerly 145 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Bryant street; thence northerly along Beale street to a point, said point being distant westerly 30 feet, more or less, from the easterly line of Beale street and northerly 155 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Bryant street; thence on a curve to the left having a radius of 250 feet, for a distance of 31 feet, more or less, to a point; thence westerly along Beale street a distance of 19 feet, more or less, to a point, said point being easterly 43 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of Beale street and distant northerly 210 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Bryant street; thence on a curve to the right, having a radius of 250 feet, a distance of 31 feet, more or less, to a point in the center line of Beale street, said point being northerly 270 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Bryant street; thence

northerly along the center line of Beale street, crossing Harrison street, to a point in the center line of Beale street, said point being distant southerly 100 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Folsom street; thence northwesterly along said center line of Beale street 60 feet; thence on a curve to the left having a radius of 250 feet for a distance of 74 feet, more or less, to a point in Folsom street and Beale street; thence diagonally across Folsom street in a northwesterly direction 55 feet, more or less, to a point, said point being on the north line of Folsom street and 20 feet northeasterly from the westerly line of Beale street; thence on a curve to the right having a radius of 250 feet for a distance of 74.0 feet, more or less, to a point, said point being 10 feet northeasterly from the westerly line of Beale street and 75.0 feet northwesterly from the northerly line of Folsom street; thence northwesterly along Beale street and 10.0 feet distant northeasterly from westerly line of Beale street, crossing Howard street to a point on southerly line of Mission street.

Also beginning at a point on the center line of Beale street, said point being distant southerly 100 feet, more or less, from the south line of Folsom street; thence on a curve to the right, said curve having a radius of 250 feet for a distance of 31 feet, more or less; thence in a northerly direction crossing Folsom street to a point on the easterly line of Beale street, said point being distant northerly 85 feet, more or less, from the north line of Folsom street.

Also beginning at a point in Beale street, said point being distant southerly 35 feet, more or less, from the south line of Folsom street, and 30 feet, more or less, from the easterly line of Beale street; thence on a curve to the right (the point of beginning of said curve forms an angle of 14 deg. 20 min. with a line drawn through said point and parallel with the center line of Beale street), said curve having a radius of 250 feet for a distance of 31 feet, more or less; thence in an easterly direction crossing Folsom street to a point on the north line of Folsom street, said point being easterly 15 feet, more or less, from the east line of Beale street.

Also beginning at a point in Beale street on the northerly line of Folsom street, said point being 14.0 feet, more or less, southwesterly from the easterly line of Beale street; thence on a curve to the left having a radius of 250 feet for a distance of 40.0 feet, more or less, to a point in Beale street 10.0 feet distant southwesterly from the easterly line of Beale street

and 40 feet, more or less, northwest-ly from the northerly line of Folsom street; thence northwesterly along Beale street and 10.0 feet distant southwesterly from the easterly line of Beale street, crossing Howard street to a point in the southerly line of Mission street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted, and shall be construed as part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement, and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by the Southern Pacific Company.

Provided further, that the sidewalk widths on Beale street between Folsom street and Bryant street be reduced to ten feet; any expense due to this change of sidewalk widths being borne by the Southern Pacific Company; also that the fire cistern at Beale street and Howard street be reinforced, and that care be taken to protect all high pressure lines; also, that girder rails be used throughout, and that all pavements disturbed be reconstructed; all work to be done under the supervision and to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works; also, that the spur track be terminated at a point 100 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Mission street, in order to keep the approaches from Mission street into Beale street clear all the time.

Section 2. Said tracks shall be used for the transportation of freight only, and shall not be used as a main line or a part thereof.

Said tracks shall be laid level with the street and must be operated under such restrictions as to interfere to the least possible degree with the use of said streets by the public.

The Southern Pacific Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps, to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Provided, however, that it is the intention of this Board that said track shall be equally available to all railroads, but in order to promote safety and economy in the operation thereof it is hereby expressly provided that the State Belt Railway, or any railroad operating in San Francisco, shall have

the right to make physical connection with the tracks above described, and Southern Pacific Company shall promptly and without discrimination switch to any industry on said tracks any car or cars which such other carriers may place on proper interchange tracks properly constructed to handle necessary business. It is understood that Southern Pacific Company is to do all of the switching on the trackage known as Beale street spur or industrial tracks.

Provided, no car or cars shall be moved or switched over or allowed to stand on said spur during the hours between 7 o'clock a. m. and 6 o'clock p. m., excepting the two spur tracks located, one on the westerly side of Beale street and the other located on the easterly side of Beale street, between Folsom and Mission streets.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Nocs—Supervisors Hynes, McSheehy—2.

Supervisor McSheehy proceeded to make a statement for the record with reference to the foregoing bill.

Supervisor Scott raised to a point of order. "I object to the statement except as an explanation of vote."

Chair ruled point of order not well taken. Both are out of order. We are in the midst of the roll call.

Indefinitely Postponed.

Thereupon, Bill No. 6165, passed for printing last week, was *indefinitely postponed*.

#### PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print and amounting to \$20,203.12, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### NEW BUSINESS.

##### Auditorium Rentals.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 20431 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following organizations be granted permission to occupy the halls in the Auditorium, deposits having been paid to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to guarantee the rental fees:

The Emporium, use of Main Hall,

April 21, 1923, 6 p. m. to 12 p. m., for the purpose of holding a dance.

San Francisco Pyramid Scouts, use of Main Hall, January 8, 1923, for the purpose of holding a dance; and January 31, 1923, for the purpose of holding a ceremonial.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

*Passed for Printing.*

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

#### **Authorizations.**

On motion of Supervisor McLeran: Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

#### *Tearing-Up Streets Fund.*

(1) J. E. French Co., one Dodge roadster, Sewer Repair Department, Board of Public Works (claim dated Oct. 30, 1922), \$1,015.

#### *Special School Tax, 1921-1922.*

(2) Butte Electric & Mfg. Co., fourth payment, electrical work, Emerson School (claim dated Nov. 1, 1922), \$1,095.

(3) A. Lettich, first payment, plumbing, Horace Mann School (claim dated Nov. 1, 1922), \$2,319.83.

(4) John Reid, Jr., final payment, architectural service, temporary buildings, Mission High and Humboldt Evening High Schools (claim dated Nov. 1, 1922), \$513.76.

(5) Joseph Greenback, fourth payment, lathing, plastering and cement, Emerson School (claim dated Nov. 1, 1922), \$1,610.99.

#### *Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(6) General Electric Co., second payment, generators for Moccasin Creek power plant, Hetch Hetchy construction, Contract No. 80 (claim dated Oct. 27, 1922), \$11,205.27.

(7) American Brake Shoe and Foundry Co., railway brake shoes (claim dated Oct. 30, 1922), \$1,250.99.

(8) Eccles & Smith Co. Inc., one Buda motor car (claim dated Oct. 30, 1922), \$682.03.

(9) The Gladium Co. Inc., tool steel (claim dated Oct. 30, 1922), \$556.17.

(10) The Giant Powder Co., Co., gelatin and blasting powder (claim dated Oct. 30, 1922), \$3,354.45.

(11) Hercules Powder Co., Hercules gelatin, etc. (claim dated Oct. 30, 1922), \$4,588.51.

(12) Mark-Lally Co., black iron pipe (claim dated Oct. 30, 1922), \$2,925.37.

(13) Myers-Whaley Co. Inc., machine parts (claim dated Oct. 30, 1922), \$804.70.

(14) The A. Meister Sons Co., motor car passenger body to order (claim dated Oct. 30, 1922), \$3,711.86.

(15) McRoskey & Co., hair mattresses (claim dated Oct. 30, 1922), \$839.11.

(16) Pioneer Rubber Mills, belting and hose (claim dated Oct. 30, 1922), \$827.98.

(17) Standard Oil Co. Inc., fuel oil, etc. (claim dated Oct. 30, 1922), \$1,440.33.

(18) Standard Oil Co. Inc., fuel oil, etc. (claim dated Oct. 30, 1922), \$2,001.77.

(19) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premiums, Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Oct. 30, 1922), \$11,262.57.

(20) Robert M. Searls, Special Counsel's Hetch Hetchy Revolving Fund expenditures, per attached vouchers (claim dated Oct. 30, 1922), \$2,695.

(21) Sherry Bros. Inc., butter (claim dated Oct. 30, 1922), \$1,529.83.

(22) Sullivan Machinery Co., machine parts (claim dated Oct. 30, 1922), \$966.81.

(23) Sussman, Wormser & Co., groceries (claim dated Oct. 30, 1922), \$596.01.

(24) George H. Tay Co., boilers, etc. (claim dated Oct. 30, 1922), \$585.41.

(25) United States Steel Products Co., wire bolts, etc. (claim dated Oct. 30, 1922), \$1,126.96.

(26) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., two motors and parts (claim dated Oct. 30, 1922), \$573.02.

(27) The White Co., tires, wheels, etc. (claim dated Oct. 30, 1922), \$502.29.

(28) Westinghouse Pacific Coast Brake Co., electric parts (claim dated Oct. 30, 1922), \$647.43.

#### *School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(29) P. J. Enright, first and final payments, heating and ventilating Hancock School (claim dated Nov. 1, 1922), \$1,345.

(30) Joseph Greenback, first payment, lathing and plastering Columbus School addition (claim dated Nov. 1, 1922), \$2,274.90.

(31) A. Lettich, third payment, plumbing in Yerba Buena School (claim dated Nov. 1, 1922), \$1,399.65.

(32) Standard Electric Construction Co., sixth payment, electric work, Mission High School addition (claim dated Nov. 1, 1922), \$2,625.

(33) The Turner Co., final payment, electric work, Spring Valley School (claim dated Nov. 1, 1922), \$790.

(34) United Materials Co., first payment, roofing North Beach (Galileo)

High School (claim dated Nov. 1, 1922), \$4,480.32.

*Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.*

(35) American Car Co., freight prepaid on 20 car trucks for Municipal Railways (claim dated Oct. 27, 1922), \$1,040.

*Municipal Railway Fund.*

(36) American Brake Shoe and Foundry Co., steel brake shoes for Municipal Railways (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$1,221.80.

(37) Treasurer of City and County, to balance switching expense over Ocean Shore R. R. tracks (claim dated Nov. 1, 1922), \$1,000.

*General Fund, 1922-1923.*

(38) C. Naumann & Co., vegetables, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$983.26.

(39) Smith, Lynden & Co., groceries, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$1,555.46.

(40) Spring Valley Water Co., water through Fire Department hydrants (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$13,103.40.

(41) Standard Oil Co., gasoline, etc., Fire Department (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$1,320.28.

(42) The San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated Nov. 6, 1922), \$1,000.

Appropriation, \$1,378.69, Underwood Type-writer Co. Inc., Furnished Recorder.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$1,378.69 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, and authorized in payment to Underwood Type-writer Co. Inc., for 15 Underwood typewriters furnished the County Recorder (claim dated Nov. 6, 1922).

Appropriation, \$7,500, Street Signs.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$7,500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Budget Item No. 323 (Street Signs), Fiscal Year 1922-1923, for the furnishing, delivering and erecting of 416 street signs in accordance with contract awarded Louis J. Cohn at \$7,196.80; and maintenance and repairs at \$303.20.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land for New Streets in Golden Gate Heights.

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 20432 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the following owners of the following described land sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of new streets in Golden Gate Heights, a new subdivision in the City and County

of San Francisco, have offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite their names, namely:

Stephen Potter and Clara W. Jones, \$3,000.

Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Fourteenth avenue, distant thirteen 132 feet 5 inches northerly from the northerly line of Lawton street, and running thence northerly along the westerly line of Fourteenth avenue 186 feet 2 inches; thence at right angles westerly 127 feet 6 inches; thence at right angles southerly 191 feet 9 inches to the northerly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by the Sterling Realty Company; thence following said boundary line along the following courses: South 79 degrees 30 minutes east 66 feet 6 inches; northerly 12 feet 4 inches; south 79 degrees 30 minutes east 60 feet, more or less, to the point of beginning.

And Whereas, it will not be necessary to use the entire property for street purposes; and

Whereas, it will be advantageous to the City and County of San Francisco to enter into an agreement with the California Pacific Title Insurance Company in the same manner as the adjoining property owners, so that the City will receive in lieu of the property not desired another parcel of property of greater value; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition to accept a deed therefor in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco made out in favor of the California Pacific Title Insurance Company to be disposed of by said company as per resolution of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereafter adopted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land for Telegraph Hill Boulevard.

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 20433 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the extension of Pioneer Park and construction of Telegraph Hill boulevard has offered to convey the property desired by the City and

County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite her name, viz.:

Rosa Costa, \$1,725.

Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Kearny street, distant thereon 81 feet southerly from the southwest-ly corner of Kearny and Lombard streets, and running thence southerly along said line of Kearny street 56 feet and 6 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 54.50 feet; thence north-easterly on a curve to the right of 182.50-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 121 deg. 32 min. 53 sec. to the right from the preceding course, central angle 24 deg. 50 min. 23 sec., a distance of 79.12 feet to the point of beginning.

Being part of 50 Vara Block No. 81.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept a deed therefor in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price of one thousand seven hundred and twenty-five and 00/100 (\$1,725.00) dollars.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Appropriation, \$103.30. Hospital Stores, Hetch Hetchy.

Also, Resolution No. 20434 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$103.30 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910 (Account No. 2194), to the credit of San Francisco Hospital. Appropriation \$46; being payment for hospital stores furnished Hetch Hetchy Water Construction.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Appropriation for Settlement of Claims of Property Owners, Market Street Extension.

Resolution No. 20435 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in the matter of the Market Street Extension, the Board of Supervisors will at the proper time appropriate \$1,250, paying \$250 to each of the following property owners, to-wit: Dall Ongaro, Todd, Nance, Burke, Fisch, Ireland, Smith, Schnepf, Cordes, Teasland, Young, Nicholson, Jenkins, Meilandt, Whitten, Horgan, Moraes, in settlement for claims arising out of the construction of the street; said payments, however, to be contingent upon the property owners building retaining walls and making other improvements as required by the City Engineer; further provided, that no other claims of this character for this work will be authorized or allowed.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

*Passed for Printing.*

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Appropriation, \$750, San Francisco Convention and Tourist League.

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$750 out of "Publicity and Advertising," Budget Item No. 551, and authorized in payment to the San Francisco Convention and Tourist League for publicity and advertising expense.

Appropriation, \$10,000, Credit to Lighting Fund.

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$10,000 out of "Urgent Necessity," Budget Item No. 30, to the credit of "Lighting Streets," Budget Item No. 40, Fiscal Year 1922-1923.

*Boiler and Oil Permits.*

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

*Boiler.*

Cadwallader Gibson Co., at Fifth and Bluxome streets, 40 horsepower, for furnishing steam for drying lumber.

*Oil Storage Tank.*

Emma Meyer, on south side of Pine street, 30 feet west of Stockton street; 1500 gallons capacity.

E. W. Brown, at 9 Fifth avenue; 1500 gallons capacity.

Mirasson & Barriel at 2409 Sacramento street; 1500 gallons capacity.

Charles A. Johnson, on south side of Geary street, 137½ feet west of Hyde street; 1500 gallons capacity.

John Tait, Inc., at 30 Turk street; 1500 gallons capacity.

T. F. Emley, at southwest corner of Fifth avenue and Cornwall street; 1500 gallons capacity.

St. James School, at northwest corner of Twenty-third and Fair Oaks streets; 1500 gallons capacity.

E. Schilling, at 2232 Webster street; 600 gallons capacity.

Cadwallader Gibson Co., at southeast corner of Fifth and Bluxome streets; 1500 gallons capacity.

H. H. Helbush, at 312 Mason street; 1500 gallons capacity.

#### Blasting Permit.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Granting Healy-Tibbitts Construction Co. permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts at northeast corner of Second and Harrison streets for grading purposes, providing said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of \$25,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works, and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction and under the supervision of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by the said Healy-Tibbitts Construction Company, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

#### Stable Permits Denied.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 20436 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission to the following persons to maintain stables is hereby denied, to-wit:

J. Wasserkrug, at 538 Fulton street.

Frank Coralli, at 438 Lawton street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

#### Stable Permit.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy: Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted R. E. Wil-

burn to maintain a stable for 35 horses at 317 Broderick street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit becomes null and void.

#### Condemnation of Lands on Clipper Street for Playground Purposes.

Supervisor Scott presented:

Resolution No. 20437 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity and use require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco of the following described lands, and any and all rights and claims thereto, for playground purposes, to-wit: All the lots, pieces or parcels of land included in the following descriptions, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Clipper street, distant thereon 235 feet westerly from the westerly line of Noe street, running thence westerly along said northerly line of Clipper street 85 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 114 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 85 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 114 feet to the northerly line of Clipper street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Horner's Addition, Block No. 163; also known as Lots 12, 13 and 14 of Block 6547, Assessor's Map Book.

That all the lands, rights and claims above described are hereby declared to be suitable, adaptable and necessary for a public use by the City and County of San Francisco.

The City Attorney is hereby instructed to commence proceedings against the owner or owners of said lots, pieces or parcels of land enclosed within said hereinabove description and any and all rights and claims thereto and interest therein for the condemnation thereof for the use of the City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid, and to prosecute such proceedings to a speedy termination.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Accepting Officers to Sell Land at Dumbarton Oaks Required for Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct Right of Way.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 20438 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco from William J. Madden and Josephine C. Madden of the following described parcels of land situated in the County of

San Mateo, State of California, required as a right of way for the aqueduct on the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply project, viz.:

The following lots situated in Dumbarton Oaks, as per map of same numbered 1, filed in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County January 20, 1908, in Book 5 of Maps, at page 56:

Parcel 1—Lots 26 and 27, Block 69;

Parcel 2—Lots 19 and 20, Block 64;

Parcel 3—Lot 22, Block 61,

for the sum of fourteen hundred and 00,100 dollars (\$1,400.00); now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer the offer of William J. Madden and Josephine C. Madden to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the above mentioned parcels of land for the sum of \$1,400 be and the same is hereby accepted. Be it further

Resolved, That the Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply is hereby authorized and directed to notify said William J. Madden and Josephine C. Madden of the acceptance of their said offer, to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record, with a copy of this resolution attached thereto, as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Fixing December 11, 1922, Landers Street Hearing.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20439 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Monday, December 11, 1922, at 3 p. m., be fixed as the time for all persons interested to show cause, if any they have, before the Board of Supervisors why the report of the Board of Public Works filed on November 1, 1922, upon the widening and extension of Landers street between Fifteenth and Sixteenth streets should not be confirmed.

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to publish for a period of ten (10) days a notice of the filing with the Board of Supervisors of the report of the Board of Public Works that the 11th day of December, 1922, at the hour of 3 p. m., has been fixed as the time for all persons to show cause why such report should not be confirmed.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Mayor to Sell Laundry Machinery at Juvenile Detention Home.

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 20440 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be requested and authorized, pursuant to petition filed by the Chief Probation Officer, to sell at public auction the following personal property, unfit and unnecessary for the use of the City and County, viz.: Laundry machinery, located at Juvenile Detention Home.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Award of Contract, Pay Checks for Auditor.

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 20441 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Halpin Lithograph Company, a corporation, be and hereby is awarded a contract for furnishing pay checks, 1923, for the Auditor for the sum of \$795, in strict conformity with its bid submitted October 30, 1922.

Further Resolved, That said firm shall furnish a bond in the sum of \$300 for the faithful performance of said contract; the sufficiency of the sureties on said bond subject to the approval of the Mayor.

Further Resolved, That all other bids thereon are hereby rejected.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Clerk to Advertise for Proposals for Furnishing Auditor's Annual Report.

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 20442 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk be and hereby is directed to advertise for proposals for furnishing 500 copies of Auditor's Annual Report of Financial Transactions of the City and County of San Francisco, 1921-1922, as per specifications prepared by the Supplies Committee.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Action Deferred.**

The following resolution was presented and on motion *laid over two weeks*:

**Bread for Public Institutions.**

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this City has been purchasing bread under contract for all departments except the Relief Home for a number of years. These contracts have been let quarterly and the bread for the last quarter was purchased for 4½ cents per pound. This quarter the price of bread has been raised to 7½ cents per pound. This would mean a loss of \$3,500 a quarter, or \$14,000 per year.

Whereas, the Relief Home has been making its own bread at a cost of 2¾ cents per pound, the same could be and should be made at all city institutions, which would mean a saving of \$5,400 a quarter, or \$21,600 per year.

Resolved, That the Department of Public Health be requested to have all the bread needed for their institutions made in the Relief Home, and that the Sheriff be requested to make the bread for all other City departments that use same; further

Resolved, That this resolution be referred to the Supplies Committee, that the Department of Public Health and the Sheriff be sent a copy of same, and that a meeting of the Supplies Committee with these two departments be held on Wednesday, October 11, 1922, at 2 p. m., for the purpose of devising ways and means for the City to make its own bread and save \$21,600 per year.

*October 9, 1922—Presented in Board of Supervisors by Supervisor McSheehy and referred to the Supplies Committee.*

*October 11, 1922—Supplies Committee recommends indefinite postponement.*

*October 16, 1922—Over one week.*

*October 23, 1922—Over one week.*

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Auditor to Cancel Certificate of Sale.**

Resolution No. 20443 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Auditor has reported that the personal property assessment of one Allen K. Herman for \$150 for the year 1921 was not properly exempted from taxation, by reason of the fact that said Allen K. Herman was an honorably discharged soldier and duly claimed such exemption; that certain real property, hereinafter described, was sold to the State for the non-payment of the tax upon said per-

sonal property, and said Auditor recommends the cancellation of said sale; therefore,

Resolved, That the Auditor be directed to cancel Sale No. 815, made June 27, 1922, of the following property: Lot 79, Block 2623-2624, in Vol. 16, page 139, assessed to Allen K. Herman and Warren A. Herman.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Street Exposition, Fulton Street, Veterans of Foreign Wars.

Supervisor Scott presented:

Resolution No. 20444 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Fulton street between Hyde and Larkin streets be closed from December 15, 1922, to January 15, 1923, for the purpose of allowing the Entertainment Committee, County Council, Veterans of Foreign Wars, United Veterans of the Republic, to hold an exposition.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hynes, McLeran—2.

**Carquinez Bridge Project Endorsed.**

Supervisors Hayden and Welch presented:

Resolution No. 20445 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the San Francisco Transit Company, a California corporation, has prepared complete plans and specifications for the erection of a bridge across Carquinez Straits and after public hearing before an Army Board has received tentative approval from the War Department for the erection of such bridge; and

Whereas, we are advised the San Francisco Transit Company is fully financed to proceed with the erection of the bridge immediately the necessary franchise is granted and the franchise agreement provides the easiest and most certain means of obtaining public ownership of the bridge within a reasonable time; and

Whereas, the proposed bridge to be erected by the San Francisco Transit Company will provide a direct highway connecting Central California and Northern California, an improvement that will be of great and direct value to many thousands of people; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That we heartily endorse the project of the San Francisco Transit Company, and commend it to the Supervisors of Contra Costa County for favorable action.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hynes, McLeran—2.

Appropriation, \$3,000, Publicity and Advertising, School Bond Issue.

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$3,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Publicity and Advertising, Budget Item No. 551, Fiscal Year 1922-1923, for expense of publicity and advertising in connection with proposed bond issue for school buildings.

*Supervisor Colman* declared that the resolution had the approval of the Finance and Public Welfare Committees.

#### Motion.

*Supervisor McSheehy* moved reference to Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee.

Thereupon, *Supervisor Colman* moved the suspension of the rules for the immediate consideration and passage of the resolution.

*Rules suspended* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman,

Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent — Supervisors Hynes, McLeran—2.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was *passed for printing* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent — Supervisors Hynes, McLeran—2.

#### Explanation of Vote.

*Supervisor McSheehy* explained his vote in substance as follows: This should be a part of our current expense. It will take twenty-seven years to build the schools, and the amount of the bonds will double in sixteen years if it is a 5½ per cent bond. We can do as they do in the East and build schools out of current revenues by providing for it annually in the Budget, and not pay two dollars for one dollar's worth of work. It is a great mistake. Why not appropriate money for advertising my views on the bond issue?

#### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at the hour of 6:50 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors December 11, 1922.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series), of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Monday, November 13, 1922.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



**THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY**

77 Sutter Street, S. F.

M

C

P

R

I

M

S

S

M

N

W

R

M

E

B

M

A

S

I

P

T

E

T

T

B

P

U

C

A

S

A

W

S

C

D

G

F

R

B

W

A

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 13, 1922, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, November 13, 1922, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Mulvihill—3.

Supervisor Deasy excused.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of October 9 and 16, 1922, were considered read and approved.

### ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Memorial to Congress, Wine and Beer.

Supervisor McLeran stated that several months ago the members of the Board of Supervisors had been asked to memorialize Congress for light wines and beer. That at the time it was considered by the Board they decided that it would be best to refer it to the people to see if it was their desire that this should be done. That at the recent election it was decided by the people that this should be done. He suggested that the Judiciary Committee of the Board be requested to prepare the proper memorial to Congress, which, upon motion, was so ordered.

Campaign for School and Relief Home Bonds.

Supervisor McLeran announced that a number of meetings had been scheduled for school bonds and he asked how many members of the Board would attend the various meetings and speak for the bonds. The following declared that they would be glad to do so: Supervisors Bath, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Robb, Rossi, Shannon, Scott, Welch, Wetmore. Supervisor Schmitz declared that he would be out of town until Monday, but that when he returned he would gladly do all he could for the bonds.

Supervisors Deasy, Colman and Mulvihill absent.

Supervisor Hynes said he was against imposing any more expense on the taxpayers. The election will cost \$50,000. The Board spent \$3,000 in advertising. Bonds call for 5% for 20 years and taxpayers will pay \$12,000,000 for nothing. Not in favor of a bond issue for schools when money can be better raised in other ways.

Supervisor McSheehy declared that he would not advocate the bonds and he asked that his statement, filed in connection with previous actions on the bonds, be spread in the minutes. The statement follows:

#### McSheehy's Statement for Record.

On September 5, 1922, as a member of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I voted "No" on the tax rate for the fiscal year of 1922 and 1923, and on final passage of this tax rate on this, the 18th day of September, 1922, I wish to qualify my vote "No" and have same printed and inserted in the record as follows, to-wit:

1. On May 18, 1922, a budget of five hundred and fifty items was passed, calling for an expenditure of \$24,892,678.

The Park Fund was allowed an increase of \$225,000—almost 70%.

I asked to cut this item \$100,000, also five other items, making a total of \$189,350, which would amount to three cents on the tax rate.

2. Funds collected from other sources than direct taxation on real and personal property have been under-estimated to the amount of:

Year.	
1917-1918 .....	\$ 183,620.00
1918-1919 .....	432,618.00
1919-1920 .....	280,498.00
1920-1921 .....	562,576.00
1921-1922 .....	530,576.00

Total (5 years) .....\$1,989,888.00

Average .....\$ 397,977.00  
Auditor's estimate of  
funds to be collected  
this year—1922-1923 ...\$3,837,320.00  
Finance Committee estimate .. 3,383,000.00

Difference . . . . . \$ 454,320.00

This will amount to 7½ cents on tax rate plus 3 cents on other items, making a total of 11½ cents that the present tax rate can be cut.

As one member of this Board, I feel and know that this city can be run on a \$3.35½ tax rate instead of \$3.47, without impairing the efficiency of this city government in any manner or form.

Supervisor McLeran announced that Foster & Kleiser had donated 75 billboards for advertising the bond issue.

#### Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved a vote of thanks to Foster & Kleiser for their generous offer.

Motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—14.

No—Supervisors McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Mulvihill—3.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, the newspapers that had given favorable and generous publicity to the bond issue were given a vote of thanks.

#### REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.

The following committees, by their respective chairmen, presented reports on various matters referred, which reports were read and ordered filed:

Supplies Committee, by Supervisor Rossi, chairman.

Fire Committee, by Supervisor Deasy, chairman.

Streets and Sewers Committee, by Supervisor Mulvihill, chairman.

#### SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

##### Duboce Tunnel.

Continuation of hearing of protestants against proposed Sunset Tunnel (Duboce avenue route).

Mr. C. B. Morbio, representing Rose B. Morbio and himself, was granted the privilege of the floor and opposed the proposed improvement and the means adopted for its accomplishment.

E. F. Treadwell, attorney representing protestants, was also heard in opposition to the tunnel and to the assessment district outlined to pay for same. He stated that he would like to produce witnesses and have them heard before a committee and the reporter to prepare a transcript of the evidence and that evidence then be presented to the Board and thus save time of the Board.

Supervisor Scott stated that he thought the Board was proceeding in the manner provided in the tunnel ordinance and that in case this should be done and at the time of the hearing by the Board anyone should appear and protest the entire matter would have to be gone into by the Board and

that the time of the committee would be wasted and he thought the best plan would be to proceed in the ways they have started.

Mr. Treadwell declared that he wished to be excused, as he had to go to Sacramento. He was excused and Mr. Humphreys declared that the protestants could not proceed, inasmuch as Mr. Treadwell was their attorney.

#### Action Deferred.

Whereupon, further hearing was continued until 3 p. m. November 27, 1922.

#### Masonic Avenue Extension of the Municipal Railway.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, consideration of bill authorizing Mayor to enter into agreement with the Market Street Railway Company for the use by the City of its tracks and trolley system on Masonic avenue from Oak to Waller street and on Waller street from Clayton to Cole street was laid over 90 days (Feb. 13, 1923.)

#### In re Bread for Public Institutions.

Consideration of the majority and minority reports of the Supplies Committee in re bread for public institutions was laid over one week and made a special order for 3 p. m. Monday.

#### PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

##### Proposal for Equipment, San Francisco Hospital.

Sealed proposals were received between 2 and 3 p. m. by the Board of Supervisors for furnishing oil-burning range, laundry machinery and hospital furniture, to-wit:

- No. 1. C. F. Weber & Co.
- No. 2. Travers Surgical Co.
- No. 3. Montague Range and Furnace Co.
- No. 4. Troy Laundry Machinery Company.
- No. 5. Walters Surgical Co.
- No. 6. John G. Iis & Co.
- No. 7. Eames Company
- No. 8. Speck Mfg. & Gear Co.
- No. 9. Mangrum & Otter Inc.
- No. 10. American Laundry Machinery Co.
- No. 11. Reid Bros. Inc.
- No. 12. Percy J. Meyers & Co. (2 checks).

Referred to Supplies Committee.

#### Meeting Postponed—Highway Down Peninsula.

Supervisor Welch declared that the meeting of the joint committees of the Board of Supervisors to consider the question of the report which has been filed in reference to the highway down the peninsula would not meet on Wednesday afternoon as announced but that it would meet at the hour of 10 o'clock on Friday morning.

# UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

## Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorization, \$46,666.66, September Street Lighting.

Resolution No. 20458 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$46,666.66 be and the same is hereby authorized to be expended out of General Fund, 1922-1923 in payment to Pacific Gas and Electric Company for September street lighting (claim dated Oct. 16, 1922). (Passed for printing Oct. 16, 1922.)

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Mulvihill—3.

Authorization, \$644.40, A. Lettich, Toilets in Auditorium.

Also, Resolution No. 20457 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$644.40 be and the same is hereby authorized to be expended out of Auditorium Fund in payment to A. Lettich; being second payment for conversion of rooms into toilets in Auditorium (claim dated Sept. 20, 1922). (Passed for printing Sept. 25, 1922.)

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Mulvihill—3.

## PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print and amounting to \$27,845.55, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Mulvihill—3.

### Urgent Necessity.

Spring Valley Water Co., water, public troughs, \$125.02.

Western Union Telegraph Co., official telegram, \$1.90.

Sabina M. Churchill, compensation insurance, \$89.27.

Western Furniture Mfg. Co., 15 typewriter tables for Recorder, \$168.75.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Mulvihill—3.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Auditorium Rentals.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 20446 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following organizations be granted permission to occupy the halls in the Auditorium, deposits having been paid to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to guarantee the rental fees:

San Francisco Council Boy Scouts of America, use of the Main Hall, March 23 and 24, 1923, for the purpose of holding Boy Scout Pageant.

Mr. E. O. Bonderson, use of Main Hall, November 24, 1922, 6 p. m. to 12 p. m., for the purpose of holding a concert featuring Madam Gadski.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Mulvihill—3.

### Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

### Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McLeran: Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Water Co-struction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(1) Construction Company of North America, ninth payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels, Mountain Division, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Nov. 6, 1922), \$24,545.45.

(2) Grant Smith & Co., third payment, Paleas tunnel construction (claim dated Nov. 6, 1922), \$30,763.01.

(3) Utah Construction Co., thirty-sixth payment, construction Hetch Hetchy dam and appurtenances (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$257,501.62.

(4) Anglo-California Trust Co. of San Francisco, assignee of United Commercial Co., Hetch Hetchy railway material (claim dated Nov. 6, 1922), \$2,925.68.

(5) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., hardware (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$1,902.49.

(6) California Steam & Plumbing Supply Co., gate valves, etc. (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$538.95.

(7) Frank M. Cobblestick Co., machine bearings (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$518.64.

(8) William Cluff Co., groceries (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$1,135.06.

(9) L. Dinkelspiel Co. Inc., drygoods (claim dated Nov. 6, 1922), \$657.28.

(10) First National Bank of Sonora, taxes on city-owned property in Tuolumne County, California (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$6,666.26.

(11) Goodyear Rubber Co., rubber goods (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$609.95.

(12) Hercules Powder Co., gelatin powder (claim dated Nov. 6, 1922), \$4,875.

(13) Ingersoll-Rand Co., machine parts (claim dated Nov. 6, 1922), \$2,311.36.

(14) Joshua Hendy Iron Works, car wheels and car parts (claim dated Nov. 6, 1922), \$1,001.70.

(15) Myers-Whaley Co. Inc., shoveling machine chain and parts (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$2,816.63.

(16) Meese & Gottfried Co., conveying machine parts (claim dated Nov. 6, 1922), \$1,553.61.

(17) Northwestern Pacific Railroad Co., rent of locomotive (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$665.

(18) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$6,781.89.

(19) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers attached (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$1,026.64.

(20) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers attached (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$1,627.03.

(21) Standard Oil Co. Inc., fuel oil, etc. (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$1,958.53.

(22) Sierra Railway Co. of Cal., depreciated value of cars destroyed by fire on Hetch Hetchy railroad (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$5,520.07.

(23) George H. Tay Co., boilers, fittings, etc. (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$1,731.20.

(24) The Utah Construction Co., for cement destroyed in Hetch Hetchy railroad wreck Sept. 12 (claim dated Nov. 6, 1922), \$5,084.78.

(25) Wilsey-Bennett Co., eggs (claim dated Nov. 6, 1922), \$1,040.53.

(26) Standard Oil Co. Inc., fuel oil, etc. (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$2,155.88.

#### *Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.*

(27) American Car Co., third payment, Municipal Railway car bodies (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$38,902.50.

(28) Continental Construction Co., final payment for installation of poles and conductors on Taraval street line (claim dated Nov. 6, 1922), \$993.77.

(29) Eaton & Smith, acceptance and final payments, construction of Taraval street line of Municipal Railways, Contract 130 (claim dated Nov. 6, 1922), \$1,496.05.

#### *School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(30) Fourth payment, heating and ventilating Mission High School Addition (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$2,924.79.

(31) The Etherton Co., tenth payment, general construction of Adams School Addition (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$1,565.24.

(32) Butte Electric Equipment Co., third payment, electric work, North Beach (Galileo) High School (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$2,519.80.

(33) O. Monson, second payment, general construction of Andrew Jackson School (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$17,355.

(34) C. Peterson Co., sixth payment, heating and ventilating North Beach (Galileo) High School (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$4,852.30.

#### *Special School Tax.*

(35) James F. Smith, third payment, furring, lathing and plastering Mission High School Addition (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$14,004.38.

(36) Anderson & Ringrose, first payment, general construction of Horace Mann School (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$24,715.50.

(37) C. L. Wold, second payment, general construction of Pacific Heights School (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$5,079.37.

#### *General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(38) Anderson & Ringrose, first and final payment, general construction of James Lick Playground (claim dated Oct. 18, 1922), \$5,657.

#### *General Fund, 1922-1923.*

(39) The Recorder Printing & Publishing Co., printing and publishing Law-Motion-Trial Calendar, etc. (claim dated Nov. 13, 1922), \$665.

(40) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated Nov. 10, 1922), \$10,822.59.

(41) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated Nov. 10, 1922), \$987.50.

(42) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated Nov. 10, 1922), \$8,478.08.

(43) J. E. O'Mara, second payment, plumbing and heating in Fire Dept. Engine House No. 39 (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$1,029.37.

(44) Robert Trost, third payment, general construction of Fire Dept. Engine House No. 39 (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$2,318.78.

(45) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors committed (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$696.66.

(46) Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$1,527.77.

(47) Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$666.82.

(48) Boy's Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$1,157.49.

(49) St. Vincent's School, Marin County, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$1,629.41.

(50) St. Mary's Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$612.50.

(51) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$2,720.49.

(52) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$7,875.40.

(53) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$3,828.50.

(54) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$15,615.74.

(55) St. Catherine's Training Home, maintenance of minors at Magdalen Asylum (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$664.51.

(56) Fred L. Hilmer Co., eggs, Relief Home (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$596.40.

(57) Producers Hay Co., alfalfa, Relief Home (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$914.16.

(58) Sherry Bros., butter and cheese, Relief Home (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$783.12.

(59) Sperry Flour Co., flour, Relief Home (claim dated Oct. 30, 1922), \$855.

(60) California Meat Co., meats, Relief Home (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$1,201.77.

(61) Baumgarten Bros., meats, Relief Home (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$1,204.73.

(62) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, publicity and advertising (claim dated Nov. 13, 1922), \$5,000.

#### Notice of Reconsideration.

*Supervisor Hynes*, having voted *no* on Item No. 62 above, changed his vote from *no* to *aye* and gave notice that he would move for reconsideration at next meeting.

**Appropriation, \$1,725, Rosa Costa, Land Required for Pioneer Park.**

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$1,725 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Telegraph Hill Improvement," Budget Item No. 66, fiscal year 1922-1923, and authorized in payment to Rosa Costa; being payment for property required for extension of Pioneer Park and construction of Telegraph Hill boulevard, in accordance with acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 20433 (New Series) (claim dated Nov. 9, 1922).

#### Transfer of Appropriation for Benefit of Live Stock Exhibition.

*Supervisor McLeran* presented:

Resolution No. 20459 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That \$5,000 set aside and appropriated by Resolution No. 20368 (New Series) for the benefit of the Live Stock Exhibition, be and the same is hereby directed placed to the credit of Publicity and Advertising, Budget Item No. 551.

#### Motion.

*Supervisor McSheehy* moved that this matter remain on the calendar one week and that the Auditor be asked to send information in writing as to why he refused to audit Resolution No. 20368 (New Series).

Ayes—Supervisors Hynes, McSheehy—2.

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Mulvihill—3.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—13.

Noes—Supervisors Hynes, McSheehy—2.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Mulvihill—3.

#### Explanation of Vote.

*Supervisor Schmitz*: I am voting *aye* on the information that the Auditor says this is the proper way to proceed.

*Supervisor Hynes*: I want to vote *no* on that. I still take the same position, that it is subsidizing the Live Stock Show by the taxpayers of the City and County of San Francisco, and is illegal; and that it should not be passed by the Board.

#### Notice of Reconsideration.

Whereupon, *Supervisor McSheehy* changed his vote from "No" to "Aye" and gave notice that he would move, at the next meeting, for reconsideration of the vote whereby the foregoing resolution was adopted.

#### Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

**Appropriation, \$1,250, Salary, Stenographer for Juvenile Court.**

On motion of *Supervisor McLeran*: Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$1,250 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, fiscal year 1922-1923, to the credit of Juvenile Court,

appropriation 19-B, being for payment of salary for stenographer for period September 1, 1922, to June 30, 1923, as provided by Ordinance No. 5709 (New Series).

#### Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:  
Resolution No. — (New Series),  
as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

#### Public Garage.

J. E. French Company (Dodge motor cars), at the northeast corner of Polk and O'Farrell streets; also to store 1200 gallons of gasoline on premises.

#### Automobile Parking Station.

Jeff G. Bauer and J. J. Hare, at 78-80 82 Sutter street. The provisions of Ordinance No. 3108 (New Series) must be strictly complied with.

#### Hand Laundry.

E. Bordegary, on the south side of O'Farrell street, 91 feet 6 inches east of Larkin street.

#### Oil Storage Tank.

Gorman Metal Co., at 785 Bryant street; 1500 gallons capacity.

H. H. Zellerbach, at 3340 Clay street; 600 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

#### Denying Automobile Supply Station Permits.

Supervisor Deasy presented:  
Resolution No. 20447 (New Series),  
as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied the following named to maintain and operate automobile supply stations at the locations herewith given:

Associated Oil Company, at the southwest corner of Geary street and Twenty-fifth avenue.

Dave Serensky, at the southeast corner of Geary street and Twenty-third avenue.

#### Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Mulvihill—3.

#### Recommitted.

The following was presented and on motion ordered recommitted to the Fire Committee:

#### Garage Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:  
Resolution No. — (New Series),  
as follows:

Resolved, That permission, revocable

at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted George Hackett to maintain and operate a public garage on the south side of Ellis street, 137 feet 6 inches west of Taylor street; also to store 600 gallons of gasoline on premises.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit becomes null and void.

#### Garage Permit Amended.

Supervisor Deasy presented:  
Resolution No. 20448 (New Series),  
as follows:

Resolved, That the permit granted by Resolution No. 20380 (New Series) to Albert Rossi, Pasquale Francheschini, Luigi Della Santina, Michael Valpi and E. Lorenzzini to maintain a public garage at "531-535 Washington street, extending through to 526-528 Merchant street" is hereby amended to read "at 547-555 Washington street, extending through to 546 and 556 Merchant street."

#### Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Mulvihill—3.

#### Rule Regarding Permits Denied.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:  
Resolution No. 20449 (New Series),  
as follows:

Resolved, That when an application for a permit is denied by this Board another application for the same character of permit for the same premises or location will not be considered until six months after the date of the denial.

#### Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Mulvihill—3.

Accepting Offers to Sell Land Required for Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct Right-of-Way.

Supervisor Shannon presented:  
Resolution No. — (New Series),  
as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco from the following described owners of the following described parcels of land, situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, required as a right-of-way for the aqueduct on the Hetch Hetchy water supply project for the smas set forth opposite their names, viz.:

The following lots shown on map entitled "Map No. 2 of Central Park, Redwood City, San Mateo County,"

filed in the office of County Recorder of San Mateo County, July 20, 1912, in Book 8 of Maps, at pages 15 and 16.

Commercial Centre Realty Company—Fractional portion of Lots 11, 12 and 13, Block 36 (as per offer on file), \$300.

William J. Hore and Viola G. Hore—Fractional portion of Lots 1, 2 and 3, Block 37 (as per offer on file), \$250.

Now, therefore, be it Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer, the above described offers of the above named property owners to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the above mentioned parcels of land for the sums set forth opposite their respective names be and the same are hereby accepted. Be it further

Resolved, That the Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy water supply is hereby authorized and directed to notify said parties of the acceptance of their said offers, to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record, with a copy of this resolution attached thereto, as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.  
Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Mulvihill—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Permit to Construct Retaining Wall, Stairs and Terrace Slopes.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Irene Muzzy Lansburgh, Loa Henry Hoover and George T. Marye, Jr., to construct a retaining wall, stairs and terrace slopes, etc., on the southerly nine feet of the southerly sidewalk area of Broadway, extending from Baker to Lyon streets.

The plans and specifications for the construction of the retaining wall, stairs and terrace slopes, etc., to be approved by the Board of Public Works.

Closing Portion of Homer Street.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Closing and abandoning a portion of Homer street in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Whereas, this Board has by Resolution No. 20256 (New Series) de-

clared its intention to close and abandon a part of Homer street, commencing at a point on the northwesterly line of Homer street, distant thereon 80 feet northeasterly from Chesley street; thence northeasterly along the northwesterly line of Homer street 40 feet; thence at right angles southeasterly 17 6-12 feet; thence at right angles southwesterly along the southeasterly line of Homer street 40 feet; thence at right angles northwesterly 17 6-12 feet to the point of commencement in 100 Vara Block 410.

Whereas, proper notice of said resolution and of said proposed closing and abandonment of said portion of Homer street was duly given by the Board of Public Works of said City and County by publication and posting in the manner provided by Section 2, Chapter III, Article VI, and the sections of said chapter and article following said Section 2, of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, more than ten days have elapsed after the expiration of the time of publication of said notice; and

Whereas, no objection or protest has been made against the closing up or abandonment of that portion of Homer street above described, as provided in the Charter, or at all; and

Whereas, said work is for closing up said portion of said Homer street, and it appears that no assessment is necessary therefor; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said closing up and abandonment of that portion of Homer street commencing at a point on the northwesterly line of Homer street, distant thereon 80 feet northeasterly from Chesley street; thence northeasterly along the northwesterly line of Homer street 40 feet; thence at right angles southeasterly 17 6-12 feet; thence at right angles southwesterly along the southeasterly line of Homer street 40 feet; thence at right angles northwesterly 17 6-12 feet to the point of commencement, in 100 Vara Block 410, is hereby ordered and said part of Homer street is hereby closed and abandoned as a public street. Be it further

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Board of Public Works and that the Board of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law and the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the San Francisco Chronicle as required by law.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Mulvihill—3.

**Accepting Offers to Sell Land Required for the Extension and Widening of Marina Boulevard.**

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the following owners of the following described lands sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the extension and widening of Marina boulevard have offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco upon the following terms, namely:

Theresa Alice Oelrichs.

Commencing at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Tonquin street and the westerly line of Scott street, and running thence westerly along the southerly line of Tonquin street a distance of 412 feet 6 inches to the easterly line of Divisadero street; thence at right angles southerly along the easterly line of Divisadero street a distance of 15 feet 7½ inches; thence at right angles easterly parallel to the southerly line of Tonquin street a distance of 412 feet 6 inches to the westerly line of Scott street; thence at right angles northerly along the westerly line of Scott street a distance of 15 feet 7½ inches to the southerly line of Tonquin street and the point of commencement; being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 479.

Mercantile Trust Company, a corporation.

Commencing at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Tonquin street and the westerly line of Divisadero street, and running thence westerly along the southerly line of Tonquin street a distance of 412 feet 6 inches to the easterly line of Broderick street; thence at right angles southerly along the easterly line of Broderick street a distance of 15 feet 7½ inches; thence at right angles easterly parallel to the southerly line of Tonquin street a distance of 412 feet 6 inches to the westerly line of Divisadero street; thence at right angles northerly along the westerly line of Divisadero street a distance of 15 feet 7½ inches to the southerly line of Tonquin street and the point of commencement; being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 182.

R. W. Hollenberg and Frank Gaillet.

Commencing at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Tonquin street and the westerly line of Broderick street, and running thence westerly along the southerly line of Ton-

quin street a distance of 100 feet 0 inches; thence at right angles southerly a distance of 15 feet 7½ inches; thence at right angles easterly and parallel to the southerly line of Tonquin street a distance of 100 feet 0 inches to the westerly line of Broderick street; thence at right angles northerly along the westerly line of Broderick street a distance of 15 feet 7½ inches to the southerly line of Tonquin street and the point of commencement; being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 559.

George Nelson.

*Parcel 1.*

Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Tonquin street, distant thereon 100 feet 0 inches westerly from the westerly line of Broderick street, and running thence westerly along the southerly line of Tonquin street a distance of 37 feet 6 inches; thence at right angles southerly a distance of 15 feet 7½ inches; thence at right angles easterly and parallel to the southerly line of Tonquin street a distance of 37 feet 6 inches; thence at right angles northerly a distance of 15 feet 7½ inches to the southerly line of Tonquin street and the point of commencement; being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 559.

*Parcel 2.*

Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Tonquin street, distant thereon 130 feet 0 inches easterly from the easterly line of Baker street, and running thence easterly along the southerly line of Tonquin street a distance of 26 feet 3 inches; thence at right angles southerly a distance of 15 feet 7½ inches; thence at right angles westerly and parallel with the southerly line of Tonquin street a distance of 26 feet 3 inches; thence at right angles northerly a distance of 15 feet 7½ inches to the southerly line of Tonquin street and the point of commencement; being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 559.

Mary Nelson.

Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Tonquin street, distant thereon 137 feet 6 inches westerly from the westerly line of Broderick street, and running thence westerly along the southerly line of Tonquin street a distance of 50 feet 0 inches; thence at right angles southerly a distance of 15 feet 7½ inches; thence at right angles easterly a distance of 50 feet 0 inches; thence at right angles northerly a distance of 15 feet 7½ inches to the southerly line of Tonquin street and the point of commencement; being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 559.

Amalia S. Petterson.

*Parcel 1.*

Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Tonquin street, distant thereon 156 feet 3 inches easterly from the easterly line of Baker street, and running thence easterly along the southerly line of Tonquin street a distance of 18 feet 9 inches; thence at right angles southerly a distance of 15 feet 7½ inches; thence at right angles westerly and parallel to the southerly line of Tonquin street a distance of 18 feet 9 inches; thence at right angles northerly a distance of 15 feet 7½ inches to the southerly line of Tonquin street and the point of commencement; being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 559.

*Parcel 2.*

Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Tonquin street, distant thereon 175 feet 0 inches easterly from the easterly line of Baker street, and running thence easterly along the southerly line of Tonquin street a distance of 50 feet 0 inches; thence at right angles southerly a distance of 15 feet 7½ inches; thence at right angles westerly and parallel to the southerly line of Tonquin street a distance of 50 feet 0 inches; thence at right angles northerly a distance of 15 feet 7½ inches to the southerly line of Tonquin street and the point of commencement; being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 559.

E. M. H. Weber, Albert R. Herman,  
Olga H. Connor.

*Parcel 1.*

Commencing at the intersection of the easterly line of Baker street with the southerly line of Tonquin street, and running thence southerly along the said line of Baker street fifteen (15) feet seven and one-half (7½) inches; thence at right angles easterly and parallel with said line of Tonquin street one hundred and thirty (130) feet; thence at right angles northerly fifteen (15) feet seven and one-half (7½) inches to the said line of Tonquin street, and thence westerly along said line of Tonquin street to the point of commencement; being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 559.

*Parcel 2.*

Commencing at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Baker street with the southerly line of Tonquin street, and running thence southerly along said line of Baker street fifteen (15) feet seven and one-half (7½) inches; thence at right angles westerly and parallel with said line of Tonquin street to the easterly line of Lyon street; thence northerly along said line of Lyon street to its intersection with the said line of Tonquin street, and thence easterly along said line of Tonquin street to the point of

commencement; being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 562.

Said conveyances to be by grant, bargain and sale deed vesting good title to said property in the said grantee. Said conveyance is to be made upon the following conditions and to be void if all of said conditions are not complied with, to-wit:

1. That the City and County of San Francisco will within one year from the date hereof dedicate all of the said real property herein agreed to be conveyed as a part of a street or boulevard to be known as the Marina boulevard, which said boulevard shall also include all that portion of Tonquin street lying adjacent to said property, and will, without any expense whatever to the undersigned and within one year from the date hereof, do and perform the following work and improvements in and on said Marina boulevard from the easterly line of Presidio Military Reservation to the present westerly termination of the improvement of said boulevard at or about Scott street, to-wit:

(a) Pave said boulevard or cause it to be paved with standard asphalt pavement on concrete base, with the necessary curbs and gutters.

(b) Construct a sewer therein and connect it up properly with the present sewer already in the said improved portion of said boulevard east of Scott street and with any other city sewers or outlets to the Bay of San Francisco in the said boulevard that may be necessary to properly provide for sewerage in said section of said boulevard up to its westerly termination at the said line of Presidio Military Reservation.

(c) Construct curbs, sidewalks and other street appurtenances on both sides of the boulevard, substantially like in design and construction the curbs, sidewalks and appurtenances of the said boulevard east of Scott street.

(d) Remove the Belt Line Railway track of the Board of State Harbor Commissioners from its present position south of the south line Tonquin street in the proposed section of said boulevard between Scott street and the Presidio Military Reservation to a permanent position for the same north of the center line of said proposed boulevard, and so located as to be a continuation west of Scott street in a direct or straight line of the railway track of said Belt Line, now located in said boulevard east of Scott street.

2. That said City and County will secure, within one year from date hereof, for said purpose of a boulevard, similar dedications of all remaining real property to make the said boulevard of the uniform width

of one hundred (100) feet from said Scott street to said easterly line of the Presidio Military Reservation.

3. That said boulevard, when completed, shall be accepted by the said City and County as an accepted boulevard of said City and County.

4. That said proposed deed of conveyance from the undersigned to said City and County be deposited by the undersigned in escrow with the City Attorney of said City and County on condition that the same shall not be delivered to said City and County nor recorded till all of the above conditions and agreements on the part of the said City and County to be kept and performed are fully performed.

Upon being notified of the acceptance of this offer by resolution of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco the undersigned will sign a sufficient deed conveying to said City and County of San Francisco the above described property and deliver the same to said City Attorney in escrow, as aforesaid, for delivery to said City and County upon and subject to the conditions and for the uses and purposes aforesaid.

Provided that within said period of one year from the date hereof said work is actually commenced in good faith and reasonable progress is made by the City and County of San Francisco in its prosecution of said work, and the necessary money to complete all of the same is set aside by the Board of Supervisors in such manner as to insure the completion of all said work and of said boulevard, as above set forth, within two years from the date hereof, the undersigned will, in writing, on a proper showing to that effect, extend this offer for a further period of one year, but no longer.

Whereas, the City Attorney and City Engineer have recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the properties owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco, as per the terms set forth above; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties, and if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept deeds therefor in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, as per the terms of said offers.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.  
Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Mulvihill—3.

#### Method of Assessment Confirmed.

Supervisor Welch presented:  
Resolution No. 20453 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the method of assessment for the improvement of Valley street from the easterly line of Noe street to the westerly line of Castro street, determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No. 75153 (Second Series) be and the same is hereby confirmed.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.  
Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Mulvihill—3.

*Passed for Printing.*

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

#### Ordering Street Work.

On motion of Supervisor Welch:

Bill No. 6170, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 8, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be

charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The method of assessment for said work and improvement determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No. 75153 (Second Series) is hereby confirmed.

The improvement of *Valley street* from the easterly line of *Noe street* to the westerly line of *Castro street* by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of an eight (8) inch ironstone pipe sewer; 21 six (6) inch side sewers with accompanying ironstone pipe traps, four (4) inch risers and cast-iron frames and covers, 36 Y branches; by the construction of six (6) brick catch basins with cast-iron frames, gratings and traps, with accompanying ten (10) inch ironstone pipe culverts, three (3) on the crossing of *Valley street* and *Noe street*, and three (3) on the crossing of *Valley street* and *Costro street*; by the construction of a reinforced concrete stairway with coping and support walls on the southerly side of *Valley street* easterly from *Castro street*; and by the construction of a reinforced concrete retaining wall on the southerly side of *Valley street* westerly from *Noe street*.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### Award of Contract, Turkeys.

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 20454 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That California Poultry Company be and hereby is awarded a contract for furnishing turkeys at 45 cents per pound, for the period commencing November 15 and ending December 31, 1922, in strict conformity with its bid, submitted November 9, 1922; that all other bids therefor be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Mulvihill—3.

#### ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

##### Tower of Progress.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 20455 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the "Tower of Progress," situate at the Marina, having been temporarily erected during the period of the Panama Pacific Exposition, has admirably served its purpose, and now

stands in a dilapidated condition, unsightly to the visitor and a danger to life and limb; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Exposition Preservative League, in whom the tower is vested, is hereby respectfully requested to remove the same from said premises. Further

Resolved, That the said "Tower of Progress" should be removed within sixty days unless the Exposition Preservative League shall guarantee that it will be placed in permanent repair, as required by the City Engineer, and, in the event such assurance is not given, no further delay will be permitted, and this unsightly and dangerous monument must be removed.

Adopted under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Mulvihill—3.

##### Install Street Lights.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 20456 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company is hereby instructed to install, remove and move street lights as follows:

##### Install 400 M. R.

Northwest corner of *Pine* and *Scott* streets.

Church street between *Twenty-ninth* and *Valley* streets.

*Eighteenth* avenue between *Anza* and *Balboa* streets.

##### Remove 250 M. R.

*Fighteenth* avenue between *Anza* and *Balboa* streets.

##### Remove Gas Lamps.

*Pine* and *Scott* streets.

##### Move Gas Lamp.

North side of *Twenty-fourth* street, first west of *Hampshire* street, opposite 2764, to east property line, about six feet.

Adopted under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Mulvihill—3.

#### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at the hour of 6 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk.

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 13, 1922.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors December 11, 1922.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series), of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

**JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,**

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, November 20, 1922.

Wednesday, November 22, 1922.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



**THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY**  
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

J

MC

ci

p.

re

in

H

M

R

W

in

si

R

S

a

a

v

J

s

I

t

a

C

t

c

i

H

C

H

U

M

l

t

C

l

C

t

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 20, 1922, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, November 20, 1922, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of October 23, 1922, was considered read and *approved*.

### ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Death of Congressman John I. Nolan.

The following resolution was presented by Supervisor Shannon and *adopted unanimously by rising vote*:

Resolution No. 20460 (New Series), as follows:

The Board of Supervisors learns with deep regret of the death of Hon. John I. Nolan, Representative in Congress from the Fifth Congressional District. He was a former member of this Board of Supervisors, serving with ability and honor upon the Finance Committee.

As a member of this Board he brought to the performance of his duties rare characteristic fidelity and industry which the people of San Francisco recognized and, as a consequence, repeatedly selected him as Representative in the Congress of the United States.

Born in San Francisco, John I. Nolan was one of its most loyal sons. In the Board of Supervisors and in the House of Representatives he honorably and consistently sought to promote the interest and welfare of this city and its people.

Coming from the ranks of labor in the prime of life he served the whole

people in public office and in so doing won and retained the respect and confidence of the public.

Death has taken from the public service an earnest, industrious and high-minded citizen and official.

Resolved, That when this Board adjourns it does so in respect to the memory, high character and patriotism of John I. Nolan.

Further Resolved, That the Board sends an expression of sympathy to the widow and family of the deceased and that we express the prayer that universal sorrow and regret of the people of San Francisco at the death of Representative Nolan may in some measure assuage their grief.

### Motion.

*Supervisor Welch* moved that the Board of Supervisors attend the funeral in a body.

*Motion carried.*

Relative to Tuberculosis Hospital at Alameda.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

*Communication*—From Geo. E. Gross, County Clerk, Alameda County, requesting that San Francisco appoint a committee to confer with the Board of Supervisors of Alameda County on Thursday, November 23, 1922, at 10 o'clock, at meeting room of Board of Supervisors of Alameda County, in the Hall of Records at Oakland, on question of Tuberculosis Sanitarium at Livermore. A check in the sum of \$5,000 is to be mailed to the Board of Supervisors of Alameda County. It is suggested that instead of mailing it, same be handed over personally at the time of the conference.

Relative to Rincon Hill Regrade.

Clerk announced that a meeting was scheduled for Wednesday, November 22, 1922, at 2 p. m., in the matter of the proposed regrade of Rincon Hill.

*Supervisor McLeran* declared that he had a letter from Hobart Colwell in re negotiations for the reduction of Rincon Hill asking for a postponement of sixty days. Supervisor McLeran said that he would make that request on Wednesday.

Decoration of Honorable James Rolph, Jr., Mayor of San Francisco. With the insignia of the Order of the Crown of Italy.

*Supervisor Rossi:* Mr. Mayor, members of the Board of Supervisors, and ladies and gentlemen: I have the honor and the privilege of presenting to you today the Consul-General of Italy, Signor V. Fileti. In his official capacity at this port, Signor Fileti has endeared himself to all of Italian birth and all persons of Italian origin in San Francisco. In his record of achievement he has not alone honored himself but he has reflected credit upon the country he represents.

The Consul-General asks the opportunity today to appear before the Board of Supervisors to perform a duty to which he has been commissioned by the King of Italy. The King of Italy has honored our chief executive, and the Consul-General thought it would be proper and fitting that he should present the decoration bestowed by the King at this particular session.

In passing, I may say that we Italian-Americans are proud of our ancestry, for the reason that Italy has always played a very active and important part in world problems. Furthermore, Italy has given to the world some of our most noted men and women.

I now present to you the Consul-General of Italy, Commendatore Fileti. (Applause.)

*Presentation Address, by Commendatore V. Fileti, Consul-General of Italy at San Francisco.*

Among the many and varied privileges of a foreign consul none is more welcome or more pleasing than that which has fallen to my lot today—the privilege of expressing the high regard and appreciation of my government to the illustrious and beloved Mayor of this great and beautiful City.

Once before, during the recent visit of our Royal Ambassador, Rolandi-Ricci, I had the pleasure of expressing to you, Mr. Rolph, the sympathy and the gratitude which all the Italians of San Francisco bear towards you. Mine is now the honor to confirm that expression of sympathy and gratitude with a testimonial from his Majesty, the King of Italy.

Of all American cities, San Francisco, the gem of the Pacific, is without a doubt the one which has opened its welcoming arms to Italians with the greatest warmth and spontaneity.

Under your long and beneficent administration, free from the prejudices of race and partisanship, Italians have here, more than elsewhere, had the opportunity of proving their real worth

and of responding to your liberality by contributing generously to the progress and development of this charming city. Hence, without fear of erring, I can here affirm that the citizens of Italian birth in San Francisco are today reckoned among its faithful and most loyal citizens.

The echo of the spontaneous and sympathetic reception which, thanks to you, was so cordially extended to the generals of our victory, and to our Royal Navy, is still fresh in our Latin hearts. Still fresh, too, is the gratitude in our vibrant Italian souls for the encouragement which you have given our artists, who have had the good fortune of visiting San Francisco, the most Latin-like city in the United States.

My King then could not give better proof of his high admiration and appreciation for the City of San Francisco than by bestowing on you, its first citizen, the insignia of his order. I pray you to accept it with the same sentiments with which it is offered.

(The emblem of decoration was here pinned on the breast of Mayor Rolph.)

Permit me to say, also, that in honoring Mayor Rolph my country honors America, for I am sure there are few nations in the world which have greater regard and appreciation for this country than mine. I sincerely hope and trust that the ties of friendship that bind our two countries together may by this gracious act of my sovereign be made faster and stronger than ever, to the end that Italy and America may ever be found shoulder to shoulder in the vanguard of civilization. (Applause.)

*Address in Response, by Mayor James Rolph, Jr., of the City and County of San Francisco.*

Mr. Consul-General of Italy, Mr. Supervisor Rossi, my colleagues, and my fellow-citizens: I am deeply moved by the distinction and the great honor which comes to me this afternoon from his Majesty, the King of Italy, through his emissary, the Consul-General of Italy, stationed at San Francisco.

This decoration, Mr. Consul-General, which I understand distinguishes me as an officer of the Crown of Italy, comes to me, I know, largely by reason of the fact, as you have said in your beautiful speech of presentation, that I have been signally honored by my fellow-citizens of San Francisco, in that they have selected me to preside as the chief executive of this great City by the Golden Gate.

There is, as you say, a warmth of friendship, a warmth of feeling and regard existing between the people of this City and the people of that great

nation, Italy. Some how or other, as has been said, whether it be the sunny climate of Italy which matches the sunny climate of California, which seems to warm the hearts of the Italians and the Californians as well, or whether it be for some other reason, the two peoples seem to blend. And may I be reminiscent, and say to you that I think we never knew the Italian people of this City so intimately and so closely, nor did our feeling for them reach the point of such a real regard for them, as during the distressing days of 1906. And, Mr. Consul-General, we never tire, my colleagues on the Board never tire, the people of this City never tire, of referring to the confidence of the people of Italian birth and those of Italian origin, as they showed it when they took their money out of the banks, and, when others ran away, stayed right here, expending that money for the uplift and upbuilding of San Francisco, pledging their faith of optimism and confidence in the future of San Francisco.

We all know Italy. We learned of it with interest in our boyhood and girlhood days in our schools. But we were brought once more closely into touch with Italy during the days of our World's Exposition of 1915, when Italy, even then participating in the great World War, and afterwards to be one of our allies in arms, brought here her priceless treasures, brought here her art and her sculpture, sent us here her artists and her men of letters, yes, and sent here the Mayor of the great City of Rome, showing to the world in that great Exposition what Italy had done in the centuries gone by, and what Italy was doing and would continue to do in the years to come.

Italy, in the days of the great World's War, was the scene of acts to arouse our highest enthusiasm. We saw pictured, in our moving pictures, the great mountain recesses, and we gazed, awe-inspired, at the portrayals of the men of Italy swinging from rock to rock, where they stood like giants at the passes, guarding them so that the Austrians should not go over and into that sunny land of Italy. We know the manner of men and women that they are over in sunny Italy, and particularly is that true of the people of San Francisco.

I am indeed proud of this decoration that you have pinned upon my breast today. I would that it had been a decoration granted me as a man of valor, a man who really did fight through the great World War. I would that I had been a Mayor young enough,

not only to bid goodbye to the boys who did leave San Francisco to cross the Atlantic, but to have myself gone over there and fought with our boys and the Italian boys. (Applause.) But that was not to be accorded me, and so I stayed home and kept the home fires burning. We did the best we could do to encourage and spur on those youths who were over there fighting for democracy and fighting for the flags of the Allies.

Now, I know that this decoration comes to me really, as you say, as the representative of this great, friendly city to Italy. As the chief executive of the City, the man representing all of the people of San Francisco, you honor me. I am proud, Mr. Consul-General, to be the recipient of this badge of honor. I am proud to have been singled out by your King, his Majesty, the King of all the Italians. I dare say I am the only man in the United States, not of Italian birth, who has been thus signally honored. Will you please convey to his Majesty, the King, not only my own personal depth of gratitude, my great appreciation, but the fact that every member of this legislative council of our City, eighteen in all, join me in the expression—they, too, are proud to see me, as the Mayor, decorated today. They are proud to see this City that they represent with me thus signally honored. I know they feel, as do I, that this cements still more closely our two peoples, and accentuates the warmth of friendship, the warmth of regard, the fitting spirit of unitedness, which exists between Italy and California, and between Italy and San Francisco, more so, if that is possible, than ever before.

I thank you from the depths of my heart, and I ask that you carry this message back in your official capacity to his Majesty, the King of Italy, and also to convey our thanks to our friend, the Italian Ambassador, Rolandi-Ricci. And to you, sir, we are glad that you are here in San Francisco as the representative of your Government. As Mr. Rossi has said, we know you as a distinguished Italian, a man of splendid personality. You have warmed yourself into the hearts of all the people of this City, and we hope you will be stationed here for many years to come. (Applause.)

#### REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.

The following committees, by their respective chairmen, presented reports on various matters referred, which reports were read and ordered *filed*:

Supplies Committee, by Supervisor Rossi, chairman.

Fire Committee, by Supervisor Deasy, chairman.

Public Buildings Committee, by Supervisor Scott, chairman.

Report of Joint Committee on Finance, Streets and Commercial Development on Highway Down the Peninsula.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Nov. 20, 1922.

To the Board of Supervisors:

Your Joint Committee on Finance, Streets and Commercial Development, to which was referred the report of the Board of Directors of the Joint Highway District, comprising the counties of San Mateo and San Francisco, and the ordinance approving of such report, submits the following:

That said Joint Committee, comprising Supervisors McLeran, McGregor, Rossi, Colman, Mulvihill, Robb and Scott, recommends that the ordinance approving the report of the Board of Directors of said Joint Highway District be indefinitely postponed, Supervisors Hayden and Welch voting no.

That your Joint Committee unanimously recommends the adoption of the accompanying resolution reiterating its position in joining with the Board of Supervisors of San Mateo County for the purpose of constructing a highway in San Mateo County.

Respectfully submitted,

R. McLERAN,  
JOHN A. MCGREGOR,  
W. T. SCOTT,  
JOSEPH MULVIHILL,  
JESSE C. COLMAN,  
FRANK ROBB,  
ANGELO J. ROSSI.

Telegram.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Redwood City, Cal., Nov. 20, 1922.

J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk, Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Cal.

The Board of Supervisors of this county this morning adopted an ordinance approving the report of the Board of Directors of proposed Joint Highway District, comprising the counties of San Francisco and San Mateo, for the purpose of constructing a public highway in said counties.

ELIZABETH M. NASH,

Clerk, Board of Supervisors, San Mateo County.

Read and ordered *spread in Journal*.

Discussion: Supervisors Welch, Hayden, McLeran, Schmitz, Powers, McSheehy.

Indefinite Postponement.

Whereupon, the following bill was, on motion, *indefinitely postponed*, by the following vote:

Bill No. , Ordinance No. - - - (New Series), as follows:

Approving the report of the Board of Directors of a proposed Joint Highway District, comprising the counties of

San Francisco and San Mateo, for the purpose of constructing a public highway in said counties and permanently organizing such district.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that pursuant to the provisions of an act entitled "An Act providing for the creation, organization and government of Joint Highway Districts composed of two or more counties of the State of California," certain proceedings have been had and taken by the counties of San Francisco and San Mateo for the purpose of creating a Joint Highway District for the construction of a public highway within said counties and for their joint benefit, and that all the things required by said act to be performed in respect to the creation of such district have been so performed in form, time and manner as therein required, and that a report of the Board of Directors of said proposed Joint Highway District was, on the 6th day of November, 1922, filed with the Boards of Supervisors of each of said counties, which said report contains and sets forth all of the matters, things, findings and determinations required by said act and reference thereto for further particulars is here made.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby approves and adopts the report of the Board of Directors of said proposed Joint Highway District and approves the creation of such district.

Section 3. The Clerk of this Board is directed to transmit a certified copy of this ordinance to the Board of Supervisors of San Mateo County.

Section 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—16.

Noes—Supervisors Hayden, Welch—2.

Explanation of Vote.

*Supervisor Hayden:* Madame Chairman and Members of the Board: As one of the Commisioners of the Joint Highway District, of which you have heard a great deal today in connection with this report, I desire to say that I accept the recommendation of the majority of the Committee without any feeling, although I vote *no*. And in explanation of that vote I want to say that, in joining with the County of San Mateo upon the question, we made a unanimous report, made unanimous by the vote of both the San Mateo County presentatives and the representatives of San Francisco, and I could not in good faith repudiate that

report. A highway down the Peninsula and a bridge across the bay are well known to us all as very desirable, in fact, as a necessity. There is no question about that. The fact that the people of San Francisco, at the last election, voted almost 2 to 1—

*Supervisor Welch* (interrupting): Over 2 to 1.

*Supervisor Hayden* (continuing): Over 2 to 1, then, on a Charter amendment that gave the City and County of San Francisco the right to build highways into an adjoining county, shows the sentiment of the people in that connection. The fact of the matter is that our community is an automobile-riding community, and I think I am safe in saying that the vast majority of the people living in San Francisco are in the habit of riding in automobiles, and very many of them own automobiles, from the less pretentious Ford up to the very exclusive limousines. The only opportunity for the use of those machines is down the peninsula. Very naturally there is not much room for their use in a city of less than forty-nine square miles in area. They must go down the peninsula, then. And it is needless for me to dwell upon the crowded condition of the peninsula highway, for that is well known to practically every man, woman and child who goes down there on busy days. This movement, then, is an attempt to relieve the congestion, to break the neck of the bottle, and this Committee has been working assiduously, day in and day out, for many months, to come to some conclusion or determination whereby some relief may be brought to us from that congestion existing down the peninsula—and I say to you that it was no easy task to get the representatives of San Mateo County into line and to vote for this report. But it was finally agreed upon, and that agreement was reached only after the representatives of San Mateo County had acceded to that bridge being built over the bay from a point somewhere near the Dumbarton cut-off. Now, the report comes in unanimously signed, and as one of the Committee, I am in honor bound to sustain my signature to it. We seem to break on the rock of finance. It seems to be a question now of the responsibility of San Francisco, and the willingness of San Francisco to assume a financial burden running over a period of some twenty years, representing in all the sum of \$6,600,000. And turning again to the result of the vote of last Tuesday, I say that that great responsibility has been approved by the people of San Francisco. The judgment of the majority of this Board shall prevail, of course, and the Board will vote down the report of the Joint Commission.

There is no doubt of it. That is their judgment, and we shall respect the judgment of the Board as to the best interests of the people of San Francisco. But my fear has been, Madame Chairman, and members of the Board, that in doing this the feeling would go abroad that San Francisco is not interested in building a highway down the peninsula, that the prosecution of the work and the negotiations started by this Commission suddenly stopped and abandoned altogether. But after our conference today, and after a very close conference, and a very intimate understanding of the subject matter, following the discussions of several days, I was assured, and I know, later a resolution will be submitted by this same Committee—

*Supervisor McLeran* (interrupting): Immediately afterwards.

*Supervisor Hayden*: Immediately afterwards, then, assuring the people of San Mateo County and of the City and County of San Francisco that this Board will keep its word in the carrying out of appropriating \$225,000 for preliminary survey and rights of way, making possible the building of a road that will go down into San Mateo County, and possibly from San Mateo to Beresford, and that much, of course, is an encouragement to me as a member of the Highway Commission. The other features of building further, building bridges, will, of course, have to be met when the time comes. In other words, we will have to cross that bridge when we come to it. Evidently the Board is not ready to cross that bridge at this time. But I wish to say, Madame Chairman, as I said at the outset, I shall sustain with my vote my report as a member of the Highway Commission, and later I shall vote for another resolution that will come in from this same Committee, feeling when I do that the idea of building a highway down the peninsula will eventually be built, I shall vote *no*.

*Supervisor Welch* reserved the right to file a statement.

Subsequently, the following was presented and ordered *spread in the Journal*:

#### Statement for the Record.

A proposed resolution embodying the sentiments of Supervisors Welch and Hayden, members of the Highway District comprised of San Francisco and San Mateo counties:

San Francisco, Nov. 20, 1922.

To the Board of Supervisors:

The undersigned, members of the foregoing Joint Committee, submit the following:

That we recommend for passage by this Board of the ordinance approving the report of the Board of Directors

of the Joint Highway District, comprising San Mateo and San Francisco counties. That upon such approval the following resolution be adopted:

Whereas, it has been called to the attention of this Board that certain parties have indicated an intention to construct a bridge across the southern arm of San Francisco Bay and stand ready to finance the same without delay, provided no competitive action is fostered; now, therefore,

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that the representatives of said City and County of San Francisco on the Board of Directors of the Joint Highway District, comprising San Francisco and San Mateo counties, and constituting a majority of said board, be and they are hereby instructed to delay all action in relation to the construction of Unit No. 5, described in the report of the said Board of Directors, comprising a bridge and highway leading thereto, until an abundant opportunity has been given to those interested in the construction of the bridge mentioned in the preamble hereto to construct the same; also that said directors be directed to give immediate attention to the construction of a highway from South San Francisco to the Beresford road, with such improvements between South San Francisco and the County Line as may be urgent, and to impose no assessment for any other purpose except as may be hereafter directed by this Board.

Respectfully submitted,

RICHARD, J. WELCH,  
J. EMMET HAYDEN.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 20492 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby reiterates its position in joining with the Board of Supervisors of San Mateo County and continuing the work of the Joint Highway District for the purpose of building a highway in San Mateo County and further guarantees the sum of \$225,000 for preliminary surveys and purchase of rights of way and for plans and specifications; also further

Resolved, That this Board will do everything in its power to get financial aid from the State of California at the next meeting of the Legislature for the highway and the building of a bridge at the southerly arm of the bay.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch Wetmore—18.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

### Authorizations.

Resolution No. 20461 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

#### *Tearing-Up Streets Fund.*

(1) J. E. French Co., one Dodge roadster, Sewer Repair Department, Board of Public Works (claim dated Oct. 30, 1922), \$1,015.

#### *Special School Tax, 1921-1922.*

(2) Butte Electric & Mfg. Co., fourth payment, electrical work, Emerson School (claim dated Nov. 1, 1922), \$1,095.

(3) A. Lettich, first payment, plumbing, Horace Mann School (claim dated Nov. 1, 1922), \$2,319.83.

(4) John Reid, Jr., final payment, architectural service, temporary buildings, Mission High and Humboldt Evening High Schools (claim dated Nov. 1, 1922), \$513.76.

(5) Joseph Greenback, fourth payment, lathing, plastering and cement, Emerson School (claim dated Nov. 1, 1922), \$1,610.99.

#### *Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(6) General Electric Co., second payment, generators for Moccasin Creek power plant, Hetch Hetchy construction, Contract No. 80 (claim dated Oct. 27, 1922), \$11,205.27.

(7) American Brake Shoe and Foundry Co., railway brake shoes (claim dated Oct. 30, 1922), \$1,250.99.

(8) Eccles & Smith Co. Inc., one Buda motor car (claim dated Oct. 30, 1922), \$682.03.

(9) The Gladium Co. Inc., tool steel (claim dated Oct. 30, 1922), \$556.17.

(10) The Giant Powder Co., Con., gelatin and blasting powder (claim dated Oct. 30, 1922), \$3,354.45.

(11) Hercules Powder Co., Hercules gelatin, etc. (claim dated Oct. 30, 1922), \$4,588.51.

(12) Mark-Lally Co., black iron pipe (claim dated Oct. 30, 1922), \$2,925.37.

(13) Myers-Whaley Co. Inc., machine parts (claim dated Oct. 30, 1922), \$804.70.

(14) The A. Meister Sons Co., motor car passenger body to order (claim dated Oct. 30, 1922), \$3,711.86.

(15) McRoskey & Co., hair mattresses (claim dated Oct. 30, 1922), \$839.14.

(16) Pioneer Rubber Mills, belting

and hose (claim dated Oct. 30, 1922), \$827.98.

(17) Standard Oil Co. Inc., fuel oil, etc. (claim dated Oct. 30, 1922), \$1,440.33.

(18) Standard Oil Co. Inc., fuel oil, etc. (claim dated Oct. 30, 1922), \$2,001.77.

(19) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premiums, Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Oct. 30, 1922), \$11,262.57.

(20) Robert M. Searls, Special Counsel's Hetch Hetchy Revolving Fund expenditures, per attached vouchers (claim dated Oct. 30, 1922), \$2,695.

(21) Sherry Bros. Inc., butter (claim dated Oct. 30, 1922), \$1,529.83.

(22) Sullivan Machinery Co., machine parts (claim dated Oct. 30, 1922), \$966.81.

(23) Sussman, Wormser & Co., groceries (claim dated Oct. 30, 1922), \$596.01.

(24) George H. Tay Co., boilers, etc. (claim dated Oct. 30, 1922), \$585.41.

(25) United States Steel Products Co., wire bolts, etc. (claim dated Oct. 30, 1922), \$1,126.96.

(26) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., two motors and parts (claim dated Oct. 30, 1922), \$573.02.

(27) The White Co., tires, wheels, etc. (claim dated Oct. 30, 1922), \$502.29.

(28) Westinghouse Pacific Coast Brake Co., electric parts (claim dated Oct. 30, 1922), \$647.43.

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(29) P. J. Enright, first and final payments, heating and ventilating Hancock School (claim dated Nov. 1, 1922), \$1,345.

(30) Joseph Greenback, first payment, lathing and plastering Columbus School addition (claim dated Nov. 1, 1922), \$2,274.90.

(31) A. Lettich, third payment, plumbing in Yerba Buena School (claim dated Nov. 1, 1922), \$1,399.65.

(32) Standard Electric Construction Co., sixth payment, electric work, Mission High School addition (claim dated Nov. 1, 1922), \$2,625.

(33) The Turner Co., final payment, electric work, Spring Valley School (claim dated Nov. 1, 1922), \$790.

(34) United Materials Co., first payment, roofing North Beach (Galileo) High School (claim dated Nov. 1, 1922), \$4,480.32.

*Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.*

(35) American Car Co., freight prepaid on 20 car trucks for Municipal Railways (claim dated Oct. 27, 1922), \$1,040.

*Municipal Railway Fund.*

(36) American Brake Shoe and Foundry Co., steel brake shoes for

Municipal Railways (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$1,221.80.

(37) Treasurer of City and County, to balance switching expense over Ocean Shore R. R. tracks (claim dated Nov. 1, 1922), \$1,000.

*General Fund, 1922-1923.*

(38) C. Naumann & Co., vegetables, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$983.26.

(39) Smith, Lynden & Co., groceries, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Sept. 30, 1922), \$1,555.46.

(40) Spring Valley Water Co., water through Fire Department hydrants (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$13,103.40.

(41) Standard Oil Co., gasoline, etc., Fire Department (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$1,320.28.

(42) The San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated Nov. 6, 1922), \$1,000.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Morgan, Robb, Shannon, Welch—4.

*Appropriation, \$7,500, Street Signs.*

Resolution No. 20462 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$7,500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Budget Item No. 323 (Street Signs), Fiscal Year 1922-1923, for the furnishing, delivering and erecting of 416 street signs in accordance with contract awarded Louis J. Cohn at \$7,196.80; and maintenance and repairs at \$303.20.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Morgan, Robb, Shannon, Welch—4.

*Appropriation, \$750, San Francisco Convention and Tourist League.*

Resolution No. 20463 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$750 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Publicity and Advertising," Budget Item No. 551, and authorized in payment to the San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, for publicity and advertising expense.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Morgan, Robb, Shannon, Welch—4.

*Appropriation, \$3,000, Publicity and Advertising, School Bond Issue.*

Resolution No. 20464 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$3,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Publicity and Advertising, Budget Item No. 551, Fiscal Year 1922-1923, for expense of publicity and advertising in connection with proposed bond issue for school buildings.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Wetmore—14.

Absent Supervisors Morgan, Robb, Shannon, Welch—4.

#### Explanation of Vote.

*Supervisor Hynes:* I want to take the same position on No. 6 I took before, to take money out of the City Treasury to advertise private projects. I want to make this statement and ask that it be made a part of the record. Fundamentally, I am in favor of the construction of school houses. I think San Francisco should have schools—and this on the eve of the election—but I am opposed to saddling on the people of San Francisco \$12,000,000 for which they receive nothing in the way of interest on bonds, when a special tax on the pay-as-you-go basis would have obviated that additional expense.

*Supervisor McSheehy:* I am voting no, and I wish to insert in the record the same explanation made before:

#### McSheehy's Statement for Record.

On September 5, 1922, as a member of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I voted "No" on the tax rate for the fiscal year of 1922 and 1923, and on final passage of this tax rate on this, the 18th day of September, 1922, I wish to qualify my vote "No" and have same printed and inserted in the record as follows, to-wit:

1. On May 18, 1922, a budget of five hundred and fifty items was passed, calling for an expenditure of \$24,892,678.

The Park Fund was allowed an increase of \$225,000—almost 70%.

I asked to cut this item \$100,000, also five other items, making a total of \$189,350, which would amount to three cents on the tax rate.

2. Funds collected from other sources than direct taxation on real and personal property have been under-estimated to the amount of:

Year:	
1917-1918 .....	\$ 183,620.00
1918-1919 .....	432,618.00
1919-1920 .....	280,498.00
1920-1921 .....	562,576.00
1921-1922 .....	530,576.00
Total (5 years) .....	\$1,989,888.00
Average .....	\$ 397,977.00

Anditor's estimate of funds to be collected this year—1922-1923 ... \$3,837,320.00  
Finance Committee estimate .....

3,383,000.00

Difference .....

\$ 454,320.00  
This will amount to 7½ cents on tax rate plus 3 cents on other items, making a total of 11½ cents that the present tax rate can be cut.

As one member of this Board, I feel and know that this city can be run on a \$3.35½ tax rate instead of \$3.47, without impairing the efficiency of this city government in any manner or form.

#### Authorizations.

Resolution No. 20465 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

#### Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(1) Construction Company of North America, ninth payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels, Mountain Division, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Nov. 6, 1922), \$24,545.45.

(2) Grant Smith & Co., third payment, Pulgas tunnel construction (claim dated Nov. 6, 1922), \$30,766.01.

(3) Utah Construction Co., thirty-sixth payment, construction Hetch Hetchy dam and appurtenances (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$237,501.02.

(4) Anglo-California Trust Co. of San Francisco, assignee of United Commercial Co., Hetch Hetchy railway material (claim dated Nov. 6, 1922), \$2,925.68.

(5) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., hardware (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$1,002.49.

(6) California Steam & Plumbing Supply Co., gate valves, etc. (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$538.95.

(7) Frank M. Cobbledick Co., machine bearings (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$518.64.

(8) William Cluff Co., groceries (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$1,135.06.

(9) L. Dinkelspiel Co. Inc., drygoods (claim dated Nov. 6, 1922), \$657.28.

(10) First National Bank of Sonora, taxes on city-owned property in Tuolumne County, California (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$6,666.26.

(11) Goodyear Rubber Co., rubber goods (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$609.95.

(12) Hercules Powder Co., gelatin powder (claim dated Nov. 6, 1922), \$4,875.

(13) Ingersoll-Rand Co., machine parts (claim dated Nov. 6, 1922), \$2,311.36.

(14) Joshua Hendy Iron Works, car

wheels and car parts (claim dated Nov. 6, 1922), \$1,001.70.

(15) Myers-Whaley Co. Inc., shoveling machine chain and parts (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$2,816.63.

(16) Meese & Gottfried Co., conveying machine parts (claim dated Nov. 6, 1922), \$1,553.61.

(17) Northwestern Pacific Railroad Co., rent of locomotive (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$665.

(18) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$6,781.89.

(19) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers attached (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$1,026.64.

(20) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers attached (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$1,627.03.

(21) Standard Oil Co. Inc., fuel oil, etc. (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$1,958.53.

(22) Sierra Railway Co. of Cal., depreciated value of cars destroyed by fire on Hetch Hetchy railroad (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$5,520.07.

(23) George H. Tay Co., boilers, fittings, etc. (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$1,731.20.

(24) The Utah Construction Co., for cement destroyed in Hetch Hetchy railroad wreck Sept. 12 (claim dated Nov. 6, 1922), \$5,084.78.

(25) Wilsey-Bennett Co., eggs (claim dated Nov. 6, 1922), \$1,040.53.

(26) Standard Oil Co. Inc., fuel oil, etc. (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$2,155.88.

#### *Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.*

(27) American Car Co., third payment, Municipal Railway car bodies (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$38,902.50.

(28) Continental Construction Co., final payment for installation of poles and conductors on Taraval street line (claim dated Nov. 6, 1922), \$993.77.

(29) Eaton & Smith, acceptance and final payments, construction of Taraval street line of Municipal Railways, Contract 130 (claim dated Nov. 6, 1922), \$1,496.05.

#### *School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(30) Fourth payment, heating and ventilating Mission High School Addition (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$2,924.79.

(31) The Etherton Co., tenth payment, general construction of Adams School Addition (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$1,565.24.

(32) Butte Electric Equipment Co., third payment, electric work, North Beach (Galileo) High School (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$2,519.80.

(33) O. Monson, second payment, general construction of Andrew Jack-

son School (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$17,355.

(34) C. Peterson Co., sixth payment, heating and ventilating North Beach (Galileo) High School (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$1,852.30.

#### *Special School Tax.*

(35) James F. Smith, third payment, furring, lathing and plastering Mission High School Addition (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$11,004.38.

(36) Anderson & Ringrose, first payment, general construction of Horace Mann School (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$24,715.50.

(37) C. L. Wold, second payment, general construction of Pacific Heights School (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$5,079.37.

#### *General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(38) Anderson & Ringrose, first and final payment, general construction of James Lick Playground (claim dated Oct. 18, 1922), \$5,657.

#### *General Fund, 1922-1923.*

(39) The Recorder Printing & Publishing Co., printing and publishing Law-Motion-Trial Calendar, etc. (claim dated Nov. 13, 1922), \$665.

(40) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated Nov. 10, 1922), \$10,822.59.

(41) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated Nov. 10, 1922), \$987.50.

(42) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated Nov. 10, 1922), \$8,478.08.

(43) J. E. O'Mara, second payment, plumbing and heating in Fire Dept. Engine House No. 39 (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$1,029.37.

(44) Robert Trost, third payment, general construction of Fire Dept. Engine House No. 39 (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$2,318.78.

(45) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors committed (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$696.66.

(46) Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$1,527.77.

(47) Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$665.82.

(48) Boy's Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$1,157.49.

(49) St. Vincent's School, Marin County, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$1,629.41.

(50) St. Mary's Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$612.50.

(51) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$2,720.49.

(52) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$7,875.40.

(53) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$3,828.50.

(54) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$15,615.74.

(55) St. Catherine's Training Home, maintenance of minors at Magdalen Asylum (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$664.51.

(56) Fred L. Hilmer Co., eggs, Relief Home (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$596.40.

(57) Producers Hay Co., alfalfa, Relief Home (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$914.16.

(58) Sherry Bros., butter and cheese, Relief Home (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$783.12.

(59) Sperry Flour Co., flour, Relief Home (claim dated Oct. 30, 1922), \$855.

(60) California Meat Co., meats, Relief Home (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$1,201.77.

(61) Baumgarten Bros., meats, Relief Home (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$1,204.73.

(62) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, publicity and advertising (claim dated Nov. 13, 1922), \$5,000.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Wetmore—14.

Noes—Supervisors Hynes and McSheehy (on Item No. 62 only.)

Absent—Supervisors Morgan, Robb, Shannon, Welch—4.

Appropriation, \$1,725, Rosa Costa, Land Required for Pioneer Park.

Resolution No. 20466 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$1,725 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Telegraph Hill Improvement," Budget Item No. 66, fiscal year 1922-1923, and authorized in payment to Rosa Costa; being payment for property required for extension of Pioneer Park and construction of Telegraph Hill boulevard, in accordance with acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 20433 (New Series) (claim dated Nov. 9, 1922).

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Morgan, Robb, Shannon, Welch—4.

Authorization, \$963.75, Electric Work, Crocker-Amazon School.

Resolution No. 20467 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$963.75 be and the same is hereby authorized expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918 in payment to F. E. Newberry Company as final pay-

ment for electric work on Crocker-Amazon School (claim dated September 6, 1922).

(Passed for printing September 11, 1922, and withheld from being finally passed by Board September 18, 1922.)

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Morgan, Robb, Shannon, Welch—4.

#### Permits.

Resolution No. 20468 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

#### Public Garage.

J. E. French Company (Dodge motor cars), at the northeast corner of Polk and O'Farrell streets; also to store 1200 gallons of gasoline on premises.

#### Automobile Parking Station.

Jeff G. Bauer and J. J. Hare, at 78-80 82 Sutter street. The provisions of Ordinance No. 3108 (New Series) must be strictly complied with.

#### Hand Laundry.

E. Bordegary, on the south side of O'Farrell street, 91 feet 6 inches east of Larkin street.

#### Oil Storage Tank.

Gorman Metal Co., at 785 Bryant street; 1500 gallons capacity.

H. H. Zellerbach, at 3340 Clay street; 600 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Morgan, Robb, Shannon, Welch—4.

#### Boiler and Oil Permits.

Resolution No. 20469 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

#### Boiler.

Cadwallader Gibson Co., at Fifth and Bluxome streets, 40 horsepower, for furnishing steam for drying lumber.

#### Oil Storage Tank.

Emma Meyer, on south side of Pine street, 30 feet west of Stockton street; 1500 gallons capacity.

E. W. Brown, at 9 Fifth avenue; 1500 gallons capacity.

Mirasson & Barriell, at 2409 Sacramento street; 1500 gallons capacity.

Charles A. Johnson, on south side of Geary street, 137½ feet west of Hyde street; 1500 gallons capacity.

John Tait, Inc., at 30 Turk street; 1500 gallons capacity.

T. F. Emley, at southwest corner of Fifth avenue and Cornwall street; 1500 gallons capacity.

St. James School, at northwest corner of Twenty-third and Fair Oaks streets; 1500 gallons capacity.

E. Schilling, at 2232 Webster street; 600 gallons capacity.

Cadwallader Gibson Co., at southeast corner of Fifth and Bluxome streets; 1500 gallons capacity.

H. H. Helbush, at 312 Mason street; 1500 gallons capacity.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Morgan, Robb, Shannon, Welch—4.

#### Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 20470 (New Series), as follows:

Granting Healy-Tibbitts Construction Co. permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts at northeast corner of Second and Harrison streets for grading purposes, providing said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of \$25,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works, and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction and under the supervision of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by the said Healy-Tibbitts Construction Company, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Morgan, Robb, Shannon, Welch—4.

#### Stable Permit.

Resolution No. 20471 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted R. E. Wilburn to maintain a stable for 35 horses at 317 Broderick street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit becomes null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Morgan, Robb, Shannon, Welch—4.

#### Permit to Construct Retaining Wall, Stairs and Terrace Slopes.

Resolution No. 20472 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Irene Muzzy Lansburgh, Lou Henry Hoover and George T. Marye, Jr., to construct a retaining wall, stairs and terrace slopes, etc., on the southerly nine feet of the southerly sidewalk area of Broadway, extending from Baker to Lyon streets.

The plans and specifications for the construction of the retaining wall, stairs and terrace slopes, etc., to be approved by the Board of Public Works.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Morgan, Robb, Shannon, Welch—4.

#### Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 6170, Ordinance No. 5747 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 8, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be

charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The method of assessment for said work and improvement determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No. 75153 (Second Series) is hereby confirmed.

The improvement of *Valley street* from the easterly line of *Noe street* to the westerly line of *Castro street* by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of an eight (8) inch ironstone pipe sewer; 21 six (6) inch side sewers with accompanying ironstone pipe traps, four (4) inch risers and cast-iron frames and covers, 36 Y branches; by the construction of six (6) brick catch basins with cast-iron frames, gratings and traps, with accompanying ten (10) inch ironstone pipe culverts, three (3) on the crossing of *Valley street* and *Noe street*, and three (3) on the crossing of *Valley street* and *Costro street*; by the construction of a reinforced concrete stairway with coping and support walls on the southerly side of *Valley street* easterly from *Castro street*; and by the construction of a reinforced concrete retaining wall on the southerly side of *Valley street* westerly from *Noe street*.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Morgan, Robb, Shannon, Welch—4.

#### Southern Pacific Spur Track.

Pill No. 6168, Ordinance No. 5748 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to the Southern Pacific Company, its successors or assigns, to construct, maintain and operate certain industrial spur tracks upon *First street*, *Bryant street* and *Beale street*, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Southern Pacific Company, its successors or assigns, to construct, maintain and operate certain industrial spur tracks upon and along the following streets, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the westerly line of *First street*, said point being distant southerly 325 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of *Braman street*; thence in a northerly direction and diagonally along *First street* to a point distant 110 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of *Braman street*, and 40 feet, more or

less, from the westerly line of *First street*; thence on a curve to the left to a point on the southerly line of *Braman street*, said point being distant 35 feet, more or less, westerly from the easterly line of *First street*; thence across *Braman street* to a point in the northerly line of *Braman street*, said point being 38 feet, more or less, westerly from the easterly line of *First street*; thence on a curve to the right to a point in the center line of *First street*; thence northerly along the center line of *First street* to a point distant southerly 138 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of *Bryant street*; thence on a curve to the right, said curve having a radius of 191.53 feet, 135 feet, more or less, to the easterly line of *First street*, said point being distant southerly 17 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of *Bryant street*; thence continuing on said curve across Southern Pacific Company property to a point on the southerly line of *Bryant street*, said point being distant easterly 16 feet, more or less, from the easterly line of *First street*; thence continuing on said curve to a point in *Bryant street*, said point being distant southerly 25 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of *Bryant street* and distant westerly 125 feet, more or less, from the west line of *Fremont street*; thence easterly along *Bryant street* parallel to said northerly line 65 feet, more or less; thence on a curve to the right, said curve having a radius of 250 feet, a distance of 31 feet, more or less; thence easterly along *Bryant street*, crossing *Fremont street*, to a point in *Bryant street*, said point being distant easterly 82 feet, more or less, from the east line of *Fremont street*, and distant northerly 30 feet, more or less, from the south line of *Bryant street*; thence on a curve to the left, said curve having a radius of 250 feet, for a distance of 31 feet, more or less, to a point, said point being distant easterly 113 feet, more or less, from the east line of *Fremont street* and distant northerly 25 feet, more or less, from the south line of *Bryant street*; thence easterly and parallel to the southerly line of *Bryant street* a distance of 10 feet, more or less; thence on a curve to the left, said curve having a radius of 250 feet, a distance of 70 feet, more or less, to a point in *Bryant street*, said point being distant southerly 45 feet, more or less, from the north line of *Bryant street*, and distant westerly 80 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of *Beale street*; thence on a curve to the left, having a radius of 173.77 feet, a distance of 85 feet, more or less, to a point on the northerly line of *Bryant street*, said point being distant west-

erly 6 feet, more or less, from the west line of Beale street; thence continuing on said curve across private property to a point on the westerly line of Beale street, said point being distant northerly 8 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Bryant street; thence continuing on said curve to a point in Beale street, said point being distant westerly 40 feet, more or less, from the easterly line of Beale street and distant northerly 75 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Bryant street; thence on a curve to the left, having a radius of 250 feet, for a distance of 70 feet, more or less, to a point in Beale street, said point being distant westerly 30 feet, more or less, from the easterly line of Beale street and northerly 145 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Bryant street; thence northerly along Beale street to a point, said point being distant westerly 30 feet, more or less, from the easterly line of Beale street and northerly 155 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Bryant street; thence on a curve to the left, having a radius of 250 feet, for a distance of 31 feet, more or less, to a point; thence westerly along Beale street a distance of 49 feet, more or less, to a point, said point being easterly 43 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of Beale street and distant northerly 240 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Bryant street; thence on a curve to the right, having a radius of 250 feet, a distance of 31 feet, more or less, to a point in the center line of Beale street, said point being northerly 270 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Bryant street; thence northerly along the center line of Beale street, crossing Harrison street, to a point in the center line of Beale street, said point being distant southeasterly 100 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Folsom street; thence northwesterly along said center line of Beale street 60 feet; thence on a curve to the left having a radius of 250 feet for a distance of 74 feet, more or less, to a point in Folsom street and Beale street; thence diagonally across Folsom street in a northwesterly direction 55 feet, more or less, to a point, said point being on the north line of Folsom street and 20 feet northeasterly from the westerly line of Beale street; thence on a curve to the right having a radius of 250 feet for a distance of 74.0 feet, more or less, to a point, said point being 10 feet northeasterly from the westerly line of Beale street and 75.0 feet northwesterly from the northerly line of Folsom street; thence northwesterly along Beale street and 10.0 feet distant northeasterly from westerly line of

Beale street, crossing Howard street to a point on southerly line of Mission street.

Also beginning at a point on the easterly line of Beale street, said point being distant southerly 100 feet, more or less, from the south line of Folsom street; thence on a curve to the right, said curve having a radius of 250 feet for a distance of 31 feet, more or less; thence in a northerly direction crossing Folsom street to a point on the easterly line of Beale street, said point being distant northerly 85 feet, more or less, from the north line of Folsom street.

Also beginning at a point in Beale street, said point being distant southerly 35 feet, more or less, from the south line of Folsom street, and 30 feet, more or less, from the easterly line of Beale street; thence on a curve to the right (the point of beginning of said curve forms an angle of 14 deg. 20 min. with a line drawn through said point and parallel with the center line of Beale street), said curve having a radius of 250 feet for a distance of 31 feet, more or less; thence in an easterly direction crossing Folsom street to a point on the north line of Folsom street, said point being easterly 15 feet, more or less, from the east line of Beale street.

Also beginning at a point in Beale street on the northerly line of Folsom street, said point being 14.0 feet, more or less, southwesterly from the easterly line of Beale street; thence on a curve to the left having a radius of 250 feet for a distance of 40.0 feet, more or less, to a point in Beale street 10.0 feet distant southwesterly from the easterly line of Beale street and 40 feet, more or less, northwesterly from the northerly line of Folsom street; thence northwesterly along Beale street and 10.0 feet distant southwesterly from the easterly line of Beale street, crossing Howard street to a point in the southerly line of Mission street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted, and shall be construed as part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement, and any additional requirements for the surface drainage

be paid for by the Southern Pacific Company.

Provided further, that the sidewalk widths on Beale street between Folsom street and Bryant street be reduced to ten feet; any expense due to this change of sidewalk widths being borne by the Southern Pacific Company; also that the fire cistern at Beale street and Howard street be reinforced, and that care be taken to protect all high pressure lines; also, that girder rails be used throughout, and that all pavements disturbed be reconstructed; all work to be done under the supervision and to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works; also, that the spur track be terminated at a point 100 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Mission street, in order to keep the approaches from Mission street into Beale street clear all the time.

Section 2. Said tracks shall be used for the transportation of freight only, and shall not be used as a main line or a part thereof.

Said tracks shall be laid level with the street and must be operated under such restrictions as to interfere to the least possible degree with the use of said streets by the public.

The Southern Pacific Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps, to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Provided, however, that it is the intention of this Board that said track shall be equally available to all railroads, but in order to promote safety and economy in the operation thereof it is hereby expressly provided that the State Belt Railway, or any railroad operating in San Francisco, shall have the right to make physical connection with the tracks above described, and Southern Pacific Company shall promptly and without discrimination switch to any industry on said tracks any car or cars which such other carriers may place on proper interchange tracks properly constructed to handle necessary business. It is understood that Southern Pacific Company is to do all of the switching on the trackage known as Beale street spur or industrial tracks.

Provided, no car or cars shall be moved or switched over or allowed to stand on said spur during the hours between 7 o'clock a. m. and 6 o'clock p. m., excepting the two spur tracks located, one on the westerly side of Beale street and the other located on the easterly side of Beale street, between Folsom and Mission streets.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran,

Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Wetmore—12.

Noes—Supervisors Hynes, McSheehy—2.

Absent—Supervisors Morgan, Robb, Shannon, Welch—4.

#### Explanation of Vote.

*Supervisor McSheehy* requested that previous explanation of vote be inserted in the record, to-wit: "I am voting *no* for the reasons that the amendments I offered (at meeting of October 30) would have avoided the bottling up of San Francisco and the permit as granted positively bottles it up."

#### Establishing Set-Back Lines.

Bill No. 6169, Ordinance No. 5749 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Ninth avenue, Fifteenth avenue, Forty-fourth avenue, Sixteenth avenue and Shrader street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 9th day of October, 1922, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolutions of Intention Nos. 3 and 4 to establish set-back lines along portions of Ninth avenue, Fifteenth avenue, Forty-fourth avenue, Sixteenth avenue and Shrader street, and fixed the 6th day of November, 1922, at 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter, and more than ten days prior to said hearing, the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said street in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that no objections were made except those that were duly and properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series) set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly line of Ninth avenue, commencing at the southerly line of Anza street, and running thence southerly to the northerly line of Balboa street along the easterly line of Ninth avenue, commencing at a point seventy-five feet southerly from the southerly line of Anza street, and running thence southerly a distance of four hundred and fifty feet, which said set-back line shall be ten feet distant from and parallel with the line of Ninth avenue.

Along the westerly line of Fifteenth avenue, commencing at a point one hundred feet southerly from the south-

erly line of Irving street, and running thence southerly to the northerly line of Judah street and along the easterly side of Fifteenth avenue, commencing at the southerly line of Irving street, and running thence southerly to the northerly line of Judah street, which set-back line shall be twelve feet distant from and parallel with the line of Fifteenth avenue.

Along the easterly side of Shrader street, commencing at the southerly line of Carl street, and running thence southerly to the northerly line of Parnassus avenue, which said set-back line shall be nine feet distant from and parallel with the line of Shrader street.

Along the westerly line of Forty-fourth avenue between Judah street and Irving street, commencing at a point one hundred feet southerly from the southerly line of Irving street, and running thence southerly twenty-five feet, which said set-back line shall be three feet distant from and parallel with the line of Forty-fourth avenue; commencing at a point one hundred twenty-five feet southerly from the southerly line of Irving street, and running thence southerly twenty-five feet, which said set-back line shall be six feet distant from and parallel with the line of Forty-fourth avenue; commencing at a point one hundred fifty feet southerly from the southerly line of Irving street, and running thence southerly twenty-five feet, which said set-back line shall be nine feet distant from and parallel with the line of Forty-fourth avenue; commencing at a point one hundred seventy-five feet southerly from the southerly line of Irving street, and running thence southerly to the northerly line of Judah street, which said set-back line shall be twelve feet distant from and parallel with the line of Forty-fourth avenue.

Along the westerly line of Sixteenth avenue between Judah street and Kirkham street, commencing at a point one hundred feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street, and running thence southerly twenty-five feet, which said set-back line shall be three feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue; commencing at a point one hundred twenty-five feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street, and running thence southerly twenty-five feet, which said set-back line shall be six feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue; commencing at a point one hundred fifty feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street, and running thence southerly twenty-five feet, which said set-back line shall be nine feet distant from and parallel with the line of Six-

teenth avenue; commencing at a point one hundred seventy-five feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street, and running thence southerly one hundred feet, which said set-back line shall be twelve feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue; commencing at a point two hundred and seventy-five feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street, and running thence southerly twenty-five feet, which said set-back line shall be fifteen feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue; commencing at a point three hundred feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street, and running thence southerly thirty-three feet, which said set-back line shall be eighteen feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue; commencing at a point three hundred and thirty-three feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street, and running thence southerly thirty-three feet, which said set-back line shall be twenty-one feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue; commencing at a point three hundred and sixty-six feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street, and running thence southerly to the northerly line of Kirkham street, which said set-back line shall be twenty-four feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue; along the easterly line of Sixteenth avenue, commencing at a point one hundred feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street, and running thence southerly twenty-five feet, which said set-back line shall be four feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue; commencing at a point one hundred and twenty-five feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street, and running thence southerly twenty-five feet, which said set-back line shall be eight feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue; commencing at a point one hundred fifty feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street, and running thence southerly twenty-five feet, which said set-back line shall be twelve feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue; commencing at a point one hundred and seventy-five feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street, and running thence southerly twenty-five feet, which said set-back line shall be sixteen feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue; commencing at a point two hundred feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street, and running thence southerly to the northerly line of Kirkham street, which said set-back line shall be twenty feet distant from

and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structure shall be erected in the area between said setback lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Morgan, Robb, Shannon, Welch—4.

#### Action Deferred.

The following resolutions were on motion *laid over one week*:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$1,378.69 out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, and authorized in payment to Underwood Typewriter Co. Inc., for 15 Underwood typewriters furnished the County Recorder (claim dated Nov. 6, 1922).

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$10,000 out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, to the credit of Lighting Streets, Budget Item No. 40, Fiscal Year 1922-1923.

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$1,250 out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, Fiscal Year 1922-1923, to the credit of Juvenile Court, Appropriation 19-B, being for payment of salary for stenographer, for period September 1, 1922, to June 30, 1923, as provided by Ordinance No. 5709 (New Series).

#### PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print and amounting to \$51,084.60, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### NEW BUSINESS.

##### Auditorium Rentals.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 20473 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following organ-

izations are hereby granted permission to occupy the halls in the Auditorium for convention purposes:

California State Dental Association, use entire main floor June 11th to 14th, 1923, inclusive.

American Association of Agricultural Engineers, California Section, use of Auxiliary Hall on fourth floor December 15, 1922, 9 a. m. to 11 p. m.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Also, Resolution No. 20474 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Daily News be granted permission to occupy the Main Hall, Auditorium, December 25, 1922, 8 a. m. to 12 p. m., for the purpose of distributing toys to the children of the city.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Also, Resolution No. 20475 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following persons and organizations are hereby granted permission to occupy the halls in the Auditorium, deposits having been paid to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to guarantee the rental fees:

William B. Wheelan, use of the Main Hall December 20, 1922, 6 p. m. to 12 p. m., for the purpose of holding a dance and entertainment.

The San Francisco Examiner, use of Larkin Hall December 2, 1922, 8 a. m. to 12 p. m., for the purpose of holding Radio Exposition.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

##### Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McLeran: Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

##### Library Fund.

(1) J. W. Bender Roofing Co., roofing main Library Building (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$2,455.

(2) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$1,004.91.

(3) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$1,593.84.

(4) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$3,384.06.

(5) S. F. News Co., library books (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$1,887.20.

*Special School Tax.*

(6) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Agassiz School (claim dated Oct. 7, 1922), \$860.59.

(7) J. Greenback, fifth payment, lathing, plastering, etc., Emerson School (claim dated Nov. 15, 1922), \$5,018.75.

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(8) Gladding, McBean & Co., first payment, roofing, Mission High School addition (claim dated Nov. 15, 1922), \$3,720.94.

(9) M. B. McGowan, third payment, brick, stone and terra cotta work, Columbus School addition (claim dated Nov. 15, 1922), \$5,084.15.

(10) John Reid, Jr., tenth payment, architectural service, Mission High School addition (claim dated Nov. 15, 1922), \$734.70.

(11) Robert Trost, seventh payment, general construction of North Beach (Galileo) High School (claim dated Nov. 15, 1922), \$6,038.07.

*Municipal Railway Fund.*

(12) Eccles & Smith Co. Inc., Municipal Railway car cushions (claim dated Nov. 15, 1922), \$1,147.50.

(13) Market Street Railway Co., October reimbursement, under agreement of December 12, 1918 (claim dated Nov. 15, 1922), \$1,149.73.

(14) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished (claim dated Nov. 15, 1922), \$2,172.83.

(15) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., mazda lamps for railways (claim dated Nov. 15, 1922), \$775.80.

(16) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electricity for railways (claim dated Nov. 15, 1922), \$33,038.13.

(17) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement Fund, Municipal Railway pensions and gratuities for October (claim dated Nov. 13, 1922), \$5,602.31.

*General Fund, 1922-1923.*

(18) Flynn & Collins, Ford roadster, Police Department (claim dated Oct. 30, 1922), \$590.19.

(19) Producers Hay Co., hay, etc., for Police Department (claim dated Oct. 30, 1922), \$1,053.43.

(20) U. S. Army and Navy Goods Store, blankets for County Jails (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$513.

(21) Old Homestead Bakery, bread for County Jails (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$564.56.

(22) Louis Abrams, furnishing election booth lamps, chairs, etc. (claim dated Nov. 13, 1922), \$4,975.10.

(23) Felix Gross Co., partial payment, hauling, erecting, etc., of election booths (claim dated Nov. 13, 1922), \$2,500.

(24) Felix Gross Co., delivering supplies to election booths (claim dated Nov. 13, 1922), \$710.08.

(25) Associated Oil Co., fuel oil, Fire Department (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$919.35.

(26) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished Fire Department (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$3,419.63.

(27) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electricity furnished Fire Department (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$1,371.13.

(28) Shell Company, fuel oil to Fire Department (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$1,053.38.

(29) Standard Oil Co., gasoline, etc., to Fire Department (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$1,299.30.

(30) M. Greenberg's Sons, Fire Department hydrants (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$3,500.

(31) Goodyear Rubber Co., Sewer Department hose (claim dated Nov. 14, 1922), \$636.

(32) Standard Oil Co., street repair asphalt (claim dated Nov. 14, 1922), \$3,187.25.

(33) George Anderson, street cleaning cans (claim dated Nov. 14, 1922), \$700.

(34) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., October lighting public buildings (claim dated Nov. 14, 1922), \$3,159.11.

(35) Spring Valley Water Co., October water for public buildings (claim dated Nov. 14, 1922), \$1,563.94.

(36) Dieterich-Post Co., blue print paper and cloth, Department of Public Works (claim dated Nov. 14, 1922), \$885.28.

(37) Wm. Cluff Co., groceries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$968.24.

(38) Hooper & Jennings, groceries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$1,168.84.

(39) Miller & Lux, meats, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$979.48.

(40) Sherry Bros., butter, etc., San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$1,517.50.

(41) L. Dinkelspiel Co., dry goods, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$2,882.52.

(42) Levi Strauss & Co., dry goods, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$604.35.

(43) Shell Oil Co., fuel oil, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$2,232.

(44) Spring Valley Water Co., water

furnished hospitals (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$1,530.19.

(45) California Printing Co., printing for Stationery Department (claim dated Nov. 20, 1922), \$744.95.

(46) H. S. Crocker Co., printing, Stationery Department (claim dated Nov. 20, 1922), \$805.31.

(47) San Francisco Chronicle, official advertising, Board of Supervisors (claim dated Nov. 20, 1922), \$2,921.48.

(48) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., October street lighting (claim dated Nov. 20, 1922), \$45,443.69.

#### Action Deferred.

The following resolutions were presented and on motion *laid over one week*:

#### Appropriations.

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, Fiscal Year 1922-1923, for the following purposes, to-wit:

For expense of roof repairs and painting at the Potrero Police Station, \$1 105.

For roof repairs, installation of new doors, window screens and hardware at old Isolation Hospital, Army and De Haro streets, \$573.

Appropriation, \$1,500, District Attorney, Prosecution of Wilkens Case.

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$1,500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, Fiscal Year 1922-1923, for expense by the District Attorney in the continuation of the prosecution of the Wilkens case.

#### Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

#### Appropriation. School Buildings.

On motion of Supervisor McLeran: Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax for furniture and equipment for the following schools, to-wit:

Emerson School .....	\$10,000
Columbus School Annex ...	3,000
Hancock School Annex ....	2,000
Adams School Annex .....	2,000

\$17,000

#### Appropriations.

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 20479 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

#### Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(1) For cables and splicing materials under Sections "A" and "B" of Contract No. 129, Municipal Railway system, additional appropriation, \$381.46.

#### Street Work in Front of City Property, Budget Item No. 45.

For work in front of City property, to-wit:

(2) Sidewalks on Twenty-second street, fronting San Francisco Hospital, \$80.

(3) Street improvements in Tara street from Ocean avenue to Niagara street, \$230.92.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### Transfer of Aquatic Park to Park Commission.

Supervisor Morgan presented:

Resolution No. 20476 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Park Commission has requested that the jurisdiction over the Aquatic Park be transferred to said Commission; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors believes it would be in the interest of an early completion of the Aquatic Park to have the control and operation of the Aquatic Park under the jurisdiction of the Park Commissioners; therefore, be it

Resolved That jurisdiction of the Aquatic Park be and it is hereby transferred from the Board of Public Works now having jurisdiction and control of the Aquatic Park to the Park Commission; said Aquatic Park hereafter to be maintained by said Park Commission out of park funds; and be it further

Resolved, That the Park Commission be requested to complete the Aquatic Park as soon as possible to the end that our citizens may avail themselves of the wonderful opportunities for recreation which would thereby be given them; further

Resolved, That \$59,000 of the balance of the South Beach Land Funds available for Aquatic Park development be and the same is hereby transferred to the Park Fund.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers,

Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

No—Supervisor Hynes—1.

**Explanation of Vote.**

*Supervisor Hynes:* I want to preface my statement by saying that I am in favor absolutely of the development of the Aquatic Park. In my judgment the transfer of the money to the Park is illegal. In my judgment the transfer of control and development of the Aquatic Park is illegal, and I want to be recorded on that basis. I will try hereafter to vote on legal appropriations only.

**Limiting Height of Buildings Near Civic Center.**

Supervisor McGregor presented:

Resolution No. 20477 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Planning Commission be requested to present to this Board an ordinance limiting the height of buildings in the area contiguous to the Civic Center.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.  
Commercial District, Vicinity of Civic Center.

Supervisor McGregor presented:

Resolution No. 20478 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Planning Commission be requested to recommend to this Board a change in the Zoning Ordinance so as to place the territory in the vicinity of the Civic Center in the Commercial District instead of in the Light Industrial District.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

**Amendment to Zoning Ordinance, Page Street.**

On motion of Supervisor McGregor: Bill No. 6172, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place both sides of Page street between Franklin street and Gough street, to the depth of the rear lot lines, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the easterly side of Stanyan street for a distance of 137 feet 6 inches northerly from the northerly line of Waller street and to the depth of 137 feet 6 inches in the Second Residential District instead of the Commercial District.

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the block bounded by Walnut street, Laurel street, Clay street and Sacramento street, excepting that portion therein in the Commercial District, in the Second Residential District instead of the First Residential District.

**Establishing Set-Back Lines, Twenty-first Street.**

On motion of Supervisor McGregor: Bill No. 6173, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along a portion of Twenty-first street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 23rd day of October, 1922, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 5 to establish set-back lines along the northerly line of Twenty-first street between Guerrero street and Dolores street and fixed the 20th day of November, 1922, at 2 p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published, and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said street in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that no objections were made except those that were duly and properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series) set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the northerly line of Twenty-first street from a point ninety-two feet six inches westerly from the westerly line of Guerrero street to a point ninety-two feet six inches easterly from the easterly line of Dolores street, which said line shall be eight feet distant from and parallel with the northerly line of Twenty-first street.

As shown on the map filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structure shall be erected in the area between said setback lines and the street line, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

#### Amending Zoning Ordinance.

On motion of Supervisor McGregor:  
Bill No. 6174, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 5 of Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Ordinance regulating and establishing the location of trades and industries and buildings and locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 5. Commercial District. In a Commercial District no building or premises shall be used and no building shall be constructed or altered which is arranged, intended or designed to be used for any of the following specified trades, industries and uses:

1. Automobile repair shop, unless conducted in connection with a public garage and as a part thereof;
2. Bakeries employing more than five persons;
3. Blacksmith or horseshoeing establishments;
4. Bottling works;
5. Carting, express or hauling or storage yard other than for fuel;
6. Storage houses;
7. Warehouses;
8. Contractors' plant or storage yard;
9. Cooperage;
10. Laundry employing more than ten people;
11. Lumber yard;
12. Uses excluded from the Light Industrial District;

13. Any kind of manufacturing other than manufacturing clearly incidental to a retail business conducted

on the premises or light manufacturing conducted on any floor above the ground floor of a building.

Provided, however, there may be maintained in a Commercial District the following:

1. Printing shops and the business of publishing a newspaper;
2. Light industries clearly incidental to the operation of an amusement park;
3. Electric substations and telephone exchanges;
4. Public garages and gasoline service stations may be conducted in a Commercial District only under permits granted by the Board of Supervisors.

No uses permitted by Sections 3 and 4 hereof shall be excluded from the Commercial District.

#### Amending Zoning Ordinance, District Boundaries.

On motion of Supervisor McGregor:  
Bill No. 6175, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 15 of Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Ordinance regulating and establishing the location of trades and industries and buildings and locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 15. District Boundaries. District boundaries are, unless otherwise indicated, street lines, but where two or more district designations are shown within a block 200 feet or less in width, the boundary of a less restricted district shall be deemed 100 feet back from its street line. Where two or more district designations are shown within a block more than 200 feet in width the bounding line shall be deemed 137½ feet back from the street line of the less restricted district, or shall be the rear lot line of the lots fronting on the less restricted district; provided, however, in no event shall the boundary line extend further than 137½ feet from the street line of the less restricted district. Where uncertainty exists as to the exact boundary line, the line shall be determined by the City Planning Commission upon written application and a record thereof kept in the office of that Commission.

#### Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:  
Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

*Planing Mill.*

Anderson Bros. Planing Mill, at the northeast corner of Quint and Burke streets.

*Public Garage.*

Louis Stella, on west side of Powell street, 100 feet south of Broadway.

*Automobile Parking Station.*

A. E. Boicelli, on north side of Post street, 137 feet 6 inches east of Mason street. Automobiles are to enter and leave station through premises occupied by Associated Oil Co. at northeast corner of Post and Mason streets.

*Transfer Public Garage.*

To New Montgomery Garage Inc. (George Sarantites, president), permit granted by Resolution No. 20380 (New Series) to Bothin Real Estate Co., for premises situate at westerly termination of Natoma street (west of New Montgomery street) between Hunt street and Sherwood place.

To John Marsh, permit granted by Resolution No. 19368 (New Series) to Otersen & Petersen, for premises situate 1336 Grove street.

*Automobile Supply Station.*

Shell Oil Co. of California, at the following locations, and to store not to exceed 2000 gallons of gasoline at each of said stations:

Northeast corner of Columbus avenue and Bay street;

Southeast corner of Sacramento and Drumm streets;

Northwest corner of Third and Army streets;

Northeast corner of Mission and Maynard streets.

*Furnace.*

National Shelting Co., for 4 metal smelting furnaces at 33 Texas street.

*Boiler.*

E. K. Fujimoto, 10 horsepower, at 238 Jackson street.

*Oil Storage Tank.*

(1500 gallons capacity.)

Daniel McKillop, on north side of Geary street, 137 feet 6 inches west of Hyde street.

Mrs. M. Gould, on east side of Van Ness avenue, 83 feet 9 inches north of Union street.

S. & G. Gump, at southwest corner of Geary and Jones streets.

National Smelting Co., at 33 Texas street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

*Outdoor Carnival, Fulton Street.*

Supervisor Robb presented the fol-

lowing, which on motion was *indefinitely postponed*:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted Entertainment Committee, County Council, Veterans of Foreign Wars and United Veterans of the Republic, R. S. Green, chairman, to conduct an exposition and carnival for the benefit of the building and relief funds of said organizations, on Fulton street between Hyde and Larkin streets, December 21, 1922, to January 1, 1923, both days inclusive.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented by Supervisor Scott in lieu thereof and *passed for printing*:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted Entertainment Committee, County Council, Veterans of Foreign Wars and United Veterans of the Republic, R. S. Green, chairman, to maintain and operate an amusement park, in strict conformity with the provisions of Ordinance No. 5240 (New Series), on Fulton street between Hyde and Larkin streets, December 21, 1922, to January 1, 1923, both days inclusive.

*Masquerade Ball Permit.*

Supervisor Robb presented:

Resolution No. 20480 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted Independent Rifles to give a masquerade ball Saturday evening, December 2, 1922, at California Hall, Folk and Turk streets, upon payment of the usual license fee.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

*Denying Kennel Permit.*

Supervisor Robb presented:

Resolution No. 20481 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied Carl Stendner to maintain a dog kennel at 43 Rutledge street.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

*Passed for Printing.*

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

### Regulating Motion Picture Films.

Bill No. 6171, Ordinance No. —  
(New Series), as follows:

Regulating the manufacture, printing, developing, keeping, storing or use of motion picture films.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

#### Section A—General.

1. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to manufacture, print, develop, keep, store or use nitro-cellulose motion picture films, whether in the form of negatives, raw stock, finished product, or discarded scrap, or used films in any building or place within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, without first obtaining a written permit therefor, as hereinafter provided.

2. Any person, firm or corporation desiring to manufacture, print, develop, store, keep or use motion picture films in any building or place within the City and County of San Francisco shall file with the Fire Marshal a written application for a permit so to do, which application shall set forth the name, residence or place of business of the applicant and the location at which it is desired or intended to manufacture, print, develop, store, keep or use such motion picture films. Forms for such application shall be furnished by the Fire Marshal.

3. Upon the receipt of such application the Fire Marshal shall make an investigation to determine whether or not the building or place defined in the application is so situated, arranged and constructed as to comply with the provisions of this ordinance.

4. If the Fire Marshal shall find that the building or place is not so constructed, arranged or equipped, or if he shall find that the desired use will conflict with or be contrary to the provisions of this ordinance, he shall refuse to grant the permit.

5. The Fire Marshal shall frequently inspect all buildings or places containing motion picture films to determine whether the provisions of this ordinance are being complied with, and it shall be his duty to enforce the provisions hereof.

6. The Fire Marshal shall have power to revoke or suspend any permit granted under the terms of this ordinance for violations of any of its provisions.

7. Where films of the non-flaming variety are stored, kept, used or worked upon, the requirements of this ordinance may be waived in whole or in part by the written consent of the Fire Marshal. All of the provisions of this ordinance shall, however, apply where both the inflammable and non-

inflammable films are stored, kept, used or worked upon.

8. Nothing in this ordinance contained shall be construed as prohibiting the keeping and using of motion picture films for exhibition or reviewing purposes in authorized motion picture theaters; provided not more than those actually in use of a maximum of twenty reels of film are kept in any one such theater at any one time. The reviewing of pictures in theaters is prohibited, except in reviewing rooms in buildings of Class "A" construction, which reviewing rooms shall be fire-proof, ventilated directly to the exterior of the building and have no direct connection with the auditorium. All reels other than in projecting machine shall be kept in closed and approved metal containers, located in a projection machine booth constructed and maintained as required by the Building Law of the City and County of San Francisco.

#### Section B—Definitions.

1. Wherever in this ordinance used, the terms "motion picture film" and "motion picture films" shall be understood to mean films composed of nitro-cellulose or pyroxylin-plastic material or of other inflammable composition.

2. The term "standard reel" wherever in this ordinance used shall be understood to mean approximately one thousand (1000) feet of motion picture film, approximately one and eleven-thirty-seconds (1-11/32) inches in width and .0055" in thickness, weighing approximately five (5) pounds.

3. The term "Exchange" means an establishment or place in a building used for handling, packing, shipping, storing, inspecting, rewinding or repairing film in connection with the distribution of film.

4. The term "Laboratory" means an establishment or place in a building used for the perforation, printing, development, washing, fixing, drying, assembling, polishing, finishing or other operations connected with the production of either motion picture negatives or positives.

5. The term "Film Vault" means a separate room, compartment, vault or inclosure constructed and equipped in accordance with the requirements of this ordinance.

#### Section C—Building and Construction.

1. No part of the operation of a motion picture exchange shall be conducted in any building,

(a) Which is more than two stories high;

(b) Which is not of Class "A" or "B" construction;

(c) Any part of which is occupied, used or intended to be occupied or used as a place of assembly, habitation,

refuge or detention, or as an office building, workshop or factory in which more than fifty people not connected with the motion film exchange are employed.

2. Door or window openings in exterior walls of a building occupied as a film exchange shall be made fireproof, except where such door or window openings face on streets which are not less than fifty feet wide from property line to property line.

3. No permit shall be issued to manufacture, print, develop, keep or store motion picture films in any basement, except where a direct exit not less than five feet wide and on a level with a street or alley to which it terminates is provided. Where it is desired to use the basement for storage other than motion picture films, the emergency exit hereinbefore referred to may be omitted when at least two stairways leading from the basement to the first floor and located at opposite ends of the building are provided. In addition to these stairways, an emergency exit shall be provided from the basement to a sidewalk opening in front of the building, the approach to which shall be of fireproof materials, the sidewalk opening not less than 3'x5' over which shall be placed iron trap doors accessible to operation from the outside. The lock securing these doors shall be of a type and workmanship approved by the Fire Marshal and be made accessible to the Fire Department from the outside by means of a traffic-bearing glass guard placed in one of the doors directly over the lock. Where a projecting room is located in a basement, an additional five feet emergency exit opening directly on a street or alley and on the same level of said street or alley, shall be required for such projecting room. This provision shall apply only to film exchanges.

4. The storage or use of motion picture films in the projecting room shall be limited to one picture, or feature, and film not being actually shown shall be kept in closed approved containers in the projecting room or booth.

#### *Section D—Storage.*

1. All films when not in use or not being worked upon shall be kept in approved shipping cases or individually inclosed metal containers with tight covers, and shall be stored in fireproof vaults.

2. Walls and floors of vaults shall be of brick or reinforced concrete. If of brick, not less than eight (8) inches thick laid in cement mortar, and if of reinforced concrete not less than six (6) inches thick. No wood top flooring shall be used. Size of vault shall not exceed 750 cubic feet.

3. The roof of vault shall be an independent reinforced concrete roof at least six inches thick. In a fireproof building where the floor above is equivalent to this it may serve as the roof if side walls are rigidly tied into it; in construction of this type, a false ceiling constructed of metal lath and cement plaster one inch thick, or the equivalent, and with no openings to the concealed space above may be used to limit the total interior vault space to 750 cubic feet. Vent may extend through this false ceiling and concealed space.

4. Each face of the wall on door opening into vault shall be protected by a standard fire door constructed in accordance with specifications of the National Board of Fire Underwriters. The inner door shall be so arranged and installed as to close automatically in case of fire originating within the vault. The outer door shall be of the swinging type and be made to close into a rabbit; it shall be self-closing; and if fastened open, shall be arranged to close automatically in case of fire originating in or out of the vault. Both of these doors shall be equipped with an Underwriters' approved heat actuated device in conjunction with an Underwriters' approved automatic release, which shall so function as to positively assure the closing of the fire doors in advance of the fusing of the sprinkler heads. The inner door may be left open during the time the film exchange is in active operation, but must be closed at night or during other inactive periods.

5. Racks in film vaults shall be built of metal or other incombustible material and arranged to prevent film containers being placed in other position than on edge in a vertical position. Vertical incombustible partitions, equivalent in heat insulation and durability to  $\frac{3}{8}$ -inch hard asbestos and extending from floor to ceiling shall be provided to divide racks into sections such that the amount of film protected by any sprinkler shall not exceed 830 pounds. Partitions shall be substantial and have exposed edges protected. Means shall be provided to keep the containers on each side of such partitions an inch away from same. Racks shall not obstruct any vent openings. Racks shall be so arranged that film cannot be placed under or between containers in the vertical position. Films shall not be stored or kept on the floor, unless in shipping containers approved by the Interstate Commerce Commission.

6. All lights in film vault shall be at the ceiling and of the fixed marine type, with vapor-proof globes and conduit wiring, subject to the approval of

the Department of Electricity. All switches shall be outside of the vault and shall be arranged with a small pilot light to indicate on outside of vault whether vault lights are on or off. No artificial heat shall be permitted in the vault.

7. Each vault for the storage of motion picture films shall be vented separately to the outside air by a vertical vent through side, and, or direct through ceiling; such vault shall have a sectional area ratio of not less than 1400 square inches for a standard vault of 750 cubic feet capacity. The vent duct shall be constructed of reinforced concrete not less than 6 inches in thickness and shall extend vertically to a point not less than 4 feet above the roof. Where opening to vent flue is vertical in vault wall and glass to protect same is used in sash, sash shall be arranged to open automatically in case of fire by the use of the releasing device in conjunction with operation of doors.

8. Each vent opening shall be protected against the weather by single thickness of glass one-sixteenth inch thick, painted a dark color, or by other incombustible fragile material. The area of the glass shall be the effective sectional area of the vent opening. No pane of glass shall be smaller than 200 square inches. Any protection equivalent to the above may be accepted in lieu thereof.

9. A light wire screen not coarser than one-eighth inch mesh shall also be placed over each vent, so arranged as not to interfere with the automatic operation of the sash. Bars or screen designed to prevent burglary or injury to contents shall not have a mesh of less than 4 inches, shall be locked inside the light wire screen and shall give a net opening of not less than 1400 square inches.

10. A permanent guard shall be installed to prevent films from being forced against the vent openings of small containers. Where film vaults are subdivided by incombustible partitions, each subdivision shall have an opening to the vent duct not less than two feet 11 inches by one foot 8 inches, but vents from two or more vaults must not connect. There shall be no opening in any vaults except the vent openings and drains. Each vault shall be provided with a drain basin placed in floor of not less than 36 square inches area with a take-off pipe of adequate size not less than 4 inches, connecting as direct as possible with the sewer.

11. No vault used for the storage of motion picture films shall directly communicate with any examination room, shipping room, projecting room,

or cleaning room, but shall be approached through an anteroom, passage-way or vestibule separated from the rest of the building by tight partitions, floor and ceiling of incombustible material which shall contain no glass other than wire glass. All doors leading to said anteroom, passage-way or vestibule shall be of tin-clad construction at least one and one-half inches thick and be self-closing; doors may have wire glass panel, minimum size of which shall not be less than 3 square feet, and maximum size of which shall be 6 square feet.

#### *Section E—Handling.*

1. Examining and repairing of films shall be done only in an "Examination Room" or "Inspection Room" having ventilation direct to open air and separated from the rest of the building by tight partitions, floor and ceiling of incombustible materials, which shall contain no glass other than wire glass (except where the glass faces on a street not less than fifty feet in width) and all doors leading to examination room shall be of tin-clad construction at least one and one-half inches thick and be self-closing.

2. Examination room shall be provided with at least two exits so located as to preclude the possibility of both being shut off by fire in this room, the shipping room, the cleaning room and the vault. Each doorway shall be equipped with a self-closing door of tin-clad construction, at least one and one-half inches thick, opening outward and closing into incombustible stops. An approved outside stairway fire escape, with balanced extension reaching to the ground, readily accessible from the examination room through a doorway in the outside wall, may be accepted as constituting one of the above required exits.

3. Examination room shall not be used for storage nor handling of combustible materials other than films, and all furniture and fitting shall as far as practicable be of incombustible material.

4. The number of reels in the examination or inspection room shall not exceed one feature for each operator, and all reels except one reel for each operator while under examination in this room shall be in closed approved metal containers.

5. Examination or inspection room shall be heated only by hot water or steam, and metal shields or screens shall be provided to prevent the films from coming in contact with radiators or heated pipes.

6. All scrap or waste shall be kept under water, in self-closing standard metal waste cans or their equivalent, and removed from the building at least

once each day to a safe location, such waste to be kept separate from paper waste or other rubbish. Clippings, chips and waste film shall not be burned within 200 feet of any building.

7. Each examiner may be provided with not to exceed two ounces of any compound of collodion and amyl acetate or similarly inflammable cement, and all such cement in excess of the foregoing amount shall be kept in the vault or as otherwise directed by the Fire Marshal; in no case shall more than one gallon be kept on the premises.

8. The examination room, anteroom and shipping room shall be lighted with incandescent electric lamps in vapor-proof globes, properly guarded.

9. Motors for the operation of rewinds or for other purposes shall be of the fully inclosed, non-sparking type and shall have no exposed live metal parts. All electric wiring shall be installed in metal conduit in conformity with the requirements of the Department of Electricity.

10. The packing of motion picture films for shipping or the unpacking of same shall be done only in a shipping room having outside ventilation and separated from the rest of the building by tight partitions, floor and ceiling of incombustible material with self-closing fire doors of tin-clad construction at least one and one-half inches thick; partitions and doors to contain no glass other than wire glass.

11. The shipping room shall not be used for the storage or handling of combustible materials other than films, and all furniture used therein shall as far as practicable be of non-combustible material. The number of exposed reels of films in the shipping room at any one time shall not exceed twenty. In all other respects the equipment of shipping room shall comply with the requirements for examination room.

12. Where a motion picture machine is used for projecting films for exhibition or reviewing purposes same shall be inclosed in a standard booth constructed in accordance with the requirements of the Building Law of the City and County of San Francisco. A so-called "portable booth" shall not, within the meaning of this ordinance, be considered as fulfilling this requirement.

13. The cleaning or washing of motion picture films in or by means of ether, alcohol or other inflammable or combustible volatile liquids shall be done only in a cleaning room or laboratory having outside ventilation and separated from the rest of the building by tight partitions, floor and ceiling of incombustible material with self-closing fire doors at openings. The receptacle containing the cleaning

liquid shall be kept tightly closed except at apertures through which film passes during the process of cleaning, and whole apparatus, including receptacle, drying reels, etc., shall be completely covered by a ventilating hood equipped with a duct leading to outside air and provided with a mechanically induced draft sufficient to keep the room free from objectionable vapors.

14. The cleaning room shall not be used for the storage or handling of combustible material other than films and there shall be no more than three exposed reels at any one time in this room. In all other respects the equipment of this room shall comply with the requirements of the examination room.

15. Perforating machines shall be equipped with an effective receptacle for catching chips, and also a reeling device.

16. Printing machines shall be equipped with reeling devices.

17. Joining, assembling and inspection tables shall be of non-combustible materials. Tables shall be set four inches from any radiator. If lights under tables are used for examining, by transmitted light, light box shall be properly ventilated and so constructed that it does not become a receptacle for film scraps and dust.

18. None of the provisions of this ordinance shall be construed as prohibiting the use interchangeably of either the examination, shipping, projection or repair room for any of the processes necessary to the operation of a film exchange; provided, that no two different processes are carried on simultaneously, and that the provisions of this ordinance applying to each process be complied with.

19. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to take or carry into any building within the City and County of San Francisco or to carry or transport anywhere within such limits any motion picture film or films unless the same be contained in a metal box, can or other approved receptacle, with cover securely tied or otherwise fastened. Each such receptacle shall be conspicuously labeled as containing inflammable films.

#### *SECTION F—Sprinklers and Other Protection.*

1. All film vaults and vestibules, examination room, shipping room and cleaning room or laboratory, as required by the foregoing provisions of this ordinance shall be equipped with a complete system of automatic sprinklers of a type and workmanship approved by the Fire Marshal.

2. In every vault of 750 cubic feet there shall be installed twelve auto-

matic sprinklers arranged and installed to give uniform distribution, and a galvanized sheet metal baffle plate twelve inches in width shall be attached to the ceiling between sprinklers so that water from one sprinkler will not cool the solder of the fusible strut of any other sprinkler. Vaults of a smaller cubical content shall be equipped with automatic sprinklers on the basis of one sprinkler for each 62½ cubic feet of contents.

3. Sprinkler systems for film vaults shall be equipped with a ¾-inch drip line and valve which shall be used for weekly tests. A recording pressure gauge attached to drip line will be acceptable in lieu of test. Extra sprinkler heads shall be required and number specified by the Board of Public Works for such places as drying drums, joining or assembling tables, receiving and distributing counters, and each compartment of a cabinet which will hold more than fifty pounds of film and other places where an excessive amount of film is handled.

4. Each room in which motion picture films are manufactured, printed, developed, kept, stored or used, exclusive of vault, shall be equipped with 2½-gallon chemical extinguishers, pails of water and pails of dry sand, the number of which shall be subject to the approval of the Fire Marshal.

5. No heating or cooking device or appliance using gas, oil or electricity shall be installed or maintained in any building used as a moving picture exchange or laboratory. No heating device of any kind shall be permitted to be installed in such a building unless such device is approved by the Fire Marshal.

6. It is hereby made a misdemeanor to smoke or carry a lighted cigar, cigarette, pipe or match in any room or vault mentioned in this ordinance, and conspicuous "No Smoking" signs shall be posted in prominent places. Matches shall not be carried by any employee or other person. Each exit shall be marked "Exit" in letters not less than 6 inches high, or by an illuminated sign with letters of the same height.

#### *SECTION G—Management.*

1. Every motion picture studio, laboratory or exchange shall be in charge of a responsible executive, who is familiar with these Rules and Requirements, with the importance of fire prevention and with any local laws or ordinances. The executive shall, as a part of his duty, see that these rules are observed and that his employees are instructed as to fire hazards and the proper handling of motion picture films.

2. The provisions of this ordinance shall be construed to apply equally to

buildings or places at present established for the manufacturing, printing, developing, keeping, storing or using of motion picture films; providing, however, that the Board of Public Works and the Fire Marshal jointly in their reasonable discretion may waive the reconstructing, in whole or in part, of vaults, examination rooms, shipping rooms, cleaning rooms and projecting rooms in such buildings or places existing at the time of the passage of this ordinance.

#### *SECTION H—Penalty.*

1. Any person, firm or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not less than fifty dollars nor more than five hundred dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of not less than 30 days nor more than six months, for each such offense, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### *Clerk to Procure Copies of Building Law.*

Supervisor Scott presented:

Resolution No. 20482 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board is hereby directed to procure from the "Daily Pacific Builder" 1000 copies of the Building Law, being Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series) as amended and in full to date.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### *Mayor to Sell Buildings on Hawthorne School Site.*

Supervisor Scott presented:

Resolution No. 20483 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby authorized and requested to sell at public auction the certain frame buildings situate on lands recently purchased by the City, being on the west line of Folsom street between Twenty-second and Twenty-third streets, and immediately in the rear of the Hawthorne School, the buildings being respectively numbered 2650, 2652, 2654 and 2656 Folsom street.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### *Extensions of Time, School Buildings.*

Supervisor Scott presented:

Resolution No. 20484 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works, the following extensions of time to complete contracts for work on public buildings are hereby granted:

*Emerson School.*

To Quinn & Reilly, 90 days from October 22, 1922, to complete contract for general construction (second extension).

To Scott Company, 90 days from November 15, 1922, to complete contract for heating and ventilating (first extension).

To Butte Elec. & Mfg. Co., 90 days from November 6, 1922, to complete contract for electrical work (second extension).

To J. Greenback, 90 days from October 29, 1922, to complete contract for lathing, plastering and cast cement work (second extension).

To Thos. Skelly, 90 days from October 22, 1922, to complete contract for plumbing work (second extension).

*Columbus School Addition.*

To J. S. Hannah, 90 days from November 15, 1922, to complete contract for general construction (first extension).

To G. A. Metcalfe, 90 days from December 1, 1922, to complete contract for electrical work (first extension).

To P. J. Enright, 90 days from November 17, 1922, to complete contract for heating and ventilating (first extension).

To A. Lettich, 90 days from November 23, 1922, to complete contract for plumbing work (first extension).

Further Resolved, That the advertising fee in each of the above extensions be remitted.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

*Accepting Offers to Sell Land Required for Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct Right of Way.*

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 20485 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco, from the following described owners, of the following described parcels of land situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, required as a right of way for the aqueduct on the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply project, for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

(1) The following lots shown on map entitled "Plat of Dumbarton," filed in the office of the County Recorder of

San Mateo County September 28, 1907, in Book 5 of Maps, at page 32:

J. Meinrad Inderbitzen, Lots 6 and 7, Block 25, \$270.

Louis C. Mayer, Lots 16 and 17, Block 26, \$366.

(2) The following lots shown on map entitled "Map No. 1, Dumbarton Oaks," filed in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County January 20, 1908, in Book 5 of Maps, at page 56:

John J. Lane, Lots 33 and 34, Block 60, \$440.

Now, therefore, be it Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer the above described offers of the above named property owners to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the above mentioned parcels of land for the sums set forth opposite their respective names be and the same are hereby accepted.

Be it Further Resolved, That the Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply is hereby authorized and directed to notify said parties of the acceptance of their said offers, to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record, with a copy of this resolution attached thereto, as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

*Extension of Time.*

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20486 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That James M. Smith is hereby granted an extension of sixty days' time from and after November 29, 1922, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Arch street between Holloway avenue and Garfield street.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that the delay has been occasioned by the difficulty in obtaining cement.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

*Extension of Time.*

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20487 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Frank J. McHugh is hereby granted an extension of ninety

days' time from and after December 20, 1922, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Forty-second avenue between Balboa and Cabrillo streets.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that the delay was occasioned by difficulty in obtaining cement. The grading, curbs and about one-half of the concrete base have been completed.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

*Passed for Printing.*

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

**Establishing Grades, Loyola Terrace.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Bill No. 6176, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Loyola Terrace between Fulton street and its northerly termination.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Loyola Terrace between Fulton street and its northerly termination are hereby established at points hereinafter named, and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works, filed October 27, 1922.

*Loyola Terrace.*

5.04 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Fulton street, northerly line, 268.42 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

5.04 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Fulton street, northerly line, 266.02 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

40.50 feet northerly from Fulton street, 269.65 feet.

Northerly termination, 283.70 feet.

On Loyola Terrace between Fulton street and its northerly termination be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**Extension of Time.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20488 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Fay Improvement Company is hereby granted an extension of forty-five days' time from and after December 8, 1922, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Taraval street between Thirty-seventh avenue and the Great Highway.

This extension of time is granted

for the reason that the delay has been occasioned by inability to get the materials for the work. Sewers and catch-basins, culverts and curbing are completed, and also about one-third of the subgrade.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Extension of Time.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20489 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. J. Raisch is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after December 5, 1922, within which to complete contract for improvement of Hearst avenue between Baden and Congo streets, under public contract.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor has been delayed by inclement weather.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Clerk to Advertise for Proposals for Foodstuffs.**

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 20490 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk be and hereby is directed to advertise for proposals for furnishing foodstuffs required during the quarterly term commencing January 1 and ending March 31, 1923, as per specifications prepared by the Supplies Committee.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Motor Vehicle List.**

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 20491 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board has heretofore referred a resolution to the Supplies Committee relating to cost of motor vehicle service; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the heads of the various departments are hereby directed to file a report with the Clerk of the Supervisors before December 1, 1922 giving a list of all motor vehicles in the service of the respective departments, including automobiles, motor trucks, passenger vehicles, motorcycles, motor-driven fire apparatus, motor-driven apparatus used in the street repairing or street cleaning operations,

motor ambulances, motor police patrols, railway busses or for any other use.

Further Resolved, That said report shall show in detail such information as is necessary to give the Supervisors a complete survey of the subject-matter, including a statement, as follows:

1. Name of car.
2. Type of car—if truck, state tonnage.
3. Kind of motor power.
4. Purchase price.
5. Date of purchase.
6. Manufacturer's number.
7. Size of tires—
  - (a) front.
  - (b) rear.
8. Horsepower.
9. Number of cylinders.
10. License number.
11. Department.
12. Bureau.
13. Name and official title of driver.
14. Purpose used for.
15. State if owned by the City.
16. If not owned by the City, state what rental, if any.
17. Present condition of vehicle.
18. State if garaged at a municipal garage or at driver's residence.
19. Cost of service per mile; omitting no factor of cost.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Dossy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

In re Bread for Public Institutions.

The following resolution, heretofore presented by Supervisor McSheehy, referred to the Supplies Committee and returned by said Committee with the recommendation that same be indefinitely postponed, was taken up:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this City has been purchasing bread under contract for all departments except the Relief Home for a number of years. These contracts have been let quarterly and the bread for the last quarter was purchased for 4½ cents per pound. This quarter the price of bread has been raised to 7½ cents per pound. This would mean a loss of \$3,500 a quarter, or \$14,000 per year.

Whereas, the Relief Home has been making its own bread at a cost of 2¾ cents per pound. If bread can be made at this public institution for 2¾ cents per pound, the same could be and should be made at all city institutions, which would mean a saving of \$5,400 a quarter or \$21,600 per year.

Resolved, That the Department of Public Health be requested to have all

the bread needed for their institutions made in the Relief Home, and that the Sheriff be requested to make the bread for all other city departments that use same; further

Resolved, That this resolution be referred to the Supplies Committee; that the Department of Public Health and the Sheriff be sent a copy of same, and that a meeting of the Supplies Committee with these two departments be held on Wednesday, October 11, 1922, at 2 p. m., for the purpose of devising ways and means for the City to make its own bread and save \$21,600 per year.

#### Substitute Minority Report.

The following report was presented by Supervisor McSheehy in lieu of one heretofore filed.

San Francisco, Cal., Nov. 20, 1922.

As a member of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I introduced a resolution on October 9, 1922, the purport of which called for the co-operation of the Sheriff and the Department of Public Health in the baking of bread. The resolution called attention to the fact that bread is being baked at the Relief Home for 2¾ cents per pound and the lowest bid received by the Supplies Committee of this Board was 7½ cents per pound.

The majority of the members of that committee have seen fit to indefinitely postpone or table said resolution, therefore I am offering this minority report in lieu thereof.

Whereas, bread is now being made at the Relief Home to the amount of 1170 loaves per day at the following cost:

Flour, 539 lbs. at \$5.70 per bbl..	\$15.68
Lard, 5 lbs. at 12 cents per lb..	.60
Salt, 7 lbs. at ¾ cent per lb.....	.06
Yeast, 3 lbs. at 25 cents per lb..	.75
Water, 350 lbs. ....	.02

Total . . . . . \$17.11

Seven hundred and twenty-three pounds of bread will be made from the above aggregate amount, which equals .0243 per lb.—less than 2½ cents. If this bread was bought under contract the same as the other bread is being purchased, it would cost the city \$58.50 per day, or \$21,060 per year more than it is costing now.

Whereas, we are using daily 390 lbs. of bread in the County Jail, 80 lbs. in the City Prison, 30 lbs. in the Detention Home and 500 lbs. in the San Francisco Emergency and Isolation hospitals—total 1000 lbs. per day—with our own bakeries we could bake this bread at a cost of \$25 per day, and under this contract we are paying \$75 per day, which amounts to a loss to the City of \$18,000 per year.

Whereas, there is a complete bake oven and mixing plant in the San Francisco Hospital that was installed for the purpose of baking the bread, cakes and pies for that institution, and the same has never been used except for the baking of pies and cakes;

Resolved, That the Health Department be requested to bake the bread for the San Francisco Hospital, the Detention Home and Emergency Hospital. Be it further

Resolved, That the sum of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) be set aside out of the Urgent Necessity Fund for the equipment and completion of a baking plant at Branch County Jail No. 2.

I hope that the majority members of this Board will see the wisdom of adopting this resolution. We have 2500 indigent citizens to take care of: 1400 at the Relief Home, and it costs the City \$29.25 per day for the bread that they consume; whereas, on the other hand, we have 1100 indigents in the San Francisco Hospital and jails. They consume 1000 pounds of bread per day at a cost to the city of \$75 per day. Why, therefore, should we be buying bread for one institution at 7½ cents per pound and making it at another institution for 2½ cents per pound? Rain or shine, we must have bread, and for these reasons I hope that this minority report will be adopted.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

*Mr. Banzhaf*, representing the Homestead Bakery; *Chas. Wollenberg*, representing the Relief Home; *Mrs. Hamilton*, representing the Housewives League, and *Mrs. Nuremberg*, president of the Housewives' League, were granted the privilege of the floor and heard on the pending question.

#### Minority Report Defeated.

Whereupon, the minority report presented by Supervisor McSheehy was *refused adoption* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisor Robb—1.

*Supervisor McGregor* moved that the present method of purchasing bread be continued, and when the central purchasing bureau is established they take the matter of whether the purchasing bread shall be continued or not and whether in its good judgment we should install bread making machinery or have the bread made in any other way.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was *indefinitely postponed*.

#### ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

##### North Beach Playground.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the North Beach District is greatly populated, and the school attendance makes it almost mandatory that the large number of children residing in the district should be properly provided with playground facilities, proportionate with other sections of our City; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee of this Board be requested to give the matter its earnest attention, that suitable land for playground purposes may be acquired in or about the neighborhood of North Point street and Columbus avenue.

*Referred to Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee.*

##### Install Street Lights.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 20493 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company is hereby instructed to install, change and remove street lights as follows:

##### Install 250 M. R.

Twenty-eighth avenue between Anza and Balboa streets.

Twenty-third avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets.

Twenty-ninth avenue between Taraval and Ulloa streets.

Scott street between Pine and California streets.

Sixteenth avenue between Fulton and Cabrillo streets.

Lombard street between Hyde and Leavenworth streets.

##### Install 600 M. R.

Southwest corner of Twenty-ninth and Dolores streets.

Church street between Valley and Twenty-ninth streets.

Geary street corners from First avenue to Twenty-eighth avenue, inclusive.

##### Install 400 M. R.

Thirty-first avenue and Ulloa street.  
Thirty-second avenue and Ulloa street.

Thirty-fourth avenue and Ulloa street.

Sixteenth avenue and Taraval street.  
Thirty-fifth avenue and Taraval street.

Thirty-seventh avenue and Taraval street.

Northeast corner of Commercial and Battery streets.

##### Change Gas Lamps.

North side of Twenty-third street opposite 3542, 9 feet east.

North side of Twenty-fifth street, first east of Church street, about 6 feet.

*Remove Gas Lamps.*

East side of Scott street, first north of Pine street.

Northeast and southwest corners of Twenty-fourth and Dolores streets.

*Change 400 M. R. to 600 M. R.*

Church and Valley streets.

Church and Twenty-ninth streets.

*Change 250 M. R. to 400 M. R.*

Valley street between Church and Noe streets.

Twenty-ninth street between Church and Noe streets.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Wetmore—14.

Absent—Supervisors Morgan, Robb, Shannon, Welch—4.

**Building Law Amendment, Fire Limits.**

Supervisor Schmitz presented:

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled "Amending Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as the 'Building Law,' which amendment proposes to extend the fire limits along Van Ness avenue on both sides to the center line of Washington street."

*Notice of Reconsideration.*

*Claim S. F. Convention and Tourist League.*

Supervisor Hynes gave notice of reconsideration at this meeting of the following item passed on the 13th inst.:

(62) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, publicity and advertising (claim dated November 13, 1922), \$5,000.

*Motion.*

Supervisor Hynes moved reconsideration of the action whereby the foregoing matter was passed at last meeting.

*Motion lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Hynes, McSheehy—3.

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Wetmore—11.

Absent—Supervisors Morgan, Robb, Shannon, Welch—4.

*Notice of Reconsideration.*

Supervisor McSheehy gave notice that he would move for reconsideration of the vote whereby the following resolution was adopted:

Resolution directing that \$5,000 set aside and appropriated by Resolution No. 20368 (New Series) for the benefit of the Live Stock Exhibition be placed to the credit of Publicity and Advertising, Budget Item No. 551.

*Motion.*

Supervisor McSheehy moved reconsideration of the vote whereby the foregoing resolution was passed at the last meeting of the Board.

*Motion lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Hynes, McSheehy—3.

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Wetmore—11.

Absent—Supervisors Morgan, Robb, Shannon, Welch—4.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 7:15 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk.

WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 22, 1922,  
2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, November 22, 1922, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to adjournment for the purpose of continuing the hearing of protestants in the matter of the regrading of Rincon Hill.

Supervisor McLeran was called to the chair.

**CALLING THE ROLL.**

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisor Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Rossi, Shannon, Welch—6.

No quorum.

Supervisor Hayden: Mr. Chairman, there being no quorum I move you that this hearing be continued until next Monday at 2 p. m., because of lack of a quorum.

*Motion carried.*

**ADJOURNMENT.**

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 2:15 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk, Board of Supervisors.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors January 22, 1923.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.



Monday, November 27, 1922.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY  
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

J

MO

1  
cisc  
p. n  
T  
reg

T  
ing  
S  
Ha  
Mc  
Ro  
We  
C  
H

T  
me  
sid  
RO

(  
cis  
Sev  
and  
P  
for

(  
na  
Pu  
H

s  
of  
nes  
the  
pre  
182  
N

(  
ha  
tio  
Bo  
of  
Su  
F

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 27, 1922, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, November 27, 1922, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of October 30, 1922, was considered read and *approved*.

### ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

#### Paving of Seventh Avenue.

*Communication*—From San Francisco Riding School, for the paving of Seventh avenue between Cabrillo street and Golden Gate Park.

*Referred to Board of Public Works for Estimate of Cost.*

#### Purchaser of Supplies.

*Communication*—From J. E. O'Brien, making application for the position of Purchaser of Supplies.

*Referred to Supplies Committee.*

#### Rincon Hill Hearing.

*Supervisor McLeran* moved, in view of request made at meeting last Wednesday, that further consideration of the arguments for and against the project be continued until February 5, 1923, at 2 p. m.

*Motion carried.*

#### Letter of Thanks.

*Communication*—From Mrs. Jos. Lahaney, expressing her deep appreciation of messages of sympathy from the Board of Supervisors on the occasion of the death of her husband, former Supervisor Jos. Lahaney.

*Read and ordered filed.*

#### Condition of Stadium Race Track.

*Communication*—From Park Commissioners, in reply to resolution of Supervisor McSheehy criticizing condition of Stadium Race Track, stating that track is in excellent condition, has always been properly prepared for race meets of San Francisco Driving Club and has been highly commended by members of driving club. Assures Board that present excellent condition will be maintained.

*Read and ordered filed.*

*Auditor Boyle Objects to Supervisor Hyne's Statements Purporting to Express Auditor's Position in Certain Matters.*

The following was presented, read and *ordered spread in the Journal*:

November 23, 1922.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, Cal.

Gentlemen:

As I have been informed verbally and have seen in the public print that Supervisor Hynes has made statements at sessions of your Honorable Body, purporting to state my attitude upon certain resolutions and appropriations which you have enacted, or purpose to enact, I am writing to protest against Supervisor Hynes, or indeed any other member of your Board, claiming to stand as a mouthpiece for me in any matter whatsoever pertaining to such procedures as you may see fit to engage in.

It may be seen that the statement purporting to express my attitude regarding the legality of payment of a sum awarded to the recent Live Stock Show was not only without authorization from me, but was absurd on the face of it, inasmuch as the demand had not reached me officially, nor has it at this writing been received at my office for approval and audit, and therefore I could not properly give consideration to it.

It is therefore my hope that, in future, should any member of your Board be so ill-advised as to make a presentment of the kind under discussion, you will be cognizant of its falsity and give it no consideration whatsoever in your deliberations re-

garding my supposed action in the matter, for the very good reason that I have made it a rule not to render an opinion or to decide what I will do as to the approval and audit of any demand or warrant until same is officially before me. I have troubles enough without seeking same in advance.

Yours very truly,

THOS. F. BOYLE,  
Auditor.

Leave of Absence, Alfred I. Esberg, Board of Education.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,

November 25, 1922.

To the Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, Cal.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Hon. Alfred I. Esberg, member of the Board of Education, for a leave of absence with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of thirty days, commencing December 3d, 1922.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Very respectfully,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,  
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 20521 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Alfred I. Esberg, member of the Board of Education, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing December 3, 1922, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

HEARING, 2 P. M.

Rincon Hill.

Rincon Hill regrading hearing, fixed for 2 p. m. this day, was, on motion, continued until February 5, 1923, at 10 a. m.

#### PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

##### Auditor's Report.

Bids for printing and binding 500 copies of Auditor's Annual Report were received and referred as follows:

1—Torres, Pollock Co.; certified check, Italian American Bank, \$73.

2—Neal, Stratford & Kerr, certified check, Donohoe-Kelly Bank, \$100.

3—Phillips & Van Orden Co., certified check, Bank of California, \$60.

4—California Printing Co, certified check, American National Bank, \$64.80.

5—A. Carlisle & Co, certified check, American National Bank, \$60.

*Referred to Supplies Committee.*

#### SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

##### Duboce Tunnel.

Hearing of protestants against proposed Duboce Tunnel into the Sunset District.

*Forrester A. Cobb*, representing W. F. Treadwell, asked that Board entertain a motion to continue hearing of protestants on the Duboce Tunnel for two weeks. He explained that Mr. Treadwell was in Washington in connection with the proposed unmerger of the Southern Pacific and Central Pacific railroads.

*Supervisor Scott* moved that request be granted and hearing be continued until December 18, 1922, at 3 p. m.

*Carried.*

##### Waiting Station at Seventeenth and Castro Streets.

*Supervisor Power* enquired as to what was being done with reference to the construction of a waiting station at Seventeenth and Castro streets.

*Assistant City Engineer Healy* stated plans were being prepared.

##### Improvement of Nineteenth and Mississippi Streets.

*Supervisor Mulvihill* declared that he did not know that any protests had been filed against the proposed improvement of Nineteenth and Mississippi streets. He announced that the Streets Committee will consider protests at its meeting on Thursday, December 7, 1922, at 2 p. m.

##### Relative to Days Off—Members of Police Department.

*Supervisor Robb* reported verbally on the motion made by Supervisor Schmitz at last meeting with reference to the right of police officers to two days off each month. He declared that he appeared before the Police Commission and it was explained that owing to the increase in crime and a large number of men are on the sick list the Commission was compelled to allow the men only two days off in each month. It was explained, he said, that the men were satisfied.

*His Honor Mayor Rolph* declared that it was intended to make up the off time of the members of the Police Department during the year.

*Supervisor Schmitz*: The men have a legal right to this time off; but if it is going to be made up to them during the year, that is a different proposition.

*Mayor Rolph* assured him that the time would be made up.

## REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.

The following committees, by their respective chairmen, presented reports on various matters referred, which reports were read and ordered filed:

Supplies Committee, by Supervisor Hilmer, chairman.

Fire Committee, by Supervisor Deasy, chairman.

Streets and Commercial Development Committee, by Supervisor Mulvihill, chairman.

Relative to Favorable Publicity for School and Relief Home Bonds.

*Supervisor McLeran* called attention to the splendid support given to the City in the matter of favorable publicity for the School and Relief Home bonds. He referred to the Chronicle, Examiner and other journals that supported the bond issue, and spoke of the generous space allotted to the campaign. Front page cartoons and numerous favorable articles urging favorable action by the voters were mentioned. He said that this Board should take some official action indicating to these publications the City's appreciation of their generous support.

*Supervisor McLeran* moved, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, that the Mayor be requested to indicate to the press of San Francisco that their generous support of the School and Relief Home bond issue is deeply appreciated by this Board as the representative of the people for their assistance in that constructive work.

Motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Excused from the meeting—Supervisor Bath—1.

## Explanation of Vote.

*Supervisor Hynes:* If the press of San Francisco wants this little sop for supporting the bond issue for the purpose of housing school children in proper buildings, I will go along, but it means an increase of at least 10 cents for which the taxpayers get nothing.

My only objection is to the method of financing the schools, and I think my record on this Board bears me out. When the next budget is made up the tax rate will go up. There are nine members of this Board who will have to go before the taxpayers next year, and I think the increased tax rate will in some way have to be explained by them. I vote *aye*.

Relative to Repeal of Resolution No. 20476 (New Series), Aquatic Park Jurisdiction.

*Supervisor Hynes* presented and

moved the suspension of the rules for its immediate consideration:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That action taken on Resolution No. 20476, adopted by this Board November 20, 1922, be and is hereby rescinded.

*Supervisor McLeran* moved as an amendment reference to the Finance Committee and Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee.

*His Honor Mayor Rolph* thereupon addressed the Board at length, deploring the unjustifiable criticism directed at the personnel of the Park Commission, especially Mr. Fleishhacker, who he declared to be one of San Francisco's most valuable and devoted citizens, who has done more and is doing more than any other for its advancement and prosperity. He assured the Board that the Aquatic Park was safe in the hands of Mr. Fleishhacker and the other members of the Park Commission and that the City would get results in a very short time as a result of placing jurisdiction in their hands.

*Supervisor Hayden* endorsed all that his Honor the Mayor said, and added his tribute to the worth of Mr. Fleishhacker and the members of the Park Commission.

## Lay on the Table.

*Supervisor Shannon* moved as an amendment to the amendment that Supervisor Hynes' resolution be laid on the table.

Amendment to the amendment carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Noes—Supervisors Hynes, McSheehy—2.

Relative to Report of Public Utilities Committee on Labor Conditions at Hetch Hetchy and Sale of Hetch Hetchy Bonds.

At this point in the proceedings Supervisor McSheehy asked for a report on his resolution with reference to labor conditions at Hetch Hetchy, and also with reference to sale of Hetch Hetchy bonds, which resolution, he declared, was considered at the last meeting of the Public Utilities Committee on Wednesday.

*Supervisor Shannon* explained that that portion of Supervisor McSheehy's resolution referring to labor conditions had been referred to the Board of Public Works at the suggestion of Special Counsel R. Searles for report, and that the portion referring to sale of bonds had been referred to the Finance Committee. When information is at hand the Public Utilities Committee will present its report.

*Supervisor McSheehy* raised question of personal privilege.

*Supervisor Hayden* moved that Board proceed with the Calendar—a question of personal privilege is not germane to this question.

Chair ruled that question was not one of personal privilege; that Public Utilities Committee would report when data was available.

*Supervisor McSheehy* thereupon offered to present a minority report, which was not considered, inasmuch as the majority report was not yet before the Board.

Whereupon, *Supervisor McSheehy* moved that the Public Utilities committee be requested to report next Monday.

*So ordered.*

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

##### Final Passage.

The following resolutions heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Appropriation, \$1,378.69, Underwood Typewriter Co. Inc., Typewriters Furnished Recorder.

Resolution No. 20495 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$1,378.69 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, and authorized in payment to Underwood Typewriter Co. Inc., for 15 Underwood typewriters furnished the County Recorder (claim dated Nov. 6, 1922).

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.  
Appropriation, \$10,000, Credit to Lighting Fund.

Resolution No. 20496 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$10,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, to the credit of "Lighting Streets," Budget Item No. 40, Fiscal Year 1922-1923.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.  
Appropriation, \$1,250, Salary of Stenographer, Juvenile Court.

Resolution No. 20497 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$1,250 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, Fiscal Year 1922-1923, to the credit of Juvenile Court, Appropriation 19-B, being for payment of salary for stenographer, for period

September 1, 1922, to June 30, 1923, as provided by Ordinance No. 5709 (New Series).

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print and amounting to \$40,163.50, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

No—Supervisor Hynes—1.

##### Urgent Necessity.

Speck Mfg. & Gear Co., copyholders, Recorder, \$225.

Louis H. Mooser, Golden Gate Hts. land appraisal, \$50.

Pacific Tel. & Tel. Co., outside phoning, \$6.66.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### NEW BUSINESS.

##### Auditorium Rentals.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 20498 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following persons and organizations be granted permission to occupy the halls in the Auditorium, deposits having been paid to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to guarantee the rental fees:

W. F. Hamilton, use of Main Hall, December 13, 14, 15, 1922, for the purpose of holding circus and bazaar.

August R. Oliva, use of the Main Hall, December 21, 1922, 6 to 12 p. m., for the purpose of holding entertainment for the benefit of the Kiddies' Christmas Fund.

St. Patrick's Day Celebration Committee, use of the Main Hall March 17, 1923, 8 a. m. to 12 p. m., for the purpose of holding entertainment and dance.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

##### Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed* for printing:

##### Authorizations

On motion of Supervisor McLeran:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

*Municipal Railway Fund.*

(1) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., car brake shoes, Municipal Railways (claim dated Nov. 20, 1922), \$1,070.70.

(2) Market Street Railway Co., Municipal Railway proportion of repairs during August (claim dated Nov. 20, 1922), \$606.26.

*Special School Tax.*

(3) Atlas Heating and Ventilating Co., first payment heating and ventilating Oral Deaf School (claim dated Nov. 22, 1922), \$766.12.

(4) Quinn & Reilly, tenth payment, general construction of Emerson School (claim dated Nov. 22, 1922), \$8,195.25.

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(5) F. E. Newberry Electric Co., first and final payment, electric work, Hancock School (claim dated Nov. 22, 1922), \$765.

*Park Fund.*

(6) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for parks (claim dated Nov. 24, 1922), \$760.55.

*Park Fund—Rideout Bequest.*

(7) Secretary Board of Park Commissioners, for purchase of Water Construction Bonds, Issue 1910, including interest accrued (claim dated Nov. 24, 1922), \$9,344.93.

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(8) American Manganese Steel Co., steel and crusher parts (claim dated Nov. 18, 1922), \$1,847.07.

(9) Associated Oil Co., gasoline (claim dated Nov. 17, 1922), \$1,258.53.

(10) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., steel and hardware (claim dated Nov. 17, 1922), \$503.84.

(11) Baumgarten Bros., meats (claim dated Nov. 17, 1922), \$2,901.05.

(12) Frank S. Betz Co., hospital supplies (claim dated Nov. 17, 1922), \$955.37.

(13) William Cluff Co., groceries (claim dated Nov. 18, 1922), \$2,796.42.

(14) Haas Bros., groceries (claim dated Nov. 17, 1922), \$575.65.

(15) Hercules Powder Co., blasting caps (claim dated Nov. 17, 1922), \$2,747.65.

(16) William J. Madden and Josephine C. Madden, payment for lands in San Mateo County required for Hetch Hetchy water supply, per Resolution No. 20438 (New Series) (claim dated Nov. 17, 1922), \$1,400.

(17) J. F. Mitchell, machine parts (claim dated Nov. 17, 1922), \$2,368.78.

(18) Miller & Lux Inc., meats (claim dated Nov. 17, 1922), \$900.11.

(19) J. H. Newbauer & Co., groceries (claim dated Nov. 18, 1922), \$970.89.

(20) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Nov. 18, 1922), \$4,367.59.

(21) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Revolving Fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Nov. 17, 1922), \$2,354.

(22) Pacific Metal Works, sheet copper (claim dated Nov. 18, 1922), \$2,851.16.

(23) H. E. Teller Co., coffee (claim dated Nov. 17, 1922), \$550.02.

(24) Virden Packing Co., meats (claim dated Nov. 17, 1922), \$786.70.

(25) W. D. Ayers Co., butter and eggs (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$2,427.66.

(26) Byron Jackson Iron Works, machine parts (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$969.89.

(27) S. A. Ferretti, meats (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$1,394.89.

(28) Foppiano, Solari & Co., fruits and vegetables (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$633.63.

(29) The Grange Company, hay (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$1,458.41.

(30) The Giant Powder Co. Con., giant powder (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$6,112.88.

(31) Hercules Powder Co., powder (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$5,052.50.

(32) Ingersoll-Rand Co., air compressor (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$1,324.

(33) Keystone Lubricating Co., grease (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$977.99.

(34) H. E. Lowe, pump and drill sharpener, etc. (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$1,982.50.

(35) J. F. Mitchell, machine parts (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$2,363.64.

(36) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$4,989.09.

(37) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Revolving Fund expense, per vouchers attached (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$748.38.

(38) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Revolving Fund expense, per vouchers attached (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$1,563.89.

(39) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Revolving Fund expense, per vouchers attached (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$1,650.08.

(40) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Revolving Fund expense, per vouchers attached (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$1,796.36.

(41) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Revolving Fund expense, per vouchers at-

tached (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$1,831.46.

(42) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Revolving Fund expense, per vouchers attached (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$2,184.97.

(43) Pacific Metal Works, machine parts (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$1,354.22.

(44) P. H. Reardon, machinery and parts (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$685.

(45) Standard Oil Co. Inc., fuel oil, etc. (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$1,237.49.

(46) Scott Co., heating system at Groveland Hospital (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$3,170.30.

(47) Standard Oil Co. Inc., fuel oil, etc. (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$2,098.09.

(48) Standard Underground Cable Co., conductor cable (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$606.

(49) Sherry Bros. Inc., eggs and cheese (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$1,088.89.

(50) Sierra Railway Co. of Cal., car service (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$1,856.86.

(51) George H. Tay Co., black pipe (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$624.91.

(52) Virden Packing Co., meats (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$766.57.

(53) Westinghouse Pacific Coast Brake Co., machinery parts (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$873.30.

(54) Willett & Burr, hoisting engine (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$1,500.

*General Fund, 1922-1923.*

(55) Phillips & Van Orden Co., printing 215,000 Assessor's statements (claim dated Nov. 27, 1922), \$1,150.25.

(55) Associated Oil Co., gasoline and oil, Police Department (claim dated Nov. 13, 1922), \$746.58.

(56) Butler-Veitch Inc., repairs to Police Department auto (claim dated Nov. 13, 1922), \$506.05.

(57) J. W. Leavitt & Co., one Oldsmobile roadster, Police Department (claim dated Nov. 13, 1922), \$1,145.

(58) D. J. O'Brien, police contingent expense (claim dated Nov. 13, 1922), \$750.

(59) Juvenile Court of San Francisco, Juvenile Court expenses for month of October, per vouchers (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$865.13.

(60) Smith, Lynden & Co., groceries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$576.69.

(61) H. Moffatt Co., meats, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$1,163.71.

(62) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, etc., S. F. Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$3,365.73.

(63) Snow & Rothbach, fruits and vegetables, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$759.93.

(64) Levi Strauss & Co., dry goods, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$1,023.

(65) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Relief Home (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$664.94.

(66) Shell Company, fuel oil, Relief Home (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$1,440.

(67) Wm. Cluff Co., groceries, Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 18, 1922), \$1,037.25.

(68) Hooper & Jennings, groceries, Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 22, 1922), \$529.69.

(69) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 22, 1922), \$547.65.

*Tearing-Up Streets Fund.*

(70) T. M. Gallagher, repaving side-sewer trenches (claim dated Nov. 22, 1922), \$1,120.10.

**Appropriation, \$1,700, Retaining Walls and Drains, Lobos Creek Viaduct.**

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$1,700 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, fiscal year 1922-1923, for construction of concrete retaining walls and necessary drains to properly retain pavement at Lobos Creek viaduct.

**Appropriation, \$3,000, Payment to Stephen Potter and Clara W. Jones, for Land Required for Opening Streets, Golden Gate Heights.**

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$3,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, fiscal year 1922-1923, and authorized in payment to Stephen Potter and Clara W. Jones; being payment for lands beginning on the northerly line of Fourteenth avenue 132 feet 5 inches northerly from the northerly line of Lawton street and running thence northerly along the westerly line of Fourteenth avenue 186 feet 2 inches and being of irregular dimensions, as per description by Resolution No. 20432 (New Series); said lands being required for the opening of new streets in so-called Golden Gate Heights (claim dated Nov. 9, 1922).

**Appropriation, \$499, for Removal of Sand on Sidewalk on South Side of Taraval Street From Fourteenth to Forty-fourth Avenues.**

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 20499 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$499 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be

expended out of Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund for removal of sand from sidewalk area on south side of Taraval street from Fortieth avenue to east line of Forty-fourth avenue, and including planting of slopes with barley for protection of Taraval street line of Municipal Railways.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Transfer of Funds for Payment of Interest and Principal on Geary Street Municipal Railway Bonds.**

Also, Resolution No. 20500 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Auditor and the Treasurer be and are directed to transfer, in season to pay interest and principal on Geary Street Railway Bonds, Market Street Railway Bonds and Municipal Railway Bonds to become due on or before January 1, 1923, the following sums or so much thereof as may be necessary:

From the Municipal Railway Fund the sum of \$25,650 to the credit of the Geary Street Railway Bond Interest Fund, and \$1,170 to the credit of the Market Street Railway Bond Interest Fund, and \$77,500 to the credit of Municipal Railway Bond Interest Fund, and from the Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund the sum of \$100,000 to the credit of Municipal Railway Bond Redemption Fund.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Accepting Statements, Market Street Railway Company.**

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 20501 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the statements heretofore filed by the Market Street Railway Company showing gross receipts from passenger fares for the month ending September 30, 1922, upon which percentages in the following amounts are due the City and County under the terms of franchises of said Market Street Railway Company be and the same are hereby accepted, to-wit:

Parnassus and Ninte Ave.....	\$258.32
Parkside Transit Co.....	335.55
Cough St. Railroad.....	41.43

Further Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company is hereby directed to deposit with the Treasurer of the City and County the hereinabove mentioned sums, the same to be placed to the credit of the General Fund.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Auditor to Cancel Certificate of Sale of Property Erroneously Sold for Non-Payment of Taxes.**

Resolution No. 20502 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Auditor and Tax Collector have certified that the taxes on the hereinafter described property for the year 1921 were paid, but through error a duplicate assessment was made and said property was sold for non-payment of the tax thereon, and have recommended that such sale be cancelled; therefore

Resolved, That the Auditor be directed to cancel Sale No. 1321, made June 27, 1922, of Lot 34, Block 5072, Vol. 28, page 64, assessed to Crocker Estate Co.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Passed for Printing.**

The following resolutions were passed for printing:

**Appropriation, District Attorney, Wilkens Case.**

On motion of Supervisor McLeran:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$1,500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, Fiscal Year 1922-1923, for expense by the District Attorney in the continuation of the prosecution of the Wilkens case.

**Appropriations, Building Repairs.**

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, Fiscal Year 1922-1923, for the following purposes, to-wit:

For expense of roof repairs and painting at the Potrero Police Station, \$1,105.

For roof repairs, installation of new doors, window screens and hardware at old Isolation Hospital, Army and De Haro streets, \$573.

**Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-Back Lines No. 7.**

Supervisor McGregor presented:

Resolution No. 20503 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Com-

mission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the westerly side of Thirty-fifth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be four feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-fifth avenue; commencing at a point 125 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be eight feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-fifth avenue; commencing at a point 150 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 300 feet, which said set-back line shall be 12 feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-fifth avenue; commencing at a point 450 feet southerly from the southerly line of Taraval street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be eight feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-fifth avenue; commencing at a point 475 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be four feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-fifth avenue; along the easterly side of Thirty-fifth avenue commencing at a point 100 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be four feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-fifth avenue; commencing at a point 125 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be eight feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-fifth avenue; commencing at a point 150 feet southerly from the line of Taraval street and running thence southerly to the northerly line of Ulloa street, which said set-back line shall be 12 feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-fifth avenue.

Along the westerly side of Thirty-second avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be four feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-second avenue; commencing at a point 125 feet southerly from Taraval street and running

thence southerly to the northerly line of Ulloa street, which said set-back line shall be eight feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-second avenue.

Along the westerly side of Twenty-eighth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be four feet distant from and parallel with the line of Twenty-eighth avenue; commencing at a point 125 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be eight feet distant from and parallel with the line of Twenty-eighth avenue; commencing at a point 150 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 350 feet, which said set-back line shall be 12 feet distant from and parallel with the line of Twenty-eighth avenue; along the easterly line of Twenty-eighth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be five feet distant from and parallel with the line of Twenty-eighth avenue; commencing at a point 125 feet southerly from the line of Taraval street and running thence southerly 350 feet, which said set-back line shall be 10 feet distant from and parallel with the line of Twenty-eighth avenue; commencing at a point 475 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be five feet distant from and parallel with the line of Twenty-eighth avenue.

Along the westerly side of Thirty-third avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be five feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-third avenue; commencing at a point 125 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 375 feet, which said set-back line shall be 10 feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-third avenue; along the easterly side of Thirty-third avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be four feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-third avenue; commencing at a point 125 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be eight feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-third avenue; commencing at a point 150 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 350 feet, which said set-back line shall be 12 feet distant

from and parallel with the line of Thirty-third avenue.

Along the westerly side of Thirty-fourth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be five feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-fourth avenue; commencing at a point 125 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 375 feet, which said set-back line shall be 10 feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-fourth avenue; along the easterly side of Thirty-fourth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 225 feet, which said set-back line shall be five feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-fourth avenue; commencing at a point 125 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 350 feet, which said set-back line shall be 10 feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-fourth avenue; commencing at a point 475 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be five feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-fourth avenue.

Along the westerly line of Twenty-second avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 375 feet, which said set-back line shall be 10 feet distant from and parallel with the line of Twenty-second avenue; commencing at a point 475 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be five feet distant from and parallel with the line of Twenty-second avenue.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors, and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

And notice is hereby given that Tuesday, the 26th day of December, 1922, at the hour of 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishment of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections they may have to said set-back lines, as set forth in this resolution of intention.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

#### City Planning Commission to Recommend Zoning District.

Supervisor McGregor presented:

Resolution No. 20504 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Planning Commission be requested to recommend to this Board an amendment to the Zoning Ordinance so as to place the area bounded by Buchanan, Chestnut, Fillmore streets and the Marina in the Commercial District.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

*Passed for Printing.*

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

#### Establishing Set-Back Lines.

On motion of Supervisor McGregor:

Bill No. 6177, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Sixteenth avenue, Green street, Jordan avenue, Vallejo street and Sixth avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 30th day of October, 1922, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 6 to establish set-back lines along portions of Sixteenth avenue, Green street, Jordan avenue, Vallejo street and Sixth avenue and fixed the 27th day of November, 1922, at 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that no objections were made except those that were duly and properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along both sides of Sixteenth avenue, commencing at the northerly line of Anza street, and running thence northerly to points seventy-five feet southerly from the southerly line of Geary street, which said set-back line shall be ten feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue.

Along the northerly line of Green street between Divisadero street and Scott street, which said set-back line

shall be twenty feet distant from and parallel with the line of Green street.

Along the easterly and westerly sides of Jordan avenue, commencing at California street and running thence southerly to points one hundred feet northerly from the northerly line of Geary street, which said set-back line shall be twelve feet distant from and parallel with the line of Jordan avenue.

Along the northerly side of Vallejo street between Divisadero street and Broderick street, which said set-back line shall be nine feet distant from and parallel with the line of Vallejo street.

Along the westerly line of Sixth avenue, commencing at the southerly line of Lake street, and running thence southerly to a point eighty-three feet and eight inches northerly from the northerly line of California street, which said set-back line shall be eighteen feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixth avenue.

As shown on the map filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors, and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structure shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

#### Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

#### *Hand Laundry.*

Y. Quadt, at 352 Leavenworth street.

#### *Public Garage.*

Joseph Arena and A. W. Hamilton, at 367 Golden Gate avenue; also to store 600 gallons of gasoline on premises.

W. P. Fuller & Co., on east side of Beale street, 137 feet south of Mission street; also to store 1200 gallons of gasoline on premises.

#### *Automobile Parking Station.*

Grady & Scott, on southeast side of Stevenson street, 240 feet northeast of Second street (100 x 142 feet), extending irregularly into Jessie street.

#### *Oil Storage Tank.*

(1500 gallons capacity.)

M. T. Kelly, at 449 O'Farrell street.  
Hayes-Oser Corporation, at southwest corner of Pine and Powell streets.

#### *Boiler.*

J. L. Kraft & Bros. Co., at 757 Sansome street; 25-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

#### Blasting Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That G. McMahan is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts at 4 High street for grading purposes, providing said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of \$5,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided also that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction and under the supervision of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by the said G. McMahan, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

#### Install Street Lights.

Supervisor Power presented:

Resolution No. 20505 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company is hereby instructed to install and change street lights as follows:

#### *Install 600 M. R.*

West side of Mission street between Russia and Leo streets.

West side of Mission street between Leo and Ruth streets.

West side of Mission street between Ruth street and Ocean avenue.

West side of Mission street between Ocean avenue and Bauer street.

West side of Mission street between Norton and Harrington streets.

West side of Mission street between Harrington and Santa Rosa streets.

West side of Mission street between Santa Rosa and Francis streets.

West side of Mission street between Francis and Cotter streets.

West side of Mission street between Theresa and Tingley streets.

West side of Mission street between Bauer and Norton streets.

Portola drive and San Pablo.

Portola drive and Santa Paula avenue.

Portola drive and San Lorenzo way.

Portola drive and Terrace drive.

Santa Clara avenue and Terrace drive.

Santa Clara and Yerba Buena avenues.

Santa Paula and Yerba Buena avenues.

Santa Paula avenue and Santa Monica way.

San Lorenzo way and Santa Monica way.

Terrace drive and Terrace walk.

San Anselmo way, opposite Park.  
San Pablo and Yerba Buena avenues.

San Pablo avenue, second pole south of Portola drive.

San Pablo avenue, second pole north of Yerba Buena avenue.

Yerba Buena between Santa Clara and Santa Paula avenues.

*Change 250 M. R. to 400 M. R.*

Silver and University avenues.

*Install 250 M. R.*

Huron between Sickels and Lawrence streets.

Naples between France and Italy avenues.

Prentice and Powhattan streets.

Twenty-seventh avenue between Cabrillo and Balboa streets.

Capitol avenue between Grafton and Holloway streets.

Heyman and Coleridge streets.

*Install 400 M. R.*

Twenty-seventh avenue and Cabrillo street.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

*Passed for Printing.*

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

**Regulating Installation of Street Lights.**

Supervisor Power presented:

Bill No. 6178, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Prohibiting the placing of street lights or fixtures on new streets without the approval of the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The placing of street lights or street light fixtures along or upon any new street shown upon the map of a subdivision or along any new street opened or changed is hereby prohibited unless a map thereof showing the location of such light or fixture has been filed with the Board of Supervisors and has been approved by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors. The City Engineer and City Planning Commission are hereby directed to disapprove of any map of any subdivision showing location of street lights or fixtures unless such location has been approved by said Lighting Committee.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

*Action Deferred.*

The following resolution was presented and on motion *laid over one week*:

**Dismissal of Condemnation Proceeding for School Land on Berlin Street Near Burrows Street.**

Supervisor Scott presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and is hereby authorized and requested to have the condemnation proceedings now pending in the Superior Courts against the respective owners of parcels of land as hereinafter described dismissed, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Education filed November 13, 1922, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Berlin street, distant thereon 100 feet southerly from the southerly line of Burrows street, running thence southerly along the said easterly line of Berlin street 100 feet; thence at right angles easterly 240 feet to the westerly line of Girard street; thence at right angles northerly 100 feet; thence at right angles westerly 240 feet to the easterly line of Berlin street and point of commencement. Being parcels 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13 as delineated in the schedule in Block No. 5983.

**Accepting Offer to Sell Land in Tuolumne County for Regulating Reservoir Site, Hetch Hetchy.**

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 20506 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco from Francis M. Mecartea, Walter L. Mecartea, Frank E. Mecartea, Austin N. Mecartea, Eugene E. Mecartea, Mrs. Alice E. Hall, Maud Femons, Daniel Corcoran and George S. Mecartea of the following described land, situated in the County of Tuolumne, State of California, required as additional land for the Priest regulating reservoir of the Hetch Hetchy water supply project, viz.:

A 10-acre tract of land, being portions of the northeast  $\frac{1}{4}$  of the northwest  $\frac{1}{4}$  and the northwest  $\frac{1}{4}$  of the northeast  $\frac{1}{4}$  of Section 1, Township 2 South, Range 15 East, M. D. B. and M., adjoining the northerly line of Township 2 South, Range 15 East, for the sum of one hundred fifty and 00/100 dollars (\$150.00)—all mineral rights being reserved by the present owners; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer, the offer of said owners to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the above-mentioned property for the sum of \$150 be and the same is hereby accepted. Be it further

Resolved, That the Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy water supply is

hereby authorized and directed to notify said owners of the acceptance of their said offer, to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record, with a copy of this resolution attached thereto, as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Dismissal of Condemnation Proceedings and Purchase of Pole Line Right of Way, Hetch Hetchy Water Supply.**

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 20507 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, there has been pending in the Superior Court of the County of Tuolumne a condemnation suit for the acquisition of a right of way for a transmission line across the land of C. F. Leithold; and

Whereas, the defendant in said case has offered to settle the same and to convey the land in question to the City and County of San Francisco upon receipt of the sum of \$232.40 for the land in question, \$167.60 for timber cut therefrom, and counsel's fee and costs in the amount of \$125, or a total of \$525; and

Whereas, the City Engineer and Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy water supply have recommended payment of said sum as being a reasonable settlement of said litigation; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the purchase of said pole line right of way, consisting of 23.24 acres, from said C. F. Leithold, and the settlement and dismissal of said litigation upon payment of the sum of \$525, is hereby authorized.

The Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy water supply is hereby directed to supervise said transaction, to accept and record the necessary deeds conveying a clear title to said property to the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the purchase price of same; and when said transaction shall have been completed, to dismiss the pending condemnation suit.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Hetch Hetchy Damage Claim, Henry Hiniker.**

Also, Resolution No. 20508 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, Upon recommendation of the City Engineer and the Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy water supply project, that the sum of ninety and 00/100 dollars (\$90.00) be paid to Henry Hiniker, in full satisfaction for injury and damage to his property in Section 35, T. 1 S., R. 15 E., Tuolumne County, California, caused by fires resulting from the operation of the Hetch Hetchy Railroad.

The Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy water supply project is authorized to pay said sum to said claimant upon receipt of the proper release.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Accepting Offers to Purchase Lands in San Mateo County for Aqueduct Right of Way.**

Resolution No. 20509 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco from the following described owners of the following described parcels of land, situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, required as a right of way for the aqueduct of the Hetch Hetchy water supply project, for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

(1) The following lands, shown on map entitled "Map No. 1, Dumbarton Oaks," filed in office of County Recorder of San Mateo County January 20, 1908, in Book 5 of Maps, at page 56:

Anna Lagomarsino, lot 24, block 64, \$300.

Charles Henry Hoff and Josephine Hoff, lots 25 and 26, block 64, together with improvements thereon, \$1,100.

Joseph J. Lane and Donald F. Feliz, lots 28, 30, 31 and 32, block 60, together with improvements, \$1,860.

Gilbert Gunderson and Sarah Gunderson, lot 21, block 61, \$200.

(2) The following lands, shown on map entitled "Plat of Dumbarton," filed in office of County Recorder of San Mateo County September 28, 1907, in Book 5 of Maps, page 32:

Charles F. Weeber, lot 9, block 34, \$135.

Conrad Preatzel, lots 24 and 25, block 25, \$270.

Robert H. Bird, lots 12 and 13, block 26, \$270.

E. C. Souney, lot 18, block 26, \$600.

C. M. Lee, lot 1, block 22, \$300.

(3) The following land, shown on map entitled "North Fair Oaks," filed in office of County Recorder of San Mateo County August 8, 1907, Book 5 of Maps, at page 21:

Nettie Childs, fractional portion of lot 46, block 9, as per offer on file, \$10.

(4) The following lands, shown on map entitled, "Map of Oak Knoll Manor, Redwood City, San Mateo County, Cal.," filed in office of County Recorder of San Mateo County May 10, 1916, Book 10 of Maps, pages 4 to 11:

G. D. Pollock and Helen Pollock—

Parcel 1. Fractional portion of lot 6, block 23, as per offer on file.

Parcel 2. Right of way easement 15 feet in width, for construction, operation and maintenance of drain pipe extending across, through and underneath portion of lot 6, block 23, as per offer on file, \$360.

Provided, that said pipe shall be laid across and underneath the surface of said lot adjacent to its northwesterly boundary so as not to interfere with building operations on said lot, and the parties of the first part agree not to place any buildings on said pipe line right of way, but reserve the right to plant grass, shrubs, vines or flowers over same;

Provided further, that if it ever becomes necessary to excavate said lot along the line of said easement for repair or replacement of said pipe, the party of the second part will at its own expense replace all grass, shrubs, vines or flowers disturbed or damaged in said excavating work.

It is made a condition of the deed that the parties of the first part shall have the right to plant grass upon the 60-foot right of way strip which is a part of parcel 1 hereinabove described.

Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer, the above-described offers of the above-named property owners to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the above-mentioned parcels of land for the sums set opposite their respective names be and the same are hereby accepted. Be it further

Resolved, That the Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy water supply is hereby authorized and directed to notify said parties of the acceptance of their said offers, to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record, with a copy of this resolution attached thereto, as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Ordering Street Work, Coleridge Street.

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill:

Bill No. 6179, Ordinance No. —

(New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 8, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Coleridge street between Eugenia avenue and Lizzie street, including the crossing of Coleridge street and Eugenia avenue and Coleridge street and Lizzie street, by grading to official line and grade; by resetting the existing granite curbs and catchbasins and by reconstructing existing culverts on the crossing of Coleridge street and Eugenia avenue; by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with 9 Y branches and one brick manhole with frame,

cover and steps along the center line of Coleridge street from a point 20 feet northerly from Lizzie street to the southerly line of Eugenia avenue; by the construction of a 10-inch ironstone pipe sewer and one brick manhole with frame, cover and steps along the center line of Lizzie street between the easterly and westerly lines of Coleridge street, by the construction of concrete curbs between the southerly line of Eugenia avenue and the northerly line of Lizzie street; by the construction of concrete curbs and artificial stone sidewalks 12 feet in width on the easterly side of Coleridge street between the property lines of Lizzie street; by the construction of concrete curbs and artificial stone sidewalks on the northwesterly and southwesterly angular corners of the crossing of Coleridge street and Lizzie street; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners of the crossing of Coleridge street and Eugenia avenue where not already constructed, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**Ordering Street Work, Plymouth Avenue.**

Also, Bill No. 6180, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 8, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid

in five installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Plymouth avenue between Ocean avenue and Lakeview avenue*, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width where artificial stone sidewalks at least six feet in width are not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**Ordering Street Work, Silliman Street.**

Also, Bill No. 6181, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 8, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in five installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Silliman street between Goettingen street and Somerset street*, by the construction of arti-

ficial stone sidewalks of the full official width where not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**Ordering Street Work, Eighth Street.**

Also, Bill No. 6182, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 10, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in five installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Eighth street between the easterly line of Carolina street and the northerly line of Sixteenth street, including the intersection of Hooper, Irwin and Wisconsin streets with Eighth street*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of the following vitrified, salt-glazed, ironstone pipe sewers and appurtenances and brick manholes with cast iron frames and covers and galvanized wrought iron steps; a 12-inch with 6 Y branches and one brick manhole along the center line of Eighth street from the existing manhole on the center line of Hooper street, produced, to a point at its intersection with the center line of Wisconsin

street, produced; a 12-inch with 2 Y branches and one brick manhole along the center line of Wisconsin street, produced, from the last-described point to a point on the center line of Irwin street, produced; by the construction of ten (10) brick catchbasins with cast iron frames, gratings and traps and 10-inch vitrified, salt-glazed, ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width, and by the construction of concrete curbs.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**Ordering Street Work, Hearst Avenue.**

Also, Bill No. 6183, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 8, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Hearst avenue between Edna street and Detroit street*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of granite curbs where not already constructed, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Fixing December 11, 1922, Twenty-ninth Avenue Appeal.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20510 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Monday, December 11, 1922, at 3 p. m., is hereby fixed as the time for hearing the appeal of property owners from the action and decision of the Board of Public Works in overruling the objections of property owners against the improvement of Twenty-ninth avenue between Fulton street and Cabrillo street by grading to official line and grade.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Fixing December 11, 1922, Edinburgh Street Appeal.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20511 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Monday, December 11, 1922, at 3 p. m., is hereby fixed as the time for hearing the appeal of property owners from the action and decision of the Board of Public Works in overruling the objections of property owners against the improvement of Edinburgh street between the northerly line of France avenue and Amazon avenue, including the crossings of Edinburgh street and France avenue and Edinburgh street and Italy avenue.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was passed for printing:

#### Ordering Street Work.

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill: Bill No. 6184, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 9, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is here-

by ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in five installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Fifteenth street between Bryant street and Florida street*, by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with 8 Y branches and one brick manhole along the center line of Fifteenth street between Bryant and Florida streets; by the construction of two brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Closing and Abandoning Rutledge Street Between Holladay Avenue and San Bruno Avenue.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20512 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board has, by Resolution No. 20396 (New Series), declared its intention to close and abandon Rutledge street between Holladay avenue and San Bruno avenue;

Whereas, proper notice of said resolution and of said proposed closing and abandonment of said Rutledge street was duly given by the Board of Public Works of said City and County of San Francisco by publication and posting in the manner provided by Section 2, Chapter 3, Article 6 and the sections of said chapter and article following said Section 2 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, more than ten days have elapsed after the expiration of the time of publication of said notice; and

Whereas, no objection or protest has

been made against the closing up and abandonment of Rutledge street between Holladay avenue and San Bruno avenue as provided in the Charter, or at all; and

Whereas, said work is for closing up and abandonment of Rutledge street between Holladay avenue and San Bruno avenue, and it appears that no assessment is necessary therefor; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the closing up and abandonment of Rutledge street between Holladay avenue and San Bruno avenue more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of San Bruno avenue, distant thereon seven hundred and eighty-seven and thirty hundredths (787.30) feet northerly from the northerly line of Lot 282, Precita Valley Lands; running thence northerly and along the westerly line of San Bruno avenue forty and fifty-two hundredths (40.52) feet; thence deflecting to the left 99 deg. 09 min. and 40 sec. and running westerly three hundred and eighty-one hundredths (300.81) feet to the easterly line of Holladay avenue; thence southerly and along the easterly line of Holladay avenue forty and fifty-eight hundredths (40.58) feet; thence deflecting to the left 99 deg. 42 min. 30 sec. and running easterly three hundred and one and twenty-hundredths (301.20) feet to the westerly line of San Bruno avenue and the point of commencement, is hereby ordered and said Rutledge street between Holladay avenue and San Bruno avenue is hereby closed and abandoned as a public street.

Further Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco reserves the right to maintain and operate the existing sewer over the land formerly known as Rutledge street between Holladay avenue and San Bruno avenue, with the right to enter upon said lands for the purpose of making necessary repairs to said sewer, but shall not be liable for any damage which may be occasioned thereby.

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Board of Public Works, and the Board of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law and the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the San Francisco Chronicle as required by law.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, Mc-

Leran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Wetmore—17.

Excused from voting—Supervisor Welch—1.

Fixing December 4, 1922, Peru Avenue Hearing.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20513 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Monday, December 4, 1922, at 3 p. m., is hereby fixed as the time for hearing the appeal of Clarence B. Eaton and property owners from the assessment issued for the improvement of Peru avenue between the easterly line of Lisbon street and the easterly line of Madrid street; including the crossing of Peru avenue and Madrid street.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

*Closing Certain Streets.*

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20514 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that Wawona street, Twenty-ninth avenue and Thirtieth avenue, as hereinafter described, be closed; be it further

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close Wawona street, Twenty-ninth avenue and Thirtieth avenue, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows:

Parcel 1. All of Wawona street lying between the westerly line of Twenty-eighth avenue and a line parallel with and distant 51.303 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue.

Parcel 2. All of Twenty-ninth avenue lying between the southerly line of Vicente street and a line parallel with and distant 50 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Wawona street.

Parcel 3. All of Thirtieth avenue lying between the southerly line of Vicente street and the northerly line of Wawona street.

Said closing of Wawona street, Twenty-ninth avenue and Thirtieth avenue shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 of Chapter 3 of Article 6 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, as amended, and the sections of said chapter and article following said Section 2.

Be it further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing

up of said Wawona street. Twenty-ninth avenue and Thirtieth avenue be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Abatement of Sidewalk Nuisance.**

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 20515 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with Resolution No. 74966 (Second Series), passed by the Board of Public Works, requesting it be authorized to abate nuisance consisting of carpenter staging erected on sidewalk fronting premises No. 1750 Pacific avenue, be and the said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized to abate said nuisance.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**Passed for Printing.**

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

**Spur Track Permits.**

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill:

Bill No. 6185, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to George W. Lamb to maintain and operate a spur track from the spur track of the Southern Pacific Company in First street, to serve the property at the northwest corner of First and Federal streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors is hereby granted to George W. Lamb to construct, maintain and operate a spur track from the spur track of the Southern Pacific Company in First street; thence over and across First street, to serve the property at the northwest corner of First and Federal streets, as shown on blue print.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted, and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall

be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement, and any additional requirements for the surface drainage to be paid for by George W. Lamb.

Provided, that the relocation of the existing high pressure fire hydrant be done at the expense of George W. Lamb, and girder rails be used in the construction of this spur track.

Provided, that George W. Lamb shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 6186, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Richard Hellman (Incorporated) to construct, maintain and operate a spur track from the tracks of the Southern Pacific Company at Sixteenth and Harrison streets as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Richard Hellman (Incorporated) to construct, maintain and operate a spur track from the tracks of the Southern Pacific Company, beginning at a point on the center line of the Southern Pacific Company main line in Treat avenue, 300 feet plus or minus northerly from the northerly line of Sixteenth street; thence southerly through a No. 7 turnout to the left 62.6 feet; thence southerly to a point on the northerly line of Sixteenth street 10.5 feet west of the westerly line of Harrison street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's Office, and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement, and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by Richard Hellman (Incorporated).

Provided that girder rails be used in the construction of this spur track, and that the existing manhole on the main sewer be reconstructed or relocated, if necessary, and that all work be done under the supervision of the Board of Public Works.

Provided that Richard Hellman (Incorporated) shall erect and maintain all-night lighted are lamps where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 6187, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to P. L. Burr to lay down and use tracks and operate cars over and across Twelfth avenue at Rivera street for the purpose of moving material from the easterly to the westerly side of Twelfth avenue, Blocks 2205 and 2206.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to P. L. Burr to lay down and use tracks and operate cars over and across Twelfth avenue at Rivera street for the purpose of moving material from the easterly to the westerly side of Twelfth avenue, Blocks 2205 and 2206.

Section 2. The tracks shall be laid down and operated under the supervision and to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### Transfer of Laundry Equipment to Isolation Hospital.

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 20516 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Resolution No. 20418 (New Series), approved November 1, 1922, providing for sale at public auction of old laundry machinery, located at Juvenile Detention Home, be hereby rescinded; and ordered that said equipment be transferred to the Isolation Hospital.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Clerk to Advertise for Proposals for Blankets for San Francisco Hospital.

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 20517 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk be directed to advertise for proposals for furnishing 500 blankets required by San Fran-

cisco Hospital, as per specifications prepared by the Supplies Committee.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Mayor to Sell Automobile Chassis, etc., at Mission Police Station Garage.

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 20518 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and hereby is authorized and requested, pursuant to petition filed by the Chief of Police, to sell at public auction the following personal property unfit and unnecessary for the use of the City and County, viz.:

One Knox chassis, formerly patrol No. 5.

One Knox chassis, formerly patrol No. 6.

One lot of old tires, tubes and broken automobile parts.

One oxygen tank.

Located at Mission Police Station garage.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Award of Contract, Equipment, San Francisco Hospital.

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 20519 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That contracts for furnishing equipment for San Francisco Hospital be and the same are hereby awarded to the following individuals, firms or corporations in strict accordance with their bids submitted November 13, 1922; that the amounts of the surety bonds required for the faithful performance of said contracts are hereby fixed at the amounts set below their respective names; that said contracts are hereby awarded as per the item number and article enumerated and appearing in their respective bids, viz.:

THE AMERICAN LAUNDRY MACHINERY CO.

(Bond fixed at \$1,000.)

Item No.

1 Cascade washer, with monel metal cylinder and tub sheets . . . . . \$3,670.00  
Placing machine in position.. 25.00

\$3,695.00

EAMES CO.

(No bond required.)

10 6 dressing carriages at \$65..\$ 390.00

MANGRUM & OTTER, INC.

(Bond fixed at \$500.)

4 One oil-burning range.....\$1,492.00

## PERCY J. MEYER &amp; CO.

(Bond fixed at \$100.)

- 15 8 wheel stretchers for the  
sum of .....\$ 424.00

## REID BROS., INC.

(Bond fixed at \$500.)

- 6 One instrument cabinet .....\$ 79.00  
7 375 chart holders at \$2.20..... 825.00  
11 18 panel screens at \$7.20..... 129.60  
12 16 irrigating stands at \$6..... 96.00  
13 1 desk chairs at \$7.50..... 30.00  
16 6 stools at \$9..... 54.00  
22 12 wheel chairs at \$37.50.... 450.00  
23 141 aluminum trays at \$2.20.. 316.80

## TRAVERS SURGICAL CO.

(Bond fixed at \$200.)

- 5 One operating table .....\$ 415.00  
19 Ten examination tables at \$49 490.00

TROY LAUNDRY MACHINERY  
CO., LTD.

(Bond fixed at \$1,000.)

- 2 Hot air dry room  
tumbler .....\$3,460.00  
Less allowance for re-  
turn of one Troy  
metal cabinet dry  
room with 3 trucks,  
fan and counter-  
shaft ..... 550.00  
—————\$2,910.00

## WALTERS SURGICAL CO.

(No bond required.)

- 14 3 umbrella stands for the  
sum of .....\$ 36.00  
17 10 revolving stools for the  
sum of ..... 67.00  
20 4 instrument tables for the  
sum of ..... 54.00

Further Resolved, That the sufficiency of the sureties upon the above enumerated bonds shall be subject to the approval of the Mayor.

Further Resolved, That all other bids submitted for said articles are hereby rejected.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

## Award of Contract, Gravel.

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 20520 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Niles Sand, Gravel & Rock Co. be and hereby is awarded a contract effective from December 1, 1922, to June 30, 1923, for furnishing the following supplies, in strict conformity with its bid submitted May 1, 1922, viz.:

Item No. 16010 (a), (b), (c), (d) gravel at \$1.35 per ton.

Any reduction in freight rates for buyer's account.

Further Resolved, That this contract is supplemental to awards made for annual supplies 1922-23, per Resolution No. 20115 (New Series), approved July 19, 1922.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, Mc-

Leran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION  
OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MO-  
TIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR RE-  
PORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Extension of Time, E. J. Treacy.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20522 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That E. J. Treacy is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after December 4, 1922, within which to complete contract for improvement of Girard street between Olmstead and Mansell streets.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor has been delayed on account of allowing a 5-foot fill to settle. The grading has been completed and it is desirable that the fill be allowed to settle before paving.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

Extension of Time, City Construction  
Company.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20523 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That City Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after November 30, 1922, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Hearst avenue between Congo and Detroit streets.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that the paving has been delayed on account of allowing the fill to settle. The greater part of the grading has been completed.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

## Install Street Lights.

Supervisor Power presented:

Resolution No. 20524 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company is hereby instructed to install street lights as follows:

## Install 250 C. P.

West side of Colon avenue, south of Monterey boulevard, pole 55.

Northwest corner of Valdez and Montecito avenues, pole 56.

Southwest corner of Hazelwood and Montecito avenues, pole 57.

Northeast corner of Hazelwood and Montecito avenues, pole 58.

South side of Greenwood avenue between Valdez and Colon avenues.

*Install 400 M. R.*

Monterey boulevard, 1794 feet west of Faxon avenue, pole 47.

Monterey boulevard, 1260 feet west of Faxon avenue, pole 48.

Monterey boulevard, 720 feet west of Faxon avenue, pole 49.

Monterey boulevard at Montecito avenue, pole 59.

*Install Electroliers.*

West side of Sansome street between Bush and Sutter streets, pole 2.

East side of Sansome street between Bush and Sutter streets, pole 1.

South side of Bush street between Montgomery and Sansome streets, pole 1.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

*Masquerade Ball, N. S. G. W.*

Supervisor Robb presented:

Resolution No. 20525 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted to the Homeless Children Committee, N. S. G. W. and N. D. G. W., to conduct a prize masquerade ball at the Exposition Auditorium on Wednesday evening, November 29, 1922, upon payment of the usual license fee.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

*Masquerade Ball, American-British War Veterans.*

Supervisor Robb presented:

Resolution No. 20526 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted American-British War Veterans to hold a masquerade ball at Eagles' Hall, Golden Gate avenue near Hyde street, Saturday evening, November 25, 1922, upon payment of the usual license fee.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

*M. H. de Young Commended for Contemplated New Wing to Park Museum.*

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 20527 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, attention has been called to a communication addressed to the Board of Park Commissioners from M. H. de Young, that he is contemplating the building of an additional unit or wing to the museum in Golden Gate Park for the installation of a bird collection, and also to provide a lecture hall; and

Whereas, it was through his generosity and commendable public spirit the present beautiful museum in Golden Gate Park was erected and dedicated to the City; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors extend to M. H. de Young, on behalf of the people of San Francisco, a full appreciation of his proposed additional gift to be enjoyed by our citizens and the visiting public.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

*City Engineer to Negotiate Purchase of Abandoned Ocean Shore Right of Way.*

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 20494 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Resolution No. 19503 (New Series), adopted December 12, 1921, directed the City Engineer to negotiate for the purchase by the City and County of any rights that the Ocean Shore Railway may have to its abandoned roadbed in this City, and to report the result of such negotiations, and no such report has been made; therefore,

Resolved, That the attention of the City Engineer be directed to said resolution and that he be requested to submit the report called for at an early date.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 6:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk.

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 27, 1922.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors October 1, 1923.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series), of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, December 4, 1922.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



**THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY**

77 Sutter Street, S. F.

J

M

(is

re

in

H

M

R

W

pr

pl

so

re

De

m

re

re

Pr

m

w

to

pe

Cl

ai

pe

gi

Cl

su

th

be

be

Ti

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

---

MONDAY, DECEMBER 4, 1922, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, December 4, 1922, 2 p. m.  
The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Schmitz—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over for approval until next meeting.

### ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

#### Column of Progress.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

*Communication*—From Outdoor Art Department, California Club, recommending that the "Column of Progress" remain on its present site and not be removed.

Read and ordered *filed*.

#### Gift of Marina Lands.

*Communication*—From Exposition Preservation League, submitting statement of its activities in connection with its tender of certain Marina lands to be granted to the City for park purposes as a memorial of the P. P. I. E.

*Supervisor McLeran* moved that the Clerk acknowledge the communication and that that "when deeds are prepared City will formally accept the gift in the Mayor's office."

*So ordered.*

#### Relative to Duboce Tunnel.

*Supervisor Wetmore* moved that the Clerk be authorized and directed to subpoena witnesses in the matter of the protest against the proposed Duboce tunnel, requesting attendance at next hearing on December 11, 1922. This motion, he said, is made upon

the request of Attorney Treadwell for the protestants.

*Motion carried.*

### REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.

The following committees, by their respective chairmen, presented reports on various matters referred, which reports were read and ordered *filed*:

Supplies Committee, by Supervisor Rossi, chairman.

Fire Committee, by Supervisor Deasy, chairman.

Streets and Commercial Development Committee, by Supervisor Mulvihill, chairman.

Lands and Tunnels Committee, by Supervisor Wetmore, chairman.

### HEARING OF APPEAL—3 P. M.

Hearing of appeal of Clarence B. Eaton and property owners from the assessment issued for the improvement of Peru avenue between the easterly line of Lisbon street and the easterly line of Madrid street, including the crossing of Peru avenue and Madrid street.

#### Action Deferred.

With reference to foregoing, Supervisor Mulvihill presented the following resolution, which was *adopted*:

Resolution No. 20545 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Monday, December 11, 1922, at 3 p. m., is hereby fixed as the time for hearing the appeal of Clarence B. Eaton and property owners from the assessment issued for the improvement of Peru avenue between the easterly line of Lisbon street and the easterly line of Madrid street, including the crossing of Peru avenue and Madrid street.

Resolution No. 20513 (New Series), is hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Schmitz—1.

Report of Public Utilities Committee on McSheehy Hetch Hetchy Resolution.

The following report was presented and read by the Clerk:

To the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco:

Your Committee on Public Utilities, to which was referred the resolution of Supervisor McSheehy, relative to labor conditions at Hetch Hetchy, and asking for certain financial statements connected with Hetch Hetchy construction, reports thereon as follows:

That the portions of said resolution as relate to labor conditions have been referred to the Board of Public Works for investigation and report.

That such portions of said resolution as request information of a financial character have been referred to the Finance Committee for a report thereon.

Respectfully submitted,

WARREN SHANNON,

JOSEPH MULVIHILL,

MARGARET MARY MORGAN,

Committee.

#### Minority Report.

Whereupon, the following minority report was presented and read by the Clerk:

As a member of the Public Utilities Committee of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I wish to file a minority report of the same, to-wit:

On May 8, 1922, Resolution No. 19957 (New Series) was adopted and signed by the Mayor. This resolution was in the form of a questionnaire asking for certain information in reference to the sale of \$21,826,000 of Hetch Hetchy bonds, in which this City received \$18,937,463—actually losing \$2,888,537.

This resolution contained nine questions; seven to be answered by the City Treasurer and two by the Finance Committee of this Board. The seven questions asked of the Treasurer were satisfactorily answered. The two asked of the Finance Committee were answered in a most evasive manner; in fact not actually answered at all.

On July 17, 1922, I offered a resolution that covered this same subject and asked for a clearer explanation from the Finance Committee than they submitted on June 12, 1922.

This resolution was submitted to the Public Utilities Committee, and for one reason or another it lay there for four months. On November 23, 1922, it was referred back to the Finance Committee on the grounds that the Public Utilities Committee have not jurisdiction over the Finance Committee over my protest, to-wit:

(1) The City lost \$2,888,537. This fact has never been denied, and cannot be denied.

(2) The City is losing annually \$200,000 in interest on these bonds.

(3) We are paying  $5\frac{1}{2}$  per cent interest on Hetch Hetchy bonds and receiving  $4\frac{1}{2}$  per cent interest on government bonds.

(4) The first block of \$13,306,000 bonds were sold on August 1, 1921; the second block of \$8,520,000 were sold on February 15, 1922.

(5) We now have cash on hand this 25th day of November, 1922, \$415,441,881 in the form of United States Government bonds, which are being converted into cash as the bills fall due.

(6) In sixteen months we have spent \$2,495,558, or \$218,474 per month. At this rate it would require seven years and two months to spend \$18,937,463.

(7) We could have done with our Hetch Hetchy water bonds just what we are doing with our government bonds, and we would not have lost \$2,888,537 and \$200,000 annually in interest.

(8) This is not a matter of jurisdiction; it is a matter in which the City has lost a large sum of money. Every member of this Board, including his Honor the Mayor, is responsible, and the blame should be fixed on the party or parties who advised the sale and the giving of an option on such a large block of bonds.

(9) The Construction Company of North America were the successful bidders for these bonds; they were the only bidders, through their attorney, Mr. Humphrey. They are anxious that this matter be thoroughly investigated, as Mr. Humphrey feels that they are more or less under fire.

Therefore, as a member of the committee, I ask that the subject matter referred to in Resolution No. 19957 be referred back to the Public Utilities Committee and that the Finance Committee report said answer back to this Board in thirty days from date.

Somebody is guilty of a gross misrepresentation of facts, and as one member of this Board I feel that the party or parties who advised the sale and the giving of an option on such a large block of bonds should be made known. We are the legislative branch of the City government and we are obliged to depend on the executive branch for advice on matters of this kind, so therefore I hope you will not attempt to whitewash this matter by referring it to the committee that advised the sale of these bonds. It is like asking a man to try his own case. There can be but one answer.

JAS. B. MCSHEEHY.

Discussion: Supervisors McSheehy, McLeran, Hynes, Mayor Rolph.

Mayor Rolph called attention to the enormous loss the City suffered if the

work at Hetch Hetchy had been stopped, the working force disbanded and the property allowed to lay idle. He declared that we would have water and power here in great quantities in two years, which, he said, would pay good interest on the investment. This being due to the City's policy to prosecute the work continuously without delay.

On account of important business in his office, his Honor the Mayor at this point was obliged to leave and was granted permission to withdraw by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisor Schmitz—1.

#### Motion to Lay on Table.

*Supervisor Scott* moved that further consideration of the minority report be laid on the table.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Mulvihill, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon—19.

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Hynes, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Welch, Wetmore—7.

Absent—Supervisor Schmitz—1.

#### Explanation of Vote.

*Supervisor Hynes* explained his vote: This is an attempt to muzzle discussion.

*Supervisor McLeran*: The matter has been referred to the Finance Committee and report will be made in due time.

*Supervisor Scott*: Further discussion is a waste of time.

#### Municipal Symphony Concerts.

*Supervisor Colman* called attention to the excellence of the Symphony Concerts arranged by the Auditorium Committee and given to the public at popular prices. Marcel Dupre, Mme. Gadski, he said, and other eminent artists are engaged and the concerts have received the direct commendation from the music-loving public. He commended the activities of the Auditorium Committee. The widest publicity, he said, should be given these activities and the Auditorium Committee should receive the fullest co-operation of the Board.

*Supervisor McLeran* added his appreciation of the good work being done by the Auditorium Committee also, and said that he had heard many expressions of appreciation from others.

*Supervisor Hayden*, chairman of the

Auditorium Committee, expressed his thanks for the expressions of appreciation from Supervisors Colman and McLeran and explained what the Auditorium Committee had accomplished in the way of giving to the public high-class entertainment at popular prices and what it was hoped to accomplish in the future with the co-operation of the Board.

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

##### Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

##### Authorizations.

Resolution No. 20528 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

##### Library Fund.

(1) J. W. Bender Roofing Co., roofing main Library Building (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$2,455.

(2) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$1,004.91.

(3) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$1,593.84.

(4) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$3,384.06.

(5) S. F. News Co., library books (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$1,887.20.

##### Special School Tax.

(6) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Agassiz School (claim dated Oct. 7, 1922), \$860.59.

(7) J. Greenback, fifth payment, lathing, plastering, etc., Emerson School (claim dated Nov. 15, 1922), \$5,018.75.

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(8) Gladding, McBean & Co., first payment, roofing, Mission High School addition (claim dated Nov. 15, 1922), \$3,720.94.

(9) M. B. McGowan, third payment, brick, stone and terra cotta work, Columbus School addition (claim dated Nov. 15, 1922), \$5,084.15.

(10) John Reid, Jr., tenth payment, architectural service, Mission High School addition (claim dated Nov. 15, 1922), \$734.70.

(11) Robert Trost, seventh payment, general construction of North Beach (Galileo) High School (claim dated Nov. 15, 1922), \$6,038.07.

##### Municipal Railway Fund.

(12) Eccles & Smith Co. Inc., Municipal Railway car cushions (claim dated Nov. 15, 1922), \$1,147.50.

(13) Market Street Railway Co.,

October reimbursement, under agreement of December 12, 1918 (claim dated Nov. 15, 1922), \$1,149.73.

(14) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished (claim dated Nov. 15, 1922), \$2,172.83.

(15) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., mazda lamps for railways (claim dated Nov. 15, 1922), \$775.80.

(16) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electricity for railways (claim dated Nov. 15, 1922), \$33,038.13.

(17) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement Fund, Municipal Railway pensions and gratuities for October (claim dated Nov. 13, 1922), \$5,602.31.

*General Fund, 1922-1923.*

(18) Flynn & Collins, Ford roadster, Police Department (claim dated Oct. 30, 1922), \$590.19.

(19) Producers Hay Co., hay, etc., for Police Department (claim dated Oct. 30, 1922), \$1,053.43.

(20) U. S. Army and Navy Goods Store, blankets for County Jails (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$513.

(21) Old Homestead Bakery, bread for County Jails (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$564.56.

(22) Louis Abrams, furnishing election booth lamps, chairs, etc. (claim dated Nov. 13, 1922), \$4,975.10.

(23) Felix Gross Co., partial payment, hauling, erecting, etc., of election booths (claim dated Nov. 13, 1922), \$2,500.

(24) Felix Gross Co., delivering supplies to election booths (claim dated Nov. 13, 1922), \$710.08.

(25) Associated Oil Co., fuel oil, Fire Department (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$919.35.

(26) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished Fire Department (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$3,419.63.

(27) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electricity furnished Fire Department (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$1,371.13.

(28) Shell Company, fuel oil to Fire Department (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$1,053.38.

(29) Standard Oil Co., gasoline, etc., to Fire Department (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$1,299.30.

(30) M. Greenberg's Sons, Fire Department hydrants (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$3,500.

(31) Goodyear Rubber Co., Sewer Department hose (claim dated Nov. 14, 1922), \$636.

(32) Standard Oil Co., street repair asphalt (claim dated Nov. 14, 1922), \$3,187.25.

(33) George Anderson, street cleaning cans (claim dated Nov. 14, 1922), \$700.

(34) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., October lighting public buildings (claim dated Nov. 14, 1922), \$3,159.11.

(35) Spring Valley Water Co., Oc-

tober water for public buildings (claim dated Nov. 14, 1922), \$1,563.94.

(36) Dieterich-Post Co., blue print paper and cloth, Department of Public Works (claim dated Nov. 14, 1922), \$885.28.

(37) Wm. Cluff Co., groceries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$968.24.

(38) Hooper & Jennings, groceries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$1,168.84.

(39) Miller & Lux, meats, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$979.48.

(40) Sherry Bros., butter, etc., San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$1,517.50.

(41) L. Dinkelspiel Co., dry goods, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$2,882.52.

(42) Levi Strauss & Co., dry goods, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$604.35.

(43) Shell Oil Co., fuel oil, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$2,232.

(44) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished hospitals (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$1,530.19.

(45) California Printing Co., printing for Stationery Department (claim dated Nov. 20, 1922), \$744.95.

(46) H. S. Crocker Co., printing, Stationery Department (claim dated Nov. 20, 1922), \$805.31.

(47) San Francisco Chronicle, official advertising, Board of Supervisors (claim dated Nov. 20, 1922), \$2,921.48.

(48) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., October street lighting (claim dated Nov. 20, 1922), \$45,443.69.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Schmitz—1.

**Appropriation, School Buildings.**

Resolution No. 20529 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax for furniture and equipment for the following schools, to-wit:

Emerson School .....	\$10,000
Columbus School Annex ...	3,000
Hancock School Annex ....	2,000
Adams School Annex .....	2,000
	<hr/>
	\$17,000

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Schmitz—1.

**Permits.**

The following was presented by Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

*Planing Mill.*

Anderson Bros. Planing Mill, at the northeast corner of Quint and Burke streets.

*Public Garage.*

Louis Stella, on west side of Powell street, 100 feet south of Broadway.

*Automobile Parking Station.*

A. E. Boicelli, on north side of Post street, 137 feet 6 inches east of Mason street. Automobiles are to enter and leave station through premises occupied by Associated Oil Co. at northeast corner of Post and Mason streets.

*Transfer Public Garage.*

To New Montgomery Garage Inc. (George Sarantites, president), permit granted by Resolution No. 20380 (New Series) to Bothin Real Estate Co., for premises situate at westerly termination of Natoma street (west of New Montgomery street) between Hunt street and Sherwood place.

To John Marsh, permit granted by Resolution No. 19368 (New Series) to Otersen & Petersen, for premises situate 1336 Grove street.

*Automobile Supply Station.*

Shell Oil Co. of California, at the following locations, and to store not to exceed 2000 gallons of gasoline at each of said stations:

Northeast corner of Columbus avenue and Bay street;

Southeast corner of Sacramento and Drumm streets;

Northwest corner of Third and Army streets;

Northeast corner of Mission and Maynard streets.

*Furnace.*

National Smelting Co., for 4 metal smelting furnaces at 33 Texas street.

*Boiler.*

E. K. Fujimoto, 10 horsepower, at 238 Jackson street.

*Oil Storage Tank.*

(1500 gallons capacity.)

Daniel McKillop, on north side of Geary street, 137 feet 6 inches west of Hyde street.

Mrs. M. Gould, on east side of Van Ness avenue, 83 feet 9 inches north of Union street.

S. & G. Gump, at southwest corner of Geary and Jones streets.

National Smelting Co., at 33 Texas street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

**Motion.**

Supervisor Deasy moved to strike out "Automobile Parking Station" permit to A. E. Boicelli, and asked that it be referred back to the Fire Committee.

Supervisor McGregor explained in part that Mr. Al Rhine appeared at one of the committee meetings and made the statement as a positive fact that a certain attorney, whose name was not disclosed, had stated that "for \$2,000 such a permit would be forthcoming." This, in view of a great deal of opposition to the permit. He asked that Mr. Rhine be brought before the Board and asked to divulge the name of the attorney.

Mr. A. Rhine, who was in the chamber, was called upon, but refused to divulge the name of the attorney.

Supervisor McLeran moved that the discussion on this matter be transcribed and a copy sent to the Grand Jury, requesting them to subpoena Mr. Rhine and others interested, requesting Grand Jury to investigate statement and see if there is anything to it.

*Motion carried.*

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Mulvihill, the Boicelli permit was *laid over one week*, remaining on the calendar.

Thereupon, the following resolution, so amended, was *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Permits.**

Resolution No. 20530 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

*Planing Mill.*

Anderson Bros. Planing Mill, at the northeast corner of Quint and Burke streets.

*Public Garage.*

Louis Stella, on west side of Powell street, 100 feet south of Broadway.

*Transfer Public Garage.*

To New Montgomery Garage, Inc. (George Sarantites, president), permit granted by Resolution No. 20380 (New Series) to Bothin Real Estate Co., for premises situate at westerly termination of Natoma street (west of New Montgomery street) between Hunt street and Sherwood place.

To John Marsh, permit granted by Resolution No. 19368 (New Series) to Otersen & Petersen, for premises situate 1336 Grove street.

*Automobile Supply Station.*

Shell Oil Co. of California, at the following locations, and to store not to

exceed 2000 gallons of gasoline at each of said stations:

Northeast corner of Columbus avenue and Bay street.

Southeast corner of Sacramento and Drumm streets.

Northwest corner of Third and Army streets.

Northeast corner of Mission and Maynard streets.

*Furnace.*

National Smelting Co., for 4 metal smelting furnaces at 33 Texas street.

*Boiler.*

E. K. Fujimoto, 10 horsepower, at 238 Jackson street.

*Oil Storage Tank.*

(1500 gallons capacity.)

Daniel McKillop, on north side of Geary street, 137 feet 6 inches west of Hyde street.

Mrs. M. Gould, on east side of Van Ness avenue, 83 feet 9 inches north of Union street.

S. & G. Gump, at southwest corner of Geary and Jones streets.

National Smelting Co., at 33 Texas street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Schmitz—1.

*Outdoor Carnival, Fulton Street.*

Resolution No. 29531 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted Entertainment Committee, County Council, Veterans of Foreign Wars and United Veterans of the Republic, R. S. Green, chairman, to conduct an exposition and carnival for the benefit of the building and relief funds of said organizations, on Fulton street between Hyde and Larkin streets, December 21, 1922, to January 1, 1923, both days inclusive.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Schmitz—1.

*Regulating Motion Picture Films.*

Bill No. 3471, Ordinance No. 5751 (New Series), as follows:

Regulating the manufacture, printing, developing, keeping, storing or use of motion picture films.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

*Section A—General.*

1. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to manufacture, print, develop, keep, store or use nitro-cellulose motion picture films, whether in the form of negatives, raw stock, finished product, or discarded scrap, or used films in any building or place within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, without first obtaining a written permit therefor, as hereinafter provided.

2. Any person, firm or corporation desiring to manufacture, print, develop, store, keep or use motion picture films in any building or place within the City and County of San Francisco shall file with the Fire Marshal a written application for a permit so to do, which application shall set forth the name, residence or place of business of the applicant and the location at which it is desired or intended to manufacture, print, develop, store, keep or use such motion picture films. Forms for such application shall be furnished by the Fire Marshal.

3. Upon the receipt of such application the Fire Marshal shall make an investigation to determine whether or not the building or place defined in the application is so situated, arranged and constructed as to comply with the provisions of this ordinance.

4. If the Fire Marshal shall find that the building or place is not so constructed, arranged or equipped, or if he shall find that the desired use will conflict with or be contrary to the provisions of this ordinance, he shall refuse to grant the permit.

5. The Fire Marshal shall frequently inspect all buildings or places containing motion picture films to determine whether the provisions of this ordinance are being complied with, and it shall be his duty to enforce the provisions hereof.

6. The Fire Marshal shall have power to revoke or suspend any permit granted under the terms of this ordinance for violations of any of its provisions.

7. Where films of the non-flaming variety are stored, kept, used or worked upon, the requirements of this ordinance may be waived in whole or in part by the written consent of the Fire Marshal. All of the provisions of this ordinance shall, however, apply where both the inflammable and non-inflammable films are stored, kept, used or worked upon.

8. Nothing in this ordinance contained shall be construed as prohibiting the keeping and using of motion picture films for exhibition or reviewing purposes in authorized motion picture theaters; provided not more than

those actually in use of a maximum of twenty reels of film are kept in any one such theater at any one time. The reviewing of pictures in theaters is prohibited, except in reviewing rooms in buildings of Class "A" construction, which reviewing rooms shall be fireproof, ventilated directly to the exterior of the building and have no direct connection with the auditorium. All reels other than in projecting machine shall be kept in closed and approved metal containers, located in a projection machine booth constructed and maintained as required by the Building Law of the City and County of San Francisco.

#### *Section B—Definitions.*

1. Wherever in this ordinance used, the terms "motion picture film" and "motion picture films" shall be understood to mean films composed of nitrocellulose or pyroxylin-plastic material or of other ininflammable composition.

2. The term "standard reel" wherever in this ordinance used shall be understood to mean approximately one thousand (1000) feet of motion picture film, approximately one and eleven-thirty-seconds (1-11/32) inches in width and .0055" in thickness, weighing approximately five (5) pounds.

3. The term "Exchange" means an establishment or place in a building used for handling, packing, shipping, storing, inspecting, rewinding or repairing film in connection with the distribution of film.

4. The term "Laboratory" means an establishment or place in a building used for the perforation, printing, development, washing, fixing, drying, assembling, polishing, finishing, or other operations connected with the production of either motion picture negatives or positives.

5. The term "Film Vault" means a separate room, compartment, vault or inclosure constructed and equipped in accordance with the requirements of this ordinance.

#### *Section C—Building and Construction.*

1. No part of the operation of a motion picture exchange shall be conducted in any building.

(a) Which is more than two stories high;

(b) Which is not of Class "A" or "B" construction;

(c) Any part of which is occupied, used or intended to be occupied or used as a place of assembly, habitation, refuge or detention, or as an office building, workshop or factory in which more than fifty people not connected with the motion film exchange are employed.

2. Door or window openings in exterior walls of a building occupied as

a film exchange shall be made fireproof, except where such door or window openings face on streets which are not less than fifty feet wide from property line to property line.

3. No permit shall be issued to manufacture, print, develop, keep or store motion picture films in any basement, except where a direct exit not less than five feet wide and on a level with a street or alley to which it terminates is provided. Where it is desired to use the basement for storage other than motion picture films, the emergency exit heretofore referred to may be omitted when at least two stairways leading from the basement to the first floor and located at opposite ends of the building are provided. In addition to these stairways, an emergency exit shall be provided from the basement to a sidewalk opening in front of the building, the approach to which shall be of fireproof materials, the sidewalk opening not less than 3'x5' over which shall be placed iron trap doors accessible to operation from the outside. The lock securing these doors shall be of a type and workmanship approved by the Fire Marshal and be made accessible to the Fire Department from the outside by means of a traffic-bearing glass guard placed in one of the doors directly over the lock. Where a projecting room is located in a basement, an additional five feet emergency exit opening directly on a street or alley and on the same level of said street or alley, shall be required for such projecting room. This provision shall apply only to film exchanges.

4. The storage or use of motion picture films in the projecting room shall be limited to one picture, or feature, and film not being actually shown shall be kept in closed approved containers in the projecting room or booth.

#### *Section D—Storage.*

1. All films when not in use or not being worked upon shall be kept in approved shipping cases or individually inclosed metal containers with tight covers, and shall be stored in fireproof vaults.

2. Walls and floors of vaults shall be of brick or reinforced concrete. If of brick, not less than eight (8) inches thick laid in cement mortar, and if of reinforced concrete not less than six (6) inches thick. No wood top flooring shall be used. Size of vault shall not exceed 750 cubic feet.

3. The roof of vault shall be an independent reinforced concrete roof at least six inches thick. In a fireproof building where the floor above is equivalent to this it may serve as the roof if side walls are rigidly tied into

it; in construction of this type, a false ceiling constructed of metal lath and cement plaster one inch thick, or the equivalent, and with no openings to the concealed space above may be used to limit the total interior vault space to 750 cubic feet. Vent may extend through this false ceiling and concealed space.

4. Each face of the wall on door opening into vault shall be protected by a standard fire door constructed in accordance with specifications of the National Board of Fire Underwriters. The inner door shall be so arranged and installed as to close automatically in case of fire originating within the vault. The outer door shall be of the swinging type and be made to close into a rabbit; it shall be self-closing; and if fastened open, shall be arranged to close automatically in case of fire originating in or out of the vault. Both of these doors shall be equipped with an Underwriters' approved heat actuated device in conjunction with an Underwriters' approved automatic release, which shall so function as to positively assure the closing of the fire doors in advance of the fusing of the sprinkler heads. The inner door may be left open during the time the film exchange is in active operation, but must be closed at night or during other inactive periods.

5. Racks in film vaults shall be built of metal or other incombustible material and arranged to prevent film containers being placed in other position than on edge in a vertical position. Vertical incombustible partitions, equivalent in heat insulation and durability to  $\frac{3}{8}$ -inch hard asbestos and extending from floor to ceiling shall be provided to divide racks into sections such that the amount of film protected by any sprinkler shall not exceed 830 pounds. Partitions shall be substantial and have exposed edges protected. Means shall be provided to keep the containers on each side of such partitions an inch away from same. Racks shall not obstruct any vent openings. Racks shall be so arranged that film cannot be placed under or between containers in the vertical position. Films shall not be stored or kept on the floor, unless in shipping containers approved by the Interstate Commerce Commission.

6. All lights in film vault shall be at the ceiling and of the fixed marine type, with vapor-proof globes and conduit wiring, subject to the approval of the Department of Electricity. All switches shall be outside of the vault and shall be arranged with a small pilot light to indicate on outside of vault whether vault lights are on or

off. No artificial heat shall be permitted in the vault.

7. Each vault for the storage of motion picture films shall be vented separately to the outside air by a vertical vent through side, and, or direct through ceiling; such vault shall have a sectional area ratio of not less than 1400 square inches for a standard vault of 750 cubic feet capacity. The vent duct shall be constructed of reinforced concrete not less than 6 inches in thickness and shall extend vertically to a point not less than 4 feet above the roof. Where opening to vent flue is vertical in vault wall and glass to protect same is used in sash, sash shall be arranged to open automatically in case of fire by the use of the releasing device in conjunction with operation of doors.

8. Each vent opening shall be protected against the weather by single thickness of glass one-sixteenth inch thick, painted a dark color, or by other incombustible fragile material. The area of the glass shall be the effective sectional area of the vent opening. No pane of glass shall be smaller than 200 square inches. Any protection equivalent to the above may be accepted in lieu thereof.

9. A light wire screen not coarser than one-eighth inch mesh shall also be placed over each vent, so arranged as not to interfere with the automatic operation of the sash. Bars or screen designed to prevent burglary or injury to contents shall not have a mesh of less than 4 inches, shall be locked inside the light wire screen and shall give a net opening of not less than 1400 square inches.

10. A permanent guard shall be installed to prevent films from being forced against the vent openings of small containers. Where film vaults are subdivided by incombustible partitions, each subdivision shall have an opening to the vent duct not less than two feet 11 inches by one foot 8 inches, but vents from two or more vaults must not connect. There shall be no opening in any vaults except the vent openings and drains. Each vault shall be provided with a drain basin placed in floor of not less than 36 square inches area with a take-off pipe of adequate size not less than 4 inches, connecting as direct as possible with the sewer.

11. No vault used for the storage of motion picture films shall directly communicate with any examination room, shipping room, projecting room, or cleaning room, but shall be approached through an anteroom, passage-way or vestibule separated from the rest of the building by tight partitions, floor and ceiling of incombust-

tible material which shall contain no glass other than wire glass. All doors leading to said anteroom, passage-way or vestibule shall be of tin-clad construction at least one and one-half inches thick and be self-closing; doors may have wire glass panel, minimum size of which shall not be less than 3 square feet, and maximum size of which shall be 6 square feet.

#### *Section E—Handling.*

1. Examining and repairing of films shall be done only in an "Examination Room" or "Inspection Room" having ventilation direct to open air and separated from the rest of the building by tight partitions, floor and ceiling of incombustible materials, which shall contain no glass other than wire glass (except where the glass faces on a street not less than fifty feet in width) and all doors leading to examination room shall be of tin-clad construction at least one and one-half inches thick and be self-closing.

2. Examination room shall be provided with at least two exits so located as to preclude the possibility of both being shut off by fire in this room, the shipping room, the cleaning room and the vault. Each doorway shall be equipped with a self-closing door of tin-clad construction, at least one and one-half inches thick, opening outward and closing into incombustible stops. An approved outside stairway fire escape, with balanced extension reaching to the ground, readily accessible from the examination room through a doorway in the outside wall, may be accepted as constituting one of the above required exits.

3. Examination room shall not be used for storage nor handling of combustible materials other than films, and all furniture and fitting shall as far as practicable be of incombustible material.

4. The number of reels in the examination or inspection room shall not exceed one feature for each operator, and all reels except one reel for each operator while under examination in this room shall be in closed approved metal containers.

5. Examination or inspection room shall be heated only by hot water or steam, and metal shields or screens shall be provided to prevent the films from coming in contact with radiators or heated pipes.

6. All scrap or waste shall be kept under water, in self-closing standard metal waste cans or their equivalent, and removed from the building at least once each day to a safe location, such waste to be kept separate from paper waste or other rubbish. Clippings, chips and waste film shall not be

burned within 200 feet of any building.

7. Each examiner may be provided with not to exceed two ounces of any compound of collodion and amyl acetate or similarly inflammable cement, and all such cement in excess of the foregoing amount shall be kept in the vault or as otherwise directed by the Fire Marshal; in no case shall more than one gallon be kept on the premises.

8. The examination room, anteroom and shipping room shall be lighted with incandescent electric lamps in vapor-proof globes, properly guarded.

9. Motors for the operation of re-winds or for other purposes shall be of the fully inclosed, non-sparking type and shall have no exposed live metal parts. All electric wiring shall be installed in metal conduit in conformity with the requirements of the Department of Electricity.

10. The packing of motion picture films for shipping or the unpacking of same shall be done only in a shipping room having outside ventilation and separated from the rest of the building by tight partitions, floor and ceiling of incombustible material with self-closing fire doors of tin-clad construction at least one and one-half inches thick; partitions and doors to contain no glass other than wire glass.

11. The shipping room shall not be used for the storage or handling of combustible materials other than films, and all furniture used therein shall as far as practicable be of non-combustible material. The number of exposed reels of films in the shipping room at any one time shall not exceed twenty. In all other respects the equipment of shipping room shall comply with the requirements for examination room.

12. Where a motion picture machine is used for projecting films for exhibition or reviewing purposes same shall be inclosed in a standard booth constructed in accordance with the requirements of the Building Law of the City and County of San Francisco. A so-called "portable booth" shall not, within the meaning of this ordinance, be considered as fulfilling this requirement.

13. The cleaning or washing of motion picture films in or by means of ether, alcohol or other inflammable or combustible volatile liquids shall be done only in a cleaning room or laboratory having outside ventilation and separated from the rest of the building by tight partitions, floor and ceiling of incombustible material with self-closing fire doors at openings. The receptacle containing the cleaning liquid shall be kept tightly closed except at apertures through which film passes during the process of cleaning, and whole apparatus, including recep-

tacle, drying reels, etc., shall be completely covered by a ventilating hood equipped with a duct leading to outside air and provided with a mechanically induced draft sufficient to keep the room free from objectionable vapors.

14. The cleaning room shall not be used for the storage or handling of combustible material other than films and there shall be no more than three exposed reels at any one time in this room. In all other respects the equipment of this room shall comply with the requirements of the examination room.

15. Perforating machines shall be equipped with an effective receptacle for catching chips, and also a reeling device.

16. Printing machines shall be equipped with reeling devices.

17. Joining, assembling and inspection tables shall be of non-combustible materials. Tables shall be set four inches from any radiator. If lights under tables are used for examining, by transmitted light, light box shall be properly ventilated and so constructed that it does not become a receptacle for film scraps and dust.

18. None of the provisions of this ordinance shall be construed as prohibiting the use interchangeably of either the examination, shipping, projection or repair room for any of the processes necessary to the operation of a film exchange; provided, that no two different processes are carried on simultaneously, and that the provisions of this ordinance applying to each process be complied with.

19. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to take or carry into any building within the City and County of San Francisco or to carry or transport anywhere within such limits any motion picture film or films unless the same be contained in a metal box, can or other approved receptacle, with cover securely tied or otherwise fastened. Each such receptacle shall be conspicuously labeled as containing inflammable films.

#### *SECTION F—Sprinklers and Other Protection.*

1. All film vaults and vestibules, examination room, shipping room and cleaning room or laboratory, as required by the foregoing provisions of this ordinance, shall be equipped with a complete system of automatic sprinklers of a type and workmanship approved by the Fire Marshal.

2. In every vault of 750 cubic feet there shall be installed twelve automatic sprinklers arranged and installed to give uniform distribution, and a galvanized sheet metal baffle plate twelve inches in width shall be attached to the ceiling between sprink-

lers so that water from one sprinkler will not cool the solder of the fusible strut of any other sprinkler. Vaults of a smaller cubical content shall be equipped with automatic sprinklers on the basis of one sprinkler for each 62½ cubic feet of contents.

3. Sprinkler systems for film vaults shall be equipped with a ¾-inch drip line and valve which shall be used for weekly tests. A recording pressure gauge attached to drip line will be acceptable in lieu of test. Extra sprinkler heads shall be required and number specified by the Board of Public Works for such places as drying drums, joining or assembling tables, receiving and distributing counters, and each compartment of a cabinet which will hold more than fifty pounds of film and other places where an excessive amount of film is handled.

4. Each room in which motion picture films are manufactured, printed, developed, kept, stored or used, exclusive of vault, shall be equipped with 2½-gallon chemical extinguishers, pails of water and pails of dry sand, the number of which shall be subject to the approval of the Fire Marshal.

5. No heating or cooking device or appliance using gas, oil or electricity shall be installed or maintained in any building used as a moving picture exchange or laboratory. No heating device of any kind shall be permitted to be installed in such a building unless such device is approved by the Fire Marshal.

6. It is hereby made a misdemeanor to smoke or carry a lighted cigar, cigarette, pipe or match in any room or vault mentioned in this ordinance, and conspicuous "No Smoking" signs shall be posted in prominent places. Matches shall not be carried by any employee or other person. Each exit shall be marked "Exit" in letters not less than 6 inches high, or by an illuminated sign with letters of the same height.

#### *SECTION G—Management.*

1. Every motion picture studio, laboratory or exchange shall be in charge of a responsible executive, who is familiar with these Rules and Requirements, with the importance of fire prevention and with any local laws or ordinances. The executive shall, as a part of his duty, see that these rules are observed and that his employees are instructed as to fire hazards and the proper handling of motion picture films.

2. The provisions of this ordinance shall be construed to apply equally to buildings or places at present established for the manufacturing, printing, developing, keeping, storing or using of motion picture films; providing, however, that the Board of Public

Works and the Fire Marshal jointly in their reasonable discretion may waive the reconstructing, in whole or in part, of vaults, examination rooms, shipping rooms, cleaning rooms and projecting rooms in such buildings or places existing at the time of the passage of this ordinance.

**SECTION H—Penalty.**

1. Any person, firm or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not less than fifty dollars nor more than five hundred dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of not less than 30 days nor more than six months, for each such offense, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Schmitz—1.

**Amendment to Zoning Ordinance, Page Street.**

Bill No. 6172, Ordinance No. 5750 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place both sides of Page street between Franklin street and Gough street, to the depth of the rear lot lines, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the easterly side of Stanyan street for a distance of 137 feet 6 inches northerly from the northerly line of Waller street and to the depth of 137 feet 6 inches in the Second Residential District instead of the Commercial District.

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the block bounded by Wal-

nut street, Laurel street, Clay street and Sacramento street, excepting that portion therein in the Commercial District, in the Second Residential District instead of the First Residential District.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Schmitz—1.

**Establishing Set-Back Lines, Twenty-first Street.**

Bill No. 6173, Ordinance No. 5751 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along a portion of Twenty-first street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 23rd day of October, 1922, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 5 to establish set-back lines along the northerly line of Twenty-first street between Guerrero street and Dolores street and fixed the 26th day of November, 1922, at 2 p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published, and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said street in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that no objections were made except those that were duly and properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series) set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the northerly line of Twenty-first street from a point ninety-two feet six inches westerly from the westerly line of Guerrero street to a point ninety-two feet six inches easterly from the easterly line of Dolores street, which said line shall be eight feet distant from and parallel with the northerly line of Twenty-first street.

As shown on the map filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structure shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street line, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor,

McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Schmitz—1.

#### Amending Zoning Ordinance.

Bill No. 6174, Ordinance No. 5752, (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 5 of Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Ordinance regulating and establishing the location of trades and industries and buildings and locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 5. Commercial District. In a Commercial District no building or premises shall be used and no building shall be constructed or altered which is arranged, intended or designed to be used for any of the following specified trades, industries and uses:

1. Automobile repair shop, unless conducted in connection with a public garage and as a part thereof;

2. Bakeries employing more than five persons;

3. Blacksmith or horseshoeing establishments;

4. Bottling works;

5. Carting, express or hauling or storage yard other than for fuel;

6. Storage houses;

7. Warehouses;

8. Contractors' plant or storage yard;

9. Cooperage;

10. Laundry employing more than ten people;

11. Lumber yard;

12. Uses excluded from the Light Industrial District;

13. Any kind of manufacturing other than manufacturing clearly incidental to a retail business conducted on the premises or light manufacturing conducted on any floor above the ground floor of a building.

Provided, however, there may be maintained in a Commercial District the following:

1. Printing shops and the business of publishing a newspaper;

2. Light industries clearly incidental to the operation of an amusement park;

3. Electric substations and telephone exchanges;

4. Public garages and gasoline service stations may be conducted in a Commercial District only under per-

mits granted by the Board of Supervisors.

No uses permitted by Sections 3 and 4 hereof shall be excluded from the Commercial District.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Schmitz—1.

#### Amending Zoning Ordinance, District Boundaries.

Bill No. 6175, Ordinance No. 5753, (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 15 of Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Ordinance regulating and establishing the location of trades and industries and buildings and locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 15. District Boundaries. District boundaries are, unless otherwise indicated, street lines, but where two or more district designations are shown within a block 200 feet or less in width, the boundary of a less restricted district shall be deemed 100 feet back from its street line. Where two or more district designations are shown within a block more than 200 feet in width the bounding line shall be deemed 137½ feet back from the street line of the less restricted district, or shall be the rear lot line of the lots fronting on the less restricted district; provided, however, in no event shall the boundary line extend further than 137½ feet from the street line of the less restricted district. Where uncertainty exists as to the exact boundary line, the line shall be determined by the City Planning Commission upon written application and a record thereof kept in the office of that Commission.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Schmitz—1.

#### Establishing Grades, Loyola Terrace.

Bill No. 6176, Ordinance No. 5755 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Loyola Terrace between Fulton street and its northerly termination.

Be it ordained by the People of the

City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Loyola Terrace between Fulton street and its northerly termination are hereby established at points hereinafter named, and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works, filed October 27, 1922.

*Loyola Terrace.*

5.04 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Fulton street, northerly line, 268.42 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

5.04 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Fulton street, northerly line, 266.02 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

40.50 feet northerly from Fulton street, 269.65 feet.

Northerly termination, 283.70 feet.

On Loyola Terrace between Fulton street and its northerly termination be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Schmitz—1.

**PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.**

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print and amounting to \$42,850.53, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Schmitz—1.

**NEW BUSINESS.**

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

**Authorizations.**

On motion of Supervisor McLeran: Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

*Tearing-Up Streets Fund.*

(1) T. M. Gallagher, repaving side-sewer trenches (claim dated Nov. 28, 1922), \$1,424.30.

*Special School Tax, 1922-1923.*

(2) Wiley B. Allen & Co., equipment Parkside School (claim dated Nov. 21, 1922), \$750.

*School Construction Fund, 1918.*

(3) M. B. McGowan, third payment, brick and hollow tile, Galileo High School (claim dated Nov. 29, 1922), \$4,374.19.

*Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.*

(4) American Car Co., final payment, car bodies, contract 125, section "B" (claim dated Nov. 29, 1922), \$7,667.50.

(5) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., final payment, motor equipment, contract 125, section "C" (claim dated Nov. 29, 1922), \$15,551.11.

(6) Westinghouse Traction Brake Co., final payment, air brake equipment, contract 125, section "D" (claim dated Nov. 29, 1922), \$3,087.15.

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(7) General Electric Co., third payment, contract 80, generators, Moccasin Creek power plant (claim dated Nov. 25, 1922), \$14,211.57.

(8) The Pelton Water Wheel Co., third payment, contract 79A, water wheels for Moccasin Creek power plant (claim dated Nov. 25, 1922), \$9,239.53.

(9) Schultz Construction Co., second payment, contract 87, concrete abutments, Sixbit Gulch bridge (claim dated Nov. 28, 1922), \$25,147.44.

(10) American Manganese Steel Co., supplies for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Nov. 28, 1922), \$551.06.

(11) Crucible Steel Co., drill steel for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Nov. 28, 1922), \$10,412.22.

(12) Foppiano, Solari & Co., fruits and vegetables for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Nov. 28, 1922), \$500.24.

(13) Geo. R. Gay & W. M. Levensaler, tents, etc., for Priest dam and reservoir (claim dated Nov. 28, 1922), \$987.50.

(14) Goodyear Rubber Co., rubber goods for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Nov. 28, 1922), \$949.88.

(15) Goodyear Rubber Co., rubber goods for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Nov. 28, 1922), \$1,158.70.

(16) The Gutta Percha and Rubber Mfg. Co., hose, etc., for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Nov. 28, 1922), \$690.

(17) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, expenses, Revolving Fund (claim dated Nov. 28, 1922), \$787.20.

(18) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, expenses, Revolving Fund (claim dated Nov. 28, 1922), \$1,197.19.

(19) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, expenses, Revolving Fund (claim dated Nov. 28, 1922), \$1,541.59.

(20) Robert M. Searls, purchase right of way, San Mateo County (claim dated Nov. 28, 1922), \$4,387.

(21) Sierra Railway Co., car service,

etc., for October, 1922, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Nov. 28, 1922), \$6,809.73.

(22) The Utah Construction Co., extra work and materials for October, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Nov. 28, 1922), \$1,003.76.

(23) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, expenses, Revolving Fund (claim dated Nov. 28, 1922), \$1,004.81.

(24) Baumgarten Bros., meats for Hetch Hetchy camp (claim dated Nov. 24, 1922), \$1,581.58.

(25) The A. J. Glesener Co., materials for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Nov. 24, 1922), \$657.47.

(26) Hoar Shovel Agency, Inc., supplies for mucking machine, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Nov. 24, 1922), \$502.24.

(27) Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson, supplies for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Nov. 24, 1922), \$526.71.

(28) Ingersoll-Rand Co., materials for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Nov. 24, 1922), \$1,127.58.

(29) Myers-Whaley Co. Inc., materials for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Nov. 24, 1922), \$701.64.

(30) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Nov. 24, 1922), \$800.61.

(31) Sullivan Machinery Co., materials for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Nov. 24, 1922), \$561.23.

(32) United States Steel Products Co., tie plates for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Nov. 24, 1922), \$1,554.98.

(33) Virden Packing Co., bacon for Hetch Hetchy camp (claim dated Nov. 24, 1922), \$951.64.

#### *General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(34) C. B. Eaton, first payment, improvement of Collingwood between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets (claim dated Nov. 29, 1922), \$4,275.

(35) Charles Hock, first payment, brick and tile work, drill tower for Fire Department (claim dated Nov. 29, 1922), \$1,921.88.

(36) F. E. Newberry Electric Co., first payment, electric work, drill tower, Fire Department (claim dated Nov. 29, 1922), \$589.69.

#### *General Fund, 1922-1923.*

(37) Louis Abrams, chairs, etc., for special election, contract 437 (claim dated Nov. 29, 1922), \$2,180.50.

(38) Spring Valley Water Co., hydrants for October, 1922 (claim dated Nov. 30, 1922), \$13,151.90.

(39) Johnson & Johnson, medical supplies, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$893.70.

(40) Old Homestead Bakery, bread for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$747.10.

(41) Haas Bros., tobacco for Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 28, 1922), \$967.68.

#### *County Roads Fund, 1922-1923.*

(42) A. J. Raisch, first payment, im-

provement of Market street from Mono to Twenty-fourth street (claim dated Nov. 29, 1922), \$15,000.

#### *Auditorium Fund.*

(43) Musical Association of San Francisco, services of San Francisco Symphony Orchestra Nov. 8, 1922 (claim dated Nov. 24, 1922), \$1,500.

#### *Action Deferred.*

The following resolution was presented by Supervisor McLeran and on motion *told over one week*:

Appropriation, \$1,500, for Prosecution of Wilkens Case.

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$1,500 is hereby authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity Fund in payment to Matthew Brady, District Attorney, for expenses of further prosecution of the Wilkens case.

#### *Passed for Printing.*

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Appropriation, \$15,000, Payment to A. J. Raisch, Improvement of Market Street, Mono to Twenty-fourth.

On motion of Supervisor McLeran: Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the amount \$15,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the County Roads Fund as first payment to A. J. Raisch for the improvement of Market street from Mono to Twenty-fourth streets.

#### *Accepting Offers of Land for Opening and Widening of Rolph Street.*

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 20532 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening and widening of Rolph street have offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Joseph H. Goldman, administrator of the estate of George M. Hurlburt, deceased, \$860.

All that portion of Lot 56, Block 6463, as per the Assessor's map, lying northeast of the southwesterly line of Rolph street produced northwesterly.

Nellie Franks, \$2,000.

All of Lot 60, Block 6463, as per the Assessor's map of the City and County of San Francisco.

James M. Walsh, \$1,350.

Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Mission street, distant thereon 125 feet northeasterly from the easterly line of Pope street, and running thence southeasterly at right angles with Mission street 100 feet;

thence at right angles southwesterly 13.01 feet, more or less, to the southwesterly line of Rolph street extended and produced northwesterly; thence northwesterly along the southwesterly line of Rolph street extended and produced northwesterly 100.05 feet to the southeasterly line of Mission street; thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of Mission street 16.12 feet, more or less, to the point of beginning.

The City and County of San Francisco shall pay the entire cost of the extension of Rolph street and no part of the expense for sidewalk, curb or pavement shall be assessed against the property of James M. Walsh adjoining the parcel hereinabove described.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the prices set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisal of the property. Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said property and if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept deeds therefor in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Schmitz—1.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land for the Opening and Widening of Market Street.

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 20533 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening and widening of Market street extension has offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set opposite her name, viz.:

Marie Ambler, \$115.

Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Market street, distant thereon 137.25 feet southwesterly from the southerly line of Morgan alley, and running thence southwesterly along the northwesterly line of Market street 25.00 feet; thence deflecting 98 deg. 28 min. 14 sec. to the right and running northwesterly 15.469 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the left of 155.20-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 92 deg. 37 min. 17 sec. to the right from the preceding course, cen-

tral angle 9 deg. 06 min. 59 sec., a distance of 24.694 feet; thence deflecting 96 deg. 09 min. 47 sec. to the right from the tangent to the preceding course and running southeasterly 12.621 feet to the point of beginning.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisal of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept a deed therefor in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Schmitz—1.

Compromising Claim for Damage to City Automobile.

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 20534 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, was, on the 14th day of October, 1921, damaged in the sum of \$1,501.17 as a result of the negligent operation of an automobile truck by an agent of the Mills Estate Incorporated, a corporation, said negligent operation causing a certain automobile owned by said City and County of San Francisco to strike a telegraph pole thereby sustaining damage in the sum aforesaid; and

Whereas, said City and County of San Francisco brought an action for damages as a result of aforesaid accident against said Mills Estate Incorporated; and

Whereas, said Mills Estate Incorporated, through and by its attorneys, Burbank and Spence, have made an offer of compromise and settlement for said damages of \$1,000; and

Whereas, there is some question as to whether or not said City and County of San Francisco would be successful in obtaining judgment against said Mills Estate Incorporated; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the aforesaid offer of compromise in the sum of \$1,000 for all damage suffered by said City and County of San Francisco as a result of aforesaid accident occurring on the 14th day of October, 1921, at the intersection of Clement street and Fifteenth

avenue, in the City and County afore-said, as a consequence of the negligent operation of an automobile truck driven by the agent of said Mills Estate Incorporated, be and the same is hereby accepted and that the City Attorney of said City and County be and he is hereby authorized and directed to give to said Mills Estate Incorporated a sufficient release holding said Mills Estate Incorporated, or their agent, free from any damage suffered by said City and County from said accident upon receipt by said City and County of San Francisco of the sum of \$1,000.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Schmitz—1.

**Extension of Time, Martin Murphy. Installation of High Pressure Mains.**

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 20535 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Martin Murphy is hereby granted an extension of 20 days' time from and after November 24, 1922, within which to complete contract for installing high pressure fire mains in North Point street between Powell street and Grant avenue.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that contractor has been delayed by extra work ordered, and the necessity of procuring special castings.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Schmitz—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

**Amending Zoning Ordinance, Great Highway.**

Supervisor McGregor presented:

Bill No. 6188, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5461 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5461 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

That Section 5 of the use of property zone map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the property fronting on the Great Highway, commencing at a point one hundred feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly to the northerly line of Ulloa street, and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines, in the Second Residential District instead of the Commercial District.

That Section 7 of the use of property zone map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the property commencing at a point situated one hundred and twenty-five feet easterly from the easterly line of Church street and one hundred feet southerly from the southerly line of Fifteenth street, and running thence southerly seventy-five feet; thence easterly one hundred and fifteen feet; thence northerly seventy-five feet, and thence westerly to the point of commencement, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

**Auto Stations, Garage and Oil Permits.**

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

*Automobile Parking Station.*

A. F. Rousseau, on south side of Market street, 45 feet east of Eighth street, extending on an L to Eighth street.

A. F. Rousseau, at northeast corner of Mission and Eighth streets.

The washing or oiling of automobiles or the sale of oils or gasoline will not be permitted.

*Automobile Supply Station.*

Baroni Nespoli, on west side of Mission street, 170 feet north of Farragut avenue; also to store 1200 gallons of gasoline on premises.

*Transfer Public Garage.*

To John C. Wormuth, permit granted by Resolution No. 19347 (New Series), to Frank G. Parker, for premises situate on south side of Ocean avenue, 25 feet west of Faxon avenue.

*Oil Storage Tank.*

(1500 gallons capacity.)

Neal & Johnson, on west side of Larkin street, 75 feet south of Union street.

Geo. Bos Co., at 1050 Green street.

Collins-Hencke Candy Co., at 620 Folsom street.

H. T. Haviside, at 100 Twenty-sixth avenue.

Kincanon & Perego, on east side of Taylor street, 70 feet south of Broadway.

The rights granted under this resolu-

tion shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

#### Garage Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted George Hackett to maintain and operate a public garage on the south side of Ellis street, 137 feet 6 inches west of Taylor street, the front line of said garage to be not less than 68 feet 9 inches southerly from the property line on the southerly side of Ellis street; also to store 600 gallons of gasoline on the premises.

This permit is granted on the express agreement that stores shall be immediately erected covering the full frontage of the property on Ellis street and extend to a depth of not less than 68 feet 9 inches from the property line on Ellis street (except that an entrance from Ellis street to the garage to be constructed in the rear of the proposed stores is permitted). The foundation walls of the proposed stores shall be constructed to carry a six-story building, the first two stories of which are to be immediately erected.

#### Install Street Lights.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 20536 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company is hereby instructed to install street lights as follows:

#### Install Single-Top Gas.

South side of Twenty-fifth street, about 125 feet east of Church street.

#### Install 400 M. R.

Shields and Monticello streets.

Taraval and Fourteenth streets.

#### Install 250 M. R.

Naples street between Amazon avenue and Italy avenue.

Seventeenth street between Clayton street and Upper Terrace.

Vienna street between Amazon avenue and Italy avenue.

#### Install Double Inverted Gas.

West side Santa Ana street, first north of Darien way.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Schmitz—1.

Release of Paul Arata Lease, City Cemetery Lands.

Supervisor Wetmore presented:

Resolution No. 20537 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, a lease was entered into

on the 7th day of July, 1913, by and between the City and County of San Francisco, as lessor, and Paul Arata, a resident of the City and County of San Francisco, as the lessee, for certain lands known as City Cemetery Lands, situated and being in San Mateo County, and comprising approximately twenty-five acres, as more specifically set forth in said lease, for a term of twenty years, at a monthly rental of twenty-five dollars; and

Whereas, the said Paul Arata, lessee, has by communication petitioned to be released from the said lease, setting forth that the land has been so generally damaged by heavy storms and is almost inaccessible and useless for gardening purposes, and that the crops planted have been a total loss to him, and that the sale of adjoining properties has excluded him from rights of way heretofore enjoyed, leaving the present entrance to the land very precipitous and difficult for transportation; and

Whereas, upon investigation, it appears that it would be equitable to release the said lessee; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said Paul Arata, the lessee, be and he is hereby released from the aforesaid lease for the hereinabove described lands, the property of the City of San Francisco, and his bondsmen be and they are hereby released and discharged from all liability and obligation of the said lease from and after the first day of January, 1923.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Schmitz—1.

#### Denying Dog Kennel Permit.

Supervisor Robb presented:

Resolution No. 20538 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied Mary L. Robertson to maintain a dog kennel at 1443 Forty-eighth avenue.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Schmitz—1.

City Attorney to Dismiss Condemnation Proceedings for the Acquisition of School Lands.

Resolution No. 20539 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney

be and he is hereby authorized and directed to dismiss action and condemnation proceedings for the acquisition of certain lands for school purposes as set forth in the complaint in action No. 87593, Superior Court, entitled "City and County of San Francisco, Plaintiff, vs. Esther C. Albrecht et al., Defendants," in so far as it affects the certain parcels numbered 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13, as set forth in the complaint in said action, in accordance with his recommendation.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Schmitz—1.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land for Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct Rights of Way.

Resolution No. 20510 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco, from the following described owners of the following described parcels of land, situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, required as a right of way for the aqueduct of the Hetch Hetchy water supply project, for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

(1) The following lands shown on map entitled "Map No. 1, Dumbarton Oaks," filed in office of County Recorder of San Mateo County January 20, 1908, in Book 5 of Maps, at page 56.

Katherine A. Murphy, Lot 4, Block 63, \$200.

Henry Henrickson, Lots 9 and 10, Block 63 (including improvements), \$620.

(2) The following lands shown on map entitled "North Fair Oaks, situated in San Mateo County," filed in office of County Recorder of San Mateo County August 8, 1907, in Book 5 of Maps, page 21.

Mary Wilson (formerly Mary Carmody), Lot 37, Block 1, \$100.

Emma Jackson (formerly Emma Carmody), Lot 23, Block 1, \$100.

Now, therefore, be it Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer, the above described offers of the above named property owners to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the above mentioned parcels of land for the sums set forth opposite their respective names be and the same are hereby accepted. Be it further

Resolved, That the Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy water supply hereby authorized and directed to notify said parties of the acceptance of their said offers, to examine the title to said property, and if the same is

found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto and file the same for record, with a copy of this resolution attached thereto, as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Schmitz—1.

Accepting Offers to Sell and Exchange Lands Required for Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct Right of Way.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 20541 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco from Nicholas W. Connor and Ella Regina Connor of a portion of Lots 35 and 36, Block 60, according to Map No. 1, Dumbarton Oaks, filed in office of County Recorder of San Mateo County January 20, 1908, in Book 5 of Maps, at page 56, for the purposes of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct right of way, and has recommended that the City and County of San Francisco cause to be deeded in exchange for said property certain portions of approximately equivalent area of Lots 33 and 34 of Block 60, according to the same map, which latter property is appraised by the City's right of way agent to be of equal value with the property purchased.

It is to be made a condition of the deed that the parties of the first part shall have the right to cross over the portion of Lots 35 and 36, Block 60, hereinabove mentioned, and to plant grass upon the same; provided that in the exercise of the privilege, there shall be no interference with the construction, operation or maintenance of the Hetch Hetchy pipe line or lines constructed beneath the surface of said land; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer, the offer of said Nicholas W. Connor and Ella Regina Connor to make said exchange of property be and the same is hereby accepted; that the Geo. H. Rice Abstract Company, in whose name the property to be conveyed as aforesaid has been taken, is hereby authorized and directed to convey the same to Nicholas W. Connor and Ella Regina Connor, upon receipt of a deed conveying to the City and County of San Francisco the property to be acquired by the City for said right of way; be it further

Resolved, That the Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy water supply

is hereby authorized and directed to notify said parties of the acceptance of their said offer, to examine the title of said property to be acquired, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and to file the same for record, with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of such acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Schmitz—1.

#### Extension of Time.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20542 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That James M. Smith is hereby granted the following extensions of time to complete street work, to-wit: ninety days' time from and after December 22, 1922, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Vernon street between Holloway avenue and Garfield street.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that contractor has been delayed by the shortage of cement.

Ninety days' time from and after December 22, 1922, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Pomona street between Bay View and Thornton avenues.

This extension of time is recommended for the reason that contractor has been delayed by shortage of cement.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Schmitz—1.

#### Award of Contract, Printing Auditor's Annual Report.

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 20543 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Neal Stratford and Kerr, a corporation, be and hereby is awarded a contract for furnishing 500 copies Auditor's Annual Report of Financial Transactions of the City and County of San Francisco 1921-1922, for the sum of \$584, in strict conformity with its bid submitted November 27, 1922.

Further Resolved, That said firm shall furnish a bond in the sum of \$250 for the faithful performance of said contract; the sufficiency of the sureties on said bond subject to the approval of the Mayor.

Further Resolved, That all other bids thereon are hereby rejected.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Schmitz—1.

#### ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

##### Lease of Land, Exposition Buildings.

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 20544 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor negotiate a contract with the San Francisco Exposition Company for the leasing by the City and County of San Francisco of those properties to be acquired by the said company and the buildings to be constructed thereon for exposition purposes, and report said lease back to this Board.

*Adopted under suspension of the rules by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Schmitz—1.

##### City Attorney to Advise—Transfer of Aquatic Park Funds.

Supervisor Hynes presented:

Resolution No. 20546 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney be requested to inform this Board as to whether it has the legal authority to transfer moneys in the South Beach Land Fund to the Park Fund, as provided in Resolution No. 20476, and that his attention be called to Section 11 of Article XIV and Section 2, Chapter II, Article III of the Charter, and to advise this Board as to whether it has the power to transfer control of recreational centers from the Playground Commissioners to the Park Commissioners.

*Adopted under suspension of the rules by the following vote:*

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Schmitz—1.

##### Masquerade Ball Permits.

Supervisor Robb presented:

Resolution No. 20547 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted Independent Order of Red Men to conduct a masquerade ball at California Hall, Turk and Polk streets,

on Saturday evening, January 6, 1923, upon payment of the usual license fee.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Schmitz—1.

Also, Resolution No. 20548 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Unione Sportiva Italiana is hereby granted permission to conduct a masquerade ball at U. S. L. Hall, 1451 Stockton street, Sunday evening, December 17, 1922, upon payment of the usual license fee.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Schmitz—1.

Compromise of Hetch Hetchy Litigation. Claim of Major Frank Brezina.

Resolution No. 20549 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, Upon recommendation of the City Engineer and Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply, that the offer of Major Frank Brezina, United States Army, to settle and dismiss existing litigation against the City and County of San Francisco on account of damage done to the water supply on his ranch in Tuolumne County, California, in the construction of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct, for the sum of \$1,000 be and the same is hereby accepted.

The Special Counsel, Hetch Hetchy Water Supply, is hereby authorized and directed to supervise the payment of said sum to said claimant, upon receipt of a proper dismissal of said litigation and also an agreement under which all rights to the water supply on the property involved are waived in favor of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Schmitz—1.

#### Amendment to the Rules.

The following was presented by Supervisor Bath:

Resolution No. (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Rule No. 29 be amended to read as follows:

Every member present when a question is put shall vote for or against it

on roll call and without debate or argument. He shall not be excused from voting unless he is interested in the question and he shall explain his vote for the record only in writing and which explanation may be read either by the member or by the Clerk of the Board.

#### Motion.

*Supervisor McSheehy* moved reference to the Judiciary Committee.

Discussion: Supervisors Hynes, McGregor, Powers, Bath, Welch, McSheehy.

Whereupon, *Supervisor Welch* moved as an amendment that the resolution be referred to the Judiciary Committee, that copies be sent to all the members and the subject matter be made a special order of business for 3 p. m., next Monday.

*Motion carried.*

Whereupon, *Supervisor Bath* announced that the resolution would be considered by the Judiciary Committee at its meeting next Thursday.

Death of Thos. P. Woodward, Former City Engineer.

*Supervisor Hayden* called attention to the passing from this life of former City Engineer, Thos. P. Woodward, and moved that when this Board adjourns it do so out of respect to his memory.

*Motion carried* by rising vote:

#### Naval Station at Goat Island.

*Supervisor Colman* called attention to the proposed removal of the naval station, except the hospital, at Goat Island, to San Diego and asked that the Clerk be directed to get in touch with our representatives in Washington urging them to do all they can to retain the station at its present location.

*So ordered.*

#### Relative to Bond Issue for Extension of Municipal Railways.

*Supervisor Shannon* announced a meeting of the Public Utilities and Finance Committee for Wednesday, at 2 p. m., to consider proposed bond issue for extensions of the Municipal Railway.

#### Colorado River Project Endorsed.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 20550 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, through the instrumentality of Herbert C. Hoover, Secretary of Commerce, an interstate treaty has been negotiated between seven western states whereby an agreement has been reached by which the waters of the Colorado River may be utilized for the development of the states within its drainage area by controlling floods, promoting irrigation and fostering industry by supplying hydro-electric energy; therefore,

Resolved, That the ratifying of the

treaty mentioned will insure the material development of this State and San Francisco as its commercial center, and that such ratification should be made at the earliest moment and that the legislative delegation from this City be requested to favor the same; that copies of this resolution be transmitted to the members of the Legislature.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore 17.

Absent — Supervisor Schmitz—1.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business the Board at the hour of 6:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors February 19, 1923.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series), of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

**JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,**  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.



Monday, December 11, 1922.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



**THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY**

**77 Sutter Street, S. F.**



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, DECEMBER 11, 1922, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, December 11, 1922, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Robb, Shannon—2.

*Supervisor Robb excused on account of illness.*

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of November 6 and 13, 1922, were considered read and *approved*.

### ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

#### Extension of Affiliated Colleges.

The following was presented, read and ordered *spread in Journal*:

San Francisco, Cal.,  
December 11, 1922.

To the Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, Cal.

Gentlemen:

I am in receipt of a communication from the Board of Education notifying me of a resolution adopted by that board November 21, 1922, as follows:

"Whereas, the Board of Regents of the University of California is desirous of acquiring, for the purpose of an extension to the Affiliated Colleges, that certain school lot hereinafter described, and

Whereas, said school lot (as herein-after described) is deemed inadequate and unsuitable for school purposes and the public interest and necessity demand the sale thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors be and it is hereby requested

to authorize the Mayor to sell at public auction said property, as follows:

Commencing at a point at the intersection of the northerly line of Parnassus avenue with the westerly line of Arguello boulevard, running thence northerly along the said westerly line of Arguello boulevard 107.01 $\frac{1}{4}$  feet; thence at right angles westerly 240 feet to the easterly line of Second avenue; thence at right angles southerly along the said easterly line of Second avenue 177 feet 9 $\frac{3}{4}$  inches to the northerly line of Parnassus avenue; thence at an angle along the said northerly line of Parnassus avenue 250 feet 2 $\frac{1}{2}$  inches to the westerly line of Arguello boulevard and the point of commencement; being portion of Block 1756 (Sunset Bl. 673)."

In accordance with Section 11, Article II, Chapter II of the Charter, I hereby concur in the said recommendation of the Board of Education, and respectfully recommend to the Board of Supervisors that such sale be authorized in the manner provided in Section 9 of the Charter.

Respectfully yours,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,  
Mayor.

#### Pageant of Race Progress.

*Communication*—From Negro Progressive Club of California, inviting Board to attend "Pageant of Race Progress" at Civic Auditorium January 1, 1923.

*Referred to Public Welfare Committee.*

#### Housing Institute Meeting.

*Communication*—From State Commission of Immigration and Housing, requesting representative be appointed to attend conference of Housing Institute at City Hall December 14, at 10 a. m.

On motion of Supervisor Welch, Supervisor Scott, chairman of Building Committee, was delegated to attend.

#### Proposed Amendment of Garage License Ordinance.

Supervisor Deasy presented:

*Communication*—From San Francisco Automobile Trade Association,

calling attention to alleged oversight in preparation of garage license ordinance by the omission of Parking Stations.

*Referred to Judiciary and Fire Committees.*

#### Dedication of Crystal Palace Market.

*Communication*—From Crystal Palace Market, inviting attendance at dedication Thursday, December 14, 1922, at 2 p. m.

#### Duboce Tunnel Delay.

*Communication*—From Elena Finlay, complaining of delay in bringing Duboce tunnel discussion to a conclusion and affording service into Sunset.

*Read and ordered filed.*

#### Official Statement of Votes Cast at Schools and Relief Home Bond Election.

Statement of votes cast in School and Relief Home Bond Election held November 21, 1922, certified to by the Board of Election Commissioners and Registrar of Voters as the result of the official canvass of said election.

*Presented by the Clerk and ordered placed on file.*

#### Condemnation of Civic Center Land.

The following was presented and ordered *spread in the Journal*:

December 11, 1922.

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Cal.

Gentlemen:

By direction of your Honorable Board, I commenced a condemnation proceeding against property described as follows:

Commencing at a point formed by the intersection of the southerly line of McAllister street with the westerly line of Van Ness avenue, running thence southerly along said westerly line of Van Ness avenue 120 feet to the northerly line of Ash street; thence westerly along said northerly line of Ash street 219 feet 9 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 120 feet to the southerly line of McAllister street; thence easterly along said southerly line of McAllister street 219 feet 9 inches to the westerly line of Van Ness avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of W. A. Block No. 76; also known as Lot 1, Block 786, on Assessor's Map Book.

This property is property opposite the City Hall upon which it was proposed by the owners thereof to erect a warehouse for the storage of goods, wares and merchandise.

At the time the condemnation suit was commenced, the owners of this property were actively engaged in the construction of said warehouse. Plans and specifications for the building had

been completed, a contract with an architect entered into, financial arrangements with a bank consummated and certain building contracts executed. The owners of the property therefore are entitled to not only the value of the property actually taken but also for the damage resulting from the necessary cancellation of these contracts.

After numerous conferences with the owners of the property, they agreed to accept from the City the sum of \$188,231 and convey the property in fee simple absolute free and clear of all incumbrances and release the City from any claim of any damage arising by reason of the cancellation of the aforesaid contracts.

The money available in the budget to close this transaction this year is only \$100,000, Budget Item 44. This sum, or to be exact, the sum of \$99,070 is sufficient only to purchase a 10/19ths interest in the property. The War Memorial trustees are willing to purchase the remaining interest, namely, 9/19ths, provided the City purchases the 10/19ths and grant an option to the City to purchase the 9/19ths interest acquired by them, said option to be accepted by the City as soon as the property has been acquired. The amount to be paid by the War Memorial trustees under this arrangement for the 9/19ths interest is \$89,161. The total amount received by the owners therefor will be the sum of \$188,231, or the amount agreed upon as being a reasonable sum for the property to be taken and the damages suffered by reason of the cancellation of the above contracts referred to.

You will recall that the War Memorial trustees owned what is known as the St. Ignatius lot on Van Ness avenue, said lot having been acquired by subscriptions made by citizens of San Francisco for the purpose of erecting a War Memorial in which property the City has what is known as a floating grant of the value at the time the grant was made of \$100,000.

The purposes for which this property is to be acquired and this arrangement between the City and the War Memorial trustees entered into will be more fully explained by the Finance Committee and the Lands and Tunnels Committee.

I am satisfied that the City may acquire a 10/19ths interest at this time in said property and enter into said arrangement with the War Memorial trustees, in view of Section 10 of Chapter 11 of Article 11 of the Charter. The portion of that section which bears on this question is as follows:

"The Board of Supervisors may acquire land in the district bounded by Market street, Golden Gate avenue and Franklin street, for the purpose of establishing a Civic Center, and when it appears to the advantage of the City and County so to do it may acquire land in excess of the actual requirements, and may dispose of any such excess in exchange for other lands within such district or for the purpose of acquiring additional lands or for the erection of structures thereon."

As far as the price is concerned, I am satisfied that the amount offered is a fair and reasonable amount and recommend to the Board that the City pay for a 10/19ths interest in said property the sum of \$99,070.

I transmit herewith a form of resolution which I recommend be adopted by your Honorable Board.

Respectfully,

GEORGE LULL,  
City Attorney.

Leave of Absence, Alfred Ehrman, Board of Fire Commissioners.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,  
December 11, 1922.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application having been made to me by Hon. Alfred Ehrman, member of the Board of Fire Commissioners of this City and County, for leave of absence with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of five days, commencing December 12, 1922, I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,  
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and adopted:

Resolution No. 20583 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Alfred Ehrman, member of the Board of Fire Commissioners, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of five days, commencing December 12, 1922, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Robb—2.

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

The following recommendation of

the Judiciary Committee was taken up:

Add to Rule 29:

"A Roll Call shall not be interrupted for debate or personal privilege; but a member may file, in writing, an explanation of his vote."

Rule 29 will then read:

"29. Every member present when a question is put shall vote for or against it, unless the Board shall excuse him from voting, or unless he is interested in the question. But no member shall be permitted to vote upon a question unless present when his name is called or before the vote is announced. A Roll Call shall not be interrupted for debate or personal privilege; but a member may file, in writing, an explanation of his vote."

Amendment.

Supervisor McSheehy suggested that after the words "in writing" the words "before the next regular meeting" be inserted in lieu thereof.

Amendment accepted.

Whereupon, the following resolution was adopted:

Resolution No. 20588 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Rule 29 of the Rules of Procedure of this Board be amended so as to read as follows:

"29. Every member present when a question is put shall vote for or against it, unless the Board shall excuse him from voting, or unless he is interested in the question. But no member shall be permitted to vote upon a question unless present when his name is called or before the vote is announced. A Roll Call shall not be interrupted for debate or personal privilege, but a member may file, in writing, an explanation of his vote."

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—13.

No—Supervisor Hynes—1.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, McGregor, Robb—4.

Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Hynes: In explanation of my vote, Mr. Chairman, I will vote *no* and say that the Board of Supervisors was absolutely out of order and believing their own rules of order by attempting to rescind or change the rule with less than fifteen affirmative votes. I am going to object that there is a hidden purpose and a motive underlying that change that I can't see at this moment. A change will be brought and demonstrated at some future meeting. This is an attempt by the majority members of this Board to muzzle and throttle and choke a member giving his explanation on roll call. It ought to be a violation of the rules for the majority

members of the Board to muzzle, throttle and prevent a member from giving his proper explanation on roll call. I wish to test it at the first opportunity when I want to explain my vote on roll call.

#### Duboce Tunnel Hearing—3 P. M.

The hearing of protestants against the proposed Duboce tunnel project, continued from a previous meeting, proceeded.

*Supervisor Scott*, seconded by *Supervisor Shannon*, moved that hearing be had until 5 p. m.

*So ordered.*

*Attorney Treadwell*, representing the protestants, asked that Mr. La Torres, attant as to publications in the Chronicle, take the stand.

*Supervisor Scott* objected.

*Chairman* ruled that Mr. La Torres be permitted to take the stand for examination by Attorney Treadwell.

Whereupon, *R. Chas. La Torres*, employee of the "Chronicle," was duly sworn and examined.

Questions propounded to the witness by Attorney Treadwell touching sufficiency of publication was ruled out of order by the Chair.

Thereupon, *M. M. O'Shaughnessy*, City Engineer, was called to the stand, duly sworn and was examined by Attorney Treadwell.

#### Hearing Continued.

*Supervisor Scott*, at the hour of 5 p. m., moved that the hearing be continued until 3 p. m. next Monday, December 18, 1922.

*So ordered.*

#### HEARINGS OF APPEAL—3 P. M.

##### Peru Avenue.

Hearing of appeal of Clarence B. Eaton and property owners from the assessment issued for the improvement of Peru avenue between the easterly line of Li-bon street and the easterly line of Madrid street, including the crossing of Peru avenue and Madrid street.

*Supervisor Mulvihill* explained that there had been a slight error in the assessment and suggested that the appeal be sustained and a new assessment ordered.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and adopted:

Resolution No. 20584 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the appeal of Clarence B. Eaton and property owners from the assessment issued by the Board of Public Works for the improvement of Peru avenue between the easterly line of Li-bon street and the easterly line of Madrid street, including the crossing of Peru avenue and Madrid street is hereby sustained and the Board of Public Works is

hereby directed to issue a new assessment.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Robb—1.

##### Twenty-ninth Avenue Between Fulton and Cabrillo Streets.

Hearing of the appeal of property owners from the action and decision of the Board of Public Works in overruling the objections of property owners against the improvement of Twenty-ninth avenue between Fulton and Cabrillo streets by grading to official line and grade.

##### Privilege of the Floor.

*B. Lauderdale*, property owner, appeared and asked that the matter be threshed out today.

*Assistant City Engineer Healy* explained that there was an error in the proceedings and that they should be commenced over.

##### Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and adopted by the following vote:

Resolution No. 20585 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the appeal of property owners from the action and decision of the Board of Public Works in overruling the objections of property owners against the improvement of Twenty-ninth avenue between Fulton street and Cabrillo street by grading to official line and grade be and the same is hereby sustained.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Robb—1.

##### Edinburgh Street.

Hearing of the appeal of property owners from the action and decision of the Board of Public Works in overruling the objections of property owners against the improvement of Edinburgh street between the northerly line of France avenue and Amazon avenue including the crossings of Edinburgh street and France avenue and Edinburgh street and Italy avenue.

##### Privilege of the Floor.

*Dora Swerin, Baily Realty Co., H. Bathard, H. Smit, L. Meyer* and other property owners were heard in reference to the proposed improvement.

*Assistant City Engineer Healy* explained that property owners living on Edinburgh street wanted the improvement and that nonresident property owners were opposing it. The work, he said, would cost \$10 per foot.

**Adopted.**

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented by Supervisor Mulvihill and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 20586 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the appeal of property owners from the action and decision of the Board of Public Works in overruling the objections of property owners against the improvement of Edinburgh street between the northerly line of France avenue and Amazon avenue, including the crossings of Edinburgh street and France avenue and Edinburgh street and Italy avenue be denied and the work ordered.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Robb—1.

Passed for Printing.

Thereupon, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 6205, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 7, 1921, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the pay-

ment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Edinburgh street between the northerly line of France avenue and Amazon avenue, including the crossings of Edinburgh street and France avenue and Edinburgh street and Italy avenue*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners of the above mentioned crossings; by the construction of the following brick catchbasins with 10-inch vitrified, salt-glazed, iron-stone pipe culverts, two (2) on the crossing of Edinburgh street and France avenue and three (3) on the crossing of Edinburgh street and Italy avenue, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect July 1, 1923.

**REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.**

The following committees, by their respective chairman, presented reports on various matters referred, which reports were read and ordered *filed*:

Supplies Committee, by Supervisor Rossi, chairman.

Fire Committees, by Supervisor Deasy, chairman.

Streets Committee, by Supervisor Mulvihill, chairman.

Joint Committee on Streets and Commercial Development, by Supervisor Mulvihill, chairman.

Lands and Tunnels Committee, by Supervisor Wetmore, chairman.

Health Committee, by Supervisor McSheehy, chairman.

Health Committee (Minority Report on goat permit), by Supervisors Scott and Shannon.

**UNFINISHED BUSINESS.****Final Passage.**

The following matters heretofore *passed for printing* were taken up and finally passed by the following vote:

**Authorizations.**

Resolution No. 20551 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

***Tearing-up Streets Fund.***

(1) T. M. Gallagher, repaving side-sewer trenches (claim dated Nov. 28, 1922), \$1,424.30.

***Special School Tax, 1922-1923.***

(2) Wiley B. Allen & Co., equip-

ment Parkside School (claim dated Nov. 21, 1922), \$750.

*School Construction Fund, 1918.*

(3) M. B. McGowan, third payment brick and hollow tile, Galileo High School (claim dated Nov. 29, 1922), \$4,374.19.

*Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.*

(4) American Car Co., final payment car bodies, Contract 125, Section "B" (claim dated Nov. 29, 1922), \$7,667.50.

(5) Westinghouse Electric and Manufacturing Co., final payment motor equipment, Contract 125, Section "C" (claim dated Nov. 29, 1922), \$15,551.11.

(6) Westinghouse Traction Brake Co., final payment air brake equipment, Contract 125, Section "D" (claim dated Nov. 29, 1922), \$3,087.15.

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(7) General Electric Co., third payment, Contract 80, generators, Moccasin Creek Power Plant (claim dated Nov. 25, 1922), \$14,211.57.

(8) The Pelton Water Wheel Co., third payment, Contract 79A, water wheels for Moccasin Creek Power Plant (claim dated Nov. 25, 1922), \$9,239.53.

(9) Schultz Construction Co., second payment, Contract 87, concrete abutments, Six-Bit Gulch bridge (claim dated Nov. 28, 1922), \$25,147.44.

(10) American Manganese Steel Co., supplies for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Nov. 28, 1922), \$551.06.

(11) Crucible Steel Co., drill steel for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Nov. 28, 1922), \$10,412.22.

(12) Foppiano, Solari & Co., fruits and vegetables for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Nov. 28, 1922), \$500.24.

(13) Geo. R. Gay and W. M. Levensaler, tents, etc., for Priest dam and reservoir (claim dated Nov. 28, 1922), \$987.50.

(14) Goodyear Rubber Co., rubber goods for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Nov. 28, 1922), \$949.88.

(15) Goodyear Rubber Co., rubber goods for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Nov. 28, 1922), \$1,158.70.

(16) The Gutta Percha and Rubber Manufacturing Co., hose, etc., for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Nov. 28, 1922), \$690.

(17) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, expenses (Revolving Account) (claim dated Nov. 28, 1922), \$787.20.

(18) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, expenses (Revolving Fund) (claim dated Nov. 28, 1922), \$1,197.19.

(19) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, expenses (Revolving Fund) (claim dated Nov. 28, 1922), \$1,541.59.

(20) Robert M. Searls, purchases

right of way San Mateo County (claim dated Nov. 28, 1922), \$4,387.

(21) Sierra Railway Co., car service, etc., for October, 1922, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Nov. 28, 1922), \$6,809.73.

(22) The Utah Construction Co., extra work and materials for October, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Nov. 28, 1922), \$1,003.76.

(23) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, expenses (Revolving Fund) (claim dated Nov. 28, 1922), \$1,004.81.

(24) Baumgarten Bros., meat for Hetch Hetchy camp (claim dated Nov. 24, 1922), \$1,581.58.

(25) The A. J. Glesener Co., materials for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Nov. 24, 1922), \$657.47.

(26) Hoar Shovel Agency Inc., supplies for mucking machine, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Nov. 24, 1922), \$502.24.

(27) Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson, supplies for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Nov. 24, 1922), \$526.71.

(28) Ingersoll-Rand Co., materials for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Nov. 24, 1922), \$1,127.58.

(29) Myers-Whaley Co., Inc., materials for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Nov. 24, 1922), \$701.64.

(30) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Nov. 24, 1922), \$800.61.

(31) Sullivan Machinery Co., materials for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Nov. 31, 1922), \$561.23.

(32) United States Steel Products Co., tie plates for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Nov. 24, 1922), \$1,554.98.

(33) Virden Packing Co., bacon for Hetch Hetchy camp (claim dated Nov. 24, 1922), \$951.64.

*General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(34) C. B. Eaton, first payment improvement Collingwood street, between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets (claim dated Nov. 29, 1922), \$4,275.

(35) Chas. Hock, first payment brick and tile work drill tower for Fire Department (claim dated Nov. 29, 1922), \$4,921.88.

(36) F. E. Newbery Electric Co., first payment electric work drill tower, Fire Department (claim dated Nov. 29, 1922), \$589.69.

*General Fund, 1922-1923.*

(37) Louis Abrams, chairs, etc., for special election, Contract 437 (claim dated Nov. 29, 1922), \$2,180.50.

(38) Spring Valley Water Co., hydrants, for October, 1922 (claim dated Nov. 30, 1922), \$13,151.90.

(39) Johnson & Johnson, medical supplies, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$893.70.

(40) Old Homestead Bakery, bread

for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$747.10.

(41) Haas Bros., tobacco for Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 28, 1922), \$967.68.

*County Roads Fund, 1922-1923.*

(42) A. J. Raisch, first payment, improvement of Market street from Mono to Twenty-fourth street (claim dated Nov. 29, 1922), \$15,000.

*Auditorium Fund.*

(43) Musical Association of San Francisco, services of S. F. Symphony Orchestra, Nov. 8, 1922 (claim dated Nov. 24, 1922), \$1,500.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Robb—1.

*Authorizations.*

Resolution No. 20552 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

*Municipal Railway Fund.*

(1) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., car brake shoes, Municipal Railways (claim dated Nov. 20, 1922), \$1,070.70.

(2) Market Street Railway Co., Municipal Railway proportion of repairs during August (claim dated Nov. 20, 1922), \$606.26.

*Special School Tax.*

(3) Atlas Heating & Ventilating Co., first payment, heating and ventilating Oral Deaf School (claim dated Nov. 22, 1922), \$766.12.

(4) Quinn & Reilly, tenth payment, general construction of Emerson School (claim dated Nov. 22, 1922), \$8,195.25.

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(5) F. E. Newberry Electric Co., first and final payment, electric work, Hancock School (claim dated Nov. 22, 1922), \$765.

*Park Fund.*

(6) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for parks (claim dated Nov. 24, 1922), \$760.55.

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(7) American Manganese Steel Co., steel and crusher parts (claim dated Nov. 18, 1922), \$1,847.07.

(8) Associated Oil Co., gasoline (claim dated Nov. 17, 1922), \$1,258.53.

(9) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., steel and hardware (claim dated Nov. 17, 1922), \$503.84.

(10) Baumgarten Bros., meats (claim dated Nov. 17, 1922), \$2,901.05.

(11) Frank S. Betz Co., hospital sup-

plies (claim dated Nov. 17, 1922), \$955.37.

(12) William Cluff Co., groceries (claim dated Nov. 18, 1922), \$2,796.42.

(13) Haas Bros., groceries (claim dated Nov. 17, 1922), \$575.65.

(14) Hercules Powder Co., blasting caps (claim dated Nov. 17, 1922), \$2,747.65.

(15) William J. Madden and Josephine C. Madden, payment for lands in San Mateo County, required for Hetch Hetchy water supply, per Resolution No. 20438 (New Series) (claim dated Nov. 17, 1922), \$1,400.

(16) J. F. Mitchell, machine parts (claim dated Nov. 17, 1922), \$2,368.78.

(17) Miller & Lux Inc., meats (claim dated Nov. 17, 1922), \$900.11.

(18) J. H. Newbauer & Co., groceries (claim dated Nov. 18, 1922), \$970.89.

(19) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Nov. 18, 1922), \$4,367.59.

(20) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Revolving Fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Nov. 17, 1922), \$2,354.

(21) Pacific Metal Works, sheet copper (claim dated Nov. 18, 1922), \$2,851.16.

(22) H. E. Teller Co., coffee (claim dated Nov. 17, 1922), \$550.02.

(23) Virden Packing Co., meats (claim dated Nov. 17, 1922), \$786.70.

(24) W. D. Ayers Co., butter and eggs (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$2,427.66.

(25) Byron Jackson Iron Works, machine parts (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$969.89.

(26) S. A. Ferretti, meats (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$1,394.89.

(27) Foppiano-Solari & Co., fruits and vegetables (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$633.63.

(28) The Grange Company, hay (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$1,458.41.

(29) The Giant Powder Co., Con., giant powder (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$6,112.88.

(30) Hercules Powder Co., powder (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$5,052.50.

(31) Ingersoll-Rand Co., air compressor (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$1,324.

(32) Keystone Lubricating Co., grease (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$977.99.

(33) H. E. Lowe, pump and drill sharpener, etc. (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$1,982.50.

(34) J. F. Mitchell, machine parts (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$2,363.64.

(35) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$4,989.09.

(36) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expense, per vouchers attached (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$748.38.

(37) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving

fund expense, per vouchers attached (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$1,563.89.

(38) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expense, per vouchers attached (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$1,650.08.

(39) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expense, per vouchers attached (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$1,796.36.

(40) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expense, per vouchers attached (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$1,831.46.

(41) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expense, per vouchers attached (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$2,184.97.

(42) Pacific Metal Works, machine parts (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$1,354.22.

(43) P. H. Reardon, machinery and parts (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$685.

(44) Standard Oil Co., Inc., fuel oil, etc. (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$1,237.49.

(45) Scott Co., heating system at Groveland Hospital (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$3,170.30.

(46) Standard Oil Co., Inc., fuel oil, etc. (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$2,098.09.

(47) Standard Underground Cable Co., conductor cable (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$606.

(48) Sherry Bros. Inc., eggs and cheese (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$1,088.89.

(49) Sierra Railway Co. of Cal., car service (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$1,856.86.

(50) George H. Tay Co., black pipe (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$624.91.

(51) Virden Packing Co., meats (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$766.57.

(52) Westinghouse Pacific Coast Brake Co., machinery parts (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$873.30.

(53) Willett & Burr, hoisting engine (claim dated Nov. 23, 1922), \$1,500.

#### *General Fund, 1922-1923.*

(54) Phillips & Van Orden Co., printing, 215,000 Assessor's statements (claim dated Nov. 27, 1922), \$1,150.25.

(55) Associated Oil Co., gasoline and oil, Police Dept. (claim dated Nov. 13, 1922), \$746.58.

(56) Butler-Veitch Inc., repairs to Police Dept. auto (claim dated Nov. 13, 1922), \$506.05.

(57) J. W. Leavitt & Co., one Oldsmobile roadster, Police Dept. (claim dated Nov. 13, 1922), \$1,145.

(58) D. J. O'Brien, Police contingent expense (claim dated Nov. 13, 1922), \$750.

(59) Juvenile Court of San Francisco, Juvenile Court expenses for month of October, per vouchers (claim dated Nov. 8, 1922), \$865.13.

(60) Smith, Lynden & Co., groceries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$576.69.

(61) H. Moffatt Co., meats, San Fran-

cisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$1,163.71.

(62) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, etc., San Francisco Hospital, (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$3,365.73.

(63) Snow & Rothbach, fruits and vegetables, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$759.93.

(64) Levi Strauss & Co., dry goods, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$1,023.

(65) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Relief Home (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$664.94.

(66) Shell Company, fuel oil, Relief Home (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$1,440.

(67) William Cluff Co., groceries, Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 18, 1922), \$1,037.25.

(68) Hooper & Jennings, groceries, Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 22, 1922), \$529.69.

(69) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 22, 1922), \$547.65.

#### *Tearing Up Streets Fund.*

(70) T. M. Gallagher, repaving side sewer trenches (claim dated Nov. 22, 1922), \$1,120.10.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Robb—1.

Appropriation, \$1,700, Retaining Walls and Drains, Lobos Creek Viaduct.

Resolution No. 20553 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$1,700 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, Fiscal Year 1922-1923, for construction of concrete retaining walls and necessary drains to properly retain pavement at Lobos Creek viaduct.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Robb—1.

Appropriation, \$3,000, Payment to Stephen Potter and Clara W. Jones, for Land Required for Opening Streets, Golden Gate Heights.

Resolution No. 20554 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$3,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 20 Fiscal Year 1922-1923, and authorized in payment to Stephen Potter and Clara W. Jones; being payment for lands beginning on the westerly line of Fourteenth ave-

nue, 132 feet 5 inches northerly from the northerly line of Lawton street, and running thence northerly along the westerly line of Fourteenth avenue 186 feet 2 inches and being of irregular dimensions, as per description by Resolution No. 20432 (New Series); said lands being required for the opening of new streets in so-called Golden Gate Heights. (Claim dated November 9, 1922.)

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Robb—1.

**Appropriation, \$15,000, Payment to A. J. Raisch, Improvement of Market Street, Mono to Twenty-fourth.**

Resolution No. 20555 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the amount of \$15,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the County Roads Fund, as first payment to A. J. Raisch, for the improvement of Market street from Mono to Twenty-fourth streets.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Robb—1.

**Appropriations, Building Repairs.**

Resolution No. 20556 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, Fiscal Year 1922-1923, for the following purposes, to-wit:

For expense of roof repairs and painting at the Potrero Police Station, \$1,105.

For roof repairs, installation of new doors, window screens and hardware at old Isolation Hospital, Army and De Haro streets, \$573.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Robb—1.

**Appropriation, \$1,500, for Prosecution of Wilkens Case.**

Resolution No. 20557 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$1,500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 30, Fiscal Year 1922-

1923, for expense by the District Attorney in the continuation of the prosecution of the Wilkens case.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Robb—1.

**Auto Stations, Garage and Oil Permits.**

Resolution No. 20558 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

*Automobile Parking Station.*

A. F. Rousseau, on south side of Market street, 45 feet east of Eighth street, extending on an L to Eighth street.

A. F. Rousseau, at northeast corner of Mission and Eighth streets.

The washing or oiling of automobiles, or the sale of oils and gasoline will not be permitted.

*Automobile Supply Station.*

Baroni & Nespoli, on west side of Mission street, 170 feet north of Faragut avenue; also to store 1200 gallons of gasoline of premises.

*Transfer Public Garage.*

To John C. Wormuth, permit granted by Resolution No. 19347 (New Series) to Frank G. Parker for premises situate on south side of Ocean avenue, 25 feet west of Faxon avenue.

*Oil Storage Tank.*

(1500 gallons capacity.)

Neal & Johnson, on west side of Larkin street, 75 feet south of Union street.

Geo. Bos Co., at 1050 Green street.

Collins-Heneke Candy Co., at 620 Folsom street.

H. T. Havside, at 100 Twenty-sixth avenue.

Kincanon & Perego, on east side of Taylor street, 70 feet south of Broadway.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Robb—1.

**Garage Permit.**

Resolution No. 20559 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors is hereby granted George Hackett to maintain and operate a public garage on the south side of Ellis street, 137 feet 6 inches west of Taylor street,

the front line of said garage to be not less than 68 feet 9 inches southerly from the property line on the southerly side of Ellis street; also to store 600 gallons of gasoline on the premises.

This permit is granted on the express agreement that stores shall be immediately erected covering the full frontage of the property on Ellis street and extend to a depth of not less than 68 feet 9 inches from the property line on Ellis street (except that an entrance from Ellis street to the garage to be constructed in the rear of the proposed stores is permitted). The foundation walls of the proposed stores shall be constructed to carry a six-story building the first two stories of which are to be immediately erected.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Robb—1.

#### Parking Station Permit.

Resolution No. 20560 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permit is hereby granted:

A. E. Boicelli, on north side of Post street, 137 feet 6 inches east of Mason street. Automobiles are to enter and leave station through premises occupied by Associated Oil Company at northeast corner of Post and Mason streets.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Robb—1.

#### Permits.

Resolution No. 20561 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

##### *Hand Laundry.*

Y. Quadt, at 352 Leavenworth street.

##### *Public Garage.*

Joseph Arena and A. W. Hamilton, at 367 Golden Gate avenue; also to store 600 gallons of gasoline on premises.

W. P. Fuller & Co., on east side of Beale street, 137 feet south of Mission street; also to store 1200 gallons of gasoline on premises.

##### *Automobile Parking Station.*

Grady & Scott, on southeast side of Stevenson street, 240 feet northeast of Second street (100x112 feet), extending irregularly into Jessie street.

##### *Oil Storage Tank.*

(1500 gallons capacity.)

M. T. Kelly, at 449 O'Farrell street.

Hayes-Oser Corporation, at southwest corner of Pine and Powell streets.

#### Boiler.

J. L. Kraft & Bros. Co., at 757 Sansome street; 25-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Robb—1.

#### Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 20562 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That G. McMahan is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts at 4 High street for grading purposes, providing said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of \$5,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction and under the supervision of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by the said G. McMahan then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Robb—1.

#### Amending Zoning Ordinance, Great Highway.

Bill No. 6188, Ordinance No. 5756 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

That Section 5 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the property fronting on the Great Highway con-

mencing at a point one hundred feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly to the northerly line of Ulloa street, and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines in the Second Residential District instead of the Commercial District.

That Section 7 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the property commencing at a point situated one hundred and twenty-five feet easterly from the easterly line of Church street and one hundred feet southerly from the southerly line of Fifteenth street, and running thence southerly seventy-five feet; thence easterly one hundred and fifteen feet; thence northerly seventy-five feet, and thence westerly to the point of commencement, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Robb—1.

#### Establishing Set-Back Lines.

Bill No. 6177, Ordinance No. 5757 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Sixteenth avenue, Green street, Jordan avenue, Vallejo street and Sixth avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 30th day of October, 1922, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 6, to establish set-back lines along portions of Sixteenth avenue, Green street, Jordan avenue, Vallejo street and Sixth avenue, and fixed the 27th day of November, 1922, at 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that no objections were made except those that were duly and properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along both sides of Sixteenth avenue, commencing at the northerly line of Anza street and running thence northerly to points seventy-five feet

southerly from the southerly line of Geary street, which said set-back line shall be ten feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixteenth avenue.

Along the northerly line of Green street between Divisadero street and Scott street, which said set-back line shall be twenty feet distant from and parallel with the line of Green street.

Along the easterly and westerly sides of Jordan avenue, commencing at California street and running thence southerly to points one hundred feet northerly from the northerly line of Geary street, which said set-back line shall be twelve feet distant from and parallel with the line of Jordan avenue.

Along the northerly side of Vallejo street, between Divisadero street and Broderick street, which said set-back line shall be nine feet distant from and parallel with the line of Vallejo street.

Along the westerly line of Sixth avenue, commencing at the southerly line of Lake street and running thence southerly to a point eighty-three feet and eight inches northerly from the northerly line of California street, which said set-back line shall be eighteen feet distant from and parallel with the line of Sixth avenue.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structure shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Robb—1.

#### Regulating Installation of Street Lights.

Bill No. 6178, Ordinance No. 5758 (New Series), as follows:

Prohibiting the placing of street lights or fixtures on new streets without the approval of the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The placing of street lights or street light fixtures along or upon any new street shown upon the map of a subdivision or along any new street opened or changed is hereby prohibited unless a map thereof showing the location of such light or fixture has been filed with the Board of Supervisors and has been approved by the Lighting Committee of the Board

of Supervisors. The City Engineer and City Planning Commission is hereby directed to disapprove of any map of any subdivision showing location of street lights or fixtures unless such location has been approved by said Lighting Committee.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Robb—1.

Ordering Street Work, Coleridge Street.

Bill No. 6179, Ordinance No. 5759 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 8, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Coleridge street between Eugenia avenue and Lizzie street, including the crossing of Coleridge street and Eugenia avenue and Coleridge street and Lizzie street, by grading to official line and grade; by

resetting the existing granite curbs and catchbasins and by reconstructing existing culverts on the crossing of Coleridge street and Eugenia avenue; by the construction of an 8-inch iron-stone pipe sewer with 9 Y branches and one brick manhole with frame, cover and steps along the center line of Coleridge street from a point 20 feet northerly from Lizzie street to the southerly line of Eugenia avenue; by the construction of a 10-inch iron-stone pipe sewer and one brick manhole with frame, cover and steps along the center line of Lizzie street between the easterly and westerly lines of Coleridge street; by the construction of concrete curbs between the southerly line of Eugenia avenue and the northerly line of Lizzie street; by the construction of concrete curbs and artificial stone sidewalks 12 feet in width on the easterly side of Coleridge street between the property lines of Lizzie street; by the construction of concrete curbs and artificial stone sidewalks on the northwesterly and southwesterly angular corners of the crossing of Coleridge street and Lizzie street; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners of the crossing of Coleridge street and Eugenia avenue where not already constructed, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Robb—1.

Ordering Street Work, Plymouth Avenue.

Bill No. 6180, Ordinance No. 5760 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 8, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public

Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in five installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Plymouth avenue between Ocean avenue and Lakeview avenue*, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width where artificial stone sidewalks at least six feet in width are not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Robb—1.

Ordering Street Work, *Silliman Street*.

Bill No. 6181, Ordinance No. 5761 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 8, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pur-

suant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in five installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Silliman street between Goettingen street and Somerset street*, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width where not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Robb—1.

Ordering Street Work, *Eighth Street*.

Bill No. 6182, Ordinance No. 5762 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 10, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in five installments; that the period of time after the payment of

the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Eighth street between the easterly line of Caroline street and the northerly line of Sixteenth street, including the intersection of Hooper, Irwin and Wisconsin streets with Eighth street*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of the following vitrified, salt-glazed, ironstone pipe sewers and appurtenances and brick manholes with cast iron frames and covers and galvanized wrought iron steps; a 12-inch with 6 Y branches and one brick manhole along the center line of Eighth street from the existing manhole on the center line of Hooper street, produced to a point at its intersection with the center line of Wisconsin street, produced; a 12-inch with 2 Y branches and one brick manhole along the center line of Wisconsin street, produced from the last described point to a point on the center line of Irwin street, produced; by the construction of ten (10) brick catchbasins with cast iron frames, gratings and traps and 10-inch vitrified, salt-glazed, ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width, and by the construction of concrete curbs.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Robb—1.

Ordering Street Work, Fifteenth Street.

Bill No. 6184, Ordinance No. 5763 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 9, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed un-

der the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in five installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Fifteenth street between Bryant street and Florida street*, by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with 8 Y branches and one brick manhole along the center line of Fifteenth street between Bryant and Florida streets; by the construction of two brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Robb—1.

Spur Track Permits.

Bill No. 6185, Ordinance No. 5764 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to George W. Lamb to maintain and operate a spur track from the spur track of the Southern Pacific Company in First street, to serve the property at the northwest corner of First and Federal streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to George W. Lamb to construct, maintain and operate a spur track from the spur track of the Southern Pacific Company in First street, thence over and across First street, to serve the property at the northwest corner of First and Federal streets, as shown on blue print.

Said permission is granted subject

to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted, and shall be construed as a part hereof, as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement, and any additional requirements for the surface drainage to be paid for by George W. Lamb.

Provided, that the relocation of the existing high pressure fire hydrant be done at the expense of George W. Lamb, and girder rails be used in the construction of this spur track.

Provided, that George W. Lamb shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Robb—1.

Bill No. 6186, Ordinance No. 5765 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Richard Hellmann (Incorporated), to construct, maintain and operate a spur track from the tracks of the Southern Pacific Company at Sixteenth and Harrison streets as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Richard Hellmann (Incorporated) to construct, maintain and operate a spur track from the tracks of the Southern Pacific Company, beginning at a point on the center line of the Southern Pacific Company main line in Treat avenue, 300 feet plus or minus northerly from the northerly line of Sixteenth street; thence southerly through a No. 7 turnout to the left, 62.6 feet; thence southerly to a point on the northerly line of Sixteenth street 10.5 feet west of the westerly line of Harrison street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and

the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof, as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement, and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by Richard Hellmann (Incorporated).

Provided, that girder rails be used in the construction of this spur track, and that the existing manhole on the main sewer be reconstructed or relocated if necessary, and that all work be done under the supervision of the Board of Public Works.

Provided, that Richard Hellmann (Incorporated) shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Robb—1.

Bill No. 6187, Ordinance No. 5766 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to P. L. Burr to lay down and use tracks and operate cars over and across Twelfth avenue at Rivera street for the purpose of moving material from the easterly to the westerly side of Twelfth avenue, Blocks 2205 and 2206.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to P. L. Burr to lay down and use tracks and operate cars over and across Twelfth avenue at Rivera street, for the purpose of moving material from the easterly to the westerly side of Twelfth avenue, Blocks 2205 and 2206.

Section 2. The tracks shall be laid down and operated under the supervision and to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Robb—1.

### PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print and amounting to \$50,286.76, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Robb—1.

### NEW BUSINESS.

#### Auditorium Rentals.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 20563 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following persons and organizations be granted permission to occupy the halls in the Auditorium, deposits having been paid to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to guarantee the rental fees:

Islam Temple, use of Main and Polk halls, December 28, 1922, 6 p. m. to 12 p. m., for the purpose of holding ceremonial.

St. Ignatius Conservation League, use of the Main Hall, December 12th, 1922, 6 p. m. to 12 p. m., for the purpose of holding a concert.

Motion Picture Operators' Union, use of Main Hall, December 31, 1923, 6 p. m. to 12 p. m., for the purpose of holding a dance.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Robb—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were passed for printing:

#### Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McLeran:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

#### Auditorium Fund.

(1) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electricity and gas for October, 1922 (claim dated Nov. 27, 1922), \$1,161.21.

#### School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(2) Joseph Greenback, first payment, plastering Galileo High School (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$8,157.19.

(3) Emil Hogberg, fifth payment, brick and hollow tile, Mission High School addition (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$6,000.

(4) A. Lettich, final payment, plumbing Adams School (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$2,366.06.

(5) O. Monson, third payment, general construction, Hancock School addition (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$10,445.

(6) C. Peterson Co., seventh payment, heating and ventilating, Galileo High School (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$1,824.05.

(7) Standard Electrical Construction Co., seventh payment, electrical work, Mission High School addition (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$1,644.38.

#### Special School Tax, 1921-1922.

(8) A. Lettich, second payment, plumbing, Horace Mann School (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$2,380.57.

(9) John Morton Co., sixth payment, general construction, Oral-Deaf School (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$4,460.03.

(10) James F. Smith, fourth payment, plastering, Mission High School addition (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$2,787.75.

(11) C. L. Wold, third payment, general construction, Pacific Heights School (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$11,850.

#### Park Fund, 1922-1923.

(12) Thos. Cody, accident compensation, 40 weeks at \$20.83 (claim dated Dec. 8, 1922), \$833.20.

#### Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(13) Goodyear Rubber Co., supplies for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 4, 1922), \$684.

(14) Insley Mfg. Co., dump cars for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 4, 1922), \$620.

(15) Norman B. Livermore & Co., hoisting engine, etc., for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 4, 1922), \$4,175.60.

(16) Sperry Flour Co., flour for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 4, 1922), \$926.25.

(17) Standard Oil Co., supplies for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 4, 1922), \$2,377.04.

(18) The Utah Construction Co., payroll and supplies for Hetch Hetchy camp (claim dated Dec. 4, 1922), \$605.24.

(19) Associated Oil Co., supplies for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 5, 1922), \$1,533.63.

(20) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., supplies for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 5, 1922), \$639.50.

(21) Bethlehem Shipbuilding Corp'n., Ltd., supplies for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 5, 1922), \$9,161.54.

(22) Crucible Steel Co. of America, steel drill for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 5, 1922), \$526.70.

(23) William Cluff Co., groceries for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 5, 1922), \$2,299.36.

(24) Haas Bros., groceries for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 5, 1922), \$1,862.18.

(25) Ingersoll-Rand Co., supplies for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 5, 1922), \$3,961.60.

(26) A. Levy and J. Zentner Co., vegetables for Hetch Hetchy camp (claim dated Dec. 5, 1922), \$1,089.15.

(27) The A. Lietz Co., transit and level for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 5, 1922), \$617.94.

*General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(28) Louis J. Cohn, final payment, general construction, exhibition structure, Ferry Building (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$4,220.40.

*General Fund, 1922-1923.*

(29) Coast Rock & Gravel Co., gravel for Street Repair Department (claim dated Dec. 1, 1922), \$810.88.

(30) P. David Co., lining plates for asphalt plant (claim dated Dec. 1, 1922), \$542.95.

(31) Standard Oil Co., asphalt for Street Repair Department (claim dated Dec. 1, 1922), \$1,596.24.

(32) Shell Company of California, fuel oil for Street Repair Department (claim dated Dec. 1, 1922), \$1,479.21.

(33) Western Rock Products Co., sand for Street Repair Department (claim dated Dec. 1, 1922), \$2,254.59.

(34) Louis J. Cohn, first payment, street signs (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$1,401.30.

(35) James M. Walsh, purchase of property, opening and widening Rolph street, Resolution No. 20532 (claim dated Dec. 7, 1922), \$1,350.

(36) Nellie Franks, purchase of property, opening and widening Rolph street, Resolution No. 20532 (claim dated Dec. 7, 1922), \$2,000.

(37) Homer Lingenfelter, purchase of property, opening and widening Rolph street, Resolution No. 20532 (claim dated Dec. 7, 1922), \$860.

(38) The Recorder, printing Law and motion calendar for November, 1922 (claim dated Dec. 11, 1922), \$665.

(39) S. F. Convention and Tourist League, sundry bills approved by Public Welfare and Publicity Committee (claim dated Dec. 11, 1922), \$3,903.80.

(40) Alex Duffer Printing Co., printing for Music Week (claim dated Dec. 11, 1922), \$824.50.

(41) Bernhard Mattress Co., mattresses for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$6,587.50.

(42) F. L. Hilmer Co., eggs for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$2,301.90.

(43) Baumgarten Bros., meat for Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 29, 1922), \$1,670.67.

(44) California Meat Co., meat for Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 29, 1922), \$1,742.94.

(45) California Poultry Co., turkeys for Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 29, 1922), \$826.65.

(46) Fred L. Hilmer Co., supplies for Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 29, 1922), \$905.10.

(47) Producers Hay Co., supplies for Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 29, 1922), \$811.45.

(48) Sherry Bros. Inc., butter and cheese for Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 29, 1922), \$1,056.17.

(49) Sperry Flour Co., flour for Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 29, 1922), \$855.

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(50) Grant Smith & Co., fourth payment, contract 85, Pulgas tunnel (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$32,215.04.

(51) Martin J. Lyons, first payment, contract 88, relocation of railroad, Six bit Gulch (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$2,435.81.

(52) Utah Construction Co., thirty-seventh payment, contract 61, dam and appurtenances (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$198,013.99.

(53) Charles Henry Hoff and Josephine Hoff, purchase of right of way, San Mateo County aqueduct (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$1,100.

(54) Joseph J. Lane and Donald F. Feliz, right of way, San Mateo aqueduct (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$1,860.

(55) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$602.15.

(56) J. H. Newbauer & Co., groceries for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$1,587.57.

(57) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving account (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$596.35.

(58) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving account (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$761.05.

(59) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving account (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$1,026.43.

(60) Pacific Car and Equipment Co., trucks for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$557.75.

(61) Pacific Metal Works, supplies for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$1,062.84.

(62) Sherry Bros. Inc., eggs for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$1,495.76.

(63) Sullivan Machinery Co., supplies for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$574.

(64) United States Rubber Co., supplies for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$990.

(65) Virden Packing Co., supplies for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$886.15.

(66) Waterbury Co., wire rope for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$1,122.63.

*General Fund, 1922-1923.*

(67) Felix Gross Co., hauling and erecting election booths, etc. (claim dated Dec. 8, 1922), \$1,200.

*Appropriations.*

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity Fund, for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) To defray the cost of the construction of a sun porch adjacent to the children's wing of the San Francisco Hospital, \$799 in addition to the amount previously appropriated under Resolution No. 20180 (New Series).

(2) To defray the cost of repair of elevators at the San Francisco Hospital:

Contract price (Otis Elevator Co), \$7,985.

Inspection, \$250.

Extras, incidentals, etc., \$500.

District Attorney, Expenses, Wilkins Case.

The following resolution, laid over from last meeting, was taken up:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the sum of \$1,500 out of Urgent Necessity Fund in payment to Matthew Brady, District Attorney, for expenses of further prosecution of the Wilkins case.

*Privilege of the Floor.*

*Isidore Golden*, representing the District Attorney, was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board, explaining the manner in which the money is to be spent and the necessity for this appropriation.

*Passed for Printing.*

Whereupon, the following resolution was passed for printing:

*Appropriations.*

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds, for the following purposes, to-wit:

*Out of Budget Item No. 47, Fiscal Year 1922-1923.*

To defray the cost of constructing a septic tank in the crossing of Fitzgerald avenue and Griffith street, \$500.

*Out of Special School Tax Fund.*

For extras and incidentals in connection with the construction of the Horace Mann School on Valencia street between Twenty-third and Twenty-fourth streets, \$5,000.

*Out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(1) To defray the cost of electrical work on the Mission High School addition, contract awarded to J. W. Burchaell, \$3,799.

(2) To defray the cost of linoleum in the Mission High School addition, contract awarded to D. N. & E. Walter, \$7,918.

*Declaring Result of Schools and Relief Home Bond Election.*

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 20564 (New Series), as follows:

Declaring the result of the special election held on the 21st day of November, 1922, for the purpose of authorizing the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of \$12,000,000 for the construction, completion and equipment of school buildings and acquisition of lands therefor and the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of \$2,000,000 for the construction, completion and equipment of buildings for a Relief Home.

Whereas, pursuant to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5693 (New Series), calling a special election, and Ordinance No. 5728 (New Series), giving notice of the same, a special election was held in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on the 21st day of November, 1922, whereat was submitted to and determined by the qualified voters of said City and County the following propositions:

*Proposition One*—To incur a bonded indebtedness by the City and County of San Francisco, California, to the amount of twelve million dollars for the construction, completion and equipment by the City and County of San Francisco of permanent buildings to be used for public schools, and the acquisition of necessary lands therefor. Bonds issued for such purpose shall bear interest at the rate of five per centum per annum, payable semi-annually.

*Proposition Two*—To incur a bonded indebtedness by the City and County of San Francisco, California, to the amount of two million dollars for the construction, completion and equipment of permanent buildings by the City and County of San Francisco to be used as a Relief Home. Bonds issued for such purpose shall bear interest at the rate of five per centum per annum, payable semi-annually.

Whereas, said election was held and conducted in form and manner and in every respect as required by law, and the returns thereof canvassed, and the result thereon determined and declared as required by law; and

Whereas, the Board of Election Commissioners of said City and County filed on December 5, 1922, in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, its certificate showing the facts above recited; and setting forth in detail the total number of votes cast at such election, and the number of votes cast and counted for and against the aforesaid propositions; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors as follows:

1. It is hereby declared that the special election held on the 21st day of November, 1922, was held and conducted and the votes cast and counted thereat, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof determined and declared, in all respects, and in time, form and manner as required by the laws of the State of California and the Charter and ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco.

2. It is hereby declared that the total number of votes cast at said special election was 81,363.

3. It is hereby declared that of the votes so cast 69,331 votes were cast and counted as being in favor of Proposition One, and that 11,505 votes were cast and counted as being against and not in favor of Proposition One herein set forth.

4. It is hereby declared that of the votes so cast 70,336 votes were cast and counted as being in favor of Proposition Two, and that 10,038 votes were cast and counted as being against and not in favor of Proposition Two herein set forth.

5. It is hereby declared and determined that more than two-thirds of all the votes cast at said special election were cast and counted as being in favor of each of said propositions, and were sufficient to authorize and did authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in said propositions.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Coiman, Deasy, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—13.

Noes—Supervisors Hynes, McSheehy—2.

Absent—Supervisors Hayden, Robb, Rossi—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Providing for Issuance and Redemption of Bonds.

Bill No. 6189, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the issuance and redemption of bonds of the City and

County of San Francisco to the amount of twelve million dollars for the construction, completion and equipment by the City and County of San Francisco of permanent buildings to be used for public schools and the acquisition of necessary lands therefor, and to the amount of two million dollars for the construction, completion and equipment of permanent buildings by the City and County of San Francisco to be used as a Relief Home, and in accordance with and as authorized at a special election held in said City and County on the 21st day of November, 1922.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that a special election was held in the City and County of San Francisco on the 21st day of November, 1922, in accordance with the provisions and requirements of Ordinance No. 5693 (New Series), calling and providing for such election, and Ordinance No. 5728 (New Series), giving notice thereof, reference to said ordinances for further particulars being here made; that it has been determined by Resolution No. 20564 (New Series), reference thereto for further particulars being here made, that at such special election more than two-thirds of the votes cast thereat were cast and counted as being in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purposes and to the amounts stated in the propositions submitted thereat.

Section 2. Bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, California, will be issued in accordance with the results of said special election held in said City and County on the 21st day of November, 1922, and the Charter of said City and County and with Ordinances No. 5693 (New Series) and No. 5728 (New Series), as follows, to-wit:

That bonds to the amount of twelve million dollars will be issued for the construction, completion and equipment by the City and County of San Francisco of permanent buildings to be used for public schools and the acquisition of necessary lands therefor, shall be called "School Bonds," shall be numbered from 1 to 12,000, inclusive; shall be dated March 1, 1923, and shall be payable three hundred thousand dollars thereof five years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and three hundred thousand dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

That bonds to the amount of two

million dollars will be issued for the construction, completion and equipment of permanent buildings by the City and County of San Francisco to be used as a Relief Home, shall be called "Relief Home Bonds;" shall be numbered from 1 to 2000, inclusive; shall be dated March 1, 1923, and shall be payable one hundred thousand dollars thereof five years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and one hundred thousand dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 3. All of said bonds issued as herein provided shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated March 1, 1923, shall bear interest at the rate of five per centum per annum, payable semi-annually; shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasury of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of the City and County in the City and State of New York.

Section 4. Said bonds shall be signed by the Mayor and by the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, countersigned by the Auditor and attested by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors with the seal of said City and County. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

United States of America, State of  
California,  
City and County of San Francisco.  
*Bond.*

No. ——— \$1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer on the first day of March, 19—, one thousand dollars with interest thereon at the rate of five per centum per annum, payable semi-annually March 1 and September 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California and the

Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and to be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. Full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person, or by attorney duly authorized, on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor; and has caused interest coupons hereto attached to be signed by the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond

to be dated the first day of March, 1923.

Mayor.

Treasurer.

Countersigned:

Auditor.

Attest:

(Seal) Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 5. Interest coupons shall be attached to each bond to the number of twice the number of years such bond will run until the maturity thereof, and in the amount of twenty-five dollars, which sum will be the amount due for interest for six months; such coupons shall be numbered from one upward, and shall state the name and number of the bond to which it is attached. Each coupon shall bear the facsimile signature of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, and be substantially in the following form:

*Form of Coupon.*

No. \_\_\_\_\_ \$25.00  
On \_\_\_\_\_, 19—, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to the bearer, at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York, twenty-five dollars in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated March 1, 1923.

No. \_\_\_\_\_

Treasurer.

Section 6. Any bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person, or by attorney duly authorized, on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon. Such registration shall be substantially in the following form:

*Form of Registration.*

San Francisco, \_\_\_\_\_, 19—.

This bond is registered pursuant to

the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of \_\_\_\_\_ and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

Treasurer.

Section 7. For the purpose of providing for the payment of the principal and interest of said bonds, taxes shall be levied and collected as provided in Ordinance No. 5693 (New Series) and Ordinance No. 5728 (New Series), and in furtherance of the purpose herein expressed, the following funds are hereby created: "School Bond Interest and Redemption Fund—Issue of 1923" and "Relief Home Interest and Redemption Fund."

Section 8. The Board of Supervisors shall sell said bonds at such times and in such amounts as it shall determine. The proceeds arising from the sale of school bonds shall be placed to the credit of a "School Building Construction Fund" and the proceeds arising from the sale of Relief Home bonds shall be placed to the credit of the "Relief Home Construction Fund," which funds are hereby created, and shall be used exclusively for the purposes respectively, for which such bonds were issued.

Section 9. This ordinance is the fourth of a series of ordinances which will be adopted by the Board of Supervisors under and by virtue of which it is proposed that a bonded indebtedness of said City and County will be incurred for the purposes herein enumerated.

Section 10. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Leasy, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Weich, Wetmore—13.

Nocs—Supervisors Hynes, McSheehy—2.

Absent—Supervisors Hayden, Robb, Rossi—3.

*City Attorney to Compromise Litigation.*

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 20565 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco has commenced a suit in condemnation in pursuance of Section 10, Chapter 11, Article II of the Charter and for the purposes therein enumerated, the following being a particular description of said property:

Commencing at a point formed by the intersection of the southerly line of McAllister street with the westerly line of Van Ness avenue, running thence southerly along said westerly line of Van Ness avenue 120 feet to the northerly line of Ash street; thence westerly along said northerly

line of Ash street 219 feet 9 inches; thence at a right-angle northerly 120 feet to the southerly line of McAllister street; thence easterly along said southerly line of McAllister street 219 feet 9 inches to the westerly line of Van Ness avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 76; also known as Lot 1, Block 786, on Assessor's Map Book.

Whereas, the owners of said property have offered to convey the whole of said property, free and clear of all incumbrances, in fee simple absolute, for the sum of \$188,231 and release the City and County of San Francisco from all claims for damages arising out of the fact that certain contracts made by the owner or owners of said property for the construction of a building on said property must be cancelled; and

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended said sum as the fair and reasonable sum to be paid for said property and a release of the City from said damages; and

Whereas, there was appropriated in the budget for the fiscal year 1921-1922 the sum of \$100,000, Item 44, for the purchase of lands within the Civic Center district as defined by Section 10, Chapter II, Article II of the Charter; and

Whereas, said sum is sufficient only to purchase an undivided 10 19ths interest in said property and whereas, John S. Drum et als., trustees of the San Francisco War Memorial, are willing to purchase the remaining 9 19ths of the said property provided the City purchase 10/19ths thereof, and enter into an option with the City and County of San Francisco to convey to the said City and County of San Francisco the 9 19ths so purchased by said John S. Drum et als. for the exact amount paid by said trustees plus interest thereon at the rate of six per cent per annum from the date of purchase until such conveyance is made to the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, said trustees as aforesaid are now the owners of property within the district set out in Section 10, Chapter II of Article II of the Charter of said City and County, in which property the City and County of San Francisco has an interest; and

Whereas, it is necessary for the protection of the Civic Center and the proper development thereof that the property hereinafove set forth be acquired either by the City and County of San Francisco or by individuals or associations intending to erect semi-public buildings, monumental in character; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City and County

of San Francisco acquire an undivided 10 19ths interest in said property provided that said John S. Drum et als., trustees as aforesaid, acquire the remaining 9 19ths either in their own names or in the name of a trustee to be selected by them, and that the City Attorney prepare the necessary deeds for the acquisition of said lands and draw the necessary contracts and papers to consummate the transaction as in this resolution set out. Be it further

Resolved, That the sum of \$99,070 be and the same is hereby appropriated and set aside out of Item 44, Budget fiscal year 1921-1922, the same being the amount of the purchase price of the 10 19ths interest in said property to be purchased by the City and County of San Francisco.

#### Motion.

*Supervisor McSheehy moved to lay over one week.*

Motion lost by the following vote:

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Aye—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisor Robb—1.

#### Adopted.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisor Robb—1.

#### Notice of Reconsideration.

*Supervisor McSheehy changed his vote from no to aye and gave notice of reconsideration at next meeting.*

Subsequently, Supervisor McSheehy, over the phone, requested Clerk Dunningan to cancel the foregoing notice of reconsideration.

#### Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was passed for printing:

Appropriation. \$99,070, Payment to Lyon Fireproof Warehouse Company.

On motion of Supervisor McLeran: Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$99,070 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Budget Item No. 44 in payment of Lyon Fireproof Warehouse Company for the purchase of land at the southwest corner of Van Ness avenue and McAllister street.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill,

Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore 16.

No.—Supervisor McSheehy 1.

Absent—Supervisor Robb 1.

(At this point in the proceedings Supervisor Bath was excused for the rest of the meeting.)

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were passed for printing:

**Purchase of Glen Park.**

On motion of Supervisor McLeran: Bill No. 6190, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and directing the Mayor, in the name and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, to execute an agreement with the Crocker Estate Company, a corporation, for the purchase of the "Glen Park Picnic Grounds."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Mayor is hereby authorized and directed to execute in the name and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco an agreement with the Crocker Estate Company, a corporation, providing for the immediate purchase of a portion, approximately one-third of the total area, of that certain tract of land situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, known as the Glen Park Picnic Grounds, comprising 7.822 acres, more or less, for the sum of ten thousand dollars, and giving to the City and County the option to purchase another portion of said part approximately one-third of the total area thereof, on or before the first day of December, 1923, for the further principal sum of ten thousand dollars, and also an option to purchase the remainder of said tract on or before the first day of December, 1924, for the additional sum of ten thousand dollars, and also giving to the City and County the right to the immediate possession of the whole of said tract upon the making of the first ten thousand dollar payment hereinabove referred to; the said agreement to be approved in form by the City Attorney, and there shall be incorporated therein the terms and conditions contained in the proposed agreement for that purpose this day presented to the Board by the Finance Committee.

Section 2. This ordinance shall be in force and effect from and after its passage.

Garage, Parking Station, Boiler and Oil Permits.

Supervisor Deasy presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

**Public Garage.**

Joseph Pasqualetti (two-story building), on north side of McAllister street, 177 feet west of Fillmore street, also to store 600 gallons of gasoline on premises.

Stevenson Garage, Inc. (two-story and basement building), on south side of Stevenson street, 160 feet west of Ecker street, extending through on an L into Jessie street, also to store 900 gallons of gasoline on premises.

**Transfer Public Garage.**

To C. H. Carmichael, permit granted by Resolution No. 19474 (New Series) to Harold T. Campbell, for premises situate on north side of Jessie street, 175 feet west of Seventh street (No. 620 Jessie street).

**Automobile Parking Station.**

Edward De Larm, on east side of Davis street, 150 feet north of California street. No greasing or washing racks will be permitted in station.

**Boiler.**

Edward Bailey, at 1341 Valencia street, 15 horsepower.

J. Portigera & Co., at 111 Gilbert street, 10 horsepower.

**Oil Storage Tank.**

Sacred Heart Church, south side Fell street, 120 feet east of Fillmore street, 1500 gallons capacity.

American Fore Building Co., southeast corner Bush and Sansome streets, 3000 gallons capacity.

Leman & Tyler, at northeast corner Van Ness avenue and Union street, 1500 gallons capacity.

G. F. Potter, at 138-142 California street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Edward Bailey, at 1341 Valencia street, 1500 gallons capacity.

B. F. Owens, at 4075 Twenty-fourth street, 600 gallons capacity.

Boss & Hill, east side of Van Ness avenue, 100 feet north of Filbert street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Hill, Hubbell & Co., at 1513 San Bruno avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

G. A. Berton, at 3600 Washington street, 600 gallons capacity.

Mrs. Harvey Dale, at 2444 Great Highway, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

**Action Deferred.**

The following resolution was on motion laid over one week:

Denying Stable Permit for Goats.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion

of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied Clara Petzoldt to construct and maintain a stable for 16 female goats at 1031 Jamestown avenue.

City Attorney to Commence Condemnation Proceedings for Land in University Mound District Required for Playground Purposes.

Supervisor Wetmore presented:

Resolution No. 20566 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity and use require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco of the following described lands, and any and all rights and claims thereto, for playground purposes, to-wit: All the lots, pieces or parcels of land included in the following descriptions, to-wit:

Being all of Block No. 5481 on Assessor's Map Book, formerly Block No. 19, Railroad Avenue Extension Homestead, and bounded by Burrows, Bacon, Goettingen and Brussels (formerly Berlin) streets.

That all the lands, rights and claims above described are hereby declared to be suitable, adaptable and necessary for a public use by the City and County of San Francisco.

The City Attorney is hereby instructed to commence proceedings against the owner or owners of said lots, pieces or parcels of land enclosed within said hereinabove description, and any and all rights and claims thereto and interest therein, for the condemnation thereof for the use of the City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid, and to prosecute such proceedings to a speedy termination.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes - Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore - 17.

Absent Supervisor Robb - 1.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land at Bay View.

Also, Resolution No. 20567 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from A. Ferroggiaro to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate in Block 484, Bay View Land Association, required for public use; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, including improvements and all rights and title to streets vested in said owner and

fronting on said land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of \$5,500, be and is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point formed by the intersection of the southwesterly line of Armstrong avenue with the northwesterly line of Keith street, and running thence southwesterly along said northwesterly line of Keith street 200 feet to the northeasterly line of Bancroft avenue; thence northwesterly along said northeasterly line of Bancroft avenue 75 feet; thence at right angles northeasterly 100 feet; thence at right angles northwesterly 75 feet; thence at right angles northeasterly 100 feet to the southwesterly line of Armstrong avenue; thence southeasterly along said southwesterly line of Armstrong avenue 150 feet to the northwesterly line of Keith street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Bay View Homestead Association Block No. 484; also known as Lots 1, 2 and 14 of Block 5420 on Assessor's Map Book; also all rights and title in Keith street and Armstrong avenue vested in said grantor.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. The deed to said property is hereby accepted.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes - Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore - 17.

Absent Supervisor Robb - 1.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Fees for Garbage Wagons, etc.

Supervisor Bath presented:

Bill No. 6191, Ordinance No. --- (New Series), as follows:

Fixing the time and amount of paying of certain inspection fees imposed by Ordinance No. 5496 (New Series).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The inspection fee imposed upon vehicles engaged in transporting garbage, refuse, manure, or

from which foodstuffs are sold, by the provisions of Ordinance No. 5496 (New Series) shall be paid by the owners thereof and collected by the Board of Health as follows, to-wit:

The sum of five dollars shall be paid on or before the 2nd day of January, 1923, and each year thereafter for the period of the calendar year, provided that in cases of fees heretofore paid, credit shall be given for the portion of the calendar year expressed in month or fractions thereof for which fee has been paid. Fees for inspections made after January in any year shall be of such sum as will cover the period to the month of January thereafter following. It is the intention of this ordinance to provide that the period for which all inspection fees are paid shall expire on the second day of January in each year.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Memorial to Congress in Favor of Wine and Beer.

Supervisor Bath presented:

Resolution No. 20568 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following memorial be transmitted to the Senators and Representatives in Congress from San Francisco with a request that it be presented to their respective houses.

*To the Congress of the United States:*

On the 15th day of April, 1922, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco ordered submitted to the voters of said City and County the following question:

"Shall Congress be memorialized to amend the law so as to permit the manufacture and use of light wines and beer for beverage purposes?"

That such question was printed on the ballot used at the general election held on the 7th day of November, 1922, so that the voters could vote "yes" or "no" in answer thereto.

That the Board of Election Commissioners have made return of the number of votes respectively cast "yes" and "no" at such election, viz.:

Number of votes cast Yes, 77,282.

Number of votes cast No, 33,807.

Therefore, in response to the mandate given by a large majority of the voters of the City and County of San Francisco, its Board of Supervisors hereby memorializes the Congress of the United States to so amend the law now existing that the manufacture and use of light wines and beer for beverage purposes may be permitted.

Respectfully and earnestly submitted.

THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Robb—2.

Extension of Time.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 20569 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That C. G. Berg be granted an extension of 60 days' time from and after November 16, 1922, within which to complete contract for the razing and removing of a brick building situate on the north side of Eighteenth street between Dolores and Church streets, formerly the Mission High School building.

This first extension of time is recommended for the reason that due to the extreme hazard and the conditions left by the fire, and unfavorable weather, the contractor was unable to complete his work within the time limit specified, although the work has been diligently pursued.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Robb—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was passed for printing:

Auction Sale of City Land on Parnassus Avenue.

Supervisor Scott presented:

Bill No. 6192, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the sale at public auction of certain land belonging to the City and County of San Francisco, being that certain lot and piece or parcel of land situate on the northwesterly line of Parnassus avenue, extending from the westerly line of Arguello boulevard (formerly First avenue) to the east line of Second avenue, of irregular dimensions, and in accordance with the provisions of Section 9, Chapter 11, Article 11 of the Charter.

Whereas, the Board of Education, under the authority and in accordance with the provisions of Section 11, Chapter 11, Article 11 of the Charter, on the 8th day of November, 1922, adopted a resolution determining that the lot of land hereinafter described is inadequate and unsuitable for school purposes, and that the public interest and necessity demand the sale thereof; and

Whereas, the Mayor concurred in the recommendation of said Board of Education that a sale of said lot of land be made, and has reported the

said recommendation to this Board; now, therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That public interest and necessity demand the sale of the following land owned and held by the City and County of San Francisco, situated within the said City and County of San Francisco, and being more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point formed by the intersection of the northwesterly line of Parnassus avenue with the westerly line of Arguello boulevard (formerly First avenue), running thence southwesterly along said northwesterly line of Parnassus avenue 250 feet 2 $\frac{1}{2}$  inches to the easterly line of Second avenue; thence northerly along said easterly line of Second avenue 177 feet 9 $\frac{3}{4}$  inches to a point 144 feet 5 $\frac{3}{4}$  inches southerly from the southerly line of Irving street (formerly I street); thence at right angles easterly 240 feet to the westerly line of Arguello boulevard (formerly First avenue); thence southerly along said westerly line of Arguello boulevard (formerly First avenue) 107 feet  $\frac{1}{4}$  inch to the northwesterly line of Parnassus avenue and point of commencement; being a portion of Outside Land Block No. 673, also known as Lot 6, Block 1756, on Assessor's Map Book.

Section 2. Said parcel of land as hereinabove described shall be sold for cash, in United States gold coin, at public auction, sale to be held in accordance with the provisions of Section 9 of Chapter II, Article II of the Charter. Said auction sale shall be held in the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, second floor, City Hall, City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on Monday, January 22, 1923, at the hour of 3 p. m.

Section 3. Upon receipt of bids or offers for said land, as aforesaid, the Mayor shall require from the person or persons to whom the property is sold, a deposit amounting to 10 per cent of the sum bid for parcel of land hereinabove described.

Accepting Offers to Sell Lands Required for Hetch Hetchy.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 20570 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco from the following described owners of the following described parcels of land situated in the County of Tuolumne, State of California, required in connection with the Hetch Hetchy Water

Supply project, for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Green Consolidated Gold Mining Company, \$40.

Electric transmission line right of way over portion of Hughes Consolidated Quartz Mine, Mineral Survey No. 4043, in Sec. 35, T. 1 S., R. 15 E.

Green Consolidated Gold Mining Company, \$40.

Penstock right of way over portion of Hughes Consolidated Quartz Mine, Mineral Survey No. 4043, in Sec. 35, T. 1 S., R. 15 E.

E. J. Smith, \$40.

Electric transmission line right of way over portion of the Southwest  $\frac{1}{4}$  of the Southwest  $\frac{1}{4}$  of Sec. 36, T. 1 S., R. 14 E.

Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer, the above described offers of the above named property owners to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the above mentioned parcels of land for the sums set forth opposite their respective names be and the same are hereby accepted. Be it

Further Resolved, That the Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply is hereby authorized and directed to notify said parties of the acceptance of their said offers, to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto, as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Robb—2.

Also, Resolution No. 20571 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco from Eugene L. Amann and Anna Amann of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required in connection with the Amazon Reservoir of the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply project, viz.:

Lot No. 1, Block No. 6400, Crocker-Amazon Tract, Subdivision No. 2, as per map thereof filed March 17, 1914, in Map Book "H," pages 14 to 20, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, for the sum of one thousand and

00 100 dollars (\$1,000); now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer, the offer of Eugene L. Amann and Anna Amann to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the above mentioned parcel of land for the sum of \$1,000 be and the same is hereby accepted. Be it

Further Resolved, That the Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply is hereby authorized and directed to notify said Eugene L. Amann and Anna Amann of the acceptance of their said offer, to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record, with a copy of this resolution attached thereto, as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Robb—2.

Also, Resolution No. 20572 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco from the following described owners of the following described parcels of land situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, required as a right of way for the aqueduct of the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply project, for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Annie Hartman and Max Hartman:

Lots 18, 19 and 20, Block 61, as per map entitled "Map No. 1, Dumbarton Oaks," filed in office of County Recorder of San Mateo County January 20, 1908, Book 5 of Maps, page 56, \$600.

Florence Hirshfeld:

Lot 6, Block 25, as per map entitled "Plat of Dumbarton," filed in office of County Recorder of San Mateo County September 28, 1907, Book 5 of Maps, page 32, \$135.

Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer, the above described offers of the above named property owners to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the above mentioned parcels of land for the sums set forth opposite their respective names be and the same are hereby accepted. Be it

Further Resolved, That the Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply is hereby authorized and di-

rected to notify said parties of the acceptance of their said offers, to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record, with a copy of this resolution attached thereto, as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Robb—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Street Car Operation Regulation.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Bill No. 6193, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Adding a new section to be numbered 3a to Ordinance No. 1674, entitled "Regulating the operation of street railway cars by limiting their speed and providing for the character of their brakes and fixing penalties for the violation thereof."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A new section is hereby added to Ordinance No. 1674, the title of which is above recited, the same to read as follows:

Section 3a. In the portion of the City and County not included in the districts herein described, wherein the speed of street railway cars is limited, the speed shall not exceed that which may be deemed safe operating practice and in places where the view of the track may be obscured, or at entrances to Golden Gate Park, moving cars shall be brought to a "check stop" speed.

Fixing December 18, 1922, Hearing Appeal of Property Owners, Liberty and Sanchez Streets.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20573 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Monday, December 18, 1922, at 3 p. m., is hereby fixed as the time of hearing appeal of property owners from the assessment issued for the improvement of Liberty street between Church street and the easterly curb line of Noe street, and Sanchez street between Twentieth and Twenty-first streets, including the crossing of Sanchez and Liberty streets and that portion of Liberty street opposite the termination of Rayburn street.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes. Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McShocky, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore. 16.

Absent. Supervisors Bath, Robb. 2.  
Resolution of Intention to Close a Portion of Lawton Street, Moraga Street, Noriega Street, Ortega Street, Pacheco Street, Quintara Street, Eleventh Avenue, Twelfth Avenue, Funston Avenue, Fourteenth Avenue, Fifteenth Avenue and Sixteenth Avenue.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20574 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, portions of the streets and avenues hereinafter mentioned have excessive official grades and cuts, official grades as heavy as 43 per cent, cuts as deep as 92 feet, it is the intention to close said streets and avenues and open new streets, avenues, courts and ways following natural contours of the ground with gentle curves and easy gradients, and

Whereas, public interest and convenience requires and would be conserved by the closing and abandonment of the streets and avenues hereinafter mentioned; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to close and abandon a portion of said streets and avenues as part of a general plan. Said streets and avenues situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

*Lawton Street.*

Lawton street from the westerly line of Funston avenue westerly to a line parallel with and 190 feet easterly from the easterly line of Seventeenth avenue.

*Moraga Street.*

Moraga street from a line parallel with and 120 feet westerly from the westerly line of Funston avenue westerly to a line parallel with and 163.736 feet easterly from the easterly line of Seventeenth avenue.

*Noriega Street.*

Noriega street from the westerly line of Eleventh avenue westerly to the easterly line of Sixteenth avenue.

*Ortega Street.*

Ortega street from the westerly line of Eleventh avenue westerly to the easterly line of Fifteenth avenue.

*Pacheco Street.*

Pacheco street from the westerly line of Tenth avenue westerly to the easterly line of Fifteenth avenue.

*Quintara Street.*

Beginning at a point on the nor-

therly line of Quintara street, distant thereon 203.24 feet westerly from the easterly line of Eleventh avenue, and running thence southwesterly on a curve to the left tangent to the northerly line of Quintara street, central angle 23 deg. 36 min. 32 sec. radius 179.208 feet, a distance of 73.843 feet; thence southwesterly on a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding curve, central angle 23 deg. 36 min. 32 sec. radius 179.208 feet a distance of 73.843 feet; thence westerly tangent to the preceding curve a distance of 232.946 feet; thence westerly on a curve to the right tangent to the preceding course, central angle 12 deg. 06 min. 00 sec. radius 337.58 feet a distance of 71.292 feet; thence westerly on a curve to the left tangent to the preceding curve, central angle 12 deg. 05 min. 00 sec. radius 337.58 feet a distance of 71.292 feet; thence westerly tangent to the preceding curve and parallel with the southerly line of Quintara street 199.88 feet; thence northwesterly on a curve to the right tangent to the preceding course, central angle 74 deg. 10 min. 00 sec. radius 20 feet a distance of 25.889 feet; thence northwesterly tangent to the preceding curve a distance of 0.475 feet to the northerly line of Quintara street; thence easterly along the northerly line of Quintara street 737.117 feet to the point of beginning.

*Quintara Street.*

Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Quintara street and the easterly line of Fifteenth avenue, and running thence southerly along the easterly line of Fifteenth avenue, if extended and produced southerly 27.50 feet; thence at right angles easterly parallel with the southerly line of Quintara street a distance of 257.089 feet; thence northeasterly, northerly and northwesterly on a curve to the left tangent to the preceding course, central angle 105 deg. 50 min. radius 10 feet a distance of 18.471 feet; thence northwesterly tangent to the preceding curve a distance of 15.355 feet to the northerly line of Quintara street; thence westerly along the northerly line of Quintara street 262.520 feet to the easterly line of Fifteenth avenue and the point of beginning.

*Eleventh Avenue.*

Eleventh avenue from a line parallel with and 298.553 feet southerly from the southerly line of Ortega street; thence southerly to the northerly line of Quintara street.

*Twelfth Avenue.*

Twelfth avenue from a line parallel with and 357.673 feet southerly from the southerly line of Moraga street;

thence southerly to the northerly line of Quintara street.

*Funston Avenue.*

Funston avenue from a line parallel with and 265.935 feet southerly from the southerly line of Moraga street; thence southerly to the northerly line of Quintara street.

*Fourteenth Avenue.*

Fourteenth avenue from the southerly line of Kirkham street southerly to the northerly line of Quintara street.

*Fifteenth Avenue.*

Fifteenth avenue from the southerly line of Kirkham street southerly to a line parallel with and 125.00 feet southerly from the southerly line of Noriega street.

*Sixteenth Avenue.*

Sixteenth avenue from the southerly line of Kirkham street southerly to a line parallel with and 300 feet northerly from the northerly line of Noriega street.

Whereas, the damages, costs and expenses of closing said streets and avenues is nominal, and no assessment district is necessary to be formed for the purpose of paying the damages, costs and expenses thereof, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares and determines that the whole damage, cost and expense of closing said streets and avenues shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco.

Said closing of said streets and avenues shall be done in pursuance of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and shall be done in the manner, and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 and the sections following Section 2 of said Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Robb—2.

**Closing and Abandoning Portion of Evans Avenue.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20575 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 20288 (New Series) declared its intention to close the remainder of Evans avenue lying southerly of the southerly line of Army street and easterly of the easterly line of Carolina street;

Whereas, the notice of said resolu-

tion and of said proposed closing up of said portion of Evans avenue was duly given by the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco by publication and posting in the manner provided by Section 3 of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of this City and County; and

Whereas, more than ten days have elapsed since the expiration of the time of publication of said notice; and

Whereas, no objection or protest has been made against the closing up or abandonment of said portion of Evans avenue, as provided in the Charter, or at all; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of this Board that the public interest requires the closing up and abandonment of said portion of Evans avenue; and

Whereas, said work is for closing up of said portion of said Evans avenue and it appears that no assessment is necessary therefor; now, therefore,

Resolved, That the closing up and abandonment of a portion of Evans avenue described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the south property line of Army street a distance of 280 feet east from the east property line of De Haro street, thence easterly along said south property line of Army street a distance of 45.94 feet to a point; thence southeasterly on a curve having a radius of 1023 feet, a distance of 58.177 feet to a point; thence southerly on a line parallel to the east property line of De Haro street, a distance of 19.535 feet to a point; thence westerly on a line parallel to the south property line of Army street, a distance of 100 feet to a point; thence northerly on a line parallel to said east property line of De Haro street a distance of 41 feet, more or less, to the point of beginning above described; containing in all 0.08 acres, more or less, is hereby ordered, and the said portion of Evans avenue is hereby closed and abandoned as a public street.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Robb—2.

**Extension of Time.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20576 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That G. de Brettville is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after December 22, 1922, within which to complete contract for improvement of North

Point street between Columbus avenue and The Embarcadero.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor has been delayed by the necessity for the reconstruction of the existing spur tracks, and the Southern Pacific Company is to proceed with the work as soon as rails are received.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Robb—2.

**Resolution of Intention to Close a Portion of Cuvier Street Between Bosworth Street and Mission Street.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20577 (New Series), as follows:

That, whereas, public interest and convenience require and would be conserved by the closing and abandonment of a portion of the street herein-after mentioned; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to close and abandon a portion of said street, situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

*Cuvier Street.*

Parcel One. Commencing at a point where the easterly line of Cuvier street (running southerly from Bosworth street) intersects the boundary line between the De Boom Tract and the Spring Valley Homestead, and running thence northerly along the easterly line of Cuvier street 17.92 feet; thence deflecting to the left 157 deg. 51 min. 23 sec. 24.21 feet to the boundary line between the De Boom Tract and the Spring Valley Homestead, and running thence easterly along said boundary line 8.29 feet to the said easterly line of Cuvier street and the point of commencement.

Parcel Two. Commencing at a point where the westerly line of Cuvier street (running northerly from Springdale street) intersects the boundary line between the De Boom Tract and the Spring Valley Homestead, and running thence southerly along the westerly line of Cuvier street 8.13 feet; thence deflecting to the left 145 deg. 28 min. 36 sec. 19.87 feet to the boundary line between the De Boom Tract and the Spring Valley Homestead, and running thence westerly along said boundary line 5.59 feet to said westerly line of

Cuvier street and point of commencement.

Whereas, the damages, costs and expenses of closing a portion of said Cuvier street are nominal, and no assessment district is necessary to be formed for the purpose of paying the damages, costs and expenses thereof, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares and determines that the whole damage, cost and expense of closing a portion of said Cuvier street shall be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

Said closing of a portion of said Cuvier street shall be done in pursuance of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and shall be done in the manner, and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 and the sections following Section 2 of the said Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Robb—2.

**Passed for Printing.**

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

**Changing Grades.**

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill: Bill No. 6194, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Santa Rosa avenue between the southeasterly line of Alemany avenue and the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue, and on Alemany avenue between Francis street and Harrington street.

**Establishing Grades.**

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill: Bill No. 6195, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Bauer street between Alemany and Cayuga avenues, and on Cayuga avenue between Santa Rosa and Santa Ynez avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Bauer street between Alemany and Cayuga avenues and on Cayuga avenue between Santa Rosa and Santa Ynez avenues are hereby established at points hereinafter named, and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the

Board of Public Works filed November 29, 1922.

*Bauer Street.*

Northeasterly line of, at Alemany avenue northwesterly line, 150 feet (the same being the present official grade).

Southwesterly line of, at Alemany avenue northwesterly line, 150 feet (the same being the present official grade).

Southwesterly line of, 6.04 feet northwesterly from Alemany avenue, 150 feet.

Northeasterly line of, 6.94 feet southeasterly from Cayuga avenue, 120.50 feet.

Northeasterly line of, at Cayuga avenue southeasterly line, 120.20 feet.

Southwesterly line of, at Cayuga avenue southeasterly line, 120.50 feet.

*Cayuga Avenue.*

Fifteen feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, at Santa Rosa avenue southwesterly line, 115.50 feet (the same being the present official grade).

Fifteen feet southeasterly from the northwesterly line of, at Santa Rosa avenue southwesterly line 115.50 feet (the same being the present official grade).

Southeasterly line of, at Bauer street northwesterly line, 120.20 feet.

Southeasterly line of, at Bauer street southwesterly line, 120.50 feet.

Northwesterly line of, at San Juan avenue, northeasterly line, 120.50 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northwesterly line of, at San Juan avenue southwesterly line, 121 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northwesterly line of, at Santa Ynez avenue northeasterly line, 129 feet (the same being the present official grade).

On Bauer street between Alemany and Cayuga avenues; and on Cayuga avenue between Santa Rosa and Santa Ynez avenues, be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take immediately.

**Fixing Sidewalk Widths, Broadway.**

On motion of Supervisor Mulvihill: Bill No. 6196, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eight hundred and seven.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks" approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office November 23, 1922, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eight hundred and seven, to read as follows:

Section 807. The width of sidewalks on Broadway between Davis street and The Embarcadero are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

**Changing Grades.**

Also, Bill No. 6197, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled "Establishing grades on Moore place between lines respectively 98 feet and 137.50 feet parallel with and northerly from Union street."

**Changing Grades.**

Also, Bill No. 6198, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled "Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Mill street between Harkness avenue and a line parallel with and 250 feet northerly therefrom."

**Fixing Sidewalk Widths, Bauer Street.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Bill No. 6199, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eight hundred and nine.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office December 4, 1922, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eight hundred and nine, to read as follows:

Section 809. The width of sidewalks on Bauer street between Alemany avenue and Cayuga avenue shall be eight (8) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

### Fixing Sidewalk Widths. Fourteenth Avenue.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Bill No. 6200, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eight hundred and eight.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office December 1, 1922, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eight hundred and eight, to read as follows:

Section 808. The width of sidewalks on Fourteenth avenue between Lake street and the Presidio Wall shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of 14th Avenue between Lake Street and its northerly termination," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

### Establishing Grades.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Bill No. 6201, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Watson place between Ocean avenue and the southerly line of Leo street, and on Ruth and Leo streets between Mission street and Watson place.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Watson place between Ocean avenue and the southerly line of Leo street, and on Ruth and Leo streets between Mission street and Watson place, are hereby established at points hereinafter named, and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed November 13, 1922.

Watson place, Ruth street intersection, 150 feet; Leo street intersection, 151 feet.

Ruth street, Watson place, 150 feet; 100 feet easterly from Watson place, 156.50 feet; 250 feet easterly from Watson place, 163 feet.

Leo street, Watson place, 151 feet;

50 feet easterly from Watson place, 152 feet.

On Watson place between Ocean avenue and the southerly line of Leo street, and on Ruth and Leo streets between Mission street and Watson place be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor and the present official grade of Ocean avenue at Watson place; and of Mission street at Ruth and Leo streets.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 6202, Ordinance No. — (New Series), entitled "Establishing grades on Troy alley between Hyde street and a line parallel with and 275 feet westerly therefrom."

### Closing Texas Street.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 20578 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that Texas street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets be closed. Be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close Texas street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. Said closing of Texas street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, as amended, and the sections of said chapter and article following said Section 2. Be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing up of Texas street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Robb—2.

Action Deferred.

The following bills were laid over one week:

### Spur Track Permits.

Bill No. 6203, Ordinance No. — (New Series), granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Fred W. Hollman to construct, maintain and operate a spur track from the existing spur track in Front street, commencing at a point on the west line of Front street 61 feet 8

inches southerly from Pacific street; thence southerly along Front street 167 feet 11 inches to a point 16 feet 10 inches north of Jackson street.

Also, Bill No. 6201, Ordinance No. (New Series), granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Zellezbach Paper Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track from the existing spur track in Front street, commencing at a point on the west line of Front street 61 feet 8 inches southerly from Pacific street; thence southerly along said Front street to a point 91 feet 8 inches south of the south line of Jackson street.

#### Award of Contract Cancelled.

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 20579 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the award of contract heretofore made to Reid Bros., Inc., by Resolution No. 20519 (New Series), approved December 4, 1922, for 144 aluminum trays is hereby cancelled.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Robb—2.

Clerk to Advertise for Motor Street Flusher.

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 20580 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk be directed to advertise for proposals for furnishing one motor street flusher, as per specifications prepared by Board of Public Works.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Robb—2.

Clerk to Advertise for Proposals for Plumbing Supplies.

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 20581 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk is directed to advertise for proposals for furnishing plumbing supplies required during the balance of fiscal year, as per specifications prepared by Supplies Committee.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Robb—2.

Rejection of Bids, Bedside Tables, San Francisco Hospital.

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 20582 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That all bids submitted November 13, 1922, for bedside tables for San Francisco Hospital are rejected and the Clerk directed to re-advertise for proposals, as per specifications prepared by Board of Health.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Robb—2.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

#### Install Street Lights.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 20587 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas & Electric Company is hereby instructed to install, remove and move street lights as follows:

##### Install 600 M. R.

East side Fifth street, 114 feet south of Market street.

Southwest corner Fifth and Stevenson streets.

East side Fifth street, opposite Mint avenue.

West side Fifth street, 108 feet south of Mint avenue.

Northeast corner Fifth and Mission streets.

Southwest corner Fifth and Mission streets.

South side Jessie street, 120 feet east of Fifth street.

Two on Turk street between Mason and Taylor streets.

##### Remove Gas Lamps, Double Inverted.

Southwest corner Fifth and Stevenson streets.

East side Fifth street, 218 feet south of Market street.

Southwest corner Fifth street and Mint avenue.

Northeast corner Fifth and Jessie streets.

West side Fifth street, 70 feet south of Mint avenue.

West side Fifth street, 110 feet south of Mint avenue.

East side Fifth street, 111 feet north of Mission street.

##### Remove Single-Top Gas Lamps.

Northwest corner Fifth and Mission streets.

Southwest corner Fifth and Mission streets.

Southeast corner Fifth and Mission streets.

North side Jessie street, 125 feet east of Fifth street.

*Install 400 M. R.*  
Herman and Webster streets.

*More Electric.*

Southwest corner Ninth and Market streets to northeast corner Ninth and Mission streets.

Increase lighting on Mason street, Market street to Post street, to 300 watts.

*Install 250 M. R.*

Powers avenue between Coleridge and Mission streets.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Robb—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following was presented by Supervisor Scott and *passed for printing* under suspension of the rules:

**Amending Sign Ordinance.**

Bill No. 6206, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Class F, Section 6, and Section 11 of Ordinance No. 1009 (New Series), entitled "Regulating the construction, erection and maintenance of signs, transparencies, advertisements, bulletin boards and clocks on or about buildings or over public streets and thoroughfares, providing for the inspection of same."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Classification F of Section 6 and Section 11 of Ordinance No. 1009 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Class F, Section 6. Vertical incandescent electric light signs consisting of a vertical row of letters, illuminated with incandescant electric lights. Signs so constructed shall be not less than twelve feet above the sidewalk, parallel to said buildings, and shall not project over the sidewalk more than four feet from the property line of said building and such sign shall not extend more than thirty feet above the blocking course or firewall of any building and shall be properly constructed and securely fastened to the building as provided for in Section 10 of Ordinance No. 1009 (New Series).

Section 2. Section 11. No attachable sign or framework, boards, cloth or other material to or on which any sign, advertisement, picture or notice is painted, printed, posted, made or impressed, affixed or fastened shall be hereafter constructed, placed, affixed or maintained in said City and County.

Upon the outer wall of any building higher than the blocking course or firewall of such building excepting such sign as provided for in Class F, Section 6 of Ordinance No. 1009 (New Series), as herein amended.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**Improvement of Pine Street.**

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works be and is hereby authorized and requested to repave the roadway of Pine street from Montgomery street to Larkin street, and also the roadway of Pine street from Webster street to Fillmore street.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was presented by Supervisor Mulvihill and *passed for printing* under suspension of the rules: **Ordering Street Work, Hearst Avenue.**

Bill No. 6207, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 8, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and

that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Hearst avenue between Edna street and Detroit street*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs where not already constructed, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### Amendment to Zoning Ordinance.

Supervisor Scott presented:

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 3 of the use of property zone map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the westerly side of Eighteenth avenue, commencing 100 feet northerly from Geary street and extending northerly a distance of 50 feet and to the depth of the rear lot lines in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

*Referred to City Planning Committee.*

#### Civic Center Park.

*Supervisor Hynes* called attention to

the impassable condition of the roadway through the Civic Center Park by reason of the mud resulting from recent rains, and asked that some plan be adopted for improving conditions.

*Supervisor McEran* assured him that plans were under way for the improvement, and work would amount to \$28,000.

#### Construction of Ferryboats in Los Angeles.

*Supervisor Schmitz* declared that it had been brought to his attention that the Golden Gate Ferry Company had awarded contracts for building its ferryboats to a Los Angeles firm and boats were being built there. He declared that this action of a firm that had recently received a profitable franchise from this Board was not fair to San Francisco and moved that the city administration take the matter up with the officials of the Golden Gate Ferry, with a view of having the work done in San Francisco, where there are ample facilities for such contracts.

*Supervisor Shannon* suggested that the officials of the company appear before the Public Utilities Committee.

Clerk so directed.

*Supervisor Schmitz* called attention to scare headlines in Los Angeles Times on last Thursday announcing that San Francisco had been severely shaken by earthquakes, and asked that something be done by the Board to counteract this malicious falsehood, evidently calculated to injure this city in the eyes of visitors.

Clerk to investigate and procure copies of publication.

#### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at 6:15 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors February 19, 1923.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series), of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,

City and County of San Francisco.



Vol. 17—New Series

No. 51

Monday, December 18, 1922.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY

77 Sutter Street, S. F.



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, DECEMBER 18, 1922, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, December 18, 1922, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Supervisor Multihill excused on account of illness.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the preceding meeting was *laid over for approval until next meeting*.

### ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Park Commissioners Explain Conditions of Rideout Bequest.

*Communication*—From the Board of Park Commissioners explaining the conditions of the Corinne Rideout bequest and the investment of the funds of this bequest in Hetch Hetchy water bonds.

Read and ordered *filed*.

Appreciation of S. F. Municipal Symphony Concerts.

*Communication*—From the Pacific Coast Musical Review, expressing its heartiest congratulations on the excellent work of the City in the promotion of Municipal Symphony concerts.

Read, Clerk to *acknowledge* and *file*.

*Communication*—From Community Service, Recreation League, congratulating the City on the success of Music Week and the excellence of the San Francisco Municipal Symphony concerts.

Read, Clerk to *acknowledge* and *file*.

*Communication*—From F. Brueschweiler addressed to Supervisor Hayden, chairman of the Auditorium Committee, complimenting the City on its interest manifested in community serv-

ice—musically speaking—and requesting an interview in furtherance of such activities.

Read, Clerk to *acknowledge* and *file*.

### Letter of Appreciation.

*Communication*—From Sylvester O'Sullivan, expressing his gratitude for the excellent service rendered him during recent illness in the San Francisco Hospital.

Read and ordered *filed*.

### Letter of Thanks.

*Communication*—From Mae E. Nolan, wife of late Congressman John I. Nolan, expressing her appreciation of the resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors extending sympathy and condolence on the death of her husband.

Read and ordered *filed*.

### Statement of Votes.

The Clerk presented official statement of votes polled at the general election held in the City and County of San Francisco November 7, 1922, for charter amendments and declaration of policy with reference to congressional action on the use of light wines and beers, which statement was ordered *placed on file*.

Leave of Absence, Wm. F. Humphrey, Park Commissioner.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,

December 18, 1922.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application having been made to me by Hon. William F. Humphrey, member of the Park Commission of this City and County, for leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of thirty days, commencing December 19, 1922. I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,

Mayor.

Whereupon the following was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 20610 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. William F. Humphrey, member of the Park Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing December 19, 1922, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Dasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

Leave of Absence, Herbert Fleishhacker, Park Commissioner.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,  
December 18, 1922.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application having been made to me by Hon. Herbert Fleishhacker, president of the Park Commission of this City and County for leave of absence with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of thirty days, commencing December 19, 1922, I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,  
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was adopted:

Resolution No. 20611 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Herbert Fleishhacker, President of the Park Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing December 19, 1922, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Dasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

#### HEARINGS—3 P. M.

The following hearings were on motion, laid over one week:

##### Landers Street.

Confirmation of the report of the Board of Public Works upon the widening and extension of Landers street between Fifteenth and Sixteenth streets.

All persons interested are hereby required to show cause, if any they have, before the Board of Supervisors on the 18th day of December, 1922, at

the hour of 3 p. m. (being the day fixed by the Board of Supervisors), why such report should not be confirmed.

##### Liberty Street and Sanchez Street.

Hearing appeal of property owners from the assessment issued for the improvement of Liberty street between Church street and the easterly curb line of Noe street, and Sanchez street between Twentieth and Twenty-first streets, including the crossing of Sanchez and Liberty streets, and that portion of Liberty street opposite the termination of Rayburn street.

#### HEARING—3 P. M.

##### Duboce Tunnel Protest.

Hearing of protestants against proposed Duboce Tunnel, fixed for 3 p. m., proceeded.

*Attorney Savage*, representing proponents, suggested that a special day be set, beginning at 10 a. m., and complete the hearing.

*Judge Sturtevant*: Why take up the time of the Board until after the election for the purchase of the Market Street Railway?

*Attorney Savage*, in part: Judge Sturtevant does not understand the position of the City Engineer. If the City owned the Market Street Railway system it would be just as necessary to go along with the construction of the Duboce Tunnel.

He hoped that the opponents of the tunnel are not misled into voting for the purchase of the Market Street Railway on the false assumption that these proceedings would be dismissed if the purchase of the Market Street Railway carried.

*Wm. Humphrey*, property owner, was also heard.

##### Action Deferred.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Hayden, the hearing was continued until 2 p. m. Thursday, January 4, 1923.

Discussion—Supervisors McGregor, Shannon.

*Wm. Humphrey*, protestant, heard.

##### Action Deferred.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Hayden, the hearing was continued until 2 p. m., Thursday, January 4, 1923.

Minister De Graeff, of The Netherlands, Presented to the Board of Supervisors.

*His Honor Mayor Rolph* appeared and presented Hon. A. D. C. de Graeff, newly appointed minister plenipotentiary to the United States from The Netherlands.

*A. D. C. de Graeff*: Members of the Board of Supervisors, this is the second time today I have been asked to say a few words. I am quite willing

to comply and emphasize "a few words." There are two things I want to tell you. I am delighted that my government has seen fit to appoint me its minister at Washington. I would be more delighted if San Francisco and not Washington was the capitol of the United States, but I ask you not to put that in the press—Washington would not take it well from me.

I understand that you have a woman as one of your Board of Supervisors.

He said that he had a wife and four daughters and they impressed upon him the message to her that she had their best wishes for her success.

He thanked the Board for the compliment and honor paid him and his family by the visit of the committee of the Board of Supervisors who met the "President Cleveland" at the dock and welcomed them to San Francisco.

*Supervisor Colman*, being called upon to respond, said in part: It is a great pleasure, Minister de Graeff, to see you again. I had the pleasure before at the arrival of the "President Cleveland." I think very few Americans are really familiar with or know what a great country The Netherlands is, or how vast are your possessions in the Dutch East Indies. I read somewhere the other day that a Scotchman discovered golf in The Netherlands and brought it to his own country. We now have it here, and we are very grateful for that. Some of our political institutions came originally from The Netherlands. Our Senate comes from The Netherlands.

We hope your stay in our city will be a very happy one; that you will strengthen the bonds of friendship between your country and ours. It is pleasing to know our relations have always been friendly and I hope it will ever remain so.

*Supervisor Shannon*, at the suggestion of his Honor the Mayor, spoke of his stay in Batavia, Dutch East Indies, during visit in the Orient of the San Francisco business delegation last year. He said in part: We had a very delightful stay in Batavia and the surrounding country. We were very nicely taken care of by the local chambers of commerce, especially by the young men representing the local firms in the Dutch East Indies. Our stay was so delightful that one passenger missed the boat and stayed over three weeks and the Burgo-master took care of him. The feeling between the people of The Netherlands and the United States is most cordial.

The Dutch people are anxious to purchase American goods, if the price is

right. Some things in regard to the shipment of American goods our committee was able to adjust.

I am sure, Mr. de Graeff, that you will find throughout this country, especially during your stay in Washington, the friendliest feelings and desire to co-operate with you at all times.

*Mayor Rolph*: As the representative of a great country that is presided over by a loving queen who, when all Europe was at war, was able to keep her people in peaceful relations with all her neighboring countries, I wish to present to you "our queen," Supervisor Margaret Mary Morgan.

*Supervisor Morgan*: I was interested to hear you say that you had four daughters. I saw one with you on the "President Cleveland," and I surmised that she was your daughter. I am sorry you did not bring your women folk with you. I do not know how it is in your country, but women are elected to our Congress in Washington as well as men and I do know this is the day for women. If your honorable queen governs your country in peace while all about her is war and strife, there is no reason under the sun why one of her subjects—one of my sex—might not some day have a residence in Washington as the representative of The Netherlands.

She extended a hearty welcome to Minister de Graeff and asked him to present her respects to the women members of his party.

#### Astoria Disaster.

*Mayor Rolph*: Members of the Board of Supervisors: On December 8 the city of Astoria, Oregon, was destroyed by fire.

I sent out messages of sympathy from the people of San Francisco and immediately issued a proclamation to the people of San Francisco asking them if they would not contribute money and supplies for the relief of the stricken people of Astoria. I offered to carry everything free of charge and have been in daily communication with the Astoria authorities.

The middle of last week Oregon papers criticised San Francisco, asking what this City, which received wonderful shiploads and carloads of goods and food, was going to do.

Astoria was the first city to the aid of San Francisco in 1906, and these papers are asking why San Francisco had done nothing for the relief of Astoria. I have only received \$120. Standard Oil contributed \$1,000. Portland sent in cash to the amount of \$69,000.

Astoria is one of the very best buy-

ing cities that San Francisco has. I don't know what is to be done; we can't afford that this City should have such criticism levelled against it.

Astoria does not like Spokane or the other cities to the north of us, but there is a tie of friendship between San Francisco and Astoria.

I called a meeting this morning in my office. I explained the whole situation to those present. I spoke to Supervisor McLeran. We could take \$25,000 out of the Urgent Necessity Fund and turn it over to the City of Astoria. For the good of San Francisco we ought to do something and do it quick for Astoria. They are feeding 700 people a day who are without food and clothing.

Supervisor McLeran moved suspension of the rules and the passage to print of the following resolution:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved. That the sum of \$25,000 be and the same is hereby authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity Fund in payment to Hon. J. C. Brenner, Mayor of Astoria, Oregon, for the relief of the people of Astoria, Oregon.

Motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Hynes, Mulvihill—2.

#### PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

##### Foodstuffs.

Bids for furnishing foodstuffs during the months of January, February and March, 1923, were received and referred to the Supplies Committee:

1. Alexander-Bulart Co., certified check, \$250.
2. Haas Brothers, certified check, \$250.
3. Oliva Brothers, certified check, \$500.
4. H. Moffat Co., certified check, \$629.55.
5. Dodge Sweeney & Co., certified check, \$1,188.58.
6. Arata & Peters Inc., certified check, \$500.
7. C. Nauman Co., certified check, \$500.
8. Baumgarten Bros., certified check, \$2,000.
9. Roma Macaroni Factory, certified check, \$10.
10. The Fleishman Company, certified check, \$11.23.
11. A. Paladini Inc., certified check, \$270.
12. Albers Bros. Milling Co., certified check, \$167.25.

13. California Meat Co., certified check, \$2,388.
14. John Hayden Market, certified check, \$419.12.
15. Makins Produce Co., certified check, \$1,474.85.
16. Smith-Lyndon Co., certified check, \$1,652.
17. William Cluff Company, certified check, \$1,820.
18. J. A. Folger Company, certified check, \$345.
19. J. H. Newbauer Co., certified check, \$1,000.
20. South S. F. Packing Co., certified check, \$495.
21. S. H. Tyler Co., certified check, \$75.
22. Langendorf Baking Co., certified check, \$1,000.
23. Fred L. Hilmer Co., certified check, \$1,500.
24. Sherry Bros. Inc., certified check, \$1,500.
25. Virgin Ice Cream Co., certified check, \$114.50.
26. Norton, Teller & Co., certified check, \$100.
27. Miller & Lux Inc., certified check, \$1,445.
28. Hooper & Jennings Inc., certified check, \$900.
29. National Ice Cream Co., certified check, \$107.
30. Alaska Codfish Co., certified check, \$66.
31. The California Baking Co., certified check, \$558.75.
32. Bay City Market, certified check, \$250.
33. Ocean Fruit Market, certified check, \$782.
34. Sussman-Wormser Co., certified check, \$834.24.
35. Union Fish Co., certified check, \$65.90.
36. Western Meat Co., certified check, \$3,345.
37. Western California Fish Co., certified check, \$276.
38. Old Homestead Baking Co., certified check, \$562.50.
39. Lyons California Glace Fruit Co., certified check, \$61.28.
40. Wellman, Peck & Co., certified check, \$50.
41. San Francisco Dairy Co. Inc., certified check, \$1,000.
42. Sperry Flour Co., certified check, \$430.
43. Cereal Products Refining Co., certified check, \$967.
44. O'Brien, Spotorno & Mitchell, no check.
45. S. F. International Fish Co., certified check, \$209.72.

46. M. J. Brandenstein Co., certified check, \$320.

*Referred to Supplies Committee.*

**Blankets, S. F. Hospital.**

Bids for furnishing blankets for the S. F. Hospital were received and referred as follows:

1. Greenebaum, Weil & Michels, certified check, \$200.

2. L. Dinkelspiel & Co., certified check, \$200.

*Referred to Supplies Committee.*

#### REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.

The following committees, by their respective chairmen, presented reports on various matters referred, which reports were read and ordered filed:

Fire Committee, by Supervisor Deasy, chairman.

Supplies Committee, by Supervisor Rossi, chairman.

Health Committee, by Supervisor Scott, chairman.

Public Utilities Committee, by Supervisor Shannon, chairman.

Public Buildings Committee, by Supervisor Scott, chairman.

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

##### Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and finally passed by the following vote:

##### Authorizations.

Resolution No. 20589 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

##### *Auditorium Fund.*

(1) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electricity and gas for October, 1922 (claim dated Nov. 27, 1922), \$1,161.21.

*School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(2) Joseph Greenback, first payment, plastering Galileo High School (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$8,457.19.

(3) Emil Hogberg, fifth payment, brick and hollow tile, Mission High School addition (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$6,000.

(4) A. Lettich, final payment, plumbing Adams School (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$2,366.06.

(5) O. Monson, third payment, general construction, Hancock School addition (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$10,445.

(6) C. Peterson Co., seventh payment, heating and ventilating, Galileo High School (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$1,824.05.

(7) Standard Electrical Construction Co., seventh payment, electrical work,

Mission High School addition (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$1,644.38.

##### *Special School Tax, 1921-1922.*

(8) A. Lettich, second payment, plumbing, Horace Mann School (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$2,380.57.

(9) John Morton Co., sixth payment, general construction, Oral-Deaf School (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$4,460.03.

(10) James F. Smith, fourth payment, plastering, Mission High School addition (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$2,787.75.

(11) C. L. Wold, third payment, general construction, Pacific Heights School (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$11,850.

##### *Park Fund, 1922-1923.*

(12) Thos. Cody, accident compensation, 40 weeks at \$20.83 (claim dated Dec. 8, 1922), \$833.20.

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(13) Goodyear Rubber Co., supplies for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 4, 1922), \$684.

(14) Insley Mfg. Co., dump cars for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 4, 1922), \$620.

(15) Norman B. Livermore & Co., hoisting engine, etc., for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 4, 1922), \$4,175.60.

(16) Sperry Flour Co., flour for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 4, 1922), \$926.25.

(17) Standard Oil Co., supplies for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 4, 1922), \$2,377.04.

(18) The Utah Construction Co., payroll and supplies for Hetch Hetchy camp (claim dated Dec. 4, 1922), \$605.24.

(19) Associated Oil Co., supplies for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 5, 1922), \$1,533.63.

(20) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., supplies for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 5, 1922), \$639.50.

(21) Bethlehem Shipbuilding Corp'n., Ltd., supplies for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 5, 1922), \$9,161.54.

(22) Crucible Steel Co. of America, steel drill for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 5, 1922), \$526.70.

(23) William Cluff Co., groceries for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 5, 1922), \$2,299.36.

(24) Haas Bros., groceries for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 5, 1922), \$1,862.18.

(25) Ingersoll-Rand Co., supplies for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 5, 1922), \$3,961.60.

(26) A. Levy and J. Zentner Co., vegetables for Hetch Hetchy camp (claim dated Dec. 5, 1922), \$1,089.15.

(27) The A. Lietz Co., transit and level for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 5, 1922), \$617.94.

*General Fund, 1921-1922.*

(28) Louis J. Cohn, final payment, general construction, exhibition structure, Ferry Building (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$4,220.40.

*General Fund, 1922-1923.*

(29) Coast Rock & Gravel Co., gravel for Street Repair Department (claim dated Dec. 1, 1922), \$810.88.

(30) P. David Co., lining plates for asphalt plant (claim dated Dec. 1, 1922), \$542.95.

(31) Standard Oil Co., asphalt for Street Repair Department (claim dated Dec. 1, 1922), \$1,596.24.

(32) Shell Company of California, fuel oil for Street Repair Department (claim dated Dec. 1, 1922), \$1,479.21.

(33) Western Rock Products Co., sand for Street Repair Department (claim dated Dec. 1, 1922), \$2,254.59.

(34) Louis J. Cohn, first payment, street signs (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$1,401.30.

(35) James M. Walsh, purchase of property, opening and widening Rolph street, Resolution No. 20532 (claim dated Dec. 7, 1922), \$1,350.

(36) Nellie Franks, purchase of property, opening and widening Rolph street, Resolution No. 20532 (claim dated Dec. 7, 1922), \$2,000.

(37) Homer Lingenfelter, purchase of property, opening and widening Rolph street, Resolution No. 20532 (claim dated Dec. 7, 1922), \$860.

(38) The Recorder, printing Law and motion calendar for November, 1922 (claim dated Dec. 11, 1922), \$665.

(39) S. F. Convention and Tourist League, sundry bills approved by Public Welfare and Publicity Committee (claim dated Dec. 11, 1922), \$3,903.80.

(40) Alex Duffer Printing Co., printing for Music Week (claim dated Dec. 11, 1922), \$821.50.

(41) Bernhard Mattress Co., mattresses for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$6,587.50.

(42) F. L. Hilmer Co., eggs for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1922), \$2,301.90.

(43) Baumgarten Bros., meat for Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 29, 1922), \$1,670.67.

(44) California Meat Co., meat for Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 29, 1922), \$1,742.91.

(45) California Poultry Co., turkeys for Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 29, 1922), \$826.65.

(46) Fred L. Hilmer Co., supplies for Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 29, 1922), \$905.10.

(47) Producers Hay Co., supplies for Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 29, 1922), \$811.15.

(48) Sherry Bros. Inc., butter and cheese for Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 29, 1922), \$1,056.17.

(49) Sperry Flour Co., flour for Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 29, 1922), \$855.

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(50) Grant Smith & Co., fourth payment, contract \$5, Pulgas tunnel (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$32,215.04.

(51) Martin J. Lyons, first payment, contract \$8, relocation of railroad, Six bit Gulch (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$2,425.81.

(52) Utah Construction Co., thirty-seventh payment, contract 61, dam and appurtenances (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$198,013.99.

(53) Charles Henry Hoff and Josephine Hoff, purchase of right of way, San Mateo County aqueduct (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$1,100.

(54) Joseph J. Lane and Donald F. Feliz, right of way, San Mateo aqueduct (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$1,860.

(55) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$602.15.

(56) J. H. Newbauer & Co., groceries for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$1,587.57.

(57) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving account (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$596.25.

(58) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving account (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$761.05.

(59) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving account (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$1,026.43.

(60) Pacific Car and Equipment Co., trucks for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$557.75.

(61) Pacific Metal Works, supplies for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$1,063.84.

(62) Sherry Bros. Inc., eggs for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$1,495.76.

(63) Sullivan Machinery Co., supplies for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$574.

(64) United States Rubber Co., supplies for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$900.

(65) Virden Packing Co., supplies for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$886.15.

(66) Waterbury Co., wire rope for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 6, 1922), \$1,122.63.

*General Fund, 1922-1923.*

(67) Felix Gross Co., hauling and erecting election booths, etc. (claim dated Dec. 8, 1922), \$1,200.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

**Appropriations.**

Resolution No. 20590 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity Fund, for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) To defray the cost of the construction of a sun porch adjacent to the children's wing of the San Francisco Hospital, \$799 in addition to the amount previously appropriated under Resolution No. 20180 (New Series).

(2) To defray the cost of repair of elevators at the San Francisco Hospital:

Contract price (Otis Elevator Co), \$7,985.

Inspection, \$250.

Extras, incidentals, etc., \$500.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

**Appropriations.**

Resolution No. 20591 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds, for the following purposes, to-wit:

*Out of Budget Item No. 47, Fiscal Year 1922-1923.*

To defray the cost of constructing a septic tank in the crossing of Fitzgerald avenue and Griffith street, \$500.

*Out of Special School Tax Fund.*

For extras and incidentals in connection with the construction of the Horace Mann School on Valencia street between Twenty-third and Twenty-fourth streets, \$5,000.

*Out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(1) To defray the cost of electrical work on the Mission High School addition, contract awarded to J. W. Burtchaell, \$3,799.

(2) To defray the cost of linoleum in the Mission High School addition, contract awarded to D. N. & E. Walter, \$7,918.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

**Purchase of Water Bonds Out of "Rideout Bequest" Funds.**

The following resolution, laid over from last meeting, was taken up:

Resolution No. 20592 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$9,344.93 be and the same is hereby authorized to be expended out of the "Rideout Bequest" to the secretary Board of Park Commissioners for purchase of water Construction Bonds Issue 1910, included interest accrued (claim dated Nov. 24, 1922.)

*Supervisor McSheehy* questioned the right of the Board of Park Commissioners to invest moneys of the "Rideout Bequest" in water bonds of the city and County of San Francisco.

Communication from Board of Park Commissioners.

The following communication was thereupon presented and read by the Clerk:

Office of the Park Commissioners,  
San Francisco.

December 16, 1922.

The Honorable Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

The Board of Park Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco respectfully acknowledge receipt of your letter of inquiry of the 12th instant relative to its demand dated November 24, 1922, for \$9,344.93 for the purchase of San Francisco Water Construction Bonds of the issue of the year 1910.

By the last will and testament of Corinne Rideout, now deceased, the "Park Commissioners of Golden Gate Park, San Francisco" were bequeathed the sum of \$10,000 to be expended in some manner to add to the beauty or attractiveness of the Park or to help to defray the cost of a bandstand at the beach, but not to be used for any kind of a monument or statue. At the time of the payment of this bequest the Board of Park Commissioners had not determined on the manner of expending the proceeds of this benefaction and therefore by resolution unanimously adopted directed its investment in securities of the City and County of San Francisco in order that this sum might earn interest during the period required by the Commissioners to decide on the most effective way of carrying out the wishes of the testatrix.

Section 8 of Article XIV of the Charter directs that all moneys received from bequests and not needed for immediate expenditure shall be invested "in interest bearing bonds of the United States, or of the State of California, or of any municipality thereof." Pursuant to this provision the "Water Bonds" covered by the demand were purchased on the 22nd day of November, 1922, at one and one-half points below the market of that day. Under

the provisions of the above specified section of the Charter, money received from a *general bequest* is in the ordinary course deposited in the Treasury of the City and County and withdrawn therefrom and paid out in the same manner as moneys for general park purposes. Since the bequest in the present case was for a specific purpose, however, its proceeds could have been expended for the object of the bequest without any formal claim. Moreover, as a matter of fact, the provision directing the deposit of money from bequests is not in all cases mandatory, even in the case of general bequests, for to suggest that the Park Commissioners are under a duty to so deposit money which they are required to immediately invest would be absurd. Such a course would without any compensating advantage deprive the Park Funds of interest upon the investment during the three or four weeks which would necessarily elapse before the money could be withdrawn from the Treasury and thus defeat the obvious and primary object of the Charter. The Charter does not require vain things, nor does it require the performance of any act which would defeat its fundamental object.

Before this fund was invested in these bonds the Park Commissioners applied to the chairman of your Finance Committee to ascertain if any city bonds were available for sale, and your chairman, as we were advised, after conferring with the City Treasurer, replied that no such bonds were available. Thereupon the Commission requested its president to arrange to purchase these bonds through the Anglo & London Paris Company, which he did. The Commission thereupon purchased them at a point and a half below the market and presented the present claim, not because of any positive legal duty to do so, but in order that your honorable body and the citizens of San Francisco might be fully advised of its action.

Very respectfully,

BOARD OF PARK COMMISSIONERS.

B. P. LAMB, Secretary.

Discussion—Mayor Rolph, Supervisors McSheehy, McLeran, Hayden, Hynes, Colman, and others.

Remarks of His Honor Mayor Jas. Rolph, Jr.

The following remarks of his Honor Mayor Rolph on the pending question were, on motion of Supervisor Hayden, ordered spread in the Journal:

*The Mayor:* I am very sorry that a small item of \$9,300, placed in the hands of the Board of Park Commissioners, should have brought forth

such remarks as have been uttered here on the floor of the Board of Supervisors, questioning the honesty and the character of the best men we have in this city. Mr. Hynes has just made a statement in regard to bonds purchased by the city. This \$10,000 bequest from the will of Mrs. Rideout, dated San Francisco, November 20, 1922, reads as follows:

"To the Park Commissioners of Golden Gate Park, San Francisco, I give and bequeath the sum of ten thousand dollars in trust, and request that the money be expended in some manner that will add to the beauty or attractiveness of the park, or to help defray the cost of a bandstand at the beach, but it is not to be used for any kind of a monument or statue."

That money was given by Mrs. Rideout out of the generosity of her heart, in trust to the Park Commissioners, for the people of San Francisco. We have on the Board of Park Commissioners, and we have always had on the Board of Park Commissioners of San Francisco, men who represent the highest type of citizenship in San Francisco. We have men whose names are above reproach. They have, more or less, been men of deeds, and they have been men who have given out of their own pockets immense sums of money annually, so that the poor people of the city might have a playground, might have a country place, might have the beauties which they themselves and which other wealthy people enjoy, a place that really belongs to themselves. They are constantly speaking to men and to women of wealth and asking them in their wills to give something to the people of San Francisco. They cannot take it with them, and the only way they can do something is to do it in a bequest for the people of San Francisco, and this particular will left it in trust to the Board of Park Commissioners. Now this Board of Supervisors sees fit to question the honesty and integrity of men who stand high in this community. It is not for this Board of Supervisors to question them. This money was not even left to the Board of Supervisors. This money was left in trust to the Park Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco. I do not know of a single instance in the history of the City where a dollar was ever left to any Board of Supervisors. Now, this Board of Supervisors comes forward and says that the president of the Board of Park Commissioners buys bonds from the City and County of San Francisco and then sells them back again to the City and County of San Francisco. What

a cavil, what a disgrace, what an accusation to make against one of the most honorable men in this City! He did not buy the bonds. He had not anything to do with it except to use his influence to help the building of the Hetch Hetchy. He did not buy any bonds. The Construction Company of North America bought those bonds through the National City Bank of New York, and the Board of Park Commissioners were entrusted with \$10,000 given by Mrs. Rideout to the people of San Francisco. She entrusted them with what should be done with that money. The president of the Board of Park Commissioners is a king. It is not what you do or how you say it, it is how you handle yourself. He is a king here in San Francisco, a king of honor, a king of everything that is for the advancement of San Francisco. He did not buy those bonds, but he spoke to the chairman of the Finance Committee because they had \$10,000 given by Mrs. Rideout, as to what he should do with it. He said, "Have you got any bonds of the City that can be bought? We want to do something with this \$10,000. We do not want it lying idle. We want to draw the interest. We want to add to the principal some interest so that we will have a little more money for the poor people of San Francisco." And the chairman of the Finance Committee said, "Mr. President, we have not got any bonds for sale, go and do with it as you please." The natural thing for him to do was to buy nine thousand dollars worth of Hetch Hetchy bonds. Anybody can go and buy them. Can any of you go and buy them for any less than that? As a matter of fact, he lost money on the purchase of those bonds. I know it. I have made an investigation of this matter during the past week. He lost money on those bonds. And yet the Board of Supervisors sees fit to attack the honor and the integrity of one of the real leaders of San Francisco, one of the men who do things. A man who spends \$25,000 a year—and I know it—out of his own pocket for the advancement of Golden Gate Park. Who built that merry-go-round in Golden Gate Park? Herbert Fleishacker did out of his own pocket. Who reduced the fares on that merry-go-round in Golden Gate Park? Herbert Fleishacker! Who is giving presents to every employee of the park and on the golf links? Herbert Fleishacker. He never had anything to do with those bonds. You have attacked his honor, you have attacked his integrity, you have almost broken his heart by the attack that has been made upon him. And he did it upon

the instructions of one of your own members.

*Supervisor Colman:* I must, respectfully, interrupt you, and say, a certain member of this Board, and not the Board, is making this accusation, because I think we are overwhelmingly in accordance with your views, and it is not fair to the Board to use the expression, "The Board of Supervisors," because we most emphatically have not done that, and I think that I can say that the majority of the Board agree with you in your estimate.

*The Mayor:* I know the situation, Colonel Colman, I know the story, I know it as the Mayor of the City. I know what every Mayor has to put up with as Mayor of this City, trying to do something to uphold the honor and dignity of this great big City, and to uphold the men and the women who try to do something for the people. This matter went over for a week. I do not want to indulge in personalities, but I cannot hold the commissioners, I cannot hold the reins of a great government when the Board of Supervisors will attack the honor of men for what? Were the bonds bought above the market? They tell me today they are 104. You bought them for 102.33. You could sell them out today for two points more than you paid for them. Is that a bad investment? Is that any reason why the honor and integrity of a great citizen should be attacked here? Is this a body that will permit men's characters to be assassinated? Is this a place where men and women will stand and permit attacks like that to be made upon a great citizen? Over what? \$9,300 placed in trust by Mrs. Rideout with the Board of Park Commissioners. What right has this Board to question what the Board of Park Commissioners did with the trust given to them, if it was given to you, then I say, yes, question it. If you are dissatisfied with it—with the amount, go and sell those bonds today for 104. But do not assassinate the character of the great, big, noble men of this community for, when you ever start doing that, the very fabric and the foundation of this City, and its credit, and all that it means, falls and goes asunder. I say it is a shame, it is an outrage, and this Board should rise, yes, rise in indignation, that such a thing as that should be permitted to happen in the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco. I say, more power to that Board of Park Commissioners: Herbert Fleishacker, William Sproul, A. B. Spreckels, giving two million dollars out there in Lincoln Park, Sigmund Grenebaum, thousands of dollars, Ignace Steinhart, at the request of the

Board of Park Commissioners, your fish exhibit, the Academy of Science, the Spreckels Lake, the Stow Lake, and all the gifts that come to the people of San Francisco without cost to them. You spend and appropriate, according to the charter, \$600,000 per year, but you do not begin to pay the half of what it costs to run the parks of San Francisco, because a man like that, men who have their own places—and yet they do not want any salary, they do not want any compensation—they have their own country places, but out of their own hearts, and the money that has come to them and that they have worked for and toiled, they want to give something, before they pass away to the people of San Francisco. Shame for any criticism that has been made here in the Board of Supervisors upon what shall be done with the bequest given to the Board of Park Commissioners, when it was done honestly, when it was done legally, and as I said before, if you want to sell it today, you can get two points more than what it was purchased for.

#### Final Passage.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was *finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Hynes, Mulvihill, Scott—3.

#### Notice of Reconsideration.

The following resolution was taken up on motion of reconsideration given at last meeting by Supervisor McSheehy:

Appropriation, \$95,070. Payment to Lyon Fireproof Warehouse Co., Civic Center Land.

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$99,070 out of Budget Item No. 44, and authorizing payment to Lyon Fireproof Warehouse Company for the purchase of land at the southwest corner of Van Ness avenue and McAllister street.

The question being raised as to the effect of the notice of reconsideration on the passage to print of the resolution the Chair ruled that a notice of reconsideration held up the resolution on the way to print.

#### Reconsideration Defeated.

Whereupon, the roll being called on Supervisor McSheehy's motion to reconsider, the motion was *lost* by the following vote:

Aye—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

#### Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the resolution was *passed for printing* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

#### Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Garage, Parking Station, Boiler and Oil Permits.

Resolution No. 20593 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

#### Public Garage.

Joseph Pasqualetti (two-story building), on north side of McAllister street, 177 feet west of Fillmore street, also to store 600 gallons of gasoline on premises.

Stevenson Garage, Inc. (two-story and basement building), on south side of Stevenson street, 160 feet west of Ecker street, extending through on an L into Jessie street, also to store 900 gallons of gasoline on premises.

#### Transfer Public Garage.

To C. H. Carmichael, permit granted by Resolution No. 19474 (New Series) to Harold T. Campbell, for premises situate on north side of Jessie street, 175 feet west of Seventh street (No. 620 Jessie street).

#### Automobile Parking Station.

Edward De Larm, on east side of Davis street, 150 feet north of California street. No greasing or washing racks will be permitted in station.

#### Boiler.

Edward Bailey, at 1341 Valencia street, 15 horsepower.

J. Portigera & Co., at 111 Gilbert street, 10 horsepower.

#### Oil Storage Tank.

Sacred Heart Church, south side Fell street, 120 feet east of Fillmore street, 1500 gallons capacity.

American Fore Building Co., southeast corner Bush and Sansome streets, 3000 gallons capacity.

Leman & Tyler, at northeast corner Van Ness avenue and Union street, 1500 gallons capacity.

G. F. Potter, at 138-142 California street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Edward Bailey, at 1341 Valencia street, 1500 gallons capacity.

B. F. Owens, at 4075 Twenty-fourth street, 600 gallons capacity.

Boss & Hill, east side of Van Ness avenue, 100 feet north of Filbert street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Hill, Hubbell & Co., at 1513 San Bruno avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

G. A. Berton, at 3600 Washington street, 600 gallons capacity.

Mrs. Harvey Dale, at 2444 Great Highway, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

#### Purchase of Glen Park.

Bill No. 6190, Ordinance No. 5767 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and directing the Mayor, in the name and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, to execute an agreement with the Crocker Estate Company, a corporation, for the purchase of the "Glen Park Picnic Grounds."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Mayor is hereby authorized and directed to execute in the name and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco an agreement with the Crocker Estate Company, a corporation, providing for the immediate purchase of a portion, approximately one-third of the total area, of that certain tract of land situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, known as the Glen Park Picnic Grounds, comprising 7.822 acres, more or less, for the sum of ten thousand dollars, and giving to the City and County the option to purchase another portion of said part approximately one-third of the total area thereof, on or before the first day of December, 1923, for the further principal sum of ten thousand dollars, and also an option to purchase the remainder of said tract on or before the first day of December, 1924, for the additional sum of ten thousand dollars, and also giving to the City and County the right to the immediate possession of the whole of said tract upon the making of the first ten thousand dollar payment hereinabove referred to; the said agreement to be approved in form by the City Attorney, and there shall be incorporated therein the terms and conditions contained in the proposed

agreement for that purpose this day presented to the Board by the Finance Committee.

Section 2. This ordinance shall be in force and effect from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

#### Fees for Garbage Wagons, etc.

Bill No. 6191, Ordinance No. 5768 (New Series), as follows:

Fixing the time and amount of paying of certain inspection fees imposed by Ordinance No. 5496 (New Series).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The inspection fee imposed upon vehicles engaged in transporting garbage, refuse, manure, or from which foodstuffs are sold, by the provisions of Ordinance No. 5496 (New Series) shall be paid by the owners thereof and collected by the Board of Health as follows, to-wit:

The sum of five dollars shall be paid on or before the 2nd day of January, 1923, and each year thereafter for the period of the calendar year, provided that in cases of fees heretofore paid, credit shall be given for the portion of the calendar year expressed in month or fractions thereof for which fee has been paid. Fees for inspections made after January in any year shall be of such sum as will cover the period to the month of January thereafter following. It is the intention of this ordinance to provide that the period for which all inspection fees are paid shall expire on the second day of January in each year.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

#### Auction Sale of City Land on Parnassus Avenue.

Bill No. 6192, Ordinance No. 5769 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the sale at public auction of certain land belonging to the City and County of San Francisco, being that certain lot and piece or parcel of land situate on the northwesterly line of Parnassus avenue, extending from the westerly line of Arguello boulevard (formerly First avenue) to the east line of Second avenue, of irregular dimensions, and in accordance with the provisions of Section 9, Chapter II, Article II of the Charter.

Whereas, the Board of Education, under the authority and in accordance with the provisions of Section 11, Chapter 11, Article II of the Charter, on the 8th day of November, 1922, adopted a resolution determining that the lot of land hereinafter described is inadequate and unsuitable for school purposes, and that the public interest and necessity demand the sale thereof; and

Whereas, the Mayor concurred in the recommendation of said Board of Education that a sale of said lot of land be made, and has reported the said recommendation to this Board; now, therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That public interest and necessity demand the sale of the following land owned and held by the City and County of San Francisco, situated within the said City and County of San Francisco, and being more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point formed by the intersection of the northwesterly line of Parnassus avenue with the westerly line of Arguello boulevard (formerly First avenue), running thence southwesterly along said northwesterly line of Parnassus avenue 250 feet  $2\frac{1}{2}$  inches to the easterly line of Second avenue; thence northerly along said easterly line of Second avenue 177 feet  $9\frac{3}{4}$  inches to a point 144 feet  $5\frac{3}{4}$  inches southerly from the southerly line of Irving street (formerly I street); thence at right angles easterly 240 feet to the westerly line of Arguello boulevard (formerly First avenue); thence southerly along said westerly line of Arguello boulevard (formerly First avenue) 107 feet  $\frac{1}{4}$  inch to the northwesterly line of Parnassus avenue and point of commencement; being a portion of Outside Land Block No. 673, also known as Lot 6, Block 1756, on Assessor's Map Book.

Section 2. Said parcel of land as hereinabove described shall be sold for cash, in United States gold coin, at public auction, sale to be held in accordance with the provisions of Section 9 of Chapter 11, Article II of the Charter. Said auction sale shall be held in the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, second floor, City Hall, City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on Monday, January 22, 1923, at the hour of 3 p. m.

Section 3. Upon receipt of bids or offers for said land, as aforesaid, the Mayor shall require from the person or persons to whom the property is sold, a deposit amounting to 10 per cent of the sum bid for parcel of land hereinabove described.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

#### Amending Sign Ordinance.

Bill No. 6206, Ordinance No. 5770 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Class F, Section 6, and Section 11 of Ordinance No. 1009 (New Series), entitled "Regulating the construction, erection and maintenance of signs, transparencies, advertisements, bulletin boards and clocks on or about buildings or over public streets and thoroughfares, providing for the inspection of same."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Classification F of Section 6 and Section 11 of Ordinance No. 1009 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Class F, Section 6. Vertical incandescent electric light signs consisting of a vertical row of letters, illuminated with incandescence electric lights. Signs so constructed shall be not less than twelve feet above the sidewalk, parallel to said buildings, and shall not project over the sidewalk more than four feet from the property line of said building and such sign shall not extend more than thirty feet above the blocking course or firewall of any building and shall be properly constructed and securely fastened to the building as provided for in Section 10 of Ordinance No. 1009 (New Series).

Section 2. Section 11. No attachable sign or framework, boards, cloth or other material to or on which any sign, advertisement, picture or notice is painted, printed, posted, made or impressed, affixed or fastened shall be hereafter constructed, placed, affixed or maintained in said City and County.

Upon the outer wall of any building higher than the blocking course or firewall of such building excepting such sign as provided for in Class F, Section 6 of Ordinance No. 1009 (New Series), as herein amended.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

#### Street Car Operation Regulation.

Bill No. 6193, Ordinance No. 5771 (New Series), as follows:

Adding a new section to be num-

bered 3a to Ordinance No. 1674, entitled "Regulating the operation of street railway cars by limiting their speed and providing for the character of their brakes and fixing penalties for the violation thereof."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A new section is hereby added to Ordinance No. 1674, the title of which is above recited, the same to read as follows:

Section 3a. In the portion of the City and County not included in the districts herein described, wherein the speed of street railway cars is limited, the speed shall not exceed that which may be deemed safe operating practice and in places where the view of the track may be obscured, or at entrances to Golden Gate Park, moving cars shall be brought to a "check stop" speed.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

#### Changing Grades.

Bill No. 6194, Ordinance No. 5772 (New Series), entitled "Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Santa Rosa avenue between the southeasterly line of Alemany avenue and the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue, and on Alemany avenue between Francis street and Harrington street."

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

#### Establishing Grades.

Bill No. 6195, Ordinance No. 5773 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Bauer street between Alemany and Cayuga avenues, and on Cayuga avenue between Santa Rosa and Santa Ynez avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Bauer street between Alemany and Cayuga avenues and on Cayuga avenue between Santa Rosa and Santa Ynez avenues are hereby established at points hereinafter named, and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed November 29, 1922.

#### *Bauer Street.*

Northeasterly line of at Alemany avenue northwesterly line, 150 feet

(the same being the present official grade).

Southwesterly line of, at Alemany avenue northwesterly line, 150 feet (the same being the present official grade).

Southwesterly line of, 6.04 feet northwesterly from Alemany avenue, 150 feet.

Northeasterly line of, 6.94 feet southeasterly from Cayuga avenue, 120.50 feet.

Northeasterly line of, at Cayuga avenue southeasterly line, 120.20 feet.

Southwesterly line of, at Cayuga avenue southeasterly line, 120.50 feet.

#### *Cayuga Avenue.*

Fifteen feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, at Santa Rosa avenue southwesterly line, 115.50 feet (the same being the present official grade).

Fifteen feet southeasterly from the northwesterly line of, at Santa Rosa avenue southwesterly line 115.50 feet (the same being the present official grade).

Southeasterly line of, at Bauer street northeasterly line, 120.20 feet.

Southeasterly line of, at Bauer street southwesterly line, 120.50 feet.

Northwesterly line of, at San Juan avenue, northeasterly line, 120.50 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northwesterly line of, at San Juan avenue southwesterly line, 121 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northwesterly line of, at Santa Ynez avenue northeasterly line, 129 feet (the same being the present official grade).

On Bauer street between Alemany and Cayuga avenues; and on Cayuga avenue between Santa Rosa and Santa Ynez avenues, be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

#### Fixing Sidewalk Widths, Broadway.

Bill No. 6196, Ordinance No. 5774 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1961, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eight hundred and seven.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, en-

titled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office November 23, 1922, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eight hundred and seven, to read as follows:

Section 807. The width of sidewalks on Broadway between Davis street and The Embarcadero are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

#### Changing Grades.

Bill No. 6197, Ordinance No. 5775 (New Series), entitled "Establishing grades on Moore place between lines respectively 98 feet and 137.50 feet parallel with and northerly from Union street."

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

#### Changing Grades.

Bill No. 6198, Ordinance No. 5776 (New Series), entitled "Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Mill street between Harkness avenue and a line parallel with and 250 feet northerly therefrom."

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

#### Fixing Sidewalk Widths, Bauer Street.

Bill No. 6199, Ordinance No. 5777 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eight hundred and nine.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this

office December 4, 1922, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eight hundred and nine, to read as follows:

Section 809. The width of sidewalks on Bauer street between Alemany avenue and Cayuga avenue shall be eight (8) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

#### Fixing Sidewalk Widths, Fourteenth Avenue.

Bill No. 6200, Ordinance No. 5778 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eight hundred and eight.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office December 4, 1922, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eight hundred and eight, to read as follows:

Section 808. The width of sidewalks on Fourteenth avenue between Lake street and the Presidio Wall shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of 14th Avenue between Lake Street and its northerly termination," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

#### Establishing Grades.

Bill No. 6201, Ordinance No. 5779 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Watson place between Ocean avenue and the southerly line of Leo street, and on Ruth

and Leo streets between Mission street and Watson place.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Watson place between Ocean avenue and the southerly line of Leo street, and on Ruth and Leo streets between Mission street and Watson place, are hereby established at points hereinafter named, and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed November 13, 1922.

Watson place—Ruth street intersection, 150 feet; Leo street intersection, 151 feet.

Ruth street—Watson place, 150 feet; 100 feet easterly from Watson place, 156.50 feet; 250 feet easterly from Watson place, 163 feet.

Leo street—Watson place, 151 feet; 50 feet easterly from Watson place, 152 feet.

On Watson place between Ocean avenue and the southerly line of Leo street, and on Ruth and Leo streets between Mission street and Watson place be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor and the present official grade of Ocean avenue at Watson place; and of Mission street at Ruth and Leo streets.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

Bill No. 6202, Ordinance No. 5780 (New Series), entitled "Establishing grades on Troy alley between Hyde street and a line parallel with and 275 feet westerly therefrom."

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

Bill No. 6205, Ordinance No. 5781 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board

of Supervisors June 7, 1921, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Edinburgh street between the northerly line of France avenue and Amazon avenue, including the crossings of Edinburgh street and France avenue and Edinburgh street and Italy avenue*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners of the above mentioned crossings; by the construction of the following brick catchbasins with 10-inch vitrified, salt-glazed, iron-stone pipe culverts, two (2) on the crossing of Edinburgh street and France avenue and three (3) on the crossing of Edinburgh street and Italy avenue, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect July 1, 1923.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

Ordering Street Work, Hearst Avenue.

Bill No. 6207, Ordinance No. 5782 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public

Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 8, 1922, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Hearst avenue* between *Edna street* and *Detroit street*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs where not already constructed, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

#### PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print and amounting to \$59,058.32, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

#### *Urgent Necessity.*

Spring Valley Water Co., water for horse troughs, \$111.62.

Sabina M. Churchill, compensation insurance, December, \$92.25.

Approved by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman,

Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

#### NEW BUSINESS.

##### Auditorium Rentals.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 20594 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following organizations be granted permission to occupy the halls in the Auditorium, deposits having been paid to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to guarantee the rental fees.

The Pacific Auto Show (George A. Wahlgreen, manager), use of the Main Hall, February 5th to 9th, 1923, for removal and installation of canopies; the Main, Polk and Larkin halls, basement and corridor on the second floor, February 14th to 28th, 1923, for installation, removal and Auto Show exhibit.

California Airedale Terrier Club, use of Larkin Hall, April 14, 1923, 8 a. m. to 12 p. m., for the purpose of holding a Kennel Show.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were passed for printing:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Twenty-third Street Between Bryant and York Streets.

On motion of Supervisor McGregor:

Bill No. 6208, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings, designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 9 of the use of property zone map, constituting a part of said ordinance is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southerly side of Twenty-third street between Bryant street and York street, to the depth of the rear lot lines, in the Light Industrial District instead of the Commercial District as now zoned.

**Authorizations.**

On motion of Supervisor McLeran:  
Resolution No. — (New Series),  
as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the herematter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit.

*Library Fund, 1922-1923.*

(1) Newbegin's, books (claim dated Nov. 30, 1922), \$504.

(2) San Francisco News Co., books (claim dated Nov. 30, 1922), \$2,602.61.

(3) G. E. Steichert & Co., books (claim dated Nov. 30, 1922), \$4,725.48.

(4) Fester & Futernick Co., book-binding (claim dated Nov. 30, 1922), \$2,390.15.

*Auditorium Fund.*

(5) Mme. Johanna Gadschi, services, second symphony concert, December 9, 1922 (claim dated Dec. 18, 1922), \$750.

(6) S. F. Symphony Orchestra, services, second symphony concert, December 9, 1922 (claim dated Dec. 18, 1922), \$1,500.

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(7) Crane Co., supplies for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 12, 1922), \$1,248.65.

(8) W. D. Ayres Co., butter for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 12, 1922), \$1,242.

(9) General Electric Co., two 40-h. p. motors for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 12, 1922), \$1,294.50.

(10) The Paraffine Companies Ltd., roofing paper for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 12, 1922), \$510.

(11) Baumgarten Bros., meat for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 12, 1922), \$1,530.84.

(12) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 12, 1922), \$1,204.

(13) Sherry Bros. Inc., eggs for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 12, 1922), \$758.16.

(14) Smith-Booth-Usher Co., two motor driven hoists, etc., for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 12, 1922), \$2,900.

(15) Standard Oil Co., fuel oil for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 12, 1922), \$802.22.

(16) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, reimbursing revolving fund (claim dated Dec. 12, 1922), vouchers attached, \$1,242.56.

(17) Robert M. Searles, purchases of property in San Mateo County, etc., for rights of way Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 12, 1922), \$2,791.

(18) James Graham Mfg. Co., supplies for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 12, 1922), \$954.13.

*Municipal Railway Fund.*

(19) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., brake shoes (claim dated Dec. 2, 1922), \$1,236.25.

(20) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., brake shoes (claim dated Dec. 11, 1922), \$1,070.70.

(21) Associated Oil Co., gasoline and oil for October (claim dated Dec. 11, 1922), \$957.03.

(22) Frank F. Bodler, ten Johnson fare boxes (claim dated Dec. 1, 1922), \$975.

*School Building Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(23) Anderson & Ringrose, third payment, general construction, Yerba Buena School additions (claim dated Dec. 13, 1922), \$14,644.50.

(24) O. Monson, third payment, general contract, Andrew Jackson School (claim dated Dec. 13, 1922), \$12,817.50.

(25) United Materials Co., second payment, roofing, North Beach School (Galileo High) (claim dated Dec. 13, 1922), \$1,194.75.

(26) Joseph Greenback, second payment, lathing and plastering, Columbus School (claim dated Dec. 15, 1922), \$892.35.

(27) O. Monson, extras order No. 2572, general construction, Spring Valley School (claim dated Dec. 13, 1922), \$7,135.

*General Fund, 1922-1923.*

(28) Associated Charities, pensions for half orphans with their widowed mothers for December (claim dated Dec. 15, 1922), \$10 555.21.

(29) Little Childrens' Aid, pensions to widowed mothers for their half orphan children (claim dated Dec. 15, 1922), \$8,503.70.

(30) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of half orphans with their widowed mothers (claim dated Dec. 15, 1922), \$927.50.

(31) Old Homestead Bakery, bread for County Jail No. 1 (claim dated Dec. 1, 1922), \$786.58.

(32) Producers Hay Co., hay for police stations (claim dated Nov. 27, 1922), \$1,086.01.

(33) Associated Oil Co., fuel oil for S. F. Fire Dept. (claim dated Nov. 29, 1922), \$619.71.

(34) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electricity for S. F. Fire Dept. (claim dated Nov. 29, 1922), \$1,501.59.

(35) Shell Co., fuel oil for fire boats, S. F. Fire Dept. (claim dated Nov. 29, 1922), \$549.56.

(36) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished auxiliary fire system etc. (claim dated Nov. 29, 1922), \$2,762.46.

(37) Standard Oil Co., oil and gaso-

line for S. F. Fire Dept. (claim dated Nov. 29, 1922), \$955.22.

(38) Preston School of Industry, care of minors for the month of October (claim dated Dec. 11, 1922), \$683.89.

(39) Preston School of Industry, care of minors committed by Juvenile Court for November (claim dated Dec. 11, 1922), \$754.01.

(40) St. Vincents School, care of minors committed by Juvenile Court for November (claim dated Dec. 11, 1922), \$1,588.80.

(41) Albertinum Orphanage, care of minors committed by Juvenile Court for November (claim dated Dec. 11, 1922), \$1,522.50.

(42) Roman Catholic Orphanage, care of minors committed by Juvenile Court for November (claim dated Dec. 11, 1922), \$2,776.37.

(43) Boys' Aid Society, care of minors committed by Juvenile Court for November (claim dated Dec. 11, 1922), \$1,147.45.

(44) Protestant Orphanage, care of minors committed by Juvenile Court for November (claim dated Dec. 11, 1922), \$647.50.

(45) St. Mary's Orphanage, care of minors committed by Juvenile Court for November (claim dated Dec. 11, 1922), \$612.50.

(46) Little Children's Aid, care of minors committed by Juvenile Court for December (claim dated Dec. 11, 1922), \$9,673.59.

(47) Children's Agency, care of minors committed by Juvenile Court for December (claim dated Dec. 11, 1922), \$16,125.54.

(48) Eureka Benevolent Society, care of minors committed by Juvenile Court for December (claim dated Dec. 11, 1922), \$3,802.01.

(49) St. Catherine's Training Home, care of minors committed by Juvenile Court for November (claim dated Dec. 11, 1922), \$687.84.

(50) Standard Oil Co. Inc., asphalt and freight for Street Repair Dept. (claim dated Dec. 7, 1922), \$2,232.41.

(51) Western Products Co., limerock dust for Street Repair Dept. (claim dated Dec. 7, 1922), \$841.50.

(52) Western Lime & Cement Co., cement for Street Repair Dept. (claim dated Dec. 7, 1922), \$2,658.17.

(53) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., asphalt reinforcing September and October (claim dated Dec. 12, 1922), \$1,115.10.

(54) Standard Oil Co., asphalt and freight for Street Repair Dept. (claim dated Dec. 12, 1922), \$3,182.89.

(55) California Brick Co., paving brick for Street Repair Dept. (claim dated Dec. 7, 1922), \$2,470.

(56) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated Dec. 14, 1922), \$3,334.34.

(57) Martin Murphy, final payment High Pressure Fire Mains in North Point street (claim dated Dec. 13, 1922), \$2,857.

(58) Flynn & Collins, two Ford roadsters for Dept. of Public Health (claim dated Dec. 9, 1922), \$927.12.

(59) Dodge, Sweeney Co., supplies for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1922), \$595.30.

(60) California Poultry Co., turkeys for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1922), \$608.85.

(61) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., street lighting for November (claim dated Dec. 18, 1922), \$47,921.02.

#### *Park Fund.*

(62) Hannah Bros., first payment, construction shops and sheds (claim dated Dec. 15, 1922), \$3,367.32.

(63) Spring Valley Water Co., park service for November (claim dated Dec. 15, 1922), \$602.41.

#### *General Fund, 1922-1923.*

(64) San Francisco Chronicle, official advertising for November (claim dated Dec. 18, 1922), \$1,897.35.

#### *Appropriations.*

On motion of Supervisor McLeran:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

#### *Water Construction Funds, Bonds Issue 1910.*

(1) For the construction of piers and abutments for the bridge across Sixbit Gulch, Hetch Hetchy Water Supply, Contract No. 87, awarded to Schultz Construction Co., the sum of \$1,583.56.

(2) For furnishing and delivering an electric traveling crane for the Meccasin Creek Power Plant, Contract No. 70, Hetch Hetchy Water Supply:

Award to Pawling & Harnischfeger Company, \$30,475;

Possible extras, \$525.

#### *County Roads Fund.*

(3) For improving the northerly one-half of Sloat boulevard from Nineteenth to Thirty-fifth avenues:

Award to Eaton & Smith, \$40,266.95;

Inspection and possible extras, \$5,000.

\$40,000 for **Reconstruction of Howard Street.**

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 20595 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$40,000, :

Budget Item No. 85, for the repair of Folsom street from Third street west, be expended by the Board of Public Works on reconstruction of Howard street from Fifth street west.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Dasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Authorization, \$5,500, Payment to A. Ferroggiaro for Lands at Keith Street and Armstrong Avenue.

On motion of Supervisor McLeran:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$5,500 be and is hereby authorized to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918, in payment to A. Ferroggiaro for the purchase of Lots 1, 2 and 14 of Block 5420 on Assessor's Map Book, also all rights and title in Keith street and Armstrong avenue, as more particularly described in Resolution No. 20567 (New Series), approved December 13, 1922.

Clerk to Advertise for Bids for Lithographing School and Relief Home.

On motion of Supervisor McLeran:

Resolution No. 20596 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk be directed to prepare specifications for lithographing 12,000 school bonds and 2,000 Relief Home bonds authorized at the recent special election and to advertise for sealed proposals for doing the work.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Dasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1. \*

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Supply Station, Parking Station and Oil Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

*Automobile Supply Station.*

Associated Oil Company, at the southwest corner of Potrero avenue and Twenty-fifth street; also to store 2000 gallons of gasoline on premises.

Standard Oil Company, on the south side of Mariposa street between Third

and Tennessee streets, also to store 1200 gallons of gasoline on premises.

*Automobile Parking Station.*

Duffley & Nolan, on the east side of Taylor street 50 feet north of Eddy street. Greasing or washing racks will not be permitted on the premises.

David Kuhn, at the southwest corner of Sansome and Sacramento streets. Greasing or washing racks will not be permitted on the premises.

*Oil Storage Tank.*

J. Maloney, at the northeast corner of Dolores and Fifteenth streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Monson Bros., at the northeast corner of Washington and Gough streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. & W. Pierce Co., on the south side of Sacramento street 45 feet 10 inches west of Front street, 1500 gallons capacity.

McLeran Bros., at the southwest corner of Greenwich and Hyde streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Kingston, at 2565 Washington street, 1500 gallons capacity.

St. Boniface School, at 133 Golden Gate avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. H. Hubbell, at southwest corner of Jackson and Locust streets, 600 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

Denying Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Supervisor Deasy presented:

Resolution No. 20597 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors permission is hereby denied Joseph Fassler to maintain an automobile supply station on the west side of Mission street 125 feet south of Niagara avenue.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Dasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

*Garage Permit.*

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors is hereby granted Thomas McLougall to maintain a public garage on the south

side of Eddy street 183 feet 8 inches west of Taylor street.

This permit is granted on the expressed agreement of the permittee to immediately erect a two-story reinforced concrete building on said property, the foundation and walls of which are to be sufficiently strong to permit of the future construction of two additional stories. The frontage of said building, except a 15-foot entrance to the garage, is to be arranged for stores not less than fifty feet in depth. No basement under the building is to be permitted.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit becomes null and void.

#### Garage Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors is hereby granted Auto Super Service to maintain a public garage on the east side of Arguello boulevard, 150 feet south of Geary street, with an entrance east of Arguello boulevard; also to store 1200 gallons of gasoline on premises.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit becomes null and void.

#### Antenna Towers on Buildings.

Supervisor Scott presented:

Bill No. 6209, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the erection of towers on the roofs of class A and B buildings, for the purpose of carrying the antenna of high standard radiophone broadcasting station.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Towers for the purpose of carrying the antenna of a high standard radiophone broadcasting station may be erected on the roofs of class A and class B buildings.

Such towers shall be constructed of galvanized steel, and have a base span of not less than twenty feet square and taper to a size not less than one foot nine inches at the top, and shall not exceed one hundred and twenty-five feet in height.

All frame work and bracing in the erection of such tower shall be of galvanized steel, securely bolted and fastened to the roof of the building on which such tower is installed, in a manner subject to the satisfaction and approval of the Board of Public Works.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. Hale, representing Hale Bros., urged the necessity of passing the above ordinance without delay. "If we wish to keep abreast of the times, we must have broadcasting stations commensurate in size with our competing cities on the Pacific Coast." He said Hale Bros. had material on hand and was ready upon the passage of the ordinance to commence immediate construction of one of the largest broadcasting stations on the Pacific Coast.

#### Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the foregoing bill was passed for printing by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

#### Passed for Printing.

The following bill was passed for printing:

#### Amending Building Law, Approved Fibre Lumber.

Supervisor Scott presented:

Bill No. 6210, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section No. 146 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), approved December 22, 1909, and known as the "Building Law" of the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section No. 146 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as the "Building Law," is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 146. The walls of frame or wooden buildings shall be constructed with studding covered with weather boarding or approved fibre lumber on the outside. No uncovered studding will be allowed against the wall of an adjoining building or structure.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### Accepting Offers to Sell Land in San Mateo County, Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct Right of Way.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 20598 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco, from the following described owners of the following described parcels of land, situated in the County of San Mateo, State

of California, required as a right of way for the aqueduct of the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply project, for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

R. J. Howard and Hanna Howard, \$400 (as per offer on file):

Fractional portion of Lots Nos. 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90 and 91 in Block 7-A, as shown on map entitled "North Fair Oaks Subdivision No. 3, San Mateo County," which was filed in office of County Recorder of San Mateo County April 20, 1908, Book 6 of Maps, page 7.

First Federal Trust Company, Mary Emma Kellogg, Alice C. Renebome, W. F. Holmes, \$405:

Lots Nos. 8 and 9, Block 35, and Lot No. 2, Block No. 24, as shown on map entitled "Plat of Dumbarton," which was filed in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County September 28, 1907, in Book 5 of Maps, at page 32.

Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer, the above described offers of the above named property owners to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the above mentioned parcels of land for the sums set forth opposite their respective names be and the same are hereby accepted. Be it further

Resolved, That the Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply is hereby authorized and directed to notify the said parties of the acceptance of their said offers, to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition to accepted in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record, with a copy of this resolution attached thereto, as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Hynes, Mulvihill, Scott—3.

Stable Permit for Goats Denied.

Supervisor Scott moved the adoption of the following resolution:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Clara Petzoldt to construct and maintain a stable for 16 female goats at 1034 Jamestown avenue.

Numerous property owners in the district appeared in opposition to proposed permit, claiming that permit would result in nuisance and depreciate property values.

Supervisor Scott presented the argument in favor of the applicant, Clara Petzoldt, urging reasons why the permit should be granted.

Refused Passage.

Whereupon, the roll was called and the resolution *refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, McLeran, Scott, Shannon—4.

Noes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, McGregor, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Welch, Wetmore—12.

Absent—Supervisors Hynes, Mulvihill—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Sunset Extension of Municipal Railway From Cole and Carl Streets to the Ocean.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon: Bill No. 6211, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to prepare plans and specifications and contract for the construction of a municipal street railway from Cole and Carl streets to the Ocean.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and directed to prepare plans and specifications, advertise for bids and enter into a contract for the construction of a municipal street railway from Cole and Carl streets to the Ocean beach over such route as the City Engineer shall recommend. Provision may be made in such specifications and contract for progressive payments as provided in the Charter.

Clerk Directed to Advertise for Furnishing Bus Service Along The Embarcadero.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 20599 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk be directed to advertise for proposals for furnishing bus service for the transportation of passengers along the water front, and that the City Engineer and City Attorney be requested to prepare suitable specifications and form of contract upon which such proposals may be submitted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman,

Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Hynes, Mulvihill, Scott—3.

#### Extension of Time.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20598 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That G. de Bretteville is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after December 23, 1922, within which to complete contract for improvement of North Point street between Columbus avenue and The Embarcadero.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that contractor has been delayed by the necessity for the reconstruction of the existing spur tracks, and the Southern Pacific Company is to proceed with this work as soon as rails are received.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

#### Extension of Time.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That City Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after December 18, 1922, within which to complete contract for the improvement of Anderson street between Cortland and Eugenia avenues including the crossing of Eugenia avenue.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that contractor has been delayed by weather conditions and shortage of materials.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

Closing and Abandoning Portions of Fairfax Avenue, Galvez Avenue, Hudson Avenue, Jennings Street and Ingalls Street and Hawes Street.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board has, by Resolution No. 20332 (New Series), declared its intention to close and abandon portions of Fairfax avenue, Galvez avenue, Hudson avenue, Jennings street, Ingalls street and Hawes street; and

Whereas, proper notice of said reso-

lution and of said proposed closing up and abandonment of portions of Fairfax avenue, Galvez avenue, Hudson avenue, Jennings street, Ingalls street and Hawes street was duly given by the Board of Public Works of said City and County of San Francisco by publication and posting in the manner provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, more than ten days have elapsed after the expiration of the time of publication of said notice; and

Whereas, no objection or protest has been made against the closing up and abandonment of portions of Fairfax avenue, Galvez avenue, Hudson avenue, Jennings street, Ingalls street and Hawes street, as provided in the Charter, or at all; and

Whereas, said work is for closing up and abandonment of portions of Fairfax avenue, Galvez avenue, Hudson avenue, Jennings street, Ingalls street and Hawes street, and it appears that no assessment is necessary therefor; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the closing up and abandonment of

#### *Fairfax Avenue.*

Fairfax avenue from a point 336.833 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Keith street to the northwesterly line of Hawes street.

#### *Galvez Avenue.*

Galvez avenue from a point 434.750 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Keith street to the northwesterly line of Hawes street.

#### *Hudson Avenue.*

Commencing at a point on the northwesterly line of Hudson avenue, distant thereon 532.583 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Keith street, and running thence southeasterly along the northeasterly line of Hudson avenue a distance of 1371.028 feet; thence southwesterly on a curve to the right of 385.65-foot radius, the tangent of which deflects 78 deg. 01 min. 39 sec. to the right from the preceding course at the last described point, central angle 1 deg. 13 min. 59 sec., a distance of 8300 feet; thence westerly on a curve to the right of 10-foot radius, tangent to the preceding curve, central angle 100 deg. 44 min. 22 sec., a distance of 17.582 feet; thence northwesterly tangent to the preceding curve, a distance of 263.236 feet; thence at right angles southwesterly 20 feet; thence at right angles northwesterly 4.184 feet; thence at right angles southwesterly 40 feet to the southwesterly line of Hudson avenue; thence at right angles northwesterly along the southwesterly line of Hudson avenue 1095.417 feet; thence at right angles northeasterly 80 feet to the northeasterly line of

Hudson avenue and the point of commencement.

*Jennings Street.*

Jennings street from the southwesterly line of Evans avenue to the northeasterly line of Innes avenue.

*Ingalls Street.*

Commencing at a point on the northwesterly line of Ingalls street, distant thereon 28.733 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Evans avenue and running thence southwesterly along the northwesterly line of Ingalls street to a point distant thereon 100 feet northwesterly from the northeasterly line of Innes street; thence at right angles southeasterly 64 feet to the southeasterly line of Ingalls street; thence at right angles northeasterly and along the southeasterly line of Ingalls street to a point distant thereon 65.096 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Evans avenue; thence deflecting 60 deg. 23 min. 44 sec. to the left and running northerly 73.609 feet to the northwesterly line of Ingalls street and the point of commencement.

*Hawes Street.*

Parcel 1. Hawes street from a point 39.250 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Fairfax avenue to the northeasterly line of Galvez avenue.

Parcel 2. Commencing at the point of intersection of the southwesterly line of Galvez avenue and the southeasterly line of Hawes street and running thence southwesterly along the southeasterly line of Hawes street a distance of 135.750 feet; thence deflecting to the right 70 deg. 42 min. 32 sec. and running northwesterly 21.848 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the left of 465.65-foot radius, the tangent of which deflects 92 deg. 10 min. 36 sec. to the right from the preceding course, central angle 11 deg. 51 min. 55 sec., a distance of 96.431 feet; thence northerly tangent to the preceding curve 11.758 feet to a point on the northwesterly line of Hawes street; thence deflecting to the right 28 deg. 58 min. 47 sec. and running northeasterly along the northwesterly line of Hawes street 44.108 feet to the southwesterly line of Galvez avenue; thence at right angles southeasterly 64 feet to the point of commencement, are hereby ordered and said portions of Fairfax avenue, Galvez avenue, Hudson avenue, Jennings street, Ingalls street and Hawes street are hereby closed and abandoned as public streets.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent Supervisors Hynes, Mulvihill, Scott 3.

Closing and Abandoning Portions of Streets in Gift Map No. 4.

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20603 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board has, by Resolution No. 20399 (New Series) declared its intention to close and abandon streets in Gift Map 4; and

Whereas, proper notice of said resolution and of said proposed closing and abandonment of said portions of streets in Gift Map 4 was duly given by the Board of Public Works of said City and County of San Francisco by publication and posting in the manner provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, more than ten days have elapsed after the expiration of the time of publication of said notice; and

Whereas, no objection or protest has been made against the closing up and abandonment of portions of streets in Gift Map 4, as provided in the Charter, or at all; and

Whereas, said work is for closing up and abandonment of portions of streets in Gift Map 4, and it appears that no assessment is necessary therefor; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the closing up and abandonment of portions of streets in Gift Map 4, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

*Streets in Gift Map No. 4.*

All that portion of the following named streets, as laid down and delineated on that certain map entitled "Gift Map No. 4," filed in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco on December 31, 1861, and recorded in Liber "A" and "B" of Maps, pages 16 and 17, which lie north of Oakdale avenue, excepting such portions as lie between lines which are parallel to and perpendicularly distant northerly 480 feet and 560 feet from the northerly line of Oakdale avenue, and portions which lie between lines which are parallel to and perpendicularly distant westerly 600 feet and 664 feet from the westerly line of Selby street, and portions which are distant more than 560 feet perpendicularly northerly from the northerly line of Oakdale avenue and lie between lines which are perpendicularly distant westerly 1164 feet and 1214 feet from the westerly line of Selby street, to-wit: Barton avenue (formerly Baker avenue), Kossuth street, Freedom street, Byrne street, Stringham street, Adele street, Alcatraz street (formerly Chase street), Bourne street, Llewellyn street (formerly Freelon street), Orsenius street, Nashua street (formerly Water street), Pelham street (formerly Short street)

and Appleton street (formerly Hudson street), are hereby closed and abandoned as public streets.

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Board of Public Works, and the Board of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law and the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the San Francisco Chronicle, as required by law.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Hynes, Mulvihill, Scott—3.

#### **Closing and Abandoning Dunshee Street.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20604 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board by Resolution No. 2078 (New Series) declared its intention to close and abandon Dunshee street as hereinafter described; and

Whereas, the notice of said resolution and of said proposed closing and abandoning of said Dunshee street was duly given by the Board of Public Works of this City and County by publication and posting in the manner provided by Section 3 of Chapter III, Article VI of the Charter of this City and County; and

Whereas, no objection or protest has been made against the closing up and abandonment of Dunshee street; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of this Board that the public interest requires the closing up and abandonment of Dunshee street; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said closing and abandonment of said portion of Dunshee street commencing at the southerly line of Fifteenth avenue South and extending in a southerly direction to the northerly line of Sixteenth avenue South be and the same is hereby ordered and the said Dunshee street is hereby closed and abandoned as a public street.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Hynes, Mulvihill, Scott—3.

#### **Closing and Abandoning Eureka Alley.**

Resolution No. 20605 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board by Resolution No. 2079 (New Series) declared its intention to close and abandon Eureka alley as hereinafter described; and

Whereas, the notice of said resolution and of said proposed closing and abandoning of said Eureka alley was duly given by the Board of Public Works of this City and County by publication and posting in the manner provided by Section 3 of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of this City and County; and

Whereas, no objections or protests have been made against the closing up and abandonment of Eureka alley; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of this Board that the public interest requires the closing up and abandonment of Eureka alley; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said closing and abandonment of Eureka alley extending from the westerly line of "P" street South to the easterly line of Dunshee street be and the same is hereby ordered and the said Eureka alley is hereby closed and abandoned as a public street.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Hynes, Mulvihill, Scott—3.

#### **Intention to Change Grades.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20606 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 75578 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works adopted December 6, 1922, and written recommendation of said Board filed December 8, 1922, to-wit:

##### *Fourteenth Avenue.*

Lake street, 128 feet (the same being the present official grade).

Easterly line of, 100 feet northerly from Lake street, 140 feet.

Westerly line of, 150 feet northerly from Lake street 141.42 feet.

Westerly line of, 190 feet northerly from Lake street, 144.10 feet.

Westerly line of, 230 feet northerly from Lake street, 145 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Twenty feet easterly from the west-

erly line of, 150 feet northerly from Lake street, 141.42 feet.

Twenty feet easterly from the westerly line of, 190 feet northerly from Lake street, 144.10 feet.

Twenty feet easterly from the westerly line of, 230 feet northerly from Lake street, 145 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Twenty feet westerly from the easterly line of, 150 feet northerly from Lake street, 141.42 feet.

Twenty feet westerly from the easterly line of, 190 feet northerly from Lake street, 144.10 feet.

Twenty feet westerly from the easterly line of, 230 feet northerly from Lake street, 145 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Easterly line of, 200 feet northerly from Lake street, 149 feet.

Easterly line of, 252.18 feet northerly from Lake street, 149 feet.

Easterly line of, at the Presidio fence, 147.50 feet.

Twenty-eight feet easterly from the westerly line of, 274.18 feet northerly from Lake street, 145 feet.

Westerly line of, at the Presidio fence, 145 feet.

Twenty-eight feet easterly from the westerly line of, at the Presidio fence, 145 feet.

On Fourteenth avenue between Lake street and the Presidio fence be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Hynes, Mulvihill, Scott—3.

*Intention to Change Grades.*

Resolution No. 20607 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points herein-

after specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 75579 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works adopted December 6, 1922, and written recommendation of said Board filed December 8, 1922, to-wit:

*Green Street.*

Sansome street, 22.50 feet (the same being the present official grade).

137 feet 6 inches westerly from Sansome street, 28 feet.

120 feet easterly from Montgomery street, 171 feet (the same being the present official grade).

On Green street between Sansome street and a line parallel with Montgomery street and 120 feet easterly therefrom be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Hynes, Mulvihill, Scott—3.

*Passed for Printing.*

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

**Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Beale Street.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Bill No. 6212, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section 237 thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office October 30 1922, by amending Section 237 thereof, to read as follows:

Section 237. The width of sidewalks on Beale street between Market street and Mission street shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Beale street between Mission street and Folsom street shall be twenty-two (22) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Beale street between Folsom street and The Embarcadero shall be fifteen (15) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

#### Action Deferred.

The following bills were taken up and on motion *laid over four weeks*:

#### Spur Track Permits.

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Zellerbach Paper Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track from the existing spur track in Front street, commencing at a point on the west line of Front street 61 feet 8 inches southerly from Pacific street; thence southerly along said Front street to a point 91 feet 8 inches south of the south line of Jackson street.

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. — (New Series), granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Fred W. Hollman to construct, maintain and operate a spur track from the existing spur track in Front street, commencing at a point on the west line of Front street 61 feet 8 inches southerly from Pacific street; thence southerly along Front street 167 feet 11 inches to a point 45 feet 10 inches north of Jackson street.

#### Award of Contract, Transferring Ambulance Body.

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 20608 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for transferring ambulance body from an Oldsmobile to a 3-ton White chassis is made to W. F. Murasky for \$768.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Perry, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Hynes, Mulvihill, Scott—3.

#### ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

#### Federal Appropriation for Deepening Entrance to Bay.

Supervisor Welch presented:

Resolution No. 20609 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the United States War Department has reported that the interests of commerce and navigation require the immediate deepening of the entrance to San Francisco Bay and that an appropriation from Congress for this purpose will be required; therefore

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be requested to invite a conference with representatives of the communities bordering on San Francisco Bay for the purpose of considering the subject and to provide ways and means for the carrying out of the project and to prepare and to present to Congress the necessary data to the end that an appropriation may be made for this purpose.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Dasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

#### Supervisors Hayden and Welch Appointed on Committee for Relief of Highway Congestion.

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 20612 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the County of San Mateo has appointed two of the members of its Board of Supervisors, T. L. Hickey and Mrs. R. Brown, as a committee to meet a like number of the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco to confer and submit a plan by which a new highway may be constructed to relieve the traffic congestion on the State highway, by the co-operative effort of both of said counties; therefore

Resolved, That Supervisors Welch and Hayden be appointed to act as such Conference Committee; also that in the event of such Committee deeming it advisable that a fifth member be appointed by the members thereof.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—15.

Absent—Supervisors Hynes, Mulvihill, Scott—3.

#### Waiting Station, Twin Peaks Tunnel.

Supervisor McLeran moved that the

Board of Public Works be requested to prepare plans for a suitable waiting station at the east entrance to Twin Peaks Tunnel.

*So ordered.*

**Streets to Be Repaired by the Market Street Railway Company.**

*Supervisor Schmitz* asked the Board of Public Works to prepare a list of streets over which Market Street Railway has franchises and which are in need of repair.

*Assistant Engineer Healy:* Information is at hand and will be furnished at first meeting after January 1st.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business the Board, at the hour of 6:30 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk.


Approved by the Board of Supervisors March 19, 1923.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series), of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

**JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,**

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

City and County of San Francisco



Vol. 17—New Series

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY

No. 52

Tuesday, December 26, 1922.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



THE RECORDER PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY

77 Sutter Street, S. F.



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

TUESDAY, DECEMBER 26, 1922, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Tuesday, December 26, 1922, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Bath, Coleman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor McLeran was called to the chair.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the preceding meeting was laid over for approval until next meeting.

### ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

#### Golden Gate Bridge.

*Communication*—From North Beach Promotion Association urging that earnest consideration be given proposed bridge connecting San Francisco and Marin County.

*Referred to Commercial Development Committee.*

#### Telegram.

Washington, D. C., Dec. 21, 1922.

J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk City and County Office, San Francisco.

Retel navy department appropriation bill now being considered by Senate Appropriations Committee. Have spoken to California Senators about necessity of having Senate committee include in bill item for construction of marine headquarters. If appropriation secured in Senate will do all in my power to have house conferees agree.

JULIUS KAHN.

Read and *filed*.

Relative to Wine and Beer Legislation.

The following was presented, read and ordered *filed*:

December 18, 1922.

Mr. J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk, Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, San Francisco, California.

My dear Sir:

I beg to acknowledge the receipt of the petition of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco for legislation permitting the manufacture and use of light wine and beer for beverage purposes.

Very truly yours,

E. T. CLARK.

Read and *filed*.

Leave of Absence, Mrs. Sigmund Stern.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., Dec. 22, 1922.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Mrs. Sigmund Stern, member of the Playground Commission, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent herself from the State of California for a period of sixty days, commencing January 4, 1923.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Respectfully yours,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,

Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 20637 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mrs. Sigmund Stern, member of the Playground Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing January 4, 1923, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Mulvihill, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—18.

HEARING—2 P. M.

Set-Back Lines.

Hearing of objections to the estab-

lishment of set-back lines set forth in Resolution of Intention No. 20503 (New Series), to-wit:

Along the westerly side of Thirty-fifth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be four feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-fifth avenue, commencing at a point 125 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be eight feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-fifth avenue, commencing at a point 150 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 300 feet, which said set-back line shall be twelve feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-fifth avenue, commencing at a point 450 feet southerly from the southerly line of Taraval street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be eight feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-fifth avenue, commencing at a point 475 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be four feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-fifth avenue; along the easterly side of Thirty-fifth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be four feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-fifth avenue, commencing at a point 125 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be eight feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-fifth avenue, commencing at a point 150 feet southerly from the line of Taraval street and running thence southerly to the northerly line of Ulloa street, which said set-back line shall be twelve feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-fifth avenue.

Along the westerly side of Thirty-second avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be four feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-second avenue, commencing at a point 125 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly to the northerly line of Ulloa street, which said set-back line shall be eight feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-second avenue.

Along the westerly side of Twenty-eighth avenue, commencing at a point

100 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be four feet distant from and parallel with the line of Twenty-eighth avenue, commencing at a point 125 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be eight feet distant from and parallel with the line of Twenty-eighth avenue, commencing at a point 150 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 350 feet, which said set-back line shall be twelve feet distant from and parallel with the line of Twenty-eighth avenue; along the easterly line of Twenty-eighth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be five feet distant from and parallel with the line of Twenty-eighth avenue, commencing at a point 125 feet southerly from the line of Taraval street and running thence southerly 350 feet, which said set-back line shall be ten feet distant from and parallel with the line of Twenty-eighth avenue, commencing at a point 475 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be five feet distant from and parallel with the line of Twenty-eighth avenue.

Along the westerly side of Thirty-third avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be five feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-third avenue, commencing at a point 125 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 375 feet, which said set-back line shall be ten feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-third avenue; along the easterly side of Thirty-third avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be four feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-third avenue, commencing at a point 125 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 350 feet, which said set-back line shall be twelve feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-third avenue.

Along the westerly side of Thirty-fourth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet southerly from Taraval street

and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be five feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-fourth avenue, commencing at a point 125 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 375 feet, which said set-back line shall be ten feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-fourth avenue; along the easterly side of Thirty-fourth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 225 feet, which said set-back line shall be five feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-fourth avenue, commencing at a point 125 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 350 feet, which said set-back line shall be ten feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-fourth avenue, commencing at a point 475 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be five feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-fourth avenue.

Along the westerly line of Twenty-second avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 375 feet, which said set-back line shall be ten feet distant from and parallel with the line of Twenty-second avenue, commencing at a point 475 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be five feet distant from and parallel with the line of Twenty-second avenue.

The Chair announced that if there were any interested persons present who wished to protest the pending legislation that they could now be heard.

No response.

Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the following bill was presented and *passed for printing*:

**Establishing Set-Back Lines.**

Bill No. 6215, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Thirty-fifth, Thirty-second, Twenty-eighth, Thirty-third, Thirty-fourth and Twenty-second avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 29th day of November, 1922, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 7, to establish set-back lines along portions of Thirty-fifth, Thirty-second, Twenty-eighth, Thirty-third, Thirty-fourth and Twenty-second avenues, and fixed the 26th

day of December, 1922, at 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that no objections were made except those that were duly and properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Thirty-fifth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be four feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-fifth avenue, commencing at a point 125 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be eight feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-fifth avenue, commencing at a point 150 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 300 feet, which said set-back line shall be twelve feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-fifth avenue, commencing at a point 450 feet southerly from the southerly line of Taraval street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be eight feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-fifth avenue, commencing at a point 475 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be four feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-fifth avenue; along the easterly side of Thirty-fifth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be four feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-fifth avenue, commencing at a point 125 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be eight feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-fifth avenue, commencing at a point 150 feet southerly from the line of Taraval street and running thence southerly to the northerly line of Ulloa street, which said set-back line shall be twelve feet distant from and par-

allel with the line of Thirty-fifth avenue.

Along the westerly side of Thirty-second avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be four feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-second avenue, commencing at a point 125 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly to the northerly line of Ulloa street, which said set-back line shall be eight feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-second avenue.

Along the westerly side of Twenty-eighth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be four feet distant from and parallel with the line of Twenty-eighth avenue, commencing at a point 125 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be eight feet distant from and parallel with the line of Twenty-eighth avenue, commencing at a point 150 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 350 feet, which said set-back line shall be twelve feet distant from and parallel with the line of Twenty-eighth avenue; along the easterly line of Twenty-eighth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be five feet distant from and parallel with the line of Twenty-eighth avenue, commencing at a point 125 feet southerly from the line of Taraval street and running thence southerly 350 feet, which said set-back line shall be ten feet distant from and parallel with the line of Twenty-eighth avenue, commencing at a point 475 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be five feet distant from and parallel with the line of Twenty-eighth avenue.

Along the westerly side of Thirty-third avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be five feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-third avenue, commencing at a point 125 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 375 feet, which said set-back line shall be ten feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-third avenue; along the easterly side of Thirty-third avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet southerly from Taraval

street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be four feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-third avenue, commencing at a point 125 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be eight feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-third avenue, commencing at a point 150 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 350 feet, which said set-back line shall be twelve feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-third avenue.

Along the westerly side of Thirty-fourth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be five feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-fourth avenue, commencing at a point 125 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 375 feet, which said set-back line shall be ten feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-fourth avenue; along the easterly side of Thirty-fourth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 225 feet, which said set-back line shall be five feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-fourth avenue, commencing at a point 125 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 350 feet, which said set-back line shall be ten feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-fourth avenue, commencing at a point 475 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be five feet distant from and parallel with the line of Thirty-fourth avenue.

Along the westerly line of Twenty-second avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 375 feet, which said set-back line shall be ten feet distant from and parallel with the line of Twenty-second avenue, commencing at a point 475 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 25 feet, which said set-back line shall be five feet distant from and parallel with the line of Twenty-second avenue.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors, and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structure shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except

as provided in said Ordinance No. 5036 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

#### HEARING—3 P. M.

##### Liberty Street and Sanchez Street.

Hearing appeal of property owners from the assessment issued for the improvement of Liberty street between Church street and the easterly curb line of Noe street, and Sanchez street between Twentieth and Twenty-first streets, including the crossing of Sanchez and Liberty streets opposite the termination of Rayburn street.

##### Privilege of the Floor.

*Otto tum Suden*, attorney representing Dr. M. F. Schaltenbrandt, property owner, declared that the Board of Supervisors had been cited technically for contempt. He asked that the City, in the person of the City Attorney, appear in court and state that it did all it could to alleviate the damage done to Dr. Schaltenbrandt's property and that proceedings be delayed until the matter had been adjudicated.

*Attorney E. R. Hoerchner*, representing the Schultz Construction Co., the contractor, asked that the proceeding be sustained and the assessment upheld.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Scott, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 20638 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the appeal of property owners from the assessment issued for the improvement of Liberty street between Church street and the easterly curb line of Noe street, and Sanchez street between Twentieth and Twenty-first streets, including the crossing of Sanchez and Liberty streets and that portion of Liberty street opposite the termination of Rayburn street, be and the same is hereby denied and the assessment is hereby confirmed.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Drasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

##### Action Deferred.

The following matter was presented and on motion *laid over until January 15, 1923*:

#### HEARINGS—3 P. M.

##### Landers Street.

Confirmation of the report of the Board of Public Works upon the widening and extension of Landers street between Fifteenth and Sixteenth streets.

All persons interested are hereby re-

quired to show cause, if any they have, before the Board of Supervisors on the 18th day of December, 1922, at the hour of 3 p. m. (being the day fixed by the Board of Supervisors), why such report should not be confirmed.

Payment to Lyon Fireproof Warehouse Company for Land at McAllister Street and Van Ness Avenue.

During the calling of the calendar, when No. 5 on the regular calendar was reached, the following proceedings were had, Supervisor Ralph McLeran in the chair:

There were present Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch and Wetmore—17; absent, Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

*The Clerk, John S. Dunnigan*: No. 5, resolution appropriating the sum of \$99,070 out of Budget Item No. 44, and authorizing payment to Lyon Fireproof Warehouse Company for the purchase of land at the southwest corner of Van Ness avenue and McAllister street. Question on final passage.

*Chairman McLeran*: Is there any objection?

*Supervisor McSheehy*: You are referring to No. 5?

*The Clerk*: Yes.

*Supervisor McSheehy*: I have a few words to say. I don't know whether every member of this Board has received an itemization of No. 5, which calls for an expenditure of ninety-nine thousand and some hundred dollars out of our treasury for the purpose of purchasing the lot on the corner of McAllister and Van Ness avenue. I have received an itemization, and I want to go over that itemization with you. The first item is \$140,000 for the lot. That lot has a frontage of 125 feet on Van Ness avenue and 225 feet, I think it is, on McAllister street. I want to say that I am not an expert on real estate values. But I have been in the building business all of my life, and I have done considerable estimating on real estate throughout every section of this city, and I want to say that is an elegant price for that lot. I doubt if anyone could erect a building on that lot and pay interest on that sort of a price. McAllister street and Van Ness avenue today is not really a good business street. The class of tenants there are such that the owner would be compelled to accept a relatively small rent.

Item 2 is \$1,052 for the grading. In my opinion, that item is correct. There is about the yardage, about a thousand yards have been taken out of the lot, and at the rate of about a dollar a yard, that is a fair price.

Item No. 3 is \$19,404, in connection with the proposed iron work upon the building. I have here a contract dated December 21, 1922, signed by Mr. H. H. Morris, representing the Western Iron Works. That contract says: "We agree to furnish, deliver and erect structural steel for the Lyon Warehouse building, located at the south-west corner of Van Ness avenue and McAllister streets, San Francisco, as shown on blueprints 1, 2, 3, 4," etc. You will note there that they agree to deliver and erect—furnish, deliver, and erect. There were 327 tons of steel, and they agreed to do this work at the unit price of \$90 a ton. Now, \$90 per ton, 327 tons, amounts to \$29,475—that is what the entire cost of that steel would mean to the owner, Mr. Lyon, of that building, when erected. Now, the City and County of San Francisco, through the recommendations of the Finance Committee, are allowing this owner \$19,404 on that structural steel. They are making a reduction of about \$18 per ton for salvage. Mind you, \$18 per ton on salvage there—I see no reduction on the erection. Erection today is worth between \$18 and \$20 per ton, and there is nothing to the credit here of erection, not one dollar. Now, I don't feel that is entirely right for the taxpayers of San Francisco to make an allowance of that kind. \$19,404, for that particular item. I consider, in the first place, that a wonderfully liberal allowance is being made on that lot of \$140,000, and I also want to call your attention to the fact that Mr. Jesse W. Steinhart, an attorney, is the attorney that has made this entire settlement—Jesse W. Steinhart. I think every member of this Board knows Mr. Steinhart.

*Supervisor Hayden:* What is the idea, Supervisor?

*Supervisor McSheehy:* There is no idea at all. I am just mentioning the name of a man. I think probably you all know him.

*Supervisor Hayden:* I have a very high regard for him.

*Supervisor McSheehy:* You will have a higher regard, perhaps, a year from now.

*Supervisor Hayden:* Mr. Chairman, I don't see why there should be any personalities injected here—I don't see the idea of it.

*Supervisor McSheehy:* I don't see the idea of your asking me a question

I think that is out of order—let him ask his questions through the chair, Mr. Chairman. The next item is for the Pacific Fire Extinguishing Company, \$518.75, and they allowed to their commission broker something over \$100 and some odd dollars—as a

commission. I have that here. The next item, about to be paid—I spoke about the grading, \$1,052—here is \$1,050 for the steel, the reinforcing steel. I imagine, I really think that that bill is right—it looks right to me. I think, perhaps, that amount of steel might have been cut up during the construction that had preceded. It is only \$1,052, and the grading I consider right. Amount allowed to Solinski, \$30— Here are some little items like Spring Valley Water Company and Telephone Company and street permit, \$80—I don't know whether Mr. Lyon is going to get that permit refunded, or not. I do know of a number of cases in San Francisco where buildings were contemplated where permits were taken out, and a refund was made by the City and County of San Francisco, on account of the building not taking place. But the owner at this time is receiving a credit for it. Then there is the amount made out for the building permit, \$193, and amount made out for building permit, \$225—that is practically in round numbers, \$450 for these items. Then there is cash paid out by Mr. Lyon, \$592—there is no itemization of that at all—in cash laid out by Mr. Knowles, \$770—I don't know where Mr. Knowles laid out \$770 in cash, except, perhaps, to pay for his drawings to some draftsman—he might have paid it—that I don't know. Then there is cash laid out by Mr. Lyon, \$193, and then reimbursement to Mr. Lyon for time spent—Mr. Lyon is receiving for his time from San Francisco \$2,500, according to this. Mr. Lyon, by the way, resides in Oakland. Then we find an item, amount to be paid to William Knowles, \$12,000. Mr. William Knowles is an architect, and the superintendent of this structure. Mr. William Knowles as an architect for Mr. Lyon was to erect this building for \$225,000 and was to receive \$20,000 as an architect, fees as architect and superintendent. He was under the contract to receive that in three payments in two, four and five years. He was to receive \$5,000 two years from the date of acceptance of the building, \$5,000 in three years, and \$10,000 in four years—two, three and four years, I should have said. I made a mistake there. In other words, Mr. Lyon is not paying Mr. Knowles a dollar until the building has been up two years, and he has received rent, or anything else for the building during the two years. Yet the City and County of San Francisco is reimbursing Mr. Knowles to the amount of \$12,000 for nothing but a plan. Now, I can show and will show this Board that plans are being got out every day

by architects all around San Francisco Bay for 3 per cent of the cost of the building. The Harbor Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco get out their own plans for these large structures for sheds down on the harbor front for one-half of 1 per cent. Yet we are allowing Mr. Knowles the sum of \$12,000 for nothing but just the bare plans. Then there is interest laid out by Mr. Lyon, \$5,910. I would want anyone to show me where that interest comes in. I don't understand how Mr. Lyon, he must be a wonder in financing, and whether he started this with any money at all, or not. I don't know. But his claim is here—Interest, \$5,910. Then there is damages allowed to Mr. Lyon, \$3,620. What damages has Mr. Lyon suffered? You have already reimbursed him for his time to the extent of \$2,500, and then you come down here and here is another item of \$3,620. It seems to me, members of this Board, that if there ever was a liberal allowance allowed a man, it is being allowed to this man under these suggestions. I can't for the life of me see how an allowance of this kind should be made, and especially under the conditions here. You are allowing this man \$48,231 over and above the value of the land. San Francisco is losing, and all in return that she is actually receiving is a lot that has been graded out to the extent of \$1,000—that is all the City is actually receiving. I feel that an explanation is due this Board, an intelligent explanation, as to why we should pass this resolution including that \$48,000.

*Supervisor McLeran:* Supervisor Hayden, will you take the chair?

(Supervisor Hayden assumes the chair.)

*Supervisor McLeran:* Mr. Chairman, I would like to ask if there are any other members of the Board that desire to have anything to say before I reply to the gentleman who has just spoken.

*Supervisor Scott:* Mr. Chairman, I was chairman of a committee at one time that made a liberal allowance to Supervisor McSheehy on a settlement out here at the hospital job, and we were severely criticised, and, as a matter of fact, I was never able to explain that—

*Supervisor McSheehy* (interrupting): I want to rise to a point of order.

*Supervisor Scott* (continuing): And I thought we should make a statement of that.

*Supervisor McSheehy:* May I have the right, Mr. Chairman? I understand the proposition here, and may I have the right to reply to Mr. Scott?

*Supervisor Hayden:* Yes, I think it would be well that the Board might know something about that hospital, too.

*Supervisor McSheehy:* I think it should, too.

*Supervisor Hayden:* I know you had a great claim for interest in your bill.

*Supervisor McLeran:* May I ask, Mr. Chairman, does any member wish to take the floor in connection with this matter before I reply to Supervisor McSheehy?

*Supervisor Hynes:* I would like to ask a question of the chairman of the Finance Committee. I have gone along with him on this, because I am not in a position as a layman to estimate the value of the loss to the City. The only thing that I have done, I have inquired from the Assessor's office as to the assessed valuation of that lot, and they give it to me as \$63,190. If that is on a 40 per cent valuation, without any improvements, it would bring the value of the lot up to around \$157,000, almost \$158,000, and if we are allowing \$140,000 for it, it looks to me as though it was a fair price.

*Supervisor McSheehy:* I will answer that.

*Supervisor Wetmore:* I would like to say that when Supervisor McLeran brought in the resolution I was heartily in favor of it. As chairman of the Lands Committee, Supervisor McLeran asked me to attend one or two of the meetings, which I did, and the proceedings were conducted by Supervisor McLeran very largely, who is an expert in that line. I feel proud to be connected with the affair. I think it is one of the big things that is pending in San Francisco to have had that building prevented from being built there. It would have been a crime to have had that eyesore on the corner of McAllister and Van Ness, and it was only by the prompt action of Supervisor McLeran and the rest of the Board who were interested, that we stopped that. The man who intended to put up that building expected to make money out of it, and he is entitled to some consideration in making a settlement of this sort. I certainly heartily concur in the action that was taken to make this appropriation, as it has been made by the chairman of the Finance Committee.

*Supervisor Schmitz:* I don't want to have very much to say upon this matter, but I hope Mr. McLeran will dilate upon some of the items as to the damages—those items which go to make up the \$48,000 damages, or an addition of that amount to the \$110,000 purchase price of the lot seems

to be an enormous amount of money, an enormous amount of damages to pay. Therefore I would like to have that explained before I could feel I would want to vote that the City pay \$110,000 for the property, which I am going to assume is what it is worth and in addition to that, pay \$48,000 for damages. It would have to be some great thing that would influence me to vote for \$48,000 as damages, \$48,000 more than the property is actually worth, just because we want that particular piece of property. If I may digress, I would say this to Supervisor Scott and Supervisor McSheehy, and to you, Mr. Chairman, that we are trying to get at these things for the benefit of the City and for the benefit of this Board as the representatives of the City, and I do not offer this as anything in the nature of a reprimand, but it does seem to me that we could cut out all personalities. It does not make any difference what settlement was had with any other member of this Board, or any other citizen in San Francisco upon another and entirely different matter. It is a question as to the merits of this particular settlement with which we are dealing at this time, and I know that if we go into personalities we are liable to keep this Board here in session until 8 o'clock tonight. I think we can get on with the business that we have and cut out all extraneous matters.

*Supervisor Hayden:* The Supervisor is entirely right.

*Supervisor Scott:* I didn't bring any personal matter into this, Mr. Chairman. The Supervisor rose here and he attempted to force his judgment and opinions upon the Board, as he does at all times, making the preliminary statement that he has been a contractor for many years, and that his judgment should be taken. I should not have raised the question—

*Supervisor McSheehy:* I rise to a point of order, Mr. Chairman.

*Supervisor Scott (continuing):* As I was the chairman of the committee that had to reimburse him for the same sort of thing, I thought it proper to mention it here.

*Supervisor McSheehy:* Any time you rise to a point of order I always cease.

*Supervisor Hayden:* Supervisor Scott is in order. Kindly take your seat, Supervisor. The chair recognizes Mr. McLeran.

*Supervisor McLeran:* Mr. Chairman, and members of the Board. I am glad that there has been some criticism raised against these recommendations, because I know that when the facts are presented all those who have heard the criticism will be convinced that

the criticism was not urged in good faith—not by members of this Board generally, but at least by the man who has questioned the amount proposed to be paid to the Lyon Company. Some members of the Board have stated that they wanted information, and that is proper in every way, and this is a proper place and a proper time to ask for information. But if there ever has been a recommendation made to this Board by a committee of this Board, since I have been a member, and in which I have participated, in support of which facts can be raised to substantiate it better than the recommendation for the payment of our proportion of \$188,000 plus to be paid to Mr. Lyon for his property and for the damage sustained, I certainly have no recollection of it.

When this Board of Supervisors, by resolution, directed the City Attorney to condemn the property it had the immediate effect of stopping construction operations. A contract was let at that time to the Western Iron Works for approximately \$44,000 for the fabricating and the erecting of the steel. It was the duty of the Lands and Tunnels Committee of this Board to negotiate with the City Attorney or through the City Attorney in this matter, and Mr. Wetmore, Mr. Hynes, together with the Finance Committee, consulted, and we were all in accord. The appraised value of this property compares favorably, is favorably low as compared with the value that we paid for this land when I was on the Board some years ago—at the rate of \$500 a foot. We paid \$500 a foot as values of land on McAllister street and \$1,000 on Van Ness avenue. And the \$140,000 that has been appraised as the value of this property by Mr. Pischel, Mr. Will McGee and Mr. Mooser is, in my judgment, in favor of the City, and I have no hesitancy in saying now that had that valuation been \$150,000 I would have concurred in it, based on my opinion of the value of the land that the City and County of San Francisco purchased for the Civic Center. Valuations of property on Van Ness avenue are \$1,000 a foot, and on McAllister \$500 a foot, as I said before. Make your own figures and draw your own conclusions on that.

*Supervisor Schmitz:* How large a lot?

*Supervisor McLeran:* I think the exact figures—well, the exact figures have been used here, and it actually figures values at \$150,000. The figures I haven't here at the moment, but I did figure it out at that time, and that was the valuation.

Now, as to the \$19,000 on the fabri-

cation of the steel. Mr. McSheehy is wrong when he says, as I understood him, that we were allowing \$90 a ton on that iron in the way of settlement. We allowed the invoiced bills of the Bethlehem Steel Company, who fabricated and delivered to San Francisco something like three hundred odd tons of steel at \$255 and \$265. Mr. Morris, of the Western Iron Works, did not want the steel at any price, because it was designed specially for a building of an unusual character, and was steel that would not be used in any other building ordinarily. We were left with the steel on our hands. Mr. Morris would not allow us a dollar for the steel. We checked up the invoices of the Bethlehem Steel Company, and we went to Dyer Brothers, and succeeded in junking it for \$18 a ton, deducting \$18 a ton from the fabricating price of the Bethlehem Steel Company, making a difference of \$7,000 or \$8,000 in favor of the City, settling it with the Western Iron Works for \$19,000 as against \$44,000 for which I believe they could have substantiated and got judgment in court, because the steel was out and, as it was not all fabricated, we succeeded in getting the Bethlehem Steel Company to waive their profit for the part that was not fabricated, so having only to pay for that part which was fabricated and delivered here in San Francisco in Mr. Williams' yard. It was a question of paying \$19,000 in settlement, or \$26,000 and having 327 tons of steel on our hands, as I have been informed by the engineers' office that it was not worth the room that it took up in our yard here.

Now as to the architect's fee, the fee of Mr. Knowles. Mr. Knowles had a definite contract with Mr. Lyon to make the plans and superintend the construction of that building for \$20,000. Mr. Knowles has made two sets of plans, one of reinforced concrete and another of a similar structure. Eighty-five per cent of his work was completed, because it would not have cost him more than 15 per cent of the \$20,000 to have completed it, and I doubt if it would have cost him more than \$2,000. Instead of making it in accordance with the contract, we endeavored to settle with him on the basis of \$10,000. But we were unable to get together with Mr. Knowles, and Mr. Lyon, who sits out here, is not come here of his own motion, and not requested by any member of the Board, of my knowledge—it was in the Mayor's office, at which time Mr. M. M. O'Shaughnessy was present. Mr. City Attorney Lull, Mr. Hynes was invited, Mr. Wetmore could not be there. At any rate, we finally raised our offer

from \$10,000, which he would not accept, and made it a proposition to pay him on the basis of 60 per cent of his contract, which is \$12,000, and yet, as I say, 80 to 90 per cent of his work was completed.

As to these other items, the item of \$720 cash paid by Mr. Knowles, Mr. McSheehy does not see it because he has not looked for it, but the itemization is there.

As to the rate of interest and amount of money paid out to Mr. Tourny, of the San Francisco Bank of Savings, which has not yet been paid, that arises because there was a \$185,000 mortgage on that property since last May, and \$60,000 of that mortgage is drawing 8 per cent interest and the balance is at the rate of 6 per cent interest—figure it for yourselves. It has not been paid and it will not be paid out until this City pays Mr. Lyon's damages, because the mortgage will not be released until that time.

As to the \$2,500 which was to be paid Mr. Lyon for his expenses, yes, it was an arbitrary figure. Mr. Lyon shows us that he had spent six or seven months in the East gathering information, and so on, and he considered his damages \$5,000. We allowed him \$2,500. In other words, Mr. Lyon, in this settlement, unless he made some unforeseen expense that I know nothing about, will receive approximately four or five thousand dollars over and above the actual money that he has paid out. It is my judgment, and it is the judgment of the City Attorney, that he could have gone into court and substantiated his original claim of \$235,000, which they filed with the Clerk of this Board. But the negotiations which we carried on, paring down this and paring down that, reduced it from \$235,000 to \$188,000 plus. It has all been itemized here. There may be some of these smaller items for which the detail is not here, but I can assure the members of this Board and anybody else that vouchers can be produced or affidavits for every dime that has been spent under this recommendation.

It may appear on the face of it that a \$48,000 damage is large. It may be a large amount, and it is. But it is a just amount, it is a fair amount, and it is what Mr. Lyon and his associates are entitled to, and is an amount that I am in hearty accord with. And I say again that if the appraised valuation of the land had been higher than what it is, our recommendation would have been just that much higher. I doubt if there is, notwithstanding the great anxiety of the supervisor who raised the question to protect the people's money—I doubt very much

whether he would have been able to bring in a report for less than \$200,000, and in all probability \$225,000 would have been the amount, which could have been substantiated, in my judgment. But Mr. Lyon lay on his back in a hospital in Alameda County for five weeks as the result of the shock to himself by reason of the condemnation proceedings, came out of a sick-bed and conferred with us in the City Attorney's office on three or four different occasions, and on the final occasion in the Mayor's office, when this amount was agreed to.

I regret, exceedingly regret, that questions of this kind are not raised in entirely good faith. Because the public official has an opportunity at times to read things into a public record that cast a reflection upon all of those who are members of the body of which he is a part. And particularly is it true, when there is raised a question as to a financial transaction, that apparent color is lent to a misuse of public funds. It has happened, and I suppose always will happen, that a man or men who heads any financial institution is a public target. And it is necessary, in all communities, to have a target, in order to be able to place the responsibility. In the Board of Supervisors, the chairman of the Finance Committee is the target. In our city government, the Mayor is the target. In our state government it is the Governor, and in our national government it is the President. So, after all, it is those enemies that we are proud of that we make in doing our duty as we see it, and that regardless of the public clamor that may follow, I shall continue to do my duty in the future on this Board of Supervisors as I have done it in the past, always ready and willing to answer any constructive criticism, always ready and willing to lay over any matter of a financial nature for the purpose of giving a member of the Board any information he may desire. But when an attack is made upon a report for the purpose of discrediting that report, for the purpose of spreading broadcast throughout our community a reflection upon the members of this Board, I consider the source from which it comes. If it were not for my respect for and confidence in my colleagues on this Board, I would not have dignified the attack by a reply.

*Supervisor Schmitz:* Will you also, as a part of your statement, explain to us what the land so purchased is going to be used for?

*Supervisor McLeran:* Mr. Chairman and members of the Board: Since this Board has condemned that prop-

erty, it has developed a very interesting problem in which the entire community has been interested. The committee of our citizens who raised \$2,500,000 a few years ago to erect a memorial building and an art gallery on the property bounded by Hayes, Van Ness, Fulton and Franklin streets, known as the old St. Ignatius block, by and through Mr. Crocker, Mr. Drum and the other financial men high in authority, have said to us that if we would buy one of these blocks, bounded by McAllister, Fulton, Franklin and Van Ness, they would buy the adjoining block to the south, and on those two blocks facing the City Hall, they will erect the Art Gallery, the Opera House, and the War Memorial. So, disposing of the other lot. Now, we haven't enough money to pay Mr. Lyon the amount of his claim, the \$188,000, and there, if you will note, the amount asked to be appropriated is under \$100,000, and so it has been arranged that the amount will be divided into two parts, of which the City of San Francisco will pay out eleven-twentieths. In other words, they are going to pay Lyon \$88,000 plus—something over eighty-nine thousand, I think, and we will pay the balance. When we return the nine-twentieths, we will then have title to that block of land. Then, as I say, they are going to furnish the money to buy the other block, and they will do so if the City will advance enough money to buy the entire holding of the lot where the St. James Hotel now stands. That is something that will be for the Board of Supervisors to consider in the near future. The arrangement is to be made through the Regents of the University of California, and they are going to make a recommendation for it when it is properly approved by the Regents of the University of California. But the plan is, Supervisor Schmitz, to acquire the two blocks.

*Supervisor Schmitz:* From McAllister down to Grove?

*Supervisor McLeran:* From McAllister to Grove.

*Supervisor Schmitz:* And this lot now being purchased will be part of that?

*Supervisor McLeran:* Will be part of that.

*Supervisor Schmitz:* That interests me greatly in this settlement.

*Supervisor McLeran:* In other words, this is the first purchase of property in these two blocks that ultimately will be used for the erection of \$2,300,000 of buildings. But this new idea was not the reason why we condemned this property. I think myself that if it had not been for this scheme the Lyon people would have

charged a much greater amount, and I feel certain that they would have recovered it in court. But as I say, this other idea developed upon the heels of the proposition for the purchase of this property.

*Supervisor McSheehy:* I want to ask a question, through the chair, of the speaker, and in asking the question I am going to read a copy of the contract. This is in the form of a letter, addressed to William Knowles, Esq., Hearst Building, San Francisco, and it reads as follows:

"Sept. 21st, 1922.  
(Copy.)

Wm. Knowles, Archt.,  
Hearst Building,  
San Francisco.  
Dear Sir:

We agree to furnish, deliver and erect the structural steel frame for Lyon Warehouse Building, located s. w. corner of Van Ness Ave. and McAllister Street, San Francisco, as shown on blueprints 1-2-3-4 & 5 and specifications, designed by E. O. Burgess, Engineer, for the sum of Ninety (\$90.00) Dollars per ton, based on estimated weight determined from detail drawings and cutting list. No painting included.

Payments: 75% of the value of the material delivered to the building site, to be paid by the 10th of the month following delivery; balance to equal 75% of the total amount of contract to be paid on completion of erection of steel frame; balance 25% of total contract due and payable 35 days after completion of our contract.

This contract superseded and nullifies all previous verbal or written agreements.

Kindly signify your approval and acceptance by signing both copies of this agreement, returning one to us, and they will then be considered a contract between us.

Yours very truly,

Western Iron Works,  
by

(signed) H. H. Morris,  
Vice President & Manager,  
at San Francisco, Sept.

Accepted  
22nd, 1922.

(signed)

William Knowles."

And I would like to ask the previous speaker if Mr. Knowles did not contract with the Western Iron Works for the erection, for the furnishing, delivery, and erection of this steel at \$90 a ton?

*Supervisor McLeran:* Yes.

*Supervisor McSheehy:* And I would like to ask the previous speaker the number of tons.

*Supervisor McLeran:* 327.

*Supervisor McSheehy:* I would like to ask the previous speaker through the chair to multiply 327½ by 90, and if it does not come to \$29,430 it is just a matter of arithmetic, to use the words we are accustomed to hear so often. Now, gentlemen of this Board, members of the Board, rather, this contract calls for the erection of this steel. I am not talking about anything else for the moment but this contract. It calls for the erection of this steel, and no allowance has been made for the erection of this steel.

*Supervisor Shannon:* May I ask you a question, Mr. McSheehy?

*Supervisor McSheehy:* Surely you can.

*Supervisor Shannon:* How much would the contract be if the steel were erected?

*Supervisor McSheehy:* According to the contract, it would be \$29,430. That is the contract I have in my hand. Now, members of this Board, we are here as business men, representing the City and County of San Francisco. That is the position we occupy on this Board, and it is our duty to go into details. The day that I was installed on this Board, I told every member that was present, and I told the citizens present, that I would go into detail, and I would give the same detailed attention to my work on this Board that I would to my own private business. And I have tried hard to carry that out. I have gone into this matter, and I find that in this settlement no allowance is being made for the erection of this steel. 327 tons at \$20 a ton for erection would amount to \$6,400 plus. We have to look at this as business men. There is no one infallible. The Finance Committee of this Board is not infallible. They may make mistakes. Perhaps they have made a mistake in this case, and if they have, they should correct it. Then in reference to the settlement of \$12,000 with Mr. Knowles, or for Mr. Knowles—

*Supervisor McGregor* (interrupting): I would like to ask the supervisor if he is complaining that the settlement was not large enough to the builder, in that no allowance was made to him for the erection of the steel.

*Supervisor Hayden:* Will Supervisor McSheehy answer that question?

*Supervisor McSheehy:* I am rather surprised that a man who was formerly the president of the Union Iron Works, who knows so much about erection of steel, should ask that question. The point is that this contract calls for erection and no allowance has been made in settlement for erection. Erection costs from \$15 to \$25 per ton.

differing according to sizes and weights, and no allowance was made for erection here. If allowance was made for erection, this bill would be practically \$6,000 less.

*Supervisor McGregor:* I would like to ask the supervisor how much was allowed the contractor for the steel.

*Supervisor Hayden:* Will Supervisor McSheehy answer that question?

*Supervisor McSheehy:* The allowance made the contractor for the steel is \$19,404.15.

*Supervisor McGregor:* All right. 327 tons of steel at \$29 a ton, which eliminates the erection cost, added to the \$19,000, would make \$25,000.

*Supervisor McSheehy:* I would answer that question that the 327 tons of steel was sold to Dyer Brothers for practically some five or six thousand dollars—the figures are here, and if that amount was deducted you will find that there will be some six thousand dollars difference.

*Supervisor McGregor:* I would like to ask Supervisor McSheehy to elucidate the statement that no deduction was made from the contract price because the steel was not erected.

*Supervisor Hayden:* Will Supervisor McSheehy kindly elucidate?

*Supervisor McSheehy:* I will kindly elucidate. Members of the Board, according to contract—Supervisor McGregor—as I have the figures here, the Western Iron Works was to deliver 327½ tons of steel to this building on McAllister street. They were to receive \$20 per ton for it delivered and erected, which amounts to \$29,175. They sold this steel, the City and County of San Francisco—I don't know whether they have yet, but they have agreed to sell this steel to Dyer Brothers of San Francisco for, practically about \$6,000—it is down in tonnage here, in smaller figures. That is what the City will receive from Dyer Brothers for this steel. I say there has been no allowance made for the erection of this steel. The contract called for steel put in place, and no allowance has been made for the erection of that steel, and, in round figures, that amount should be something in around \$6,000.

*Supervisor Welch:* You say no allowance was made?

*Supervisor McSheehy:* In other words, Mr. Supervisor, see if I can elucidate it another way. If the steel was received and placed on the ground there and not erected, it would cost the owner of the building practically \$70 per ton. Now, the steel has been received, and we will say it was placed on the ground, though it never was placed on the ground, we will say it

is in the Western Iron Works' barn, and they, in return, sell it as scrap to Dyer Brothers for practically \$6,000. Therefore the City should receive that credit, and it should receive the credit for the erection, because it can't charge for something that was not done.

*Supervisor Welch:* You say that no allowance has been made for the erection of the steel. You meant, I take it, that no allowance had been made for the fact that the steel had not been erected?

*Supervisor McSheehy:* Yes.

*Supervisor Hayden:* Has the supervisor finished his elucidation?

*Supervisor McSheehy:* I wonder if the supervisor wants any information.

*Supervisor Hayden:* Did you ask for information, Supervisor?

*Supervisor McSheehy:* I will tell him any information—or anyone else.

*Supervisor McLeran:* I just want to correct the supervisor's statement. Mr. Chairman. His figure of 327.6 tons is correct, but he is wrong in his statement that 327.6 tons was the amount of tonnage that would have gone into the building. 327 tons represents the tonnage that was fabricated, and tonnage that we salvaged for \$18 a ton.

*Supervisor McSheehy:* All right.

*Supervisor McLeran:* Now, the price paid for that 327.6 tons, according to the invoices of the Bethlehem Steel Company, as indicated in the itemized statement that the supervisor has there, shows 18 by 24-inch beams at \$265, 15-inch girders at \$260, 15-inch beams and under, \$255, and 26-inch beams at \$280. The tonnage of the 18-inch beams is 253,167 pounds; of the 15-inch girders, 69,032 pounds; of the 15-inch beams and under, 87,459 pounds, and the 26-inch beams 2157 pounds—making a sum total of 655,212 pounds or 327.6 tons of steel that was fabricated by the Bethlehem Steel Company, delivered to San Francisco, which prices we paid them what they paid the Bethlehem Steel Company for them. It would have taken at least 600 tons of steel to erect that building. The balance of the tonnage of the steel contract between Knowles and the Bethlehem Steel Company was cancelled because they did not fabricate it. The 327 tons represented in this statement is the amount of steel that was fabricated and delivered to San Francisco, and sold to Dyer Brothers or salvaged with Dyer Brothers, and it does not represent 327 tons at \$90 a ton in the building. We did not enter into the element of erecting at all and did not allow them a cent for erection, because none of it was done.

*Supervisor McSheehy:* No one knows better than the previous speaker what a contract means. A contract is a contract. Here is a contract that calls for structural steel in the building erected for \$90 a ton—note that it has to be erected. You can't allow a man \$90 a ton for that steel unless it is erected. If you are going to allow him a sum of money equal to \$90 a ton if erected, you are not acting fair, and I say it again, you are not acting fair with those you are representing.

*Supervisor Shannon:* I would like to ask a question. What is the amount that was allowed for that in the settlement?

*Supervisor Hayden:* Will Supervisor McLeran answer the question?

*Supervisor Shannon:* What was the amount allowed to the people represented here for the structural steel?

*Supervisor McLeran:* Nineteen thousand dollars plus.

*Supervisor Shannon:* And we sold it for \$6,000?

*Supervisor McLeran:* That includes the salvage. The amount of steel that they actually fabricated and paid for was approximately \$25,000.

*Supervisor Welch:* And what rate would that be per ton?

*Supervisor McLeran:* Those figures I gave you—\$245, \$260, \$255, and \$280.

*Supervisor Shannon:* That will amount to \$19,000?

*Supervisor McLeran:* \$25,000.

*Supervisor Shannon:* But we allowed them \$19,000.

*Supervisor McLeran:* Yes.

*Supervisor Shannon:* That would amount to how much per ton allowance?

*Supervisor McLeran:* I should judge in the neighborhood of \$40 or \$50.

*Supervisor Welch:* Not ninety?

*Supervisor McLeran:* No.

*Supervisors Powers:* I would like to ask one question, through the chair, of Supervisor McLeran. Is the salvage figured in this \$19,000?

*Supervisor McLeran:* Yes.

*Supervisor Powers:* Then you allowed in the neighborhood of \$25,000 for the steel.

*Supervisor McLeran:* \$18 a ton, using the invoices from the Bethlehem Steel Company, and the shipping receipts, the railroad shipping receipts and everything—these figures will be filed with the Clerk here.

*Supervisor Powers:* Do I understand this contract, the way Supervisor McSheehy read it, that this 327 tons of steel was the complete amount of steel to go into that building?

*Supervisor McLeran:* Supervisor McSheehy is mistaken on that. This

327 tons is the tonnage of steel that was fabricated, and it does not represent the tonnage of steel that would have been in the building.

*Supervisor Powers:* This contract signed up with the Western Iron Works, if I get that correctly, that was a contract for the steel for the whole building?

*Supervisor McLeran:* If Supervisor McSheehy was correct in his statement, the figure would be approximately \$11,000 instead of \$25,000, because it is estimated that the contractor's figure was \$44,000 for the erection of that steel at \$90 a ton.

*Supervisor Schmitz:* I think you are losing the point of the argument. Mr. McSheehy thinks there has been no allowance made for the erection of that steel.

*Supervisor McLeran:* He is mistaken.

*Supervisor Schmitz:* I think he is, so far as I can see. At \$90 a ton it would be \$29,484. You have allowed them approximately \$25,000, that is, less \$5,896. Therefore, there must have been a reduction between \$29,000 and \$25,000 for the erection.

*Supervisor McGregor:* It is all detailed here.

*Supervisor Schmitz:* But there is confusion here.

*Supervisor McGregor:* There is no confusion except in Supervisor McSheehy's mind.

*Supervisor Schmitz:* But there is a resolution here, Supervisor McSheehy.

*Supervisor McSheehy:* There is no reduction made for erection—there is 327½ tons, according to the figures here, at \$90 a ton. That is the amount of money exactly that the Western Iron Works should receive when that steel is erected.

*Supervisor Schmitz:* That is right.

*Supervisor McSheehy:* They took that 327½ tons and they sold it to Dyer Brothers, you might say for scrap, call it what you please, for approximately \$18 per ton. That leaves \$6,000. They have reduced that \$6,000 from bills of lading and so forth and so on, that they have received. Now, that \$6,000 should be reduced from the contract that we have, or that the owner has, and we should take, every business man would, \$6,000 from \$29,000.

*Supervisor Schmitz:* That would leave approximately \$23,000.

*Supervisor McSheehy:* They are only allowing \$19,000.

*Supervisor Schmitz:* Then you have the scrapping value which Dyer Brothers are allowing you, another \$6,000.

*Supervisor Morgan:* That would seem to leave \$4,408.

*Supervisor Hayden:* I think we ought to call in some expert witnesses, as this elucidation is becoming somewhat entangled. I think it would be well, Supervisor McSheehy, if Supervisor McLeran would give us further explanation of that and straighten you out—there is a great deal of misunderstanding and confusion here.

*Supervisor Morgan:* Mr. Chairman, may I ask Mr. McSheehy a question?

*Supervisor Hayden:* Supervisor Morgan has the floor.

*Supervisor Morgan:* Your understanding is, Supervisor McSheehy, that it was to take only 324 tons of steel for this building?

*Supervisor McSheehy:* No, I have never made that statement. All I have before me is these figures. I don't know how many tons it was to take. All I had before me is the statement of 326 tons.

*Supervisor Morgan:* I have followed you with that 327½ tons of steel, and that is \$29,484 at \$90 a ton. The steel is scrapped, and Dyer Brothers pay \$6,000 to the City for the steel. That leaves \$23,484 that the steel stands, and we pay \$19,000 for it. That leaves \$4,484 plus for the erection cost. I don't know anything about how much it would cost for erection, or anything of that kind, but you have \$4,484 to apply to that.

*Supervisor McSheehy:* I am only telling you, Supervisor, that no allowance was made here at all for erection. I say that \$4,000 would never erect that tonnage of steel—that is a matter that any builder knows.

*Supervisor Morgan:* But you have lost sight of that \$4,484, and are dropping that when you say that no allowance was made for erection.

*Supervisor McSheehy:* No.

*Supervisor Hayden:* What is the difference between your estimate and the estimate as reported by the Finance Committee in the settlement with the Lyon people—in the last analysis—what is the difference?

*Supervisor McSheehy:* I would merely say this, Mr. Chairman—

*Supervisor Hayden (interrupting):* What is the difference between you and Supervisor McLeran on the grand total?

*Supervisor Welch:* May I ask a question, Supervisor? The amount of steel fabricated was 327½ tons, and you are figuring \$90 a ton, the contract price. That would make the cost of that tonnage, fabricated, \$29,484. Is that correct?

*Supervisor McSheehy:* Yes.

*Supervisor Welch:* That was sold to Dyer Brothers for \$6,000, which would leave the cost \$23,484.

*Supervisor Schmitz:* Accurately it is \$23,484, that is just to be accurate, Supervisor.

*Supervisor Shannon:* That leaves \$23,534.

*Supervisor Welch:* There is still a difference of \$4,130 in favor of the City for construction.

*Supervisor McLeran:* For erection.

*Supervisor Welch:* For erection. Would it cost any more than that?

*Supervisor McLeran:* I think it would.

*Supervisor Welch:* How much?

*Supervisor McLeran:* I think it would run about \$20 a ton. I will tell you in just a minute.

*Supervisor Welch:* You have been leading us to believe, Supervisor McSheehy, that we are paying the entire price that fabricated steel would have cost erected. We find here, doing a little figuring, that there is an item of \$4,130 in our favor for construction—for erection. You haven't mentioned that. How much more would you say that would cost?

*Supervisor McSheehy:* At the rate of \$20 a ton, 327 tons would be \$6,540.

*Supervisor Morgan:* That leaves a difference of about \$2,000.

*Supervisor McSheehy:* I beg to differ. You have 327½ tons at \$20 a ton, \$6,550, plus \$5,896 is \$12,446. Subtract from \$29,000 \$12,446, in round figures leaves \$17,000.

*Supervisor Morgan:* Or a difference of \$2,400 odd.

*Supervisor Schmitz:* It is a difference of \$456.

*Supervisor Hayden:* What is your explanation as to the difference between your figures and Supervisor McLeran's?

*Supervisor McSheehy:* I will go over it again. There is nothing in this itemization, in any manner, shape or form, that shows anything for erection.

*Supervisor Welch:* Yes, there is \$4,130.

*Supervisor McSheehy:* Yes, it is not put down in any price at all.

*Supervisor Welch:* How much would you say it should be in excess of \$4,130?

*Supervisor McSheehy:* I would say it was about 2,400 odd dollars.

*Supervisor Welch:* In excess of \$4,000?

*Supervisor McSheehy:* Yes, I have estimated it at about \$20 a ton for erection.

*Supervisor Hayden:* Is that the final figure, Supervisor McSheehy?

*Supervisor McSheehy:* A difference of \$2,400 in round figures. To go ahead, and pass that—

*Supervisor McGregor (interrupt-*

ing): I would just like to ask, through the Chair, Supervisor, I made a statement, several times repeated, that full deduction was made for the proportionate cost of the contract represented by the cost of erected steel after it was delivered to the building. The supervisor said that was not allowed, there was no deduction made for that. I will ask the question whether or not any deduction, according to his latest figures, has been made for erection.

*Supervisor McSheehy:* I will positively answer that question and say yes, a deduction was made.

*Supervisor McGregor:* All right. Then I am satisfied, and the supervisor was wrong in his first statement.

*Supervisor McSheehy:* I was in making that statement.

*Supervisor Hayden:* The supervisor admits he was wrong. Let us proceed.

*Supervisor McSheehy:* I still state that in my opinion, simply an opinion, that is all, there should be a deduction of approximately \$2,400. Now, in selling this 327½ tons of steel, I don't know that any bids were asked. I am taking it from that point. I don't know that any bids were asked only the bid of Dyer Brothers. I do know a man came to me and asked me if he could bid on that steel, and I said "I don't know anything about it. The clerk has all the papers. You will have to see him. I have no way of giving that information."

*Supervisor Hayden:* Is it your purpose, then to contend that the report of the Finance Committee and the Lands and Tunnels Committee should be amended, and that the recommendation that \$99,000, or whatever the exact amount is, should be affected by reducing it \$2,000.

*Supervisor McSheehy:* And by a further reduction—I would like to know why \$12,000 was allowed to the architect.

*Supervisor McLeran:* I endeavored to make it clear in my statement that he was 85% through with his work towards earning the \$20,000 fee under the contract, and we allowed him 50% of his full amount of the contract, which would make \$12,000.

*Supervisor McGregor:* I would like further to emphasize what Supervisor McLeran has said. We all know that architects are not satisfied, they do not regard their contract as completed with the making of the plans. Every architect likes to point to buildings that he designs and work that he supervises the construction of. There is an element, and a very large element of damage that the architect

could properly claim from the city. He had largely finished his technical work and his technical work only. That was his work done, and all he had to do was to wait and see the building erected, to get the full credit of a splendid building erected, which he had designed, a monument to his ability and his training.

*Supervisor McSheehy:* The architect in this particular case was acting as contracting architect, superintending the entire construction of the building, and the building was to cost approximately \$225,000, and he was to receive \$20,000 for his fee in the case, in three installments as I stated before, payable in two, three and four years. Another point was the interest charge made out by Mr. Lyon of \$5,116, or whatever that exact amount is. I can't, for the life of me, understand why a man can become indebted for interest until he has actually borrowed the money. I have a great many times borrowed money from banks in construction, and only when you actually receive the money from the bank are you charged with interest. You, Mr. Chairman, if you were going to build a building tomorrow, could go to the Italian bank and arrange for a loan, and it might take six months before you would be building and need that money and yet you would pay your interest according to the way that you had received your money. In this case you are allowing an amount, let's see, that is \$5,910, and the man has not, as far as I can see, invested, you might say, even a dollar, as I understand this. He didn't pay the architect anything; he didn't pay the structural steel man anything at all, he has actually paid nothing only to pay a very few small incidental expenses. Yet he is allowed the sum of \$5,910 interest.

*Supervisor McGregor:* He bought the land and raised the mortgage on it, and it was interest on the mortgage.

*Supervisor McLeran:* \$180,000 was the mortgage on the land.

*Supervisor Rossi:* On the land and building.

*Supervisor Bath:* How much money had been advanced on it? I know myself banks do not charge interest until the money is actually loaned, as a banking rule. Is this \$5,000 accrued interest?

*Supervisor McLeran:* To the 19th of December, on money actually borrowed.

*Supervisor Bath:* Actually borrowed? When did he purchase this land?

*Supervisor McLeran:* Last May.

*Supervisor Bath:* Five months ago or six months ago.

*Supervisor Morgan:* Eight months ago.

*Supervisor McSheehy:* I would like to ask this question: A man will own any piece of land, at any time, and he is going to erect a building. Suppose he owns the land five years, and we allow him the interest on \$180,000 for five years.

*Supervisor McLeran:* But he bought it for the sake of erecting this building.

*Supervisor McSheehy:* Would the man borrow \$180,000 and pay interest and not erect a building on it?

*Supervisor Morgan:* That is merely time wasted to discuss that.

*Supervisor McSheehy:* I understand, but time wasted—we are all here for that purpose.

*Supervisor Morgan:* I'll say so.

*Supervisor McSheehy:* In this particular case it is represented that this man borrowed \$180,000 on this land and building. I would like to know how much he borrowed on the land. I am a member of this Board, and I am asked to O. K. a bill here as to which I am asking for certain information, and every member of this Board is entitled to the same information.

*Supervisor McLeran:* Mr. Chairman, the question the supervisor just asked, he is entitled to have answered. When Mr. Lyon bought this property, the bank insisted that he finance the entire project before they would allow him any money to pay a part of the purchase price. In order to finance his proposition, he had to deposit with the San Francisco Savings & Loan Society \$105,000 in cash, \$60,000 of which he financed in Oakland, and he paid 8% interest on that \$60,000. There is a mortgage against the property for \$180,000, of which he had used a portion to pay for the land and to finance his entire proposition, and that money is still drawing interest, because he has financed it on his own responsibility in Oakland and deposited the money which he has used to pay for the land on this side of the Bay. I am sorry Mr. Steinhart is gone, because he could give you more of the inside of the financial transaction than is indicated in that report. That has been figured out to a cent, and can be ascertained by reference to the banks, if necessary. He will but get his interest outlay when the city pays the bill. Meantime, the interest is piling up. These figures are from the 19th. The \$180,000 covered the mortgage against this property, and that is interest on that.

*Supervisor McSheehy:* I want to say in answer to that simply this:

If the man almost hadn't a dollar and he undertook this entire work, and wanted to borrow \$200,000 at 6% that would be but \$12,000 a year, so if that is a fact, it would be but \$6,000. All I can say is that there has been some real high financing done here, and the City is paying for it. You are allowing damages to Lyon to the amount of \$3,620, and you are also reimbursing Lyon for his time to the amount of \$2,500, which is practically \$6,000. It seems to me Mr. Lyon is being well-treated by the City and County of San Francisco, well treated in this particular undertaking. He is allowed \$6,000 for his time on this matter. That is a very, very liberal way of treating him. But I want to say in conclusion, members of this Board, that no matter what the committee is, any committee of this Board, it simply functions through this Board, and this Board only, and any member of this Board has any right, has a right at any time to criticise any committee, and whether he is criticising it in good faith or not, remains to be seen. And I want to say that I have not criticised anything on this Board that I have not criticised in good faith, and I never will, unless I criticise in good faith, and I don't care who makes a motion or presents a resolution, and if it is constructive, I will vote for it, and if it is not constructive I will not vote for it. And I don't propose at any time to be criticised because I criticise a man, in reference to my good faith. I simply criticise this entire transaction in good faith, and I think the situation is that the City has lost in the entire matter, and I think the City has been very extraordinarily liberal to Mr. Lyon in this settlement.

Now, in reply to you, Mr. Chairman, you asked me about interest on a claim I had here in this Board. I want to tell you, Mr. Chairman, I never received one dollar in interest, didn't have four bits, and if it had not been for my position, I would have sued the City. I received less than 50% of the interest claim after it had been kicked around here for years, but it never for one moment—

*Supervisor Hayden* (interrupting): I will say, then, that the City was very liberal with you when it allowed you \$14,000 in that settlement.

*Supervisor McSheehy:* I want to say, you brought the matter up, you ask Mr. Daniel Ryan, my attorney, and I wanted him not to accept it, I wanted him to go to court about it—that is how I felt upon that subject.

*Supervisor Hayden:* I think that we all thought that the Board was very liberal in your case.

*Supervisor McSheehy:* That is your opinion.

*Supervisor Hayden:* Are you prepared to move to amend this resolution?

*Supervisor McSheehy:* Yes. What is the sum total of those deductions now?

*Supervisor Hayden:* Have you a motion to offer?

*Supervisor McSheehy:* I simply say this, that 3% of the amount allowed the architect would have been a good, fair allowance—that is \$6,000, because \$225,000, or rather, \$205,000 would be right, allowing the interest, I don't know a reason in the world why we should allow that, or allow Mr. Lyon \$6,000 for services in this matter. So with the interest and all, we have \$6,000 and \$2,000 is \$8,000, and \$6,000 is \$14,000—

*Supervisor Hayden:* If you are going to move to amend this resolution, we should have your amendment specifically.

*Supervisor Schmitz:* I don't think this resolution can be amended, Mr. Chairman. It is a simple question of whether we will accept this or reject it. Isn't that the question? There is no motion here of any kind. These, as I understand, are final figures, and it is up to this Board to either accept or reject the figures. If this Board didn't vote to reduce this amount, it wouldn't get us anywhere. It would practically be the same as rejecting it.

*Supervisor Hayden:* The question in my mind is simply this: This is quite an involved proposition. This Board must work through committees. Two committees went into the details of this transaction very carefully, investigated it thoroughly, and the personnel of those committees is men of business, men who know what is a good business transaction for the City. They have brought to us today the result of their conclusions in this report, which is that this is a good business proposition for the City, and they so recommend to us. We who are not members of either one of those committees certainly have not had an opportunity to go into this matter in detail. In doing business in this Board, we must have confidence in our committees. That is how such work is done. Personally I have the utmost confidence in this. We know that in taking bids on contracts, there is a big variance on bids, running sometimes from \$2,000 to \$10,000 or \$20,000, according to the contract. Mr. McSheehy finally reduced this down to a difference of \$2,000 as between himself and this joint committee, and it is a question of whether we are going to take the judgment of one supervisor rather than the eight members of two committees. Personally I am ready to vote. The question is on final passage of the resolution.

*Supervisor Powers:* I realize that the City and County of San Francisco has to acquire that land, and I want to say that the itemization of the bill that we have in front of us there is fair, except perhaps in one feature: I think the \$12,000 for the architect could have been cut down a few thousand dollars lower than what it was. That is the only thing I have any opinion upon which to speak at all. I think the architect should have been cut down to about \$6,000 to \$7,000 for the work he had done. Because, at the rate of \$12,000 he is collecting pretty nearly 60% of the whole contract price, without superintending the construction of the work. I think if we went into it a little deeper with the architect, they could have saved a few thousand dollars on that. The rest of it I am certainly in favor of.

*Supervisor Hayden:* Call the roll, Mr. Clerk.

*Supervisor Schmitz:* I want to say that I came here today almost with my mind made up to vote against this proposition. I have gone over the transaction, this report, very carefully, and it seems to me there are allowances there made in favor of Mr. Lyon that were against the City's interests. But, since listening to the discussion here today and the explanation made, and particularly regarding what the property is going to be used for, I feel that even if we are paying a little more money than probably we would have to pay to go in and buy the bare property, that it is a good proposition to acquire that land, and particularly for the use for which it is intended. And I am prepared right now to vote on this recommendation, as I think it is a constructive thing and will be for the best interests of the City to have that property.

*Supervisor Powers:* Are you satisfied with the architect's fee?

*Supervisor Schmitz:* The question has been asked me whether I am satisfied with the architect's fee. I am not certain that I am satisfied with that or with several other items. But that is not the question. The question is, has the committee done its duty and done everything to the best interests of the City? From the explanation made here today I am prepared to concede now that that committee has done its duty, and while some of the items may seem large, still, in the settlement of a matter of this kind, if you went to court, I think the court would allow that amount of money to the architect.

*Supervisor McGregor:* Just before the matter goes to vote, I would like to emphasize that matter of the architect's allowance. He approached the matter in committee in the mayor's office in a very gentlemanly attitude.

He bluntly refused to accept \$10,000 preferring to go to court, knowing that he would get more than the \$12,000 that we allowed him. I feel, while it does seem a substantial amount, that under the circumstances he is not overpaid, and the City has very great reason to congratulate itself upon conserving that property under those circumstances by the payment of the total sum of \$48,000 in damages.

*Supervisor Hayden:* Call the roll, Mr. Clerk.

(The roll call showed: Supervisor Bath, aye; Supervisor Colman, aye; Supervisor Deasy, aye; Supervisor Hayden, aye; Supervisor Hynes, aye; Supervisor McGregor, aye; Supervisor McLeran, aye; Supervisor McSheehy, "no; and I will file a written report of my reasons for my vote during the week;" Supervisor Morgan, aye; Supervisor Mulvihill (absent); Supervisor Powers, aye; Supervisor Robb, aye; Supervisor Rossi, aye; Supervisor Schmitz, aye; Supervisor Scott, aye; Supervisor Shannon, aye; Supervisor Welch, aye; Supervisor Wetmore, aye.)

*The Clerk:* Sixteen ayes, one no, one absent.

*Supervisor Hayden:* The resolution is adopted.

*Supervisor Shannon:* I would like to ask to have No. 29 taken up out of order, as there are a number here who desire to be heard on this matter, I take it. I don't think it will take very long—it is on the recommendation of the committee.

*Supervisor Welch:* The matter that has just been considered by the Board for an hour or an hour and a half is one of the most interesting matters that it has been my pleasure to listen to since I have been a member of the Board. I am therefore going to move that the stenographer's report of the proceedings of this Board in reference to the purchase of the lot on the southwest corner of Van Ness avenue and McAllister street be transcribed, and that each and every member of this Board be given a copy of it.

*Supervisor Hayden:* Why not make it a part of the record, and it will serve the same purpose.

*Supervisor Welch:* Very well—let it be transcribed and made part of the record of the Board, and then it will be available to everybody.

*Supervisor Hayden:* Is there any objection? Hearing none, such will be the order, that the transcription of the proceedings concerning the last subject matter discussed by the Board, that of the purchase of the property on the southwest corner of Van Ness avenue and McAllister street, be made a part of the record of the Board.

*Explanation of Vote.*

*Supervisor McSheehy:* As a member

of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco I am voting *No* on Resolution No. 20616, calling for the purchase of a lot on the southwest corner of McAllister street and Van Ness avenue, for the following reasons, to-wit:

On December 14, 1922, through the Clerk, John S. Dunnigan, I received a statement from Jesse H. Steinhart, attorney-at-law. This statement contained nineteen items showing in detail the amount allowed by the Finance Committee of this Board for the purchase of this lot.

*Item No. 1:* Real estate, \$140,000.

On May 11, 1922, the Lyon Fireproof Warehouse Co. purchased this lot from the Pioneer Motor Co. for \$124,000, a difference of \$16,000.

*Items Nos. 3, 10, 11 and 12:* Street and building permits, amounting to \$488.

Should not be allowed. The Lyon Co. can have these bills refunded in the regular way and they should not be a charge on this bill.

*Items Nos. 14 and 17:* Architect's commission, amounting to \$12,770.28.

This is 6 per cent of the cost of the building, and this item should be cut to \$6,385.14. It is only a warehouse and 3 per cent is a good price for drawing plans.

*Items Nos. 17 and 19:* Reimbursement and damage, amounting to \$6,120.

Allowed to Lyon Co. Should be cut out entirely, especially where they are to have a clear profit of \$16,000 in the sale of the lot.

On all of the other items I consider Lyon & Co. have been treated very liberally and this bill should be cut \$12,993.14.

For these reasons I am voting *No* and I wish this written explanation of my vote recorded and printed in the records of December 26, 1922.

#### Final Passage.

Thereupon, the following resolution was *finally passed* by the following vote:

Appropriation, \$99,070, Purchase of Land at Van Ness Avenue and McAllister Street.

Resolution No. 20616 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$99,070 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Budget Item No. 44, in payment to Lyon Fireproof Warehouse Company for the purchase of land at the southwest corner of Van Ness avenue and McAllister street.

December 11, 1922, Supervisor McSheehy gave notice of reconsideration.  
Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman,

Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

#### REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.

The following committees, by their respective chairmen, presented reports on various matters referred, which reports were read and ordered *filed*:

Supplies Committee, by Supervisor Rossi, chairman.

Fire Committee, by Supervisor Deasy, chairman.

Streets and Commercial Development Committee, by Supervisor Mulvihill, chairman.

Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee, by Supervisor Morgan, chairman.

Health Committee, by Supervisor McSheehy, chairman.

Public Buildings Committee, by Supervisor Scott, chairman.

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

##### Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Issuance and Redemption of School and Relief Home Bonds.

Bill No. 6189, Ordinance No. 5783 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the issuance and redemption of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of twelve million dollars for the construction, completion and equipment by the City and County of San Francisco of permanent buildings to be used for public schools and the acquisition of necessary lands therefor, and to the amount of two million dollars for the construction, completion and equipment of permanent buildings by the City and County of San Francisco, to be used as a Relief Home, and in accordance with and as authorized at a special election held in said City and County on the 21st day of November, 1922.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that a special election was held in the City and County of San Francisco on the 21st day of November, 1922, in accordance with the provisions and requirements of Ordinance No. 5693 (New Series), calling and providing for such election, and Ordinance No. 5728 (New Series), giving notice thereof, reference to said ordinances for further particulars being here made; that it has been determined by Resolution No. — (New Series), reference thereto for further particulars being here

made, that at such special election more than two-thirds of the votes cast thereat were cast and counted as being in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purposes and to the amounts stated in the propositions submitted thereat.

Section 2. Bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, California, will be issued in accordance with the results of said special election held in said City and County on the 21st day of November, 1922, and the charter of said City and County and with Ordinances No. 5693 (New Series) and No. 5728 (New Series), as follows, to-wit:

That bonds to the amount of twelve million dollars will be issued for the construction, completion and equipment by the City and County of San Francisco of permanent buildings to be used for public schools and the acquisition of necessary lands therefor, shall be called "School Bonds;" shall be numbered from 1 to 12,000, inclusive; shall be dated March 1, 1923, and shall be payable three hundred thousand dollars thereof five years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and three hundred thousand dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

That bonds to the amount of two million dollars will be issued for the construction, completion and equipment of permanent buildings by the City and County of San Francisco, to be used as a Relief Home, shall be called "Relief Home Bonds;" shall be numbered from 1 to 2000, inclusive; shall be dated March 1, 1923, and shall be payable one hundred thousand dollars thereof five years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and one hundred thousand dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 3. All of said bonds issued as herein provided shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated March 1, 1923, shall bear interest at the rate of five per centum per annum, payable semi-annually; shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of the City and County in the City and State of New York.

Section 4. Said bonds shall be signed by the Mayor and by the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, countersigned by the Auditor and attested by the Clerk of the Board

of Supervisors with the seal of said City and County. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

City and County of San Francisco.

BOND.

No. \_\_\_\_\_ \$1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer on the first day of March, 19—, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of five per centum per annum, payable semi-annually March 1 and September 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and Statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and to be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or Statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made as required by the Constitution and Statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. Full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a

registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person, or by attorney duly authorized, on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor; and has caused interest coupons hereto attached to be signed by the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of March, 1923.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Mayor.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Treasurer.

Countersigned.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Auditor.

Attest:

(Seal) \_\_\_\_\_  
Clerk of the Board  
of Supervisors.

Section 5. Interest coupons shall be attached to each bond to the number of twice the number of years such bond will run until the maturity thereof, and in the amount of twenty-five dollars, which sum will be the amount due for interest for six months; such coupons shall be numbered from one upward, and shall state the name and number of the bond to which it is attached. Each coupon shall bear the facsimile signature of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, and be substantially in the following form:

FORM OF COUPON.

No. \_\_\_\_\_ \$25.00

On \_\_\_\_\_, 19—, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to the bearer, at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York, twenty-five dollars in gold coin of the United States, being

six months' interest then due on its bond dated March 1, 1923.

No.

Treasurer.

Section 6. Any bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond, to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person, or by attorney duly authorized, on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon. Such registration shall be substantially in the following form:

#### FORM OF REGISTRATION.

San Francisco, \_\_\_\_\_, 19—

This bond is registered pursuant to the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of \_\_\_\_\_, and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

Treasurer.

Section 7. For the purpose of providing for the payment of the principal and interest of said bonds, taxes shall be levied and collected as provided in Ordinance No. 5693 (New Series) and Ordinance No. 5728 (New Series), and in furtherance of the purpose herein expressed, the following funds are hereby created: "School Bond Interest and Redemption Fund—Issue of 1923"—and "Relief Home Interest and Redemption Fund."

Section 8. The Board of Supervisors shall sell said bonds at such times and such amounts as it shall determine. The proceeds arising from the sale of school bonds shall be placed to the credit of a "School Building Construction Fund" and the proceeds arising from the sale of Relief Home bonds shall be placed to the credit of the "Relief Home Construction Fund," which funds are hereby created, and shall be used exclusively for the purposes respectively for which such bonds were issued.

Section 9. This ordinance is the fourth of a series of ordinances which will be adopted by the Board of Supervisors under and by virtue of which it is proposed that a bonded indebtedness of said City and County will be

incurred for the purposes herein enumerated.

Section 10. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Mulvihill—2.

Appropriation, \$25,000, Relief of People of Astoria, Oregon.

Resolution No. 20613 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$25,000 be and the same is hereby authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity Fund in payment to Hon. J. C. Brenner, Mayor of Astoria, Oregon, for the relief of the people of Astoria, Oregon.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Mulvihill—2.

Laid on Table.

A motion by Supervisor Hynes, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, to increase foregoing appropriation \$25,000, was, on motion of Supervisor Scott, seconded by Supervisor Rossi, *laid on the table* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGregor, McLeran, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Welch, Wetmore—13.

Noes—Supervisors Hynes, McSheehy—2.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Mulvihill, Shannon—3.

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 20614 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

*Library Fund, 1922-1923.*

(1) Newbegin's, books (claim dated Nov. 30, 1922), \$504.

(2) San Francisco News Co., books (claim dated Nov. 30, 1922), \$2,602.61.

(3) G. E. Steichert & Co., books (claim dated Nov. 30, 1922), \$4,725.48.

(4) Foster & Futernick Co., book-binding (claim dated Nov. 30, 1922), \$2,390.15.

*Auditorium Fund.*

(5) Mme. Johanna Gadske, services second symphony concert, December 9, 1922 (claim dated Dec. 18, 1922), \$750.

(6) S. F. Symphony Orchestra, services second symphony concert, De-

cember 9, 1922 (claim dated Dec. 18, 1922), \$1,500.

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(7) Crane Co., supplies for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 12, 1922), \$1,248.65.

(8) W. D. Ayres Co., butter for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated December 12, 1922), \$1,242.

(9) General Electric Co., two 40 horsepower motors for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 12, 1922), \$1,294.50.

(10) The Paraffine Companies, Ltd., roofing paper for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 12, 1922), \$510.

(11) Baumgarten Bros., meat for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 12, 1922), \$1,530.84.

(12) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 12, 1922), \$1,204.

(13) Sherry Bros., Inc., eggs for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 12, 1922), \$758.16.

(14) Smith-Booth-Usher Co., two motor-driven hoists, etc., for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 12, 1922), \$2,900.

(15) Standard Oil Co., fuel oil for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 12, 1922), \$802.22.

(16) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, reimbursing revolving fund (claim dated Dec. 12, 1922), vouchers attached, \$1,242.56.

(17) Robert M. Searls, purchases of property in San Mateo county, etc., for rights of way Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 12, 1922), \$2,791.

(18) James Graham Mfg. Co., supplies for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 12, 1922), \$954.13.

*Municipal Railway Fund.*

(19) American Brake Shoe and Foundry Co., brake shoes (claim dated Dec. 2, 1922), \$1,236.25.

(20) American Brake Shoe and Foundry Co., brake shoes (claim dated Dec. 11, 1922), \$1,070.70.

(21) Associated Oil Co., gasoline and oil for October (claim dated Dec. 11, 1922), \$957.03.

(22) Frank F. Bodler, 10 Johnson fare boxes (claim dated Dec. 1, 1922), \$975.

*School Building Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(23) Anderson & Ringrose, third payment general construction Yerba Buena School additions (claim dated Dec. 13, 1922), \$14,644.50.

(24) O. Monson, third payment general contract Andrew Jackson School (claim dated Dec. 13, 1922), \$12,817.50.

(25) United Materials Co., second payment roofing North Beach School, Galileo High (claim dated Dec. 13, 1922), \$1,194.75.

(26) Joseph Greenback, second payment lathing and plastering Columbus School (claim dated Dec. 15, 1922), \$892.35.

(27) O. Monson, extras, order No. 2572, general construction Spring Valley School (claim dated Dec. 13, 1922), \$7,135.

*General Fund, 1922-1923.*

(28) Associated Charities, pensions for half orphans with their widowed mothers for December (claim dated Dec. 15, 1922), \$10,555.21.

(29) Little Children's Aid, pensions to widowed mothers for their half orphan children (claim dated Dec. 15, 1922), \$8,503.70.

(30) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of half orphans with their widowed mothers (claim dated Dec. 15, 1922), \$927.50.

(31) Old Homestead Bakery, bread for County Jail No. 1 (claim dated Dec. 1, 1922), \$786.58.

(32) Producers' Hay Co., hay for police stations (claim dated Nov. 27, 1922), \$1,086.01.

(33) Associated Oil Co., fuel oil for S. F. Fire Department (claim dated Nov. 29, 1922), \$619.71.

(34) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electricity for S. F. Fire Department (claim dated Nov. 29, 1922), \$1,501.59.

(35) Shell Co., fuel oil for fire boats, S. F. Fire Department (claim dated Nov. 29, 1922), \$549.56.

(36) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished auxiliary fire system, etc. (claim dated Nov. 29, 1922), \$2,762.46.

(37) Standard Oil Co., oil and gasoline for S. F. Fire Department (claim dated Nov. 29, 1922), \$955.22.

(38) Preston School of Industry, care of minors for the month of October (claim dated Dec. 11, 1922), \$683.89.

(39) Preston School of Industry, care of minors committed by Juvenile Court for November (claim dated Dec. 11, 1922), \$754.01.

(40) St. Vincent's School, care of minors committed by Juvenile Court for November (claim dated Dec. 11, 1922), \$1,588.80.

(41) Albertinum Orphanage, care of minors committed by Juvenile Court for November (claim dated Dec. 11, 1922), \$1,522.50.

(42) Roman Catholic Orphanage, care of minors committed by Juvenile Court for November (claim dated Dec. 11, 1922), \$2,776.37.

(43) Boys' Aid Society, care of minors committed by Juvenile Court for November (claim dated Dec. 11, 1922), \$1,147.45.

(44) Protestant Orphanage, care of minors committed by Juvenile Court

for November (claim dated Dec. 11, 1922), \$647.50.

(45) St. Mary's Orphanage, care of minors committed by Juvenile Court for November (claim dated Dec. 11, 1922), \$612.50.

(46) Little Children's Aid, care of minors committed by Juvenile Court for December (claim dated Dec. 11, 1922), \$9,673.59.

(47) Children's Agency, care of minors committed by Juvenile Court for December (claim dated Dec. 11, 1922), \$16,425.54.

(48) Eureka Benevolent Society, care of minors committed by Juvenile Court for December (claim dated Dec. 11, 1922), \$3,802.01.

(49) St. Catherine's Training Home, care of minors committed by Juvenile Court for November (claim dated Dec. 11, 1922), \$687.84.

(50) Standard Oil Co., Inc., asphalt and freight for street repair department (claim dated Dec. 7, 1922), \$2,232.41.

(51) Western Products Co., lime-rock dust for street repair department (claim dated Dec. 7, 1922), \$841.50.

(52) Western Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair department (claim dated Dec. 7, 1922), \$2,658.17.

(53) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., asphalt reinforcing September and October (claim dated Dec. 12, 1922), \$1,445.40.

(54) Standard Oil Co., asphalt and freight for street repair department (claim dated Dec. 12, 1922), \$3,182.89.

(55) California Brick Co., paving brick for street repair department (claim dated Dec. 7, 1922), \$2,470.

(56) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated Dec. 14, 1922), \$3,334.34.

(57) Martin Murphy, final payment, high pressure fire mains in North Point street (claim dated Dec. 13, 1922), \$2,857.

(58) Flynn & Collins, two Ford roadsters for Department of Public Health (claim dated Dec. 9, 1922), \$927.12.

(59) Dodge Sweeney Co., supplies for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1922), \$595.30.

(60) California Poultry Co., turkeys for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1922), \$698.85.

(61) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., street lighting for November (claim dated Dec. 18, 1922), \$47,924.02.

#### *Park Fund.*

(62) Hannah Bros., first payment, construction shops and sheds (claim dated Dec. 15, 1922), \$3,367.32.

(63) Spring Valley Water Co., park service for November (claim dated Dec. 15, 1922), \$602.41.

#### *General Fund, 1922-1923.*

(64) San Francisco Chronicle, of ficial advertising for November (claim dated Dec. 18, 1922), \$1,897.35.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Mulvihill—2.

#### *Appropriations.*

Resolution No. 20615 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

#### *Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(1) For the construction of piers and abutments for the bridge across Sixbit Gulch, Hetch Hetchy Water Supply, Contract No. 87, awarded to Schultz Construction Co., the sum of \$1,583.56.

(2) For furnishing and delivering an electric traveling crane for the Moccasin Creek Power Plant, Contract No. 70, Hetchy Hetchy Water Supply. Award to Pawling & Harnischfeger Co., \$30,475; possible extras, \$525.

#### *County Road Fund.*

(3) For improving the northerly one-half of Sloat boulevard from Nineteenth to Thirty-fifth avenues. Award to Eaton & Smith, \$40,266.95; inspection and possible extras, \$5,000.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Mulvihill—2.

Authorization, \$5,500, Payment to A. Ferroggiaro for Lands at Keith Street and Armstrong Avenue.

Resolution No. 20617 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$5,500 be and the same is hereby authorized to be expended out of General Fund, 1921-1922, in payment to A. Ferroggiaro for the purchase of Lots 1, 2 and 14 of Block 5420 on Assessor's Map Book; also all rights and title in Keith street and Armstrong avenue, as more particularly described in Resolution No. 20567 (New Series), approved December 13, 1922.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

Supply Station, Parking Station and Oil Permits.

Resolution No. 20618 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

*Automobile Supply Station.*

Associated Oil Company, at the southwest corner of Potrero avenue and Twenty-fifth street; also to store 2000 gallons of gasoline on premises.

Standard Oil Company, on the south side of Mariposa street between Third and Tennessee streets; also to store 1200 gallons of gasoline on premises.

*Automobile Parking Station.*

Dufficy & Neilan, on the east side of Taylor street 50 feet north of Eddy street. Greasing or washing racks will not be permitted on the premises.

David Kuhn, at the southwest corner of Sansome and Sacramento streets. Greasing or washing racks will not be permitted on the premises.

*Oil Storage Tank.*

J. Maloney, at the northeast corner of Dolores and Fifteenth streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Monson Bros., at the northeast corner of Washington and Gough streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. & W. Pierce Company, on the south side of Sacramento street 45 feet 10 inches west of Front street, 1500 gallons capacity.

McLeran Bros., at the southwest corner of Greenwich and Hyde streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Kingston, at 2565 Washington street, 1500 gallons capacity.

St. Boniface School, at 133 Golden Gate avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. H. Hubbell, at southwest corner of Jackson and Locust streets, 600 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

*Garage Permit.*

Resolution No. 20619 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted Thomas McDougall to maintain a public garage on the south side of Eddy street 183 feet 8 inches west of Taylor street.

This permit is granted on the express agreement of the permittee to immediately erect a two-story reinforced concrete building on said property, the foundation and walls of which

are to be sufficiently strong to permit of the future construction of two additional stories. The frontage of said building, except a 15-foot entrance to the garage, is to be arranged for stores not less than fifty feet in depth. No basement under the building is to be permitted.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit becomes null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

*Garage Permit.*

Resolution No. 20620 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors is hereby granted Auto Super Service to maintain a public garage on the east side of Arguello boulevard, 150 feet south of Geary street, with an entrance from Geary street 90 feet 10 inches east of Arguello boulevard; also to store 1200 gallons of gasoline on premises.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit becomes null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Twenty-third Street Between Bryant and York Streets.

Bill No. 6208, Ordinance No. 5784 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and the location of buildings, designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 9 of the use of property zone map, constituting a part of said ordinance is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southerly side of Twenty-third street between Bryant street and York street, to the depth of the rear lot lines, in the Light Industrial District instead of the Commercial District as now zoned.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman,

Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

#### Antenna Towers on Buildings.

Bill No. 6209, Ordinance No. 5785 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the erection of towers on the roofs of class A and B buildings, for the purpose of carrying the antenna of high standard radiophone broadcasting station.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Towers for the purpose of carrying the antenna of a high standard radiophone broadcasting station may be erected on the roofs of class A and class B buildings.

Such towners shall be constructed of galvanized steel and have a base span of not less than twenty feet square and taper to a size not less than one foot nine inches at the top and shall not exceed one hundred and twenty-five feet in height.

All frame work and bracing in the erection of such tower shall be of galvanized steel, securely bolted and fastened to the roof of the building on which such tower is installed, in a manner subject to the satisfaction and approval of the Board of Public Works.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

#### Amending Building Law, Approved Fiber Lumber.

Bill No. 6210, Ordinance No. 5786 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section No. 146 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), approved December 22, 1909, and known as the "Building Law" of the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 146 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as the "Building Law," is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 146. The walls of frame or wooden buildings shall be constructed with studding covered with weather boarding, or approved fiber lumber, on the outside. No uncovered studding will be allowed against the wall of an adjoining building or structure.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman,

Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

#### Sunset Extension of Municipal Railway from Cole and Carl Streets to the Ocean.

Bill No. 6211, Ordinance No. 5787 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to prepare plans and specifications and contract for the construction of a Municipal Street Railway from Cole and Carl streets to the ocean.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and directed to prepare plans and specifications, advertise for bids and enter into a contract for the construction of a Municipal Street Railway from Cole and Carl streets to the ocean beach over such route as the City Engineer shall recommend. Provision may be made in such specifications and contract for progressive payments as provided in the Charter.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

#### Notice of Reconsideration.

Supervisor Hynes gave notice that he would move for a reconsideration of the foregoing vote at next meeting.

#### Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Beale Street.

Bill No. 6212, Ordinance No. 5788 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the width of sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered two hundred and thirty-seven.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the width of sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office October 30, 1922, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered two hundred and thirty-seven and to read as follows:

Section 237. The width of sidewalks on Beale street between Market street and Mission street shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Beale street between Mission street and Folsom street shall be twenty-two (22) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Beale street between Folsom street and The Embarcadero shall be fifteen (15) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

#### PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print and amounting to \$30,594, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

#### NEW BUSINESS.

##### Auditorium Rentals.

Resolution No. 20621 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Frank W. Healy be granted permission to occupy the Main Hall, Auditorium, March 18, 1923, 8 a. m. to 6 p. m., for the purpose of holding a concert, deposit having been paid to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to guarantee the rental fee.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

Also, Resolution No. 20622 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That American Association for Recognition of Irish Republic be granted permission to occupy the Main Hall, Auditorium, December 29, 1922, 6 p. m. to 12 p. m., for the purpose of holding a mass meeting, rental fee having been paid to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

##### Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

##### Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McLeran:  
Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

##### *Special School Tax, 1922-1923.*

(1) C. F. Weber Co. Inc., equipment, Hancock School (claim dated Dec. 19, 1922), \$1,377.

(2) Braun Knecht Heiman Co., equipment, Mission High School (claim dated Dec. 19, 1922), \$1,056.77.

(3) James Graham Mfg. Co., equipment, Mission High School (claim dated Dec. 19, 1922), \$702.10.

(4) C. F. Weber & Co., equipment, Mission High School (claim dated Dec. 19, 1922), \$2,271.55.

(5) Quinn & Reilly, eleventh payment, general construction, Emerson School (claim dated Dec. 20, 1922), \$7,798.50.

##### *School Building Construction, Bond Issue 1918.*

(6) Standard Electric Construction Co., first payment, electric work, Andrew Jackson School (claim dated Dec. 20, 1922), \$982.03.

##### *Municipal Railway Fund.*

(7) C. F. Binotti Machinery Co., Gisholt vertical boring mill (claim dated Dec. 22, 1922), \$1,776.25.

(8) Associated Oil Co., gasoline for November (claim dated Dec. 15, 1922), \$753.35.

(9) Market Street Railway Co., audit for November, 1922 (claim dated Dec. 15, 1922), \$1,107.97.

(10) Market Street Railway Co., electric power Brighton avenue for November, 1922 (claim dated Dec. 15, 1922), \$2,108.68.

(11) Civil Service City Employees Retirement Fund pensions and gratuities for November, 1922 (claim dated Dec. 11, 1922), \$5,512.46.

(12) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., lighting car barn, etc., November, 1922 (claim dated Dec. 15, 1922), \$32,752.69.

##### *Park Fund.*

(13) Spring Valley Water Co., labor and material Merced links September and October (claim dated Dec. 22, 1922), \$1,299.04.

##### *Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.*

(14) W. D. Ayers Co., supplies for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 20, 1922), \$1,336.50.

(15) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., brake shoes (claim dated Dec. 20, 1922), \$1,330.75.

(16) American Wood Working Machinery Co., rip and resaw machine for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 20, 1922), \$1,545.50.

(17) Associated Oil Co., gasoline for

Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 20, 1922), \$1,201.21.

(18) Baumgarten Bros., supplies for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 20, 1922), \$1,315.97.

(19) L. Dinkelspiel Co. Inc., supplies for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 19, 1922), \$911.67.

(20) Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co., supplies for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 18, 1922), \$727.73.

(21) The Grange Co., supplies for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 20, 1922), \$786.02.

(22) The Giant Powder Co. Con., supplies for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 18, 1922), \$3,824.61.

(23) A. Levy & J. Zentner Co., supplies for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 20, 1922), \$570.32.

(24) Meyenberg Evaporated Milk Co., supplies for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 20, 1922), \$920.

(25) Northwestern Pacific Railroad Co., rent of locomotive for December (claim dated Dec. 18, 1922), \$1,050.

(26) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 20, 1922), \$6,772.76.

(27) Pacific Metal Works, supplies for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 19, 1922), \$742.08.

(28) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., lamps for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 19, 1922), \$1,456.58.

(29) Standard Oil Co., supplies for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 19, 1922), \$2,171.62.

(30) Standard Oil Co., supplies for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 19, 1922), \$5,185.73.

(31) Standard Oil Co., supplies for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 19, 1922), \$6,308.20.

(32) Standard Underground Cable Co., cable for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 18, 1922), \$780.50.

(33) Sherry Bros. Inc., supplies for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 19, 1922), \$760.32.

(34) Sperry Flour Co., flour for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 19, 1922), \$1,014.

(35) Thomson-Graf Edler Co., steel cabs, etc., for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 20, 1922), \$514.19.

(36) George H. Tay Co., supplies for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 18, 1922), \$1,003.50.

(37) United States Rubber Co., supplies for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 19, 1922), \$1,476.

(38) Western Meat Co., supplies for Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 19, 1922), \$1,514.87.

(39) The White Co., supplies for

Hetch Hetchy (claim dated Dec. 19, 1922), \$618.07.

*General Fund, 1922-1923.*

(40) Shell Co., fuel oil for Board of Works (claim dated Dec. 16, 1922), \$1,152.

(41) Spring Valley Water Co., service public buildings for November (claim dated Dec. 13, 1922), \$1,372.18.

(42) Producers Hay Co., feed for Police Dept. horses (claim dated Dec. 18, 1922), \$1,047.21.

(43) D. J. O'Brien, contingent expenses for January, 1923 (claim dated Dec. 18, 1922), \$750.

(44) Johnson & Johnson, supplies for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1922), \$721.60.

(45) Hooper & Jennings, supplies for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1922), \$1,312.51.

(46) Old Homestead Bakery, bread for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1922), \$944.33.

(47) Smith, Lyndon & Co., supplies for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1922), \$811.39.

(48) Wm. Cluff Co., supplies for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1922), \$827.50.

(49) J. Hayden, meat for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1922), \$518.74.

(50) Miller & Lux, meats for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1922), \$1,064.14.

(51) H. Moffatt Co., beef for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1922), \$1,245.95.

(52) Sherry Bros., supplies for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1922), \$1,411.36.

(53) F. L. Hilmer Co., supplies for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1922), \$2,159.30.

(54) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, etc., for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1922), \$3,419.45.

(55) Snow & Rothbach, supplies for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1922), \$614.02.

(56) Levi Strauss & Co., blankets for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1922), \$960.

(57) Shell Oil Co., fuel oil for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1922), \$2,232.

(58) Spring Valley Water Co., water service S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 29, 1922), \$1,345.37.

(59) S. F. Society for Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, etc., as per report (claim dated Dec. 26, 1922), \$1,000.

(60) S. F. Chronicle, advertising for Board of Public Works (claim dated Dec. 26, 1922), \$504.67.

*Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.*

(61) Pelton Water Wheel Co., fourth payment water wheels for Moccasin Creek Power Plant (claim dated Dec. 18, 1922). \$19,969.20.

*Part Payment to Crocker Estate Company for Glen Park Property.*

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$10,000 be and the same is hereby authorized to be expended out of Budget Item No. 63, in payment to the Crocker Estate Company for the purchase of property known as the "Glen Park Picnic Grounds," as authorized by Ordinance No. 5767 (New Series).

*Payments to Spring Valley Water Company on Lease of Lake Merced Property.*

Also, Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of Budget Item No. 68 in payment to Spring Valley Water Company, as follows:

Amount due under terms of lease agreement dated July 1, 1922, covering 60 acres of Lake Merced property at Sloat boulevard and Great Highway, \$24,000.

1922-1923 taxes on aforesaid 60 acres, \$22.90.

1922-1923 taxes on 170 acres of Lake Merced property leased to the City and County for golf course, \$59.

*Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required for Extension of Pioneer Park and Construction of Telegraph Hill Boulevard.*

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 20623 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the extension of Pioneer Park and construction of Telegraph Hill boulevard, has offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite his name, viz.:

M. H. Dignan, \$1,400.

Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Greenwich street, distant thereon 57 feet and 6 inches westerly from the northwesterly corner of Greenwich and Kearny streets, and running thence westerly along said line of Greenwich street 20 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 75 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 20 feet, and thence at a right angle southerly 75 feet to the point of beginning. Being part of 50 Vara Lot No. 81.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept a deed therefor in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price of one thousand four hundred and 00/100 (\$1,400.00) dollars.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

*Passed for Printing.*

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

*Payment to M. H. Dignan for Land for Extension of Pioneer Park and Construction of Telegraph Hill Boulevard.*

On motion of Supervisor McLeran: Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$1,400 be and the same is hereby authorized to be expended out of Budget Item No. 66, in payment to M. H. Dignan, for the purchase of property required for extension of Pioneer Park and construction of Telegraph Hill boulevard, as per recommendation of the City Attorney.

*Accepting Offer of Land for Islais Creek Main Sewer.*

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 20624 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the extension of a main sewer in the Islais Creek District have offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Helen V. Wheeler, \$1,650.

Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Connecticut street, distant thereon 424 feet southerly from the southerly line of Army street, and running thence southerly along the westerly line of Connecticut street 33 feet; thence at right angles westerly 100 feet; thence at right angles northerly 33 feet; thence at right angles

easterly 100 feet to the point of beginning.

California Pacific Title Insurance Co., \$2,182.

Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Connecticut street, distant thereon 457 feet southerly from the southerly line of Army street, and running thence southerly along the westerly line of Connecticut street 38 feet; thence at right angles westerly 54.838 feet; thence deflecting 106 deg. 20 min. 39 sec. to the left and running southerly 12.505 feet; thence deflecting 106 deg. 20 min. 39 sec. to the right and running westerly 48.682 feet; thence at right angles northerly 50 feet; thence at right angles easterly 100 feet to the point of beginning.

E. W. Newell, \$1,735.

Beginning at a point distant 100 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Connecticut street and distant 457 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Army street, and running thence southerly parallel with Connecticut street 100 feet; thence at right angles westerly 20.025 feet; thence deflecting 73 deg. 39 min. 21 sec. to the right and running northerly 104.211 feet; thence deflecting 106 deg. 20 min. 39 sec. to the right and running easterly parallel with Army street 49.350 feet to the point of beginning.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the prices set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisal of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted and City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said property, and if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept deeds therefor in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

**Purchase of Land for Islais Creek Sewer Right of Way.**

On motion of Supervisor McLeran: Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of Budget Item No. 47, extension of main sewers, in payment to the following named for the purchase of property required for extension of main sewer in the Islais Creek District:

(1) To Helen V. Wheeler, for land westerly line of Connecticut street, 424 feet southerly from Army street, etc., \$1,650.

(2) To California Pacific Title Insurance Co., for land westerly line of Connecticut street, 457 feet from Army street, etc., \$2,182.

(3) To E. W. Newell, for land on westerly line of Connecticut street, 457 feet south of Army street, etc., \$1,735.

**City Attorney to Dismiss Condemnation Proceedings for Land for Corporation Yard.**

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 20625 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and directed to dismiss that action and condemnation proceeding for the acquisition of certain lands for the extension of the Corporation Yards of the Board of Public Works as set forth in the complaint in action No. 126584, Superior Court, entitled: "City and County of San Francisco, plaintiff, vs. Ocean Shore Railway Company, a corporation et al., defendants," in accordance with his recommendation.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

**Accepting Offer of Ocean Shore Railroad Company to Sell Land on Harrison Street for Extension of Corporation Yards.**

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 20626 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from the Ocean Shore Railroad Company, a corporation, to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Harrison street, where the same is intersected by the center line of Twelfth street produced southeasterly; thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line of Harrison street 30 feet; thence southeasterly parallel to and 30 feet northeasterly

from said center line of Twelfth street produced southeasterly 98.9 feet; thence on a circular curve to the right having a radius of 388.4 feet 125.6 feet; thence southeasterly on a tangent to said curve 87.8 feet; thence on a circular curve to the right having a radius of 388.4 feet 7.5 feet to a point on the boundary line common to the said property of Le Roy and the property of the City and County of San Francisco, which point is 172.8 feet westerly from the westerly line of Eleventh street at its intersection with said boundary line; thence westerly along said boundary line 11.7 feet to an angle in said boundary line; thence southwesterly along said boundary line 50.1 feet; thence northwesterly at an angle of 84 deg. 50.8 min. from said boundary line, parallel to and 60 feet southwesterly from the fourth course of this description, 87.4 feet; thence on a circular curve to the left, having a radius of 388.4 feet parallel to and 60 feet southwesterly from the third course of this description 106.1 feet; thence northwesterly parallel to and 30 feet southwesterly from said center line of Twelfth street produced southeasterly 100.6 feet to the southeasterly line of Harrison street; thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line of Harrison street 30 feet to the point of beginning; required for the extension of the Corporation Yards of the Board of Public Works; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land with improvements, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of \$20,000 be and the same is hereby accepted.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon the payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid; and the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman,

Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Authorization, \$20,000, Payment to Ocean Shore Railroad Company for Land for Corporation Yards.

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the amount of \$20,000 be and the same is hereby authorized to be expended out of the General Fund, 1922-1923, in payment to the Ocean Shore Railway Company for its property at Twelfth and Harrison streets, required by the City for an extension of the Corporation Yards of the Board of Public Works, as per compromise effected by City Attorney in condemnation suit numbered 126584. Accepting Offer of Western Pacific Railroad Company to Sell Land Required for Islais Creek Sewer.

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 20627 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco is desirous of securing a right of way or easement for the construction of a public sewer over certain property and the Western Pacific Railroad Company, the owner of said property, has offered to convey the said right of way as per the following deed:

This indenture, made this 23rd day of November, one thousand nine hundred and twenty-two, by and between the Western Pacific Railroad Company, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, the party of the first part, and the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, the party of the second part:

Witnesseth: That the party of the first part in consideration of the sum of one (\$1.00) dollar, lawful money of the United States of America, to it in hand paid by the said party of the second part, the receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, and the further consideration mentioned below, has granted, bargained and sold, conveyed and confirmed unto the said party of the second part and to its successors and assigns forever, a right of way or easement for a public sewer, and the right to enter upon said right of way to construct and maintain the same under and across that certain lot, piece, or parcel of land situate, lying and being in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California,

and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the westerly boundary line of that certain parcel of city property acquired for the extension of Evans avenue by deed recorded on page 300, of Book 1095 of Deeds, records of City and County of San Francisco, said point of beginning being distant 499 feet at right angles southerly from the northerly line of Army street, and running thence southerly along said westerly boundary line a distance of 26,053 feet; thence westerly parallel with Army street to the southeasterly boundary line of the Western Pacific Railroad right of way and the easterly terminal line of the parcel of land granted by the Ocean Shore Railroad to the City and County of San Francisco for a sewer right of way, said grant being recorded in Book 830 of Deeds, page 333; thence northeasterly along said southeasterly boundary line and said easterly terminal line to a point distant 499 feet at right angles southerly from the northerly line of Army street; thence easterly, parallel with Army street to the point of beginning.

and further agrees that any building or structure except railroad tracks built or to be built by the party of the first part or its successors in interest on the land through which this easement is granted shall be so built as not to interfere with any sewer which may have been constructed on or through said property by the party of the second part.

This easement is granted upon the condition that the party of the second part shall, at its own cost and expense, construct the said public sewer and shall thereafter maintain the same in good order and repair, and in such a manner as not to obstruct or interfere with any of the tracks or buildings of the party of the first part now on said premises or hereafter constructed thereon, or with the use thereof in the operation of the line of railroad of the party of the first part, and that the said party of the second part shall also, at its own cost and expense, construct in the sewer to be constructed upon the above mentioned parcel, at the time when the same is built, an outlet or "Y" for the use of the party of the first part.

This easement is granted upon the further condition that the said sewer shall be constructed of material and in accordance with plans which shall have been first approved by the Chief Engineer of the party of the first part, and the work of installation shall be

carried on under the supervision and to the satisfaction of the said Chief Engineer or his representative thereunto duly authorized. Before performing any work upon the property or right of way or over or beneath the track or tracks of the party of the first part for the purpose of installing the said sewer, or for the inspection, maintenance or repair thereof, the party of the second part shall give the Chief Engineer of the party of the first part advance notice in writing in ample time to permit the party of the first part to arrange for the presence of its representative when such work is being performed. The party of the second part shall bear the reasonable cost of supervision and inspection of the said work, or of any part thereof, by the party of the first part.

This easement is granted subject to the first mortgage of the party of the first part, dated June 26th, 1916, to First Federal Trust Company and Henry E. Cooper, trustees, which said mortgage was recorded July 17th, 1916, in Liber 940 of Deeds, at page 185, Records of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

In witness whereof, said party of the first part has caused its corporate name to be hereunto subscribed, and its corporate seal to be hereunto affixed by its president and secretary thereunto duly authorized by resolution of its board of directors.

THE WESTERN PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY,

By C. M. LEVEY, President.

By W. G. BRUEN, Secretary.

Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized to accept the said deed in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco and record the same.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

Cancellation of Property Sold to State.

Supervisor McLeran presented:

Resolution No. 29628 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Auditor and Tax Collector have certified that the taxes on the hereinafter described property for the year 1921 were fully paid but that through error were not stamped "paid" on the assessment roll and consequently were sold to the State on June 27, 1922, and the cancellation of said sale is recommended; therefore

Resolved, That the Auditor is hereby directed, in accordance with the provisions of Sections 3776 and 3805 of the Political Code, to cancel sales Nos. 383, 971, 1166, 1582 and 2106 of June 27, 1922, of the following described property:

Lot No. 28, Block 1535, Vol. 9, page 182, assessed to J. M. Christianson (paid April 11, 1922). Sale No. 383.

Lots Nos. 40 and 41, Block 3158, Vol. 19, page 163, assessed to John J. and Dora Hahn (paid April 6, 1922). Sale No. 971.

Lot No. 59, Block 4201, Vol. 24, page 133, assessed to Emanuel Schieve (paid April 25, 1922). Sale No. 1166.

Lot No. 8, Block 5809, Vol. 32, page 99, assessed to Wm. Smith (paid April 6, 1922). Sale No. 1582.

Lot No. 22, Block 6981, Vol. 39, page 36, assessed to P. J. and C. McElroy (paid April 19, 1922). Sale No. 2106.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

#### Referred.

The following bill was presented by Supervisor McLeran and *referred to the Finance and Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committees*:

#### Amendments, Salary Ordinance.

Bill No. 6213, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Amending paragraph (i) of Section 9, paragraph (o) of Section 15, Sections 21 and 22 of Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), known as the "Ordinance of Additional Positions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Paragraph (i) of Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series) is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

(i) Temporary clerks, Grade 2, each at a salary of \$125 a month during the time of their employment; provided, that clerks permanently certified by the Civil Service Commission who have been continuously employed under such certification for one year shall receive a salary of \$150 a month.

Section 2. Paragraph (o) of Section 15 of Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series) is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

(o) Three matrons, Grade 3, each at a salary of \$1,920 a year.

Section 3. Section 21 of Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series) is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

#### Juvenile Court.

Section 21. Under the so-called Juvenile Court Law:

(a) One chief probation officer, at a salary of \$3,600 a year.

(b) One assistant probation officer, at a salary of \$2,580 a year.

(c) Eight assistant probation officers, each at a salary of \$1,920 a year.

(d) Three deputy probation officers, each at a salary of \$1,920 a year.

(e) Three clerk-stenographers, each at a salary of \$1,800 a year.

(f) Two stenographers, each at a salary of \$1,800 a year.

(g) One collector, at a salary of \$2,400 a year.

(h) One bookkeeper, at a salary of \$1,800 a year.

(i) One file clerk, at a salary of \$1,800 a year.

(j) One orderly, at a salary of \$1,380 a year.

(k) One orderly, at a salary of \$1,080 a year.

(l) One superintendent of the Detention Home, at a salary of \$1,800 a year.

(m) One assistant superintendent, at a salary of \$1,500 a year.

(n) One night assistant, at a salary of \$1,500 a year.

(o) One matron, at a salary of \$1,500 a year.

(p) Seven nurses, each at a salary of \$840 a year.

(q) One ethnic nurse, at a salary of \$1,080 a year.

(r) One cook, at a salary of \$840 a year.

(s) One clerk-stenographer, at a salary of \$1,500 a year.

Section 4. Section 22 of Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series) is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

#### Adult Probation Department.

Section 22. (a) One adult probation officer, at a salary of \$3,600 a year.

(b) One assistant adult probation officer, at a salary of \$2,520 a year.

(c) Seven assistant adult probation officers, each at a salary of \$1,920 a year.

(d) One stenographer, at a salary of \$1,800 a year from January 1, 1923.

#### Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Construction of Waiting Station, East Portal, Twin Peaks Tunnel.

On motion of Supervisor McLeran: Bill No. 6214, Ordinance No. — (New Series), as follows:

Directing the Board of Public Works to erect a waiting station at the east portal of the Twin Peaks Tunnel.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and directed to construct a waiting and shelter station in connection with the Municipal Street Railway at the eastern

portal of the Twin Peaks Tunnel, to prepare plans and specifications and to enter into a contract therefor.

#### Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits are hereby granted:

#### *Public Garage.*

Joseph Pasqualetti (two-story building), at the southeast corner of Bush and Franklin streets; also to store 1200 gallons of gasoline on premises.

Haslett Warehouse Co., at 181 Townsend street.

#### *Transfer Public Garage.*

To Hammer, Richardson & Eide (Triple "A" Garage), permit granted by Resolution No. 19997 (New Series) to Frank E. Clark for premises situate at southeast corner of Divisadero and Grove streets (No. 650 Divisadero street).

#### *Planing Mill.*

Beronio Lumber Co., on south side of Beach street, 200 feet east of Powell street, wherein planers, stickers and jointers may be operated.

#### *Automobile Parking Station.*

Harry Marquard, on the west side of Mason street, 87 feet 6 inches south of Post street. Washing or greasing racks will not be permitted in station.

Charles E. Gallagher, on south side of Stevenson street, 60 feet east of Fourth street and extending back to Jessie street. Greasing or washing racks will not be permitted in station.

#### *Dyeing and Cleaning Works.*

F. E. Vandergrift, at 44-46 Collingwood street.

#### *Automobile Supply Station.*

Frank Petterle, at southwest corner of Mission and Tingley streets; also to store 2000 gallons of gasoline on premises.

#### *Oil Storage Tank.*

(1500 gallons capacity.)

A. F. Frick, on north side of Sacramento street, 200 feet west of Franklin street.

H. G. Warwick, on east side of Jones street, 75 feet north of Washington street.

Fujimoto Co., at 238 Jackson street.

W. F. Chipman, on west side of Hyde street, 100 feet north of Filbert street.

E. Johnson, at northwest corner of Seventh avenue and Judah street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits become null and void.

#### Blasting Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That P. L. Burr is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts for grading purposes on the easterly and westerly sides of Twelfth avenue between Quintara and Rivera streets, in Blocks 2205 and 2206, providing said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of \$20,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction and under the supervision of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by the said P. L. Burr, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

This permit expires July 15, 1923.

#### *Automobile Supply Station Permit.*

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted W. L. O'Brien and X. P. Reichlin to maintain an automobile supply station at the northwest corner of Twenty-second street and Potrero avenue; also to store 1000 gallons of gasoline on premises.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit becomes null and void.

#### *Extensions of Time.*

Supervisor Scott presented:

Resolution No. 20629 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following named contractors on the construction of public buildings be granted extensions of time on their contracts as follows:

O. Monson, ninety days from and after November 5, 1922, general construction of addition to Spring Valley School (first extension).

Frederick W. Snook Company, ninety days from and after October 28, 1922, for plumbing work for the addition to the Spring Valley School (first extension).

E. Hogberg, ninety days from and after November 1, 1922, for brick and terra cotta work of the addition to the Spring Valley School (first extension).

The Scott Company, thirty days from and after December 1, 1922, for heating and ventilating of the addition to the Adams School (first extension).

E. E. Etherton Company, thirty days from and after December 3, 1922, for general construction of the addition to the Adams School (first extension).

Butte Electric Equipment Company, thirty days from and after November 25, 1922, for electrical work of the addition to the Adams School (first extension).

The advertising fees for the above are hereby remitted.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

Mayor to Sell Improvements on Washington Irving School Site.

Supervisor Scott presented:

Resolution No. 20630 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby authorized and requested to sell at public auction in accordance with provisions of the Charter, the certain frame buildings located on land recently purchased as additional site to the Washington Irving School and situate as follows:

Commencing on the northerly line of Broadway, distant 62 feet 11 inches easterly from Bartol street, immediately adjoining the present school property, and extending northerly on east line of Bartol street 137 feet 6 inches.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land on Folsom Street Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Scott presented:

Resolution No. 20631 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from John Cunferman to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements, situate on the west line of Folsom street, distant 260 feet north from Twenty-third street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land and improvements is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the aforesaid owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land and improvements free of all encumbrances for the sum of \$6,850, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Folsom street, distant thereon 260 feet northerly from the northerly line of Twenty-third street; running thence northerly along said westerly line of Folsom street 37 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 122 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle southerly 37 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 122 feet 6 inches to the westerly line of Folsom street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Mission Block No. 138.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon the payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid; and the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

Accepting Offer to Sell Lands in San Mateo County Required for Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct Right of Way.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 20632 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco from the following described owners of the following described parcels of land situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, required as a right of way for the aqueduct of the Hetch Hetchy water supply project, for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Hollis Chenery Clark, \$200.

Fractional portion of Lot 13, as shown on map entitled "Wooster, Whitton and Montgomery's Subdivision of a part of the Redwood Farm," filed in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County July 9, 1902, in Book "E" of Maps, at page 31, and copied into Book 3 of Maps, at page 10 (as per offer on file).

It is understood and agreed that the City and County of San Francisco will dedicate a portion of the above described parcel of land as Vera avenue so as to continue the said Vera avenue

through the above mentioned parcel at its present width at King street, at such time as the owner of the adjoining parcel, of which the above mentioned parcel is a part, dedicates a similar strip for the opening of Vera avenue.

A. Barreto, \$761.

Fractional portion of Lot 2, Block 11, as shown on map entitled "Map of Oak Knoll Manor, Redwood City, San Mateo County, Cal.," filed in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County May 10, 1916, in Book 10 of Maps, pages 4 to 11 (as per offer on file). Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer, the above described offers of the above named property owners to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the above mentioned parcels of land for the sums set forth opposite their respective names be and the same are hereby accepted. Be it further

Resolved, That the Special Counsel for the Hetch Hetchy water supply is hereby authorized and directed to notify the said parties of the acceptance of their said offers, to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record, with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

**Extension of Time, A. J. Raisch.**

Supervisor Mulvihill presented:

Resolution No. 20633 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. J. Raisch is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after January 4, 1923, within which to complete improvement of Market street between Mono and Twenty-fourth streets, under public contract.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that contractor has been delayed by inclement weather and shortage of cement.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

**Approving Purchase, Car Wheels.**

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 20634 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the purchase of the following supplies by the Board of Public Works for the Municipal Railway be hereby approved, viz.:

From Edgewater Steel Company, 200 rolled steel car wheels at \$36 each, c. i. f. San Francisco.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

**Award of Contract, Nurses' Desks, San Francisco Hospital.**

Supervisor Rossi presented:

Resolution No. 20635 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing fourteen nurses' desks for San Francisco Hospital be made to Reid Bros. Inc., at \$83 each, as per bid submitted November 13, 1922.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Auditorium Rental.**

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 20636 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Frederick M. L. Van Orden be granted permission to occupy the Main Hall, Auditorium, December 26, 1922, 6 p. m. to 12 p. m., for the purpose of holding a Missionary Christmas Festival, rental fee having been paid to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

*Adopted under suspension of the rules by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Hynes, McGregor, McLeran, McSheehy, Morgan, Powers, Robb, Rossi, Schmitz, Scott, Shannon, Welch, Wetmore—17.

Absent—Supervisor Mulvihill—1.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business the Board at 6 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors August 6, 1923.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 5402 (New Series), of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.







